- 1 Title:
- 2 Modeling the Global Emission, Transport and Deposition of Trace Elements Associated with Mineral Dust
- 3 Authors:
- 4 Y. Zhang [yan_zhang@fudan.edu.cn]
- 5 N. Mahowald [mahowald@cornell.edu]
- 6 R. A. Scanza [ras486@cornell.edu]
- 7 E. Journet [emilie.journet@lisa.u-pec.fr]
- 8 K. Desboeufs [karine.desboeufs@lisa.u-pec.fr]
- 9 S. Albani [s.albani@cornell.edu]
- 10 J. F. Kok [jfkok@ucla.edu]
- 11 G. Zhuang [gzhuang@fudan.edu.cn]
- 12 Y. Chen [yingchen@fudan.edu.cn]
- 13 D. D. Cohen [dcz@ansto.gov.au]
- 14 A. Paytan [apaytan@ucsc.edu]
- 15 M. D. Patey[mpatey@gmail.com]
- 16 E. P. Achterberg [eachterberg@geomar.de]
- 17 J. P. Engelbrecht [Johann.Engelbrecht@dri.edu]
- 18 K. W. Fomba [fomba@tropos.de]

20 21 22	Мо	leling the Global Emission, Transport and Deposition of Trace Elements Associated with Mineral Dust
23 24 25	Yan Z Albaı	Zhang ^{1,2} , Natalie Mahowald ² , Rachel Scanza ² , Emilie Journet ³ , Karine Desboeufs ³ , Samuel ni ² , Jasper F. Kok ⁴ , Guoshun Zhuang ¹ , Ying Chen ¹ , David D. Cohen ⁵ , Adina Paytan ⁶ , Matt D. Patey ⁷ , Eric P. Achterberg ^{7,9} , Johann P. Engelbrecht ⁸ , KhannehWadinga Fomba ¹⁰
26 27	1.	Shanghai Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Particle Pollution and Prevention (LAP ³), Department of Environmental Science and Engineering, Fudan University, Shanghai, China
28	2.	Department of Earth and Atmospheric Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA
29	3.	LISA, UMR CNRS 7583, Université Paris-Est Créteil et Université Paris-Diderot, Créteil, France
30	4.	Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
31	5.	Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organization, Locked Bag 2001, Kirrawee DC, NSW, 2232, Australia
32	6.	Earth and Planetary Sciences Department, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA.
33 34	7.	Ocean and Earth Science, National Oceanography Centre Southampton, University of Southampton, Southampton SO14 3ZH, UK
35	8.	Desert Research Institute (DRI), 2215 Raggio Parkway, Reno, Nevada 89512-1095, USA
36	9.	GEOMAR, Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research, 24148 Kiel, Germany
37	10.	Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), 04318 Leipzig, Germany.

39 Abstract Trace element deposition from desert dust has important impacts on ocean primary productivity, the quantification of which could be useful in determining the magnitude and sign of the 40 biogeochemical feedback on radiative forcing. However, the impact of elemental deposition to remote ocean 41 42 regions is not well understood and is not currently included in global climate models. In this study, emission inventories for eight elements primarily of soil origin, Mg, P, Ca, Mn, Fe, K, Al, and Si are determined based 43 44 on a global mineral dataset and a soil dataset. The resulting elemental fractions are used to drive the desert dust 45 model in the Community Earth System Model (CESM) in order to simulate the elemental concentrations of atmospheric dust. Spatial variability of mineral dust elemental fractions is evident on a global scale, 46 47 particularly for Ca. Simulations of global variations in the Ca/Al ratio, which typically range from around 0.1 48 to 5.0 in soils, are consistent with observations, suggesting that this ratio is a good signature for dust source 49 regions. The simulated variable fractions of chemical elements are sufficiently different; estimates of deposition should include elemental variations, especially for Ca, Al and Fe. The model results have been 50 51 evaluated with observations of elemental aerosol concentrations from desert regions and dust events in nondust regions, providing insights into uncertainties in the modeling approach. The ratios between modeled and 52

observed elemental fractions range from 0.7 to 1.6, except for Mg and Mn (3.4 and 3.5, respectively). Using
the soil database improves the correspondence of the spatial hetereogeneity in the modeling of several
elements (Ca, Al and Fe) compared to observations. Total and soluble dust element fluxes to different ocean
basins and ice sheet regions have been estimated, based on the model results. Annual inputs of soluble Mg, P,
Ca, Mn, Fe and K associated with dust using the mineral dataset are 0.28 Tg, 16.89 Gg, 1.32 Tg, 22.84 Gg,
0.068Tg, and 0.15 Tg to global oceans and ice sheets.

59

60 Key word: dust; Ca/Al ratio; dust; minerals; atmospheric deposition; global model

61 **1 Introduction**

62 Desert dust aerosols are soil particles suspended in the atmosphere by strong winds, and originate primarily 63 from regions with dry, un-vegetated soils. Desert dust particles are thought to contain several important 64 chemical elements, which can impact the earth system by influencing biogeochemical cycles, in particular, 65 marine primary productivity (Martin et al., 1991; Duce and Tindale. 1991;Herut et al., 1999, 2002, 2005; Okin et al., 2004; Jickells et al., 2005). Iron (Fe) is considered the most important element carried in dust, and low 66 67 Fe supplies combined with a low dust solubility are thought to limit phytoplankton growth in High Nutrient 68 Low Chlorophyll (HNLC) regions. The HNLC regions feature residual macronutrient (e.g. nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P)) concentrations, but productivity remains limited by the low supply of Fe (e.g. Martin et 69 al.,1991; Boyd et al., 1998). Further studies have linked Fe to the nitrogen cycle because of high Fe 70 71 requirements of N fixing organisms (e.g. Capone et al., 1997). While there are internal sedimentary sources of 72 Fe in the ocean, dust deposition is an important source of new Fe to remote regions of the ocean (e.g. Fung et al., 2000, Lam and Bishop, 2008; Moore and Braucher, 2008). Desert dust also contains P, which is a limiting 73 74 nutrient in some ocean and land regions (e.g. (Mills et al., 2004; Okin et al., 2004; Swap et al., 1992)), 75 especially on longer time scales. In addition, as a dominant constituent of mineral dust, silicon (Si) is an 76 important nutrient for diatoms which are central in ocean productivity (Morel et al., 2003). Other elements 77 released from mineral dust which may be important for ocean biogeochemistry including manganese (Mn) as a 78 biologically essential nutrient and aluminum (Al) as a tracer of atmospheric inputs (e.g. Nozaki, 1997; 79 http://www.geotraces.org/science/science-plan).

Previous studies have emphasized the importance of measuring elemental composition of dust elements (Kreutz and Sholkovitz, 2000; Cohen et al., 2004; Marino et al., 2004; Marteel et al., 2009), and there are a range of studies highlighting observations of elemental distributions and ecosystem impacts (e.g. Baker et al., 2003;Herut et al., 2002; Buck et al., 2006; Paytan et al., 2009; Chen and Siefert, 2004; Measures and Vink, 2000). In-situ observations show evidence of heterogeneities in elemental fractions over arid soil regions (Svensson et al., 2000;Zhang et al., 2003; Shen et al., 2005, 2006; Li et al., 2007). Ratios between elements including Si, Al, Mg, Ca, and in particular Ca/Al ratios have also been used to distinguish dust source regions, for example the Asian desert (Zhang et al., 1996; Sun et al., 2005; Han et al., 2005; Shen et al., 2007) and
African deserts (Bergametti et al., 1989;Formenti et al., 2008).

89 Xuan (2005) has simulated the emission inventory of trace elements in the dust source regions of East Asia. 90 However, there has not yet been a study to model the distribution of dust-associated elements on a global scale. 91 Global dust models usually assume a fixed fraction (e.g. normalized to Al) of each element in dust to simulate 92 global dust elemental transport and deposition. For example, Fe is thought to contribute 3.5% and P 0.075% to 93 mineral dust (by mass) (e.g. Luo et al., 2008; Mahowald et al., 2008). Besides spatial variations in elemental 94 compositions, particle size distribution forms another important determinant of elemental abundance in 95 deposited dust. Depending on the particle size distribution, trace elements may remain more or less suspended 96 in the atmosphere and deposited by dry or wet deposition at various distances from desert regions (Seinfeld 97 and Pandis, 1998). There have been very few studies investigating particle size distribution and elemental 98 concentrations in soil and dust by direct measurement (Schütz and Rahn, 1982; Reid et al., 2003; Castillo et al., 99 2008; Engelbrecht et al., 2009a,b), and even fewer modeling studies have included this. The ability to model 100 the deposition of specific elements associated with dust in global simulations has been hindered by a lack of 101 understanding of the spatial and temporal variability, as well as the particle size distribution associated with 102 different dust sources. As noted by Lawrence and Neff (2009), it seems most appropriate to use a globally 103 averaged value of dust composition to estimate the elemental flux from dust, given the lack of direct 104 measurements of the spatial distribution of elements in dust. However, the use of a global mineral map 105 (Claquin et al., 1999; Nickovic et al. 2012, 2013; Journet et al., 2014) and chemical compositions of minerals 106 (Journet et al., 2008) allows us to simulate global elemental inventories from mineral soils, which could be 107 used in a global dust model.

108 This study aims to introduce a technique to determine a size-fractionated global soil elemental emission 109 inventory based on two different datasets, a global soil dataset and a mineralogical dataset. A companion paper 110 evaluates the ability of the model to simulate mineralogy and the impact on radiation (Scanza et al., 2015). The elemental emission dataset estimated for Mg, P, Ca, Fe, Mn, K Al, and Si was used as an input to a model 111 112 simulation of the global dust cycle to present the elemental distributions, which were compared against 113 available observations of concentration and deposition to different ocean regions.. Our goal is to assess the 114 variability of elemental fractions in atmospheric and deposited dust, and to investigate whether the elemental 115 emission dataset can adequately predict this variability. This study focuses on desert dust particles, and thus 116 disregards other potentially important sources of the elements such as combustion processes (e.g. Guieu et al., 2005; Luo et al., 2008; Mahowald et al., 2008). We focus on total elemental concentrations, but discuss two 117 118 methodologies for soluble metal distributions from soil emissions. We also do not consider any atmospheric 119 processing, which is likely to be important for some chemical components (e.g. Mahowald et al., 2005; Baker 120 and Croot, 2010).

121

122 **2 Materials and Methods**

123 **2.1 Soil and mineral datasets**

124 The soil map of the world used in this study comes from the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of 125 the United Nations soils dataset, and includes 136 soil units [FAO-United Nations Educational, Scientific, and 126 Cultural Organization (FAO-UNESCO, 1995) at a 5-minute resolution. The global dataset of soil clay and silt 127 data are used in this study. Following Claquin et al. (1999) and Nickovic et al. (2012), the illite, hematite, 128 kaolinite, smectite, quartz, feldspars, calcite and gypsum contents are specified for different clay and silt soil 129 types, and the global mineral distribution is presented in Scanza et al (2015). Some minerals found in dust such 130 as dolomite were not considered by Claquin et al. (1999) and Nickovic et al. (2012) and have also been 131 disregarded in this study due to the lack of data on their distribution.

132 The elemental compositions of hematite and aluminosilicate minerals used in this study are taken from 133 previous works (Journet et al. (2008) and unpublished data provided by E. Journet, 2012) and were obtained by 134 X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) (Table 1a). Most of minerals used by Journet et al. (2008) are 135 reference materials from the Society's Source Clays Repository, i.e. hematite, illite, kaolinite, montmorillonite. 136 The elemental compositions obtained by XRF are in the range of published values for these reference materials (e.g. Mermut and Cano, 2001; Gold et al., 1983), validating the obtained composition for the unreferenced 137 138 materials. Moreover, the purity of all minerals samples is estimated by X-Ray diffraction. Note that the 139 mineralogical maps used in this study do not distinguish feldspar and smectite subtypes. For feldpars, the 140 elemental composition is mostly averaged based on 2 subtype minerals: orthoclase (potassic feldspar) and 141 oligoclase (sodium-calcium feldspar). For smectites, the montmorillonite subtype is the most commonly 142 identified smectite in desert dust, particularly for Saharan dust e.g. Goudie and Middleton, 2006). The 143 chemical composition of montmorillonite is used in this study as an analog for smectite. For calcite, gypsum, 144 and quartz, the natural minerals could contain substitutions or impurities from clays, which are 145 variable depending on origin, formation, contamination, etc. of minerals. Because regional silt samples were 146 not available for spectroscopy, we use the theoretical composition of elements in calcite, gypsum and quartz 147 (Table 1a). The mass fraction of Ca in calcite (CaCO₃) and gypsum (CaSO₄•2H₂O) are taken as 40% and 148 23.3%, respectively. A mass fraction of 46.7% Si is used for pure quartz (SiO₂).

Following the total element calculation, soluble elemental fractions are estimated based on soluble elemental contents of minerals at pH=2 reported by Journet et al (2008) for hematite and the aluminosilicates, and is listed in Table 1b. The fractional solubility of Ca in calcite and gypsum used is 7% and 0.56%, respectively, and that of Si in quartz was 0.0003% based on individual solubility product (K_{sp}) at pH=2 (Petrucci et al.,

and that of St in quality was obsolve based on marriadal bolaomity product (risp) at pri 2 (rondoor of an

153 2001). Here the mineral dependent method used to calculate soluble elements is defined as Method 1 (Sol-1).
154 To present uncertainties, another approach (Method 2, defined as Sol-2) is introduced as a reference. It is based

on the extractable elemental fractions of in-situ 20 µm sieved soil samples reported by Sillanpaa (1982) (Table

156 S1) and is combined with an FAO soil dataset to get a global soluble elemental inventory independent of soil

157 minerals. It is noted that there is no detailed size distribution for soil samples in Sol-2. Thus, the fractions of

soluble elements in clay and silt are assumed to be equal to that of the bulk soils themselves.

159 Table S1Averaged macronutrient contents (‰) of soils classified by FAO/Unesco soil units *

One drawback of our approach is that we disregard the large variability of soils included within each defined "soil type". The range of minerals within each soil type is large (e.g. Claquin et al., 1999), and the range of elemental concentrations in each mineral is also large (Journet et al., 2008). The resolution of our model is such that despite the actual heterogeneity of soils at a particular location, we prescribe an average at each gridbox which tends to reduce the variability in elemental composition in the mineral dust in the atmosphere. This is likely to be the largest source of uncertainty in our approach.

Table1 (a) Generalized mineral compositions (%) applied in this study ;(b) Elemental solubility as a percentage of
 the element contained in the minerals (%)

168Table 2 Emission rates (Tg/yr) and percentages of elements over desert regions (%)169

1702.2 Numerical Model description

Community Earth System Model version 1.0.3 (CESM1.0.3) is coordinated by the National Center for 171 172 Atmospheric Research (NCAR), and has been used to simulate elemental dust emission, transport and 173 deposition in this study. The bulk mineral aerosol in the Community Atmosphere Model version 4 (CAM4) 174 was adapted to include eight trace elements within total dust (Scanza et al., 2015). In this model simulation, the 175 physical scheme CAM4 is driven by the meteorological dataset MERRA, and is simulated spatially at 1.9×2.5 176 degree resolution for the years 2000-2010. The soil erodibility map used by the dust model has been spatially 177 tuned (Albani et al., 2014). There are four size classes of dust particles used in the dust emission module in the 178 bulk scheme with particle diameters of 0.1-1.0, 1.0-2.5, 2.5-5.0 and 5.0-10.0 µm. The sub-bin size distribution 179 is assumed to follow a log-normal distribution with a mass median diameter of 3.5 µm (Mahowald et al., 2006) 180 and a geometric standard deviation of 2.0 µm (Zender et al., 2003). Combining these log-normal parameters with the brittle fragmentation theory of dust emission (Kok, 2011) yields each bin's partitioning of dust aerosol 181 182 mass between the soil's clay and silt size fractions (see Table B3 and Scanza et al., 2015). The elements in the 183 dust undergo three-dimensional transport individually in each of the different size bins, identically to bulk dust 184 in the original model. Elemental atmospheric mixing ratios, and wet and dry deposition are updated at each model time step based on actual elemental fields and the corresponding tendencies. 185 186 There has been considerable work on improving advection algorithms in atmospheric models, and here we

187 use the finite volume advection algorithm as part of the CAM (Lin and Rood, 1997). While no advection 188 scheme is perfectly mass conserving, monotonic, shape preserving and computational efficient, this scheme 189 does a good job of balancing these multiple goals and maintaining strong gradients required in modeling 190 atmospheric constituents (e.g. Rasch et al., 2006). By splitting the dust into its different mineral elements, we 191 may add in additional numerical errors, because the advection will not conserve the fraction of elements within 192 dust aerosols due to small numerical errors. For the discussion of the ratios of elements, it would be better to 193 advect the minerals themselves, and evaluate the ratio of elements later, since this would better conserve the 194 ratios. Studies focused on elemental ratios and their distribution in ocean models have suggested there is a 195 relatively small uncertainties associated with these types of numerical errors (e.g. Christian, 2007), and 196 compared with the errors in the source distribution of the minerals, errors from advection are likely to be small

and are neglected here.

198 Table S2. The fraction of dust aerosol mass contributed by the soil clay and silt fractions for each of the 4 particle size

- 199 bins for the bulk scheme in CAM4.
- 200

2012.3 Observational data

- An element dataset of ground based aerosol measurements at 17 sites (Table B3) is used to evaluate the elemental dust simulation (Sun et al., 2004a,b;Wang et al., 2010;Chen et al., 2008; Engelbrecht et al., 2009; Carpenter et al., 2010; Cohen et al., 2011;Guo et al., 2014; Formenti et al., 2008; Desboeufs et al., 2010). The sites are close to major dust-producing regions (Figure 1), including 10 Asian sites (Central Asia: Hetian,
- Tazhong; East Asia: Yulin, Duolun, Shengshi; South Asia: Hanoi, and Marnila; Middle East: Balad, Baghdad,
- 207 Taji), 5 African sites (West Africa: Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (CVAO); East Africa: Eilat; North
- 208 Africa: Tamanrasset, Banizoumbou, and Douz), and 2 Australian sites (Muswellbrook, Richmond). Generally,
- these field aerosol samples (Total Suspended Particulates (TSP), PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}) have 1-3 day collection periods
- 210 during the period 2001-2010, and were chemically analyzed for elemental composition. No observational
- aerosol mass concentrations at the Cape Verde station could be used in this study. At this site, the particulate
- 212 matter (PM) concentrations are estimated by assuming an Al to total dust mass ratio of 0.0804. In order to be
- certain that only desert dust elements are compared with the model results, only data collected during dust
- storm seasons are selected. Measurement sites from which data are taken are listed in Table B3, which includes
- 215 related methodological details.
- 216 In addition, the dataset of dust deposition at more than 100 sites worldwide is used to evaluate modeled dust
- 217 deposition fluxes (Albani et al., 2014).
- 218 Fig.1. Observational sites (S1-Hetian, China; S2-Tazhong, China; S3-Yu Lin, China; S4-Duolun, China; S5-
- 219 Shengsi, China; S6-Hanoi, Vietnam; S7-Marnila, Philippines; S8- Balad, Iraq; S9-Balad, Iraq; S10-Taji, Iraq; S11-
- 220 Eilat; S12-Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (CVAO); S13-Muswellbrook, Australia; S14-Richmond, Australia;
- 221 S15-Tamanrasset, Algeria; S16-Banizoumbou, Niger; S17-Douz, Tunisia) and dust-producing regions (WAsia:
- 222 West Asia; NC-As: North Central Asia; CAsia: Central Asia; SC-As: South Central Asia; EAsia:East Asia; WN-
- Af:North West Africa; EN-Af: North East Africa; S-NAf: Southern North Africa; SAf: Southern Africa; MNWAm:
- 224 Middle North West America; SNWAm: Southern North West America; SAm1: Northern South America; SAm2:
- 225 Southern South America; WAus: West Australia; EAus: East Australia)

226 Table S3. Locations of 17 sampling sites

227 **3 Results and Discussion**

228 **3.1 Fractions of element in arid soil regions**

The global distributions of the elements Mg, P, Ca, Mn, Fe, K, Al, and Si in bulk soils as mass percentagesin soils are presented in Fig. 2.

231 3.1.1 Global mapping of soil associated elements

232 Fractions of elements in soils vary between mineralogical clay and silt fractions. Spatial variability of soil 233 chemistry is seen on a global scale (Fig.2). A large range of variability for some elements within one given 234 source region is observed (e.g. Ca, Fe, Mn, Al). The most extreme variability is observed for Ca in soil silt, which varied from 0.5 to 34.3%, and is much higher in West and Central Asia, South Africa and Northern 235 236 South America than in other parts in the world. This is ascribed to the presence of feldspar and gypsum, both 237 being important source minerals for Ca in these regions. In Central and East Asia, the Ca content increased 238 from east to west, showing a similar spatial trend to that reported by Xuan et al. (2005). A south to north 239 gradient of Ca content was also observed in the Sahara following the carbonate distribution of soils (Kandler et 240 al., 2007; Formenti et al., 2011). In southern North Africa, South Africa and the Western Australia, clay soil 241 and fine dust emissions have higher Al and P concentrations than elsewhere. In Eastern Australia, Patagonia, 242 and the northern South Africa, the Fe content of soils is also higher than in other regions. Due to their high 243 content of quartz, soils generally have 25-40% Si. These elemental distributions are in agreement with other 244 published data for Fe, as they are derived from similar regions (e.g. Claquin, 1999; Hand, 2004).

Fig.2 Global elemental distributions (in mass percentage) in a1: Clay Mg, a2: Clay P, a3: Clay Ca, a4: Clay Mn, a5: Clay
Fe, a6: Clay K, a7:, Clay Al, a8: Clay Si; b1: Silt Mg, b2: Silt P, b3: Silt Ca, b4: Silt Mn, b5: Silt Fe, b6: Silt K, b7: Silt Al,
b8: Silt Si.

248 3.1.2 Elemental composition of soils and airborne dust

249 Trace elements in soils show different associations with particle size patterns depending on the size 250 distribution of soil minerals. For example, Mg, P, Fe, Mn, and Al are dominant in the clay size fraction (< 2 251 μm) (Fig. 3b). Fractions of Al and Fe reach 11.7% and 3.1% in clay fractions of soils, while only 2.8% and 1.2% 252 in silt fractions of soils, respectively. However, Ca and Si show a slight enrichment in coarser soil fractions. Ca 253 comprises 2.6% of soils in the clay fraction but 3.6% in the soil silt fractions. This is consistent with the size 254 distribution of Ca and Fe-rich individual particle groupings measured in Saharan dust (Reid et al., 2003). K has nearly equal distributions in clay and silt fractions of soils. Taking the fractions of elements in soils as inputs, 255 256 the fractions of elements in dust emission can be predicted. Our classification of soil particles into four aerosol 257 sizes (Table B2) provides heterogeneity in elements across sizes, but allows for a mixing across soil sizes, 258 reducing the differences among size fractions. For example, the percentage of Fe remains unchanged from clay 259 soil to fine mode dust emission, but changes substantially from silt soil (1.2%) to coarse mode dust (2.2% in Bin 3). A similar pattern appeares for the other elements, and the differences between elemental percentages 260 261 in the soils are reduced when dust emissions are considered (Fig. 3a vs. 3b). 262 Fig.3 Global mean elemental percentages in (a) four-bin dust emission and (b) clay and silt fractions of soils (Bin1-4 refer to

particle range listed in Table S2, clay refer to < 2um, silt refer to > 2um)

264 3.1.3Elemental dust emissions over desert regions

Annual elemental dust emissions over 15 dust-producing regions (shown in Fig.1) are determined (Table 2).

266 The annual average of total global dust emission is estimated to be 1582 Tg based on 2001-2010 simulations, 267 and is within the wide range (514 to 5999 Tg/yr) as reported by previous studies (e.g. Textor et al., 2006, 268 2007; Prospero et al., 2010; Huneeus et al., 2011). Africa and Asia account for 68% and 31% of the global 269 emissions, respectively. Correspondingly, trace element emissions are dominant from African desert regions, 270 with percentages ranging between 65%-70%. Specifically, Al emission from Africa account for 70% of global 271 Al emissions, of which 64% originated from the Western Sahara. For Asian desert regions, elemental dust 272 account for 29-34% of the global total amount, with Ca being the strongest contributor (34%) to global Ca 273 emissions. The percentage of Fe is similar to Al in the total dust emissions with 67% and 32% of Fe from 274 Africa and Asia, respectively. The maximum % element for Ca at 5% was in dust emission from West Asia, 275 being more than 4 times higher than Southern North Africa (1.2%). However, the fraction of Al and Si is 276 largest in dust emission from Southern North Africa, with values of 9.0% and 31%, respectively. The fractions 277 of Fe and P are 2.8%, and 0.08% in Australia, which is higher than that in other source regions. The simulated 278 elemental fractions in dust suggest that differentiating elements in soils between global source areas is 279 necessary and meaningful.

280 Table 2 Emission rates (Tg/yr) and elemental composition of dust over desert regions (%)

281

3.2 Spatial and seasonal distribution in fractions of elements in atmospheric and deposited dust

284 3.2.1 Elemental fractions in global atmospheric dust and deposited dust

285 The modeled fractions of different elements in atmospheric dust have substantial spacial variability (Fig. 4). Fe 286 content is greater than 2% for most regions, with a global mean of 2.7% in atmospheric dust. The maximum 287 contributions of Fe, Al, P and Mn fractions are observed in the tropical Pacific region with values greater than 288 3%, 10%, 0.08%, and 0.02%, respectively. For Ca, Si and K, a higher fraction is evident in terrestrial 289 environments. There are obvious land-ocean gradients existing in the distributions of elemental fractions, with 290 higher Ca and Si fractions in terrestrial regions and higher P, Fe, and Al fractions in oceanic areas, likely due 291 to their differences in particle size distribution (Fig. 3). There are very similar spatial patterns and magnitudes 292 shown for the elemental fractions in deposited dust compared with those in atmospheric dust for each element 293 (Fig. S1, Fig. 5). Higher fractions of Ca and Si in deposited dust is observed in regions close to desert dust 294 sources where the two elements occur in the coarser size fractions. Conversely, lower Mg, P, Mn, Fe and Al 295 contents are found in dust deposits close to source regions but higher contents are found over oceans, which is 296 consistent with the clay soil fraction dominating the finer particle size fractions. The importance of relative 297 location of the source compared to the deposition to the elemental ratio adds complexity in applying simple 298 percentages to dust deposition to obtain elemental deposition amounts.

Fig.4 Percentages of elements in dust concentration (mass %) : a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca, d. Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si.
Elemental % shown here are calculated using the annual mean element concentration divided by the annual mean dust concentration.

- Fig.S1 Percentages of elements in deposited dust (%) :a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca, d. Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si. Elemental annual mean % are calculated using the annual mean emission of each element divided by the annual mean emission of
- 305 dust.
- Fig.5 Ratio of mass fractions of elements in dust deposition to that in atmospheric dust : a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca, d. Mn, e. Fe, f.
- K, g. Al, h. Si. Elemental ratios shown here are calculated using the annual mean element deposition divided by theannual mean dust deposition.
- 309

310 3.2.2 Seasonal variability of elemental fractions

311 As described above, the fractions of elements in dust fluctuate temporally and spatially on a global scale. There 312 are seasonal variations in dust emissions from various desert regions showing different emission patterns 313 (Fig.S2). The peak periods for dust emissions for various desert regions are consistent with those found by 314 Werner et al., (2002) (Figure S2). Combining the seasonal cycles in atmospheric dust production with the 315 element distributions in desert regions, the elemental fractions show large monthly variability but small inter-316 annual variability during 2001-2010 (Fig. A3). Ca and Al have clear seasonal cycles, with Ca having the 317 largest monthly variability with peak concentrations in the between July and September. This is ascribed to the 318 higher Ca content of dust originating in West Asia, Central Asia and Southern Africa, regions that provide 319 large global dust emissions in this period (JJAS).. For the other elements, the peak concentrations usually 320 occurred between March and May (MAM) or November through January (NDJ), corresponding to the periods 321 when global dust emissions reach a maximum.

We modeled the seasonal variability of these elemental fractions. Elemental percentages are calculated using the climatological monthly mean emission of each element divided by the climatological monthly mean

emission of dust. An index describing monthly variability is calculated by:

325

Monthly variability (%) =
$$\frac{SD \ of \ mean \ fraction_{month}}{Mean \ fraction_{month}} \times 100$$
 (Eq. 1)

326

327 Twelve monthly mean fractions are averaged from the ten year simulation, with the corresponding standard328 deviations (SDs).. Finally, the percentages (Eq.1) of the standard deviation in the monthly means is derived to

- describe the variability in elemental fractions of atmospheric dust and deposited dust (Fig. 6 and 7).
- 330 The monthly mean variation is greatest for Ca, reaching more than 30% variability in some regions. The
- temporal variability of elemental percentages in deposited dust tended to be larger than those in atmospheric
- dust and show a greater spatial gradient from land to sea. That is similar to the trend of the elemental fractions
- in atmospheric and deposited dust (section 3.2.1) since the temporal variation is originally induced by the

spatially variable elemental fraction. In the South Indian Ocean and the South Atlantic Ocean, the monthly

- variability is even higher and is attributed to the combined effect of variability in dust emissions,
- spatial ., elemental concentration, and dust transport patterns.
- 337

Fig.S2 Monthly dust emission (kg/m²/s) over 15 dust-producing regions (WAsia: West Asia; NC-As:North Central Asia;
 CAsia:Central Asia; SC-As: South Central Asia; EAsia:East Asia; WN-Af:North West Africa; EN-Af: North East Africa;
 S-NAf: Southern North Africa; SAf: Southern Africa; MWNAm: Middle North West America; SWNAm: Southern North
 West America; SAm1: Northern South America; SAm2: Southern South America; WAus: West Australia; EAus: East
 Australia)

Fig.S3 Seasonal cycle of global mean elemental percentages (%) in atmospheric dust from 2001 to 2010. Elemental % are
 calculated using the climatological monthly mean emission of each element divided by the climatological monthly mean
 emission of dust.

346

Fig.6 Ten-year monthly variability in mean of elemental percentages in atmospheric dust (mass %) : a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca, d.
Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si. Elemental monthly mean % are calculated using the monthly mean emission of each element
divided by the monthly mean emission of dust.

Fig.7 Ten-year monthly variability in mean of elemental percentages in dust deposition (mass %):a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca, d.
Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si. Elemental monthly mean % are calculated using the monthly mean emission of each element divided by the monthly mean emission of dust.

354 **3.3 Spatial Ca/Al distribution in soils and dust plumes**

355 Of specific interest is the Ca/Al ratio in soil, atmospheric dust and deposited dust as this ratio may be 356 indicative of specific source regions (Fig. 8). Of all considered ratios, the Ca/Al ratio in soils show the greatest variability in relation to the relevant desert region (e.g. Formenti et al. (2011)). The Ca/Al ratio ranges mainly 357 358 between 0.1-1 in clay fractions of soils and 0.5-5.0 in silt fractions of soils (Fig. 8a,b). The maximum Ca/Al 359 ratios reaches 160 times the global mean Ca/Al ratio of 1.96 in the silt fraction of soils (Fig. 8b), much higher 360 than those of other ratios such as Fe, K, and Mn to Al. Asian desert soils have higher Ca/Al ratios, with values 361 greater than 5 in West Asia and Central Asia. The Ca/Al ratio in dust emissions from Central Asia (1.0-1.6) are 362 higher than in East Asia (~0.5), which is close to Ca/Al ratios (1.0-1.7) derived from source profiles of Asian 363 dust (Zhang et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2003), and also match the observed Ca/Al ratios (0.7-1.3) during Asian 364 dust events (Sun et al., 2004a,b; Shen et al., 2007). In addition, the Ca/Al ratio in dust emissions in North Africa are below 0.5, confirming the application of the Ca/Al ratio of 0.3 (or 3.8 with Al/Ca) as an indicator of 365 North African dust transport to the eastern United States (Perry et al., 1997). Ambient PM_{2.5} dust measured on 366 367 the Canary Islands suggests a different ratio (Ca/Al = 1.004) (Engelbrecht et al., 2014). However, this ratio could be larger for PM₁₀ or TSP. The high Ca/Al ratio (4.0-10.0) in a range of desert soils in some regions 368 369 including South Africa, yields a Ca/Al ratios in dust emissions of 1.0, being much larger than those from North 370 Africa. The modeled spatial pattern of Ca/Al ratio in dust emissions from Asia and northwest Africa is consistent with the currently available dust pattern compiled by Formenti et al. (2011), but shows relatively 371 372 lower values for the Central Asian desert region. 373 Despite experiencing mixing of airborne dust from various source regions and as a result of dust processing

- during transport, the Ca/Al ratios still show spatial variations in global atmospheric dust and deposited dust.
- 375 Relative to the Ca/Al ratio in source regions (Fig. 8a,b), the Ca/Al ratio in atmospheric dust over most of

- terrestrial Asia ranges between 0.5-0.8, with a maximum of 1.8. This is due to the spatial variability of Ca/Al
- 377 ratio in dust emissions (Fig. 9a) and despite the preferential gravitational settling during transport of silt
- 378 fraction which represents the highest Ca/Al variability. The variability in Ca/Al ratio in dust deposited into
- oceans and onto ice sheets are also shown in Fig. 9b. Near West Asia and Western Sahara, higher Ca/Al ratios
- are noted and the North Indian ocean and Mediterranean sea have a Ca/Al ratio above 0.65 in deposited dust.
- 381 As the combined downwind region of central Asia and East Asia, the North Pacific has a Ca/Al ratio around
- 382 0.5. The Ca/Al ratio in dust deposited over the Atlantic ranges between 0.3-0.4 due to the influence of southern
- 383 North Africa desert region and East Sahara desert both with low ratios of Ca/Al. Since the soil dataset has a
- high spatial resolution of 5 arc minutes (Fig. 8a,b), there is opportunity to increase the model grid resolution
- 385 $(1.9 \times 2.5^{\circ} \text{ in this study})$ to a finer resolution. It is expected that Ca/Al ratio will show more spatial
- 386 heterogeneity when a finer model resolution is used. We conclude that the Ca/Al ratio can be used to identify
- different source areas and the model can be used to support the observations.
- 388

Fig.8 Ca/Al in Soil and ten year averaged Ca/Al ratio in dust emission, concentration and deposition. Top two (a,b) refer to ratio in clay and silt desert soil, middle one (c) refer to ratio in dust emission, and bottom two (d,e) refer to ratio in dust concentration and deposition. Elemental annual mean % are calculated using the annual mean emission of each element divided by the annual mean emission of dust.

Fig.9 Ten year averaged Ca/Al ratio in (a) dust emission of source regions and (b) dust deposition into various ocean

basins and glaciers. Elemental ratios are calculated using the annual mean emission of Ca divided by the annual mean
 emission of Al.

396 3.4 Model evaluation with observational data

397 The averaged modeled fractions of elements in atmospheric dust at each site for the periods for which 398 observations are available are comparable with observations for most of the sites (Fig. 10a,b). It is clear most 399 scatter values of model and observations are in the range of 2:1 and 1:2 line for most elements in TSP 400 except for Mg, Mn and Si. It shows the emission inventories based on mineralogy and elemental 401 compositions are generally consistent with the available data. A large variability in the percentage of different 402 elements is observed at the 17 observational sites for most elements, especially for Ca (Fig. 10). The fraction 403 of Fe in the fine mode particle ($PM_{2.5}$) is closer to the observational data than the TSP Fe fraction, implying that 404 Fe in the clay soils is more accurate than that for silt. Since there are only a few reported observations of Si, 405 this element is particularly difficult to verify. Based on averaged elemental fractions in TSP at 13 sites, the correlation coefficients (R) between modeled and observed fractions range widely (Table 3). Ca and Al had the 406 407 highest correlations (0.75 and 0.72, respectively). However, the correlation coefficients for P, Mn and K were negative. For Fe, if we neglect the 3 sites in North Africa, the correlation coefficient increases from 0.29 to 408 409 0.50; in this area, the observational Fe fractions in TSP are high whereas the modeled ones are low (Fig. 410 10.a,5). The modeled elemental fractions in TSP are close to the observed data, with most ratios ranging 411 between 0.7 and 1.6 (Table3).

For this comparison (above), we calculate the elemental fractions and average the fractions temporally for each 412 413 site and compare to observations, but alternatively, we could average the elemental concentrations and divide 414 by the elemental dust concentrations instead, and this will make a difference in our interpretations. For 415 example, taking site 2-Tazhong, the averaged fraction is 3.5% when we calculate the fractions of iron firstly 416 and average those temporally. However, when we calculate the averaged iron mass and dust mass separately, their ratio is 2.3%. For site3-Yulin, the ratio is 3.6% and 3.1% for the first method and second method, 417 418 respectively. This difference maybe due to dust storm events. For this comparison, we use the first method, as 419 we think it is more suitable for our goal of simulating the percentage of each element correctly.

420 The averaged fractions of Mg and Mn in dust are underestimated by the model at all observational sites. It 421 should be noted that there are some uncertainities when comparing elemental fractions. When the elemental 422 concentration is divided by particle mass concentration to obtain the elemental fraction, the errors are 423 amplified due to error propagation associated with the combination of the error on the particle mass and that of 424 the element concentrations. Even though the available observational data are chosen from source sites or dust 425 events in non-source regions, the contribution from other sources could be important, especially for fine mode 426 particles. The modeled fraction of Mn and Al in fine particles show a larger inconsistency than that those in 427 TSP when compared with observations. Some of the discrepancies may be because the model only includes 428 particles up to 10 µm in diameter, while the observations include larger particle fractions in TSPs. In South 429 Asia, the elemental fractions in dust with the exception of Mn, are always much lower than at another sites, 430 perhaps due to anthropogenic contributions to elemental particlute matter concentrations. In particular, many

431 metals in insoluble forms in dust particles could be from other sources such as the refractories and steel

432 industries, construction, biomass burning or volcanic emissions (Castillo et al., 2008;Gaudichet et al., 1995; 433 Hinkley et al., 1999; Paris et al., 2010).

434 The daily elemental fractions across all times and sites where there is data show that while the mean of the 435 model was similar to the mean of the observations, there are some systematic differences (Figure 11a,b). The 436 modeled elemental fractions are not as variable as the observations. This could be due to several issues. First 437 there is a greater variability in the soil mineralogy and elemental composition of minerals than those included 438 in the model (we only include the average values). Secondly, the dust model could introduce systematic errors 439 (through advection, although this is likely to be small, as discussed in the methods section 2.1), or there could 440 be some unaccounted anthropogenic particulate sources, modifying the dust aerosol. Also inconsistencies in 441 the collection methods and differences in aerosol sampling periods and times could yield the observed

442 variations in elements as concluded by Lawrence and Neff (2009).

443 However, the ranges of the modeled fractions of P, Ca, Fe, K and Al are close to the dominant range of the

observational fractions (Fig. 11a,b). The fractions of elements in dust measured are reported to be 0.5%-2.3% for Mg, 0.065-0.2% for P, 1.0-10.2% for Ca, 0.028%-0.124% for Mn, 1.3%-7.8% for Fe, 1.2%-4.6% for K, 445

446 3.7-12.7% for Al, and 22.4%-35.7% for Si (Wilke et al., 1984; Reheis and Kihl, 1995; Stoorvogel et al., 1997;

447 Zhang et al., 1998; Yadav and Rajamani, 2004; Goudie and Middleton, 2006; Moreno et al., 2006; Jeong, 2008;

448 Lawrence and Neff, 2009; Formenti et al., 2008; Desboeufs et al., 2010). The modeled elemental fraction in

449 dust for P, Ca, Fe, K, Al and Si were similar to observations. However, the modeled fractions of Mg and Mn

450 are lower (3.4 times and 3.5 times, respectively (Table 3)) than the observed ones for samples used in this

451 study or of the above cited results. Underestimation of Mg and Mn could be due to a deficiency of minerals

contaning high concentrations of Mg and Mn in our model, as dolomite (MgCO3) or palygorskyte 452

453 ((Mg,Al)₂Si₄O₁₀(OH)·4(H2O)) are often identified in dust particles for Mg (e.g. Diaz-Hernandes et al., 2011;

455 according to the regional origin of minerals and possible impurities. For example, the Mg content in calcite

Kalderon et al., 2009). Moreover, it is known that the chemical composition of minerals could be variable

ranges from 0% to 2.7% in the natural environment (Titschack et al., 2011). But in this study, the assumed 456

457 fraction of Mg in calcite is zero because we took calcite as a pure mineral (see Table 1). So the 458 underestimation of Mg in dust could be a propagation of errors in previous compositions in minerals

459 considered in this study.

460

454

444

461 Fig.10 Comparison of observed and modeled mean fractions of elements at each site for total suspended particulates (TSP). (1-Hetian, China; 2-Tazhong, China; 3-Yu Lin, China; 4-Duolun, China; 5-Shengsi, China; 6-Hanoi, Vietnam; 7-Marnila, 462 Philippines; 8- Balad, Iraq; 9-Baghdad, Iraq; 10-Taji,Iraq; 11-Eilat; 12-Cape Verde Island; 13-Muswellbrook, Australia; 463 464 14-Richmond, Australia, 15-Tamanrasset, Algeria; 16-Banizoumbou, Niger; 17-Douz, Tunisia). Here we calculate the 465 elemental fractions and average the fractions temporally for each site and compare to observations. 466

Fig.11 Mean and quartile modeled and observational fractions of elements in (a) TSP and (b) PM_{2.5} for all sites
 together, the box line presents 25%, 50% and 75%, individually. Here we calculate the elemental fractions and
 average the fractions temporally for each site and compare to observations.

- 471
- 472
- 473

474 Table 3 Comparison of modeled and observed fractions of elements in TSP and tuning ratio based on 14-site 475 measurements 476

- For reference we show the comparison of the modeled dust deposition versus observed deposition (Fig. 12).
 The modeled dust deposition flux agrees well with observations. The correlation coefficient between modeled
 and observed dust deposition is 0.86. The median of model to observation ratio is 1.15. Overall the model has
 been tuned to represent dust deposition, concentration and Aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) (Albani, et al., 2014),
- 481 however the model has difficulty matching both deposition and concentration observations, similar to other
- 482 models (Huneeus et al., 2011), suggesting more work on dust emission, transport and deposition processes is
 483 needed.
- Fig.12 (a) Observational and (b) modeled dust deposition (g/m³/year). The scale is the same for both panels. (c) A scatter plot shows the comparison between the model and observations. The correlation coefficient between observations and model results reach 0.86.

487 **3.5 Deposition of total and soluble dust elements over the ocean, land and ice sheets**

- 488 Comparisons between observations and the model simulations presented here suggest some bias in the model 489 results (Figure 11, Table 3); subsequently the model deposition values are adjusted to better match observed 490 measurements by the tuning ratios (Table 3; Figure 13).. Of course, improving our elemental estimates in the 491 source region would be preferred in future studies. From the observations, we have found a wide range in 492 fractions of elements at individual sites and at the sites together; the ratio of the maximum and minimum in 493 measured fractions could reach more than 700 for element K, and more than 200 for Ca and Mn. Because of 494 the limited observations, we use a global tuning factor, based on the median elemental percentage, and contrast 495 this result with our default modeling approach (Table 3). It is noted that both the median of observed (3.10 %) 496 and modeled (2.9 %) Fe was lower than 3.5%, which was thought to be the fraction of Fe in dust (e.g. Luo et
- 497 al., 2008; Mahowald et al., 2008).
- This study suggests significant variability in the elemental fractions in dust deposition (Figure 13, Table 4), and showed that the assumption that the fixed composition of dust being deposited over oceans is unlikely to be correct. Consistent with Mahowald et al. (2008), most dust deposition occurred downwind of dust generating regions bordering the North Atlantic, North Pacific and North Indian Ocean. The Greenland ice sheet accounted for the dominant part of elemental deposition to ice sheets regions, which is equal to the total
- amount of elements deposited in the whole of the South Atlantic Ocean. Fe and P are key elements in the
- marine ecosystem, with 6.3 Tg Fe and 184 Gg P added annually to all oceans and ice sheets (Table 5).
- 505 Table 4 Fractions (%) of elements in dust deposition into different ocean basins and ice sheets*

506 Also, the amounts of soluble dust element deposition are determined over different regions (see Section 2.1) 507 (Figure 14). No atmospheric processing of natural dust or other sources of particles (e.g. anthropogenic sources) 508 is included in this simulation. To better understand the uncertainties of soluble element deposition, estimates 509 from two methods are used (Section 2.1) in simulating soluble elemental emission, transport and deposition. 510 Fractional solubility of elements could not be estimated due to the lack of total element data from Method 2 511 (Sillanpaa (1982)). Spatial variations in fractional solubility of elements are identified by Sol-1 (mineral 512 method) (Fig.14). Fractional solubility of Ca increases with distance from source regions because its solubility 513 is higher in clay than in silt (Table 1b). Fractional solubility of modeled P in deposition ranges from 5% to 514 15%, with Saharan and Australian dust sources having solubilities averaging $\sim 10\%$, consistent with Baker et al. 515 (2006a;2006b). Previous observations suggest a fractional solubility for P of 7-100% [e.g., Graham and Duce, 516 1982; Chen et al., 1985; Bergametti et al., 1992; Herut et al., 1999, 2002; Ridame and Guieu, 2002]. Fractional 517 solubility of Fe is 0.8%-1.2% in regions (Fig.14) where clay minerals such as illite play an important role 518 (Journet et al., 2008) with a mean value of 1.17% of fractional Fe solubility (Table 1b). There is an obvious 519 North-South gradient in the distribution of fractional solubility for Fe and Al, but with opposing magnitude 520 (Fig.14). The fractional solubility could not be calculated using Sol-2 (Sillanpaa method) since total elemental 521 fractions in soil were not reported in Sillanpaa (1982). Thus, the proportions of soluble Fe and K in total dust 522 using two methods are compared with each other. This shows similar distribution patterns but the values are 523 different (Fig. 15). The mineral method resulted in lower soluble Ca deposition and higher soluble Mg, P, Mn 524 (Fig. 15). Our results suggest significant differences in the spatial distribution of solubility depending on which 525 dataset is used to estimate soil solubility of elements. It should be noted that the solubility measurements by 526 Sillanpaa (1982) were performed at different pH values (pH of 7 vs. 2) and media of extraction (acidified 527 ultrapure waters vs. organic ligand solutions). It is known that pH and organic complexation greatly influence 528 the fractional solubility, at least for Fe (e.g. Paris et al., 2011). Thus, that would explain the differences in 529 elemental solubility that we computed for the dust. The soluble elemental deposition over ocean basins and ice 530 sheets are determined using two methods and are listed in Table 5. Annual inputs of soluble Mg, P, Ca, Mn, Fe and K from mineral dust using method Sol-1 (Sol-2) were 0.28 (0.30) Tg, 16.89 (7.52) Gg, 1.32 (3.35) Tg, 531 22.84 (6.95) Gg, 0.068 (0.06) Tg, and 0.15 (0.25) Tg to oceans and ice sheets. 532

- Fig.13 Percentages of elements in dust deposition (%) after tuning. It is tuned based on original percentages of elements in
 dust deposition in Fig. S1 by ratioing Obs./Mod. ratios listed in Table 3. Si did not change because there are not enough
 observational data available
- Fig. 14 Fractional solubility of elements (soluble element / total element) in dust deposition (%):a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca, d. Mn, e.
 Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si
- 538 Fig. 15 Percentages of soluble elements in total dust deposition using(a) Sol-1 & (b) Sol-2 (‰), Sol-1 refer to mineral method
- after tuning, Sol-2 refer to Sillanpaa method described in the methods section (2).
- 540 Table 4 Deposition of dust elements into different ocean basins and glaciers

541 **4 Summary and Conclusions**

542 A new technique combining soil and mineralogical datasets is introduced to estimate the global emission 543 inventory of soil associated elements Mg, P, Ca, Mn, Fe, K, Al, and Si. The spatial elemental dust emissions, transport and deposition are simulated using CESM from 2001-2010. Spatial variability of soil element 544 545 fractions is characterized globally (Fig 2), and showes that the use of a constant element fraction in dust across 546 the globe is not consistent with existing observational data for Ca and Al (Fig 10 and 11). There are few 547 observations for elemental distributions in source regions to verify these emission, concentration and 548 deposition simulations, but for some elements (Ca and Al), the soil elemental distribution combined with the 549 transported dust flux in the model better captures the percentage of chemical elements in dust concentrations 550 observed (Figure 10, 11). However, both Mg and Mn levels are underestimated by the model using the present 551 mineral maps. The correlation of the percentage of elements at different sites is not statistically significant for 552 several elements (Mg, Mn, P and K), suggesting that improvements in the soil inventories or simulations is 553 required, although these results could also be due to low numbers of observations. The observations and model 554 results suggest the elemental fractions in dust varied globally and between different dust production regions, 555 especially for Ca with values from 1% to 30%. The ratio of Ca/Al, ranged between 0.1-5.0, and is confirmed as an indicator of dust source regions (Zhang et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 2003;Sun et al., 2004a,b; Shen et al., 556 2007). For Fe in TSP, the median of modeled fraction is 2.90%, less than the commonly assumed 3.5% Fe 557 used in dust models (e.g. Luo et al., 2008; Mahowald et al., 2008). 558 559 Seasonal variability of emission, concentration and deposition of most elements are simulated in the model. 560 Also, different soluble elemental datasets show that the fractional solubility of elements varies spatially.

Also, different soluble elemental datasets show that the fractional solubility of elements varies spatially. Mineral dust element deposition fluxes into ocean basins are updated using a variable fractional elemental inventory and could have potentially important impacts on evaluating their biogeochemical effects. This study shows that soil emission inventories do a fairly good job at predicting dust elemental concentrations during dust events, except for Mg and Mn. However, the high spatial heterogeneity in elemental distributions is not captured in the model. Several sources of uncertainties exist in the model projections, the largest of which is likely to be the assumptions in the soil mappings from soil types to minerals to elemental distributions. In the future, these dust emission inventories can be combined with anthropogenic elemental inventories to further

improve our understanding of elemental deposition to the oceans.

569 Acknowledgements

570 We would like to thank the US Department of Defense (DOD) for sharing chemical data from their Enhanced

- 571 Particulate Matter Surveillance Program (EPMSP), and anonymous reviewers for helpful comments. We
- acknowledge the support of NSF grant 0932946 and1137716 and DOE-SC0006735. Simulations were
- 573 conducted on the NSF National Center for Atmospheric Research's supercomputers.

575 **Reference**

- Albani, S., Mahowald, N. M., Perry, A. T., Scanza, R. A., Zender, C. S., Heavens, N. G., Maggi, V., Kok, J. F.,
 and Otto-Bliesner, B. L.: Improved dust representation in the Community Atmosphere Model, J. Adv. Model. Earth
 Syst., 6, 541–570, doi:10.1002/2013MS000279, 2014.
- Astitha, M., Lelieveld, J., Abdel Kader, M., Pozzer, A., and de Meij, A.: Parameterization of dust emissions in the
 global atmospheric chemistry-climate model EMAC: impact of nudging and soil properties, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12,
 11057–11083, doi:10.5194/acp-12-11057-2012, 2012.
- Baker, A. R., Kelly, S. D., Biswas, K. F., Witt, M., and Jickells, T. D.: Atmospheric deposition of nutrients to the
 Atlantic Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 2296, doi:10.1029/2003GL018518, 2003.
- Baker, A. R., French, M., and Linge, K. L.: Trends in aerosol nutrient solubility along a west-east transect of the
 Saharan dust plume, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L07805, doi:10.1029/2005GL024764, 2006a.
- Baker, A. R., Jickells, T. D., Witt, M., and Linge, K. L.: Trends in the solubility of iron, aluminum, manganese and
 phosphorus collected over the Atlantic Ocean, Mar. Chem., 98, 43–58, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2005.06.004, 2006b.
- Baker, A. R. and Croot, P. L.: Atmospheric and marine controls on aerosol iron solubility in seawater, Mar. Chem.,
 120, 4–13, 2010.
- 590 Bergametti, G., Gomes, L., Coudé-Gaussen, G., Rognon, P. and Le Coustumer, M.: African dust observed over 591 Canary Islands: Source-regions identification and transport pattern for some summer situations, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 592 12, 14855–14864, doi:10.1029/JD094iD12p14855.1989.
- Bergametti, G., Remoudaki, E., Losno, R., Steiner, E., Chatenet, B., and Buat-Menard, P.: Source, transport and
 deposition of atmospheric phosphorus over the northwestern Mediterranean, J. Atmos. Chem., 14, 501–513,
 doi:10.1007/BF00115254, 1992.
- Boyd, P., Wong, C., Merril, J., Whitney, F., Snow, J., Harrison, P., and Gower, J.: Atmospheric iron supply and
 enhanced vertical carbon flux in the NE subsartic Pacific: is there a connection? Global Biogeochem. Cy., 12, 429–
 441, 1998.
- Buck, C., Landing, W. M., Resing, J. A., and Lebon, G.: Aerosol iron and alumninum solubility in the northwest
 Pacific Ocean: results from the 2002 IOC Cruise, Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 7, Q04M07,
 doi:10.1029/2005GC000977, 2006.
- Capone, D. G., Zehr, J. P., Paerl, H. W., Bergman, B., and Carpenter, E. J.: Trichodesmium, a globally significant
 marine cyanobacterium, Science, 276, 1221–1229, 1997.
- Carpenter, L. J., Fleming, Z. L., Read, K. A., Lee, J. D., Moller, S. J., Hopkins, J. R., Purvis, R. M., Lewis, A. C.,
 Müller, K., Heinold, B., Herrmann ,H., Fomba, K. W., Pinxteren, D. v., Müller, C., Tegen, I., Wiedensohler, A.,
- Müller, T., N. Niedermeier, Achterberg, E. P., Patey, M. D., Kozlova, E. A., Heimann, M., Heard, D. E., Plane, J. M.
- 607 C., Mahajan, A., Oetjen, H., Ingham, T., Stone, D., Whalley, L. K., Evans, M. J., Pilling, M. J., Leigh, R. J., Monks,
- 608 P. S., Karunaharan, A., Vaughan, S., Arnold, S. R., Tschritter, J., Pöhler, D., Frieß, U., Holla, R., Mendes, L. M.,
- 609 Lopez, H., Faria, B., Manning, A. J., and Wallace, D. W. R.: Seasonal characteristics of tropical marine boundary
- 610 layer air measured at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory, J. Atmos. Chem., 67, 87–140, doi:10.1007/s10874-
- **611** 011-9206-1, 2010.
- Castillo, S., Moreno, T., Querol, X., Alastuey, A., Cuevas, E., Herrmann, L., Monkaila, M., and Gibbons, W.: Trace
 element variation in size-fractionated African desert dusts, J. Arid Environ. 72, 1034–1045, 2008.
- 614 Chen, L., Arimoto R., and Duce R. A.: The sources and forms of phosphorus in marine aerosol particles and rain
 615 from Northern New Zealand, Atmos. Environ., 19, 779–787, 1985.

- Chen, H.-Y., Fang, T.-H., Preston, M., and Lin, S.: Characterization of phosphorus in the aerosol of a coastal
 atmosphere: using as equential extraction method, Atmos. Environ., 40, 279–289,
 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.09.051, 2006.
- Chen, Y. and Siefert, R.: Sesaonal and spatial distributions and dry deposition fluxes of atmospheric total and labile
 iron over the tropical and subtropical North Atlantic Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D09305,
 doi:10.1029/2003JD003958, 2004.
- 622 Chen, Y., Paytan, A., Chase, Z., Measures, C., Beck, A. J., Sañudo-Wilhelmy, S. A., and Post, A. F.: Sources and
 623 fluxes of atmospheric trace elements to the Gulf of Aqaba, Red Sea, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D05306,
 624 doi:10.1029/2007JD009110, 2008.
- 625 Claquin, T., Schulz, M., and Balkanski, Y. J.: Modeling the mineralogy of atmospheric dust sources, J. Geophys.
 626 Res., 104, 22243–22256, 1999.
- 627 Cohen, D. D., Stelcer, E., Hawas, O., and Garton, D.: IBA methods for characterisation of fine particulate
 628 atmospheric pollution: a local, regional and global research problem, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. B, 219, 145–152, 2004.
- Cohen, D. D., Stelcer, E., Garton, D., and Crawford, J.: Fine particle characterization, source apportionment and
 long range dust transport into the Sydney Basin: a long term study between
- 631 1998 and 2009, Atmospheric Pollution Research, 2, 182–189, 2011.
- Desboeufs, K., Journet, E., Rajot, J.-L., Chevaillier, S., Triquet, S., Formenti, P., and Zakou, A.: Chemistry of rain
 events inWest Africa: evidence of dust and biogenic influence in convective systems, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10,
 9283–9293, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9283-2010, 2010.
- Duce, R. A. and Tindale, N. W.: Atmospheric transport of iron and its deposition in the ocean, Limnol. Oceanogr.,
 36, 1715–1726, 1991.
- 637 Christian, J.R. Advection in plankton models with variable elemental ratios. Ocean Dynamics, 57(1), 63-71.
 638 doi:10.1007/s10236-006-0097-7, 2007.
- Duce, R. A., Liss, P. S., Merrill, J. T., Atlas, E. L., Buat-Me ard, P., Hicks, B. B., Miller, J. M., Prospero, J. M.,
 Arimoto, R., Church, T. M., Ellis, W., Galloway, J. N., Hansen, L., Jickels, T. D., Knap, A. H., Reinhardt, K. H.,
 Schneider, B., Soudine, A., Tokos, J. J., Tsunogai, S., Wollast, R., and Zhou, M.: The atmospheric input of trace
- species to the world ocean, Global Biogeo chem. Cy., 5, 193–259, 1991.
- Engelbrecht, J., McDonald, E., Gillies, J., Jayanty, R. K. M., Casuccio, G., and Gertler, A. W.: Characterizing
 mineral dusts and other aerosols from the Middle East Part 1: Ambient sampling, Inhal. Toxicol., 21, 297–326,
 2009.
- Engelbrecht, J. P., Menendez, I., and Derbyshire, E.: Sources of PM2.5 impacting on Grans Canaria, Spain, Catena,
 117, 119–132, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2013.06.017, 2014.
- 648 FAO-Unesco: Soil Map of the World, Southeast Asia, 1976, Sheet IX, Edition I, 1976.
- 649 Formenti, P., Rajot, J. L., Desboeufs, K., Caquineau, S., Chevaillier, S., Nava, S., Gaudichet, A., Journet, E., Triquet,
- 650 S., Alfaro, S., Chiari, M., Haywood, J., Coe, H., and Highwood, E.: Regional variability of the composition of
- 651 mineral dust from western Africa: results from the AMMA SOP0/DABEX and DODO field campaigns, J. Geophys.
- 652 Res., 113, D00C13,
- 653 doi:10.1029/2008JD009903, 2008.
- 654 Formenti, P., Schütz, L., Balkanski, Y., Desboeufs, K., Ebert, M., Kandler, K., Petzold, A., Scheuvens, D.,
- Weinbruch, S., and Zhang, D.: Recent progress in understanding physical and chemical properties of African and Asian mineral dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8231–8256, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8231-2011, 2011.

- Fung, I., Meyn, S. K., Tegen, I., Doney, S., John, J., and Bishop, J.: Iron supply and demand in the upper ocean,
 Global Biogeochem. Cy., 14, 281–295, 2000.
- 659 Gaudichet, A., Echalar, F., Chatenet, B., Quisefit, J. P., Malingre, G., Cachier, H., Buatmenard, P., Artaxo, P., and
- 660 Maenhaut, W.: Trace elements in tropical African savanna biomass burning aerosols, J. Atmos. Chem., 22, 19–39,
- 661 1995.
- Gold, C. M., Cavell, P. A., and Smith, D. G. W.: Clay minerals in mixtures: sample preparation, analysis, and
 statistical interpretation, Clay. Clay Miner., 3, 191–199, 1983.
- 664 Goudie, A. S. and Middleton, N. J.: Desert Dust in the Global System, Springer, Berlin, 2006.
- Graham, W. F. and Duce, R. A.: The atmospheric transport of phosphorus to the western North Atlantic, Atmos.
 Environ., 16, 1089–1097, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(82)90198-6, 1982.
- 667 Guieu, C., Bonnet, S., Wagener, T., and Loye-Pilot, M.-D.: Biomass burning as a source of dissolved iron to the 668 open ocean?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 22, L19608, doi:10.1029/2005GL022962, 2005.
- Guo, L., Chen, Y., Wang, F. J., Meng, X., Xu, Z. F., and Zhuang, G.: E_ects of Asian dust on the atmospheric input of trace elements to the East China Sea, Mar. Chem., 163, 19–27, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2014.04.003, 2014.
- Hand, J. L., Mahowald, N. M., Chen, Y., Siefert, R. L., Luo, C., Subramaniam, A., and Fung, I.: Estimates of
 atmospheric-processed soluble iron from observations and a global mineral aerosol model: Biogeochemical
 implications, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D17205, doi:10.1029/2004JD004574, 2004.
- Herut, B., Krom, M., Pan, G., and Mortimer, R.: Atmospheric input of nitrogen and phosphorus to the southeast
 Mediterranean: sources, fluxes and possible impact, Limnol. Oceanogr., 44, 1683–1692, 1999.
- Herut, B., Collier, R., and Krom, M.: The role of dust in supplying nitrogen and phosphorus to the southeast
 Mediterranean, Limnol. Oceanogr., 47, 870–878, 2002.
- Herut, B., Zohary, T., Krom, M. D., Mantoura, R. F. C., Pitta, V., Psarra, S., Rassoulzadegan, F., Tanaka, T., and
- Thingstad, F. T.: Response of east Mediterranean surface water to Saharan dust: on-board microcosm experiment
 and field observations, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 52, 3024–3040, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2005.09.003, 2005.
- Hinkley, T. K., Lamothe, P. J., Wilson, S. A., Finnegan, D. L., and Gerlach, T. M.: Metal emissions from Kilauea,
 and a suggested revision of the estimated worldwide metal output by quiescent degassing of volcanoes, Earth Planet.
 Sc. Lett., 170, 315–325, 1999.
- Huneeus, N., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Griesfeller, J., Prospero, J., Kinne, S., Bauer, S., Boucher, O., Chin, M.,
- Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Grini, A., Horowitz, L., Koch, D., Krol, M. C.,
 Landing, W., Liu, X., Mahowald, N., Miller, R., Morcrette, J.-J., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Perlwitz, J., Stier, P.,
 Takemura, T., and Zender, C. S.: Global dust model intercomparison in AeroCom phase I, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11,
- rakemura, 1., and Zender, C. S. Globar dust model intercomparison in Aerocom phase I, Aunos. Chem. Phys., 11,
 7781–7816, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7781-2011, 2011.
- Jeong, G. Y.: Bulk and single-particle mineralogy of Asian dust and a comparison with its source soils, J. Geophys.
 Res., 113, D02208, doi:10.1029/2007jd008606, 2008.
- Jickells, T., An, Z., Andersen, K., Baker, A., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Cao, J., Boyd, P., Duce, R., Hunter, K.,
- 692 Kawahata, H., Kubilay, N., LaRoche, J., Liss, P., Mahowald, N., Prospero, J., Ridgwell, A., Tegen, I., and Torres, R.:
- Global iron connections between dust, ocean biogeochemistry and climate, Science, 308, 67–71, 2005.
- Journet, E., Desboeufs, K. V., Caquineau, S., and Colin, J.-L.: Mineralogy as a critical factor of dust iron solubility,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L07805, doi:10.1029/2007gl031589, 2008.
- Journet, E., Balkanski, Y., and Harrison, S. P.: A new data set of soil mineralogy for dust-cycle modeling, Atmos.
- 697 Chem. Phys., 14, 3801–3816, doi:10.5194/acp-14-3801-2014, 2014.

- 698 Kandler, K., Benker, N., Bundke, U., Cuevas, E., Ebert, M., Knippertz, P., Rodríguez, S., Schütz, L., and Weinbruch,
- S.: Chemical composition and complex refractive index of Saha- ran mineral dust at Izaña, Tenerife (Spain) derived
 by electron microscopy, Atmos. Environ., 41, 8058–8074, 2007.
- Kok, J. F.: A scaling theory for the size distribution of emitted dust aerosols suggests climate models underestimate
 the size of the global dust cycle, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 108, 1016–021, 2011.
- 703 Kreutz, K. J. and Sholkovitz, E. R.: Major element, rare earth element, and sulfur isotopic composition of a high-
- elevation firncore: sources and transport of mineral dust in central Asia, Geochem. Geophy. Geosy., 1, 1048–1071,
 2000.
- Lam, P. and Bishop, J.: The continental margin is a key sources of iron to the North Pacific Ocean, Geophys. Res.
 Lett., 35, L07608, doi:10.1029/2008GL033294, 2008.
- Lawrence, C. R. and Ne, J. C.: The physical and chemical flux of eolian dust across the landscape: a synthesis of observations and an evaluation of spatial patterns, Chem. Geol., 267, 46–63, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2009.02.005, 2009.
- Li, G., Chen, J., Chen, Y., Yang, J., Ji, J., and Liu, L.: Dolomite as a tracer for the source regions of Asian dust, J.
 Geophys. Res., 112, D17201, doi:10.1029/2007jd008676, 2007.
- Lin, S.-J., and R. B. Rood. An explicit flux-form semi-Lagrangian shallow-water model on the sphere, Quarterly
 Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 123, 2477-2498,1997.
- Luo, C., Mahowald, N., Bond, T., Chuang, P. Y., Artaxo, P., Siefert, R., Chen, Y., and Schauer, J.: Combustion irondistribution and deposition, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 22, GB1012, doi:10.1029/2007GB002964, 2008.
- Mahowald, N.; Baker, A.; Bergametti, G.; Brooks, N.; Duce, R.; Jickells, T.; Kubilay, N.; Prospero, J.; Tegen, I.
 Atmospheric global dust cycle and iron inputs to the ocean, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB4025, doi:10.1029/2004GB002402, 2005.
- Mahowald, N., Muhs, D. R., Levis, S., Rasch, P. J., Yoshioka, M., Zender, C. S., and Luo, C.: Change in atmospheric mineral aerosols in response to climate: last glacial period, preindustrial, modern, and doubled carbon dioxide climates, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D10202, doi:10.1029/2005JD006653, 2006.
- 723 Mahowald, N., Jickells, T. D., Baker, A. R., Artaxo, P., Benitez-Nelson, C. R., Bergametti, G., Bond, T. C., Chen,
- Y., Cohen, D. D., Herut, B., Kubilay, N., Losno, R., Luo, C., Maenhaut, W., McGee, K. A., Okin, G. S., Siefert, R.
 L., and Tsukuda, S.: Global distribution of atmospheric phosphorus sources, concentrations and deposition rates, and anthropogenic impacts, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 22, GB4026, doi:10.1029/2008GB003240, 2008.
- Marino, F., Maggi, V., Delmonte, B., Ghermandi, G., and Petit, J. R.: Elemental composition (Si, Fe, Ti) of
 atmosphericdust over the last 220 kyr from the EPICA ice core (Dome C, Antarctica), Ann. Glaciol., 39, 110–118,
 doi:10.3189/172756404781813862, 2004.
- 730 Marteel, A., Gaspari, V., Boutron, C. F., Barbante, C., Gabrielli, P., Cescon, P., Ferrari, C., Dommergue, A.,
- Rosman, K., Hong, S., and Hur, S.: Climate-related variations in crustal trace elements in Dome C (East Antarctica)
 ice during the past 672 kyr, Climatic Change, 92, 191–211, 2009.
- 733 Martin, J. H., Gordon, R. M., and Fitzwater, S. E.: The case for iron, Limnol. Oceanogr., 36, 1793–1802, 1991.
- Measures, C. and Vink, S.: On the use of dissolved aluminum in surface waters to estimate dust deposition to the
 ocean, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 14, 317–327, 2000.
- Mermut, A. R. and Cano, A. F.: Baseline studies of the clay minerals society source clays: chemical analyses of
 major elements, Clay. Clay Miner., 49, 381–386, 2001.
- 738 Mills, M. M., Ridame, C., Davey, M., LaRoche, J., and Geider, R.: Iron and phosphorus co-limit nitrogen fixation in

- the eastern tropical North Atlantic, Nature, 429, 292–294, 2004.
- Moore, J. K. and Braucher, O.: Sedimentary and mineral dust sources of dissolved iron to the world ocean,
 Biogeosciences, 5, 631–656, doi:10.5194/bg-5-631-2008, 2008.
- Morel, F. M. M., Milligan, A. J., and Saito, M. A.: Marine bioinorganic chemistry: the role of trace metals in the
 oceanic cycles of major nutrients, in: Treatise on Geochemistry, Vol. 6., Elsevier, Pergamon, Oxford, 113–143,
 ISBN 0-08-043751-6, 2003.
- 745 Moreno, T., Querol, X., Castillo, S., Alastuey, A., Cuevas, E., Herrmann, L., Mounkaila, M., Elvira, J., and Gibbons,
- W.: Geochemical variations in aeolian mineral particles from the Sahara-Sahel dust corridor, Chemosphere, 65,
 261–270, 2006.
- Nickovic, S., Vukovic, A., Vujadinovic, M., Djurdjevic, V., and Pejanovic, G.: Technical Note: High-resolution
 mineralogical database of dust-productive soils for atmospheric dust modeling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 845–855,
 doi:10.5194/acp-12-845-2012, 2012.
- Nickovic S., Vukovic A., Vujadinovic M. (2013). Atmospheric processing of iron carried by mineral dust.
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13,9169–9181, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9169-2013, 2013.
- Nozaki, Y.: A fresh look at element distribution in the North Pacific, EOS T. Am. Geophys. Un., 78, 221–221,
 doi:10.1029/97EO00148, 1997.
- 755 Okin, G. S., Mahowald, N., Chadwick, O. A., and Artaxo, P.: Impact of desert dust on the biogeochemistry
- 756 Of phosphorus in terrestrial eco-systems, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 18, GB2005, doi:10.1029/2003GB002145, 2004.
- Paris, R., Desboeufs, K. V., Formenti, P., Nava, S., and Chou, C.: Chemical characterization of iron in dust and
 biomass burning aerosols during AMMA-SOP0/DABEX: implication for iron solubility, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10,
 4273–4282, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4273-2010, 2010.
- Paytan, A., Mackey, K., Chen, Y., Lima, I., Doney, S., Mahowald, N., Lablosa, R., and Post, A.: Toxicity of
 atmospheric aerosols on marine phytoplankton, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 106, 4601–4605,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.0811486106, 2009.
- Perry, K. D., Cahill, T. A., Eldred, R. A., Dutcher, D. D., and Gill, T. E.: Long-range transport of North African dust to the eastern United States, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 102, 11225–11238, 1997.
- Petrucci, R. H., Harwood, W. S., Herring, G., Madura, J.: General Chemistry: Principles and Modern Application,
 9th edn., Printice Hall, New Jersey, Pearson2001.
- Prospero, J. M., Landing, W. M., and Schulz, M.: African dust deposition to Florida: temporal and spatial variability
 and comparisons to models, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D13304,doi:10.1029/2009JD012773, 2010.
- Rasch, P., D. Coleman, N. Mahowald, D. Williamson, S.-J. Lin, B. Boville, and P. Hess. Characteristics ofatmospheric transport using three numerical formulations for atmospheric dynamics in a single GCM framework,
- 771 Journal of Climate, 19, 2243-2266, 2006.
- 772
- Reheis, M. C. and Kihl, R.: Dust deposition in southern Nevada and California, 1984–1989 –relations to climate,
 source area, and source lithology, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100, 8893–8918, 1995.
- 775 Reid, E. A., Reid, J. S., Meier, M. M., Dunlap, M. R., Cli_, S. S., Broumas, A., Perry, K., and Maring, H.:
- 776 Characterization of African dust transported to Puerto Rico by individual particle and size segregated bulk analysis,
- 777 J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8591, doi:10.1029/2002JD002935, 2003.
- 778 Ridame, C. and Guieu, C.: Saharan input of phosphate to the oligotrophic water of the open western Mediterranean

- 779 Sea, Limnol. Oceanogr., 47, 856–869, 2002.
- 780 Scanza, R. A., Mahowald, N., Ghan, S., Zender, C. S., Kok, J. F., Liu, X., and Zhang, Y.: Modeling dust as
- component minerals in the Community Atmosphere Model: development of framework and impact on radiative
- 782 forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15, 537-561, 2015.
- 783 Schütz, L. and Rahn, K. A.: Trace element concentrations in erodible soils, Atmos. Environ., 16, 171–176, 1982.
- Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: from Air Pollution to Climate Change, J.
 Wiley, New York, 1998.
- 786 Shao, Y.: A model for mineral dust emission, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 20239–20254, doi:10.1029/2001jd900171,
 787 2001.
- Shen, Z. X., Li, X., Cao, J., Caquineau, S., Wang, Y., and Zhang, X.: Characteristics of clay minerals in Asian dust
 and their environmental significance, China Part., 3, 260–264, 2005.
- Shen, Z. X., Cao, J., Li, X., Okuda, T., Wang, Y., and Zhang, X.: Mass concentration and mineralogical
 characteristics of aerosolparticles collected at Dunhuang during ACE-Asia, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 23, 291–298, 2006.
- Shen, Z. X., Cao, J. J., Arimoto, R., Zhang, R. J., Jie, D. M., Liu, S. X., Zhu, C.S.: Chemical composition and source characterization of spring aerosol over Horqin sand land in northeastern China, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D14315, doi:10.1029/2006JD007991, 2007.
- Sillanpää, M.: Micronutrients and the Nutrient Status of Soils: a Global Study, FAO Soils Bulletin, No. 48.,
 Appendix 6–7, Rome, 1982.
- Stoorvogel, J. J., VanBreemen, N., and Janssen, B. H.: The nutrient input by Harmattan dust to a forest ecosystem in
 Côte d'Ivoire, Africa, Biogeochemistry, 37, 145–157, 1997.
- Sun, Y., Zhuang, G., Yuan, H., Zhang, X., and Guo, J.: Characteristics and sources of 2002 super dust storm in
 Beijing, Chinese Sci. Bull., 49, 698–705, 2004a.
- 801 Sun, Y., Zhuang, G., Wang, Y., Han, L., Guo, J., Dan, M., Zhang, W., Wang, Z., and Hao, Z.: The air-borne
- particulate pollution in Beijing concentration, composition, distribution and sources, Atmos. Environ., 38, 5991–
 6004, 2004b.
- Svensson, A., Biscaye, P. E., and Grousset, F. E.: Characterization of late glacial continental dust in the Greenland
 Ice Core Project ice core, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 4637–4656, doi:10.1029/1999jd901093, 2000.
- Swap, R., Garstang, M., Greco, S., Talbot, R., and Kallberg, P.: Saharan dust in the Amazon Basin, Tellus B, 44,
 133–149, 1992.
- 808 Textor, C., Schulz, M., Guibert, S., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T., Boucher, O., Chin,
- 809 M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Easter, R., Feichter, H., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., Grini, A.,
- 810 Hendricks, J., Horowitz, L., Huang, P., Isaksen, I., Iversen, I., Kloster, S., Koch, D., Kirkevåg, A., Kristjansson, J. E.,
- 811 Krol, M., Lauer, A., Lamarque, J. F., Liu, X., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Pitari, G., Reddy, S., Seland, Ø.,
- Stier, P., Takemura, T., and Tie, X.: Analysis and quantification of the diversities of aerosol life cycles within
 AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1777–1813, doi:10.5194/acp-6-1777-2006, 2006.
- $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i$
- Textor, C., Schulz, M., Guibert, S., Kinne, S., Balkanski, Y., Bauer, S., Berntsen, T., Berglen, T., Boucher, O., Chin,
 M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T., Feichter, J., Fillmore, D., Ginoux, P., Gong, S., ³⁰ Grini, A., Hendricks, J., Horowitz, L.,
- 816 Huang, P., Isaksen, I. S. A., Iversen, T., Kloster, S., Koch, D., Kirkevåg, A., Kristjansson, J. E., Krol, M., Lauer, A.,
- 817 Lamarque, J. F., Liu, X., Montanaro, V., Myhre, G., Penner, J. E., Pitari, G., Reddy, M. S., Seland, Ø., Stier, P.,
- 818 Takemura, T., and Tie, X.: The e_ ect of harmonized emissions on aerosol properties in global models an
- 819 AeroCom experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4489–4501, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4489-2007, 2007.

- Titschack, J., Goetz-Neunhoe_ er, F., and Neubauer, J.: Magnesium quantification in calcites [(Ca,Mg)CO3] by
 Rietveld-based XRD analysis: revisiting a well-established method, Am. 5 Mineral., 96, 1028–1038, 2011.
- 822 Wang, Q., Zhuang, G., Li, J., Huang, K., Zhang, R., Jiang, Y., Lin, Y., and Fu, J. S.: Mixing of dust with pollution
- 823 on the transport path of Asian dust revealed from the aerosol over Yulin, the north edge of Loess Plateau, Sci.
- 824 Total Environ., 409, 573–581, 2010.
- 825 Werner, M., Tegen, I., Harrison, S. P., Kohfeld, K. E., Prentice, I. C., Balkanski, Y., Rodhe, H., and Roelandt., C.:
- Seasonal and interannual variability of the mineral dust cycle under present and glacial climate conditions, J.
 Geophys. Res., 107, D244744, doi:10.1029/2002JD002365, 2002.
- Wilke, B. M., Duke, B. J., and Jimoh, W. L. O.: Mineralogy and chemistry of Harmattan dust in northern Nigeria,
 Catena, 11, 91–96, 1984.
- Xuan, J., Sokolik, I. N., Hao, J., Guo, F., Mao, H., and Yang, G.: Identification and characterization of sources of
 atmospheric mineral dust in East Asia, Atmos. Environ., 38, 6239–6252, 2004.
- Yadav, S. and Rajamani, V.: Geochemistry of aerosols of northwestern part of India adjoining the Thar Desert,
 Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 68, 1975–1988, 2004.
- Zender, C., Bian, H., and Newman, D.: Mineral Dust Entrainment and Deposition (DEAD) model: description and
 1990s dust climatology, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4416, doi:10.1029/2002JD002775, 2003.
- Zhang, X. Y., Arimoto, R., and An, Z. S.: Dust emission from Chinese desert sources linked to variation in
 atmospheric circulation, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 28041–28047, 1997.
- Zhang, X. Y., Arimoto, R., Zhu, G. H., Chen, T., and Zhang, G. Y.: Concentration, size distribution and deposition
 of mineral aerosol over Chinese desert regions, Tellus B, 50, 317–330, 1998.
- 840 Zhang, X. Y., Gong, S. L., Shen, Z. X., Mei, F. M., Xi, X. X., Liu, L. C., Zhou, Z. J., Wang, D., Wang, Y. Q., and
- 841 Cheng, Y.: Characterization of soil dust aerosol in China and its transport and distribution during 2001 ACE-Asia: 1.
- 842 Network observations, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4261, doi:10.1029/2002jd002632, 2003.

845	Main Tables 1- 5
846	Table1a Generalized mineral compositions (%) applied in this study
847	Table1b Elemental solubility as a percentage of the element contained in the minerals (%)
848	Table 2 Ten year averaged emission rates (Tg/yr) and percentages of elements over desert regions (%)
849 850	Table 3 Comparison of modeled and observed fractions of chemical elements in TSP and tuning ratio based on 14-site measurements
851	Table 4 Percentage (%) of elements in dust deposition into different ocean basins and ice sheets*
852	Table 5 Deposition of dust elements into different oceans and ice sheets*
853	
854	
855	
856	

Mineral	Mg	Р	Ca	Mn	Fe	Al	Si	K
Smectite	1.21	0.17	0.91	0.03	2.55	8.57	27.44	0.27
Illite	0.85	0.09	1.45	0.03	4.01	10.47	24.11	4.28
Hematite	0.09	0.18	0.12	0.07	57.50	2.67	2.11	0.07
Feldspar	0.15	0.09	3.84	0.01	0.34	10.96	25.24	5.08
Kaolinite	0.02	0.16	0.03	0.01	0.24	20.42	20.27	0.00
Calcite	0.00	0.00	40.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Quartz	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	46.70	0.00
Gypsum	0.00	0.00	23.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00



Table1b Elemental solubility as a percentage of the element contained in the minerals (%)

Mineral	Mg	Р	Ca	Mn	Fe	Al	Si	K
Smectite	14.09	2.93	79.20	25.35	2.60	0.00	0.05	31.41
Illite	7.80	30.58	50.96	24.93	1.17	0.15	0.05	2.87
Hematite	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.39	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00
Feldspar	5.17	0.00	4.46	4.71	3.01	0.12	0.02	4.53
Kaolinite	22.32	0.00	21.97	0.00	4.26	0.38	0.37	0.00
Calcite	0.00	0.00	7.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Quartz	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0003	0.00
Gypsum	0.00	0.00	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

*Fe content came from Journet et al. (2008), the other elements were from personal communication with E. Journet.

868				regions (%)					
869 (F	or this table, an	inual mean em	ission of e	ach element i	is divided by	the annual	mean emissi	on of	
870			dust	to obtain the	%.)				
Source Regions	Mg	Р	Ca	Mn	Fe	K	Al	Si	Dust
WAsia	0.91	1.77E-01	12.73	3.53E-02	5.53	3.70	16.71	72.43	251.17
NCAsia	0.50	9.27E-02	6.05	1.80E-02	2.26	1.90	8.36	37.99	128.59
CAsia	0.13	2.54E-02	1.57	4.98E-03	0.70	0.55	2.35	9.77	33.82
SCAsia	0.05	1.07E-02	0.54	1.93E-03	0.29	0.22	1.04	4.07	13.91
EAsia	0.21	4.38E-02	1.62	8.16E-03	1.28	0.85	4.22	18.27	58.90
Asian Region	1.79	3.50E-01	22.52	6.84E-02	10.06	7.23	32.67	142.54	486.4
ESah	1.23	2.74E-01	11.98	4.83E-02	6.62	5.41	26.45	102.59	346.16
WSah	2.62	5.31E-01	30.67	1.01E-01	14.25	11.04	50.35	208.70	712.00
SNAf	0.02	1.17E-02	0.17	1.47E-03	0.37	0.12	1.25	4.33	13.98
SAf	0.01	3.10E-03	0.18	5.90E-04	0.11	0.06	0.31	1.34	4.46
Africa	3.89	8.20E-01	42.99	1.51E-01	21.34	16.63	78.36	316.96	1076.6
NWNAm	0.00002	4.70E-06	0.0001	8.00E-07	0.0002	0.0001	0.0005	0.0019	0.030
SWNAm	0.02	3.01E-03	0.16	6.00E-04	0.10	0.07	0.29	1.27	4.20
North America	0.02	3.02E-03	0.16	6.00E-04	0.10	0.07	0.29	1.27	4.2
SAm	0.0005	1.20E-04	0.01	2.00E-05	0.003	0.002	0.01	0.04	0.15
Patag	0.03	6.79E-03	0.27	1.32E-03	0.20	0.13	0.62	2.82	9.08
South America	0.03	6.91E-03	0.27	1.34E-03	0.21	0.13	0.63	2.86	9.2
WAstr	0.0005	1.30E-04	0.003	2.00E-05	0.003	0.002	0.01	0.05	0.16
EAstr	0.02	5.13E-03	0.20	9.10E-04	0.16	0.10	0.48	1.78	6.11
Australia region	0.02	5.26E-03	0.20	9.30E-04	0.17	0.10	0.49	1.83	6.3
Global	5.75	1.18E+00	66.14	2.22E-01	31.87	24.15	112.44	465.46	1582.7
Global mean % element Min % element in 1	0.36	0.07	4.18	0.01	2.01	1.53	7.10	29.41	/
SR* Max. % element in 1	0.17 5	0.07	1.19	0.01	1.67	0.86	6.50	28.84	/
SR*	0.39	0.08	5.07	0.02	2.68	1.63	8.96	31.38	/

Table 2 Ten year averaged emission rates (Tg/yr) and percentages of elements over desert

*SR refer to source regions

873 Table 3 Comparison of modeled and observed fractions of chemical elements in TSP, and tuning

874 ratio based on 13-site measurements. (For this table comparing the elemental ratios at the

876 comparison.)

comparison)							
	Mg	Р	Ca	Mn	Fe	K	Al
Corr. coeff. Of Averaged Fractions	0.14	-0.32	0.75	-0.51	0.29	-0.16	0.72
Median of Obs. (%)	1.45	0.09	5.42	0.070	3.10	1.79	5.26
Median of Mod.(%)	0.43	0.08	3.41	0.020	2.29	1.54	7.81
Obs./Mod. Median Ratio (tuned ratio)	3.4	1.1	1.6	3.5	1.4	1.2	0.7

877

878

879 Table 4 Percentage (%) of elements in dust deposition into different ocean basins and ice sheets^{*}

Ocean Basins/Glacier	Mg	Р	Ca	Mn	Fe	К	Al	Si ^{**}
North Atlantic	1.43	0.10	5.36	0.06	3.05	1.89	5.96	28.32
South Atlantic	1.50	0.10	5.36	0.06	3.35	1.84	6.01	28.07
North Pacific	1.56	0.10	5.92	0.06	3.26	1.90	5.78	28.01
South Pacific	1.47	0.10	5.30	0.06	3.87	1.86	6.15	27.61
North Indian	1.38	0.08	7.90	0.05	3.13	1.81	4.95	28.29
South Indian	1.53	0.10	6.50	0.06	3.64	1.87	5.88	27.33
Southern Ocean	1.56	0.10	5.12	0.06	3.74	1.88	5.88	28.25
Arctic	1.60	0.10	6.23	0.06	3.31	1.96	5.76	27.76
Mediterranean	1.37	0.08	7.14	0.05	2.90	1.88	4.85	29.14
Antarctic ice sheets	1.50	0.10	4.90	0.06	3.54	1.82	5.55	29.17
Greenland ice sheets	1.50	0.09	7.49	0.06	2.82	1.89	5.24	28.00
Averaged	1.49	0.10	6.11	0.06	3.33	1.87	5.64	28.18

- *After timing tuned ratios (Table 3) except for Si
- 881 ** Not tuning
- 882 (For this table, annual mean deposition of each element is divided by the annual mean deposition of
- dust to obtain the %.)

884

⁸⁷⁵ measurement sites, the % value at each time measured is averaged across time and space for this

Ocean / ice sheet	7	Mg (Tg∕y	(I)	p	(Gg/yr)		~	Ca (Tg/y	Ţ	М	n (Gg/y	Ţ		Fe (Tg/yr	Ŭ	T	< (Tg/yr)	
	Total	Sol-1	Sol-2	Total	Sol-1	Sol-2	Total	Sol-1	Sol-2	Total	Sol-1	Sol-2	Total	Sol-1	Sol-2	Total	Sol-1	S
North Atlantic	1.50	0.16	0.14	103.12	8.81	4.10	5.64	0.68	1.81	58.90	12.08	3.87	3.20	0.036	0.033	1.99	0.008	0.
South Atlantic	0.13	0.01	0.02	8.84	0.79	0.38	0.47	0.06	0.17	5.17	1.07	0.34	0.30	0.003	0.003	0.16	0.007	0.
North Pacific	0.28	0.03	0.03	17.47	1.66	0.65	1.06	0.13	0.33	10.58	2.25	0.58	0.58	0.007	0.006	0.34	0.014	0
South Pacific	0.01	0.001	0.001	0.86	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.006	0.01	0.50	0.10	0.03	0.03	0.0003	0.000	0.02	0.0007	0
North Indian	0.56	0.06	0.06	34.38	3.54	1.52	3.23	0.29	0.63	21.86	4.62	1.35	1.28	0.013	0.013	0.74	0.03	0
South Indian	0.05	0.005	0.005	3.03	0.30	0.20	0.20	0.02	0.05	1.85	0.39	0.16	0.11	0.001	0.001	0.06	0.002	0
Southern Ocean	0.002	0.0003	0.0003	0.15	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.001	0.003	0.09	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.0001	0.0001	0.00	0.0001	0
Arctic	0.02	0.002	0.0020	1.34	0.13	0.05	0.09	0.01	0.02	0.83	0.18	0.04	0.05	0.0005	0.0004	0.03	0.001	0
Mediterranean	0.18	0.02	0.02	10.66	1.07	0.36	0.92	0.09	0.22	6.76	1.42	0.36	0.37	0.004	0.004	0.24	0.011	0
Antarctic ice sheets	0.001	0.0001	0.0001	0.08	0.007	0.003	0.00	0.001	0.002	0.05	0.01	0.003	0.00	0.00003	0.00003	0.00	0.0001	0.
Greenland ice sheets	0.09	0.01	0.01	5.39	0.49	0.21	0.44	0.04	0.10	3.30	0.71	0.19	0.17	0.002	0.002	0.11	0.005	0
Total	2.83	0.30	0.28	185.32	16.89	7.52	12.11	1.32	3.35	109.89	22.84	6.95	6.10	0.068	0.06	3.69	0.153	

Table 5 Deposition of dust elements into different oceans and ice sheets *

888 888 described in the methods section (2). *Here the soluble element deposition using Sol-1 has been tuned by timing tuned ratios (Table 3); Sol-1 refer to mineral method after tuning, Sol-2 refer to Sillanpaa method

889 Main Figures 1-15

- 890 Fig.1. Observational sites (S1-Hetian, China; S2-Tazhong, China; S3-Yu Lin, China; S4-Duolun,
- 891 China; S5-Shengsi, China; S6-Hanoi, Vietnam; S7-Marnila, Philippines; S8- Balad, Iraq; S9-Balad,
- 892 Iraq; S10-Taji, Iraq; S11-Eilat; S12-Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (CVAO); S13-
- 893 Muswellbrook, Australia; S14-Richmond, Australia; S15-Tamanrasset, Algeria; S16-Banizoumbou,
- 894 Niger; S17-Douz, Tunisia) and dust-producing regions (WAsia: West Asia; NC-As: North Central
- 895 Asia; CAsia: Central Asia; SC-As: South Central Asia; EAsia:East Asia; WN-Af:North West Africa;
- 896 EN-Af: North East Africa; S-NAf: Southern North Africa; SAf: Southern Africa; MWNAm: Middle
- 897 North West America; SWNAm: Southern North West America; SAm1: Northern South America;
- 898 SAm2: Southern South America; WAus: West Australia; EAus: East Australia)
- 899 Fig.2 Global elemental distributions (in mass percentage) in a1: Clay Mg, a2: Clay P, a3: Clay Ca, a4: Clay Mn, a5:

900 Clay Fe, a6: Clay K, a7:, Clay Al, a8: Clay Si; b1: Silt Mg, b2: Silt P, b3: Silt Ca, b4: Silt Mn, b5: Silt Fe, b6: Silt

- 901 K, b7: Silt Al, b8: Silt Si.
- 902 Fig.3 Global mean elemental percentages in (a) four-bin dust emission and (b) clay and silt fractions of soils (Bin1-4
 903 refer to particle range listed in Table S2, clay refer to < 2um, silt refer to > 2um)
- 904 Fig.4 Percentages of elements in dust concentration (mass %) : a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca, d. Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si.
- Elemental % shown here are calculated using the annual mean element concentration divided by the annual meandust concentration.
- 907 Fig.5 Ratio of mass fractions of elements in dust deposition to that in atmospheric dust : a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca, d. Mn, e.
 908 Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si. Elemental ratios shown here are calculated using the annual mean element deposition divided
 909 by the annual mean dust deposition.
- 910 Fig.6 Ten-year monthly variability in mean of elemental percentages in atmospheric dust (mass %) : a. Mg, b. P, c.
- 911 Ca, d. Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si. Elemental monthly mean % are calculated using the monthly mean emission of
- 912 each element divided by the monthly mean emission of dust.
- 913 Fig.7 Ten-year monthly variability in mean of elemental percentages in dust deposition (mass %):a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca,
- d. Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si. Elemental monthly mean % are calculated using the monthly mean emission of each
- element divided by the monthly mean emission of dust.

916

917 Fig.8 Ca/Al in Soil and ten year averaged Ca/Al ratio in dust emission, concentration and deposition. Top two (a,b)
918 refer to ratio in clay and silt desert soil, middle one (c) refer to ratio in dust emission, and bottom two (d,e) refer to

919 ratio in dust concentration and deposition. Elemental annual mean % are calculated using the annual mean

920 emission of each element divided by the annual mean emission of dust.

921 Fig.9 Ten year averaged Ca/Al ratio in (a) dust emission of source regions and (b) dust deposition into various ocean

922 basins and glaciers. Elemental ratios are calculated using the annual mean emission of Ca divided by the annual

923 mean emission of Al.

Fig.10 Comparison of observed and modeled mean fractions of elements at each site for (a) total
suspended particulates (TSP) and (b) PM_{2.5}. (1-Hetian, China; 2-Tazhong, China; 3-Yu Lin, China; 4Duolun, China; 5-Shengsi, China; 6-Hanoi, Vietnam; 7-Marnila, Philippines; 8-Balad, Iraq; 9-Baghdad,
Iraq; 10-Taji,Iraq; 11-Eilat; 12-Cape Verde Island; 13-Muswellbrook, Australia; 14-Richmond,
Australia, 15-Tamanrasset, Algeria; 16-Banizoumbou, Niger; 17-Douz, Tunisia). Here we calculate the
elemental fractions and average the fractions temporally for each site and compare to observations.

930

931 Fig.11 Mean and quartile modeled and observational fractions of elements in (a) TSP (b) $PM_{2.5}$ for all **932** sites together, the box line presents 25%, 50% and 75%, individually. Here we calculate the elemental **933** fractions and average the fractions temporally for each site and compare to observations.

934

Fig.12 (a) Observational and (b) modeled dust deposition (g/m³/year). The scale is the same for both
panels. (c) A scatterplot shows the comparison between the model and observations. The correlation
coefficient between observations and model results reach 0.86.

938 Fig.13 Percentages of elements in dust deposition (%) after tuning. It is tuned based on original
939 percentages of elements in dust deposition in Fig. S1 by timing Obs./Mod. ratios listed in Table 3. Si

940 did not change because there are not enough observational data available

941 Fig. 14 Fractional solubility of elements (soluble element / total element) in dust deposition (%):a. Mg, b.
942 P, c. Ca, d. Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si

943 Fig. 15 Percentages of soluble elements in total dust deposition using (a) Sol-1 & (b) Sol-2 (‰), Sol-1
944 refer to mineral method after tuning, Sol-2 refer to Sillanpaa method described in the methods section
945 (2).



947 Fig.1. Observational sites (S1-Hetian, China; S2-Tazhong, China; S3-Yu Lin, China; S4-Duolun, 948 China; S5-Shengsi, China; S6-Hanoi, Vietnam; S7-Marnila, Philippines; S8- Balad, Iraq; S9-Balad, 949 Iraq; S10-Taji, Iraq; S11-Eilat; S12-Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (CVAO); S13-950 Muswellbrook, Australia; S14-Richmond, Australia; S15-Tamanrasset, Algeria; S16-Banizoumbou, 951 Niger; S17-Douz, Tunisia) and dust-producing regions (WAsia: West Asia; NC-As: North Central 952 Asia; CAsia: Central Asia; SC-As: South Central Asia; EAsia:East Asia; WN-Af:North West Africa; 953 EN-Af: North East Africa; S-NAf: Southern North Africa; SAf: Southern Africa; MWNAm: Middle 954 North West America; SWNAm: Southern North West America; SAm1: Northern South America; 955 SAm2: Southern South America; WAus: West Australia; EAus: East Australia)



(a) in soil clay



959

(b) in soil silt

961 Fig.2 Global elemental distributions (in mass percentage) in (a) soil clay, a1: Clay Mg, a2: Clay P, a3: Clay Ca, a4:

Clay Mn, a5: Clay Fe, a6: Clay K, a7:, Clay Al, a8: Clay Si; (b) soil silt, b1: Silt Mg, b2: Silt P, b3: Silt Ca, b4:

963 Silt Mn, b5: Silt Fe, b6: Silt K, b7: Silt Al, b8: Silt Si.



965 Fig.3 Global mean elemental percentages in (a) four-bin dust emission and (b) clay and silt fractions of soils (Bin1-4
966 refer to particle range listed in Table S2, clay refer to < 2um, silt refer to > 2um)



970 Fig.4 Percentages of elements in dust concentration (mass %) : a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca, d. Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si.

971 Elemental % shown here are calculated using the annual mean element concentration divided by the annual mean

972 dust concentration.





976 Fig.5 Ratio of mass fractions of elements in dust deposition to that in atmospheric dust : a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca, d. Mn, e.

977 Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si. Elemental ratios shown here are calculated using the annual mean element deposition divided

978 by the annual mean dust deposition.



980 Fig.6 Ten-year monthly variability in mean of elemental percentages in atmospheric dust (mass %): a. Mg, b. P, c.
981 Ca, d. Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si. Elemental monthly mean % are calculated using the monthly mean emission of
982 each element divided by the monthly mean emission of dust.



985 Fig.7 Ten-year monthly variability in mean of elemental percentages in dust deposition (mass %):a. Mg, b. P, c. Ca,

986 d. Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si. Elemental monthly mean % are calculated using the monthly mean emission of each987 element divided by the monthly mean emission of dust.



991 Fig.8 Ca/Al in Soil and ten year averaged Ca/Al ratio in dust emission, concentration and deposition. Top two (a,b)
992 refer to ratio in clay and silt desert soil, middle one (c) refer to ratio in dust emission, and bottom two (d,e) refer to
993 ratio in dust concentration and deposition. Elemental annual mean % are calculated using the annual mean
994 emission of each element divided by the annual mean emission of dust.



997 Fig.9 Ten year averaged Ca/Al ratio in (a) dust emission of source regions and (b) dust deposition into various ocean
998 basins and glaciers. Elemental ratios are calculated using the annual mean emission of Ca divided by the annual
999 ean emission of Al.





Fig.10 Comparison of observed and modeled mean fractions of elements at each site for (a) total suspended particulates (TSP) and (b) PM_{2.5}. (1-Hetian, China; 2-Tazhong, and average the fractions temporally for each site and compare to observations. Island; 13-Muswellbrook, Australia; 14-Richmond, Australia, 15-Tamanrasset, Algeria; 16-Banizoumbou, Niger; 17-Douz, Tunisia). Here we calculate the elemental fractions China; 3-Yu Lin, China; 4-Duolun, China; 5-Shengsi, China; 6-Hanoi, Vietnam; 7-Marnila, Philippines; 8-Balad, Iraq; 9-Baghdad, Iraq; 10-Taji, Iraq; 11-Eilat; 12-Cape Verde



(b) PM_{2.5}

1013 Fig.11 Mean and quartile modeled and observational fractions of elements in (a) TSP (b) PM_{2.5} for all sites together, the box line presents 25%, 50% and 75%, individually. Here we calculate the elemental fractions and average the fractions temporally for each site and compare to observations.



1017 Fig.12 (a) Observational and (b) modeled dust deposition (g/m³/year). The scale is the same for both
1018 panels. (c) A scatterplot shows the comparison between the model and observations. The correlation

1019 coefficient between observations and model results reach 0.86.



1021 Fig.13 Percentages of elements in dust deposition (%) after tuning. It is tuned based on original
1022 percentages of elements in dust deposition in Fig. S1 by timing Obs./Mod. ratios listed in Table 3. Si
1023 did not change because there are not enough observational data available



1025 Fig. 14 Fractional solubility of elements (soluble element / total element) in dust deposition (%):a. Mg, b.
1026 P, c. Ca, d. Mn, e. Fe, f. K, g. Al, h. Si



1031 Fig. 15 Percentages of soluble elements in total dust deposition using (a) Sol-1 & (b) Sol-2 (‰), Sol-1
1032 refer to mineral method after tuning, Sol-2 refer to Sillanpaa method described in the methods section
1033 (2).