Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 3365–3385, 2014 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/11/3365/2014/ doi:10.5194/bgd-11-3365-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

# Response of CH<sub>4</sub> emission to moss removal and N addition in boreal peatland of Northeast China

H. N. Meng<sup>1,2</sup>, C. C. Song<sup>1</sup>, Y. Q. Miao<sup>1,2</sup>, R. Mao<sup>1</sup>, and X. W. Wang<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun, Jilin, China <sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Boijing, China

<sup>2</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

Received: 12 January 2014 - Accepted: 3 February 2014 - Published: 27 February 2014

Correspondence to: C. C. Song (songcc@iga.ac.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



## Abstract

Boreal peatlands are an important natural source of atmospheric methane (CH<sub>4</sub>). Recently, boreal peatlands have been experiencing increased nitrogen (N) input and decreased moss production. However, little is known about the interactive effect of moss
and N availability on CH<sub>4</sub> emission in boreal peatlands. In this study, the effects of moss removal and N addition (6 gNm<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) on CH<sub>4</sub> emission were examined during the growing seasons of 2011 to 2013 in a boreal peatland in the Great Hinggan Mountain of Northeast China. Notably, the response of CH<sub>4</sub> emission to moss removal and N addition varied with experimental duration. Moss removal and N addition did not affect CH<sub>4</sub> emission in 2011 and 2012, but respectively declined CH<sub>4</sub> emission by 50 % and 66 % in 2013. However, moss removal and N addition did not produce an interactive effect on CH<sub>4</sub> emission. Specifically, moss removal plus N addition had no effect on CH<sub>4</sub> emission in 2011 and 2012, but decreased CH<sub>4</sub> emission by 68 % in 2013. These results suggest that the effects of moss removal and N enrichment on CH<sub>4</sub> emission

are time-dependent in boreal peatlands, and also imply that increased N loading and decreased moss growth would independently inhibit CH<sub>4</sub> emission in the boreal peatlands of Northeast China.

### 1 Introduction

Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), as the second most important greenhouse gas after carbon dioxide,
 contributes 18% to the overall global radiative force and is predicated to play an important role in determining future climate change (IPCC, 2007). Boreal peatlands are recognized as a primary natural source of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> and contribute one-tenth of total CH<sub>4</sub> emission to the atmosphere, despite covering a small area of the earth's surface (Wahlen, 1993; Moore et al., 1998; Baird et al., 2009). In boreal peatlands,
 CH<sub>4</sub> is produced by methanogens in the anaerobic layer and is then consumed by methanotrophs in the aerobic layer (Whalen, 2005). The amount of CH<sub>4</sub> released from



peat to the atmosphere depends on the difference of  $CH_4$ -producing and  $CH_4$ -oxidizing processes in peat (Sundh et al., 1994). In boreal peatlands,  $CH_4$  flux dynamics are influenced by soil temperature, water table position, substrate quality, microtopography and vegetation distribution (Bubier et al., 1995; Bellisario et al., 1999).

- <sup>5</sup> The moss layer is usually dominant in peatland ecosystems and is probably the only aerobic layer for  $CH_4$  consumption before it enters to the atmosphere (Basiliko et al., 2004). Moss provides a good thermal layer for the underlying soils and may play a role in controlling  $CH_4$  oxidation (Basiliko et al., 2004; Turetsky, 2004). About 90 % of the  $CH_4$  produced in peat could be consumed in the moss layer and the soil (Bubier and Moore, 1994; Whalen, 2005). It has been reported that the rate of  $CH_4$  oxidation was
- <sup>10</sup> Moore, 1994; Whalen, 2005). It has been reported that the rate of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation was  $> 0.2 \,\mu$ L mol CH<sub>4</sub> gdryweight<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> by submerged brown moss (Liebner et al., 2011). However, climate change inhibits moss growth and decreases moss production in boreal peatlands (Rustad et al., 2001; Limpens et al., 2011). This could influence the CH<sub>4</sub> emission from the boreal peatlands, given the important role of moss in CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation.
- <sup>15</sup> Human activities have already increased nitrogen (N) input to boreal ecosystems (Vitousek et al., 1997; Kaiser, 2001) and climate warming would further stimulate the soil N mineralization rate and increase N availability in soils (Rustad et al., 2001). Previous studies regarding the effects of increased N availability on CH<sub>4</sub> emission have yielded inconsistent results; some studies showed that increased N input increases
- <sup>20</sup> CH<sub>4</sub> emission (Saarnio et al., 2000; Granberg et al., 2001), and other studies found that N enrichment either decreased CH<sub>4</sub> emission (Granberg et al., 2001) or had no effect on CH<sub>4</sub> production and oxidation (Saarnio and Silvola, 1999). To accurately develop the CH<sub>4</sub> budget in boreal peatlands, further studies are needed to examine the effect of N enrichment on CH<sub>4</sub> emission.
- Although previous studies have independently examined the effects of moss and N availability on CH<sub>4</sub> emission (Ferenci et al., 1975; Conrad, 1999; Riutta et al., 2007; Larmola et al., 2010), there is little information about the interactive effect of moss and N addition on CH<sub>4</sub> emission in boreal peatlands. Given the wide co-occurrence of declined moss growth and increased N availability in boreal peatlands, determining the



effects of moss and N availability on CH<sub>4</sub> emission would help to better understand CH<sub>4</sub> dynamics, especially in light of future climate change. In this study, a field experiment was established in a boreal peatland in the Great Hinggan Mountain in Northeast China, and a three year (2011 to 2013) continuous observation was conducted to assess the effects of moss removal and N addition on CH<sub>4</sub> emission during the growing season of a boreal peatland.

# 2 Materials and methods

# 2.1 Study site

The research was conducted in a boreal peatland ecosystem located in the north of
the Great Hinggan Mountain (52°56′ N, 122°52′ E, 457 ma.s.l.) in Northeast China. The study site is located in the continuous permafrost zone, and belongs to the cool continental climate (Miao et al., 2012). The mean annual precipitation (1991–2010) is ~ 450 mm with 45 % falling from July to August, and the mean annual air temperature is ~ -3.9 °C with monthly mean ranging from -31.9 °C in January to 19.8 °C in
July. The soil of the study site is a typical peat soil and the depth of the peat layer ranges from 40 to 100 cm, with a mean soil bulk density of 0.16 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, pH of 5.0, soil organic carbon of 371.68 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, and total N content of 17.2 g kg<sup>-1</sup> at 0–20 cm

depth (Sun, 2012). The dominant plant species are *Betula fruticosa*, *Ledum palustre*, *Chamaedaphne calyculata*, *Vaccinium uliginosum*, *Rhododendron parvifolium*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*, *Sphagnum moss* and *Aulacomnium androgynum*. Hummocks are

covered by continuous moss with some shrubs and occupy  $\sim 50\%$  of the ground surface. Moss biomass ranges from 190 to 400 gm<sup>-2</sup>.

### 2.2 Experiment design

A complete randomized block design with control (CK), moss removal (MR), N addition  $_{^{25}}$  at  $6\,gN\,m^{-2}\,yr^{-1}$  (N) and moss removal plus N addition (MR  $\times$  N) treatments was used.



Each treatment was replicated three times, resulting in 12 50 × 50 cm plots. Plots were separated from adjacent plots by  $\sim 1 \text{ m}$  buffer zones, to avoid horizontal movement and lateral loss of the added N. In 2011, plots were placed on flat hummocks with a Sphagnum moss-dominated community. Moss was removed by cutting the green part of the moss layer (~ 10 cm) in May from 2011 to 2013. The N was added as urea and applied twice a year (mid-May and mid-July). The urea was dissolved in 1 L purified water and sprayed. The control treatments were sprayed with 1 L purified water without N fertilizer.

#### CH<sub>4</sub> flux measurement 2.3

5

- $CH_{4}$  emission was measured by static chamber and gas chromatography at 7 day 10 intervals between 9 a.m. and 11 a.m. during the growing periods of 2011 to 2013. The removable open-bottom chambers (stainless steel, two small fans fixed symmetrically inside,  $50 \times 50 \times 50$  cm) were put on the base flumes (stainless steel,  $50 \times 50 \times 30$  cm) during sample collecting, and immediately removed after collection. The grooves (2 cm wide) of the base collar were filled with water to ensure gas tightness. Gas samples 15 were taken at 0, 10, 20 and 30 min from the chamber headspace following closure
- by 60 mL plastic syringes attached to three-way stopcocks. Immediately, the samples were stored in 100 mL vacuum Tedlar<sup>®</sup> air sample bags, and analyzed within a week in the laboratory by modified gas chromatography (Agilent HP-7820A, USA), which
- was modified by adding an independent sample injector by the Institute of Atmospheric 20 Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences and equipped with a flame ionization detector. Details and configurations of the measuring system for analyzing concentrations of  $CH_{4}$  and the associated method for calculating the flux have been described by Wang and Wang (2003) and Song et al. (2009). Where the linear regression with coefficients
- of determination  $(R^2)$  were < 0.8, the samples were rejected for CH<sub>4</sub>. 25



### 2.4 Precipitation, soil moisture and soil temperature

Precipitation was measured by a rain gauge located near the experimental area. Soil moisture at 5 and 10 cm depth were recorded using a portable Time Domain Reflectometry instrument (Field Scout TDR-100, Spectrum Technologies Inc., Plainfield, IL,

USA) in each plot. Soil moisture data recorded as % volumetric moisture content was 5 measured during gas sampling from 7 July to 17 October in 2011, from 17 May to 12 October in 2012 and from 14 May to 22 September in 2013. Soil temperatures at 5 cm below the peat surface were collected in the center of each plot using the portable digital thermometer (JM 624, Jinming Instrument CO., Ltd, Tianjin, China).

#### Statistical analysis 2.5 10

15

The seasonal mean values were calculated by averaging the monthly mean values from May to October, and then it was multiplied by the number of experimental days and the CH<sub>4</sub>-C transformed was the seasonal carbon(C) budget. A p value of < 0.05was considered significant unless otherwise stated. Dependent variables were tested for normality by the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and were log-transformed when data were not following the normal distribution. One-way ANOVA was used to examine the differences in seasonal C budget among treatments, followed by Tukey's or Tamhane's multiple comparison test. Repeated measures of ANOVAs were used to examine the effects of sampling date, moss removal and N addition on CH<sub>4</sub> flux and soil moisture. In

each year, two-way ANOVAs were used to assess the effects of moss removal, N addi-20 tion and their interactions on  $CH_4$  budgets. Linear regression analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between  $CH_4$  flux and soil moisture or soil temperatures. All the statistical analyses were tested using SPSS package 16.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and figures were conducted by Origin 8.0 (Origin Lab Corporation, USA) and SigmaPlot 12.0 (Systat Software, Inc. USA) for Windows.



Paper

**BGD** 

11, 3365–3385, 2014

CH₄ emission in

boreal peatland

H. N. Meng et al.

### 3 Results

### 3.1 Precipitation, soil moisture and soil temperature

Precipitation showed great annual variations during the sampling periods. The precipitation during the growing seasons of 2011 (496.2 mm) and 2012 (347.1 mm) 5 was 28.8% higher and 9.9% lower than the 20 yr (1991–2010) mean annual value (385.4 mm), respectively, whereas total precipitation during the growing season in 2013 (621.4 mm) was much higher than the 20 yr mean annual value. Annual fluctuations in precipitation resulted in the highest soil moisture in 2013 (p < 0.001). Moss removal significantly increased soil moisture at 5 cm (p < 0.001) in all years, whereas N addition significantly decreased soil moisture (p < 0.001) in 2012 and 2013. Both moss 10 removal and N addition significantly increased soil moisture (p < 0.01) in 2011, but significantly decreased (p < 0.05) it in 2013 (Table 1, Fig. 1b, e, and h). Moss removal significantly increased soil moisture at 10 cm (p < 0.001) in 2012, but significantly decreased (p < 0.01) it in 2013 whereas N addition significantly decreased (p < 0.001) it in both 2012 and 2013. Addition of N interacted with moss removal significantly increased (p < 0.05) soil moisture in 2011, but significantly decreased (p < 0.001) it in 2013 (Table 1, Fig. 1c, f and i). Soil temperature at 5 cm significantly increased under moss removal in 2011 (p < 0.05), whereas N addition showed no effect (p > 0.05) in all years. Moss removal and N addition produced a significant interaction on soil

temperatures ( $\rho < 0.01$ ) in 2012 (Table 1, Fig. 1a, d and g).

# 3.2 Effects of moss removal and N addition on CH<sub>4</sub> flux

Moss removal significantly reduced CH<sub>4</sub> emission by 50.4% in 2013 (p < 0.05, Table 1, Fig. 2c), but had no significant effects in 2011 and 2012 (p > 0.05, Table 1, Fig. 2a and b). The N addition showed a significant negative effect on CH<sub>4</sub> emission in 2013 (65.8%, p < 0.05), but did not significantly affect it in 2011 and 2012 (p > 0.05).

<sup>25</sup> 2013 (65.8%, p < 0.05), but did not significantly affect it in 2011 and 2012 (p > 0.05). However, moss removal and N addition did not produce an interactive effect on CH<sub>4</sub>



emission during the whole sampling periods (Table 2). Moss removal and N addition decreased  $CH_4$  emission by 68.5 % in 2013, but had no effect in 2011 and 2012.

There were substantial annual variations in CH<sub>4</sub> emission (p < 0.001). In the control plots, the average CH<sub>4</sub> emission rate during the growing season <sup>5</sup> was 1.89 mgCH<sub>4</sub>m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> in 2013, which was 800% higher than those in 2011 (0.21 mgCH<sub>4</sub>m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) and 2012 (0.21 mgCH<sub>4</sub>m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 2). The CH<sub>4</sub> flux significantly varied with sampling date during the three growing seasons (p < 0.001, Table 1). The N addition interacted with the sampling date to significantly affect CH<sub>4</sub> flux in 2013 (p < 0.05). Similarly, N addition significantly interacted with moss removal or sampling date to affect CH<sub>4</sub> flux (p < 0.05). However, moss removal and sampling date did not produce an interaction on CH<sub>4</sub> flux (p > 0.05, Table 1).

Across the three growing seasons, CH<sub>4</sub> flux decreased linearly with increased soil temperatures (p < 0.05, Fig. 4a), and showed a positive linear dependence on soil moisture at 5 and 10 cm ( $R^2 = 0.22$ , p < 0.01, Fig. 4b;  $R^2 = 0.39$ , p < 0.01, Fig. 4c). In

all 12 treatments, CH<sub>4</sub> flux was positively and linearly correlated with soil moisture at 5 cm in both 2012 ( $R^2 = 0.32$ , p < 0.01, Fig. 5e) and 2013 ( $R^2 = 0.20$ , p < 0.05, Fig. 5h), and soil moisture at 10 cm in both 2012 ( $R^2 = 0.30$ , p < 0.01, Fig. 5f) and 2013 ( $R^2 = 0.54$ , p < 0.01, Fig. 5i), but negatively and linearly with soil temperatures at 5 cm in 2012 ( $R^2 = 0.33$ , p < 0.01, Fig. 5d).

### 20 4 Discussion

25

Moss removal and N addition were found to have no effects on  $CH_4$  emission in 2011 and 2012, but to significantly decrease  $CH_4$  emission in 2013. These results imply that the effects of moss removal and N addition on  $CH_4$  emission vary with experiment duration and suggest that long-term studies are needed to accurately develop the  $CH_4$ budget in boreal peatlands.

In this study, moss removal had no effects on  $CH_4$  emission in 2011 and 2012, and produced a negative effect in 2013. Methanogens produced  $CH_4$  in strictly anaerobic



condition and were limited by substrate availability (Yavitt et al., 2012). Moss removal not only decreased substrate availability for methanogens in soil and moss mats (Riutta et al., 2007), but also declined soil moisture status (Fig. 1), which may shift the peats from anaerobic to aerobic conditions (Amaral and Knowels, 1995). Moreover, Larmola

- <sup>5</sup> et al. (2010) observed that methanotrophy were less frequent on high hummocks, and the oxidation rates were not detectable because of the dry moss layer for evaporation. Therefore, moss removal would decrease CH<sub>4</sub> emission in boreal peatlands. The result from this study showed that the effect of moss removal on CH<sub>4</sub> emission was timelagged in boreal peatlands.
- Similar to moss removal, N addition had no effects on  $CH_4$  emission in 2011 and 2012, but inhibited  $CH_4$  emission in 2013 in the boreal peatland of the study. Previous studies also found that N addition effects on  $CH_4$  emission were inconsistent. Saarnio et al. (2000) and Granberg et al. (2001) reported that N input increased  $CH_4$  emission in the peatland. In contrast, Granberg et al. (2001) showed that N enrichment decreased
- <sup>15</sup> CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in a fen. In addition, Saarnio and Silvola (1999) found no response of CH<sub>4</sub> production and oxidation to N addition. Moss and vascular plants intercepted the added N in the initial two years, which made N unavailability to soil microbes (Nordin et al., 1998; Saarnio and Silvola, 1999; Bobbink et al., 2010). Hence, N addition did not affect CH<sub>4</sub> emission in 2011 and 2012 in the boreal peatland. The subsequent
- negative effect of N addition on CH<sub>4</sub> emission in 2013 was explained by the following mechanisms. Firstly, N addition reduced decomposition rate in peat soil, which may decrease substrates for methanogenesis (Willams and Silcock, 1997). Secondly, N addition inhibited methanogenesis, due to competition for hydrogen with some microbes and toxicity of denitrification products to the methanogenes (Conrad, 1999). Thirdly, N
   addition promoted CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation by methanotrophs (Bodelier et al., 2000; Bodelier and

Laanbroek, 2004).

Notably, moss removal and N additions did not produce an interactive effect on  $CH_4$  emission in the boreal peatland of this study.  $CH_4$  emission depended on the balance among methanogenesis,  $CH_4$  oxidation and  $CH_4$  transport. In peatlands, methanogenesis



esis and  $CH_4$  oxidation were controlled mainly by soil temperature, soil moisture (or water table below the surface) and substrates (Yavitt et al., 2012). In this study, the mechanisms that controlled the combined effects of moss removal and increased N input on  $CH_4$  emission in boreal peatland ecosystem have not been fully elucidated. Nevertheless, the results suggest that moss removal and N addition independently affect  $CH_4$  emission in boreal peatlands.

The mean  $CH_4$  budget in the control plots ranged from  $0.39 \,\text{gCm}^{-2}$  in 2011 to  $4.49 \,\text{gCm}^{-2}$  in 2013 (Fig. 3), and varied substantially with annual precipitation over the study period in boreal peatlands. These results imply that altered precipitation regimes and increased extreme weather would exert profound influences on  $CH_4$  emission in boreal peatlands in the context of global climate change.

### 5 Conclusion

In this study, we simultaneously assessed the impact of moss removal and N enrichment on CH<sub>4</sub> emission were simultaneously assessed during the growing seasons of 2011 to 2013 in a boreal peatland of Northeast China. Both moss removal and N addition did not affect CH<sub>4</sub> emission in 2011 and 2012, but suppressed it in 2013. Moreover, moss removal and N addition did not produce an interactive effect on CH<sub>4</sub> emission. These results suggest that the effects of moss removal and N addition on CH<sub>4</sub> emission are time-dependent, and long-term studies are needed to accurately develop knowledge of CH<sub>4</sub> emission in boreal peatlands in the context of global climate change. Meanwhile, these results also imply that moss removal and N addition independently suppressed CH<sub>4</sub> emission in boreal peatlands in Northeast China.

Acknowledgements. This project was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 41125001 and 40930527), the Key Project of Chinese Academy of Sciences (KZCX2-YW-JC301), and the "Strategic Priority Research Program-Climate Change: Carbon Budget and Related Issues" of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDA05050508). We



thank Yanyu Song, Baoxian Tao and Jiaoyue Wang for laboratory assistance and Fuxi Shi for great help with the statistical analysis.

### References

5

Amaral, J. A. and Knowles, R.: Growth of methanotrophs in methane and oxygen counter gradients, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 126, 215–220, 1995.

- Basiliko, N., Knowles, R., and Moore, T. R.: Roles of moss species and habitat in methane consumption potential in a northern peatland, Wetlands, 24, 178–185, 2004.
- Baird, A. J., Comas, X., Slater, L. D., Belyea, L. R., and Reeve, A. S.: Understanding carbon cycling in Northern peatlands: recent developments and future prospects, in: Carbon Cycling
- <sup>10</sup> in Northern Peatlands, edited by: Baird, A. J., Belyea, L. R., Comas, X., Reeve, A. S., and Slater, L. D., American Geophysical Union, Washington, USA, 1–3, 2009.
  - Bellisario, L. M., Bubier, J. L., Moore, T. R., and Chanton, J. P.: Controls on CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from a northern peatland, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 13, 81–91, 1999.

Bobbink, R., Hicks, K., Galloway, J., Spranger, T., Alkemade, R., Ashmore, M., Bustamante, M.,

<sup>15</sup> Cinderby, S., Davidson, E., Dentener, F., Emmett, B., Erisman, J. W., Fenn, M., Gilliam, F., Nordin, A., Pardo, L., and De Vries, W.: Global assessment of nitrogen deposition effects on terrestrial plant diversity: a synthesis, Ecol. Appl., 20, 30–59, 2010.

Bodelier, P. L. E. and Laanbroek, H. J.: Nitrogen as a regulatory factor in methane oxidation of soils and sediments, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 47, 265–277, 2004.

- <sup>20</sup> Bodelier, P. L. E., Roslev, P., Henckel, T., and Frenzel, P.: Stimulation by ammonium-based fertilizers of methane oxidation in soil around rice roots, Nature, 403, 421–424, 2000.
  - Bubier, J. L. and Moore, T. R.: An ecological perspective on CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from northern wetlands, Trends Ecol. Evol., 9, 460–464, 1994.

Bubier, J. L., Moore, T. R., and Juggins, S.: Predicting methane emission from bryophyte distri-

- <sup>25</sup> bution in Northern Canadian peatlands, Ecology, 76, 677–693, 1995.
  - Conrad, R.: Contribution of hydrogen to methane production and control of hydrogen concentrations in methanogenic soils and sediments, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 28, 193–202, 1999.

Ferenci, T., Strom, T., and Quayle, J. R.: Oxidation of carbon monoxide and methane by pseudomonas methanica, J. Gen. Microbiol., 91, 79–91, 1975.



Granberg, G., Sundh, I., Svensson, B. H., and Nilsson, M.: Effects of temperature, and nitrogen and sulfur deposition, on methane emissions from a boreal mire, Ecology, 82, 1982–1988, 2001.

IPCC: Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing, in: Climate Change 2007:

<sup>5</sup> The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fouth Assessment Report of the Intergovermental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2007.

Kaiser, J.: The other global pollutant: nitrogen proves tough to curb, Science, 294, 1268–1269, 2001.

10

Larmola, T., Tuittil, E. S., Tiirola, M., Nykänen, H., Martikainen, P. J., Yrjälä, K., Tuomivirta, T., and Fritze, H.: The role of Sphagnum mosses in the methane cycling of a boreal mire, Ecology, 91, 2356–2365, 2010.

Liebner, S., Zeyer, J., Wagner, D., Schubert, C., Pfeiffer, E. M., and Knoblauch, C.: Methane oxi-

- dation associated with submerged brown mosses reduces methane emissions from Siberian polygonal tundra, J. Ecol., 99, 914–922, 2011.
  - Limpens, J., Granath, G., Gunnarsson, U., Aerts, R., Bayley, S., Bragazza, L., Bubier, J., Buttler, A., van den Berg, L. J. L., Francez, A. J., Gerdol, R., Grosvernier, P., Heijmans, M. M. P. D., Hoosbeek, M. R., Hotes, S., Ilomets, M., Leith, I., Mitchell, E. A. D.,
- Moore, T., Nilsson, M. B., Nordbakken, J. F., Rochefort, L., Rydin, H., Sheppard, L. J., Thormann, M., Wiedermann, M. M., Williams, B. L., and Xu, B.: Climatic modifiers of the response to nitrogen deposition in peat-forming *Sphagnum* mosses: a meta-analysis, New Phytol., 191, 496–507, 2011.

Miao, Y., Song, C., Sun, L., Wang, X., Meng, H., and Mao, R.: Growing season methane emis-

- sion from a boreal peatland in the continuous permafrost zone of Northeast China: effects of active layer depth and vegetation, Biogeosciences, 9, 4455–4464, 2012, http://www.biogeosciences.net/9/4455/2012/.
  - Moore, T. R., Roulet, N. T., and Waddington, J. M.: Uncertainty in predicting the effect of climatic change on the carbon cycling of Canadian peatlands, Climatic Change, 40, 229–245, 1998.
- <sup>30</sup> Nordin, A., Nasholm, T., and Ericson, L.: Effects of simulated N deposition on understorey vegetation of a boreal coniferous forest, Funct. Ecol., 12, 691–699, 1998.



Riutta, T., Laine, J., Aurela, M., Rinne, J., Vesala, T., Laurila, T., Haapanala, S., Pihlatie, M., and Tuittila, E. S.: Spatial variation in plant community functions regulates carbon gas dynamics in a boreal fen ecosystem, Tellus B, 59, 838–852, 2007.

Rustad, L. E., Campbell, J. L., Marion, G. M., Norby, R. J., Mitchell, M. J., Hartley, A. E., Cor-

nelissen, J. H. C., and Gurevitch, J.: A meta-analysis of the response of soil respiration, net nitrogen mineralization, and aboveground plant growth to experimental ecosystem warming, Oecologia, 126, 543–562, 2001.

Saarnio, S. and Silvola, J.: Effects of increased  $CO_2$  and N on  $CH_4$  efflux from a boreal mire: a growth chamber experiment, Oecologia, 119, 349–356, 1999.

Saarnio, S., Saarinen, T., Vasander, H., and Silvola, J.: A moderate increase in the annual CH<sub>4</sub> efflux by raised CO<sub>2</sub> or NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> supply in a boreal oligotrophic mire, Glob. Change Biol., 6, 137–144, 2000.

Song, C. C., Xu, X. F., Tian, H. Q., and Wang, Y. Y.: Ecosystem atmosphere exchange of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O and ecosystem respiration in wetlands in the Sanjiang Plain, Northeastern China, Glob, Change Biol., 15, 692–705, 2009.

Sun, X. X.: Potential Response of Methane Emission on Permafrost Degradation in Different Types of Frozen Swamp, in Northeast China, The outbound report of a postdoctoral fellow, 2012 (in Chinese).

15

Sundh, I., Nilsson, M., Granberg, G., and Svensson, B. H.: Depth distribution of microbial-

production and oxidation of methane in northern boreal peatlands, Microb. Ecol., 27, 253– 265, 1994.

Turetsky, M. R.: Decomposition and organic matter quality in continental peatlands: the ghost of permafrost past, Ecosystems, 7, 740–750, 2004.

Vitousek, P. M., Aber, J. D., Howarth, R. W., Likens, G. E., Matson, P. A., Schindler, D. W.,

- <sup>25</sup> Schlesinger, W. H., and Tilman, D.: Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: sources and consequences, Ecol. Appl., 7, 737–750, 1997.
  - Yavitt, J. B., Yashiro, E., Cadillo-Quiroz, H., and Zinder, S. H.: Methanogen diversity and community composition in peatlands of the Central to Northern Appalachian Mountain region, North America, Biogeochemistry, 109, 117–131, 2012.
- Wahlen, M.: The Global methane cycle, Annu. Rev. Earth Pl. Sc., 21, 407–426, 1993.
   Whalen, S. C.: Biogeochemistry of methane exchange between natural wetlands and the atmosphere, Environ. Eng. Sci., 22, 73–94, 2005.



- Wang, Y. S. and Wang, Y. H.: Quick measurement of CH<sub>4</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from a short-plant ecosystem, Adv. Atmos. Sci., 20, 842–844, 2003.
- Willams, B. L. and Silcock, D. J.: Nutrient and microbial changes in the peat profile beneath Sphagnum magellanicum in response to additions of ammonium nitrate, J. Appl. Ecol., 34, 961–970, 1997.

5



**Table 1.** Results (*F* values) of Repeated measures ANOVAs on the effects of N addition (N), moss removal (MR), sample times and their interactions on  $CH_4$  flux ( $gCH_4 m^{-2} h^{-1}$ ), soil temperature (°C) at 5 cm depth, soil moisture (%) at 5 cm depth and soil moisture (%) at 10 cm depth.

	CH₄ fluxes			Soil T at 5 cm depth			Soil M at 5 cm depth			Soil M at 10 cm depth		
	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013	2011	2012	2013
N	2.62	1.54	7.59 <sup>a</sup>	1.69	0.34	4.39	4.89	93.98 <sup>c</sup>	250.60 <sup>c</sup>	0.39	52.66 <sup>c</sup>	306.82 <sup>c</sup>
MR	3.24	1.94	5.42 <sup>a</sup>	5.91 <sup>a</sup>	1.20	4.05	89.02 <sup>c</sup>	70.13 <sup>c</sup>	120.58 <sup>c</sup>	4.58	37.91 <sup>c</sup>	27.82 <sup>b</sup>
MR × N	0.03	0.001	2.57	0.35	18.00 <sup>b</sup>	1.07	17.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.16	10.23 <sup>a</sup>	5.39 <sup>a</sup>	1.39	54.69 <sup>c</sup>
Time	6.13 <sup>c</sup>	5.88 <sup>c</sup>	36.62 <sup>c</sup>	608.31 <sup>c</sup>	393.16 <sup>c</sup>	21.65 <sup>b</sup>	3.73 <sup>a</sup>	18.80 <sup>c</sup>	52.31 <sup>°</sup>	5.40 <sup>b</sup>	16.95 <sup>c</sup>	59.72 <sup>c</sup>
Time × N	1.61	0.53	7.46 <sup>a</sup>	2.28	1.10	9.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.81	7.31 <sup>c</sup>	6.68 <sup>c</sup>	2.47	4.96 <sup>b</sup>	10.48 <sup>c</sup>
Time × MR	1.47	0.81	3.61	2.87 <sup>a</sup>	4.83 <sup>b</sup>	0.51	2.29	4.74 <sup>b</sup>	24.61 <sup>c</sup>	0.74	4.67 <sup>b</sup>	8.02 <sup>b</sup>
Time $\times$ N $\times$ MR	0.54	0.20	5.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.35	4.42 <sup>b</sup>	0.59	1.34	2.00	6.62 <sup>c</sup>	3.16	1.42	4.27 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>, <sup>b</sup>, and <sup>c</sup> represent significant at p < 0.05, 0.01, and 0.001, respectively.



<b>Discussion</b> Pape	B( 11, 3365–3	<b>BGD</b> 11, 3365–3385, 2014 <b>CH</b> 4 emission in						
	boreal p	peatland						
Discussion F	H. N. Me	H. N. Meng et al.						
	Title	Title Page						
aper	Abstract	Introduction						
	Conclusions	References						
Discussio	Tables	Figures						
	I	►I.						
n Pa	•	•						
oer	Back	Close						
	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc						
iscussion Paper	Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version						

**Table 2.** Results (*F* values) of two-way ANOVAs on the effects of N addition (N), moss removal (MR) and their interactions on  $CH_4$  flux (g $CH_4$  m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>).

	2011	2012	2013	average
N MR	2.16 3.87	1.22 1.65	18.34 <sup>b</sup> 5.64 <sup>a</sup>	11.78 <sup>b</sup> 5.56 <sup>a</sup>
$MR \times N$	0.01	0.001	4.02	2.10

 $^{\rm a}$  and  $^{\rm b}$  represent significant at p < 0.05 and 0.01 respectively.









**Fig. 2.** Temporal dynamics of CH<sub>4</sub> flux during the growing seasons in 2011, 2012 and 2013. Data are daily means ( $\pm$ SE, n = 3). Insets represent the seasonal means. CK, control; MR, moss removal; N, N addition; MR × N, moss removal plus N addition. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among treatments (p < 0.05).





**Fig. 3.** Annual CH<sub>4</sub> budget in 2011, 2012 and 2013. Error bars represent standard errors (n = 3). CK, control; MR, moss removal; N, N addition; MR × N, moss removal plus N addition. Different lowercase letters indicate significant differences among treatments (p < 0.05).





**Fig. 4.** Temporal dependence of  $CH_4$  flux on soil temperature (**a**) and soil moisture (**b**, **c**) across the three growing seasons.







