1 Supplementary Information



4 Fig. S1: Profiles of *p*CO₂, VWC, temperature, alkalinity, DIC and pH in remaining mesocosms.



Fig. S2: CO₂ distribution in remaining mesocosms

Unplanted (mes.6)



Fig. S2: CO₂ distribution in remaining mesocosms (continued).



Fig. S3: Log activities of Al^{3+} vs. H^+ as compared to the equilibrium line for $Al(OH)_{3(a)}$ and log activities of CO_3^{2-} vs. Ca^{2+} as compared to the equilibrium line for $CaCO_3$ of pore water in A and C horizons on day 71 (series 2 mesocosms only). Samples were analyzed by ICP-MS (Elan6100DRC, Perkin Elmer, CAN), and concentrations were corrected for dilution by the acid added during a previous alkalinity titration. Saturation indices of different minerals in the mesocosms were calculated with PHREEQC software (Parkhurst and Appelo, 2011). Concentrations of the major anions NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} were set to 62 and 96 mg L⁻¹, respectively, as given by the Hoagland solution composition (Hoagland and Amon, 1950). Solutions were charged balanced by adding either Li⁺ or Cl⁻ until electro neutrality.

The pore water was supersaturated for amorphous aluminum hydroxide, $Al(OH)_{3(a)}$, and this indicates the possible precipitation of a gibbsite-type mineral. The soil solutions were subsaturated for calcite, CaCO₃, indicating the possible dissolution of lime particles added to the field site. The relations between measurement points and the equilibrium lines were less parallel in the C horizon, indicating less control of either aluminum hydroxide or calcite in the subsoil. Activities of H⁺ were generally lower in the pore water samples from planted soil than in unplanted soil, while activities of CO_3^{2-} were slightly elevated.









Fig. S5: Simulated movement of chloride tracer applied at a concentration of 0.92*10⁻⁵ moles
L⁻¹. Combined action of evaporation and transpiration increased tracer concentration ~3 times.
Evapotranspiration caused a peak in the tracer concentration in the C horizon. Evaporation
causes steep increases in the tracer concentration at the very top of the mesocosm.



1

2 Fig. S6: Measured and modeled cumulative drainage and volumetric water content (VWC) in

- 3 barley mesocosm 5.
- 4

1 Text S1: Calculation of theoretical diffusion coefficients

2 Theoretical bulk diffusion diffusivities, D, were calculated using the empiral formulas of
3 Rogers and Nielson (1991) and Andersen (2000) (Eq. 1-3).

$$4 \quad \mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{e}}\boldsymbol{\beta} \tag{1}$$

5
$$D_e = D_0 \exp(-6m\varepsilon - 6m^{14\varepsilon})$$
 (2)

$$6 \quad \beta = \varepsilon_a + L\varepsilon_w + K\rho b \tag{3}$$

7 where D_e is the effective diffusion coefficient (m² s⁻¹), D_0 is the diffusion coefficient in air 8 (m² s⁻¹), ε is the total porosity (m³ m⁻³), ε_a is the air-filled porosity (m³ m⁻³), ε_w is the water-9 filled porosity (m³ m⁻³), *m* is the water saturation ($\varepsilon_w / \varepsilon$) (m³ m⁻³), *L* is the Ostwald coefficient 10 (equals approx. 0.36 at 10°C and 0.23 at 25°C (Clever, 1979)) and *K* is the radon surface 11 sorption coefficient (kg m⁻³) (Rogers and Nielson, 1991), and ρb is the soil bulk density (kg 12 m⁻³).

13 In the calculations ε_w was set to 0.2 and 0.1 (m³ m⁻³) for the A and C horizon, respectively, ρb

- 14 was 1.45 and 1.53 kg m⁻³ for the A and C horizon, respectively, and K was assumed to be 0. L
- 15 was set to 0.26. Total porosities of the A and C horizon were 0.45 and 0.43, respectively.

| Symbol | Meaning | Value | Calculation/Source |
|-------------------|--|---|---|
| R _{init} | Initial root mass | 2.0 g DW | Calculated from the measured root mass (Table 3) assuming linear root growth |
| r | Root growth rate | 2.4 *10 ⁻⁶ g s ⁻¹ | Calculated from the measured root mass 65.5 days after germination (Table 3) and assuming linear root growth |
| RMI | Root mass index | | Calculated by R_{init} +(r *time) |
| Y50 | Optimum microbial respiration | 0.8 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ g _{DWroot} ⁻¹ | Average "optimum" respiration in planted mesocosms divided by the root mass 65.5 days after germination (Table 3) and by a factor 2 for equal division between root and microbial respiration |
| γ_{p0} | Optimum root respiration | 0.8 µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹ g _{DWroot} | |
| а | Scaling factor for depth dependency of microbial respiration | 0.0015 m ⁻¹ | |
| - | Boundary layer height | 0.02 m | |

1 References

- Clever, H. L.: Solubility data series. Krypton, xenon and radon-gas solubilities, Pergamon
 Press, 1979.
- 4 Dunlop, J.: The kinetic uptake of calcium by roots, Planta, 112, 159-167, 1973.
- Hoagland, D. R., and Amon, D. I.: The water-culture method for growing plants without soil,
 College of Agriculture, University of California, Berkley, 1950.
- 7 Marschner, H.: Mineral nutrition of higher plants, Second Edition ed., Academic Press
- 8 Limited, London, 1995.
- 9 Nielsen, N. E.: Forløbet af rodudvikling, næringsstofoptagelse of stofproduktion hos byg,
- 10 dyrket på frugtbar morænelerjord, Royal Veterenary and Agricultural University,11 Copenhagen, DK, 1982.
- 12 Nissen, P.: Multiphasic uptake in plants II. Mineral cations, chloride, and boric acid, Physiol
- 13 Plantarum, 29, 298-354, 1973a.
- Nissen, P.: Multiphasic uptake in plants I. Phosphate and sulphate, Plant Physiol, 28, 304-316,1973b.
- 16 Parkhurst, D., and Appelo, C. A. J.: PHREEQC (Version 3)- A computer program for
- 17 speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations,
- 18 U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, Denver, Colorado, USA, 2011.
- 19 Rao, K. P., and Rains, D. W.: Nitrate absorption by barley, Plant Physiol, 57, 55-58, 1976.
- Rogers, V. C., and Nielson, K. K.: Correlations for predicting air permeabilities and ²²²Rn
 diffusion coefficients og soils, Health Phys, 61, 225-230, 1991.
- 22
- 23
- 24