1	Nitrate sources and dynamics in the salinized river and estuary-
2	A δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO ₃ ⁻ isotope approach
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	D. Xue ¹ , P. Boeckx ³ , Z. Wang ^{1,2,*}
8	
9	
10	¹ Tianjin Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Environment, Tianjin Normal
11	University, Tianjin 300387, China.
12	² State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry,
13	Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550002, China.
14	³ Isotope Bioscience Laboratory - ISOFYS, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent
15	University, Coupure links 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	*Correspondence to:
22	Zhong-Liang Wang, Tianjin Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Environment,
23	Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300387, China.
24	E-mail: wangzhongliang@vip.skleg.cn
25	Tel.and fax: +86 22 237666256

26 Abstract

27 To trace NO_3 sources and assess NO_3 dynamics in the salinized rivers and estuaries, three rivers (HH River, CB River and JY River) and two estuaries (HH Estuary and 28 CJ Estuary) along the Bohai Bay (China) have been selected to determine DIN and 29 δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻. Upstream of the HH River NO₃⁻ was removed 30.9±22.1% by 30 denitrification, resulting from effects of the floodgate: limiting water exchange with 31 downstream and prolonging water residence time to remove NO₃. Downstream of the 32 HH River NO₃⁻ was removed 2.5±13.3% by NO₃⁻ turnover processes. Conversely, 33 NO₃⁻ was increased 36.6±25.2% by external N source addition in the CB River and 34 35 34.6±35.1% by in-stream nitrification in the JY River, respectively. The HH and CY 36 Estuaries behaved mostly conservative excluding the sewage input in the CJ Estuary. Hydrodynamic in estuaries has changed by the ongoing reclamation projects, 37 aggravating the estuary losing the attenuation function of NO_3^{-1} . 38 39

40

Key words: N pollution, estuary mixing, source and sink, NO₃⁻ dynamic, δ¹⁵N- and
δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻, floodgate
43
44
45
46

47

48

49

51 1. Introduction

Increasing population, extensive agricultural activities and rapid development of urbanization in coastal areas have dramatically increased N loading to rivers and coastal waters (Seitzinger and Kroeze 1998; Jennerjahn et al., 2004; Umezawa et al., 2008). Estuaries play a prominent role for delivery of terrestrially derived N to coastal water through physical, chemical, and biological processes (Mulholland 1992; Bernhardt et al. 2003; Sebilo et al. 2006; Hartzell and Jordan, 2012).

58 Many estuarine studies have focused on tracing N sources and assessing N dynamics 59 in large estuarine systems, such as the Elbe Estuary (Dähnke et al., 2008) and the Atlantic coast (Middelburg and Herman, 2007) in Europe, the San Francisco Bay 60 61 Estuary (Wankel et al., 2006), the Mississippi River Estuary (Rabalais et al., 1996), 62 and the Mid-Atlantic coast (Dafner et al., 2007) in the United States, and the Yangtze River Estuary (Chai et al., 2009) and Pearl River Estuary (Dai et al., 2008) in China. 63 64 Compared to these large estuarine systems with high discharge of freshwater, the 65 levels of freshwater discharge are relatively low in the small estuaries, which are characterized by salinization from sea-water intrusion for rather long distances 66 upstream (Graas and Savenije, 2008). How do these salinized estuaries respond to 67 increased N loading? How do physical and biological processes control DIN (NH4⁺, 68 NO_2 and NO_3) concentration variations? 69

To answer these questions, an intensive study was conducted in three rivers and the corresponding estuaries characterized by different levels of salinization in a coastal municipality (Tianjin) along the Bohai Bay (China). Two investigated rivers with mean salinities around 0.5 and 0.7 flow through a rural area and converge before entering into the estuary. The third one with mean salinity around 2.2 flows through Tianjin municipality and is separated into three parts by two floodgates crossing the

76 river, for providing water supply for the residents living along the river bank. Since 77 the rapid urbanization and population growth in Tianjin municipality, NO_3^- loading progressively increased in rivers and estuaries associated with human activities, such 78 79 as agricultural runoff, untreated domestic and industrial wastewater (Gao et al., 2011). Furthermore, port constructions and reclamation projects along the coastline of the 80 municipality even aggravate NO_3^- pollution (Zhang et al., 2004). Thus, tracing NO_3^- 81 sources and assessing NO₃⁻ dynamics in the salinized rivers and estuaries represent 82 83 fundamental goals in this study.

More than concentration data alone, the combined use of N (δ^{15} N) and O (δ^{18} O) 84 isotopes of NO_3^- has provided a powerful tool to investigate NO_3^- dynamics and 85 86 identify NO₃ sources in estuaries (Middelburg and Nieuwenhuize 2001; Sebilo et al. 2006; Wankel et al. 2006; Dähnke et al., 2008; Miyajima et al., 2009). Therefore, in 87 the present study, a combined approach based on the mixing curves of DIN 88 concentration versus salinity and δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ is applied to (1) identify 89 potential dominant NO₃ sources responsible for NO₃ contamination; and (2) elucidate 90 possible NO₃⁻ dynamics in the different salinized rivers and the estuaries. 91

92

93 2. Material and method

94 2.1 Study area

The investigated three rivers are located in a coastal municipality, Tianjin, China (Fig. 1). The study region is influenced by the warm temperate semi-humid monsoon climate with an average annual temperature of 11.4–12.9°C. The annual precipitation is 520–660 mm, with 75% of the total precipitation occurring in June, July and August (yue et al., 2010). The population of Tianjin municipality is ca.16 million and the density is 1100 inhabitants km⁻². The survey took place in the dry season for three 101 rivers along a salinity gradient, the Haihe River (HH River) on 7 Nov. 2012, the 102 Chaobaixin River (CB River) on 9 Nov. 2012 and the Jiyun River (JY River) on 10 Nov. 2012 (Fig.1). Water samples were also taken along the estuary of the HH River 103 104 (HH Estuary) and the mixing estuary of the CB River and the JY River (CJ Estuary) on 16 Nov. 2012 to study reactive N transformation processes from the river to the 105 estuary (Fig.1). The HH River is characterized by 72km in length, ca. 100m in width, 106 3-5m in depth, and a watershed area of 2066 km² (Liu et al., 2001). Since the 107 separation by the floodgate F1, the upstream part of the HH River serves as a 108 river-type reservoir for the purpose of supplying water to the residents living along 109 110 the river bank. The other floodgate F2 is located at the end of the HH River serves as 111 flood discharging, tidal blocking and ship traffic. Although there were eight sewage 112 outlets along the HH River, they were all forbidden to discharge. The average runoff of the HH River is $12.36 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3/\text{a}$; the average tidal amplitude is 2.43 m; and the 113 114 average flow velocity is 0.3-0.4 m/S in 2000-2004 (Wen and Xing, 2004). The CB River flows through a rural area and is characterized by 81km in length, ca. 700 m in 115 width, 5-7m in depth, and a watershed area of 1387 km² (Gburek and Sharpley, 1998). 116 117 Animal manure could be a potential dominant NO_3 source in the CB River as this 118 watershed has important livestock breeding base for the municipality (Shao et al., 119 2010). The JY River flows through agricultural area and is considered as a significant 120 water source for agricultural and domestic use. The JY River is characterized by 121 144km in length, ca. 300 m in width, less than 7 m in depth, and a watershed area of 2146 km²(Chen et al., 2000). The average runoff of the converged river mouth of the 122 CB River and the JY River is $16.03 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3/\text{a}$; the average tidal amplitude is 2.45m; 123 and the average flow velocity is 0.5-0.7 m/S in 1990-1997(Liang and Xing, 1999). 124 Unfortunately, we have no hydrological data for these rivers during the study period. 125

127 2.2 Sampling and analysis

Water samples were taken on a bridge using a bucket serially from upstream 128 129 downwards for the rivers and on a ship for estuarine water. The bucket was put into the river/estuary water until it reached ~0.5m below the surface to sample water. 130 Water samples were stored frozen in 1L HDPE (High Density Polyethylene) bottles 131 for determination of physico-chemical properties and δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻. Salinity, 132 133 temperature (T), pH and dissolved oxygen (DO) were measured by a portable water quality probe (Thermo Orion, USA). Laboratory analyses included NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻ and 134 NH_4^+ . All samples were filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filters and stored at 4°C 135 until analysis. Nitrate (NO₃⁻), NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations were analyzed on a 136 continuous flow analyzer (Auto Analyzer 3, Seal, Germany). 137

The δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values were determined by the "Bacterial denitrification" 138 139 method" (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002; Xue et al., 2010) in the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility of California University, which allows for the simultaneous 140 determination of δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O of N₂O produced from the conversion of NO₃⁻ by 141 denitrifying bacteria, which naturally lack N₂O - reductase activity. Isotope ratios of 142 δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O are measured using a Thermo Finnigan GasBench + PreCon trace gas 143 concentration system interfaced to a Thermo Scientific Delta V Plus isotope-ratio 144 145 mass spectrometer (Bremen, Germany). The N₂O sample is purged from vials through 146 a double-needle sampler into a helium carrier stream (25 mL/min) and CO₂ is removed using scrubber (Ascarite). By cryogenic trapping and focusing, the N₂O is 147 compressed onto an Agilent GS-Q capillary column (30m x 0.32 mm, 40°C, 1.0 148 mL/min) and subsequently analyzed by IRMS. 149

Stable isotope data were expressed in delta (δ) units in per mil (‰) relative to the
respective international standards:

152
$$\delta_{\text{sample}}(\%) = \left(\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1\right) \times 1000$$
(1)

where R_{sample} and $R_{standard}$ are the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N or ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio of the sample and standard for $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$, respectively. Values of $\delta^{15}N$ are reported relative to atmospheric air (AIR) and $\delta^{18}O$ values are reported relative to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water 2 (VSMOW 2). The calibration standards are the nitrates USGS 32, USGS 34, and USGS 35, and are supplied by NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD).

159

160 2.3 Mixing model

161 The concentration of a mixture can be calculated via a basic mixing model (Liss,162 1976):

163
$$C_{MIX} = f \times C_R + (1 - f) C_M$$
 (2)

where C represents concentration, the subscripts R and M represent riverine and marine end-members, respectively; f represents the fraction of freshwater in each sample calculated from salinity (Dähnke et al., 2008):

167
$$f = (salinity_{MAX} - salinity_{MEA}) / salinity_{MAX}$$
 (3)

where MAX is taken as the maximum measured salinity of marine end-member forcoastal water and MEA is taken as the measured salinity of the mixture.

170 Isotopic values of mixed estuarine samples (δ_{MIX}) were calculated using 171 concentration-weighted isotopic values for riverine and marine end-members, 172 respectively (Fry 2002; Dähnke, 2008):

173
$$\delta_{\text{MIX}} = [f \times C_{\text{R}} \times \delta_{\text{R}} + (1 - f)C_{\text{M}} \times \delta_{\text{M}}]/C_{\text{MIX}}$$
(4)

where C represents concentration, δ represents isotopic value, the subscripts R and M represent riverine and marine end-members, respectively; and f represents the fraction of freshwater in each sample. The salinity-based isotopic mixing does not follow

177 linear conservative mixing but show curvilinear mixing that reflects178 concentration-based weighting of end-member isotopic contributions.

When a conservative mixing appeared between the riverine and marine end-members, 179 180 DIN distribution is expected to fall on the linear mixing line. When an enriched external source or biological transformation (e.g. mineralization, nitrification, etc.) 181 contributes into the river, DIN distribution is expected to fall above the mixing line. In 182 turn, when a depleted external source or the internal removal processes (e.g., 183 denitrification, assimilation, etc.) appears in the river, DIN distribution is expected to 184 fall below the mixing line (Wankel et al., 2006). The curvilinear mixing curves of 185 determined $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$ of NO_3^- provide better information for transformation 186 187 processes: an isotopic enriched NO₃⁻ source or internal removal processes (e.g. denitrification, assimilation, etc.) will result in a distribution of δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O falling 188 above the mixing lines, while an isotopic depleted nitrate source or internal 189 nitrification will result in a distribution of δ^{15} N and/or δ^{18} O falling below the mixing 190 191 line.

192

193 2.4 Nitrate removal efficiency

194 Variation percentages of the measured NO_3^- concentrations compared to that of the 195 calculated mixing lines were computed to assess the NO_3^- removal efficiency for the 196 rivers and estuaries as follows:

197 Variation (%) =
$$\frac{C_{\text{measured}} - C_{\text{theoretical}}}{C_{\text{theoretical}}} \times 100\%$$
 (5)

where C_{measured} represents the measured NO₃⁻ concentration; and $C_{\text{theoretical}}$ represents the theoretical NO₃⁻ concentration calculated based on the mixing line. A variation percentage > 0 represents a source; a variation percentage < 0 represents a sink; and a variation percentage equal to 0 represents a mixing.

203 3. Results

204 3.1 Physicochemical properties

Table 1 summarizes the data of physicochemical properties collected in this study in 205 the rivers and estuaries. Obviously, the salinities of the HH River (ranging from 0.7 to 206 4.9 with a mean value of 2.2) and its estuary (ranging from 18.6 to 24.1 with a mean 207 value of 21.2) is higher than the rivers of CB (ranging from 0.5 to 0.6 with a mean 208 value of 0.5) and JY (ranging from 0.6 to 0.8 with a mean value of 0.7) and the 209 corresponding estuary (ranging from 2.0 to 20.0 with a mean value of 7.7), 210 211 respectively. The municipality had been suffering multiple seawater intrusion and 212 regression, which results in the salinization of the rivers and soil (Wang, 2004), while the greater salinization level of the HH River is also related to seawater intrusion over 213 214 the floodgate until upstream of the HH River in a relatively long distance. The rivers and the estuaries showed similar pH values between 7.5 and 8.6. The temperature of 215 HH River varied around 12.3°C slightly higher than the CB River (mean is 10.9°C) 216 and the JY River (11.5°C). The mean temperature of the HH Estuary (9.7) is also 217 higher than that of the CJ Estuary (6.7). Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations were 218 relatively enriched in this study (higher than 7.2 mg L^{-1}), excluding the DO depleted 219 area in the upstream of the HH River (lower than 5.0 mg L^{-1}). 220

221

3.2 DIN species

Wide concentration variations were noticeable for DIN (NH_4^+ , NO_2^- and NO_3^-) species in Table 1. In the HH River, the NH_4^+ concentrations varied from 124.1 to 332.6 µmol L⁻¹, the NO_3^- concentrations varied from 62.5 to 219.0µmol L⁻¹ and the NO_2^- concentrations varied from 7.2 to 20.8µmol L⁻¹. The DIN concentrations of the

HH Estuary varied smoothly (5.6-6.7 μ mol L⁻¹ for NO₂⁻, 7.1-25.7 μ mol L⁻¹ for NO₃⁻, 227 and 65.7-88.1 μ mol L⁻¹ for NH₄⁺) and were quite low compared to the HH River. 228 Nitrate concentrations in the CB river were relatively elevated (120.0 - 171.5 µmol 229 L^{-1}) with a continuous accumulation along the entire salinity gradient, while NO₂⁻¹ 230 concentrations decreased from 12.0 to 6.0 µmol L⁻¹. Ammonium concentrations in the 231 CB River varied from 143.9 to 380.0 μ mol L⁻¹. The JY River also showed NO₃⁻¹ 232 accumulation (increased from 40.0 to 83.3 μ mol L⁻¹) along the entire salinity gradient, 233 while a decreasing trend was observed for both NO₂⁻ (decreased from 7.0 to 2.1 µmol 234 L^{-1}) and NH₄⁺ (decreased from 72.8 to 11.1µmol L⁻¹) concentrations. The CJ Estuary 235 displayed a sea-ward decreasing trend with relatively elevated concentrations in NH₄⁺ 236 $(328.4-43.2 \text{ }\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1})$, NO₂⁻ $(7.8-3.4 \text{}\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1})$ and NO₃⁻ $(153.4-6.1 \text{}\mu\text{mol } \text{L}^{-1})$. 237 Compared to the other river and estuaries, DIN results of this study are similar to that 238 in the Pearl River Estuary (Dai et al., 2008) in South China Sea, but higher than that 239 in the Elbe Estuary (Dähnke et al., 2008) in Europe and the San Francisco Bay 240 Estuary (Wankel et al., 2006) in the United States. The specific reasons to cause such 241 variations could be potentially linking to internal/external N source contributions and 242 different N dynamics in the rivers and the estuaries. 243

244

245 3.3 Isotopic composition of NO₃⁻

The isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ varied spatially among the rivers and the estuaries (Table 1). The δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values in the HH River varied from -0.2 to 8.4‰ and the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values varied from -0.5 to 1.5‰. The isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ in the HH Estuary remained stable around 8.1‰ for δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and 5.6‰ for the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻. In the CB River, the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values were enriched with a mean of 13.6‰, and the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values were in a range between 3.9 and 5.6‰. A decrease in δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ 252 (from 6.5 to 4.4‰) and an increase in δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ (from 0.8 to 5.3‰) values along the 253 salinity were observed in the JY River. The CY Estuary demonstrated a wide range of 254 δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ (from 7.1 to 15.0‰), while a narrow range of δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ (from 5.9 to 255 6.9‰).

256

257 4. Discussion

4.1 Potential dominant NO₃ sources

To derive qualitative information on the predominant NO₃ sources in the rivers and 259 the corresponding estuaries, a classical dual isotope approach (δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ vs. 260 δ^{18} O-NO₃) has been applied (Figure 2). It is clear that the isotope signatures of all the 261 262 sampling locations showed in a scattered distribution, indicating different NO₃⁻ source influence in the rivers and the estuaries. Upstream of the HH River at a salinity of 1.0, 263 a floodgate F1 separates the river into two parts; and at the end of the river at the 264 salinity of 4.9, the other floodgate F2 controls the connection of the river to the HH 265 Estuary. Hence, the δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values of the HH River behaved quite 266 differently, which moved from the overlapping area of the "NH₄⁺fertilzier" and "soil 267 N" source boxes for the majority of the upstream sampling locations, to the 268 overlapping area of the "soil N" and "manure and sewage" source boxes at the end of 269 the river. In this study, the majority of the sampling locations were potentially 270 influenced by the source of "soil N" or "sewage" not the "mineral fertilizer", as the 271 HH River flows through the municipality without agricultural activities. In addition, it 272 can no be excluded the influence from salt water intrusion from the estuary, which 273 showed similar isotopic values to that at the end of the HH River. The distribution of 274 the HH Estuary does not show a landward trend due to the floodgate F2 at the end of 275 the HH River, but falls into the range of marine NO₃⁻ reported by Kendall et al. 276

277 (2007).

Animal manure could be a potential dominant NO_3 source in the CB River as this 278 watershed plays the role of important livestock breeding base for the municipality 279 (Shao et al., 2010). Furthermore, the δ^{15} N-NO₃ values were enriched and varied 280 around 14‰, indicating anthropogenic NO₃⁻ derived from manure (Kendall et al., 281 2007; Xue et al., 2009). The isotope signatures of the JY River were mainly 282 concentrated in the "soil N" source box. The δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values of the 283 CJ Estuary suggested an influence of the CB River. In addition, quite high DIN 284 concentrations (Table 1) appeared in this estuary, due to sewage discharge of mooring 285 ships in the vicinity of the sampling area. Thus, the influence of sewage and the CB 286 River was considered as the dominant NO₃ source. 287

288

4.2 Nitrate dynamics in the salinized rivers and the corresponding estuaries

4.2.1 Nitrate dynamics in the HH River and its estuary

291 A mixing line (HH1-E) was setup between the most upstream sampling location in the HH River and the most downstream sampling location in the HH Estuary (Fig. 3). 292 After the separation of the floodgate F1, the upstream of the HH River serves as a 293 river-type reservoir. Thus, a new mixing line (HH2-E mixing line) was re-calculated 294 between the sampling location after the floodgate and estuarine water (Fig. 3). The 295 salinity gradient sampled in the HH River and its estuary showed a seaward 296 decreasing trend in DIN (NH4⁺, NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻) concentrations and an increasing 297 trend in δ^{15} N-NO₃ and δ^{18} O-NO₃ values throughout the entire salinity gradient (Fig. 298 3). However, the DIN and isotopic trends did not behave conservatively, as most of 299 the measured data deviated from the calculated mixing lines. 300

301 It is clear that in the upstream part of the HH River before the floodgate F1, NO_2^- and

 NH_4^+ were above (a source) while NO_3^- was below (a sink) the HH1-E mixing line. 302 303 Normally, the reductive removal of NO_3^- due to denitrification and assimilation is accompanied with N and O isotope fractionations. The kinetic isotope effects are 304 responsible for preferentially utilizing the lighter isotopes ¹⁴N and ¹⁶O, causing an 305 enrichment of the heavy isotopes in the remaining NO₃ (Mariotti et al., 1981; Mayer 306 et al., 2002; Fukada et al., 2003). Some studies reported that a linear relationship 307 indicating an enrichment of ¹⁵N relative to ¹⁸O by a factor between 0.8 and 2.0 gives 308 evidence for denitrification (Aravenaand and Robertson, 1998; Fukada et al., 2003; 309 Xue et al., 2009) and 1.0 for assimilation (Granger et al. 2004). In our study, the ratio 310 311 of N and O isotopic enrichment is 0.8, apparently implying that the removal process 312 of NO₃⁻ in this river was predominated by denitrification rather than assimilation. From another aspect, elevated NH_4^+ compared to NO_3^- will inhibit NO_3^- assimilation 313 by phytoplankton (Dugdale and Hopkins, 1978; Dugdale and MacIsaac, 1971; 314 Dugdale et al., 2006), thus assimilation process is unlikely significant. The linear 315 relation between the isotopic values and the logarithm of residual NO_3^- indicated that 316 denitrification with constant enrichment factors ($\varepsilon = -1.8\%$ for $\delta^{15}N$ and $\varepsilon = -1.4\%$ for 317 δ^{18} O) was responsible for the increases in δ^{18} O and δ^{15} N as well. The relatively small 318 319 enrichment factors were potentially linked to sedimentary denitrification, as diffusion limits the effects of fractionations in the sediments on the $\delta^{15}N$ - and $\delta^{18}O NO_3^-$ in the 320 overlying water column (Sebilo et al., 2003, Lehmann et al., 2004; Sigman et al., 321 2005). The NH_4^+ species was accumulated as a source, potentially originating from 322 organic matter decomposition not sewage discharge, as the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values 323 (-0.2-1.1‰) were out of the sewage range. Denitrification could also be the potential 324 process for NO₂ accumulation in the upstream part of the HH River. However, 325 nitrification can not be excluded, especially at relatively low DO levels which may 326

favor ammonium oxidizers ($NH_4^+ \rightarrow NO_2^-$) rather than nitrite oxidizers ($NO_2^- \rightarrow NO_3^-$),

328 promoting NO₂⁻ accumulation (Helder and De Vries, 1998).

For the HH2-E mixing line after the floodgate F1 (Fig. 3), salinity gradient sampled in 329 the downstream of the HH River illustrated NO_3^- turned from a source (above the 330 HH2-E mixing line) to a sink (below the HH2-E mixing line), while NO_2^- and NH_4^+ 331 turned from a sink (below the HH2-E mixing line) to a source (above the HH2-E 332 mixing line) at the end of the river. Nitrate accumulation may be linked to an 333 in-stream nitrification process, in which NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺ were consumed to produce 334 NO₃⁻. In nitrification, the conversion of NH_4^+ to NO_2^- and NO_3^- is accompanied by 335 marked N isotope fractionation effects, resulting in ¹⁵N depleted NO₃⁻ (Delwiche and 336 Steyn, 1970; Mariotti et al., 1981; Macko and Ostrom, 1994). For δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values, 337 NO_3^- produced by nitrification in aquatic environments usually takes similar $\delta^{18}O$ 338 values to the ambient water (Casciotti et al. 2002; Sigman et al.2005). There is 339 evidence that O can exchange between H2O and intermediate compounds of 340 nitrification (Andersson et al., 1982; DiSpirito and Hooper, 1986; Kool et al., 2007). 341 Since the δ^{18} O of estuarine water is expected to be higher than that of river water 342 (Miyajima et al., 2009), δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ should increase along the salinity gradient when in 343 situ nitrification is occurring. Thus, a decrease in δ^{15} N- (4.6-3.9‰) and an increase in 344 δ^{18} O-NO₃ (0.6-1.2‰) occurred downstream of the HH River and confirmed the 345 in-stream nitrification process as a NO_3^- source. The NH_4^+ concentrations increased at 346 the end of the HH River (a maximum turbidity zone), probably from the release of 347 particle-bound NH4⁺ (Seitzinger et al., 1991; Schlarbaum et al., 2010). Results 348 (Kranck, 1984; Eisma, 1986; Schlarbaum et al., 2010) have been reported that this 349 NH₄⁺ could originate from the mineralization of ¹⁵N-enriched DON adsorbed onto the 350 particles and was released with the estuarine turbidity maximum. The ¹⁵N-enriched 351

 NH_4^+ was further converted to ¹⁵N-enriched NO₃⁻. Thus, the $\delta^{15}N$ - NO₃⁻ increased 352 sharply from 3.9 to 8.4‰ while the δ^{18} O- NO₃⁻ only increased slightly from 1.2 to 353 1.5%, resulting from taking similar δ^{18} O values to the ambient water. Another 354 candidate reason to cause a sharp increase in NH₄⁺ concentration could be sewage 355 discharge. Sewage is enriched in ¹⁵N relative to other N sources, as ammonia 356 volatilization causes a large enrichment of ${}^{15}N$ in the residual NH₄⁺. This NH₄⁺ is 357 subsequently converted into ¹⁵N-enriched NO₃. When salinity achieves 5, nitrifying 358 bacterial was potentially inhibited and reduced the conversion rate from NO_2^- to NO_3^- 359 (Pollice et al., 2002). Hence, the NO₂⁻ was accumulated and NO₃⁻ was declined in this 360 zone. 361

The DIN concentrations and δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ in the coastal water behaved 362 conservatively of a mixing. Since the separation of the floodgate F2 at the end of the 363 364 HH River, the salinity demonstrated a sudden increase from 4.9 (before the floodgate) to 18.6 (after the floodgate) in 1 km, potentially indicate that the HH river discharge 365 was limited due to the floodgate F2. As the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ value of the last sampling 366 location in the HH River was close to that of the estuarine water, hence δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ 367 values remained stable at ~8.0‰. The δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values increase sea-ward because of 368 369 the high percentage of coastal water.

370

4.3 Nitrate dynamics in the CB River and JY River and their estuary

Compared to the HH River, the salinity of the CB and JY rivers varied in a relatively small range, from 0.5 to 0.6 for the CB River and from 0.6 to 0.8 for the JY River. Mixing lines were calculated between the CB and JY rivers and the estuarine water, respectively (Fig. 4). Both CB and JY rivers demonstrated a NO_3^- source along the salinity gradient, indicating a NO_3^- input from either in-stream nitrification or external 377 loading.

Nitrate concentrations in the CB River were elevated with a continuous accumulation 378 along the river. The CB River flows through a rural area with intensive livestock 379 production, likely resulting in NO₃⁻ contamination in the CB River (Shao et al., 2010). 380 Furthermore, a regular source-sink pattern was observed for NH_4^+ concentrations 381 while a decrease for NO_2^- . The sharp increase in NH_4^+ concentrations was probably 382 linked to manure discharge in the rural area. The added NH4⁺ was then rapidly 383 oxidized to NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻ during nitrification. Hence, δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values were 384 enriched and varied around 13.6‰, indicating NO₃⁻ derived from manure (Kendall et 385 al., 2007; Xue et al., 2009). As NO_3^{-1} from these origins is produced via nitrification, 386 its δ^{18} O values would not be very different from ambient water. Thus, the gradual 387 increase in δ^{18} O-NO₃ values along the salinity gradient above the respected mixing 388 line confirmed the in situ nitrification (see the discussion above). Thus, in the CB 389 River, the NO₃⁻ turnover is mainly regulated by nitrification from external livestock N 390 391 loadings.

The JY River became a significant source for NO₃⁻ in concert with a sink for NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺ species. The accumulation of NO₃⁻ was linked to the in-stream nitrification, resulting from the consumption of NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺. Evidence for this may be indicated by decreasing δ^{15} N- NO₃⁻ and increasing δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values along the river.

The salinity gradient sampled in the corresponding estuary showed a sea-ward decreasing trend in NH_4^+ , NO_2^- and NO_3^- concentrations. The measured data in the CJ Estuary were expected to fall between the two calculated mixing lines generated from the rivers of CB and JY, because they both discharge into the same estuary. A major DIN source (above the two calculated mixing lines) appeared in the salinity zone between 2.0 and 4.2. This was probably from sewage discharge of mooring ships in the vicinity of the sampling area. The typically high δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ (13.6 to 15.0‰) values confirmed NO₃⁻ derivation from sewage. This point-source contamination was diluted by the estuarine water when salinity higher than 4.2, where the DIN concentrations and δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values fall between the two mixing lines. The δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values of the estuarine water were quite close to the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ derived from the nitrification of sewage, thus δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values were expected to retain stable.

408

409 4.4 Nitrate removal efficiency in the rivers and the estuaries

In this study, most of the measured data deviated from the calculated mixing lines, 410 411 indicating rivers and estuaries becoming either a source or a sink. Thus, variation 412 percentages of the measured data compared to the calculated mixing lines were computed to assess the NO₃ removal efficiency for the rivers and estuaries (Fig. 5). 413 414 Interestingly, in the upstream part of the HH River before the floodgate F1, NO₃ was removed 30.9±22.1% compared to the calculated mixing line. Denitrification could be 415 the dominant NO_3^- removal process. This potentially results from the separation of the 416 floodgate F1 which limited water exchange with downstream water enriched in DO. 417 Furthermore, the floodgate F1 might prolong water residence time in the upstream 418 419 part to remove a significant part of riverine N loading. The downstream part of the HH River between floodgate F1 and floodgate F2 showed an extremely weak NO_3^{-1} 420 removal tendency (remove $2.5 \pm 13.3\%$ of NO₃⁻) from active NO₃⁻ turnover processes 421 and the HH Estuary demonstrated a conservative behavior with respect to NO_3^- . In 422 contrast, a significant source of NO_3^- is present in the CB (36.6±25.2%) and JY 423 (34.6±35.1%) rivers compared to the calculated mixing line, explained by external N 424 425 source addition and in-stream nitrification, respectively. Moreover, the CJ Estuary demonstrated higher NO₃⁻ accumulation efficiency (82.1±78.8%) as a result of an 426

427 external N source input. Great variation percentages were observed between the
428 sampling points from the same river or estuary, possibly resulting from different N
429 dynamics and/or external source input.

430 Estuaries of rivers are considered as active sites of massive NO₃ losses (Brion et al., 2004; Seitzinger et al., 2006), removing up to 50% of NO₃⁻ (OsparCom, 2000). 431 However, our data do not support this view as in the HH and the CJ Estuary. First, DO 432 concentrations were higher than 10 mgL⁻¹ not favorable for water column NO₃⁻¹ 433 removal processes. Second, dredging and diking work to deepen the ship channel 434 decreased the sediment area (where denitrification mainly occurred) that is in contact 435 with the overlying water column in the rivers (Dähnke et al., 2008), thus the NO_3^{-1} 436 437 removal ability was reduced. Third, water residence time is not long enough to 438 remove N loads in the estuaries by NO₃ removing processes as reclamation projects for the regional and national economy leading to the hydrodynamics of circulation in 439 Tianjin section disappearance (Qin et al., 2012). This phenomenon could reduce water 440 441 residence time and force NO₃ pollutants moving to the northern part of Bohai Bay, aggravating NO₃ contamination. Furthermore, this wintertime situation, with water 442 temperature around 10°C, ruled out most biological activity, and conservative mixing 443 444 behavior in the HH River Estuary was not overly surprising. However, the CJ Estuary became a NO₃ source, linking to sewage discharge of mooring ships. 445

446

447 5. Conclusions

The combined use of salinity, DIN concentrations and NO_3^- isotopic composition revealed NO_3^- sources and dynamics in the salinized rivers of HH, CB and JY and elucidated mixing patterns of NO_3^- in the corresponding estuarine system. The HH River demonstrated a significant NO_3^- sink appeared in the upstream part of the HH

452	River by denitrification process. This potentially results from the separation of the
453	floodgate F1 which limited water exchange with downstream water enriched in DO
454	and prolong water residence time in the upstream to remove a significant part of
455	riverine N loading. The downstream of the HH River showed an extremely weak NO ₃ ⁻
456	removal tendency from active NO_3^- turnover processes. In contrast, a significant
457	source of NO_3^- is present in the rivers of CB and JY, linking to external N source
458	addition and in-stream nitrification, respectively. We found that the estuarine mixing
459	behavior is mostly conservative excluding the point source input appeared in the CJ
460	estuary. Data indicate that the rivers and their corresponding estuaries have lost their
461	natural capacity of NO_3^- removal but turned into a significant source of NO_3^- for the
462	adjacent Bohai Bay.
463	
464	
465	
466	
467	
468	
469	
470	
471	
472	
473	
474	
475	
476	

477	Acknowledgements: We gratefully acknowledge Xi Yang and Qing Chen for water
478	sample preparation and the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility of California University
479	for isotope analyses. This study was financially supported by the National Science &
480	Technology Pillar Program of China (2012BAC07B02), the National Natural Science
481	Foundation of China (41203001; 41173096) and NCET Program (NCET-10-0954).
482	
483	
484	
485	
486	
487	
488	
489	
490	
491	
492	
493	
494	
495	
496	
497	
498	
499	
500	
501	

502 References

- Andersson, K.K., Philson, S.B., Hooper, A.B., 1982. 18O isotope shift in 15N NMR
 analysis of biological N-oxidations: H2O-NO2- exchange in the ammonia-oxidizing
 bacterium Nitrosomonas. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 79, 5871-5875.
- 506 Aravena, R., Robertson, W.D., 1998. Use of multiple isotope tracers to evaluate
- 507 denitrification in ground water: study of nitrate from a large-flux septic system
- 508 plume. Ground Water 36, 975-982.
- 509 Bernhardt, E.S., Likens, G.E., Buso, D.C., Driscoll, C.T., 2003. Instream uptake
- 510 dampens effects of major forest disturbance on watershed nitrogen export. Proc.
- 511 Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 100, 10304-10308.
- 512 Brion, N., Baeyens, W., De Galan, S., Elskens, M., Laane, R., 2004. The North Sea:
- Source or sink for nitrogen and phosphorus to the Atlantic Ocean? Biogeochemistry
 68, 277–296.
- 515 Casciotti, K.L., Sigman, D.M., Galanter Hastings, M., Böhlke, J.K., Hilkert, A. A.,
- 516 2002. Measurement of the oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate in seawater and
- 517 freshwater using the denitrifier method. Anal. Chem. 74: 4905-4912.
- Chai, C., Yu, Z.M., Shen, Z.L., Song, X., Cao, X., Yao, Y., 2009. Nutrient
 characteristics in the Yangtze River estuary and the adjacent east china sea before
 and after impoundment of the Three Gorges Dam. Sci. Total Environ. 407,
 4687–4695.
- 522 Chen, L., Li, J., Guo, X., Fu, B., Li, G., 2000. Temporal and spatial characteristics of
- surface water quality in Jiyun River. Environmental Science 21, 61-64. (In Chinese)
- 524 Dafner, E.V., Mallin, M.A., Souza, J.J., Wells, H.A., Parsons, D.C., 2007. Nitrogen
- and phosphorus species in the coastal and shelf waters of Southeastern North
- 526 Carolina, Mid-Atlantic U.S. coast. Marine Chemistry 103, 289–303.

- 527 Dähnke K., Bahlman, E., Emeis, K., 2008. A nitrate sink in estuaries? An assessment
 528 by means of stable nitrate isotopes in the Elbe estuary. Limnol. Oceanogr. 53,
 529 1504-1511.
- 530 Dai, M., Wang, L., Guo, X., Zhai, W., Li, Q., He, B., Kao, S.J., 2008. Nitrification and
- 531 inorganic nitrogen distribution in a large perturbed river/estuarine system: the Pearl
- 532 River Estuary, China. Biogeosciences 5, 1227-1244.
- 533 Delwiche, C., Steyn, P., 1970. Nitrogen isotope fractionation in soils and microbial
 534 reactions. Environ. Sci. Technol. 4, 929-935.
- DiSpirito, A.A., Hooper, A.B., 1986. Oxygen exchange between nitrate molecules
 during nitrite oxidation by Nitrobacter. Biol. Chem. 261, 10534-10537.
- 537 Dugdale, R.C., Hopkins, T.S., 1978. Predicting the structure and dynamics of a
- pollution-driven marine ecosystem embedded in an oligotrophic sea. ThalassiaJugoslavica 14, 107-126.
- 540 Dugdale, R.C., MacIsaac, J.J., 1971. A computational model for the uptake of nitrate
 541 in the Peru upwelling region. Investigacion Pesquera 35, 299-308.
- 542 Dugdale, R.C., Wilkerson, F.P., Marchi, A., Hogue, V., 2006. Nutrient controls on new
- 543 production in the Bodega Bay, California, coastal upwelling plume. Deep-Sea
- 544 Research II 53, 3049-3062.
- 545 Eisma, D., 1986. Flocculation and de-flocculation of suspended matter in estuaries.
- 546 Fry, B., 2002. Conservative mixing of stable isotopes across estuarine salinity
- 547 gradients: A conceptual framework for monitoring watershed influences on
 548 downstream fisheries production. Estuaries 25, 264-271.
- 549 Fukada, T., Hiscock, K.M., Dennis, P.F., Grischek, T., 2003. A dual isotope approach
- to identify denitrification in ground water at a river bank infiltration site. Water Res.
- 551 37, 3070-3078.

- Gao, X., Meng, H., Yi, X., 2011. Analysis of nitrogen pollution characteristics in
 water bodies of Tianjin. China Water & Wastewater (in Chinese) 27, 51-55.
- 554 Gburek, W.J., Sharpley, A.N., 1998. Hydrology control on phosphorus loss from 555 upland agricultural watersheds. J. Environ. Qual. 270, 253-272.
- 556 Graas, S., Savenije, H.H.G., 2008. Salt intrusion in the Pungue estuary, Mozambique:
- 557 Effect of sand banks as a natural temporary salt intrusion barrier. Hydrol. Earth Syst.
- 558 Sci. Discuss. 5, 2523-2542.
- 559 Granger, J., Sigman, D.M., Needoba, J.A., Harrison, P.J., 2004. Coupled nitrogen and
- 560 oxygen isotope fractionation of nitrate during assimilation by cultures of marine 561 phytoplankton. Limnol. Oceanogr. 49, 1763-1773.
- Hartzell, J.L., Jordan, T.E., 2012. Shifts in the relative availability of phosphorus and
 nitrogen along estuarine salinity gradients. Biogeochemistry 107, 489–500.
- Helder, W., De Vries, R.T.P., 1983. Estuarine nitrite maxima and nitrifying bacteria
 (Ems-Dollard estuary). Netherlands Journal of Sea Research 17, 1–18.
- Jennerjahn, T.C., Ittekkot, V., Klöpper, S., Adi, S., Nugroho, S.P., Sudiana N., Yusmal,
- 567 A., Prihartanto, Gaye-Haake. B., 2004. Biogeochemistry of a tropical river affected
- 568 by human activities in its catchment: Brantas river estuary and coastal waters of
- 569 Madura Strait, Java, Indonesia. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 60, 503-514.
- 570 Kendall, C., Elliott, E.M., Wankel, S.D., 2007. Tracing anthropo- genic inputs of
- 571 nitrogen to ecosystems. In: Stable isotopes in ecology and environ- mental science,
- eds. Michener, R., Lajtha, K., pp 375-449. Blackwell, Maiden.
- 573 Kool, D.M., Wrage, N., Oenema, O., Dolfing, J., Van Groenigen, J.W., 2007. Oxygen
- 574 exchange between (de)nitrification intermediates and H2O and its implication for
- source determination of NO3- and N2O: a review. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.
- 576 21, 3659-3578.

- Kranck, K., 1984. Role of flocculation in the filtering of particulate matter in
 estuaries.In: Kennedy, V.S. (Ed.), The Estuary as a Filter. New York, Academic
 Press, Orlando FL., pp. 159–175.
- 580 Lehmann, M.F., Sigman, D.M., Berelson, W.M., 2004. Coupling the 15N/14N and
- 581 180/160 of nitrate as a constraint on benthic nitrogen cycling. Marine Chemistry 88,
- 582 1–20.
- Liang, Y., Xing, H., 1999. Preliminary analysis of the characteristics of tidal dynamic
 and sediment at the Yongdingxinhe River Mouth. Haihe Water Resources (in
 Chinese) 2, 13-15.
- 586 Liss, P.S., 1976. Conservative and non-conservative behavior of dissolved
 587 constituents during estuarine mixing. In Estuarine chemistry, eds. Burton, J.D., Liss,
- 588 J.D., pp. 93-130. Academic press.
- Liu, G., Fu, B., Yang, P., 2001. Quality of aquatic environment at Haihe River and the
 pollutant fluxes flowing into sea. Environmental Science (in Chinese) 22, 46-50.
- 591 Macko, S.A., Ostrom, N.E., 1994. Molecular and pollution studies using stable
- isotope. In: Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science, eds. Lajtha, K.,
- 593 Michner, R., pp. 45-62. Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, UK.
- 594 Mariotti, A., Germon, J.C., Hubert, P., Kaiser, P., Letolle, R., Tardieux, A., Tardieux,
- P., 1981. Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: some
 principle illustration for the denitrification and nitrification processes. Plant Soil 62,
 413-430.
- 598 Mayer, B., Boyer, E.W., Goodale, C., Jaworski, N.A., Breemen, N.V., Howarth, R.W.,
- 599 Seitzinger, S., Billen, G., Lajtha, K., Nadelhoffer, K., Dam, D.V., Hetling, L.J.,
- Nosal, M., Paustian, K., 2002. Sources of nitrate in rivers draining sixteen
- 601 watersheds in the northeastern U.S.: Isotopic constraints. Biogeochemistry 57/58,

602 171-197.

- Middelburg, J.J., Herman, P.M.J., 2007. Organic matter processing in tidal estuaries.
 Marine Chemistry 106,127–147.
- Middelburg, J.J., Nieuwenhuize, J., 2001. Nitrogen isotope tracing of dissolved
 nitrogen behavior in tidal estuaries. Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci. 53, 385-391.
- Miyajima, T., Yoshimizu, C., Tsuboi, Y., Tanaka, Y., Tayasu, I., Nagata, T., Koike, I.,
- 608 2009. Longitudinal distribution of nitrateδ15N andδ18O in two contrasting tropical
- rivers: implications for instream nitrogen cycling. Biogeochemistry 95, 243-260.
- 610 Mulholland, P.J., 1992. Regualtion of nutrient concentrations in a temperate forest
- stream: roles of upland, riparian, and instream processes. Limnol. Oceanogr. 37,
- 612 1512-1526.
- 613 Neth. J. Sea Res. 20, 183–199.
- 614 OSPARCOM. 2000. Quality Status Report 2000, Region II Greater North Sea.
- 615 OSPAR Commission. 136+ xiii p.
- 616 Pollice A., Tandoi V., Lestingi C., 2002. Influence of aeration and sludge retention
- time on ammonium oxidation to nitrite and nitrate. Water Res. 36, 2541-2546.
- 618 Qin, Y., Zhange, L., Zheng, B., Cao, W., Liu, X., Jia, J., 2012. Impact of shoreline
- changes on the costal water quality of Bohai Bay (2003-2011). Acta Scientiae
- 620 Circumstantiae (in Chinese) 32, 2149-2159.
- Rabalais, N.N., Turner, R.E., JustiĆ, D., Dortch, Q., Wiseman, W.J., Sen Gupta, B.K.,
- 622 1996. Nutrient Changes in the Mississippi River and System Responses On the
 623 Adjacent Continental Shelf. Estuaries 19, 386–407.
- Schlarbaum, T., Daehnke, K., Emeis, K., 2010. Turnover of combined dissolved
 organic nitrogen and ammonium in the Elbe estuary/NW Europe: Results of
 nitrogen isotope investigations. Marine chemistry 119, 91-107.

- Sebilo, M., Billen, G., Grably, M., Mariotti, A., 2003. Isotopic composition of
 nitrate-nitrogen as a marker of riparian and benthic denitrification at the scale of the
 whole Seine River system. Biogeochemistry 63, 35–51.
- 630 Sebilo, M., Billen, G., Mayer, B., Billiou, D., Grably, M., Garnier, J., Mariotti, A.,
- 631 2006. Assessing nitrification and denitrification in the Seine River and Estuary
- using chemical isotopic techniques. Ecosystems 9, 564-577.
- 633 Seitzinger, S., Gardner, W.S., Spratt, A.K., 1991. The effect of salinity on ammonia
 634 sorption in aquatic sediments: implications for benthic nutrient recycling, Estuaries
- 635 2, 167-174.
- 636 Seitzinger, S., Harrison, J.A., Böhlke, J.K., Bouwman, A.F., Lowrance, R., Peterson,
- B., Tobias, C., Van Drecht, G., 2006. Denitrification across landscapes and
 waterscapes: A synthesis. Ecol. Appl. 16, 2064–2090.
- 639 Seitzinger, S.P., Kroeze, C., 1998. Global distribution of nitrous oxide production and
 640 N inputs in freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems. Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles
 641 12, 93-113.
- 642 Shao, X., Deng, X., Yuan, X., Jiang, W., 2010. Identification of potential sensitive
- areas of non- point source pollution in downstream watershed of Chaobaixin River.
- Environmental Science Survey (in Chinese) 29, 37-41.
- 645 Sigman, D.M., Casciotti, K.L., Andreani, M., Barford, C., Galanter, M., Böhlke, J.K.,
- 646 2001. A bacterial method for the nitrogen isotopic analysis of nitrate in seawater
- 647 and freshwater. Anal. Chem. 73: 4145-4153.
- 648 Sigman, D.M., Granger, J., DiFiore, P.J., Lehmann, M.L., Ho, R., Cane, G., Van Geen,
- A., 2005. Coupled nitrogen and oxygen isotope measurements of nitrate along the
- eastern North Pacific margin. Global Biogeochem. Cycl. 19, GB4022.
- 651 Umezawa, Y., Hosono, T., Onodera, S., Siringan F., Buapeng, S., Delinom, R.,

- 452 Yoshimizu, C., Tayasu, I., Nagata, T., Taniguchi, M., 2008. Sources of nitrate and
- ammonium contamination in groundwater under developing Asian megacities. Sci.
- 654 Total. Environ. 404, 361-376.
- Wang, L., 2004. A discussion on the deep fresh water salinization in the plain region
- of Tianjin City. Geological Survey and Research (in Chinese) 27, 169-176
- 657 Wankel, S.D., Kendall, C., Francis, C.A., Paytan, A., 2006. Nitrogen sources and
- 658 cycling in the San Francisco Bay Estuary: A nitrate dual isotopic composition 659 approach. Limnol. Oceanogr. 51, 1654-1664.
- 660 Wen, S., Xing, H., 2004. The characteristics of water and sand in the Haihe River
- estuary and movement rule analysis. Haihe Water Resources (in Chinese) 2, 28-31.
- Kue, D., Botte, J., De Baets, B., Accoe, F., Nestler, A., Taylor, P., Van Cleemput, O.,
- Berglund, M., Boeckx, P., 2009. Present limitations and future prospects of stable
 isotope methods for nitrate source identification in surface- and groundwater. Water
- 665 Res. 43, 1159–1170.
- Kue, D., De Baets, B., Vermeulen, J., Botte, J., Van Cleemput, O., Boeckx, P., 2010.
- Error assessment of nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios of nitrate as determined via
 the bacterial denitrification method. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom. 24:
 1979-1984.
- Yue, F., Liu, X., Li, J., Zhu, Z., Wang, Z., 2010. Using nitrogen isotopic approach to
 identify nitrate sources in waters of Tianjin, China. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.
 85, 562-567.
- 673 Zhang, J., Yu, Z.G., Raabe, T., Liu, S., Starke, A., Zou, L., Gao, H., Brockmann, U.,
- 674 2004. Dynamaics of inorganic nutrient species in the Bohai seawaters. J. Mar. Syst.675 44, 189-212.
- 676

Location	Salinity	ъЦ	т (°С)	$DO(ma I^{-1})$	NO ₂ ⁻	NO ₃	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	δ^{15} N-NO ₃	δ^{18} O-NO ₃	
Location	(‰)	рп	I (C)	DO (mg L)	μ mol L ⁻¹			%		
	0.7	7.5	11.4	2.7	16.6	219.0	221.4	-0.2	-0.5	
	0.7	7.7	12.1	4.0	18.0	145.6	332.6	0.5	0.2	
	0.7	7.7	12.2	4.8	18.6	134.4	311.3	0.6	0.2	
	0.8	7.9	13.2	5.0	20.8	105.0	326.9	1.1	0.5	
1111*	1.0	8.1	13.1	8.2	10.0	94.7	157.9	4.5	0.6	
пп	2.3	8.4	12.1	10.4	7.2	90.2	124.1	4.6	1.1	
	2.4	8.5	11.9	10.5	8.6	94.0	127.1	4.3	1.3	
	3.7	8.3	12.7	10.4	8.8	89.0	127.3	3.9	1.2	
	4.6	8.3	12.1	9.9	15.5	62.5	156.8	8.4	1.5	
	4.9	8.2	11.7	9.4	14.5	70.0	149.5	7.4	1.4	
Average	2.2±1.7	8.1±0.3	12.3±0.6	7.5±3.1	13.9±4.8	110.4±45.9	203.5±87.5	3.5±3.0	0.8±0.7	
	18.6	8.2	10.1	10.7	6.7	25.7	88.1	8.0	5.4	
$\operatorname{HH}^{\&}$	20.6	8.2	10.2	10.7	6.3	17.8	79.9	7.9	5.6	
	21.3	8.1	9.6	10.7	6.2	15.1	76.9	8.1	5.7	
	24.1	8.1	9.0	10.7	5.6	7.1	65.7	8.3	5.8	
Average	21.2±2.3	8.2±0.1	9.7±0.6	10.7±0.0	6.2±0.5	16.4±7.7	77.7±9.3	8.1±0.2	5.6±0.2	
	0.5	7.9	11.3	8.9	12.0	120.0	333.4	13.7	4.0	
	0.5	8.6	10.8	10.5	7.0	134.1	167.1	14.0	4.8	
CB*	0.5	8.5	10.7	9.1	10.6	157.8	380.0	13.9	3.9	
	0.5	8.5	10.5	9.9	9.9	171.5	143.9	12.2	4.3	
	0.6	8.6	11.0	10.4	6.0	171.1	367.0	13.7	4.8	
	0.6	8.2	10.8	10.0	8.5	152.4	210.1	14.1	5.6	
Average	0.5±0.1	8.4±0.3	10.9±0.3	9.8±0.7	9.0±2.3	151.2±20.6	266.9±105.4	13.6±0.7	4.6±0.6	

Table 1. Physicochemical properties and isotopic composition of NO_3^- for the three investigated rivers and the corresponding estuaries.

					Continue	ed			
	0.6	8.1	9.9	7.2	7.0	40.0	72.8	6.5	0.9
	0.7	8.2	11.0	8.7	6.9	42.0	64.4	6.3	2.0
	0.7	8.2	11.3	7.5	4.4	44.0	57.6	6.4	1.4
JY*	0.7	8.4	11.7	9.3	4.9	46.0	48.1	5.8	0.8
	0.8	8.4	12.4	9.3	4.0	76.6	12.7	5.3	1.3
	0.8	8.4	11.8	9.7	3.8	78.0	25.9	5.3	1.1
	0.8	8.5	11.8	9.9	2.2	83.3	11.1	4.4	2.8
	0.8	8.5	11.8	9.9	2.1	81.5	35.1	4.4	5.3
Average	0.7±0.1	8.3±0.2	11.5±0.8	8.9±1.1	4.4±1.8	61.4±19.9	41.0±23.4	5.6±0.8	2.0±1.5
	2.0	8.2	7.8	10.6	7.7	153.4	328.4	13.6	5.9
	2.5	8.2	6.9	11.4	7.8	120.0	304.7	15.0	6.1
CI&	2.7	8.3	5.7	11.5	7.6	110.0	283.3	14.7	6.4
CJ	4.2	8.3	5.7	11.1	7.0	130.0	286.3	13.6	6.4
	9.0	8.3	5.7	11.4	5.8	37.0	180.7	11.9	6.2
	13.7	8.3	6.2	11.3	4.9	24.0	120.4	9.3	6.7
	20.0	8.2	8.6	11.2	3.4	6.1	43.2	7.1	6.9
Average	7.7±6.9	8.3±0.1	6.7±1.2	11.2 ± 0.3	6.3±1.7	82.9 ± 58.8	221.0±108.0	12.2±3.0	6.4±0.3

679 * represents river; [&] represents estuary.

685 Figure Captions:

686 Fig. 1 Sampling locations for the three investigated rivers.

Fig. 2 δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ of the salinized rivers and estuaries. Ranges of isotopic composition for five potential NO₃⁻ sources are adapted from Kendall et al., (2007) and Xue et al. (2009) and indicated by boxes: NO₃⁻ in precipitation (NP), NO₃⁻ fertilizer (NF), NH₄⁺ in fertilizer and rain (NFR), soil N (Soil) and manure and sewage (M&S). To provide a range of δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values more wide and clear, the upper limit of NP reaches 50‰.

Fig. 3 DIN (NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^-) concentrations and isotopic composition of $NO_3^$ versus salinity in the HH River and the HH Estuary. HH1-E represented the calculated mixing line between the initial upstream and the estuary; HH2-E represented the calculated mixing line between the floodgate F1 and the estuary; F represents floodgate.

Fig. 4 DIN (NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , NO_3^-) concentrations and isotopic composition of $NO_3^$ versus salinity in the CB River and the JY River and the CJ Estuary. CB-E represented the calculated mixing line between the CB River and the CJ Estuary; JY-E represented the calculated mixing line between the JY River and the CJ Estuary.

Fig. 5 Variation percentage compared to the calculated mixing lines for the HH River, CB River, JY River and their corresponding estuaries of HH and CJ. When the percentage >0 representing a source; when the percentage <0 representing a sink; when the percentage equal to 0 representing a mixing; * represents a river.

706

707

- 709
- 710











Figure 4 Xue et al.

