Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 4563–4589, 2014 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/11/4563/2014/ doi:10.5194/bgd-11-4563-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

Nitrate sources and dynamics in the salinized rivers and estuaries – a δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO⁻₃ isotope approach

D. Xue¹, **P.** Boeckx³, and Z. Wang^{1,2}

¹Tianjin Key Laboratory of Water Resources and Environment, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin 300387, China

²State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550002, China

³Isotope Bioscience Laboratory – ISOFYS, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Coupure links 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

Received: 9 February 2014 - Accepted: 11 March 2014 - Published: 24 March 2014

Correspondence to: Z. Wang (wangzhongliang@vip.skleg.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





Abstract

To trace NO₃⁻ sources and assess NO₃⁻ dynamics in the salinized rivers and estuaries, three rivers (HH River, CB River and JY River) and two estuaries (HH Estuary and CJ Estuary) along the Bohai Bay (China) have been selected to determine DIN and δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻. Upstream of the HH River NO₃⁻ was removed 30.9 ± 22.1 % by aerobic denitrification, resulting from effects of the floodgate: limiting water exchange with downstream and prolonging water residence time to remove NO₃⁻. Downstream of the HH River NO₃⁻ was increased 36.6 ± 25.2 % by external N source addition in the CB River and 34.6 ± 35.1 % by in-stream nitrification in the JY River, respectively. The HH and CY Estuaries behaved mostly conservative excluding the sewage input in the CJ Estuary.

Estuaries behaved mostly conservative excluding the sewage input in the CJ Estuary. Hydrodynamics in estuaries have been changed by the ongoing reclamation projects, aggravating the estuaries losing the attenuation function of NO_3^- .

1 Introduction

25

 Increasing population, extensive agricultural activities and rapid development of urbanization in coastal areas have dramatically increased N loading to rivers and coastal waters (Seitzinger and Kroeze, 1998; Jennerjahn et al., 2004; Umezawa et al., 2008). Estuaries play a prominent role for delivery of terrestrially derived N to coastal water through physical, chemical, and biological processes (Mulholland, 1992; Bernhardt
 et al., 2003; Sebilo et al., 2006; Hartzell and Jordan, 2012).

Many estuarine studies have focused on tracing N sources and assessing N dynamics in large estuarine systems, such as the Elbe Estuary (Dähnke et al., 2008) and the Atlantic coast (Middelburg and Herman, 2007) in Europe, the San Francisco Bay Estuary (Wankel et al., 2006), the Mississippi River Estuary (Rabalais et al., 1996), and the Mid-Atlantic coast (Dafner et al., 2007) in the United States, and the Yangtze River Estuary (Chai et al., 2009) and Pearl River Estuary (Dai et al., 2008) in China. Sel-





dom researchers carried out research in small estuarine systems (Caffrey et al., 2007; Teixeira et al., 2010; Kaiser et al., 2013). Even more, less is known about small estuarine systems with salinization from sea-water intrusion upstream the estuarine channel (Graas and Savenije, 2008). How do these salinized estuaries respond to increased N loadings? How do physico-chemical and biological processes control DIN (NH_4^+ , NO_2^- and NO_3^-) concentration variations?

5

To answer these questions, an intensive study was conducted in three rivers and the corresponding estuaries characterized by different levels of salinization in a coastal municipality (Tianjin) along the Bohai Bay (China). Two investigated rivers with mean

- salinities around 0.5 and 0.7 flow through a rural area and are converged before entering into the estuary. The third one with mean salinity around 2.2 flows through Tianjin municipality and is separated into three parts by two floodgates cross the river, for providing water supply for the residents living along the river bank. Since the rapid urbanization and population growth in Tianjin municipality, NO₃⁻ loading progressively
- ¹⁵ increased in rivers and estuaries associated with human activities, such as agricultural runoff, untreated domestic and industrial wastewater (Gao et al., 2011). Furthermore, port constructions and reclamation projects along the coastline of the municipality even aggravate NO₃⁻ pollution (Zhang et al., 2004). Thus, tracing NO₃⁻ sources and assessing NO₃⁻ dynamics in the salinized rivers and estuaries represent fundamental goals in
 this study.

More than concentration data alone, the combined use of N (δ^{15} N) and O (δ^{18} O) isotopes of NO₃⁻ has provided a powerful tool to investigate NO₃⁻ dynamics and identify NO₃⁻ sources in estuaries (Middelburg and Nieuwenhuize, 2001; Sebilo et al., 2006; Wankel et al., 2006; Dähnke et al., 2008; Miyajima et al., 2009). Therefore, in the present study, a combined approach based on the mixing curves of DIN concentration vs. salinity and δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ is applied to (1) identify potential dominant NO₃⁻ sources responsible for NO₃⁻ contamination; and (2) elucidate possible NO₃⁻ dynamics in the different salinized rivers and the estuaries.





2 Material and method

2.1 Study area

The investigated three rivers are located in a coastal municipality, Tianjin, China (Fig. 1). The survey took place in three rivers along a salinity gradient, the Haihe River (HH River) on 7 November 2012, the Chaobaixin River (CB River) on 9 November 2012 5 and the Jiyun River (JY River) on 10 November 2012 (Fig. 1). Water samples were also taken along the estuary of the HH River (HH Estuary) and the mixing estuary of the CB River and the JY River (CJ Estuary) on 16 November 2012 to study reactive N transformation processes from the river to the estuary (Fig. 1). The river-path length from the upstream sampling location to the river mouth sampling location of the HH River was 10 72 km with a watershed area of 2066 km² (Liu et al., 2001). Since the separation by the floodgate, the upstream part of the HH River serves as a river-type reservoir for the purpose of supplying water to the residents living along the river bank. The other floodgate is located at the end of the HH River serves as flood discharging, tidal blocking and ship traffic. The CB River flows through the rural area with a total length of 81 km and the watershed area is about 1387 km² (Gburek et al., 1998). Animal manure could be a potential dominant NO₃⁻ source in the CB River as this watershed has important livestock breeding base for the municipality (Shao et al., 2010). The JY River flows through agricultural area and is considered as a significant water source for agricultural and domestic use. The total length of the Jiyun River is 144 km with a watershed 20 area of about 2146 km² (Chen et al., 2000).

2.2 Sampling and analysis

Water samples were taken on transects spanning the freshwater portion of the rivers to coastal water of the Bohai Bay. Water samples were stored frozen in 1 L HDPE (High Density Polyethylene) bottles for determination of physico-chemical properties and δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻. Salinity, temperature (*T*), pH and dissolved oxygen (DO)





were measured by a portable water quality probe (Thermo Orion, USA). Laboratory analyses included NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺. All samples were filtered through 0.45 μm membrane filters and stored at 4 °C until analysis. Nitrate (NO₃⁻), NO₂⁻ and NH₄⁺ concentrations were analyzed on a continuous flow analyzer (Auto Analyzer 3, Seal, Ger-⁵ many).

The δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values were determined by the "Bacterial denitrification method" (Sigman et al., 2001; Casciotti et al., 2002; Xue et al., 2010) in the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility of California University, which allows for the simultaneous determination of δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O of N₂O produced from the conversion of NO₃⁻ by denitrifying

- ¹⁰ bacteria, which naturally lack N₂O reductase activity. Isotope ratios of δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O are measured using a Thermo Finnigan GasBench + PreCon trace gas concentration system interfaced to a Thermo Scientific Delta V Plus isotope-ratio mass spectrometer (Bremen, Germany). The N₂O sample is purged from vials through a double-needle sampler into a helium carrier stream (25 mLmin⁻¹) and CO₂ is removed using scrub-
- ¹⁵ ber (Ascarite). By cryogenic trapping and focusing, the N₂O is compressed onto an Agilent GS-Q capillary column (30 m × 0.32 mm, 40 °C, 1.0 mLmin⁻¹) and subsequently analyzed by IRMS.

Stable isotope data were expressed in delta (δ) units in per mil (∞) relative to the respective international standards:

²⁰
$$\delta_{\text{sample}}(\%) = \left(\frac{R_{\text{sample}}}{R_{\text{standard}}} - 1\right) \times 1000$$

25

where R_{sample} and R_{standard} are the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N or ¹⁸O/¹⁶O ratio of the sample and standard for δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O, respectively. Values of δ^{15} N are reported relative to atmospheric air (AIR) and δ^{18} O values are reported relative to Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water 2 (VSMOW 2). The calibration standards are the nitrates USGS 32, USGS 34, and USGS 35, and are supplied by NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD).



(1)



2.3 Mixing model

Normally, a mixture (MIX) can be calculated via a basic mixing model (Liss, 1976):

 $C_{\rm MIX} = f \times C_{\rm R} + (1 - f)C_{\rm M}$

where *C* represents concentration, the subscripts R and M represent riverine and ma-⁵ rine end-members, respectively; *f* represents the fraction of freshwater in each sample calculated from salinity (Dähnke et al., 2006):

 $f = (\text{salinity}_{\text{MAX}} - \text{salinity}_{\text{MEA}})/\text{salinity}_{\text{MAX}}$

where MAX is taken as the maximum measured salinity of marine end-member for coastal water and MEA is taken as the measured salinity of the mixture.

¹⁰ Isotopic values of mixed estuarine samples (δ_{MIX}) were calculated using concentration-weighted isotopic values for riverine and marine end-members, respectively (Fry, 2002; Dähnke, 2006):

 $\delta_{\text{MIX}} = [f \times C_{\text{R}} \times \delta_{\text{R}} + (1 - f)C_{\text{M}} \times \delta_{\text{M}}]/C_{\text{MIX}}$

where *C* represents concentration, δ represents isotopic value, the subscripts R and M ¹⁵ represent riverine and marine end-members, respectively; and *f* represents the fraction of freshwater in each sample. The salinity-based isotopic mixing does not follow linear conservative mixing but show curvilinear mixing that reflects concentration-based weighting of end-member isotopic contributions.

Based on the mixing curves of DIN concentration against salinity, additional sources or cycling can be identified. When an enriched external source or biological transformation (e.g. mineralization, nitrification, etc.) contributes into the river, DIN distribution is expected to fall above the mixing line. In turn, when a depleted external source or the internal removal processes (e.g., denitrification, assimilation, etc.) appears in the river, DIN distribution is expected to fall below the mixing line (Wankel et al., 2006).



(2)

(3)

(4)



3 Results

3.1 Physicochemical properties





Table 1 summarizes the data of physicochemical properties collected in this study in the rivers and estuaries. Obviously, the salinities of the HH River (ranging from 0.7 to 4.9 with a mean value of 2.2) and its estuary (ranging from 18.6 to 24.1 with a mean value of 21.2) is higher than the rivers of CB (ranging from 0.5 to 0.6 with a mean value of 0.5) and JY (ranging from 0.6 to 0.8 with a mean value of 0.7) and the corresponding estuary (ranging from 2.0 to 20.0 with a mean value of 7.7), respectively. The municipality had been suffering multiple seawater intrusion and regression, which results in the salinization of the rivers and soil (Wang, 2004), while the greater salinization level of the HH River is also related to seawater intrusion over the floodgate until upstream of the HH River in a relatively long distance. The rivers and the estuaries showed similar pH values between 7.5 and 8.6. The temperature of the HH River varied around 12.3 °C slightly higher than the CB River (mean is 10.9 °C) and the JY River (11.5 °C).

The mean temperature of the HH Estuary (9.7) is also higher than that of the CJ Estuary (6.7). Dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations were relatively enriched in this study (higher than 7.2 mgL⁻¹), excluding the DO depleted area in the upstream of the HH River (lower than 5.0 mgL⁻¹).

3.2 DIN species

- ²⁰ Wide concentration variations were noticeable for DIN (NH⁺₄, NO⁻₂ and NO⁻₃) species in Table 1. In the HH River, the NH⁺₄ concentrations varied from 124.1 to 332.6 μ mol L⁻¹, the NO⁻₃ concentrations varied from 62.5 to 219.0 μ mol L⁻¹ and the NO⁻₂ concentrations varied from 7.2 to 20.8 μ mol L⁻¹. The DIN concentrations of the HH Estuary varied smoothly (5.6–6.7 μ mol L⁻¹ for NO⁻₂, 7.1–25.7 μ mol L⁻¹ for NO⁻₃, and 65.7–
- $_{25}$ 88.1 μ mol L⁻¹ for NH₄⁺) and were quite low compared to the HH River. Nitrate concentra-

tions in the CB river were relatively elevated $(120.0-171.5 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1})$ with a continuous accumulation along the entire salinity gradient, while NO₂⁻ concentrations decreased from 12.0 to $6.0 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}$. Ammonium concentrations in the CB River varied from 143.9 to $380.0 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}$. The JY River also showed NO₃⁻ accumulation (increased from 40.0 to $83.3 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹) along the entire salinity gradient, while a decreasing trend was observed for both NO₂⁻ (decreased from 7.0 to 2.1 μ molL⁻¹) and NH₄⁺ (decreased from 72.8 to 11.1 μ mol L⁻¹) concentrations. The CJ Estuary displayed a sea-ward decreasing trend with relatively elevated concentrations in NH₄⁺ (328.4–43.2 μ molL⁻¹), NO₂⁻ $(7.8-3.4 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1})$ and NO₃⁻ (153.4-6.1 $\mu\text{mol}\,\text{L}^{-1}$). Compared to the other river and estuaries, DIN results of this study are similar to that in the Pearl River Estuary (Dai et al., 2008) in South China Sea, but higher than that in the Elbe Estuary (Dähnke et al., 2008) in Europe and the San Francisco Bay Estuary (Wankel et al., 2006) in the United States. The specific reasons to cause such variations could be potentially linking to internal/external NO₃⁻ source contributions and different NO₃⁻ dynamics in the rivers and the estuaries. 15

3.3 Isotopic composition of NO₃⁻

The isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ varied spatially among the rivers and the estuaries (Table 1). The δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values in the HH River varied from -0.7 to 8.4‰ and the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values varied from -1.7 to 1.5‰. The isotopic composition of NO₃⁻ in the HH Estuary remained stable around 8.1‰ for δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and 5.6‰ for the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻. In the CB River, the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values were enriched with a mean of 13.6‰, and the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values were in a range between 3.9 and 5.6‰. A decrease in δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ (from 6.5 to 4.4‰) and an increase in δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ (from 0.9 to 5.3‰) values along the salinity were observed in the JY River. The CY Estuary demonstrated a wide range of δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ (from 5.9 to 6.9‰).





4 Discussion

25

4.1 Potential dominant NO₃⁻ sources

To derive qualitative information on the predominant NO₃⁻ sources in the rivers and the corresponding estuaries, a classical dual isotope approach (δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ vs. δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻) has been applied (Fig. 2). It is clear that the isotope signatures of all the sampling locations showed in a scattered distribution, indicating different NO₃⁻ source contamination in the rivers and the estuaries. Upstream of the HH River at a salinity of 1.0, a floodgate separates the river into two parts; and at the end of the river at the salinity of 4.9, the other floodgate controls the connection of the river to the HH Estuary. Hence, the δ^{15} N-and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values of the HH River behaved quite differently, which moved from the overlapping area of the "NH₄⁺ fertilizier" and "soil N" source boxes for the majority of the

- and δ^{10} O-NO₃⁻ values of the HH River behaved quite differently, which moved from the overlapping area of the "NH₄⁺ fertilzier" and "soil N" source boxes for the majority of the upstream sampling locations, to the overlapping area of the "soil N" and "manure and sewage" source boxes at the end of the river. In this study, the majority of the sampling locations were potentially influenced by the source of "soil N" or "sewage" not the
- "mineral fertilizer", as the HH River flows through the municipality without agricultural activities. In addition, it can no be excluded the influence from salt water intrusion from the estuary, which showed similar isotopic values to that at the end of the HH River. The distribution of the HH Estuary does not show a landward trend due to the floodgate at the end of the HH River, but falls into the range of marine NO₃⁻ reported by Kendall et al. (2007).

Animal manure could be a potential dominant NO₃⁻ source in the CB River as this watershed plays the role of important livestock breeding base for the municipality (Shao et al., 2010). Furthermore, the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values were enriched and varied around 14‰, indicating anthropogenic NO₃⁻ derived from manure (Kendall et al., 2007; Xue et al., 2009). The isotope signatures of the JY River were mainly concentrated in the "soil N" source box. The δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values of the CJ Estuary suggested an influence of the CB River. In addition, guite high DIN concentrations (Table 1) ap-





peared in this estuary, due to sewage discharge of mooring ships in the vicinity of the sampling area. Thus, the influence of sewage and the CB River was considered as the dominant NO_3^- source.

4.2 Nitrate dynamics in the salinized rivers and the corresponding estuaries

5 4.2.1 Nitrate dynamics in the HH River and its estuary

A mixing line (HH1-E) was setup between the initial sampling location in the HH River and the last sampling location in the HH Estuary (Fig. 3). After the separation of the floodgate, the upstream of the HH River serves as a river-type reservoir. Thus, a new mixing line (HH2-E mixing line) was re-calculated between the sampling location after the floodgate and estuarine water (Fig. 3). The salinity gradient sampled in the HH River and its estuary showed a seaward decreasing trend in DIN (NH₄⁺, NO₂⁻ and NO₃⁻) concentrations and an increasing trend in δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values throughout the entire salinity gradient (Fig. 3). However, the DIN and isotopic trends did not behave conservatively, as most of the measured data deviated from the calculated mixing lines, especially from HH1-E between the riverine concentration and the coastal water.

It is clear that in the upstream part of the HH River before the floodgate 1, $NO_2^$ and NH_4^+ were above (a source) while NO_3^- was below (a sink) the HH1-E mixing line. Hence, NO_3^- removal processes are expected to occur in the river. Denitrification has been considered as a dominant NO_3^- reduction pathway, which reduces NO_3^-

- to gaseous N compounds (NO, N₂O and N₂) under anaerobic conditions. However, a large number of laboratory studies have reported that denitrification occurs under aerobic conditions in pure cultures of bacteria (Robertson and Kuenen, 1984; Ronner and Sorensson, 1985; Trevors and Starodub, 1987; Robertson et al., 1995). Various heterotrophic nitrifiers have been tested and found to be capable of simultaneous between the above the denitrification (Denitor at 1984).
- heterotrophic nitrification and aerobic denitrification (Castignetti and Hollocher, 1984;
 Robertson et al., 1988; Robertson et al., 1989). Some researchers have found aerobic





denitrification in nature, e.g. in Mississippi River Basin (Thomas et al., 2006) and in the central German Wadden Sea (Gao et al., 2010). Thus, the aerobic denitrification was expected under the relatively enriched DO $(2.7-5.0 \text{ mg L}^{-1})$ condition in this study. In addition, assimilation process can be ignored due to a non-rapid consumption of NH_4^+

- ⁵ which is generally the preferred form of N (when NH_4^+ was elevated compared to NO_3^-) for phytoplankton uptake (Dugdale and MacIsaac, 1971; Dugdale and Hopkins, 1978; Dugdale et al., 2006). The NH_4^+ species was accumulated as a source, potentially originating from organic matter decomposition not sewage discharge, as the $\delta^{15}N-NO_3^$ values (-0.7-1.1%) were out of the sewage range. Aerobic denitrification could also
- ¹⁰ be the potential process for NO_2^- accumulation in the upstream part of the HH River and the nitrification was potentially inhibited when NH_4^+ concentrations were higher than 200 µmol L⁻¹ (Magalhães et al., 2005).

For the HH2-E mixing line after the floodgate 1 (Fig. 3), salinity gradient sampled in the downstream of the HH River illustrated NO_3^- turned from a source (above the

- ¹⁵ HH2-E mixing line) to a sink (below the HH2-E mixing line), while NO_2^- and NH_4^+ turned from a sink (below the HH2-E mixing line) to a source (above the HH2-E mixing line) at the end of the river. Nitrate accumulation may be linked to an in-stream nitrification process, in which NO_2^- and NH_4^+ were consumed to produce NO_3^- . In nitrification, the conversion of NH_4^+ to NO_2^- and NO_3^- is accompanied by marked N isotope fractionation
- effects, resulting in ¹⁵N depleted NO₃⁻ (Delwiche and Steyn, 1970; Mariotti et al., 1981; Macko and Ostrom, 1994). For δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ values, NO₃⁻ produced by nitrification in aquatic environments usually takes similar δ¹⁸O values to the ambient water (Casciotti et al., 2002; Sigman et al., 2005). There is evidence that O can exchange between H₂O and intermediate compounds of nitrification (Andersson et al., 1982; DiSpirito and Hooper, 1986; Kool et al., 2007). Since the δ¹⁸O of estuarine water is expected to be higher than that of river water (Miyajima et al., 2009), δ¹⁸O-NO₃⁻ should increase
- along the salinity gradient when in situ nitrification is occurring. Thus, a decrease in δ^{15} N- (4.6–3.9‰) and an increase in δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ (0.6–1.2‰) occurred downstream of





the HH River and confirmed the in-stream nitrification process as a NO₃⁻ source. The NH₄⁺ concentrations increased at the end of the HH River (a maximum turbidity zone), probably from the release of particle-bound NH₄⁺ (Seitzinger et al., 1991; Schlarbaum et al., 2010). This could explain the sharp increase of the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ from 3.9 to 8.4‰ while the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ only increased slightly from 1.2 to 1.5‰, resulting from taking similar δ^{18} O values to the ambient water. When salinity achieves 5, nitrifying bacterial was potentially inhibited and reduced the conversion rate from NO₂⁻ to NO₃⁻ (Pollice et al., 2002). Hence, the NO₂⁻ was accumulated and NO₃⁻ was declined in this zone.

The DIN concentrations and δ^{15} N- and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ in the coastal water behaved conservatively of a mixing. Since the separation of the floodgate 2 at the end of the HH River, the salinity demonstrated a sudden increase from 4.9 (before the floodgate) to 18.6 (after the floodgate) in 1 km, potentially indicate that the HH River discharge was limited due to the floodgate. As the δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ value of the last sampling location in the HH River was close to that of the estuarine water, hence δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ values remained stable at ~ 8.0 ‰. The δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values increase sea-ward because of the high percentage of coastal water.

4.3 Nitrate dynamics in the CB River and JY River and their estuary

Compared to the HH River, the salinity of the CB and JY rivers varied in a relatively small range, from 0.5 to 0.6 for the CB River and from 0.6 to 0.8 for the JY River.

²⁰ Mixing lines were calculated between the CB and JY rivers and the estuarine water, respectively (Fig. 4). Both CB and JY rivers demonstrated a NO₃⁻ source along the salinity gradient, indicating a NO₃⁻ input from either in-stream nitrification or external loading.

Nitrate concentrations in the CB River were elevated with a continuous accumulation along the river. The CB River flows through a rural area with intensive livestock production, likely resulting in the NO₃⁻ contamination in the CB River (Shao et al., 2010).





Furthermore, a regular source-sink pattern was observed for NH⁺₄ concentrations while a decrease for NO_2^- . The sharp increase in NH_4^+ concentrations was probably linked to manure discharge in the rural area. The added NH_4^+ was then rapidly oxidized to NO_2^- and NO_3^- during nitrification. Hence, $\delta^{15}N-NO_3^-$ values were enriched and varied ⁵ around 13.6‰, indicating NO₃⁻ derived from manure (Kendall et al., 2007; Xue et al., 2009). As NO₃⁻ from these origins is produced via nitrification, its δ^{18} O values would not be very different from ambient water. Thus, the gradual increase in δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values along the salinity gradient above the respected mixing line confirmed the in situ nitrification (see the discussion above). Thus, in the CB River, the NO₃⁻ turnover is mainly regulated by nitrification from external livestock N loadings.

The JY River became a significant source for NO_3^- in concert with a sink for $NO_2^$ and NH_4^+ species. The accumulation of NO_3^- was linked to the in-stream nitrification, resulting from the consumption of NO_2^- and NH_4^+ . Evidence for this may be indicated by decreasing δ^{15} N- NO₃⁻ and increasing δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values along the river.

10

- The salinity gradient sampled in the corresponding estuary showed a sea-ward de-15 creasing trend in NH_4^+ , NO_2^- and NO_3^- concentrations. The measured data in the CJ Estuary were expected to fall between the two calculated mixing lines generated from the rivers of CB and JY, because they both discharge into the same estuary. A major DIN source (above the two calculated mixing lines) appeared in the salinity zone
- between 2.0 and 4.2. This was probably from sewage discharge of mooring ships in 20 the vicinity of the sampling area. The typically high δ^{15} N-NO₃⁻ (13.6 to 15.0 ‰) values confirmed NO₃⁻ derivation from sewage. This point-source contamination was diluted by the estuarine water when salinity higher than 4.2, where the DIN concentrations and δ^{15} N-NO₃ values fall between the two mixing lines. The δ^{18} O-NO₃ values of the estu-
- arine water were quite close to the δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ derived from the nitrification of sewage, 25 thus δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ values were expected to retain stable.





4.4 Nitrate removal efficiency in the rivers and the estuaries

In this study, most of the measured data deviated from the calculated mixing lines, indicating rivers and estuaries becoming either a source or a sink. Thus, variation percentages of the measured data compared to the calculated mixing lines were computed

- ⁵ to assess the NO₃⁻ removal efficiency for the rivers and estuaries (Fig. 5). Interestingly, in the upstream part of the HH River before the floodgate 1, NO₃⁻ was removed 30.9 ± 22.1 % compared to the calculated mixing line. Denitrification could be the dominant NO₃⁻ removal process. This potentially results from the separation of the floodgate which limited water exchange with downstream water enriched in DO. Furthermore, the
- ¹⁰ floodgate 1 might prolong water residence time in the upstream part to remove a significant part of riverine N loadings. The downstream part of the HH River between flood-gate 1 and floodgate 2 showed an extremely weak NO_3^- removal tendency (remove $2.5 \pm 13.3 \%$ of NO_3^-) from active NO_3^- turnover processes and the HH Estuary demonstrated a conservative behavior with respect to NO_3^- . In contrast, a significant source
- of NO₃⁻ is present in the CB (36.6 ± 25.2 %) and JY (34.6 ± 35.1 %) rivers compared to the calculated mixing line, explained by external N source addition and in-stream nitrification, respectively. Moreover, the CJ Estuary demonstrated higher NO₃⁻ accumulation efficiency (82.1 ± 78.8 %) as a result of an external N source input. Great variation percentages were observed between the sampling points from the same river or estuary, possibly resulting from different N dynamics and/or external source input.

Estuaries of rivers are considered as active sites of massive NO₃⁻ losses (Brion et al., 2004; Seitzinger et al., 2006), removing up to 50 % of NO₃⁻ (OsparCom, 2000). However, our data do not support this view as in the HH and the CJ estuaries. First, DO concentrations were higher than 10 mg L⁻¹ not favorable for water column NO₃⁻ removal processes. Second, dredging and diking work to deepen the ship channel decreased the sediment area (where denitrification mainly occurred) that is in contact with the overlying water column in the rivers (Dähnke et al., 2008), thus the NO₃⁻ removal ability was reduced. Third, water residence time is not long enough to remove N loadings in





the estuaries by NO_3^- removing processes as reclamation projects for the regional and national economy leading to the hydrodynamics of circulation in Tianjin section disappearance (Qin et al., 2012). This phenomenon could reduce water residence time and force NO_3^- pollutants moving to the northern part of Bohai Bay, aggravating NO_3^- contamination.

Furthermore, this wintertime situation, with water temperature around 10 °C, ruled out most biological activity, and conservative mixing behavior in the HH River Estuary was not overly surprising. However, the CJ Estuary became a NO_3^- source, linking to sewage discharge of mooring ships.

10 5 Conclusions

The combined use of salinity, DIN concentrations and NO₃⁻ isotopic composition revealed NO₃⁻ sources and dynamics in the salinized rivers of HH, CB and JY and elucidated mixing patterns of NO₃⁻ in the corresponding estuarine system. The HH River demonstrated a significant NO₃⁻ sink appeared in the upstream part of the HH River by aerobic denitrification process. This potentially results from the separation of the floodgate 1 which limited water exchange with downstream water enriched in DO and prolong water residence time in the upstream to remove a significant part of riverine N loadings. The downstream of the HH River showed an extremely weak NO₃⁻ removal tendency from active NO₃⁻ turnover processes. In contrast, a significant source of NO₃⁻

is present in the rivers of CB and JY, linking to external N source addition and in-stream nitrification, respectively. We found that the estuarine mixing behavior is mostly conservative excluding the point source input appearing in the CJ Estuary. Data indicate that the rivers and their corresponding estuaries have lost their natural capacity of NO₃⁻ removal but turned into a significant source of NO₃⁻ for the adjacent Bohai Bay.





Acknowledgements. We gratefully acknowledge Xi Yang and Qing Chen for water sample preparation and the UC Davis Stable Isotope Facility of California University for isotope analyses. This study was financially supported by the National Science and Technology Pillar Program of China (2012BAC07B02), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41203001; 41173096) and NCET Program (NCET-10-0954).

References

5

15

- Andersson, K. K., Philson, S. B., and Hooper, A. B.: ¹⁸O isotope shift in 15N NMR analysis of biological N-oxidations: H₂O-NO₂-exchange in the ammonia-oxidizing bacterium Nitrosomonas, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 79, 5871–5875, 1982.
- Bernhardt, E. S., Likens, G. E., Buso, D. C., and Driscoll, C. T.: Instream uptake dampens effects of major forest disturbance on watershed nitrogen export, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 10304–10308, 2003.
 - Brion, N., Baeyens, W., De Galan, S., Elskens, M., and Laane, R.: The North Sea: source or sink for nitrogen and phosphorus to the Atlantic Ocean?, Biogeochemistry, 68, 277–296, 2004.
 - Caffrey, J. M., Chapin, T. P., Jannasch, H. W., and Haskins, J. C.: High nutrient pulses, tidal mixing and biological response in a small California estuary: variability in nutrient concentrations from decadal to hourly time scales, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 71, 368–380, 2007.

Casciotti, K. L., Sigman, D. M., Galanter Hastings, M., Böhlke, J. K., and Hilkert, A. A.: Mea-

surement of the oxygen isotopic composition of nitrate in seawater and freshwater using the denitrifier method, Anal. Chem., 74, 4905–4912, 2002.

Castignetti, D. and Hollocher, T. C.: Heterotrophic nitrification among denitrifiers, Appl. Eviron. Microb., 47, 620–623, 1984.

Chai, C., Yu, Z. M., Shen, Z. L., Song, X., Cao, X., and Yao, Y.: Nutrient characteristics in the

- ²⁵ Yangtze River estuary and the adjacent east china sea before and after impoundment of the Three Gorges Dam, Sci. Total Environ., 407, 4687–4695, 2009.
 - Chen, L., Li, J., Guo, X., Fu, B., and Li, G.: Temporal and spatial characteristics of surface water quality in Jiyun River, Environ. Sci., 21, 61–64, 2000 (in Chinese with English abstract).





CC ① BY



Dafner, E. V., Mallin, M. A., Souza, J. J., Wells, H. A., and Parsons, D. C.: Nitrogen and phosphorus species in the coastal and shelf waters of Southeastern North Carolina, Mid-Atlantic US coast, Mar. Chem., 103, 289–303, 2007.

Dähnke K., Bahlman, E., and Emeis, K.: A nitrate sink in estuaries? An assessment by means of stable nitrate isotopes in the Elbe estuary, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53, 1504–1511, 2008.

of stable nitrate isotopes in the Elbe estuary, Limnol. Oceanogr., 53, 1504–1511, 2008.
 Dai, M., Wang, L., Guo, X., Zhai, W., Li, Q., He, B., and Kao, S.-J.: Nitrification and inorganic nitrogen distribution in a large perturbed river/estuarine system: the Pearl River Estuary, China, Biogeosciences, 5, 1227–1244, doi:10.5194/bg-5-1227-2008, 2008.

Delwiche, C. and Steyn, P.: Nitrogen isotope fractionation in soils and microbial reactions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 4, 929–935, 1970.

DiSpirito, A. A. and Hooper, A. B.: Oxygen exchange between nitrate molecules during nitrite oxidation by Nitrobacter, Biol. Chem., 261, 10534–10537, 1986.

Dugdale, R. C. and Hopkins, T. S.: Predicting the structure and dynamics of a pollution-driven marine ecosystem embedded in an oligotrophic sea, Thalassia Jugoslavica, 14, 107–126, 1978.

15 **19**

10

20

30

- Dugdale, R. C. and MacIsaac, J. J.: A computational model for the uptake of nitrate in the Peru upwelling region, Invest. Pesq., 35, 299–308, 1971.
- Dugdale, R. C., Wilkerson, F. P., Marchi, A., and Hogue, V.: Nutrient controls on new production in the Bodega Bay, California, coastal upwelling plume, Deep-Sea Res. Pt. II, 53, 3049–3062, 2006.
- Fry, B.: Conservative mixing of stable isotopes across estuarine salinity gradients: a conceptual framework for monitoring watershed influences on downstream fisheries production, Estuaries, 25, 264–271, 2002.

Gao, H., Schreiber, F., Collins, G., Jensen, M. M., Kostka, J. E., Lavik, G., De Beer, D., Zhou, H.,

- ²⁵ and Kuypers, M. M. M.: Aerobic denitrification in permeable Wadden Sea sediments, ISME J., 4, 417–426, 2010.
 - Gao, X., Meng, H., and Yi, X.: Analysis of nitrogen pollution characteristics in water bodies of Tianjin, China Water & Wastewater, 27, 51–55, 2011 (in Chinese with English abstract).
 - Gburek, W. J. and Sharpley, A. N.: Hydrology control on phosphorus loss from upland agricultural watersheds, J. Environ. Qual., 270, 253–272, 1998.
 - Graas, S. and Savenije, H. H. G.: Salt intrusion in the Pungue estuary, Mozambique: effect of sand banks as a natural temporary salt intrusion barrier, Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., 5, 2523–2542, doi:10.5194/hessd-5-2523-2008, 2008.



- Hartzell, J. L. and Jordan, T. E.: Shifts in the relative availability of phosphorus and nitrogen along estuarine salinity gradients, Biogeochemistry, 107, 489–500, 2012.
- Jennerjahn, T. C., Ittekkot, V., Klöpper, S., Adi, S., Nugroho, S. P., Sudiana, N., Yusmal, A., Prihartanto, and Gaye-Haake. B.: Biogeochemistry of a tropical river affected by human ac-
- tivities in its catchment: brantas river estuary and coastal waters of Madura Strait, Java, Indonesia, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 60, 503–514, 2004.
 - Kaiser, D., Unger, D., Qiu, G., Zhou, H., and Gan, H.: Natural and human influences on nutrient transport through a small subtropical Chinese estuary, Sci. Total Environ., 450/451, 92–107, 2013.
- Kendall, C., Elliott, E. M., and Wankel, S. D.: Tracing anthropogenic inputs of nitrogen to ecosystems. in: Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science, edited by: Michener, R. and Lajtha, K., Blackwell, Maiden, 375–449, 2007.
 - Kool, D. M., Wrage, N., Oenema, O., Dolfing, J., and Van Groenigen, J. W.: Oxygen exchange between (de)nitrification intermediates and H₂O and its implication for source determination
- of NO_3^- and N_2O : a review, Rapid Commun. Mass Sp., 21, 3659–3578, 2007.
 - Liss, P. S.: Conservative and non-conservative behavior of dissolved constituents during estuarine mixing, in: Estuarine Chemistry, edited by: Burton, J. D. and Liss, J. D., Academic press, 93–130, 1976.

Liu, G., Fu, B., and Yang, P.: Quality of aquatic environment at Haihe River and the pollutant

fluxes flowing into sea, Environ. Sci., 22, 46–50, 2001 (in Chinese with English Abstract). Macko, S. A. and Ostrom, N. E.: Molecular and pollution studies using stable isotope. in: Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science, edited by: Lajtha, K. and Michner, R., Blackwell Scientific, Oxford, UK, 45–62, 1994.

Magalhaes, C. M., Joye, S. B., Moreira, R. M., Weibe, W. J., and Bordalo, A. A.: Effect of salinity

- and inorganic nitrogen concentrations on nitrification and denitrification rates in intertidal sediments and rocky biofilms of the Douro River Estuary, Portugal, Water Res., 39, 1783– 1794, 2005.
 - Mariotti, A., Germon, J. C., Hubert, P., Kaiser, P., Letolle, R., Tardieux, A., and Tardieux, P.: Experimental determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: some principle illustration
- for the denitrification and nitrification processes, Plant Soil, 62, 413–430, 1981. Middelburg, J. J. and Herman, P. M. J.: Organic matter processing in tidal estuaries, Mar. Chem., 106, 127–147, 2007.





- Middelburg, J. J. and Nieuwenhuize, J.: Nitrogen isotope tracing of dissolved nitrogen behavior in tidal estuaries, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 53, 385–391, 2001.
- Miyajima, T., Yoshimizu, C., Tsuboi, Y., Tanaka, Y., Tayasu, I., Nagata, T., and Koike, I.: Longitudinal distribution of nitrate δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O in two contrasting tropical rivers: implications for instream nitrogen cvcling, Biogeochemistry, 95, 243–260, 2009.
- instream nitrogen cycling, Biogeochemistry, 95, 243–260, 2009.
 Mulholland, P. J.: Regualtion of nutrient concentrations in a temperate forest stream: roles of upland, riparian, and instream processes, Limnol. Oceanogr., 37, 1512–1526, 1992.
 - OSPARCOM: Quality Status Report 2000, Region II Greater North Sea, OSPAR Commission, 136+xiii pp., 2000.
- ¹⁰ Pollice, A., Tandoi, V., and Lestingi, C.: Influence of aeration and sludge retention time on ammonium oxidation to nitrite and nitrate, Water Res., 36, 2541–2546, 2002.
 - Qin, Y., Zhange, L., Zheng, B., Cao, W., Liu, X., and Jia, J.: Impact of shoreline changes on the costal water quality of Bohai Bay (2003–2011), Acta Scientiae Circumstantiae, 32, 2149–2159, 2012 (in Chinese with English Abstract).
- ¹⁵ Rabalais, N. N., Turner, R. E., Justiæ, D., Dortch, Q., Wiseman, W. J., and Sen Gupta, B. K.: Nutrient changes in the Mississippi River and system responses on the adjacent continental shelf, Estuaries, 19, 386–407, 1996.
 - Robertson, L. A. and Kuenen, J. G.: Aerobic denitrification: a controversy revived, Arch. Microbiol., 139, 351–354, 1984.
- Robertson, L. A. and Kuenen, J. G.: Heterotrophic nitrification in thiosphaera-pantotrophaoxygen-uptake and enzeme studies, J. Gen. Microbiol., 134, 857–863, 1988.
 - Robertson, L. A., Cornelisse, R., De Vos, P., Hadioetomo, R., Kuenen, J. G.: Aerobic denitrification in various heterotrophic nitrifiers, Anton. Leeuw. Int. J. G., 56, 289–299, 1989.
 - Robertson, L. A., Dalsgaard, T., Revsbech, N. P., and Kuenen, J. G.: Confirmation of "aerobic
- ²⁵ denitrification" in batch cultures, using gas chromatography and ¹⁵N mass spectrometry, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 18, 113–119, 1995.
 - Ronner, U. and Sorensson, F.: Denitrification rates in the low-oxygen waters of the stratified baltic proper, Appl. Environ. Microb., 50, 801–806, 1985.
 - Schlarbaum, T., Daehnke, K., and Emeis, K.: Turnover of combined dissolved organic nitrogen
- and ammonium in the Elbe estuary/NW Europe: results of nitrogen isotope investigations, Mar. Chem., 119, 91–107, 2010.





- Sebilo, M., Billen, G., Mayer, B., Billiou, D., Grably, M., Garnier, J., and Mariotti, A.: Assessing nitrification and denitrification in the Seine River and Estuary using chemical isotopic techniques, Ecosystems, 9, 564–577, 2006.
- Seitzinger, S. P. and Kroeze, C.: Global distribution of nitrous oxide production and N inputs in freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems, Global Biogeochem. Cv., 12, 93–113, 1998.
- freshwater and coastal marine ecosystems, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 12, 93–113, 1998.
 Seitzinger, S. P., Gardner, W. S., and Spratt, A. K.: The effect of salinity on ammonia sorption in aquatic sediments: implications for benthic nutrient recycling, Estuaries, 2, 167–174, 1991.
 - Seitzinger, S. P., Harrison, J. A., Böhlke, J. K., Bouwman, A. F., Lowrance, R., Peterson, B., Tobias, C., and Van Drecht, G.: Denitrification across landscapes and waterscapes: a synthesis, Ecol. Appl., 16, 2064–2090, 2006.
- thesis, Ecol. Appl., 16, 2064–2090, 2006. Shao, X., Deng, X., Yuan, X., and Jiang, W.: Identification of potential sensitive areas of non-

25

- point source pollution in downstream watershed of Chaobaixin River, Environ. Sci. Surv., 29, 37–41, 2010 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- Sigman, D. M., Casciotti, K. L., Andreani, M., Barford, C., Galanter, M., and Böhlke, J. K.:
- A bacterial method for the nitrogen isotopic analysis of nitrate in seawater and freshwater, Anal. Chem., 73, 4145–4153, 2001.
 - Sigman, D. M., Granger, J., DiFiore, P. J., Lehmann, M. M., Ho, R., Cane, G., and van Geen, A.: Coupled nitrogen and oxygen isotope measurements of nitrate along the eastern North Pacific margin, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB4022, doi:10.1029/2005GB002458, 2005.
- Teixeira, C., Magalhães, C., Boaventura, R. A. R., and Bordalo, A. A.: Potential rates and environmental controls of denitrification and nitrous oxide production in a temperate urbanized estuary, Mar. Environ. Res., 70, 336–342, 2010.
 - Thomas, R., Washington, J. W., and Samarkina, L.: Aerobic denitrification: implications or the mom River Basin, Presented at EPA Science Forum, Washington DC, 16–18 May 2006, 2006.
 - Trevors, J. T. and Starodub, M. E.: Effect of oxygen concentration on denitrification in freshwater sediment, J. Basic. Microb., 27, 387–391, 1987.
 - Umezawa, Y., Hosono, T., Onodera, S., Siringan, F., Buapeng, S., Delinom, R., Yoshimizu, C., Tayasu, I., Nagata, T., and Taniguchi, M.: Sources of nitrate and ammonium contamination in
- groundwater under developing Asian megacities, Sci. Total Environ., 404, 361–376, 2008.
 - Wang, L.: A discussion on the deep fresh water salinization in the plain region of Tianjin City, Geol. Surv. Res., 27, 169–176, 2004 (in Chinese with English Abstract).





Wankel, S. D., Kendall, C., Francis, C. A., and Paytan, A.: Nitrogen sources and cycling in the San Francisco Bay Estuary: a nitrate dual isotopic composition approach, Limnol. Oceanogr., 51, 1654-1664, 2006.

Xue, D., Botte, J., De Baets, B., Accoe, F., Nestler, A., Taylor, P., Van Cleemput, O.,

- Berglund, M., and Boeckx, P.: Present limitations and future prospects of stable isotope meth-5 ods for nitrate source identification in surface- and groundwater, Water Res., 43, 1159–1170, 2009.
 - Xue, D., De Baets, B., Vermeulen, J., Botte, J., Van Cleemput, O., and Boeckx, P.: Error assessment of nitrogen and oxygen isotope ratios of nitrate as determined via the bacterial denitrification method, Rapid Commun. Mass Sp., 24, 1979-1984, 2010.

10

Zhang, J., Yu, Z. G., Raabe, T., Liu, S., Starke, A., Zou, L., Gao, H., and Brockmann, U.: Dynamaics of inorganic nutrient species in the Bohai seawaters, J. Marine Syst., 44, 189-212, 2004.

| Discussion Pa | B (11, 4563– | BGD 11, 4563–4589, 2014 | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| iper Discussion | Nitrate so dynami salinized estu D. Xu | Nitrate sources and dynamics in the salinized rivers and estuaries D. Xue et al. | | | | | |
| Paper | Title | Title Page | | | | | |
| — | Abstract | Introduction | | | | | |
| Discu | Conclusions | References | | | | | |
| ssion I | Tables | Figures | | | | | |
| ape | 14 | ►I. | | | | | |
| Ē | • | • | | | | | |
| _ | Back | Close | | | | | |
| Discuss | Full Scr | Full Screen / Esc Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion | | | | | |
| ion F | Printer-frie | | | | | | |
| aper | Interactive | | | | | | |



Table 1. Physicochemical properties and isotopic composition of NO_3^- for the three investigated rivers and the corresponding estuaries.

| Location | Salinity | рН | 7 (°C) | DO (mgL ⁻¹) | NO_2^- $\mu mol L^{-1}$ | NO_3^- | NH_4^+ | δ^{15} N-NO ₃ ‰ | δ ¹⁸ O-NO ₃ [−] |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| HH ^a | 0.7 | 7.5 | 11.4 | 2.7 | 16.6 | 219.0 | 221.4 | -0.2 | -0.5 |
| | 0.7 | 7.7 | 12.1 | 4.0 | 18.0 | 145.6 | 332.6 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| | 0.7 | 7.7 | 12.2 | 4.8 | 18.6 | 134.4 | 311.3 | 0.6 | 0.2 |
| | 0.8 | 7.9 | 13.2 | 5.0 | 20.8 | 105.0 | 326.9 | 1.1 | 0.5 |
| | 1.0 | 8.1 | 13.1 | 8.2 | 10.0 | 94.7 | 157.9 | 4.5 | 0.6 |
| | 2.3 | 8.4 | 12.1 | 10.4 | 7.2 | 90.2 | 124.1 | 4.6 | 1.1 |
| | 2.4 | 8.5 | 11.9 | 10.5 | 8.6 | 94.0 | 127.1 | 4.3 | 1.3 |
| | 3.7 | 8.3 | 12.7 | 10.4 | 8.8 | 89.0 | 127.3 | 3.9 | 1.2 |
| | 4.6 | 8.3 | 12.1 | 9.9 | 15.5 | 62.5 | 156.8 | 8.4 | 1.5 |
| | 4.9 | 8.2 | 11.7 | 9.4 | 14.5 | 70.0 | 149.5 | 7.4 | 1.4 |
| Average | 2.2 ± 1.7 | 8.1 ± 0.3 | 12.3 ± 0.6 | 7.5 ± 3.1 | 13.9 ± 4.8 | 110.4 ± 45.9 | 203.5 ± 87.5 | 3.5 ± 3.0 | 0.8 ± 0.7 |
| HH | 18.6 | 8.2 | 10.1 | 10.7 | 6.7 | 25.7 | 88.1 | 8.0 | 5.4 |
| | 20.6 | 8.2 | 10.2 | 10.7 | 6.3 | 17.8 | 79.9 | 7.9 | 5.6 |
| | 21.3 | 8.1 | 9.6 | 10.7 | 6.2 | 15.1 | 76.9 | 8.1 | 5.7 |
| | 24.1 | 8.1 | 9.0 | 10.7 | 5.6 | 7.1 | 65.7 | 8.3 | 5.8 |
| Average | 21.2 ± 2.3 | 8.2 ± 0.1 | 9.7 ± 0.6 | 10.7 ± 0.0 | 6.2 ± 0.5 | 16.4 ± 7.7 | 77.7 ± 9.3 | 8.1 ± 0.2 | 5.6 ± 0.2 |
| CB ^a | 0.5 | 7.9 | 11.3 | 8.9 | 12.0 | 120.0 | 333.4 | 13.7 | 4.0 |
| | 0.5 | 8.6 | 10.8 | 10.5 | 7.0 | 134.1 | 167.1 | 14.0 | 4.8 |
| | 0.5 | 8.5 | 10.7 | 9.1 | 10.6 | 157.8 | 380.0 | 13.9 | 3.9 |
| | 0.5 | 8.5 | 10.5 | 9.9 | 9.9 | 171.5 | 143.9 | 12.2 | 4.3 |
| | 0.6 | 8.6 | 11.0 | 10.4 | 6.0 | 171.1 | 367.0 | 13.7 | 4.8 |
| | 0.6 | 8.2 | 10.8 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 152.4 | 210.1 | 14.1 | 5.6 |
| Average | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 8.4 ± 0.3 | 10.9 ± 0.3 | 9.8 ± 0.7 | 9.0 ± 2.3 | 151.2 ± 20.6 | 266.9 ± 105.4 | 13.6 ± 0.7 | 4.6 ± 0.6 |
| JY ^a | 0.6 | 8.1 | 9.9 | 7.2 | 7.0 | 40.0 | 72.8 | 6.5 | 0.9 |
| | 0.7 | 8.2 | 11.0 | 8.7 | 6.9 | 42.0 | 64.4 | 6.3 | 2.0 |
| | 0.7 | 8.2 | 11.3 | 7.5 | 4.4 | 44.0 | 57.6 | 6.4 | 1.4 |
| | 0.7 | 8.4 | 11.7 | 9.3 | 4.9 | 46.0 | 48.1 | 5.8 | 0.8 |
| | 0.8 | 8.4 | 12.4 | 9.3 | 4.0 | 76.6 | 12.7 | 5.3 | 1.3 |
| | 0.8 | 8.4 | 11.8 | 9.7 | 3.8 | 78.0 | 25.9 | 5.3 | 1.1 |
| | 0.8 | 8.5 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 2.2 | 83.3 | 11.1 | 4.4 | 2.8 |
| | 0.8 | 8.5 | 11.8 | 9.9 | 2.1 | 81.5 | 35.1 | 4.4 | 5.3 |
| Average | 0.7 ± 0.1 | 8.3 ± 0.2 | 11.5 ± 0.8 | 8.9 ± 1.1 | 4.4 ± 1.8 | 61.4 ± 19.9 | 41.0 ± 23.4 | 5.6 ± 0.8 | 2.0 ± 1.5 |
| CJp | 2.0 | 8.2 | 7.8 | 10.6 | 7.7 | 153.4 | 328.4 | 13.6 | 5.9 |
| | 2.5 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 11.4 | 7.8 | 120.0 | 304.7 | 15.0 | 6.1 |
| | 2.7 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 11.5 | 7.6 | 110.0 | 283.3 | 14.7 | 6.4 |
| | 4.2 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 11.1 | 7.0 | 130.0 | 286.3 | 13.6 | 6.4 |
| | 9.0 | 8.3 | 5.7 | 11.4 | 5.8 | 37.0 | 180.7 | 11.9 | 6.2 |
| | 13.7 | 8.3 | 6.2 | 11.3 | 4.9 | 24.0 | 120.4 | 9.3 | 6.7 |
| | 20.0 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 11.2 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 43.2 | 7.1 | 6.9 |
| Average | 7.7 ± 6.9 | 8.3 ± 0.1 | 6.7 ± 1.2 | 11.2 ± 0.3 | 6.3 ± 1.7 | 82.9 ± 58.8 | 221.0 ± 108.0 | 12.2 ± 3.0 | 6.4 ± 0.3 |

a represents river;

b represents estuary.







Fig. 1. Sampling location for the three investigated rivers.





Fig. 2. δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O-NO₃⁻ of the salinized rivers and estuaries. Ranges of isotopic composition for five potential NO_3^- sources are adapted from Xue et al. (2009) and indicated by boxes: NO₃⁻ in precipitation (NP), NO₃⁻ fertilizer (NF), NH₄⁺ in fertilizer and rain (NFR), soil N (Soil) and manure and sewage (M and S).



Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





Fig. 3. DIN (NH_4^+, NO_2^-, NO_3^-) concentrations and isotopic composition of NO_3^- vs. salinity in the HH River and the HH Estuary. HH1-E represented the calculated mixing line between the initial upstream and the estuary; HH2-E represented the calculated mixing line between the floodgate 1 and the estuary; F represents floodgate.





Fig. 4. DIN (NH_4^+, NO_2^-, NO_3^-) concentrations and isotopic composition of NO_3^- vs. salinity in the CB River and the JY River and the CJ Estuary. CB-E represented the calculated mixing line between the CB River and the CJ Estuary; JY-E represented the calculated mixing line between the JY River and the CJ Estuary.



Fig. 5. Variation percentage compared to the calculated mixing lines for the HH River, CB River, JY River and their corresponding estuaries of HH and CJ. When the percentage > 0 representing a source; when the percentage < 0 representing a sink; when the percentage equal to 0 representing a mixing; * represents a river.



