

# The temperature sensitivity of organic matter decay in tidal marshes

M. L. Kirwan<sup>1</sup>, G.R. Guntenspergen<sup>2</sup>, and J. A. Langley<sup>3</sup>

[1]{Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William and Mary, Gloucester Point, VA, USA}

[2]{U.S. Geological Survey, Patuxent, MD, USA}

[3]{Villanova University, Villanova, PA, USA}

Correspondence to: M. L. Kirwan (kirwan@vims.edu)

## Abstract

Approximately half of marine carbon sequestration takes place in coastal wetlands, including tidal marshes, where organic matter contributes to soil elevation and ecosystem persistence in the face of sea level rise. The long-term viability of marshes, and their carbon pools, depends in part on how the balance between productivity and decay responds to climate change. Here, we report the sensitivity of labile soil organic matter decay in tidal marshes to seasonal and latitudinal variations in temperature measured over a 3-year period. We find a moderate increase in decay rate at warmer temperatures (3-6% per °C,  $Q_{10}=1.3-1.5$ ). Despite the profound differences between microbial metabolism in wetlands and uplands, our results indicate a strong conservation of temperature sensitivity. Moreover, simple comparisons with organic matter production suggest that elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and warmer temperatures will accelerate carbon accumulation in marsh soils, and potentially enhance their ability to survive sea level rise.

## 1 Introduction

Coastal marshes are among the most productive ecosystems on Earth and bury carbon at rates approximately an order of magnitude faster than terrestrial forest soils on a per area basis [Duarte *et al.*, 2005]. The high productivity of marsh vegetation protects coastal regions by

1 dissipating energy associated with storms, traps mineral sediment and pollutants, supports  
2 marine fisheries, and sequesters carbon [Barbier *et al.*, 2011]. However, marshes are  
3 submerging at rates faster than they can transgress inland in several regions of the world, and  
4 there is widespread concern over their ability to survive faster rates of sea level rise in the  
5 future [Kirwan *et al.*, 2010]. Organic matter accumulation is a primary mechanism regulating  
6 marsh elevation, particularly in the sediment-deficient estuaries most vulnerable to sea level  
7 rise [Turner *et al.*, 2000, Langley *et al.*, 2009, Nyman *et al.*, 2006, Neubauer 2008]. Thus, the  
8 fate of these ecosystem services under future sea-level rise depends largely on the balance  
9 between organic matter production and decay, and how it will respond to climatic warming  
10 and other global change drivers.

11         Complex interactions between soil elevation relative to sea level and vegetation  
12 characteristics control the response of coastal carbon pools to climate change [Kirwan &  
13 Mudd 2012, Langley & Megonigal 2010]. Long term elevated CO<sub>2</sub> experiments demonstrate a  
14 sustained increase in belowground organic matter production [Erickson *et al.*, 2007], and an  
15 increase in the rate of marsh elevation gain that can counteract the effects of sea level rise in  
16 brackish marshes dominated by C<sub>3</sub> plants [Langley *et al.*, 2009]. Warming may have a similar  
17 impact on salt marshes dominated by C<sub>4</sub> plants, where enhanced aboveground productivity  
18 would presumably lead to enhanced mineral sediment deposition [Charles & Dukes, 2009;  
19 Kirwan *et al.*, 2009; Gedan *et al.*, 2011; Mudd *et al.*, 2010]. Moderate increases in flooding  
20 frequency associated with sea level rise may increase rates of above and belowground  
21 productivity in both salt and brackish marshes [Morris *et al.*, 2002; Kirwan & Guntenspergen  
22 2012] without altering decomposition rates [Blum & Christian, 2004; Kirwan *et al.*, 2013].  
23 Together, these processes may increase the amount of carbon buried in the coastal zone over  
24 the next few decades [Kirwan & Mudd 2012].

25         Accelerated rates of soil organic matter decomposition largely offset increases in  
26 biomass production associated with elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and warmer temperatures in terrestrial  
27 ecosystems [Davidson & Janssens 2006, Conant *et al.*, 2011]. However, the extent to which  
28 warmer temperatures accelerate decomposition in wetland ecosystems is virtually unknown.  
29 Many terrestrial ecosystems yield a similar temperature sensitivity (Q<sub>10</sub>=1.3-1.5) for  
30 ecosystem respiration [Mahecha *et al.*, 2010]. Preliminary experiments designed to measure  
31 decomposition sensitivity to warming in marshes have yielded results ranging from no  
32 sensitivity [Charles & Dukes, 2009] to a Q<sub>10</sub> greater than many terrestrial studies [Kirwan &

1 *Blum*, 2011]. However, these previous studies examined decomposition of aboveground litter  
2 placed above the soil surface whereas the organic matter inputs that sustain tidal wetlands and  
3 contribute to carbon burial are primarily belowground and subjected to a fundamentally  
4 different biogeochemical environment [*Nyman et al.*, 2006; *Cherry et al.*, 2009]. Therefore, a  
5 poor understanding of the impact of warming on organic matter decay limits our ability to  
6 predict how marshes and their carbon pools will respond to interacting components of climate  
7 change.

8 Here, we use environmental temperature gradients through space and time to assess  
9 the temperature sensitivity of decomposition rates in tidal marsh soils and find that apparent  
10  $Q_{10}$  values are much lower than previously reported, but consistent with results from  
11 terrestrial ecosystems.

12

## 13 **2 Methods**

### 14 **2.1 Latitudinal gradient experiment**

15 We estimated the rate of cellulose decomposition at 14 sites along a latitudinal gradient from  
16 South Carolina to Nova Scotia. Each site is mesohaline, and located at an elevation where  
17 *Spartina patens* and *Schoenoplectus americanus* converge (Figure 1a). The loss of tensile  
18 strength in standardized cellulose material, such as cotton strips, is a widely used proxy for  
19 labile decay in soils of many ecosystems since cellulose comprises about 70% of the organic  
20 material in plants [*Harrison et al.*, 1988; *Mendelsohn et al.*, 1999; *Slocum et al.*, 2009]. The  
21 use of a standardized material eliminates variations in substrate quality that potentially  
22 influence decomposition, helping to isolate the effect of environmental factors such as  
23 temperature across a latitudinal gradient. Following typical cotton strip assay methods for  
24 wetlands [*Mendelsohn et al.*, 1999; *Slocum et al.*, 2009], we inserted 2, 30 cm strips of artist  
25 canvas into the marsh soil vertically at each site, and left them in the ground for 8-89 days  
26 depending on the initial soil temperature (longer for cooler locations) so that the loss of tensile  
27 strength ranged between 50 – 75% of their initial tensile strength. Cotton strips were deployed  
28 during the middle of each site's growing season, generally in June or July of each year. Two  
29 control strips were installed at the same time as the sample strips but removed immediately.  
30 Upon retrieval, each strip was washed in deionized water to remove soil particulate material,  
31 and air dried to a constant weight. Strips were then cut into 2 cm increments, and analyzed on

1 a Dillon Quantrol Snapshot tensiometer to measure tensile strength and loss of tensile strength  
2 with depth. Soil temperature was measured at 4 cm depth at the beginning and end of each  
3 deployment, and averaged together. The experiment was repeated in 2008, 2009, and 2010.

## 4 **2.2 Seasonal warming experiment**

5 In a second experiment, we deployed bags containing soil organic matter at a single location  
6 to measure the influence of seasonal temperature warming on decomposition. This experiment  
7 was done at our Blackwater River site (38.41°N, 76.08°E) near the midpoint of the latitudinal  
8 gradient. The Blackwater marshes are microtidal (astronomical tides < 50 cm), mesohaline,  
9 and dominated by the C<sub>3</sub> macrophyte, *Schoenoplectus americanus*. Following *Kirwan et al.*  
10 [2013], decomposition bags were 6 x 6 x 1 cm, constructed of a non-reactive synthetic  
11 membrane (Versapor©; Pall Corporation, Port Washington, NY) with 5 µm pore size to allow  
12 access to water and microbes but prevent exogenous particulate matter, such as roots and  
13 sediment, from entering the bags. Each bag contained approximately 3.5 g of *S. americanus*  
14 roots and rhizomes. To better understand the effects of organic matter quality on sensitivity of  
15 decay to temperature, we used two sources of soil organic matter, each dried at 40°C, milled  
16 and homogenized. In one set of experiments, we used *S. americanus* root and rhizome  
17 material harvested from a previous mesocosm experiment [*Kirwan & Guntenspergen 2012*].  
18 In the other set of experiments, we used *S. americanus* root and rhizome material collected  
19 from the adjacent marshland in February, 2012. Sets of 5 decomposition bags of each organic  
20 material source were deployed at approximately monthly intervals from April 11, 2012  
21 through January 7, 2013. Decomposition bags were oriented so that they were exposed to the  
22 upper 6 cm of the soil profile. To understand the relationship between cotton strip tensile  
23 strength loss and native organic material mass loss, sets of 5 cotton strips were deployed  
24 concurrently with the decomposition bags. At the end of each monthly interval, soil organic  
25 matter bags and cotton strips were retrieved, dried at 40°C, and weighed to measure mass loss  
26 or analyzed for tensile strength loss. Continuous soil temperature measurements were made  
27 with Hobo Pendant thermometer data loggers inserted in the soil at 4 cm depth.

## 28 **2.3 Analytical methods**

29 The decomposition of cotton strip material was calculated as the loss of tensile strength in  
30 deployed strips relative to the control strips, and divided by the duration of each experiment  
31 (i.e. percent loss per day) [*Slocum et al., 2009*]. Tensile strength loss measurements in the

1 upper 6 cm of each strip were averaged together to determine an average rate of tensile  
2 strength loss near the soil surface (i.e. 0-6 cm) that could be directly compared with mass loss  
3 of decomposition bags. Measurements of mass loss in decomposition bags were converted to  
4 decay coefficients to account for subtle differences in initial bag weight and duration of  
5 experiments. According to exponential decay, the decay coefficient  $k = [-\ln(C_t / C_o)]/t$  where  
6  $C_o$  and  $C_t$  are the mass of organic matter at the beginning and end of the experiment, and  $t$  is  
7 the duration of the experiment. Results from replicate cotton strips and decomposition bags  
8 were averaged together, and then compared to soil temperature via regression, assuming an  
9 exponential relationship between the decay rate,  $k$  and temperature,  $T$  [e.g. *Davidson &*  
10 *Janssens*, 2006]. Analysis of covariance revealed that the relationship between mass loss and  
11 soil temperature for the two organic material sources was not significantly different ( $p=0.87$ ),  
12 so data from both sources was combined. We used linear regression to relate loss of tensile  
13 strength in cotton strips to mass loss in the decomposition bags for the seasonal warming  
14 experiment at our Blackwater study site, and used this relationship to calibrate the latitudinal  
15 gradient in tensile strength loss to units of mass loss. Finally,  $Q_{10}$  values were calculated  
16 according to  $Q_{10} = e^{10a}$  where  $a = \ln(k)/T$  [*Langley et al.*, 2005].

17

### 18 **3 Results**

19 In the latitudinal experiment, average soil temperatures during each deployment period varied  
20 from 13°C at the Kouchibouguac (Nova Scotia) study site to 29°C at the Blackwater  
21 (Maryland) study site (Figure 1). Cellulose strips lost tensile strength at rates ranging from  
22 1.1% day<sup>-1</sup> to 6.5 % day<sup>-1</sup>, where the variation was positively and significantly correlated with  
23 soil temperature ( $r=0.83$ ,  $p<0.01$ ) (Figure 1b). The relationship between temperature and  
24 decay rate was similar in 2008, 2009, and 2010 (Figure 1b).

25 In the seasonal warming experiment, average soil temperatures ranged from 26.6°C in  
26 the July 2012 deployment to 6.8°C in the December 2012 deployment which encompass the  
27 temperature range of the latitudinal experiment (Figure 2a). Both indices of decay followed  
28 seasonal variations in soil temperature, where cotton strips lost tensile strength at rates  
29 between 1.1 % day<sup>-1</sup> (winter) and 2.8 % day<sup>-1</sup> (summer), and decomposition bags lost mass  
30 according to decay coefficients between 0.003 day<sup>-1</sup> and 0.007 day<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 2b). Other  
31 environmental factors including precipitation ( $r=0.52$ ,  $p=0.12$ ) and flooding frequency  
32 ( $r=0.46$ ,  $p=0.18$ ) were not significantly correlated with decomposition rates (Figure 2a).

1 Finally, the loss of tensile strength in cotton strips was significantly related to mass loss of the  
2 decomposition bags in the seasonal experiment ( $r=0.63$ ,  $p=0.04$ ) (Figure 2c), allowing us to  
3 calibrate the tensile strength data from the latitudinal experiment in terms of mass loss.

4 Both experimental approaches demonstrate a consistent relationship between  
5 temperature and organic matter decomposition rate. In the seasonal warming experiment,  
6 organic matter decay was significantly and positively correlated ( $r=0.74$ ,  $p=0.01$ ) with soil  
7 temperature, equivalent to a 3.0 percent increase in decay rate per °C, and a  $Q_{10}$  value of 1.27  
8 (Figure 3a). Decay coefficients estimated from the calibrated cotton strip measurements  
9 across latitude were also significantly related to the temperature at each study site ( $r=0.81$ ,  
10  $p=0.003$ ), where decay coefficients increased from 0.004 to 0.010 day<sup>-1</sup>, equivalent to a 5.6%  
11 increase in decay rate per °C, and a  $Q_{10}$  value of 1.51 (Figure 3b).

#### 13 4 Discussion

14 Each of our decomposition experimental approaches has important limitations. For example,  
15 our latitudinal experiments were conducted with standardized cellulose material not  
16 necessarily reflective of native soil organic matter. Sites were selected without regard to  
17 environmental factors that could obscure or complicate trends with latitude such as nutrient  
18 availability, and only loosely selected with regard to flooding frequency (i.e. located at the  
19 ecotone between *S. Patens* and *S. americanus*). The seasonal experiments suffer from lack of  
20 generality since they were conducted at a single site and in a single year. However, the  
21 parallel experiments complement each other and relieve many of the potential issues in either  
22 individual approach. For example, the seasonal experiment at a single site controls for  
23 environmental factors that could vary along the latitudinal gradient, and uses native root and  
24 rhizome material. The latitudinal experiment confirms that the sensitivity of decomposition to  
25 temperature is similar from year-to-year, and that the relationship between temperature and  
26 decay measured at one site is more generally applicable to brackish marshes along the  
27 Atlantic Coast.

28 Our measurements of root and rhizome decay in the seasonal experiment ( $k=0.003$ -  
29  $0.007$  day<sup>-1</sup> or  $k=1.1$ - $2.6$  year<sup>-1</sup>) and in the calibrated latitudinal gradient ( $k=0.004$ - $0.010$  day<sup>-1</sup>  
30 or  $k=1.5$ - $3.7$  yr<sup>-1</sup>) are similar to other short-term measurements of decay rate, suggesting that  
31 our experimental design adequately measured the early stages of decay. For example, *Kirwan*  
32 & *Blum* [2011] reported decay coefficients between 1.5 and 5.9 yr<sup>-1</sup> in a similar seasonal

1 experiment, and *Christian* [1984] reported decay coefficients between 1.0 and 9.1 yr<sup>-1</sup> from 11  
2 marshes throughout the United States. Our rates are similar, but slightly lower, perhaps  
3 reflecting their use of above-ground material from the salt marsh plant species *S. alterniflora*.  
4 Our decay rates are higher than for root and rhizome decomposition measured over longer  
5 durations at our study site (k=0-0.38 yr<sup>-1</sup>) [*Kirwan et al.*, 2013] and elsewhere in the mid-  
6 Atlantic (k=0.11-0.51 yr<sup>-1</sup> ; k=0.25-0.57 yr<sup>-1</sup>) [*Blum & Christian*, 2004 ; *Windham*, 2001]  
7 because our monthly measurements include only the most rapid, initial phases of decay (i.e.  
8 leaching of soluble compounds and decomposition of cellulose) [*Valiela* 1985].

9         The goal of this research was to measure the sensitivity of wetland decomposition to  
10 temperature, rather than to quantify the long-term rate of decay itself. The regressions in  
11 Figure 3 imply a 3% and 6% increase in decay rate per °C in the seasonal and latitudinal  
12 experiments, respectively. Our results therefore confirm that temperature plays a significant  
13 role in wetland decomposition rates, contrasting early experimental findings [*Charles &*  
14 *Dukes*, 2009], but suggest a much lower sensitivity than previously reported [*Kirwan & Blum*,  
15 2011]. Previous experiments reporting a 20% per °C sensitivity were based on similar  
16 observations of monthly decay throughout the growing season but were conducted with  
17 above-ground portions of *S. alterniflora* plants placed directly on the soil surface in a rarely  
18 flooded salt marsh [*Kirwan & Blum* 2011]. The temperature sensitivity of decomposition that  
19 we report (Q<sub>10</sub>=1.3-1.5) is also less than the sensitivity reported for ecosystem respiration of a  
20 freshwater marsh (Q<sub>10</sub>=3.0-3.6) [*Neubauer*, 2013], presumably because our estimate  
21 corresponds to heterotrophic respiration, whereas ecosystem fluxes include autotrophic and  
22 heterotrophic respiration from plants and soil. In contrast, the sensitivity we report (Q<sub>10</sub>= 1.3 –  
23 1.5) is very similar to the range of estimated temperature sensitivities of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from  
24 freshwater wetland soils (Q<sub>10</sub>=1.3-2.5) [*Inglett et al.*, 2012] and salt marsh soils (Q<sub>10</sub>=1.5-1.8)  
25 [*Morris and Whiting*, 1986].

26         In addition to implications for viability of coastal wetlands, the results provide insight  
27 into climate-carbon cycle feedbacks, which have rarely been examined in wetlands.  
28 Decomposition studies in uplands have yielded a wide range of temperature sensitivities  
29 [*Craine et al.*, 2013], begetting a large uncertainty in the strength of the climate-carbon  
30 feedback. The temperature sensitivity of decomposition in wetlands is particularly important  
31 in future climate because wetlands sequester carbon at rates an order of magnitude faster than  
32 upland ecosystems [*Mcleod et al.*, 2011]. However, increasing temperatures could accelerate

1 decomposition rates and slow or potentially reverse the wetland carbon sink. The temperature  
2 sensitivities we report agree generally with a global average temperature sensitivity of  
3 respiration derived from ecosystem flux measurements over mostly upland sites ( $Q_{10} = 1.3 -$   
4  $1.5$ ) [*Bond-Lamberty & Thomson 2010; Mahecha et al., 2010*]. That we find similar  
5 temperature sensitivity in such a biogeochemically distinct environment, and with a different  
6 experimental approach supports the idea that there may be fundamental determinants to  
7 temperature sensitivity of ecosystem carbon loss [*Allison et al., 2010*].

8         The sensitivity of decomposition to warming in upland soils may ultimately depend on  
9 the production and diffusion rates of carbon substrates to soil microbes, which can be limited  
10 by water availability [*Davidson et al., 2006*].  $Q_{10}$  estimates based on temperature fluctuations  
11 are confounded because fluctuations in soil water often correlate with soil temperature.  
12 Therefore,  $Q_{10}$  studies in saturated wetland soils offer unique insight because diffusion of  
13 dissolved carbon substrates is not limited by water availability. However, soil microbes in  
14 wetlands consume oxygen more rapidly than it can be replenished through diffusion from the  
15 atmosphere and plant aerenchyma, or through direct advection of oxygen and aerated water.  
16 The resulting scarcity of oxygen reduces decomposition rates, allowing organic matter to  
17 accumulate in wetland soils. Even though anaerobic metabolism, which dominates organic  
18 matter decomposition in many wetland soils, may operate in absence of available molecular  
19 oxygen, the oxidative status of the alternate electron acceptors, and therefore the efficiency of  
20 metabolism, still ultimately depends on interaction with available oxygen [*Megonigal et al.,*  
21 *2003*]. If oxygen diffusion represents the rate-limiting step in decomposition of wetland soil  
22 organic matter, then the temperature dependence of wetland decomposition should resemble  
23 the temperature dependence of oxygen diffusion. Based on an empirical relationship between  
24 oxygen diffusivity in water and temperature [*Han & Bartels, 1996*], Figure 4 illustrates that  
25 the  $Q_{10}$  of oxygen diffusivity (1.25-1.4) matches our measurements of  $Q_{10}$  for decomposition  
26 (1.3-1.5). Moreover, the  $Q_{10}$  of oxygen diffusivity declines with increasing temperature, as do  
27  $Q_{10}$  values for respiration across a wide range of ecosystem types [*Lloyd & Taylor, 1994*].

28         Soil carbon accumulation is a primary mechanism regulating marsh elevation and the  
29 vulnerability of marshes to sea level rise [*Turner et al., 2000; Langley et al., 2009; Nyman et*  
30 *al., 2006; Neubauer, 2008*]. Manipulative experiments indicate that warmer temperatures and  
31 moderate increases in rates of sea level rise tend to increase organic matter production [*Gedan*  
32 *et al., 2011; Kirwan & Guntenspergen, 2012*]. Elevated  $CO_2$  experiments indicate a sustained



1 increase in rates of C<sub>3</sub> organic matter production and marsh elevation gain [*Erickson et al.*,  
2 2007; *Langley et al.*, 2009], but do not facilitate the warming and higher decomposition rates  
3 that are likely to accompany higher greenhouse gas concentrations. Our results indicate that  
4 belowground labile organic matter in C<sub>3</sub> dominated marshes is indeed sensitive to temperature  
5 but suggest the sensitivity of decomposition is relatively small compared to climate factors  
6 that influence production (Figure 5). These simple comparisons do not include important  
7 long-term constraints on the concentration of carbon within a wetland soil [*Kirwan & Mudd*,  
8 2012], changes in carbon quality [*Ball and Drake*, 1997], interactions between multiple  
9 components of global change [*Langley and Megonigal*, 2010] or various feedbacks between  
10 climate, biota and sea level rise [*Wolf et al.*, 2007; *Weston et al.*, 2011; *Kirwan & Mudd*,  
11 2012]. How temperature sensitivities of labile carbon decomposition relate to the more  
12 refractory carbon that is important for long-term carbon burial, is poorly understood [*Fang et*  
13 *al.*, 2005; *Craine et al.*, 2010]. Nevertheless, the relatively low sensitivity of decomposition to  
14 temperature adds qualitative support to the idea that marshes will become more efficient  
15 carbon sinks under climate change, and therefore more resilient to sea level rise.

16

## 17 **Acknowledgements**

18 Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply  
19 endorsement by the U.S. Government. This research was funded by the U.S. Geological  
20 Survey Climate and Land Use Research & Development program. This paper is Contribution  
21 No. 3394 of the Virginia Institute of Marine Science, College of William & Mary.

22

## 1 **References**

- 2 Allison, S.D., Wallenstein, M.D., and Bradford, M.A.: Soil-carbon response to warming  
3 dependent on microbial physiology, *Nature Geoscience*, 3, 336-340, 2010.
- 4 Ball, A.S. and Drake, B.G.: Short-term decomposition of litter produced by plants grown in  
5 ambient and elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 3, 29-35, 1997.
- 6 Barbier, E.B. et al.: The value of estuarine and coastal ecosystem services, *Ecol. Monogr.*, 81,  
7 169-193, 2011.
- 8 Blum L.K. and Christian, R.R.: Belowground production and decomposition along a tidal  
9 gradient in a Virginia salt marsh, in *The Ecogeomorphology of Tidal Marshes*, ed. Fagherazzi,  
10 S., Marani, M., Blum, L. K., American Geophysical Union, Washington D.C., 2004.
- 11 Bond-Lamberty, B. and Thomson, A.: Temperature-associated increases in the global soil  
12 respiration record, *Nature*, 464, 579-582, 2010.
- 13 Charles H. and Dukes, J.S.: Effects of warming and altered precipitation on plant and nutrient  
14 dynamics of a New England salt marsh, *Ecol. Appl.*, 19, 1758-1773, 2009.
- 15 Cherry J.A., McKee, K.L., and Grace J.B.: Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> enhances biological contributions to  
16 elevation change in coastal wetlands by offsetting stressors associated with sea-level rise, *J.*  
17 *Ecol.*, 97, 67-77, 2009.
- 18 Conant, R.T. et al.: Temperature and soil organic matter decomposition rates – synthesis of  
19 current knowledge and a way forward, *Global Change Biology*, 17, 3392-3404, 2011.
- 20 Christian, R.R.: A life-table approach to decomposition studies, *Ecology*, 65, 1693-697, 1984.
- 21 Craine, J.M., Fierer, N., and McLauchlan, K.K.: Widespread coupling between the rate and  
22 temperature sensitivity of organic matter decay, *Nature Geoscience*, 3, 854-857, 2010.
- 23 Craft, C.: Freshwater input structures soil properties, vertical accretion, and nutrient  
24 accumulation of Georgia and U.S. tidal marshes, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 52, 1220-1230, 2007.
- 25 Davidson, E.A. and Janssens, I.A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and  
26 feedbacks to climate change, *Nature*, 440, 165-173, 2006.
- 27 Duarte C.M., Middelburg J.J., and Caraco, N.: Major role of marine vegetation on the oceanic  
28 carbon cycle, *Biogeosciences*, 2, 1-8, 2005.

- 1 Erickson, J.E., Megonigal J.P., Peresta G., and Drake, B.G.: Salinity and sea level mediate  
2 elevated CO<sub>2</sub> effects on C<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>4</sub> plant interactions and tissue nitrogen in a Chesapeake Bay tidal  
3 wetland, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 13, 202-215, 2007.
- 4 Fang, C., Smith, P., Moncrieff, J.B., and Smith, J.U.: Similar response of labile and resistant  
5 soil organic matter pools to change in temperature, *Nature*, 433, 57-59.
- 6 Gedan, K.B., Altieri, A.H., and Bertness, M.D.: Uncertain future of New England salt  
7 marshes, *Marine Ecology and Progress Series*, 434, 229-237, 2011.
- 8 Gedan, K.B. and Bertness, M.D.: How will warming affect the salt marsh foundation species  
9 *Spartina patens* and its ecological role?, *Oecologia*, 164, 479-487, 2010.
- 10 Han, P., and Bartels, D.M.: Temperature dependence of oxygen diffusion in H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O, *J.*  
11 *Phys. Chem.*, 100, 5597-5602, 1996.
- 12 Harrison, A.F., Latter, P.M. Walton, D.W.H., eds.: Cotton strip assay: an index of  
13 decomposition in soils (ITE Symposium no. 24). Institute of Terrestrial Ecology, Grange-  
14 Over-Sands, UK, 176pp, 1988.
- 15 Inglett, K., Inglett, P., Reddy, K., and Osborne, T.: Temperature sensitivity of greenhouse gas  
16 production in wetland soils of different vegetation. *Biogeochemistry*, 108, 77-90, 2012.
- 17 Kirwan, M.L. and Blum, L.K.: Enhanced decomposition offsets enhanced productivity and  
18 soil carbon accumulation in coastal wetlands responding to climate change. *Biogeosciences*,  
19 8, 987-993.
- 20 Kirwan, M.L., and Guntenspergen, G.R.: Feedbacks between inundation, root production, and  
21 shoot growth in a rapidly submerging brackish marsh, *J. Ecol.*, 100, 764-770, 2012.
- 22 Kirwan, M.L., Guntenspergen, G.R., and Morris, J.T.: Latitudinal trends in *Spartina*  
23 *alterniflora* productivity and the response of coastal marshes to global change, *Global Change*  
24 *Biology*, 15, 1982-1989, 2009.
- 25 Kirwan, M.L., Langley, J.A., Guntenspergen, G.R., and Megonigal, J.P.: The impact of sea-  
26 level rise on organic matter decay rates in Chesapeake Bay brackish tidal marshes,  
27 *Biogeosciences*, 10, 1869-1876, 2013.
- 28 Kirwan, M.L. and Mudd, S.M.: Response of salt-marsh carbon accumulation to climate  
29 change, *Nature*, 489, 550-553, 2012.

1 Kirwan, M.L. et al.: Limits on the adaptability of coastal marshes to rising sea level, *Geophys.*  
2 *Res. Lett.*, 37, L23401, doi:10.1029/2010GL045489, 2010.

3 Langley, J.A., Johnson, N.C., and Koch, G.W.: Mycorrhizal status influences the rate but not  
4 the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration, *Plant and Soil*, 277, 335-344, 2005.

5 Langley J.A., McKee K.L., Cahoon D.R., Cherry J.A., and Megonigal J.P.: Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>  
6 stimulates marsh elevation gain, counterbalancing sea-level rise, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 106,  
7 6182-6186, 2009.

8 Langley J.A., and Megonigal J.P.: Ecosystem response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> levels limited by  
9 nitrogen-fuelled species shift, *Nature*, 466, 96-99, 2010.

10 Lloyd, J. and Taylor, J.A.: On the temperature dependence of soil respiration, *Functional*  
11 *ecology*, 315-323, 1994.

12 McLeod, E. et al.: A blueprint for blue carbon: towards an improved understanding of the role  
13 of vegetated coastal habitats in sequestering CO<sub>2</sub>, *Front. Ecol. Environ.*, 9, 552-560, 2011.

14 Mahecha, M.D., Reichstein, M., Carvalhais, N., Lasslop, G., Lange, H., Seneviratne, S.I. et  
15 al.: Global Convergence in the Temperature Sensitivity of Respiration at Ecosystem Level,  
16 *Science*, 329, 838-840, 2010.

17 Meehl, G.A. et al., eds.: Global Climate Projections. In: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical*  
18 *Science Basis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.

19 Megonigal, J.P., Hines, M.E., and Visscher, P.T.: Anaerobic metabolism: linkages to trace  
20 gases and aerobic processes, *Treatise on Geochemistry*, 8, 317-424, 2003.

21 Mendelssohn, I.A. et al.: Controls on soil cellulose decomposition along a salinity gradient in  
22 a *Phragmites australis* wetland in Denmark, *Aquatic Botany*, 64, 381-398, 1999.

23 Morris, J.T., Sundareshwar, P.V., Nietch, C.T., Kjerfve B., and Cahoon, D.R.: Responses of  
24 coastal wetlands to rising sea level, *Ecology*, 83, 2869-2877, 2002.

25 Morris, J.T. and Whiting, G.J.: Emission of gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> from salt marsh sediments and its  
26 relation to other carbon losses. *Estuaries* 9, 9-19, 1986.

27 Mudd, S.M., D'Alpaos, A., and Morris, J.T.: How does vegetation affect sedimentation on  
28 tidal marshes? Investigating particle capture and hydrodynamic controls on biologically  
29 mediated sedimentation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, F03029, doi:10.1029/2009JF001566, 2010.

1 Neubauer, S.C.: Contributions of mineral and organic components of tidal freshwater marsh  
2 accretion, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.*, 78, 78-88, 2008.

3 Neubauer, S.C.: Ecosystem responses of a tidal freshwater marsh experiencing saltwater  
4 intrusion and altered hydrology, *Estuaries and Coasts*, 36, 491-507, 2013.

5 Nyman, J.A., Walters, R.J., Delaune, R.D., and Patrick, W.H.: Marsh vertical accretion via  
6 vegetative growth, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.*, 69, 370-380, 2006.

7 Slocum, M.G., Roberts, J., and Mendelsohn, I.A.: Artist canvas as a new standard for the  
8 cotton-strip assay, *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci.*, 172, 71-74, 2009.

9 Stocker et al., 2013. Technical Summary. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Sciences*  
10 *Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the*  
11 *Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge University Press, New York, NY.

12 Turner, R.E., Swenson, E.M., and Milan, C.S.: Organic and inorganic contributions to vertical  
13 accretion in salt marsh sediments. Pages 583-595 In Weinstein, M. and D.A. Kreeger  
14 (Editors). *Concepts and Controversies in Tidal Marsh Ecology*. Kluwer Academic Publishing,  
15 Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2000.


16 Weston, N.B., Vile, M.A., Neubauer, D.C., and Velinsky, D.J.: Accelerated microbial organic  
17 matter mineralization following salt-water intrusion into tidal freshwater marsh soils,  
18 *Biogeochemistry*, 102, 135-151, 2011.

19 Windham, L.: Comparison of biomass production and decomposition between *Phragmites*  
20 *australis* (common reed) and *Spartina patens* (salt hay) in brackish tidal marsh of New Jersey,  
21 *Wetlands*, 21, 179-188, 2001.

22 Valiela, I.: Decomposition in salt marsh ecosystems: the phases and major factors affecting  
23 disappearance of above-ground organic matter, *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.*, 89, 29-54, 1985.


24

# 1 Figure captions



2

3 **Figure 1.** (a) Map of deployment locations for the latitudinal cotton strip experiment. Star  
4 denotes location of seasonal warming experiment, and 4 cotton strip deployment sites in the  
5 vicinity of the Blackwater and Transquaking Rivers, MD. (b) Relationship between soil  
6 temperature and cotton strip tensile strength loss measured across the latitudinal gradient in  
7 2008 (triangles), 2009 (squares), and 2010 (diamonds). The markers and solid trend line  
8 correspond to tensile strength loss measurements averaged over the upper 6 cm of the soil  
9 profile.



1


2 **Figure 2.** Temperature change and its effect on decay in seasonal Blackwater experiments. (a)  
 3 environmental conditions during each experiment. (b) Variation in decay rate throughout the  
 4 experiments measured by cotton strips (% loss of tensile strength day<sup>-1</sup>, gray circles) and  
 5 decomposition bags (k, yr<sup>-1</sup>, red circles). (c) relationship between cotton strip tensile strength  
 6 loss and the decay coefficients measured in the decomposition bags.

7

8


9

10



1  
2 **Figure 3.** Relationship between decay coefficient ( $k$ ) and temperature as measured in seasonal  
3 decomposition bag experiment (a) and in calibrated cotton strips across latitudinal gradient  
4 (b). Tensile strength loss in cotton strips was converted to decay coefficients of mass loss with  
5 the regression in Figure 2c.


6  
7



8  
9 **Figure 4.** The diffusivity of  $O_2$  in water and the temperature sensitivity of diffusivity  
10 expressed as  $Q_{10}$ . Diffusivity is estimated from an empirical formula:

11  $\log_{10}(\text{Diffusivity}) = -4.410 + 773.8/T - (506.4/T)^2$  where  $D$  is diffusivity in  $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$  and  $T$  is  
12 temperature in Kelvin (Han and Bartels 1996). The  $Q_{10}$  was calculated as diffusivity at  $T$   
13 divided by the diffusivity at  $(T-10)$ . Because the observed relationship between diffusivity and  
14 temperature deviates from exponential, the calculated  $Q_{10}$  decreases as temperature increases.





1  
 2 **Figure 5.** Response of marsh organic matter production (green bars) and decomposition (red  
 3 bar) to individual components of global change. Dark green bars represent response of  
 4 *Schoenoplectus americanus* marsh to CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization (720 ppm), light green bars represent  
 5 response of *Spartina alterniflora* and *S. patens* marshes to elevated temperatures (+ 3 °C).  
 6 Red bar represents decomposition response to 3°C warming, which we assume is roughly  
 7 equivalent to the near doubling of [CO<sub>2</sub>] considered in CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization experiments (Stocker  
 8 et al., 2013). These are simplistic comparisons and do not address potential interactions, such  
 9 as warming impact on *S. Americanus* productivity, or the influence of CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization on  
 10 decomposition rate. Data sources from left to right: *Schoenoplectus americanus* shoots  
 11 (Langley et al., 2009), *S. americanus* roots (Langley et al., 2009), *S. americanus* shoots and  
 12 roots (Erickson et al., 2007), *Spartina alterniflora* shoots (Kirwan et al., 2009), *S. alterniflora*  
 13 shoots (Gedan et al., 2011), *S. patens* shoots (Gedan et al., 2010).

14