

**Effects of seabird nitrogen input on biomass and carbon accumulation after 50 years of primary succession on a young volcanic island, Surtsey**

N.I.W. Leblans<sup>1,2</sup>, B. D. Sigurdsson<sup>2</sup>, P. Roefs<sup>1</sup>, R. Thuys<sup>1</sup>, B. Magnússon<sup>3</sup> & I. A. Janssens<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Univ. of Antwerp, Dept. of Biology, BE-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium; <sup>2</sup> Agric. Univ. of Iceland, Hvanneyri 311 Borgarnes, Iceland; <sup>3</sup> Icelandic Inst. of Nat. Hist., IS-210 Gardabaer, Iceland.

E-mail: niki.leblans@ua.ac.be

## Abstract

What happens during primary succession after the first colonizers have occupied a pristine surface largely depends on how they ameliorate living conditions for other species. For vascular plants the onset of soil development and associated increase in nutrient (mainly nitrogen, N) and water availability is especially important. Here, we report the relation between N accumulation and biomass- and ecosystem carbon (C) stocks in a 50-year-old volcanic island, Surtsey, in Iceland, where N stocks are still exceptionally low. However, a 27-year-old seagull colony on the island provided nutrient-enriched areas, which enabled us to assess the relationship between N stock and biomass- and ecosystem C stocks across a much larger range in N stock. Further, we compared areas on shallow and deep tephra sands as we expected that deep-rooted systems would be more efficient in retaining N. The sparsely vegetated area outside the colony was more efficient in N retention than we expected and had accumulated  $0.7 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ , which was ca. 60% of the estimated N input rate from wet deposition. The seagulls have added, on average,  $47 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ , which induced a shift from belowground to aboveground in ecosystem N and C stocks and doubled the ecosystem 'N use efficiency', determined as the ratio of biomass and C storage per unit N input. Soil depth did not significantly affect total N stocks, which suggests a high N retention potential. Both total ecosystem biomass and C stocks were strongly correlated with N stock inside the colony, which indicated the important role of N during the first steps of primary succession. Inside the colony, the ecosystem biomass C stocks ( $17\text{-}27 \text{ kg C ha}^{-1}$ ) had

30 reached normal values for grasslands, while the soil organic carbon stocks (SOC; 4-10 kg C ha<sup>-1</sup>)  
31 were only a fraction of normal grassland values. Thus, it will take a long time until the SOC stock  
32 reaches equilibrium with the current primary production, during which conditions for new  
33 colonists may change.

34

## 1. Introduction

Primary succession, the chain of processes in which an ecosystem develops on an unvegetated substrate that lacks a developed soil (Vitousek et al., 1989; Walker and Del Moral, 2003), is a complex process that depends on the interplay of numerous factors, both biotic and abiotic (Raab et al., 2012). Most studies on primary succession of volcanic islands and inland volcanos have focused on community changes in flora and fauna (e.g. Walker et al., 2003; Magnússon et al., 2009; Marteinsdottir et al., 2010), but fewer have looked into changes in underlying environmental drivers for community change (Walker and Del Moral, 2003). Yet, such drivers often play a crucial role in the onset of ecosystem development (Kögel-Knabner et al., 2013) and are also often a function of long-term ecosystem processes, such as soil development (Long et al., 2013a).

The buildup of biomass leads to the accumulation of soil organic carbon (SOC), which is an indicator of soil development (Kögel-Knabner et al., 2013). SOC greatly improves the physical and chemical properties of soil, such as water holding capacity and ion exchange capacity, thereby enhancing nutrient retention and exchange (Deluca and Boisvenue, 2012). As the successful germination, establishment and growth rate of many species strongly depends on these soil properties, the presence of well-developed soils forms an ecological threshold for many species (Long et al., 2013b). Consequently, biomass and carbon (C) accumulation form an important step in the course of primary succession that enables less stress-tolerant and often more

productive species to arrive (Grime, 1977). A positive reciprocal relationship can be expected between SOC (and its source, biomass production) and nutrient accumulation (Li and Han, 2008). On the one hand, SOC facilitates the retention of nutrients, while on the other hand improved nutrient supply has a positive effect on plant biomass in all terrestrial systems (Gruner et al., 2008), which leads to increased SOC accumulation. Therefore, we expected the amount of nutrient input to be an important determinant of biomass and C accumulation during primary succession. Once the system has reached a sufficient level of fertility for, often more productive, secondary colonizers to establish, they will enhance SOC production and a positive feedback loop between biomass production (SOC) and nutrient accumulation will initiate. Subsequently, the rate of primary succession has been shown to increase following such fertility change (Olf et al., 1993; Vitousek et al., 1993; Sigurdsson and Magnússon, 2010).

Of all nutrients, nitrogen (N) is most frequently the limiting nutrient for plant growth in high latitude terrestrial ecosystems due to the adverse effect of cold temperatures on biogeochemical processes (Aerts and Chapin, 2000; Reich and Oleksyn, 2004; Storm and Suess, 2008), and this is even more pronounced on young substrates (Vitousek et al., 1993; Vitousek and Farrington, 1997; Elser et al., 2007). Therefore, N is expected to be strongly linked with SOC accumulation during primary succession of young volcanic islands at high latitudes.

Surtsey is a volcanic island which surfaced during a submarine eruption between 1963 and 1967, and has from the very beginning been strictly protected for research (Baldurson and Ingadóttir, 2007). This makes it an exceptionally suitable location to study the natural course of successional processes. As Surtsey is still a young volcanic island, its vegetation is expected to be N limited (Vitousek et al., 1993; Vitousek and Farrington, 1997; Elser et al., 2007). Nitrogen has indeed been identified as a strong limiting factor for plant growth and succession at Surtsey (Hendriksson, 1976; Magnússon and Magnússon, 2000), and the N:P ratio of five dominant plant species on Surtsey generally indicates a strong N limitation ( $N:P < 14$ ; Aerts and Chapin, 2000) (Thuys et al., 2014).

The importance of nutrients became very visible after the establishment of a seabird colony in 1986 (mainly lesser black backed gull, *Larus fuscus*) on the SW side of the island (Magnússon and Magnússon, 2000) (Fig. 1). Seabirds are known to transfer nutrients, primarily ammonium and nitrate, from sea to land (Polis and Hurd, 1996; Anderson and Polis, 1999; Ellis et al., 2006; Nie et al., 2014). The effect of enhanced nutrient inputs has been studied by comparing surfaces that were unaffected by seabirds with the seabird-colonized area (Magnússon and Magnússon, 2000; Magnússon et al., 2009; Sigurdsson and Magnússon, 2010). In the seabird colony, the rapid increase in soil N elicited subsequent increases in plant cover and species richness. These earlier studies did, however, not attempt to quantify the rate of N accumulation or study the development of the whole ecosystem biomass, C or nutrient stocks.

Within the seabird colony at Surtsey, the earlier studies have revealed diverging successional trajectories of vascular plant communities between areas with deep tephra sand or with surfacing lava rocks (Magnússon and Magnússon, 2000). This has become even more pronounced during the past decade (Del Moral and Magnússon, 2014), showing faster development of species richness and plant cover in seabird colony plots on deep tephra sand.

The main goal of this study was to quantify the rate of N accumulation in different permanent study plots on Surtsey and investigate how this compared to changes in the whole ecosystem biomass and C stocks. Despite the fact that earlier studies have revealed drastic effects of the seabird-derived N input on the ecosystem structure and functions on Surtsey (Magnússon and Magnússon, 2000; Kristinsson and Heidmarsson, 2009; Magnússon et al., 2009; Petersen, 2009; Sigurdsson and Magnússon, 2010; Del Moral and Magnússon, 2014; Thuys et al., 2014) no data exist on the annual N input coming from seabirds, except from a coarse estimate of (Magnússon et al., 2009), who combined excretion and food models for the lesser black-backed gull (*Larus fuscus*) and the herring gull (*Larus argentatus*) derived from Hahn et al. (2007), and nest counts on Surtsey. We therefore attempted to obtain a more precise approximation of the seabird derived N input by measuring total N stocks and correcting for the total colonization time. Our null hypothesis was that the annual net N accumulation rate inside the seabird colony amounts to ca. 30 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as was estimated by Magnússon et al. (2009).

Further, we tested four hypotheses in detail:

(i) Atmospheric N deposition is the main N source outside the seabird colony. As we do not expect that this sparsely vegetated area is very efficient in N retention, large amounts of N can be lost due to leaching during large rain events. Field observations in different ecosystems with low N input rates, as is the case outside the colony, revealed an average N retention of 75% (Thomas et al., 2013). Therefore, we hypothesized that total N stocks outside the seabird colony would approximate 75% of the total estimated accumulated atmospheric N deposition during the past 50 years.

(ii) Among similar vegetation types, those with deeper root systems were shown to be more effective in avoiding N leaching losses than their shallower rooting equivalents (Bowman et al., 1998). On Surtsey, most N that leaches through the upper substrate layer is removed from the system by seeping into cracks and fissures in the lava bedrock. Therefore, we hypothesized that plots on deep tephra sand show higher N retention compared to plots on shallow tephra sand.

(iii) Nutrient rich systems are known to invest relatively more in aboveground plant growth than nutrient poor systems (e.g. Warembourg and Estelrich, 2001; Wang et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2014). Therefore we expected to find a shift from belowground to aboveground biomass, C and N stocks inside the seagull colony, compared to outside the colony.



126 (iv) An important factor in the process of soil development, the process in which bedrock  
127 material is transformed into a soil with defined horizons by a combination of climate, biota,  
128 topography, parent material and time, is the accumulation of SOC (Kögel-Knabner et al., 2013).  
129 As SOC is a product of biomass degradation, we hypothesized that the increased productivity  
130 within the seagull colony enhances the rate of soil development.

131

## 2. Material & Methods

### 2.1. Site description

Samples were collected on Surtsey (63°18'11"N 20°36'17"W) in mid July 2012 and mid July 2013. The island surfaced during an eruption between 1963 and 1967 and was 1.41 km<sup>2</sup> in 2004. Surtsey is the youngest island of the Vestmannaeyjar archipelago, which is located on the insular shelf 32 km off the south coast of Iceland. The archipelago constitutes a separate volcanic system, which initiated activity around 100.000 years BP (Jakobsson, 1979). Climate conditions on the archipelago are humid sub-arctic. Winter and summer average temperatures between 1971 and 2000 were 1.5-2 °C and 10 °C, respectively (Icelandic Meteorological Office). Total annual precipitation during the same period was on average 1600 mm and fell mainly between October and March. The prevailing wind direction is East, and wind speed exceeds hurricane force ( $>32.7 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) on average 15 days per year (Icelandic Meteorological Office). The bedrock of the higher parts of Surtsey consists of basalt tuff, whereas in its lower parts it is made out of basaltic lava (Jakobsson, 1968). The island is still too young to have well developed soils. The lava is partly filled with tephra sand and silt, which originates from the eruption, from erosion of the bedrock material and by aeolian transport from the mainland. The vegetation on these sandy areas is dominated by *Honkenya peploides* and *Leymus arenaria* (Magnússon et al., 2014; Stefansdottir et al., 2014). In 1986, a seabird colony of lesser black backed gulls (*Larus fuscus*) was established in a confined area on the SW edge of the island (Petersen, 2009), and has been expanding in size

ever since. The dominant plant species inside the seagull colony are *Poa pratensis*, *P. annua* and *Festuca richardsonii* (Magnússon et al., 2009).

## 2.2. Sample sites

Between 1990 and 1995, permanent 10x10 m plots were established on Surtsey to survey ecosystem changes in and outside the seabird colony (Fig. 1). Out of these plots, we selected 18 representative plots inside and outside the seabird colony, partly on deep ( $\geq 30$  cm deep) and partly on shallow tephra sand ( $< 30$  cm deep). Ten plots were situated outside the colony (of which six were sampled in 2012 and four in 2013) and eight plots were situated inside the colony (of which four were sampled in 2012 and four in 2013). In every case, half of the plots were located on shallow and the other half on deep tephra sand. We placed three subplots (20x50 cm) for destructive sampling 0.5 m outside the southern edge of each permanent plot ( $n_{\text{outside colony}} = 30$ ;  $n_{\text{inside colony}} = 24$ ).

## 2.3. Vegetation & soil sampling

During the two expeditions to Surtsey, all vascular plants were cut in each subplot (20x50 cm) and subsequently separated into species in the field. Next, the litter layer was collected from the same plots, except in the more vegetated seabird colony, where it was collected from a 20x20 cm subplot within the harvest plot. Soil was then sampled from where the litter had been removed using a hand-driven soil corer (8.67 cm diameter). Consecutive soil samples of 5 cm depth were

taken down to 30 cm depth or until the bedrock was reached. All samples were air dried awaiting further analyses.

#### *2.4. Sample preparation and chemical analysis*

Fine roots (all roots were of diameter  $< 2$  mm) were picked manually from soil samples in the laboratory, for maximum 60 minutes per sample. In case where litter was found during the sorting process, it was added to the litter sample. The root-free soil was sieved through a 2 mm sieve in order to separate the coarse soil fraction ( $> 2$  mm) from the sand, silt and clay particles ( $< 2$  mm). All fractions (1) roots, (2) soil particles  $< 2$  mm and (3) soil particles  $> 2$  mm) were dried for 48 h at 40 °C. The coarse fraction was assumed to contain only a marginal amount of organic C or N. In few cases where the sample weight was too small for analysis ( $< 1$  g dry weight), samples from the same layer were merged by neighboring subplots within the same plot. The basaltic tephra sand in Surtsey does not contain any carbonates (mineral C), and inorganic N was assumed to be negligible compared to the total SON (soil organic nitrogen) stock (Ponnameruma et al., 1967), and was therefore not measured.

After drying all biomass samples for 48 h at 40 °C, dry mass of (1) all aboveground vascular plants (separated in monocotyledons, eudicotyledons and ferns and horsetails), (2) moss, (3) litter and (4) fine roots (in 0-5, 5-10, 10-20 and 20-30 cm depth layers) was weighed.

186 For each sample, 1 g dry mass (DM) was milled using a ball mill (Retsch MM301 Mixer Mill,  
187 Haan, Germany) and then total C and N concentrations were analysed by dry combustion on  
188 Macro Elementary Analyzer (Model Vario MAX CN, Hanau, Germany). C and N concentration  
189 was determined for (1) all aboveground vascular plant parts together, (2) litter, (3) moss, (4) roots  
190 and (5) soil particles ( $< 2$  mm) per aforementioned soil layers. Soil samples were then dried at  
191  $105^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 48 hours and weighed again to get the correct DM.

## 192 *2.6. C and N stocks and accumulation rates*

193 Carbon- and N stocks in (1) aboveground vascular plant biomass, (2) litter and (3) moss were  
194 estimated by multiplying total DM with the respective concentrations and were expressed per unit  
195 area. Carbon- and N stocks in (1) roots and (2) soil particles ( $< 2$  mm) per unit area were  
196 calculated per soil layer by multiplying total DM  $< 2\text{mm}$  of the soil layer with the respective  
197 concentrations.

198 For the plots outside the seabird colony, N accumulation rate was calculated by dividing the total  
199 N stock by the number of years since the start of accumulation (from 1963, the year of the  
200 surfacing of the island), assuming a constant N accumulation rate. For the plots inside the seabird  
201 colony, a separate estimate was made for the N accumulation rate of seabird derived N. Therefore  
202 the total N stock of plots inside the colony was first subtracted by the amount of total N stock  
203 outside the colony, assuming that this equals the non-bird N input over the whole island.

Subsequently, the remaining N stock was divided by the years since seagulls started to breed within 1000 m<sup>2</sup> of each plot; information that was available from (Magnússon and Magnússon, 2000; Magnússon et al., 2009).

## *2.7. Data analyses*

Differences in ecosystem N stocks, biomass and C stocks were tested with two-way ANOVA's in the R software (R Development Core Team, 2012), with seabird colony (yes – no) and tephra depth (deep-shallow) as fixed variables. Differences in N accumulation rate and in proportional distribution of SON and root N per soil depth layer were tested in the same way. The correlations between (1) biomass stocks, (2) ecosystem C stocks and (3) root/shoot ratios (R/S ratios) and ecosystem N stocks were tested separately for plots within and outside the seagull colony. Therefore, we used a correlation test, applying the “Spearman” method, whenever the assumptions of homoscedasticity (tested with residual plot) or linearity (tested with the runs test (Turlach, 2011; Trapletti et al., 2012; Zeileis et al., 2012)) were not met. Null hypotheses were rejected when  $p < 0.05$ .

**3. Results**

*3.1. Ecosystem N stock and distribution*

The total N stock outside and inside the seabird colony after 50 years of island development and ca. 26-27 years after the first lesser black backed gull colonization differed significantly, by a factor of approximately 30 (Fig. 2, Table 1). Soil depth had no significant effect on total accumulated N stock (in biomass and soil), neither outside nor inside the seabird colony. Also, the N stock of the living compartment (shoots, roots and moss together) and of shoots, roots and moss separately did not differ between deep and shallow tephra sand. However, inside the seagull colony, the N stock of the dead OM (organic material) compartment (litter and SON combined), and of the litter and SON separately, was significantly higher in deep tephra sand than in shallow tephra sand on lava (Fig. 2, Table 1).

Of the total N stock outside the colony, approximately 96% of the N was stored belowground in roots and SON, while within the colony this was reduced to about 78 and 88% in deep and shallow tephra sand, respectively (Fig. 2).

The amount of N stored as SON was 24 (on deep tephra sand) and 11 (on shallow tephra sand) times higher inside than outside the colony (Fig. 2, Table 1). Despite this drastic effect on absolute SON stocks, the seagull colony did not change the relative distribution of SON through the upper 30 cm of the soil profile. Irrespective of the bird influence, about 35% of the total SON

in deep tephra sands was located in the upper 5 cm, and decreased gradually to about 5% at 25-30 cm depth (Fig. 3, Table 2).

### *3.2. Nitrogen accumulation rate*

The average rate of total N accumulation (in both living and dead compartments) over the 50 years of island succession was  $0.7 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$  outside the seagull colony and the effect of tephra depth was not significant (Fig. 4, Table 1). In sharp contrast, the seabirds accelerated the N accumulation rate, with a factor of almost 50 - 100, to  $36 - 58 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$  (Fig. 4). Within the seabird colony, there was no difference in N accumulation rate between tephra depths (Table 2).

### *3.3 Ecosystem biomass and C stocks and SOC concentrations*

The area inside the colony contained 50 times more biomass than the plots outside of the bird colony, irrespective from tephra depth. For C, the area inside the colony contained 29 times more C than the area not affected by the seabirds on deep tephra sand, and 16 times more C on shallow tephra sand (Fig. 5, Table 1).

The seabird colony increased all individual stocks of biomass and C, both belowground as aboveground (Fig. 5, Table 1). The living biomass stock was over 50 times larger ( $0.7$  vs  $36 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  outside and inside the colony, respectively), and the living biomass C stock was almost 70 times higher ( $0.19$  vs  $13 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  outside and inside the colony, respectively) (Fig. 5). The



proportion of C stored in living biomass compared to SOC also shifted drastically; from a 24:76 distribution outside the colony, to a 68:32 distribution inside the colony.

Tephra depth, however, had only an effect inside the colony. There, the plots on deep tephra sand stored more litter, litter C and SOC than those on shallow sand layers (Fig. 5, Table 1). The SOC stock in deep tephra sand ( $10 \text{ kg C ha}^{-1}$ ) was more than twice as large than on shallow tephra sand ( $4 \text{ kg C ha}^{-1}$ ) (Fig. 5).

On deep tephra sand, the seabird colony had a pronounced effect on the relative distribution of the roots (Fig. 3). Outside the colony, the roots were evenly distributed throughout the upper 10 cm of the soil. Inside the colony, however, the roots were concentrated in the top 5 cm (57% of the total root weight within 30 cm depth), and declined to a fraction of only 4% between 20 and 30 cm depth.

The SOC concentration in the upper 5 cm of the tephra sand outside the colony was  $0.12 \pm 0.02$  % (error is SE; data not shown). Inside the colony, there was a significant depth effect on SOC concentration in the upper 5 cm of the tephra sand. On deep tephra sand, the SOC concentration was  $0.9 \pm 0.3$  %, whereas it was as high as  $4.6 \pm 0.4$  % on shallow tephra sand (errors are SE's; data not shown).

### *3.4. Impacts of ecosystem N stock on biomass and C stocks*

271 There was a highly significant positive linear relationship within the seagull colony between total  
272 ecosystem biomass and C stock on the one hand and total ecosystem N stock on the other hand  
273 (Fig. 6), while almost half of the N and C was located in the soil (50 and 40% on deep and  
274 shallow soils respectively), and was therefore not directly related to the biomass. An increase of  
275 one g of N could support extra 32 g biomass and 20 g of ecosystem C. Outside the colony, neither  
276 biomass nor C showed a significant correlation with total N stock (here approximately 80% of N  
277 and C was located in the soil), but for one g N, a median of 17 and 12 g of biomass or C stock  
278 were found (Fig. 6). There was no significant correlation between R/S ratio and ecosystem N  
279 stock, outside, neither inside the colony (Fig. 7). The variation in the measured R/S ratio was  
280 large. The values ranged from 0.1 to 194 outside the colony and from 1.8 to 96 inside (Fig. 7).  
281 The median R/S ratios were 18 and 5, outside and inside the seabird colony, respectively.

282

## 4. Discussion

### 4.1. Nitrogen accumulation outside the seabird colony

The mean N accumulation rate in soil and biomass outside the seabird colony during 50 years since Surtsey first emerged ( $0.7 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ , Fig. 4), represents about 50-60% of the estimated annual atmospheric N deposition rate in Iceland corrected to 1600 mm annual precipitation ( $1.3 - 1.4 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ ) (Gislason et al., 1996; Sigurdsson et al., 2005). This estimate of 50-60% retention is somewhat low compared to the average N retention in systems under low N input (75%, Thomas et al., 2013) and even more so compared to the >80% N retention in bare dune habitats reported by ten Harkel et al. (1998). This could be explained by the initial lack of plants on the island, resulting in higher leakage during the first years. After establishment of the primary colonizers in the 1970s and 1980s, the total aboveground vegetation cover outside the colony has remained low and stable ( $3.0\% \pm 0.3$ ) since the start of detailed measurements in 1994 (Magnússon, unpublished data). Despite the very sparse aboveground vegetation cover, it became evident during sampling that the unvegetated tephra sand areas were fully colonized by roots. Another study, which took place on and around *Leymus* dunes in the same area outside the seagull colony, but not within our permanent study plots, found similar dense root distribution (Stefansdottir et al., 2014). Therefore, we can assume that after vegetation establishment the N

300 retention on Surtsey has increased and is now close to the 75-80% reported for ecosystems with  
301 low N inputs (Thomas et al. 2013).

302 We assume atmospheric deposition to be the main source of N outside the seabird colony.  
303 Additional mechanisms of N input could be: (1) N inputs from volcanic processes, (2) chemical  
304 weathering of N-containing bedrock, (3) N input from overflying birds, (4) symbiotic N<sub>2</sub> fixation  
305 (5) free living N<sub>2</sub> fixation and (6) N fixation by lichens and biological soil crusts (BSC).

306 Mechanisms (1) and (2) can be largely rejected, since Ponnameruma et al. (1967) showed that the  
307 tephra sands of Surtsey did not contain any organic N and very little inorganic NH<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub>; the  
308 latter probably mostly originating from rainfall during the first 4 years since the eruption started.

309 This study did report some inorganic N in recently deposited tephra, which could indicate  
310 volcanic N sources (cf. Huebert et al., 1999). However, the concentrations were lower in the  
311 older tephra layers, probably indicating leaching due to initial lack of biological activity to retain  
312 the NO<sub>3</sub>. Further, we argue that N deposited by overflying birds (3) was of minor importance,  
313 because, as was stated above, total plant cover did not increase between 1994 and 2012 in any of  
314 the permanent plots outside the seabird colony (Magnússon, unpublished data). A steady increase  
315 of vegetation cover after 1986 (the establishment of the colony) would be expected if overflying  
316 birds added a substantial amount of N. Also N input mechanisms (4) and (5) were probably of  
317 lesser importance as well, since to date no plant species known to have N<sub>2</sub>-fixing symbionts have  
318 colonized Surtsey (Magnússon et al., 2014), and because the low water retention of the tephra

sand and the low soil temperatures would not support large populations of free-living N<sub>2</sub> fixing microbes (Zielke et al., 2005; Sorensen et al., 2006). The mean annual soil temperature and volumetric water content were 7.5 °C and only 8.4%, respectively, at 5 cm depth during 2010-2012 (unpublished data). Mechanism (6), N-fixation by lichens or biological soil crusts, must be occurring on Surtsey, because Kristinsson and Heidmarsson (2009) found known N<sub>2</sub>-fixing species on the island. However, these species had a very limited distribution on the island, and their N input to the ecosystem is therefore assumed to be negligible for the island as a whole.

#### *4.2. Nitrogen accumulation inside the seabird colony*

The SON values from Surtsey ranged from 0.03 to 0.65 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>, and span the whole range that Lin et al. (2000) modeled for Iceland (0.0 - 0.5 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>). This shows well the large effect the seabirds have had on the N stock on Surtsey in only 27 years. The apparent lack of other major N inputs on Surtsey, which typically complicate the quantitative estimation of seabird-driven N input rates, enabled us to calculate a reliable estimate of N input rates by seabirds from the measured N stocks and seabird colonization duration.

The seabirds increased the ecosystem N-stock 30-fold during 26-27 years, compared to the area outside the seagull colony, equivalent to an input rate of on average 47 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup>. This value was much higher than we originally hypothesized, but it corresponded almost exactly with the

estimate of Bancroft et al. (2005) ( $50.9 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ ) for a Wedge-tailed Shearwaters (*Puffinus pacificus*) colony on Rottnest Island (West-Australia), who used guano traps to estimate seabird-derived N deposition. We are not aware of other studies that attempted to estimate seabird N input rates, but our own calculations, based on a study of Breuning-Madsen et al. (2010), who measured the N stocks in a 24-years old Cormorant colony in the Horsens Fjord (Denmark), resulted in even higher N input rates (an average of  $292 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ y}^{-1}$ ).

The SON concentration in the upper 10 cm of soil inside the bird colony at Surtsey ( $2.5 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DM}$ ) was higher than what was found by Ligeza and Smal (2003) in perennial colonies of piscivorous birds in northern and eastern Poland ( $\sim 0.6 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DM}$ ), but was very similar to that reported by Anderson and Polis (1999) for seabird colonies on hyperarid, naturally nutrient-poor islands in the Gulf of California ( $\sim 2 \text{ mg g}^{-1} \text{ DM}$ ). It must be noted that both these studies investigated longer-lived bird colonies. Given the high organic-matter retention capacity of the andosols at Surtsey, it might be that SON concentrations increase even further, but this remains speculation.

#### 4.3. Effect of tephra-layer depth on N retention

Even if SON and litter N accumulation were significantly higher at the deeper tephra sands within the seagull colony, we did not find a significant effect of tephra-layer depth on total N

stock, when biomass-N was included. This suggests a high N retention potential in the upper centimeters of the tephra sand. This finding contradicts with our second hypothesis, that deeper substrates would positively affect N retention, as was shown by Selman et al. (2014). Faster succession rates on the deeper tephra sands in Surtsey (Magnússon and Magnússon, 2000; Del Moral and Magnússon, 2014) can therefore not be explained solely by increased N accumulation rates.

#### *4.4. Shifts from belowground to aboveground plant biomass*

Despite the fact that the median R/S ratio outside the colony (18) was almost three times higher than the upper extreme for cool temperate deserts reported by (Mokany et al., 2006), it corresponded closely with the mean ratio of 19 reported by (Stefansdottir et al., 2014) for the *Leymus* area outside the seabird colony. For the grassland inside the colony, the median R/S of 5 did not differ much from the median reported by Mokany et al. (2006) for cool temperate grasslands without bird colonies. Given the more severe nutrient limitation expected for cool temperate grasslands compared to grasslands in a seabird colony, this similar R/S was unexpected. We therefore assume that the low water retention capacity of the sands on Surtsey caused frequent droughts and that the positive impact of drought on R:S offset the negative effect of seabird-driven nutrient inputs (see discussion below).

Changes in nutrient availability can often be detected by looking at R/S ratios (Levang-Brilz and Biondini, 2003; Chu et al., 2006), as increased nutrient availability promotes investment in aboveground plant parts. Therefore, we hypothesized (hypothesis iii) a decrease in R/S ratio with increasing ecosystem N stock. Despite the fact that previous research has shown negative correlations between R/S ratio and nutrient availability in grassland ecosystems (Levang-Brilz and Biondini, 2003; Chu et al., 2006), no such relationship was found on Surtsey, neither inside, nor outside the colony. Outside the seabird colony, such a potential relationship might be concealed by the patchiness of the aboveground vegetation, the homogeneous root distribution (Stefansdottir et al., 2014) and the relatively small size of the measurement frames. The combination of these three factors probably explains why we measured several unrealistically high R/S ratio's, ranging up to 194. Inside the seabird colony, the lack of relationship between R/S and N stock is possibly due to other factors, such as limiting water availability, overruling the effect of N availability on the R/S ratio. Drought has been reported to be an important determinant of R/S ratios (Donkor et al., 2002; Gianoli et al., 2009; Dreesen et al., 2012) and water infiltration in Andosols is rapid compared to most other soils (Basile et al., 2003), especially when the carbon content is below 12% as is the case on Surtsey (Arnalds, 2008). Therefore, we attribute the absence of a clear nutrient influence on R/S to an overruling effect of drought in all occurring nutrient situations.



392 *4.5. Shifts from belowground to aboveground N and C and 'N use efficiency'*

393 The clear shift in both N and C from belowground to aboveground stocks inside the colony  
394 agrees with our hypothesis iii that the increase in nutrient availability inside the colony would  
395 stimulate the system to invest more in aboveground growth. This relationship between nutrient  
396 availability and growth investment confirms many earlier studies (e.g. Warembourg and  
397 Estelrich, 2001; Wang et al., 2008; Gao et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2014). This shift from below- to  
398 aboveground was accompanied by a doubling of the N use efficiency; the grassland inside the  
399 colony supported ~ 2 times more biomass and biomass-C per g N, compared to the almost  
400 unvegetated area outside the colony. This large increase in the 'N use efficiency' indicated a  
401 positive feedback between N stock and the storage of both biomass and C. This increase was  
402 caused by the drastic shift in C distribution: from a 76:24 towards a 32:68 proportion in SOC and  
403 biomass, respectively. The C/N ratio of SOC was smaller than that of biomass ( $10.4 \pm 0.6$  vs.  
404  $39.1 \pm 2.3$  (mean  $\pm$  SE)), in line with the C/N ratios for undisturbed soils reported by Chapin et  
405 al. (2011) (~14) and for leaves reported by Sterner and Elser (2002) (~36). Hence, the overall  
406 C/N ratio of ecosystems with most C stored in SOC (such as the non-colonized area) is typically  
407 smaller than the overall C/N ratio of ecosystems with a high fraction of C stored in living  
408 biomass (such as in the seabird colony).

The reason why higher N-stocks can support more biomass and C per unit N remains to be better explored. Several studies on immature volcanic soils in New Zealand, Chile and Japan have found a positive relationship between total N stock and net N-mineralization rates (and consequently plant available N) (Parfitt et al., 2005; Hirzel et al., 2010; Yamasaki et al., 2011). In the last study, the increased N-mineralization following N-addition was proved to be correlated with the inhibition of microbial N-immobilization (and consequently decreased competition between plant and microbial N-uptake). This inhibition of N uptake by microbes was proposed to result from (1) nitrification-induced acidification, (2) increased soil osmotic potential to toxic values and (3) an inhibition of ligninolytic enzyme production. The significant acidification inside the seabird colony on Surtsey (Sigurdsson and Magnússon, 2010) supports this hypothesis, however, further study is needed to investigate the role of microbial N-immobilization in N-availability for plants on Surtsey.

#### *4.6. Seabird effect on biomass and C stocks*

Aboveground biomass outside the colony ( $0.13 \pm 0.08 \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$ ) was very small and has almost not changed since the first measurements of aboveground biomass in the permanent plots in 1999 ( $0.1 \text{ ton ha}^{-1}$ ) (Magnússon et al., 2009). This invariable aboveground biomass probably also indicates relatively little changes in belowground biomass. As biomass degradation is an

427 important source of SOC (Kögel-Knabner et al., 2013), the low biomass production rates outside  
428 the seagull colony explain largely the quasi constant SOC concentration and the lack of soil  
429 formation. Since 1986, the seabird influence caused an over 50-fold increase of total biomass,  
430 from 0.7 to 36 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>, which corresponds to a shift from values typical for deserts (0-20 ton DM  
431 ha<sup>-1</sup>) to values typical for grassland ecosystems (20 to 50 ton DM ha<sup>-1</sup>) (Larcher, 2003). This  
432 large increase in only 25 years can largely be attributed to seabird N input, as there was a strong  
433 positive relationship between total ecosystem biomass stock and N stock (as proxy for available  
434 N) inside the seabird colony.

435 The aboveground biomass has been increasing nearly linearly from 1.4 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> in 1999 to 4.1 ton  
436 ha<sup>-1</sup> in 2007 (Magnússon et al., 2009) to  $5.9 \pm 0.9$  ton ha<sup>-1</sup> (error = SE) in 2012-2013 . This  
437 steady increase indicates that aboveground limitations on growth, such as competition for light  
438 and space, were minor compared to the belowground limitations for water and nutrients. This  
439 could be expected in a natural grassland ecosystem with relatively low nutrient levels (Kiaer et  
440 al., 2013). This was also supported as well by the strong link between biomass and N stock.

441 The C stock in living biomass showed a clear shift as well, from a typical desert C stock outside  
442 the colony (0.19 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>; desert ecosystems: 0-10 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>; Larcher, 2003) to a stock typical for  
443 grassland ecosystems inside the colony (13 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>; grassland ecosystems: 10-25 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>;  
444 Larcher, 2003). However, despite the fact that biomass and living C-stock both have been

developing from desert to grassland, the SOC accumulation was lagging behind, and remained far below the average for temperate grassland soils according to (Schlesinger, 1997) (see also 4.7).

#### *4.7. Did the N accumulation accelerate soil development?*

Outside the colony, the soil development has been proceeding very slowly, as the SOC concentration, which is a reliable indicator of soil development (Kögel-Knabner et al., 2013), had only increased slightly since 1986 in the upper 5 cm of the tephra sand ( $0.12 \pm 0.02\%$  SOC vs.  $0.10 \pm 0.02\%$  SOC; errors are SE's) (Arnalds, unpublished data). When Surtsey had just emerged, the SOC concentration was  $0.012 \pm 0.009\%$  (error is SE) (Ponnameruma et al., 1967).

Inside the colony, the SOC concentration has been increasing with a factor of 10 to 60 since the establishment of the colony, from 0.08% (taking the 1986 value as baseline SOC concentration as this was the first year of permanent seabird colonization) to  $0.9 \pm 0.3\%$  on deep tephra sand and  $4.6 \pm 0.4\%$  on shallow tephra sand (errors are SE's). This large increase agrees with (Stockmann et al., 2013) who hypothesized that priming effects, such as N addition, might stimulate SOC accumulation in grasslands with a high R/S. However, Stockmann et al. (2013) reported that grassland soils typically contain more than 1 - 3% SOC. Yang et al. (2014), for instance, measured a SOC concentration of 28 up to 58% in an arid grassland in North China. So despite

463 the rapid buildup, the area inside the bird colony has not yet been lifted to a SOC concentrations  
464 that are typical for grassland ecosystems. Also the current stock of SOC of about 4 (shallow) to  
465 10 (deep)  $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$  is still marginal compared to the average SOC stock in temperate grassland  
466 soils of 192  $\text{ton ha}^{-1}$  according to Schlesinger (1997). This indicates that the soil development at  
467 Surtsey is still in its first phase. Therefore, we expect that the SOC content will continue to  
468 accumulate for many centuries before it reaches an equilibrium with the aboveground  
469 productivity.

470

## 5. Conclusion

The calculated annual N accumulation rate outside the seabird colony amounted to ca. 50-60% of the estimated atmospheric N deposition during the past 50 years. This approximates values for systems under low N input and bare dune habitats. The seabird derived N input was higher than was expected based on earlier estimates for Surtsey. There was no difference in N retention between shallow and deep tephra sands, when total N stocks in biomass and soil were compared. Different succession rates on deep tephra sands within the seagull colony can therefore not be explained by increased N retention there. There was a clear shift from belowground to aboveground biomass, C and N inside the colony. Consequently, the R/S decreased from very high values outside the colony to more moderate values inside. Further, the 'N-use efficiency' or the amount C fixed per g N, was twice as high inside the colony. The accumulation of SOC, which is an indicator of soil development, was enhanced inside the seabird colony. However, despite the fact that the biomass and C stock of living material reached the typical range of grasslands, the SOC stock remained still small compared to SOC stocks in well-developed grasslands. Therefore we expect that the SOC stock will continue to increase for many centuries until it reaches an equilibrium with the litter production.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Research Foundation – Flanders (FWO aspirant grant to NL).

This work also contributes to the FSC-Sink, CAR-ES and the ClimMani projects. The Surtsey

Research Society, Institute of Natural History, Mogilsá – Icelandic Forest Research, Reykir and

Keldnaholt – Agricultural University of Iceland and the Icelandic Coastguard provided logistical

support for the present study. We thank Sturla Fridriksson, Borthór Magnússon, Sigurdur

Magnússon and Erling Ólafsson for generously providing access to their data. Further, we thank

Brita Berglund, Baldur Vigfusson, Nadine Calluy, Marijke Van den Bruel, Els Oosterbos and

Annemie Vinck for assistance with the lab analyses. I.A.J. acknowledges support from the

European Research Council Synergy grant 610028

## References

- Aerts, R. and Chapin, F. S.: The mineral nutrition of wild plants revisited: A re-evaluation of processes and patterns. In: *Advances in Ecological Research*, Vol 30, Fitter, A. H. and Raffaelli, D. G. (Eds.), *Advances in Ecological Research*, 2000.
- Anderson, W. B. and Polis, G. A.: Nutrient fluxes from water to land: seabirds affect plant nutrient status on Gulf of California islands, *Oecologia*, 118, 324-332, 1999.
- Arnalds, Ó.: Soils of Iceland, *Jökull*, 58, 409-422, 2008.
- Baldurson, S. and Ingadóttir, A.: Nomination of Surtsey for the UNESCO World Heritage List, Icelandic Institute of Natural History, Iceland, 2007.
- Bancroft, W. J., Garkaklis, M. J., and Roberts, J. D.: Burrow building in seabird colonies: a soil-forming process in island ecosystems, *Pedobiologia*, 49, 149-165, 2005.
- Basile, A., Mele, G., and Terribile, F.: Soil hydraulic behaviour of a selected benchmark soil involved in the landslide of Sarno 1998, *Geoderma*, 117, 331-346, 2003.
- Bowman, D. C., Devitt, D. A., Engelke, M. C., and Rufty, T. W.: Root architecture affects nitrate leaching from bentgrass turf, *Crop Sci.*, 38, 1633-1639, 1998.
- Breuning-Madsen, H., Ehlers-Koch, C., Gregersen, J., and Lojtnant, C. L.: Influence of perennial colonies of piscivorous birds on soil nutrient contents in a temperate humid climate, *Geografisk Tidsskrift-Danish Journal of Geography*, 110, 25-35, 2010.
- Chapin, F. S., Matson, P. A., and Vitousek, P.: *Principles of terrestrial ecosystem ecology*, Springer, 2011.
- Chu, Y., Yu, F. H., and Dong, M.: Clonal plasticity in response to reciprocal patchiness of light and nutrients in the stoloniferous herb *Glechoma longituba* L, *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 48, 400-408, 2006.
- Del Moral, R. and Magnússon, B.: Surtsey and Mount St. Helens: a comparison of early succession rates, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 2099-2111, 2014.
- Deluca, T. H. and Boisvenue, C.: Boreal forest soil carbon: distribution, function and modelling, *Forestry*, 85, 161-184, 2012.
- Donkor, N. T., Bork, E. W., and Hudson, R. J.: *Bromus-Poa* response to defoliation intensity and frequency under three soil moisture levels, *Canadian Journal of Plant Science*, 82, 365-370, 2002.
- Dreesen, F. E., De Boeck, H. J., Janssens, I. A., and Nijs, I.: Summer heat and drought extremes trigger unexpected changes in productivity of a temperate annual/biannual plant community, *Environ. Exp. Bot.*, 79, 21-30, 2012.
- Ellis, J. C., Farina, J. M., and Witman, J. D.: Nutrient transfer from sea to land: the case of gulls and cormorants in the Gulf of Maine, *J. Anim. Ecol.*, 75, 565-574, 2006.
- Elser, J. J., Bracken, M. E. S., Cleland, E. E., Gruner, D. S., Harpole, W. S., Hillebrand, H., Ngai, J. T., Seabloom, E. W., Shurin, J. B., and Smith, J. E.: Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems, *Ecol. Lett.*, 10, 1135-1142, 2007.
- Gao, Y. Z., Chen, Q., Lin, S., Giese, M., and Brueck, H.: Resource manipulation effects on net primary production, biomass allocation and rain-use efficiency of two semiarid grassland sites in Inner Mongolia, China, *Oecologia*, 165, 855-864, 2011.
- Gianoli, E., Quezada, I. M., and Suarez, L. H.: Leaf damage decreases fitness and constrains phenotypic plasticity to drought of a perennial herb, *Acta Oecologica-International Journal of Ecology*, 35, 752-757, 2009.
- Gislason, S. R., Arnorsson, S., and Armannsson, H.: Chemical weathering of basalt in southwest Iceland: Effects of runoff, age of rocks and vegetative/glacial cover, *Am. J. Sci.*, 296, 837-907, 1996.



548 Grime, J. P.: Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its relevance to  
 549 ecological and evolutionary theory, *The American Naturalist*, 111, 1169-1194, 1977.  
 550 Gruner, D. S., Smith, J. E., Seabloom, E. W., Sandin, S. A., Ngai, J. T., Hillebrand, H., Harpole, W. S., Elser, J.  
 551 J., Cleland, E. E., Bracken, M. E. S., Borer, E. T., and Bolker, B. M.: A cross-system synthesis of consumer  
 552 and nutrient resource control on producer biomass, *Ecol. Lett.*, 11, 740-755, 2008.  
 553 Hahn, S., Bauer, S., and Klaassen, M.: Estimating the contribution of carnivorous waterbirds to nutrient  
 554 loading in freshwater habitats, *Freshwat. Biol.*, 52, 2421-2433, 2007.  
 555 Hendriksson, L. E.: Effects of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium to Surtsey lava soils on the growth of  
 556 a test plant (*Arena salina*, L), *Acta Botanica Islandica*, 4, 36-43, 1976.  
 557 Hirzel, J., Undurraga, P., and Walter, I.: Nitrogen mineralization and released nutrients in a volcanic soil  
 558 amended with poultry litter, *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*, 70, 113-121, 2010.  
 559 Huebert, B., Vitousek, P., Sutton, J., Elias, T., Heath, J., Coeppicus, S., Howell, S., and Blomquist, B.:  
 560 Volcano fixes nitrogen into plant-available forms, *Biogeochemistry*, 47, 111-118, 1999.  
 561 Jakobsson, S. P.: The geology and petrography of the Vestmann Islands: A preliminary report, *Surtsey*  
 562 *Research Progress*, 4, 113-139, 1968.  
 563 Jakobsson, S. P.: Petrology of recent basalts of the eastern volcanic zone, Iceland, *Icelandic Museum of*  
 564 *Natural History*, 1979.  
 565 Kiaer, L. P., Weisbach, A. N., and Weiner, J.: Root and shoot competition: a meta-analysis, *J. Ecol.*, 101,  
 566 1298-1312, 2013.  
 567 Kögel-Knabner, I., Bens, O., Hüttel, R. F., Fischer, T., Dümig, A., and Spröte, R.: Initial stages of humus  
 568 development, *Ecosystem Development*, 4, 25-35, 2013.  
 569 Kristinsson, H. and Heidmarsson, S.: Colonization of lichens on Surtsey 1970-2006, *Surtsey Research*, 12,  
 570 81-104, 2009.  
 571 Larcher, W.: *Physiological plant ecology, Ecophysiology and stress physiology of functional groups*,  
 572 Springer, 2003.  
 573 Levang-Brilz, N. and Biondini, M. E.: Growth rate, root development and nutrient uptake of 55 plant  
 574 species from the Great Plains Grasslands, USA, *Plant Ecol.*, 165, 117-144, 2003.  
 575 Li, X. and Han, S.: Preservation of broadleaf species in Korean pine (*Pinus koraiensis*) plantations affects  
 576 soil properties, carbon storage, biomass allocation, and available nitrogen storage, *Canadian Journal of*  
 577 *Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere*, 38, 2227-2235, 2008.  
 578 Ligeza, S. and Smal, H.: Accumulation of nutrients in soils affected by perennial colonies of piscivorous  
 579 birds with reference to biogeochemical cycles of elements, *Chemosphere*, 52, 595-602, 2003.  
 580 Lin, B. L., Sakoda, A., Shibasaki, R., Goto, N., and Suzuki, M.: Modelling a global biogeochemical nitrogen  
 581 cycle in terrestrial ecosystems, *Ecol. Model.*, 135, 89-110, 2000.  
 582 Long, Z. T., Fegley, S. R., and Peterson, C. H.: Fertilization and plant diversity accelerate primary  
 583 succession and restoration of dune communities, *Plant Ecol.*, 214, 1419-1429, 2013a.  
 584 Long, Z. T., Fegley, S. R., and Peterson, C. H.: Suppressed recovery of plant community composition and  
 585 biodiversity on dredged fill of a hurricane-induced inlet through a barrier island, *J. Coast. Conservation*,  
 586 17, 493-501, 2013b.  
 587 Magnússon, B. and Magnússon, S. H.: Vegetation succession on Surtsey, Iceland, during 1990-1998 under  
 588 the influence of breeding gulls, *Surtsey Research*, 11, 9-20, 2000.  
 589 Magnússon, B., Magnússon, S. H., and Fridriksson, S.: Developments in plant colonization and succession  
 590 on Surtsey during 1999-2008, *Surtsey Research*, 12, 57-76, 2009.  
 591 Magnússon, B., Magnússon, S. H., Ólafsson, E., and Sigurdsson, B. D.: Plant colonization, succession and  
 592 ecosystem development on Surtsey with reference to neighbouring islands, *Biogeosci. Disc.*, 11, 9379-  
 593 9420, 2014.  
 594 Marteinsdottir, B., Svavarsdottir, K., and Thorhallsdottir, T. E.: Development of vegetation patterns in  
 595 early primary succession, *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 21, 531-540, 2010.

596 Mokany, K., Raison, R. J., and Prokushkin, A. S.: Critical analysis of root: shoot ratios in terrestrial biomes,  
597 *Global Change Biol.*, 12, 84-96, 2006.

598 Nie, Y., Liu, X., Wen, T., Sun, L., and Emslie, S. D.: Environmental implication of nitrogen isotopic  
599 composition in ornithogenic sediments from the Ross Sea region, East Antarctica: Delta N-15 as a new  
600 proxy for avian influence, *Chemical Geology*, 363, 91-100, 2014.

601 Olff, H., Huisman, J., and Vantooren, B. F.: Species dynamics and nutrient accumulation during early  
602 primary succession in coastal sand dunes, *J. Ecol.*, 81, 693-706, 1993.

603 Parfitt, R. L., Ross, D. J., Coomes, D. A., Richardson, S. J., Smale, M. C., and Dahlgren, R. A.: N and P in  
604 New Zealand soil chronosequences and relationships with foliar N and P, *Biogeochemistry*, 75, 305-328,  
605 2005.

606 Petersen, A.: Formation of a bird community on a new island, Surtsey, Iceland, *Surtsey Research*, 12,  
607 133-148, 2009.

608 Polis, G. A. and Hurd, S. D.: Linking marine and terrestrial food webs: Allochthonous input from the ocean  
609 supports high secondary productivity on small islands and coastal land communities, *Am. Nat.*, 147, 396-  
610 423, 1996.

611 Ponnameruma, C., Young, R. S., and Caren, L. D.: Some chemical and microbiological studies of Surtsey,  
612 *Surtsey Research Progress Report 3*, 70-80, 1967.

613 Raab, T., Krümmelbein, J., Schneider, A., Gerwin, W., Maurer, T., and Naeth, M. A.: Initial ecosystem  
614 processes as key factors of landscape development -a review, *Physical Geography*, 33, 305-343, 2012.

615 Reich, P. B. and Oleksyn, J.: Global patterns of plant leaf N and P in relation to temperature and latitude,  
616 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 101, 11001-11006,  
617 2004.

618 Schlesinger, W. H.: *Biochemistry, An analysis of global change*, Academic Press, 1997.

619 Selmants, P. C., Zavaleta, E. S., and Wolf, A. A.: Realistic diversity loss and variation in soil depth  
620 independently affect community-level plant nitrogen use, *Ecology*, 95, 88-97, 2014.

621 Sigurdsson, A. S., Magnússon, S. H., Thorlacius, J. M., Hjartarson, H., Jónsson, P., Sigurdsson, B. D., and  
622 H., Ó.: Integrated monitoring at Litle-Skard, Iceland. In: *Project Overview 1996-2004*, Umhverfisstofnun  
623 (Ed.), Reykjavik, Iceland, 2005.

624 Sigurdsson, B. D. and Magnússon, B.: Effects of seagulls on ecosystem respiration, soil nitrogen and  
625 vegetation cover on a pristine volcanic island, Surtsey, Iceland, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 883-891, 2010.

626 Sorensen, P. L., Jonasson, S., and Michelsen, A.: Nitrogen fixation, denitrification, and ecosystem  
627 nitrogen pools in relation to vegetation development in the subarctic, Arctic Antarctic and Alpine  
628 Research, 38, 263-272, 2006.

629 Stefansdottir, G., Aradóttir, Á. L., and Sigurdsson, B. D.: Accumulation of nitrogen and organic matter  
630 during primary succession of *Leymus arenarius* dunes on the volcanic island Surtsey, Iceland, *Biogeosci.*  
631 *Disc.*, 11, 6591-6613, 2014.

632 Sterner, R. W. and Elser, J. J.: *Ecological stoichiometry, The biology of elements from molecules to the*  
633 *biosphere*, Princeton University Press, 2002.

634 Stockmann, U., Adams, M. A., Crawford, J. W., Field, D. J., Henakaarchchi, N., Jenkins, M., Minasny, B.,  
635 McBratney, A. B., de Courcelles, V. D., Singh, K., Wheeler, I., Abbott, L., Angers, D. A., Baldock, J., Bird,  
636 M., Brookes, P. C., Chenu, C., Jastrow, J. D., Lal, R., Lehmann, J., O'Donnell, A. G., Parton, W. J.,  
637 Whitehead, D., and Zimmermann, M.: The knowns, known unknowns and unknowns of sequestration of  
638 soil organic carbon, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 164, 80-99, 2013.

639 Storm, C. and Suess, K.: Are low-productive plant communities responsive to nutrient addition? Evidence  
640 from sand pioneer grassland, *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 19, 343-354, 2008.

641 ten Harkel, M. J., van Boxel, J. H., and Verstraten, J. M.: Water and solute fluxes in dry coastal dune  
642 grasslands: the effects of grazing and increased nitrogen deposition, *Plant Soil*, 202, 1-13, 1998.

Thomas, R. Q., Zaehle, S., Templers, P. H., and Goodale, C. L.: Global patterns of nitrogen limitation: confronting two global biogeochemical models with observations, *Global Change Biol.*, 19, 2986-2998, 2013.

Thuys, R., Janssens, I. A., and Leblans, N. I. W.: How do plant traits change alongside a soil chronosequence on Icelandic islands?, *Master, Plant and Vegetation Ecology*, University of Antwerp, Antwerpen, 66 pp., 2014.

Trapletti, A., Hornik, K., and LeBaron, B.: Tseries: time series analysis and computational finance. R package version 0.10-30., 2012.

Turlach, B. A.: Quadprog: functions to solve quadratic programming problems. R package version 1.5-4, 2011.

Vitousek, P. M. and Farrington, H.: Nutrient limitation and soil development: Experimental test of a biogeochemical theory, *Biogeochemistry*, 37, 63-75, 1997.

Vitousek, P. M., Matson, P. A., and Vancleve, K.: Nitrogen availability and nitrification during succession - primary, secondary, and old-field seres, *Plant Soil*, 115, 229-239, 1989.

Vitousek, P. M., Walker, L. R., Whiteaker, L. D., and Matson, P. A.: Nutrient limitations to plant-growth during primary succession in Hawaii-volcanos-national-park, *Biogeochemistry*, 23, 197-215, 1993.

Walker, L. R., Clarkson, B. D., Silvester, W. B., and Clarkson, B. R.: Colonization dynamics and facilitative impacts of a nitrogen-fixing shrub in primary succession, *Journal of Vegetation Science*, 14, 277-290, 2003.

Walker, L. R. and Del Moral, R.: Primary succession and ecosystem rehabilitation, Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 2003.

Wang, C. T., Cao, G. M., Wang, Q. L., Jing, Z. C., Ding, L. M., and Long, R. J.: Changes in plant biomass and species composition of alpine Kobresia meadows along altitudinal gradient on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, *Sci. China Ser. C-Life Sci.*, 51, 86-94, 2008.

Warembourg, F. R. and Estelrich, H. D.: Plant phenology and soil fertility effects on below-ground carbon allocation for an annual (*Bromus madritensis*) and a perennial (*Bromus erectus*) grass species, *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 33, 1291-1303, 2001.


Yamasaki, A., Tateno, R., and Shibata, H.: Effects of carbon and nitrogen amendment on soil carbon and nitrogen mineralization in volcanic immature soil in southern Kyushu, Japan, *Journal of Forest Research*, 16, 414-423, 2011.

Yang, R., Su, Y., Wang, M., Wang, T., Yang, X., Fan, G., and Wu, T.: Spatial pattern of soil organic carbon in desert grasslands of the diluvial-alluvial plains of northern Qilian Mountains, *Journal of Arid Land*, 6, 136-144, 2014.

Zeileis, A., Grothendieck, G., Ryan, J. A., and Andrews, F.: Zoo: S3 infrastructure for regular and irregular time series (Z' s ordered observations). R package version 1.7-9, 2012.


Zhou, X. B., Zhang, Y. M., and Niklas, K. J.: Sensitivity of growth and biomass allocation patterns to increasing nitrogen: a comparison between ephemerals and annuals in the Gurbantunggut Desert, north-western China, *Ann. Bot.*, 113, 501-511, 2014.

Zielke, M., Solheim, B., Spjelkavik, S., and Olsen, R. A.: Nitrogen fixation in the high arctic: Role of vegetation and environmental conditions, *Arctic Antarctic and Alpine Research*, 37, 372-378, 2005.




688  
689    **Fig. 1.** Location of the permanent study plots on Surtsey that were used in this study, shown on a  
690    topographical map from 2007. Contour intervals are 2m, the highest point on the island is 152m  
691    a.s.l.. The Eastern crater is located close to plots 18 and 19, the Western crater is located close to  
692    plot 21. North of the craters, a high rim of palagonite tuff was formed. The dense vegetation

within the seabird colony in 2012 is marked with a black line (Approximation from aerial and satellite images, by Anette Th. Meier; Magnússon et al., 2014). Grey = Outside seabird colony; Black = Inside colony; Squares = Deep tephra sand; Circles = Shallow tephra sand.




**Fig. 2.** Mean ( $\pm$ SE) values of the nitrogen (N) stocks in litter, shoots, roots (to 30 cm depth) and soil organic nitrogen (SON) (to 30 cm depth) in four ecosystem types on Surtsey. The inserted graph gives the small N stock outside the colony in more detail. ‘Shoots’ include all aboveground living plant parts. Statistical results for are given in Table 1. Percentages above the graph indicate the % of total N that is located belowground. Letters show statistical differences.






**Fig. 3.** Depth distribution of soil organic nitrogen (SON) and root N in four ecosystem types on Surtsey. Mean ( $\pm$ SE) proportions at each depth layer were calculated relative to the total SON or root N content in the top 30 cm. Statistical results can be found in Table 2.




**Fig. 4.** Mean ( $\pm$ SE) values of the nitrogen (N) accumulation rate in four ecosystem types on Surtsey. The inserted graph gives values outside the colony in more detail. White bars show the average N accumulation values between 1963 and the sampling year (2012 or 2013). Black bars show the N accumulation after the start of seagull colonization. Statistical results are shown in Table 1.






**Fig. 5.** Mean ( $\pm$ SE) values of the biomass stock and carbon stock of litter, shoots, roots (to 30 cm depth) and soil organic carbon (SOC) (to 30 cm depth) in four ecosystem types on Surtsey. The inserted graphs give the values outside the seabird colony in more detail.. ‘Shoots’ include aboveground living vascular plant parts. Statistical results are shown in Table 1. Percentages above the graph indicate the % of total C that is located belowground. Letters show statistical differences.



**Fig. 6.** Relationships between ecosystem biomass stocks (upper graphs) and ecosystem carbon stocks (bottom graphs) and ecosystem N stocks. Left graphs show the relationship outside the seagull colony, right graphs show the relationship and inside the seagull colony. Note the difference in scale of the y-axis between the left and the right panel. Correlation function for biomass inside the colony:  $\text{Biomass} = 6 (\pm 4) + 32 (\pm 4) * \text{ecosystem N stock}$  ( $r = 0.86$ ;  $t = 8.01$ ;  $Df = 22$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ). Correlation function for C inside the colony:  $C = 0.4 (\pm 1.9) + 19.8 (\pm 1.7) * \text{ecosystem N stock}$  ( $r = 0.93$ ;  $t = 11.75$ ,  $Df = 22$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). Errors = SE's.



**Fig. 7.** Relationship between R/S ratio (Root/Shoot ratio) and N stock (A). Central figure shows boxplots of the R/S ratio on deep tephra sand outside the colony (B), shallow tephra sand outside the colony (C), deep tephra sand inside the colony (D) and shallow tephra sand inside the colony (E). Boxes show 25, 50 and 75 percentile, whiskers show 5 and 95 percentile. Note the differences in scale of the y-axes. Samples lacking roots or shoots were excluded from the graphs.

**Table 1.** Results of two-way ANOVA's for N stock, biomass stock, C stock and N accumulation rate, using seabird colony and tephra depth as fixed variables. 'Shoots' include all aboveground living plant parts, 'Living' includes 'Shoots' and 'Roots' and 'Dead' includes 'Litter' and soil organic nitrogen (SON) or soil organic carbon (SOC). Significant source variables ( $p < 0.05$ ) with respect to ecosystem parts are indicated with asterisks: ns =  $p > 0.05$ , \*  $p = 0.05-0.01$ , \*\*  $p = 0.01-0.001$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .

Source	N stock (ton ha <sup>-2</sup> )								Biomass stock (ton ha <sup>-2</sup> )					
	Shoots	Roots	Moss	Living	Litter	SON	Dead	Total	Shoots	Roots	Moss	Living	Litter	Total
<b>Colony x depth</b>														
Df Numerator	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Df Denominator	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
F-value	5.82	0.24	1.62	0.03	13.63	7.20	9.56	3.29	1.83	0.10	1.29	0.21	8.02	0.50
p-value	*	ns	ns	ns	**	*	**	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	*	ns
<b>Colony</b>														
Df Numerator	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	1
Df Denominator	-	17	17	17	-	-	-	17	17	17	17	17	-	17
F-value	-	0.00	1.15	40.40	-	-	-	83.14	10.95	23.30	0.89	23.86	-	25.03
p-value	-	***	ns	***	-	-	-	***	**	***	ns	***	-	***
<b>Depth</b>														
Df Numerator	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	1	-	1
Df Denominator	-	16	16	16	-	-	-	16	16	16	16	16	-	16
F-value	-	0.28	1.87	0.01	-	-	-	2.32	1.91	0.04	2.29	0.12	-	0.34
p-value	-	ns	ns	ns	-	-	-	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	-	ns
<b>Colony effect on deep tephra sand</b>														
Df Numerator	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Df Denominator	8	-	-	-	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	8	-
F-value	0.00	-	-	-	11.97	0.00	0.00	-	-	-	-	-	17.35	-
p-value	***	-	-	-	*	***	***	-	-	-	-	-	**	-
<b>Colony effect on shallow tephra sand</b>														
Df Numerator	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
Df Denominator	7	-	-	-	7	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	7	-
F-value	3.21	-	-	-	5.02	0.00	0.00	3.21	-	-	-	-	1.20	-
p-value	ns	-	-	-	Ns	***	***	ns	-	-	-	-	ns	-
<b>Depth effect outside colony</b>														
Df Numerator	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Df Denominator	8	-	-	-	8	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	8	-
F-value	0.53	-	-	-	1.83	0.02	0.02	-	-	-	-	-	0.00	-
p-value	ns	-	-	-	Ns	ns	ns	-	-	-	-	-	ns	-
<b>Depth effect inside colony</b>														
Df Numerator	1	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Df Denominator	7	-	-	-	7	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	7	-
F-value	5.16	-	-	-	11.97	6.57	8.68	-	-	-	-	-	8.95	-
p-value	ns	-	-	-	*	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-

750 **Table 1. Continued**

Source	C stock (ton ha <sup>-2</sup> )							N accumulation rate	
	Shoots	Roots	Moss	Living	Litter	SOC	Dead	Total	
<b>Colony x depth</b>									
Df Numerator	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Df Denominator	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
F-value	2.78	0.24	0.63	0.03	13.63	25.42	37.69	3.29	5.92
p-value	ns	ns	ns	ns	**	***	***	ns	*
<b>Colony</b>									
Df Numerator	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
Df Denominator	17	17	17	17	-	-	-	17	-
F-value	22.06	32.70	0.40	40.40	-	-	-	83.14	-
p-value	***	***	ns	***	-	-	-	***	-
<b>Depth</b>									
Df Numerator	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	1	-
Df Denominator	16	16	16	16	-	-	-	16	-
F-value	2.66	0.28	2.73	0.01	-	-	-	2.32	-
p-value	ns	ns	0.12	ns	-	-	-	ns	-
<b>Colony effect on deep tephra sand</b>									
Df Numerator	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	1
Df Denominator	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	-	8
F-value	-	-	-	-	11.25	126.8	206.2	-	171.29
p-value	-	-	-	-	*	***	***	-	***
<b>Colony effect on shallow tephra sand</b>									
Df Numerator	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	1
Df Denominator	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	-	7
F-value	-	-	-	-	5.14	30.95	24.78	-	24.69
p-value	-	-	-	-	ns	***	**	-	**
<b>Depth effect outside colony</b>									
Df Numerator	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	1
Df Denominator	-	-	-	-	8	8	8	-	8
F-value	-	-	-	-	1.89	0.00	0.00	-	0.10
p-value	-	-	-	-	ns	ns	ns	-	ns
<b>Depth effect inside colony</b>									
Df Numerator	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	-	1
Df Denominator	-	-	-	-	7	7	7	-	7
F-value	-	-	-	-	11.25	28.48	40.17	-	5.15
p-value	-	-	-	-	*	**	***	ns	ns

751

752

**Table 2.** Results of two-way ANOVA's of the depth distribution of SON (soil organic nitrogen) and Root N (root nitrogen) per soil depth, using colony and tephra depth as fixed. Significant source variables ( $p < 0.05$ ) with respect to soil depth are indicated with asterisks: ns =  $p > 0.05$ , \*  $p = 0.05-0.01$ , \*\*  $p = 0.01-0.001$ , \*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ .

	SON				Root N			
	Soil depth (cm)							
Source	0-5	5-10	10-20	20-30	0-5	5-10	10-20	20-30
<b>Colony x depth</b>								
Df Numerator	1	1	n/a	n/a	1	1	1	n/a
Df Denominator	11	11	n/a	n/a	15	15	15	n/a
F-value	0.01	0.63	n/a	n/a	0.28	2.06	0.20	n/a
p-value	ns	ns	n/a	n/a	ns	ns	ns	n/a
<b>Colony</b>								
Df Numerator	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Df Denominator	12	12	6	6	16	16	16	17
F-value	0.25	0.15	0.49	0.13	16.18	1.15	6.83	4.59
p-value	ns	ns	ns	ns	***	ns	*	*
<b>Depth</b>								
Df Numerator	1	1	n/a	n/a	1	1	1	n/a
Df Denominator	13	13	n/a	n/a	17	17	17	n/a
F-value	55.15	10.45	n/a	n/a	10.76	1.49	4.54	n/a
p-value	***	**	n/a	n/a	**	ns	*	n/a

n/a: Not applicable: No SON or Root N in shallow tephra sand