Biogeophysical feedbacks enhance the Arctic terrestrial

2 carbon sink in regional Earth system dynamics

3

Wenxin Zhang¹, Christer Jansson², Paul A Miller¹, Benjamin Smith¹, Patrick Samuelsson²

6 [1]{Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, SE-223 62
7 Lund, Sweden}

8 [2]{Rossby Centre, Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, SE-601 76,

9 Norrk öping, Sweden}

10 Correspondence to: W. Zhang (zhang_wenxin2005@hotmail.com)

11 Abstract

Continued warming of the Arctic will likely accelerate terrestrial carbon (C) cycling by 12 13 increasing both uptake and release of C. Yet, there are still large uncertainties in modelling 14 Arctic terrestrial ecosystems as a source or sink of C. Most modelling studies assessing or 15 projecting the future fate of C exchange with the atmosphere are based on either stand-alone 16 process-based models or coupled climate-C cycle general circulation models, and often 17 disregard biogeophysical feedbacks of land surface changes to the atmosphere. To understand 18 how biogeophysical feedbacks might impact on both climate and the C budget in Arctic terrestrial ecosystems, we apply the regional Earth system model RCA-GUESS over the 19 20 CORDEX-Arctic domain. The model is forced with lateral boundary conditions from an EC-21 Earth CMIP5 climate projection under the RCP 8.5 scenario. We perform two simulations, 22 with or without interactive vegetation dynamics respectively, to assess the impacts of 23 biogeophysical feedbacks. Both simulations indicate that Arctic terrestrial ecosystems will 24 continue to sequester C with an increased uptake rate until the 2060-70s, after which the C 25 budget will return to a weak C sink as increased soil respiration and biomass burning outpaces increased net primary productivity. The additional C sinks arising from biogeophysical 26 feedbacks are approximately 8.5 Gt C, accounting for 22% of the total C sinks, of which 27 83.5% are located in areas of extant Arctic tundra. Two opposing feedback mechanisms, 28 29 mediated by albedo and evapotranspiration changes respectively, contribute to this response. 30 The albedo feedback dominates in the winter and spring seasons, amplifying the near-surface

warming by up to 1.35 °C in spring, while the evapotranspiration feedback dominates in the
summer months, and leads to a cooling of up to 0.81 °C. Such feedbacks stimulate vegetation
growth due to an earlier onset of the growing-season, leading to compositional changes in
woody plants and vegetation redistribution.

35 **1** Introduction

36 Satellite-derived indices, plot-scale surveys and modelling experiments suggest that Arctic 37 terrestrial ecosystems have undergone structural and compositional changes in response to 38 widespread environmental changes in recent decades (Beck and Goetz, 2011; Elmendorf et 39 al., 2012; Miller and Smith, 2012). Vegetation change in turn feeds back to climate via 40 alterations in biogeochemical forcing (e.g. changes in carbon (C) or nutrient cycling that affect greenhouse gases (GHG) emissions) or biogeophysical properties of the land surface 41 42 such as albedo, roughness length, and partitioning of return energy fluxes from the surface into latent and sensible heat components (Cox et al., 2000; Brovkin et al., 2006). 43 44 Biogeophysical feedbacks are particularly important for the northern high latitudes (NHLs). 45 Positive albedo feedbacks arising from an expansion and densification of shrublands and 46 forests or from snow-masking by protruding branches and leaves have a large potential to 47 amplify regional climate warming (Chapin et al., 2005; Bonfils et al., 2012). Moreover, 48 biogeophysical feedbacks associated with coupled climate-vegetation dynamics will be linked 49 to biogeochemical feedbacks to the atmosphere through their influence on the terrestrial C 50 and water cycles (Bonan, 2008). Most modelling studies assessing or projecting the state of 51 the C budget for Arctic tundra or the NHLs are based on either stand-alone process-based 52 models or coupled climate-carbon cycle general circulation models (GCMs), also known as 53 Earth system models (ESMs) (Sitch, 2008; Qian et al., 2010; McGuire et al., 2012). In 54 general, these studies disregard biogeophysical feedbacks likely to modify initial climate 55 forcing substantially at the local or regional scale under high GHG emission scenarios and consequently affect biogeochemical cycling. In this regard, it is critical to understand the role 56 57 of biogeophysical feedbacks for both Arctic climate change and terrestrial ecosystems' C balance, especially if their impact on near-surface temperatures is, as some estimates indicate, 58 59 of a similar order of magnitude as biogeochemical mechanisms (Betts, 2000; Bathiany et al., 2010). 60

62 1.1 Filling gaps in biogeophysical feedback loops by employing a regional 63 Earth system model

Traditionally, C stores and fluxes simulated by dynamic vegetation models (DVMs) reflect 64 65 passive responses of terrestrial ecosystems to spatial and temporal variations in climate, since such climate is generated by climate models which often represent vegetation as either a static 66 67 or an asynchronous dynamic component in the climate system (Quillet et al., 2010). To fill gaps in the biogeophysical feedback loops relies on climate models being tightly coupled with 68 69 DVMs, which can often trigger cascading impacts to amplify or dampen climate change (Fig.1). When it comes to Arctic tundra or the NHLs, enhanced solar radiation absorption and 70 71 near-surface warming are expected to directly stimulate plants' photosynthesis, leading to 72 increased leaf area index (LAI) in the growing season (Piao et al., 2006), and eventually to 73 change vegetation composition and distribution, such as occurs, for example, with a 74 northward invasion of trees and tall shrubs into extant tundra areas (Tape et al., 2006; Miller 75 & Smith, 2012). Ecosystems comprised of taller plants with bigger leaves have higher 76 vegetation roughness, and can accentuate vertical mixing of eddy fluxes, resulting in more 77 efficient transport of momentum, heat and moisture from the canopy to the atmosphere. 78 Accordingly, a negative feedback loop is signified by increased latent heat fluxes, cooling the 79 surface by reducing sensible heating or by weakening atmospheric heating due to a greater 80 abundance of low clouds. On the other hand, invading vegetation or increased LAI may 81 darken the surface, particularly through shading of snow in late winter and spring, and reduce 82 surface albedo, leading to a positive feedback to near-surface temperature. Previous studies of 83 vegetation feedbacks to precipitation have been inconclusive, with indications of positive, 84 negative and minimal feedbacks (Seneviratne et al., 2010; Keuper et al., 2012), but they are 85 likely associated with factors such as wetness of ecosystems, enhanced evapotranspiration and 86 soil moisture, convective characteristics of climate and land surface heterogeneities.

Recently, ESMs have started to include interactive vegetation dynamics in their land surface components in order to fully address the effects of both biogeochemical and biogeophysical feedbacks arising from land cover change and land management practices (e.g. Bathiany et al., 2010; Falloon et al., 2012). However, some processes that occur on a wide range of spatial scales might not be well represented due to their rather coarse resolution. For example, Loranty et al. (2013) pointed out that consistent declines in albedo with increasing tree cover, occurring south of latitudinal tree-line, are poorly represented by ESMs, partly because of

94 their relatively coarse resolution. Regional climate models (RCMs) are complementary tools to GCMs, providing high-resolution simulations of the climate over a limited domain forced 95 by GCM-derived fields on the lateral domain boundaries. By accounting for physiographic 96 97 features such as mountain chains, lakes and coastlines in a more detailed way, they tend to 98 provide more reliable local or regional details of climate information to end-users and policy-99 making communities (Rummukainen, 2010). Kueppers et al. (2005) showed that a RCM-100 based climate projection is more suitable for predictions of potential shifts in species' ranges 101 than GCM-based climate projections in California, since land surface properties, topography, 102 climatologically distinct ecoregions, and local climate variations with distance from the coast 103 are better resolved in the RCM outputs. To better capture biogeophysical feedbacks to climate 104 resulting from vegetation structural changes, Smith et al. (2011) first coupled the individual-105 based DVM LPJ-GUESS to a RCM. In a case study over Europe, Wramneby et al. (2010) 106 demonstrated both albedo- and evapotranspiration-mediated feedbacks, and found that 107 biogeophysical feedbacks to future warming were relatively modest compared to the radiative 108 forcing of increased global CO₂ concentrations.

109 1.2 Present studies of terrestrial C balance for Arctic tundra and the NHLs

110 Arctic tundra and boreal forests have sequestered a considerable amount of C during historic 111 and recent geological times (Oechel et al., 1993; Ruckstuhl et al., 2008). However, the 112 current, recent and future C balance of Arctic terrestrial ecosystems is still under debate due 113 to the large uncertainties associated with the various methodologies used to estimate regional 114 C fluxes or due to the large sensitivities associated with various controlling mechanisms (e.g. 115 gradients of climatic and hydrological variability, disturbances, permafrost vulnerability and 116 nutrient constraints) (Hayes et al., 2012). CO₂ flux measurements indicate that warm winters 117 tend to switch old boreal stands from a sink to a source of C by increasing annual respiration 118 (Valentini et al., 2000; Monson et al., 2006). Similarly, studies using remote sensing 119 approaches have identified a trend of decreasing boreal forest productivity in parts of the 120 Arctic in recent years (Beck and Goetz, 2011). By contrast, results of GCM simulations from 121 the Coupled Carbon Cycle Climate Model Intercomparison Project (C4MIP) indicate that the NHLs will be a C sink of 0.3 \pm 0.3 Pg C yr⁻¹ by 2100 (Qian et al., 2010). Forest inventory data 122 123 and long-term ecosystem C studies estimate that boreal forests were a sink for atmospheric CO_2 on the order of 0.5 \pm 0.08 Pg C yr⁻¹ in both the 1990s and 2000s (Pan et al., 2011). Most 124 of this C was stored as increases in dead wood, litter, and soil C pools in Russia. More 125

- 126 recently, a compilation of flux observations and inversion model estimates for Arctic tundra
- 127 indicate that large uncertainties in the annual exchange of CO₂ between Arctic tundra and the
- 128 atmosphere cannot distinguish the Arctic terrestrial C budget from neutral balance (McGuire
- 129 et al., 2012).

130 Biogeophysical feedbacks involving plant-mediated changes in albedo, evapotranspiration,

131 surface roughness and energy flux partitioning affect the efficiency of the terrestrial biosphere

132 as a sink for CO_2 from the atmosphere. The ESMs studies generally agree that biogeophysical

- 133 feedbacks to climate warming are positive for the NHL and are likely give rise to an amplified
- 134 warming in the future (Falloon et al., 2012). However, the amplified warming is also likely to
- have positive and counteracting effects on both vegetation net primary productivity (NPP) and
- 136 soil heterotrophic respiration (HR). These responses increase uncertainties in determining
- 137 whether Arctic terrestrial ecosystems will be a sink or source of C under future climate
- 138 change.

139 In this study, we highlight the importance of including interactive vegetation dynamics in

140 simulations of the future Arctic climate. To this end, we employ a regional ESM (RCA-

- 141 GUESS) that couples a regional climate model (RCA4) with an individual-based dynamic
- 142 vegetation-ecosystem model (LPJ-GUESS) to study the coupled evolution of climate,
- 143 vegetation and ecosystem C balance across the pan-Arctic. By comparing simulations with
- and without interactive vegetation dynamics forced by lateral boundary conditions from a
- 145 GCM under a strong future warming scenario (RCP8.5), we analyse how biogeophysical
- 146 feedbacks arising from distributional and structural change in arctic tundra and boreal forest
- 147 may impact the Arctic climate and terrestrial C balance. Specifically, we investigate the
- 148 following questions:
- 149 1. How well does RCA-GUESS simulate Arctic climate, vegetation and C fluxes in the recent150 period?
- 151 2. How do biogeophysical feedbacks affect Arctic climate and terrestrial C balance in a
 152 warmer, high-CO₂ future climate?
- 3. What aspects of vegetation change are particularly associated with changes in terrestrial Cbalance?

155 2 Methods

156 **2.1 RCA-GUESS, a regional Earth system model**

157 RCA-GUESS (Smith et al. 2011) is a regional ESM, in which the Land Surface Scheme

158 (LSS) of the regional climate model RCA4 is coupled with dynamic vegetation and

159 ecosystem biogeochemistry simulated by the individual-based vegetation-ecosystem model160 LPJ-GUESS.

161 RCA refers to the Rossby Centre Atmosphere regional climate model that has been modified 162 and updated mostly with respect to the parameterization of physical land-surface processes 163 dealing with physiography and cold climate conditions in mid- and high-latitudes 164 (Samuelsson et al., 2011). The LSS in RCA uses separate tiles for forest and open land. The 165 forest tile is further subdivided into fractions for canopy and forest floor and the proportion of 166 broad-leaved versus needle-leaved (coniferous) forest. The open land tile has separate 167 fractions for vegetation and bare soil. When snow is present, both tiles have a fraction of 168 snow covering the ground. All fractions have their own surface energy balance which are 169 weighted together to provide grid-averaged radiative and turbulent fluxes as surface boundary

170 conditions required by the atmospheric numerical model (Samuelsson et al., 2006).

171 The Lund-Potsdam-Jena General Ecosystem Simulator (LPJ-GUESS) is an individual-based 172 vegetation-ecosystem model optimized to resolve heterogeneities of vegetation structures and 173 functions at the regional and continental scale (Smith et al., 2001). It shares mechanistic 174 formulations for canopy biophysics, phenology, plant physiology and ecosystem C cycling 175 with the global vegetation model LPJ-DGVM (Sitch et al., 2003) and incorporates improved 176 formulations of ecosystem hydrology (Gerten et al., 2004). However, it differs from the 177 generalized large-area parameterization of vegetation structure and population dynamics used 178 in LPJ-DGVM, adopting instead gap model formalisms based on explicit representations of 179 growth and competition among cohort-averaged woody plant individuals and a herbaceous 180 understory co-occurring within patches differing in age-since-last-disturbance. Woody plants 181 and herbaceous vegetation are parameterized by Plant Functional Types (PFTs), which are parameter sets governing plant traits with regard to morphology, phenology, shade and 182 183 drought tolerance, fire resistance and bioclimatic limits. LPJ-GUESS has been successfully 184 applied to model dynamic changes of potential natural vegetation (PNV) across biomes of the 185 world, including Europe (e.g. Hickler et al., 2012), and Arctic and Subarctic regions (e.g.

- 186 Zhang et al., 2013a). The performance and behaviour of the model in simulating ecosystem
- 187 carbon cycle variations and responses to drivers has been highlighted, for example, by
- 188 Ahlström et al. (2012a, b), Piao et al. (2013) and Smith et al. (2014).

189 In RCA-GUESS, the vegetation dynamics affects the LSS of RCA by dynamically adjusting 190 the LAI and the relative cover of needle-leaved and broad-leaved forests in the forest tile and 191 herbaceous vegetation in the open land tile. In this study, the 6 global PFTs used in LPJ-192 GUESS consist of boreal needle-leaved evergreen trees (e.g. Picea obovata, Picea abies), 193 boreal shade-intolerant needle-leaved evergreen trees (e.g. Pinus sylvestris), boreal needle-194 leaved deciduous trees (e.g. Larix sibirica), temperate broad-leaved deciduous trees (e.g. Tilia 195 cordata), boreal shade-intolerant broad-leaved deciduous trees (e.g. Betula pubescens) and C3 196 grass (e.g. Gramineae). The parameter sets for characteristic traits of PFTs are given in Table 197 S1 in the Supplement. The simulated daily LAI and phenology state of the needle-leaved and 198 broad-leaved PFTs in LPJ-GUESS are aggregated to the corresponding forest types in the 199 forest tile of RCA (Eq. 1.1 in Table S2 in the Supplement). The relative cover fractions of 200 forests and herbaceous vegetation within the forest and open land tile are estimated as the 201 foliar projective cover computed from the simulated LAI using Lambert Beer's law (Eq. 1.2-202 1.4 in Table S2 in the Supplement). The returned LAI alters the surface and aerodynamic 203 resistances which are further used by RCA for the calculation of the sensible and latent heat 204 fluxes (Eq. 1.5-1.9 in Table S2 in the Supplement). The fractional size of the forest tile is 205 allowed to vary only if the simulated maximum growing-season LAI summed across forest 206 PFTs is lower than 1, signifying marginal or stunted woody plant growth. The relative covers 207 for forests and open land affect the weighted averaged albedo for each grid cell (Eq. 2.0 in 208 Table S2). The configuration and behaviour of RCA-GUESS is described in detail by Smith 209 et al. (2011).

210 **2.2** Model domain, driving data and simulation protocols

The simulations were applied across the Arctic domain of the Coordinated Regional Climate
Downscaling Experiment (CORDEX-Arctic). The domain encompasses 150×156 grid points
with a uniform resolution of 0.44×0.44 °(approximately 50 km) by rotating the pole system
over an equatorial domain. The boundary conditions were taken from the CMIP5 (Coupled
Model Intercomparison Project phase 5) simulations of the EC-Earth GCM (Hazelegger et al.,
2010, 2011) for the RCP8.5 scenario (Moss et al., 2010).

217 RCA-GUESS was initialized by a spin-up phase to achieve an equilibrium state for vegetation 218 structure and composition, C pool and climate conditions appropriate to the period 1961-219 1990. Compared to the relatively short spin-up necessary for RCA (only a few months), LPJ-220 GUESS requires a much longer spin-up composed of two stages. In the first stage, LPJ-221 GUESS is run in an un-coupled mode, forced by climate variables (precipitation, sunshine, 222 temperature) from the CRU TS3.0 (1991-2006) (Climate Research Unit Time Series) 223 observation-based climate dataset (Mitchell and Jones, 2005). The first-stage spin-up 224 encompasses 360 years, repeatedly cycling detrended CRU climate from the period 1901-225 1930 and the 1901 atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 296 ppm until 1900, and thereafter 226 observed climate and CO₂ until 1960. After 1960, the simulation continues for a further 30 227 years but in a coupled mode, with RCA-generated climate fields forcing LPJ-GUESS, while 228 LPJ-GUESS returns vegetation properties to RCA. In the second-stage spin-up, a new 360 229 year spin-up is performed, using a detrended version of the climate forcing generated by RCA 230 for the period 1961-1990 in the first stage. This two-stage procedure to spin up the vegetation 231 model aims to produce a smooth transition of the climate forcing from the uncoupled spin-up 232 to the coupled (RCA-forced) phase of the final simulation, avoiding a step change in the 233 forcing that may initiate drift in the soil and vegetation carbon pool sizes, disrupting the 234 baseline for the subsequent coupled phase of the simulation. After the spin-up phase, RCA-GUESS was run in the coupled mode for the period 1961-1990. Two simulations were then 235 236 performed for the period 1991-2100 in coupled and un-coupled modes respectively (hereafter 237 referred to as the feedback run and the non-feedback run). In the non-feedback run, RCA was 238 forced by daily mean vegetation properties averaged from the LPJ-GUESS outputs for the 239 period 1961-1990.

240 2.3 Evaluation of the climate, vegetation and Arctic tundra C balance 241 simulated for the recent period

242 Outputs from RCA-GUESS for the period 1961-1990 were compared with available

243 observational datasets, omitting the relaxation zone around the domain boundary. Seasonal

- 244 mean 2m temperature and total precipitation (the sum of convective and large-scale
- 245 precipitation) were obtained from two datasets: the CRU TS3.0 and WILLMOTT 3.02
- 246 (Willmott and Matsuura, 1995). To evaluate the simulated vegetation distribution, we
- compared the model-derived dominant PNV map to the map composed using the International
- 248 Satellite Land Surface Climatology Project (ISLSCP) II PNV Cover dataset and the Kaplan

249 PNV dataset (Kaplan et al., 2003) based on the same aggregated vegetation classes (see Table 250 S3 in the Supplement). The Kaplan PNV dataset supplements the ISLSCP II PNVC dataset 251 with additional details of low and tall shrubs across Arctic tundra. The dominant PNV in the 252 model was derived from the PFT with the largest LAI in each grid cell. The latitudinal 253 percentage difference for each aggregated vegetation type between the composed map and the 254 simulated map is quantified by the number of grid cells in which the simulation over- or 255 underestimates each vegetation type divided by the total number of grid cells in each latitude 256 band. The simulated NPP flux was evaluated using data from both Arctic tundra and boreal 257 forest datasets: the Ecosystem Model-Data Intercomparison (EMDI) (Olson et al., 2013a), the 258 Biological Productivity of Ecosystems of Northern Eurasia (BAZ) (Denissenko et al., 2013), 259 the Global Primary Production Data Initiative Product, R2 (GPPDI_1) (Olson et al., 2013b), 260 the Global Primary Production Data Initiative Product, R3 (GPPDI 2) (Zheng et al., 2013) 261 and the NPP Boreal Forest (BOREAL) (Gower et al., 2012). To evaluate net ecosystem 262 exchange (NEE), the residual difference among the fluxes of NPP, HR and fire disturbance, 263 we compared inter-annual variability of NEE anomalies and mean C budget for an Arctic 264 tundra domain (McGuire et al., 2012; Fig. S1 in the Supplement) to the estimates of process-265 based models (LPJ GUESS WHyMe (Wania et al., 2009a, b, 2010; Zhang et al., 2013a). Terrestrial Carbon Flux (TCF) model (Kimball et al. 2009), ORCHIDEE (Koven et al. 2009, 266 267 2011), Terrestrial Ecosystem Model (TEM; version 6.03) (McGuire et al., 2010; Hayes et al., 268 2011) and inversion models (Peylin et al., 2013) for the period 1990-2006 (for details also see 269 the Appendix in McGuire et al., (2012)).

270 2.4 Analysis of impacts of biogeophysical feedbacks to climate, the terrestrial 271 C budget and vegetation change

The impacts of biogeophysical land-atmosphere feedbacks on Arctic climate were quantified as mean seasonal and monthly anomalies of 2m temperature and total precipitation averaged over the period 2071-2100 in the feedback run relative to the non-feedback run. Anomalies of surface albedo and latent heat flux were calculated to discriminate albedo- from

- evapotranspiration-mediated feedbacks in their effects on temperature and precipitation.
- 277 For the future Arctic terrestrial C budget, we calculated mean C stores and fluxes for Arctic
- 278 tundra and the CORDEX-Arctic domain respectively, and examined the relative contribution
- 279 of C sinks from Arctic tundra. We also explored how biogeophysical feedbacks affect C

exchange by evaluating the magnitude and year of the peak C uptake rate for both Arctictundra and boreal forests.

- 282 Climate-induced vegetation shifts were analysed using the anomalies of a normalized
- 283 phenology index and a normalized physiognomy index (Wramneby et al. 2010; see Eq. 2.1-
- 284 2.2 in Table S2 in the supplement) based on LAI changes of the simulated PFTs averaged
- over the period 2071-2100 relative to 1961-1990. Biogeophysical feedback-induced
- vegetation shifts were characterized as the anomalies of the aforementioned indices for the
- 287 period 2071-2100 based on the feedback-run relative to the non-feedback run.
- 288

289 **3 Results**

3.1 The recent Arctic climate, vegetation and C flux

291 The simulated mean seasonal climate for 1961-1990 shows a cold bias on the order of 2 $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ 292 compared to observations in both spring and summer across the entire domain except northern 293 Canada (Fig. 2b, c, f and g). A warm bias on the order of 2 °C occurs over winter in 294 Scandinavia, in autumn in eastern Siberia and for all seasons in northern Canada (Fig. 2a, d, e 295 and h). The most pronounced bias in seasonal temperature is found in eastern Siberia. 296 Greenland is an exception because both the CRU and WILLMOTT datasets are expected to 297 have a significant bias due to poor coverage of measurement sites. The simulated total 298 seasonal precipitation is 5-20 mm higher compared to the validation datasets, with a relatively

larger overestimation across the entire domain in spring and autumn (Fig. 3).

300 The vegetation simulated by RCA-GUESS agrees reasonably well with the validation map in

301 terms of spatial distribution and the latitudinal percent difference of grid cells that each

302 aggregated vegetation class occupies. The belt pattern of herbaceous vegetation across

303 mountain ranges in Scandinavia and eastern Siberia is well displayed in both the model-

derived map and the validation map (Fig. 4a and b). The latitudinal percent difference by

305 vegetation class is generally lower than 20% (Fig. 4c). The overestimation of deciduous or

306 evergreen forest fractions is offset by the underestimation of the mixed forests fraction. This

- 307 inconsistency is partly attributed to different definitions of mixed forests in the model and
- 308 validation map. In the model output, mixed forests is specified in grid cells with herbaceous
- 309 fraction <50%, and where neither evergreen nor deciduous trees cover fraction is dominant
- 310 (<33.3%). However, the validated map mixed forests are classed as lands dominated by trees

- 311 with a percent canopy cover >60% and height exceeding 2 meters, consisting of tree
- 312 communities with interspersed mixtures or mosaics of deciduous and evergreen types, but
- 313 none of which exceeds 60% of the landscape (Loveland et al., 2000). Deciduous forests are
- 314 overestimated for the herbaceous lands at the latitudes 69-73 N, as a result of a simulated
- 315 tree-line situated further north in northern Canada and eastern Siberia.

316 The simulated mean annual NPP for 1961-1990 across Arctic tundra areas (Far East Siberia, 317 Alaska, northern Canada, eastern Siberia) is comparable to the validation datasets, and seldom exceeds 200 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Fig. 5a). Averaged over Arctic tundra, the simulated NPP for 1990-318 2006 is 266 or 268 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Table 1), which is broadly in line with previous estimates 319 $(243-252 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ yr}^{-1} \text{ for } 1960 \text{s})$ by the LPJ-DGVM model reported by Sitch et al. (2007). For 320 European forest, simulated NPP exceeds observations by some 200-300 g C m^{-2} yr⁻¹ (Fig. S2). 321 322 This deviation indicates that nitrogen limitation and land use change are also important for 323 predicting European forest NPP, although they were not included in this study. Similar European forest NPP estimations of approximately 500-600 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ are seen in 324 simulation results with neither nitrogen limitation nor land use change from both coupled 325 326 RCA-GUESS runs driven by lateral forcing fields from the reanalysis dataset ERA-40 (Smith 327 et al., 2011), and from LPJ-GUESS stand-alone simulations driven with CRU climate (Wolf 328 et al., 2008). The simulated inter-annual variation of NEE anomalies for 1990-2006 from both 329 RCA-GUESS runs fall within the uncertainty ranges of both process-based models and 330 inversion models for Arctic tundra (Fig. 5b). The RCA-GUESS feedback run shows a 331 downward trend similar to the estimates of process-based models (LPJ-GUESS WHyMe, ORCHIDEE, TCF), indicating a slight trend towards increased carbon uptake (Table 1; Fig. 332 333 S3). In the non-feedback run, the trend is positive, similar to results from TEM and the 334 ensemble mean of inversions estimates. Overall, the mean annual NPP flux exceeds the sum 335 of respiration and wildfire C emissions, resulting in a net sink of C (negative NEE) into the 336 biosphere. Biogeophysical feedbacks have a marginal impact on this net sink, reducing it by 337 some 5% (Table 1).

338 **3.2** Impacts of biogeophysical feedbacks on Arctic climate

The influence of biogeophysical feedbacks on the simulated mean climate for 2071-2100
varies seasonally (Fig. 6a-d). The albedo feedback dominates and causes an enhanced
warming in winter and spring, with the greatest additional warming of 1.35 °C occurring in
spring (Fig. 7a). The evapotranspiration feedback starts to offset the albedo feedback in

343 spring, and reduces the warming by $0.81 \,^{\circ}$ C in summer over the Arctic as a whole, but with 344 only a moderate influence in autumn (Fig. 6a-d and Fig. 7a). The most pronounced 345 amplification of warming (\sim 3 °C) occurs in spring across tundra areas of Siberia and northern 346 Canada. In Fennoscandia, only the Scandes Mountain range is influenced, with some 347 additional warming in winter and cooling in summer, which is in accordance with results 348 reported by Wramneby et al. (2010). The impacts of biogeophysical feedbacks on 349 precipitation are not as noticeable as for temperature. The greatest change in precipitation 350 occurs in summer with an increase of 3.57 mm over land areas (Fig. 6e-h and Fig. 7b). In 351 contrast to the slight albedo decline of around 0.05 in summer, albedo in autumn, winter and 352 spring is reduced significantly across the whole tundra area with the greatest reduction of 353 around 0.2 occurring in spring (Fig. 6i-1). Sporadic increases of albedo are found in the larch 354 forest belt of central Siberia from autumn to spring. An increase in latent heat flux is seen in 355 spring and summer for most land areas expect for northern Canada and eastern Siberia, where 356 there is a reduction in magnitude (Fig. 6m-p). The largest latent heat flux increase, 9-15 W m⁻ 2 , is seen mostly in spring, with smaller increases, 1-9 W m⁻², in the summer months. 357

358 3.3 Impacts of biogeophysical feedbacks on future Arctic vegetation patterns and C budget

360 The phenological response to the simulated climate change effects on vegetation composition 361 is not consistent across the entire CORDEX-Arctic domain. The Scandes Mountain range, 362 north-western Siberia, eastern Siberia coast and northern Canada show a substantial increase 363 in the relative abundance of evergreen PFTs, but north-eastern Europe, the Taymyr Peninsula, 364 Far East Siberia and the high Canada Arctic show an increased abundance of deciduous PFTs 365 (Fig. 8a). Biogeophysical feedbacks tend to counteract these changes in Far East Siberia, but 366 to reinforce them in the Taymyr Peninsula (Fig. 8b). The poleward transitions from grassy 367 PFTs to woody PFTs indicate that the tree-line boundary moves further north as a result of future climate favourable to the growth of trees (Fig. 8c). Biogeophysical feedbacks further 368 369 aid the advance of woody plants into Arctic tundra in both Far East Siberia and western 370 Siberia (Fig. 8d). Compared to climate-induced shifts in vegetation abundance, the effects of 371 biogeophysical feedbacks on vegetation distribution are relatively smaller, typically less than 372 30% in terms of changes to the normalized phenology and physiognomy indices (Fig 8b, 8d). 373 The inter-annual variation of the NEE flux for 1991-2100 in the RCA-GUESS non-feedback 374 run indicates that the C uptake rate could start to increase rapidly in the 2020s, reach the

largest value in the 2060s, after which the C uptake rate decreases until the 2090s (Fig. 9a). 375 376 However, in the RCA-GUESS feedback run, the biogeophysical feedbacks further enhance C 377 uptake from the 2020s, and postpone the arrival of the largest C uptake rate for 15 years. To 378 examine where and how many grid cells might exhibit this behaviour, we sorted the grid cells 379 into groups according to the extent of the increase or decrease of the NEE seen in each cell. 380 Most grid cells with the enhanced C uptake are found in Arctic tundra with an increase of NEE around 50-100 g C m^{-2} yr⁻¹, while boreal forests show more grid cells with the largest 381 NEE flux decreased by 0-50 g C m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Fig. 9b). Meanwhile, Arctic tundra also includes 382 383 more grid cells with the largest C uptake rate postponed than boreal forests (Fig. 9c). In total, 384 by the end of 2100, the CORDEX-Arctic domain will gain 38.7 Gt C (Table 2), of which 35.6 Gt C is sequestered by Arctic tundra. This estimation is comparable to the estimates of 385 386 C4MIP simulations of around 38 \pm 20 Gt C for the NHLs (Qian et al., 2012). Most of the C 387 gains are allocated to vegetation biomass. Litter and soil C stores are increased by 0.5 and 1.2 388 Gt C respectively for Arctic tundra, but decreased by 1.8 and 6.4 Gt C respectively for the 389 CORDEX-Arctic domain. Biogeophysical feedbacks account for about 22% of the increase in 390 net C uptake, around 8.5 Gt C. The majority (83.5%) of this extra C uptake comes from areas 391 simulated as Arctic tundra in the modern climate.

392 **4 Discussion**

393 4.1 The robustness of regional climate simulations

394 The biases within the down-scaled climate in an RCM may be inherited either from the 395 systematic bias of lateral boundary conditions provided by large scale fields of climate 396 forcing or shortcomings in the model's structures, formulations and parameterizations. For 397 example, the warm bias over northern Canada in our simulations year-round during the period 398 1961-1990 is inherited from the GCM-simulated fields on the lateral boundaries of the 399 simulated domain; the EC-Earth output shows a warm bias over this area of 1-4 °C for the 400 1980s, when compared to reanalysis data (Koenigk et al., 2013). For other areas of the 401 Arctic, EC-Earth tends to show a cold bias, attributed to the overestimation of sea ice 402 thickness and extent (Koenigk et al., 2013). This likely explains the cold bias in spring and 403 summer found in our simulations across almost the entire domain. Berg et al. (2013) 404 compared ERA-Interim reanalysis climate data to output from an RCA4 simulation across the 405 Arctic forced by ERA-Interim data on the lateral boundaries, identifying a winter-time warm

406 bias in eastern Siberia and a summer-time cold bias across the entire domain. Our simulations407 show similar patterns.

408 When similar patterns of bias recur in simulations using different lateral forcings, this may

409 indicate the effects of inaccurate parameterizations in the model. Samuelsson et al., (2011)

410 pointed out that RCA4 generally underestimates snow albedo in cold climate regions,

411 resulting in higher air temperatures and less snow accumulation. This probably explains the

412 most pronounced areas of warm bias which occur in eastern Siberia in our simulations.

413 Whereas the bias pattern for temperature is relatively similar between RCA-GUESS and EC-414 Earth, precipitation bias indicates more inconsistency. For instance, RCA-GUESS simulates 415 less precipitation in the basins of Barents Sea and Bering Strait compared to EC-Earth. This 416 may reflect the greater topographical variability arising from a finer grid resolution in the 417 regional model; in EC-Earth, smoother topography reduces orographic rainfall, potentially 418 spreading the same total amount of precipitation over a larger area, causing overestimation 419 over rainshadow areas in the lee of the mountain ranges. By contrast, RCA4 is known to 420 overestimate precipitation over mountain tops due to an overestimated cloud fraction 421 (Samuelsson et al., 2011). In general, complex mountainous terrain poses a challenge for 422 accurately simulating vertical velocities in the resolved scale. Overall, in comparison to the 423 EC-Earth outputs and observation-based datasets, RCA-GUESS generally demonstrates good 424 skill in reproducing spatial patterns of the present day climate with respect to temperature and 425 precipitation.

426 To verify that our climate simulation set-up, including boundary conditions from EC-Earth 427 and the dynamic down-scaling by the atmospheric component of RCA-GUESS, was leading 428 to representative behaviour in the biogeochemical part of the model, we compare our 429 simulated results for NEE, averaged across the Arctic, with the estimates from stand-alone 430 simulations of LPJ-GUESS forced by a wide range of GCMs under the same (RCP8.5) 431 radiative forcing scenario. Fig. S4 compares the results from this study with results obtained 432 by Ahlström et al. (2012b) in simulations with LPJ-GUESS forced by 18 GCMs from the 433 CMIP5 initiative. The inter-annual variations of the cumulative NEE flux simulated in both 434 the feedback and non-feedback runs agree well with the ensemble mean of the stand-alone 435 simulations from 1990 to 2020. From 2020-2100, the C uptake started to increase more rapidly, but remained within the ensemble range (Fig. S4). This suggests that our climate 436

forcing set-up is representative for climate projections from a wide range of GCMs in termsof predicting the NEE flux.

439 4.2 Vegetation dynamics and ecosystem biogeochemistry in response to 440 Arctic climate change

441 Distinct geographical patterns of vegetation distribution in the Arctic and NHLs are largely shaped by spatial patterns in temperature and precipitation, while other factors like soil 442 443 properties, topographical barriers, land use change, and permafrost vulnerability are additional 444 determinants (Morales et al., 2005; Koca et al., 2006; Jiang et al., 2012). Zhang et al. (2013a) 445 demonstrated that LPJ-GUESS shows a generally good performance in replicating vegetation 446 patterns across the Arctic, in particular capturing forest-shrub-tundra transitions observed in 447 the Canadian Arctic, northern Alaska, the Taymyr Peninsula, and the Scandes Mountain range 448 under the present-day climate. RCA-GUESS simulates vegetation shifts in broad agreement 449 with previous studies: the combined effects of climatic warming and elevated CO₂ allow the 450 bioclimatic niche for boreal or temperate forests to move towards higher latitudes and 451 elevations (Fig. 8c; Morales et al., 2007; Wolf et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2013a); the longer 452 and warmer growing-season favours broad-leaved deciduous (e.g. birch) forests in 453 competition with evergreen forests dominated by species of spruce and pine, typical for the 454 boreal zone (Fig. 8a and c; Hickler et al., 2012; Miller and Smith; 2012; Jiang et al., 2012); 455 and warmer winters and altered precipitation patterns result in boreal deciduous (larch) trees 456 in Siberia giving way to boreal evergreen and temperate deciduous trees (Fig. 8a; Kaplan et 457 al., 2003; Shuman et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013a).

458 Numerous modelling studies have explored how climate-, CO₂- and land use-driven variations 459 in NPP, HR and disturbance fluxes might influence the future fate of the present-day sink of 460 atmospheric CO₂ within the terrestrial biosphere (e.g. Ahlström et al., 2012b; Brovkin et al., 461 2006; Poulter et al., 2011;). Our simulated mean NEE flux averaged from 1990-2006 for 462 Arctic tundra in response to recent climate forcing is similar to other process-based models 463 (Table 1 and Fig. 5b), implying that both coupled and un-coupled process-based models agree 464 that NPP is rising faster than soil respiration in response to near-surface warming. The inter-465 annual variation of NEE anomalies among all the models do not deviate too much from the 466 ensemble mean of inversion model (top-down) estimates, because they are well constrained by the relative strength of compartment fluxes. For instance, ORCHIDEE determines the high 467 end of the uncertainty range of estimated NPP and RH, while RCA-GUESS simulates more 468

469 fire disturbances resulting in a larger inter-annual variation (Table 1). RCA-GUESS and LPJ-470 GUESS WHyMe share the same fire process description, in which fires are determined by the 471 amount of above-ground litter and a soil moisture threshold (Sitch et al., 2003). However, 472 LPJ-GUESS WHyMe is forced by the observation-based, CRU climate dataset and uses an 473 extended set of Arctic-specific PFTs, which depicts the simulated tree-line boundary with 474 more accuracy (Zhang et al., 2013a). The rapid increase of C uptake from the 2020s in both 475 RCA-GUESS runs can be attributed to substantial climate-induced vegetation shifts and a 476 prolonged growing-season length. However, C gains eventually decline as the increased HR 477 flux in response to continuous climate warming outpaces the increased NPP flux. Previous 478 studies based on the stand-alone simulations with DVMs show similar effects (e.g. Cao and 479 Woodard 1998; Cramer et al., 2001; Wolfgang et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2013a).

480 4.3 Impacts of biogeophysical feedbacks for future Arctic climate and C 481 balance

482 The net impacts of biogeophysical feedbacks to future climate result largely from the 483 opposing effects of albedo- and evapotranspiration-feedback mechanisms. Firstly, the 484 amplified warming occurring in winter and spring is associated with positive feedbacks 485 arising from substantial reductions of albedo (Fig 6a, b, i and j). Winter- and spring-time 486 albedo reductions indicate that the underlying snow is masked and shaded by stems and 487 leaves of woody vegetation, which increases both in areal extent and local density, resulting 488 in an earlier onset of the growing-season and a longer snow-free season in the future. Based 489 on a non-linear relationship between albedo and summer vegetation biomass, Euskirchen et 490 al. (2009) predicted that the increase of regional summer heat absorption due to potential 491 vegetation change under future climate scenarios (A2, B1 and B2) would be 0.34 ± 0.23 W m⁻ 492 2 decade⁻¹, which is relatively small compared to the corresponding change expected due to a shorter snow season (3.3 \pm 1.24 W m⁻² decade⁻¹). Assuming our summer albedo decline 493 mainly reflects the contribution from vegetation change, our results are a little larger than 494 their estimates. The decline of summer albedo by 0.05 causes 5-10 W m⁻², or 0.45-0.90 W m⁻² 495 decade⁻¹, in the summer hear absorption for 2071-2100 relative to 1961-1990 (Fig. S5). 496 497 However, it should be noted that the estimates of Euskirchen et al. (2009) are based on standalone, uncoupled simulations and use a lower CO2 concentration scenario. After accounting 498 499 for the effects of climate-vegetation interaction and stronger CO₂ fertilization, their estimates 500 would be expected to increase. Secondly, attenuated warming in summer is associated with

501 negative feedbacks arising from increased evapotranspiration that overtake positive feedbacks 502 arising from a reduction in albedo. The evapotranspiration is enhanced by a higher overall 503 LAI (leaf surface for evaporation) as well as a denser forest cover, which increases surface 504 roughness, promoting a more dynamic exchange of water vapour and energy with the 505 atmosphere. Kasurinen et al. (2014) analysed latent heat measurement data gathered at 65 506 boreal and arctic eddy-covariance sites and found that from tundra to forests, latent heat flux in summer increases from \sim 75 to \sim 90 W m⁻², which is also in line with our estimates (Fig. 507 508 60). On an annual basis, the net effect of these feedbacks on temperature averages a modest 0.0069 °C yr⁻¹ over the period 1991-2100. As for their effects on the seasonal cycle of Arctic 509 vegetation, however, the feedbacks result in an earlier, longer and more uniform vegetation 510 511 period, in terms of growing-season temperatures (Fig. 7a), promoting a substantial increase in 512 vegetation productivity. Studies with other global ESMs have reported comparable near-513 surface temperature increases due to vegetation-mediated feedbacks of around 0.0028 $\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}\,\mathrm{vr}^{-1}$ 514 from the 1870s to the 2080s for the NHLs as a whole (Falloon et al., 2012).

515 Using an iterative coupling approach, Matthes et al. (2011) investigated the sensitivity of 516 projected regional climate change to vegetation shifts imposed on the land surface conditions 517 in a regional climate model (HIRHAM) applied across the Arctic. They found that woody 518 vegetation expansion under an SRES A1B emission scenario led to a change in temperature 519 by 3 $^{\circ}$ C in winter and -1.5 $^{\circ}$ C in summer. These temperature adjustments were larger than 520 effects attributed to freezing/thawing of soil or insulation by top organic soil horizons. 521 Similarly, we also found the largest warming to occur in winter in areas experiencing gradual 522 dynamic shifts from tundra to forest tundra or forest tundra to forest. 523 The sensitivity of vegetation distribution to the effects of biogeophysical feedbacks seems

525 The sensitivity of vegetation distribution to the effects of biogeophysical feedbacks seems

relatively modest (Fig. 8b and d). The additional C sinks arising from biogeophysical

525 feedbacks correspond, at around 8.5 Gt C, to global anthropogenic emissions for about one

526 year under present conditions (Table 2), relatively modest compared to some estimates of the

527 potential losses of C from thawing permafrost across the Arctic (Schuur et al. 2013). A

528 prolonged growing-season, denser forest cover and invasion of trees into tundra result in even

529 greater enhancements to vegetation productivity, which postpones the arrival of the peak C

- 530 uptake rate for Arctic terrestrial ecosystems. In our study, dramatic changes were found in the
- transition from herbaceous to woody vegetation occurring in Arctic tundra (Fig. 8c). These

- 532 changes appear to primarily account for the simulated increased C storage in areas classified
- 533 as Arctic tundra in the present climate.

534 **4.4 Perspectives to improve regional ESMs**

535 Our results highlight the significance of implementing biogeophysical mechanisms of 536 climate-vegetation interactions in regional Earth system dynamics. Not only do biogeophysical feedbacks result in a more rapid warming on an annual average basis, but they 537 538 also cause adjustments in the timing and character of the growing-season that affect 539 vegetation productivity and net C balance, with further implications for climate evolution. 540 However, we do make some simplistic assumptions in this first trial of modelling regional 541 Earth system dynamics over the Arctic, and there are some issues that warrant further 542 investigation in order to improve our understanding of impacts of biogeophysical feedbacks 543 on Arctic terrestrial ecosystems and their C balance.

544 Biogeophysical feedback loops should be expanded to involve energy and water flux 545 exchanged over Arctic sea surface. Swann et al. (2010) advanced a hypothesis in which a positive albedo feedback prompts the growth of vegetation, leading to an increased flux of 546 547 water vapour to the atmosphere, thereby strengthening radiative forcing. After being mixed in 548 the atmosphere, water vapour feeds back on climate not only over land but also over the sea 549 surface, triggering a subsequent positive sea ice feedback, which in turn warms the land 550 surface. They found radiative forcing from water vapour changes to be of a similar magnitude 551 as the direct short-wave forcing from albedo reductions. Therefore, further modelling studies 552 on Arctic regional Earth system dynamics ought to include the ocean component to fully 553 address biogeophysical feedbacks.

- 554 Permafrost C feedbacks due to future climate change should be considered when terrestrial
- 555 biogeochemical cycling is coupled with biogeophysical mechanisms. Enormous amounts of
- 556 organic C stored in the NHL permafrost soils could become vulnerable to decomposition, and
- act as a positive feedback to accelerate climate warming (Koven et al., 2011; MacDougall et
- al., 2012). Most terrestrial C cycling models including our model do not have representations
- 559 of permafrost C dynamics, and thus may neglect the contributions to future climate change
- 560 from this substantial amount of C. Recent expert assessments estimate permafrost C release
- 561 for the RCP 8.5 scenario to be 162-288 Pg C by 2100 (Schuur et al., 2013). Environmental
- 562 change affected by biogeophysical feedbacks could either mitigate or exacerbate permafrost

563 degradation associated with the projected warming. Changes in regional patterns of 564 precipitation and extra warming due to albedo- and evapotranspiration-feedbacks will likely change soil water content and temperatures, affecting the absolute and relative amounts of 565 566 CO₂ and CH₄ released to the atmosphere. The cooling effects of shading by shrubs in Arctic tundra may reduce summer permafrost thaw, even though continued warming of the Arctic 567 568 may offset this negative feedback in the long term (Blok et al., 2010). Other factors such as 569 snow redistribution, snow depth changes, and changes to shrub height, cover and expansion 570 are also important in order to quantify the net effects of climate-vegetation interactions on 571 permafrost thermal dynamics (Lawrence and Swenson, 2011). Increased efforts are needed to 572 have an overall understanding of the link between permafrost C and biogeophysical 573 mechanisms.

574 Discrepancies between the simulated and actual vegetation distribution can be overcome by 575 considering factors such as land use change and more detailed vegetation types. Wramneby et 576 al., (2010) found that land use change from croplands to forests and abandoned lands would 577 impact the strength of the albedo- and evapotranspiration-mediated feedbacks over Europe. In 578 mountainous areas, land-use change plays an even more important role in driving tree-line 579 dynamics than climate change (Hickler et al., 2012). For Arctic ecosystem dynamics, 580 terrestrial ecosystem models should be tailored to better capture a variety of Arctic and 581 Subarctic landscapes, and include tall and low shrubs, graminoid forbs, lichen and moss. In 582 this study, using C3 grass and trees instead of forbs and shrubs typical for Arctic tundra, we 583 may underestimate the C uptake strength arising from shrubs' expansion despite our model's 584 ability to capture the grass-wood transition in a manner similar to the forests-shrubs-tundra 585 transition seen in Zhang et al., (2013a). Moreover, it is important to evaluate the algorithm to 586 derive albedo change from simulated changes in vegetation relative cover fractions and LAI. 587 Brovkin et al. (2013) present an approach to evaluate woody vegetation cover and land 588 surface albedo in ESMs that can be applied to regional studies as well.

589 The model version adopted for this study does not include nutrient feedbacks to vegetation

590 growth, although N cycling is included in the current offline version of LPJ-GUESS (Smith et

al., 2014). Nitrogen mineralisation rates in the cold soils of boreal and Arctic ecosystems are

592 known to limit the productivity of vegetation in these areas. Simulations with N-enabled

- 593 global carbon cycle models generally suggest that C sequestration under a future high CO₂
- 594 climate will be lower globally when N-cycle feedbacks are accounted for (Zaehle and

595 Dalmonech, 2011). However, increasing mineralisation rates in warming soils will reduce N-596 limitation, allowing substantial productivity increases as growing seasons become longer and 597 warmer. In addition, trees colonising tundra areas rendered accessible by a milder climate 598 constitute a temporary, new sink for carbon until stand carrying capacity is reached and 599 mortality matches biomass growth. As shown for the N-enabled version of LPJ-GUESS by 600 W årlind et al. (2014), these effects will counteract any tendency for N availability to inhibit 601 an increase in C storage by high-latitude ecosystems in a warming, high-CO₂ climate. 602 Baseline (1961-1990) NPPs simulated by RCA-GUESS across the Arctic are within the range 603 of variability of observations (Fig 5a). Although the present study does not include N 604 limitation, the simulated increase in ecosystem C storage across the Arctic may be realistic. 605 How nutrient cycling effects may impact biogeophysical land-climate interaction remains

606 unclear and needs further investigation.

607 **5** Conclusion

608 Our simulations with a regional ESM suggest that in the present climate, Arctic ecosystems 609 are acting as a weak C sink, consistent with findings from some other process-based models 610 and inversion studies. Under an RCP 8.5 future climate scenario, an increased C uptake rate is 611 projected until the 2060s-2070s, after which C uptake declines as increased soil respiration 612 and biomass burning outpaces further increases in vegetation net primary productivity. 613 Biogeophysical effects from climate-vegetation interactions, leading to an earlier, longer 614 growing-season and milder peak temperatures in summer, enhance the initial increase in the C 615 sink by accentuating NPP and postponing the peak C uptake rate by some 15 years. Integrated 616 over the 21st century, the additional C sinks arising from biogeophysical feedbacks are some 617 8.5 Gt C, or 22% of the total C sink, of which 83.5% is located in areas currently classified as 618 Arctic tundra. The net effects of biogeophysical feedbacks to the regional climate result from 619 two opposing feedback mechanisms, namely the albedo feedback and the evapotranspiration 620 feedback. The former dominates in the winter and spring seasons, amplifying the near-621 surface warming by up to 1.35 $\,^{\circ}$ C in spring, while the latter dominates in summer, resulting in 622 an evaporative cooling of up to 0.81 °C. Such feedbacks stimulate vegetation growth with an 623 earlier onset of the growing-season, leading to compositional changes in woody plants and 624 vegetation redistribution.

625 Acknowledgements

- 626 The model simulations were carried out at the National Supercomputer Centre (NSC) in
- 627 Link öping, Sweden. The study is funded by the Swedish Research Council FORMAS within
- 628 the project Advanced Simulation of Arctic Climate and Impact on Northern Regions
- 629 (ADSIMNOR). The authors would like to thank the Rossby Centre at the Swedish
- 630 Meteorological and Hydrological Institute (SMHI) for coordinating this project, and thank
- 631 Prof. A. David McGuire and Dr. Anders Ahlström for providing additional data to evaluate
- 632 our results. The study is a contribution to the strategic research areas Modelling the Regional
- and Global Earth System (MERGE) and Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services in a Changing
- 634 Climate (BECC), the Lund University Centre for the study of Climate and Carbon Cycle
- 635 (LUCCI) and the Nordic Centre of Excellence DEFROST.
- 636

637 **References**

- Ahlström, A., Miller, P.A., and Smith, B.: Too early to infer a global NPP decline since 2000.
- 639 Geophysical Research Letters, 39, L15403, DOI: 10.1029/2012GL052336, 2012a.
- 640 Ahlström, A., Schurgers, G., Arneth, A., and Smith, B.: Robustness and uncertainty in
- 641 terrestrial ecosystem carbon response to CMIP5 climate change projections, Environ. Res.
- 642 Lett., 7, 044008, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/044008, 2012b.
- 643 Bathiany, S., Claussen, M., Brovkin, V., Raddatz, T., and Gayler, V.: Combined
- biogeophysical and biogeochemical effects of large-scale forest cover changes in the MPI
- 645 earth system model, Biogeosciences, 7, 1383-1399, doi:10.5194/bg-7-1383-2010, 2010.
- 646 Beck, P. S. A., and Goetz, S. J.: Satellite observations of high northern latitude vegetation
- 647 productivity changes between 1982 and 2008: ecological variability and regional differences,
- 648 Environ. Res. Lett., 6, 045501, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/045501, 2011.
- 649 Betts, R. A.: Offset of the potential carbon sink from boreal forestation by decreases in
- 650 surface albedo, Nature, 408, 187-190, doi:10.1038/35041545, 2000.
- Blok, D., Heijmans, M. M. P. D., Schaepman-Strub, G., Kononov, A. V., Maximov, T. C.,
- and Berendse, F.: Shrub expansion may reduce summer permafrost thaw in Siberian tundra,
- 653 Glob. Change Biol., 16, 1296–1305, 2010.
- Bonan, G. B.: Forests and Climate Change: Forcings, Feedbacks, and the Climate Benefits of
- 655 Forests, Science, 320, 1444-1449, doi:10.1126/science.1155121, 2008.
- 656 Bonfils, C. J. W., Phillips, T. J., Lawrence, D. M., Cameron-Smith, P., Riley, W. J., and
- 657 Subin, Z. M.: On the influence of shrub height and expansion on northern high latitude
- 658 climate, Environ. Res. Lett., 7, 015503, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/1/015503, 2012.
- 659 Brovkin, V., Claussen, M., Driesschaert, E., Fichefet, T., Kicklighter, D., Loutre, M. F.,
- 660 Matthews, H. D., Ramankutty, N., Schaeffer, M., and Sokolov, A.: Biogeophysical effects of
- historical land cover changes simulated by six Earth system models of intermediate
- 662 complexity, Clim. Dynam., 26, 587-600, doi:10.1007/s00382-005-0092-6, 2006.
- Brovkin, V., Boysen, L., Raddatz, T., Gayler, V., Loew, A., and Claussen, M.: Evaluation of
- 664 vegetation cover and land-surface albedo in MPI-ESM CMIP5 simulations, Journal of
- 665 Advances in Modeling Earth Systems, 5, 48-57, doi:10.1029/2012MS000169, 2013.

- 666 Chapin, F. S., Sturm, M., Serreze, M. C., McFadden, J. P., Key, J. R., Lloyd, A. H., McGuire,
- A. D., Rupp, T. S., Lynch, A. H., Schimel, J. P., Beringer, J., Chapman, W. L., Epstein, H. E.,
- 668 Euskirchen, E. S., Hinzman, L. D., Jia, G., Ping, C.-L., Tape, K. D., Thompson, C. D. C.,
- 669 Walker, D. A., and Welker, J. M.: Role of Land-Surface Changes in Arctic Summer
- 670 Warming, Science, 310, 657-660, doi: 10.1126/science.1117368, 2005.
- 671 Cox, P. M., Betts, R. A., Jones, C. D., Spall, S. A., and Totterdell, I. J.: Acceleration of global
- warming due to carbon-cycle feedbacks in a coupled climate model, Nature, 408, 184-187,
- 673 doi:10.1038/35041539, 2000.
- 674 Denissenko, E. A., Brovkin, V., and Cramer, W.: NPP Multi-Biome: PIK Data for Northern
- Eurasia, 1940-1988 (Based on Bazilevich), Data set. Available on-line [http://daac.ornl.gov]
- 676 from Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge,
- 677 Tennessee, USA, doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/575, 2013.
- 678 Elmendorf, S. C., Henry, G. H. R., Hollister, R. D., Bjork, R. G., Boulanger-Lapointe, N.,
- 679 Cooper, E. J., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Day, T. A., Dorrepaal, E., Elumeeva, T. G., Gill, M.,
- 680 Gould, W. A., Harte, J., Hik, D. S., Hofgaard, A., Johnson, D. R., Johnstone, J. F., Jonsdottir,
- 681 I. S., Jorgenson, J. C., Klanderud, K., Klein, J. A., Koh, S., Kudo, G., Lara, M., Levesque, E.,
- Magnusson, B., May, J. L., Mercado-Diaz, J. A., Michelsen, A., Molau, U., Myers-Smith, I.
- 683 H., Oberbauer, S. F., Onipchenko, V. G., Rixen, C., Martin Schmidt, N., Shaver, G. R.,
- 684 Spasojevic, M. J., orhallsdottir, o. E., Tolvanen, A., Troxler, T., Tweedie, C. E., Villareal, S.,
- 685 Wahren, C.-H., Walker, X., Webber, P. J., Welker, J. M., and Wipf, S.: Plot-scale evidence of
- tundra vegetation change and links to recent summer warming, Nature Clim. Change, 2, 453-
- 687 457, doi:10.1038/nclimate1465, 2012.
- Euskirchen, E. S., McGuire, A. D., Rupp, T. S., Chapin III, F. S., and Walsh J. E.: Projected
- 689 changes in atmospheric heating due to changes in fire disturbance and the snow season in the
- 690 western Arctic, 2003–2100, J. Geophys. Res., 114, G04022, doi:10.1029/2009JG001095,
- 691 2009.
- 692 Falloon, P. D., Dankers, R., Betts, R. A., Jones, C. D., Booth, B. B. B., and Lambert, F. H.:
- Role of vegetation change in future climate under the A1B scenario and a climate stabilisation
- 694 scenario, using the HadCM3C Earth system model, Biogeosciences, 9, 4739-4756,
- 695 doi:10.5194/bg-9-4739-2012, 2012.

- 696 Gerten, D., Schaphoff, S., Haberlandt, U., Lucht, W., Sitch, S.: Terrestrial vegetation and
- 697 water balance—hydrological evaluation of a dynamic global vegetation model, Journal of
- 698 Hydrology, 286, 249-270, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2003.09.029, 2004.
- 699 Goll, D. S., Brovkin, V., Parida, B. R., Reick, C. H., Kattge, J., Reich, P. B.,
- van Bodegom, P. M., and Niinemets, Ü.: Nutrient limitation reduces land carbon uptake in
- simulations with a model of combined carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycling,
- 702 Biogeosciences Discuss., 9, 3173-3232, doi:10.5194/bgd-9-3173-2012, 2012.
- Hayes, D. J., Turner, D. P., Stinson, G., McGuire, A. D., Wei, Y., West, T. O., Heath, L. S.,
- de Jong, B., McConkey, B. G., Birdsey, R. A., Kurz, W. A., Jacobson, A. R., Huntzinger, D.
- N., Pan, Y., Post, W. M., and Cook, R. B.: Reconciling estimates of the contemporary North
- 706 American carbon balance among terrestrial biosphere models, atmospheric inversions, and a
- new approach for estimating net ecosystem exchange from inventory-based data, Glob.
- 708 Change Biol., 18, 1282-1299, 2012.
- 709 Hayes, D. J., McGuire, A. D., Kicklighter, D. W., Gurney, K. R., Burnside, T. J., and Melillo,
- 710 J. M.: Is the northern high-latitude land-based CO2 sink weakening? Global Biogeochem.
- 711 Cy., 25, GB3018, doi:10.1029/2010GB003813, 2011.
- 712 Hazeleger, W. Severijns, C., Semmler, T., Ştefănescu, S., Yang, S., Wang, X., Wyser, K.,
- 713 Dutra, E., Baldasano, J. M., Bintanja, R., Bougeault, P., Caballero, R., Ekman, A. M. L.,
- 714 Christensen, J. H., van den Hurk, B., Jimenez, P., Jones, C., K ålberg, P., Koenigk, T.,
- 715 McGrath, R., Miranda, P., van Noije, T., Palmer, T., Parodi, J. A., Schmith, T., Selten, F.,
- 716 Storelvmo, T., Sterl, A., Tapamo, H., Vancoppenolle, M., Viterbo, P., and Will én, U.: EC-
- Earth: a seamless earth-system prediction approach in action, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 91,
- 718 1357–1363, doi: 10.1175/2010BAMS2877.1, 2010.
- 719 Hazelegger, W., Wang, X., Severijins, C., Ştefănescu, S., Bintanja, R., Sterl, A., Wyser, K.,
- 720 Semmler, T., Yang, S., van den Hurk, B., van Noije, T., van der Linden, E., van der Wiel, K.:
- 721 EC-Earth V2.2: description and validation of a new seamless Earth system prediction model.
- 722 Clim. Dyn., 39, 2611-2629, 2012.
- Hickler, T., Vohland, K., Feehan, J., Miller, P. A., Smith, B., Costa, L., Giesecke, T.,
- Fronzek, S., Carter, T. R., Cramer, W., Kühn, I., and Sykes, M. T.: Projecting the future
- distribution of European potential natural vegetation zones with a generalized, tree species-

- based dynamic vegetation model, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 21, 50-63, doi:10.1111/j.1466-
- 727 8238.2010.00613.x, 2012.
- Jiang, Y., Zhuang, Q., Schaphoff, S., Sitch, S., Sokolov, A., Kicklighter, D., and Melillo, J.:
- 729 Uncertainty analysis of vegetation distribution in the northern high latitudes during the 21st
- century with a dynamic vegetation model, Ecology and Evolution, 2, 593-614,
- 731 doi:10.1002/ece3.85, 2012.
- 732 Kasurinen, V., Alfredsen, K., Kolari, P., Mammarella, I., Alekseychik, P., Rinne, J., Vesala,
- T., Bernier, P., Boike, J., Langer, M., Belelli Marchesini, L., van Huissteden, K., Dolman, H.,
- 734 Sachs, T., Ohta, T., Varlagin, A., Rocha, A., Arain, A., Oechel, W., Lund, M., Grelle, A.,
- 735 Lindroth, A., Black, A., Aurela, M., Laurila, T., Lohila, A. and Berninger, F.: Latent heat
- exchange in the boreal and arctic biomes, Global Change Biology, doi: 10.1111/gcb.12640,
- 737 2014.
- Keuper, F., Parmentier, F. J., Blok, D., Bodegom, P., Dorrepaal, E., Hal, J., Logtestijn, R. P.,
- and Aerts, R.: Tundra in the rain: differential vegetation responses to three years of
- 740 experimentally doubled summer precipitation in siberian shrub and swedish bog tundra,
- 741 Ambio, 41, 269-280, doi:10.1007/s13280-012-0305-2, 2012.
- 742 Kimball, J. S., Jones, L. A., Zhang, K., Heinsch, F. A., McDonald, K. C., and Oechel, W. C.:
- A satellite approach to estimate land-atmosphere CO2 exchange for Boreal and Arctic biomes
- virg MODIS and AMSR-E, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 47, 569–587,
- 745 doi:10.1109/TGRS.2008.2003248, 2009.
- 746 Koenigk, T., Brodeau, L., Graversen, R., Karlsson, J., Svensson, G., Tjernström, M., Willén,
- 747 U., and Wyser, K.: Arctic climate change in 21st century CMIP5 simulations with EC-Earth,
- 748 Clim. Dynam., 40, 2719-2743, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1505-y, 2013.
- 749 Koca, D., Smith, B., Sykes M. T.: Modelling Regional Climate Change Effects On Potential
- 750 Natural Ecosystems in Sweden, Climatic Change, 78, 381-406, doi:10.1007/s10584-005-
- 751 9030-1, 2006.
- 752 Koven, C. D., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner, G., and Tarnocai, C.:
- 753 On the formation of high-latitude soil carbon stocks: Effects of cryoturbation and insulation
- by organic matter in a land surface model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L21501,
- 755 doi:10.1029/2009GL040150, 2009.

- Koven, C. D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P., Khvorostyanov, D.,
- 757 Krinner, G., and Tarnocai, C.: Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global
- 758 warming, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 108, 14769–14774, 2011.
- 759 Kueppers, L. M., Snyder, M. A., Sloan L. C., Zavaleta, E. S., and Fulfrost. B.: Modelled
- regional climate change and California endemic oak ranges, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 102 (45),
- 761 16281-16286, 2005.
- 762 Loranty, M. M., Berner, L. T., Goetz, S. J., Jin, Y., and Randerson, J. T.: Vegetation controls
- 763 on northern high latitude snow-albedo feedback: observations and CMIP5 model predictions,
- 764 Glob. Change Biol., 20, 594-606, doi:10.1111/gcb.12391, 2014.
- 765 Loveland, T. R., Reed B. C., Brown J. F., Ohlen D. O., Zhu Z., Yang L., and Merchant J. W.:
- 766 Development of a global land cover characteristics database and IGBP DISCover from 1 km
- AVHRR data, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 21, 6-7, 1303-1330,
- 768 doi:10.1080/014311600210191, 2000.
- 769 Lawrence, D. M., and Swenson S. C.: Permafrost response to increasing Arctic shrub
- abundance depends on the relative influence of shrubs on local soil cooling versus large-scale
- climate warming, Environ. Res. Lett., 6, 045504, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/045504, 2011.
- 772 MacDougall, A. H., Avis C. A., and Weaver A. J.: Significant contribution to climate
- warming from the permafrost carbon feedback, Nat. Geosci., 5, 719–721,
- 774 doi:10.1038/ngeo1573, 2012.
- 775 Matthes, H., Rinke, A., Miller, P., Kuhry, P., Dethloff, K., and Wolf, A.: Sensitivity of high-
- resolution Arctic regional climate model projections to different implementations of land
- surface processes, Climatic Change, 111, 197-214, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0138-1, 2011.
- 778 McGuire, A. D., Hayes, D. J., Kicklighter, D. W., Manizza, M., Zhuang, Q., Chen, M.,
- Follows, M. J., Gurney, K. R., McClelland, J.W., Melillo, J.M., Peterson, B. J., and Prinn, R.:
- An analysis of the carbon balance of the Arctic Basin from 1997 to 2006, Tellus B, 62, 455–
- 781 474, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2010.00497.x, 2010.
- 782 McGuire, A. D., Christensen, T. R., Hayes, D., Heroult, A., Euskirchen, E., Yi, Y., Kimball,
- 783 J. S., Koven, C., Lafleur, P., Miller, P. A., Oechel, W., Peylin, P., and Williams, M.: An
- assessment of the carbon balance of arctic tundra: comparisons among observations, process
- models, and atmospheric inversions, Biogeosciences, 9, 3185-3204, doi:10.5194/bg-9-3185-
- 786 2012, 2012.

- 787 Miller, P. A., and Smith, B.: Modelling Tundra Vegetation Response to Recent Arctic
- 788 Warming, Ambio, 41, 281-291, doi:10.1007/s13280-012-0306-1, 2012.
- 789 Mitchell, T. D., and Jones, P. D.: An improved method of constructing a database of monthly
- resolution grids, International Journal of
- 791 Climatology, 25, 693-712, doi:10.1002/joc.1181, 2005.
- Monson, R. K., Lipson, D. L., Burns, S. P., Turnipseed, A. A., Delany, A. C., Williams M.
- 793 W., Schmidt S. K.: Winter forest soil respiration controlled by climate and microbial
- 794 community composition, Nature, 439, 711-714, doi:10.1038/nature04555, 2006.
- 795 Morales, P., Sykes, M. T., Prentice, I. C., Smith, P., Smith, B., Bugmann, H., Zierl, B.,
- 796 Friedlingstein, P., Viovy, N., Sabat é, S., Sánchez, A., Pla, E., Gracia, C. A., Sitch, S., Arneth,
- A. and Ogee, J.: Comparing and evaluating process-based ecosystem model predictions of
- carbon and water fluxes in major European forest biomes, Global Change Biology, 11: 2211–
- 799 2233, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01036.x, 2005.
- 800 Morales, P., Hickler, T., Rowell, D. P., Smith, B. and Sykes, M. T.: Changes in European
- 801 ecosystem productivity and carbon balance driven by regional climate model output, Global
 802 Change Biology, 13, 108–122, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01289.x, 2007.
- 803 Moss, R. H., Edmonds, J. A., Hibbard, K. A., Manning, M. R., Rose, S. K., van Vuuren, D.
- 804 P., Carter, T. R., Emori, S., Kainuma, M., Kram, T., Meehl, G. A., Mitchell, J. F. B.,
- 805 Nakicenovic, N., Riahi, K., Smith, S. J., Stouffer, R. J., Thomson, A. M., Weyant, J. P., and
- 806 Wilbanks, T. J.: The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment,
- 807 Nature, 463, 747-756, doi:10.1038/nature08823, 2010.
- 808 Olson, R. J., Scurlock, J. M. O., Prince, S. D., Zheng, D. L., and Johnson, K. R (eds.).: NPP
- 809 Multi-Biome: NPP and Driver Data for Ecosystem Model Data Intercomparison, R2. Data set.
- 810 Available on-line [http://daac.ornl.gov] from Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed
- 811 Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA, doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/615, 2013a.
- 812 Olson, R. J., Scurlock, J. M. O., Prince, S. D., Zheng, D. L., and Johnson, K. R (eds.).: NPP
- 813 Multi-Biome: Global Primary Production Data Initiative Products, R2. Data set. Available on-
- 814 line [http://daac.ornl.gov] from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active
- 815 Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA, doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/617, 2013b.

- 816 Oechel, W. C., Hastings, S. J., Vourlrtis, G., Jenkins, M., Riechers, G., and Grulke, N.:
- 817 Recent change of Arctic tundra ecosystems from a net carbon dioxide sink to a source,
- 818 Nature, 361, 520-523, doi:10.1038/361520a0, 1993.
- 819 Pan, Y., Birdsey, R. A., Fang, J., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P. E., Kurz, W. A., Phillips, O. L.,
- 820 Shvidenko, A., Lewis, S. L., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Pacala, S. W.,
- 821 McGuire, A. D., Piao, S., Rautiainen, A., Sitch, S., and Hayes, D.: A Large and Persistent
- 822 Carbon Sink in the World's Forests, Science, 333, 988-993, doi:10.1126/science.1201609,
- 823 2011.
- 824 Peylin, P., Law, R. M., Gurney, K. R., Chevallier, F., Jacobson, A. R., Maki, T., Niwa, Y.,
- 825 Patra, P. K., Peters, W., Rayner, P. J., Rödenbeck, C., van der Laan-Luijkx, I. T., and Zhang,
- 826 X.: Global atmospheric carbon budget: results from an ensemble of atmospheric CO2
- 827 inversions, Biogeosciences, 10, 6699-6720, doi:10.5194/bg-10-6699-2013, 2013.
- 828 Piao, S., Fang, J., Zhou, L., Ciais, P., and Zhu, B.: Variations in satellite-derived phenology in
- 829 China's temperate vegetation, Glob. Change Biol., 12, 672-685, doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 830 2486.2006.01123.x, 2006.
- 831 Poulter, B., Frank, D. C., Hodson, E. L., and Zimmermann, N. E.: Impacts of land cover and
- 832 climate data selection on understanding terrestrial carbon dynamics and the CO₂ airborne
- 833 fraction, Biogeosciences, 8, 2027-2036, doi:10.5194/bg-8-2027-2011, 2011.
- 834 Qian, H., Joseph, R., and Zeng, N.: Enhanced terrestrial carbon uptake in the Northern High
- 835 Latitudes in the 21st century from the Coupled Carbon Cycle Climate Model Intercomparison
- Project model projections, Glob. Change Biol., 16, 641-656, doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 837 2486.2009.01989.x, 2010.
- 838 Quillet, A., Peng, C., and Garneau, M.: Toward dynamic global vegetation models for
- simulating vegetation-climate interactions and feedbacks: recent developments, limitations,
- and future challenges, Environmental Reviews, 18, 333-353, doi:10.1139/A10-016, 2010.
- 841 Ramankutty, N., and Foley, J, A.: ISLSCP II Potential Natural Vegetation Cover. In Hall,
- 842 Forest G., Collatz, G., Meeson, B., Los, S., Brown de Colstoun, E., and Landis D., (eds.).:
- 843 ISLSCP Initiative II Collection. Data set. Available on-li ne [http://daac.ornl.gov/] from Oak
- 844 Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA,
- 845 doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/961, 2010.

- 846 Rietkerk, M., Brovkin, V., van Bodegom, P. M., Claussen, M., Dekker, S. C., Dijkstra, H. A.,
- 847 Goryachkin, S. V., Kabat, P., van Nes, E. H., Neutel, A.-M., Nicholson, S. E., Nobre, C.,
- 848 Petoukhov, V., Provenzale, A., Scheffer, M., and Seneviratne, S. I.: Local ecosystem
- 849 feedbacks and critical transitions in the climate, Ecological Complexity, 8, 223-228,
- doi:10.1016/j.ecocom.2011.03.001, 2011.
- 851 Ruckstuhl, K. E., Johnson, E., and Miyanishi, K.: Introduction. The boreal forest and global
- change, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of Biological Sciences, 363, 2245–
- 853 2249, doi:10.1098/rstb.2007.2196, 2008.
- 854 Rummukainen, M.: State-of-the-art with regional climate models, Wiley Interdisciplinary
- 855 Reviews: Climate Change, 1, 82-96, doi:10.1002/wcc.8, 2010.
- 856 Samuelsson, P., Gollvik, S., and Ullerstig, A.: The land-surface scheme of the Rossby Centre
- regional atmospheric climate model (RCA3), Reports Meteorol. Climatol., 12, 38, 2006.
- 858 Samuelsson, P., Jones, C. G., WillÉN, U., Ullerstig, A., Gollvik, S., Hansson, U. L. F.,
- Jansson, C., KjellstrÖM, E., Nikulin, G., and Wyser, K.: The Rossby Centre Regional Climate
- 860 model RCA3: model description and performance, Tellus A, 63, 4-23, doi:10.1111/j.1600861 0870.2010.00478.x, 2011.
- 862 Seneviratne, S. I., Corti, T., Davin, E. L., Hirschi, M., Jaeger, E. B., Lehner, I., Orlowsky, B.,
- and Teuling, A. J.: Investigating soil moisture–climate interactions in a changing climate: A
- 864 review, Earth-Science Reviews, 99, 125-161, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2010.02.004, 2010.
- 865 Schuur, E. A. G., Abbott, B. W., Bowden, W. B., Brovkin, V., Camill, P., Canadell, J. G.,
- 866 Chanton, J. P., Chapin III, F. S., Christensen, T. R., Ciais, P., Crosby, B. T., Czimczik, C. I.,
- 867 Grosse, G., Harden, J., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Jastrow, J. D., Jones, J. B., Kleinen, T.,
- 868 Koven, C. D., Krinner, G., Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D. M., McGuire, A. D., Natali, S. M.,
- 869 O'Donnell, J. A., Ping, C. L., Riley, W. J., Rinke, A., Romanovsky, V. E., Sannel, A. B. K.,
- 870 Schädel, C., Schaefer, K., Sky, J., Subin, Z. M., Tarnocai, C., Turetsky, M. R., Waldrop, M.
- 871 P., Walter Anthony, K. M., Wickland, K. P., Wilson, C. J., and Zimov, S. A.: Expert
- assessment of vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change, Climatic Change, 119,
- 873 359–374, 2013.
- 874 Shuman, J. K., Shugart, H. H., and O'Halloran, T. L.: Sensitivity of Siberian larch forests to
- 875 climate change, Glob. Change Biol., 17, 2370–84, 2011.

- 876 Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J. O.,
- 877 Levis, S., Lucht, W., Sykes, M. T., Thonicke, K., and Venevsky, S.: Evaluation of ecosystem
- 878 dynamics, plant geography and terrestrial carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global
- 879 vegetation model, Glob. Change Biol., doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00569.x, 2003.
- 880 Sitch, S., McGuire, A. D., Kimball, J., Gedney, N., Gamon, J., Engstrom, R., Wolf, A.,
- 881 Zhuang, Q., Clein, J., and McDonald, K. C.: Assessing the carbon balance of circumpolar
- arctic tundra using remote sensing and process modeling, Ecol. Appl., 17, 213-234, 2007.
- 883 Sitch, S., Huntingford, C., Gedney, N., Levy, P. E., Lomas, M., Piao, S. L., Betts, R., Ciais,
- 884 P., Cox, P., Friedlingstein, P., Jones, C. D., Prentice, I. C. and Woodward, F. I.: Evaluation of
- the terrestrial carbon cycle, future plant geography and climate carbon cycle feedbacks using
- five dynamic global vegetation models (DGVMs), Glob. Change Biol., 14,
- 887 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01626.x, 2008.
- 888 Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., and Sykes, M. T.: Representation of vegetation dynamics in the
- 889 modelling of terrestrial ecosystems: comparing two contrasting approaches within European
- 890 climate space, Global Ecol. Biogeogr., 10, 621-637, doi:10.1046/j.1466-822X.2001.t01-1-
- 891 00256.x, 2001.
- 892 Smith, B., Samuelsson, P., Wramneby, A., and Rummukainen, M.: A model of the coupled
- 893 dynamics of climate, vegetation and terrestrial ecosystem biogeochemistry for regional
- applications, Tellus: Series A, 63, 87-106, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0870.2010.00477.x, 2011.
- 895 Smith, B., Wårlind, D., Arneth, A., Hickler, T., Leadley, P., Siltberg, J., and Zaehle, S.:
- Implications of incorporating N cycling and N limitations on primary production in an
 individual-based dynamic vegetation model, Biogeosciences, 11, 2027-2054, 2014.
- 898 Swann, A. L., Fung, I. Y., Levis, S., Bonan, G., and Doney, S.: Changes in Arctic vegetation
- induce high-latitude warming through the greenhouse effect. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107,
- 900 1295–1300, doi:10.1073/pnas.0913846107, 2010.
- 901 Tape, K. E. N., Sturm, M., and Racine, C.: The evidence for shrub expansion in Northern
- Alaska and the Pan-Arctic, Glob. Change Biol., 12, 686-702, doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 903 2486.2006.01128.x, 2006.
- 904 Valentini, R., Matteucci, G., Dolman, A. J., Schulze, E. D., Rebmann, C., Moors, E. J.,
- 905 Granier, A., Gross, P., Jensen, N. O., Pilegaard, K., Lindroth, A., Grelle, A., Bernhofer, C.,
- 906 Grunwald, T., Aubinet, M., Ceulemans, R., Kowalski, A. S., Vesala, T., Rannik, U.,

- 907 Berbigier, P., Loustau, D., Gumundsson, J., Thorgeirsson, H., Ibrom, A., Morgenstern, K.,
- 908 Clement, R., Moncrieff, J., Montagnani, L., Minerbi, S., and Jarvis, P. G.: Respiration as the
- 909 main determinant of carbon balance in European forests, Nature, 404, 861-865,
- 910 doi:10.1038/35009084, 2000.
- 911 Wania, R., Ross, I., and Prentice, I. C.: Integrating peatlands and permafrost into a dynamic
- 912 global vegetation model: I. Evaluation and sensitivity of physical land surface processes,
- 913 Global Biogeochem. Cy., 23, GB3014, doi:10.1029/2008GB003412, 2009a.
- 914 Wania, R., Ross, I., and Prentice, I. C.: Integrating peatlands and permafrost into a dynamic
- 915 global vegetation model: II. Evaluation and sensitivity of vegetation and carbon cycle
- 916 processes, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 23, GB015, doi:10.1029/2008GB003413, 2009b.
- 917 Wania, R., Ross, I., and Prentice, I. C.: Implementation and evaluation of a new methane
- 918 model within a dynamic global vegetation model: LPJ-WHyMe v1.3.1, Geosci. Model Dev.,
- 919 3, 565–584, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-565-2010, 2010.
- 920 Wårlind, D., Smith, B., Hickler, T., and Arneth, A.: Nitrogen feedbacks increase future
- 921 terrestrial ecosystem carbon uptake in an individual-based dynamic vegetation model,
- 922 Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 151-185, 2014.
- 923 Willmott, C. J., and Matsuura, K. 1995.: Smart interpolation of annually averaged air
- temperature in the United States, J. Appl. Met., 34, 2577–2586
- 925 Wolf, A., Callaghan, T., and Larson, K.: Future changes in vegetation and ecosystem function
- 926 of the Barents Region, Climatic Change, 87, 51-73, doi:10.1007/s10584-007-9342-4, 2008.
- 927 Wramneby, A., Smith, B., and Samuelsson, P.: Hot spots of vegetation-climate feedbacks
- under future greenhouse forcing in Europe, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D21119,
- 929 doi:10.1029/2010jd014307, 2010.
- 930 Zaehle, S. and Dalmonech, D.: Carbon-nitrogen interactions on land at global scales:
- 931 understanding in modelling climate biosphere feedbacks, Current Opinions in Environmental
- 932 Sustainability, 3, 311-320, 2011.
- 233 Zaehle, S., Medlyn, B. E., De Kauwe, M. G., Walker, A. P., Dietze, M. C., Hickler, T., Luo,
- 934 Y., Wang, Y.-P., El-Masri, B., Thornton, P., Jain, A., Wang, S., Warlind, D., Weng, E.,
- 935 Parton, W., Iversen, C. M., Gallet-Budynek, A., McCarthy, H., Finzi, A., Hanson, P. J.,
- 936 Prentice, I. C., Oren, R., and Norby, R. J.: Evaluation of 11 terrestrial carbon-nitrogen cycle

937	models against observations from two temperate Free-Air CO2 Enrichment studies, New
938	Phytologist, doi:10.1111/nph.12697, 2014.
939	Zhang, W., Miller, P. A., Smith, B., Wania, R., Koenigk, T., and Döscher, R.: Tundra
940	shrubification and tree-line advance amplify arctic climate warming: results from an
941	individual-based dynamic vegetation model, Environ. Res. Lett., 8, 034023,
942	doi:10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034023, 2013a.
943	Zhang, Q., Wang, Y. P., Matear R. J., Pitman, A. J., and Dai Y. J.: Nitrogenand phosphorous
944	limitations significantly reduce future allowable CO2 emissions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41,
945	doi:10.1002/2013GL058352, 2013b.
946	Zheng, D. L., Prince, S. D., and Wright, R., NPP Multi-Biome: Gridded Estimates for
947	Selected Regions Worldwide, 1954-1998, R3. Data set. Available on-line
948	[http://daac.ornl.gov] from the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active Archive
949	Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA, doi:10.3334/ORNLDAAC/614, 2013.
950	
951	
952	
953	
954	
955	
956	
957	
958	
959	
960	
961	
962	Figures and Tables
963	Table 1. Mean carbon budget of Arctic tundra simulated by process-based models, inversion

models and RCA-GUESS for the period 1990-2006. 964

Model		The slope of the				
Model	NPP	RH	NEP	FIRE	NEE	linear trend (-)
LPJ-GUESS WhyMe	-130	106	-24	1	-23	-0.53
ORCHIDEE	-361	330	-31	-	-31	-0.63
TEM6	-107	97	-10	8	-2	0.25
TCF	-181	183	-2	-	-2	-0.62
The ensemble mean of inversion models	-	-	-	-	-13	0.2
RCA-GUESS	-266	233	-33	15	-18	-0.35
RCA-GUESS nf. ¹	-268	234	-34	15	-19	0.24

965 ¹nf.: the non-feedback run.

966 **Table 2.** Carbon budget of the Arctic tundra and CORDEX-Arctic domains simulated by

967 RCA-GUESS for the period 1990-2100.

Domains	Accumulative C flux (Gt C)					C stores (Gt C)		
Domanis	NPP	RH	NEP	FIRE	NEE	VegC	LittC	SoilC
Arctic tundra fb. ¹	-302.1	257.7	-44.4	8.8	-35.6	33.9	0.5	1.2
Arctic tundra nf. ²	-288.9	251.8	-37.1	8.6	-28.5	29.6	-1.3	0.2
Arctic tundra diff. ³	-13.2	5.9	-7.3	0.2	-7.1	4.3	1.8	1
CORDEX-Arctic fb.	-541.2	474.5	-66.7	28	-38.7	46.9	-1.8	-6.4
CORDEX-Arctic nf.	-525.3	467.1	-58.2	28	-30.2	42.1	-4	-7.9
CORDEX-Arctic diff.	-15.9	7.4	-8.5	0	-8.5	4.8	2.2	1.5

¹fb.: the feedback run; ²nf.: the non-feedback run; ³diff.: the feedback run minus the non-feedback run. Note:
 negative values in C flux mean C uptake, but negative values in C stores mean absolute reductions of C stores.

970



973 **Fig. 1.** Diagram of climate-vegetation interaction feedback loops that comprise positive

974 responses (green), negative responses (red) arising from vegetation change and consequent

975 biogeophysical feedbacks to climate (blue).



Fig. 2. The mean seasonal 2m temperature anomalies (°C) relative to the CRU and

- 989 WILLMOT datasets for the period 1961-1990. (a, e) Winter, December to February (DJF). (b,
- 990 f) Spring, March to May (MAM). (c, g) Summer, June to August (JJA). (d, h) Autumn,
- 991 September to November (SON).





Fig. 3. The total seasonal precipitation anomalies (mm) relative to the CRU and WILLMOT
datasets for the period 1961-1990. (a, e) Winter, December to February (DJF). (b, f) Spring,
March to May (MAM). (c, g) Summer, June to August (JJA). (d, h) Autumn, September to
November (SON).





1020 Fig. 4. The dominant potential natural vegetation (PNV) distribution comparison for the

- 1021 recent period. (a) The tile-weighted PNV simulated by RCA-GUESS for the period 1961-
- 1022 1990. (b) The validation map derived from the ISLSCP II Potential Natural Vegetation Cover
- 1023 dataset (Ramankutty and Foley, 2010) and the Kaplan PNV map (Kaplan et al., 2003). (c)
- 1024 Percentage difference (simulated minus validation map) between the number of grid cells
- each aggregated vegetation class occupies in each latitude band, from 52-80 N.
- 1026
- 1027





Fig. 5. (a) The spatial distribution of the simulated mean NPP flux for the period 1961-1990
and the NPP flux validation datasets (EMDI (Olson et al., 2013a), BAZ (Denissenko et al.,

1031 2013), GPPDI_1 (Olson et al., 2013b), GPPDI_2 (Zheng et al., 2013), BOREAL (Gower et

al., 2012)). (b) The inter-annual variation of Arctic tundra NEE anomalies from the RCA-

1033 GUESS feedback and non-feedback runs, the uncertainty ranges of process-based models
1034 (LPJ-GUESS WHyMe, TEM6, TCF, Orchidee) and inversion models for the period 1990-

- 1035 2009.



Fig. 6. The effects of biogeophysical feedbacks on 2m temperature (°C) and total
precipitation (mm), albedo (-) and latent heat flux (W m⁻²) on a seasonal basis, averaged from
2071-2100. (a, e, i, m) Winter, December to February (DJF). (b, f, j, n) Spring, Mar to May
(MAM). (c, g, k, o) Summer, June to August (JJA). (d, h, l, p) Autumn, September to
November (SON).





Fig. 7. The seasonal cycle of (a) temperature anomalies (°C) and (b) precipitation anomalies
(mm) arising from biogeophysical feedbacks for the period 2071-2100. Each boxplot shows
the mean (red line), one SD range (black shading) and maximum and minimum values
(whiskers) for monthly climate variables.



1072

1073 **Fig. 8.** Normalized phenology index anomalies (%) $C_p = (LAI_{eg} - LAI_d) / (LAI_{eg} + LAI_d)$

1074 (Wramneby et al., 2010) quantified by the shift in the relative abundance between evergreen

1075 (eg) and deciduous (d) PFTs due to (a) climate change from the period 1961-1990 to the

1076 period 2071-2100; (b) the effects of biogeophysical feedbacks for the period 2071-2100.

1077 Normalized physiognomy index anomalies (%) $C_p = (LAI_w - LAI_h) / (LAI_w + LAI_h)$

1078 quantified by the shift in the relative abundance between woody (w) and herbaceous (h) PFTs

1079 due to (c) climate change from the period 1961-1990 to the period 2071-2100; (d) the effects

1080 of biogeophysical feedbacks for the period 2071-2100.

1081



Fig. 9. (a) The inter-annual variation of NEE flux (Gt C yr-1) in both RCA-GUESS feedback and non-feedback runs from 1990 to 2100 for Arctic tundra. (nofb: the non-feedback run; negative value: carbon sink; the vertical dash and dash-dot lines denote the year with the largest NEE over the whole period). (b) Distribution of the number of grid cells (total: 9032) for the shift of the peak C uptake rate (g C m⁻² yr⁻¹) in both boreal forests and Arctic tundra (positive: increase; negative: decrease) . (c) Distribution of the number of grid cells for the shift of the year (yr) with the peak C uptake rate in both boreal forests and Arctic tundra (positive: delay; negative: advance).