Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 6909–6943, 2014 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/11/6909/2014/ doi:10.5194/bgd-11-6909-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Biogeosciences (BG). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in BG if available.

The fractionation of nitrogen and oxygen isotopes in macroalgae during the assimilation of nitrate

P. K. Swart¹, S. Evans^{1,*}, T. Capo², and M. A. Altabet³

¹Division of Marine Geology and Geophysics, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Miami, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami Fl 33149, USA ²Division of Marine Biology and Fisheries, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Miami, 4600 Rickenbacker Causeway, Miami Fl 33149, USA ³School for Marine Science and Technology, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, New Bedford, MA 02744, USA

now at: Department of Geosciences, Boise State University, 1910 University Drive, Boise, Idaho 83725-1535, USA

Received: 27 March 2014 - Accepted: 30 March 2014 - Published: 16 May 2014

Correspondence to: P. K. Swart (pswart@rsmas.miami.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

In order to determine and understand the stable isotope fractionation of ¹⁸O and ¹⁵N manifested during assimilation of NO₃⁻ in marine macro-benthic algae, two species (Ulva sp. and Agardhiella sp.) have been grown in a wide range of NO₃⁻ concentrations $(2-500 \,\mu\text{M})$. Two types of experiments were performed. The first was one in which the 5 concentration of the NO₃⁻ was allowed to drift downward as it was assimilated by the algae, between 24 h replacements of media. These experiments proceeded for periods of between seven and ten days. A second set of experiments maintained the NO₂ concentration at a low steady state value by means of a syringe pump. The effective fractionation during the assimilation of the NO_3^- was determined by measuring the δ^{15} N of both the (i) new algal growth, and (ii) residual NO₃⁻ in the free drift experiments after 0, 12, 24, and 48 h. Fitting models to these data show that the fractionation during assimilation is dependent upon the concentration of NO₃⁻ and is effectively zero at concentrations of less than 1 µM. The change in the fractionation with respect to concentration is the greatest at lower concentrations (1-10 µM). The fractionation de-15 termined using the δ^{15} N of the NO₃⁻ or the solid algal material provided statistically the same result. Therefore, at typical marine concentrations of NO₃, fractionation during assimilation can probably be considered to be negligible. Although the δ^{18} O and δ^{15} N of NO₃⁻ in the residual solution were correlated, the slope of the relationship varied with NO₃⁻ concentration, with slopes of greater than unity at low concentration. These 20 results suggest shifts in the dominant fractionation mechanism between 1 and 10 µM NO₃⁻. At typical marine concentrations of NO₃⁻, fractionation during assimilation can be considered to be negligible. However, at higher concentrations, fractionation during assimilation will lead to both δ^{15} N values for algal biomass lower than the NO₃⁻ source,

but also ¹⁵N enrichments in the residual NO₃⁻. 25



Introduction 1

Nitrogen availability is an important factor in controlling algal growth in marine environments, representing a limiting nutrient throughout much of the global ocean (Dugdale and Wilkerson, 1986). In many studies, information on nitrogen sources and its cycling has been obtained by examining the ratio of the stable isotopes of nitrogen (¹⁴N and 15 N) as well as oxygen (18 O and 16 O) in the case of NO₃⁻. Isotope ratio is expressed using the conventional "delta" notation (δ^{15} N or δ^{18} O) in parts per thousand (‰) deviation from the atmospheric N₂ standard or, in the case of oxygen, from standard mean ocean water (SMOW). During cycling of NO₃⁻, isotope fractionation can take place, as quantified by the associated fractionation factor (α). For algal NO₃⁻ uptake, α can be calculated using Eq. (1). The term epsilon (ε) is also commonly used and is related to α by Eq. (2).

$$\alpha = \frac{\frac{15}{14 \text{ algae}}}{\frac{15}{14 \text{ solution}}}$$
$$\varepsilon = (\alpha - 1) \times 1000$$

15

The term ε can refer to fractionation of either ¹⁵N (¹⁵ ε) or ¹⁸O (¹⁸ ε) relative to the more abundant isotope of the element. In some of these processes, such as the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen, no significant isotopic fractionation takes place ($^{15}\varepsilon \sim 0.0\%$) (Hoering and Ford, 1960) and consequently the δ^{15} N of N₂ fixing organism is similar to that of atmospheric N_2 (0 ‰ by convention). In other processes, such as the denitrifica-20 tion of NO₃^{-, 15} ε values reach values higher than 20 ‰ (Barford et al., 1999; Delwiche and Steyn, 1970; Granger et al., 2006; Miyake and Wada, 1971), leading to large increases in the δ^{15} N of the residual reservoir of NO₃. While the δ^{15} N of microalgae has been studied in order to understand its use as a paleoceanographic proxy (Altabet, 1989; Altabet et al., 1991; Haug et al., 1998; Sigman et al., 2003), variations in the δ^{15} N of macroalgae have been widely used as possible indicators of anthropogenic

iscussion Pape

SCUSSION F

Discussion Pape

(1)

(2)

influences (Carballeira et al., 2013; Costanzo et al., 2001; Heaton, 1986). Generally speaking, nitrogen derived from sewage is isotopically enriched in ¹⁵N and it has been argued that even modest ¹⁵N enrichments in macroalgae might reflect enhanced input from such sources (Lapointe et al., 2004). Other studies have shown that such enrichments could occur through normal processes including fractionation during assimilation (Lamb et al., 2012; Stokes et al., 2011) and that there are not always simple relationships between the input of anthropogenic wastes and δ^{15} N values (Viana and Bode, 2013).

Studies of isotope fractionation factors for the assimilation of dissolved inorganic nitrogen by marine microalgae have reported a wide range of values. In one study, reported ¹⁵ ε values ranged from 0.7 to 23% for the assimilation of NO₃⁻ by *Pheodactylum tricornutum* (Wada and Hattori, 1978), a marine diatom. A relatively recent study reported ¹⁵ ε values between 2.2 and 6.2% for 12 different marine phytoplankton cultures kept at a NO₃⁻ concentration of 100 μ M (Needoba et al., 2003). Other studies

- ¹⁵ also report wide ranges in ¹⁵ ε values for both NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ for a variety of different microalgae (Horrigan et al., 1990; Lajtha and Michener, 1994; Montoya et al., 1990; Wada and Hattori, 1978). At least part of these large ranges in ¹⁵ ε values probably resulted from variations in experimental conditions and are perhaps artifacts resulting from differences in aeration, light, and nutrient drawdown. In addition, changing nutri-
- ent concentration might be an important controlling parameter and several studies have shown that microalgae show varying fractionation as a function of concentration (Hoch et al., 1992; Pennock et al., 1996; Waser et al., 1998) that is likely due to changes in physiology and perhaps uptake mechanism.

In contrast to microalgae, there have been relatively few studies of ¹⁵N fractionation in macroalgae. Some of these studies have relied on spiking the natural environment with high nitrate and ammonium concentrations (Teichberg et al., 2007), while others used transplant experiments (Deutsch and Voss, 2006). Neither of these investigations reported ¹⁵ ε values for fractionation during the assimilation of NO₃⁻. Another study (Cohen and Fong, 2005) grew the green alga *Enteromorpha intestinalis* under



varying concentrations of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ and, although they did not report values for ¹⁵N fractionation, they concluded that the δ^{15} N of the algae was not dependent upon concentrations of dissolved inorganic nitrogen. Given the possibility of a concentration dependence of ¹⁵N fractionation for NO₃⁻ in microalgae, we revisit here whether such a dependency is found in macroalgae. We have used two different approaches over a range of different concentrations. In the first series of experiments, two species of macroalgae, *Ulva* sp., and *Agardhiella* sp, were grown over a range in nominal NO₃⁻ concentrations of 10, 50, 100, and 500 µM. As the algae within each culture consumed the NO₃⁻ in the solution, the solutions were replaced every 24 h. These were the socalled free drift experiments. In the second set of experiments, NO₃⁻ concentrations

¹⁰ called free drift experiments. In the second set of experiments, NO_3^- concentrations were maintained at a low level (< 2 µM) by continual addition from a syringe pump. Hence these experiments cover the range of NO_3^- concentrations used in most previous experiments (> 100 µM) as well as those seen under natural conditions.

2 Methods

- Samples of the green algae Ulva sp. and the rhodophyte algae Agardhiella sp. were collected from cultures held at the Aplysia Mariculture Laboratory's algal aquaculture facility (University of Miami). These species were maintained in a system of seven, 9000 liter fiberglass tanks supplied with filtered seawater at a rate of ~ 22 Lmin⁻¹. Radiant energy and temperature are monitored constantly and algal growth rates are optimized
- ²⁰ by adjusting nutrient levels weekly. These stocks are kept continually as a food source for other organisms in the facility. In preparation for these experiments the Algal thalli were rinsed with filtered seawater and gently scrubbed to remove surface epiphytes. Prior to experimentation, the macroalgae were maintained within 2L flasks at 26 °C and approximately 100 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for a 14 day acclimation period. During
 ²⁵ the acclimation period, filtered and autoclaved seawater was changed every 2 days,



enriched to 500 μ M N (250 μ M NaNO₃ and 250 μ M NH₄Cl) and 44 μ M KH₂PO₄, with

f/2 medium supplements of B-vitamins (Vitamin B₁₂, Biotin, and Thiamine) and trace metals (Fe, Cu, Mo, Zn, Co, and Mn) (Guillard, 1975). The cultures were continually aerated throughout the incubations.

2.1 Experimental protocol

5 2.1.1 Free drift experiments

measured.

In these experiments the effect of varied nutrient availability on the nitrogen isotopic composition of new algal growth with respect to varied NO₃⁻ concentration was investigated. Nominal concentrations of 10, 50, 100, and 500 µM N (NaNO₃) were supplied in a medium of autoclaved, filtered (0.2 µm cartridge filter) seawater enriched with the same KH_2PO_4 , B-vitamin, and trace metal supplements outlined for the acclimation 10 medium (note that the actual targeted and measured concentrations were slightly different and the values used are reported in Table 1 and 2). Subsamples of Ulva and Agardhiella (0.25–0.5 g wet weight; 2.5–3.0 cm) were taken from acclimation flasks, any visible epiphytes were again removed, and the algae samples were placed in 2L flasks filled with incubation medium. The media was replaced every 24 h at which time 15 each algal sample was rinsed to prevent epiphyte accumulation. The experiments proceeded for a period of 7–9 days. Water samples were collected after each 24 h period and analyzed for NO_3^- and NH_4^+ concentration. At the conclusion of the incubations, final accumulated biomass was weighed and as the new algal growth produced was clearly visible, material which had grown only under the experimental conditions was 20 trimmed off (Fig. 1). This material was dried (40 °C 48 h), then ground with mortar and

pestle for subsequent N isotopic analyses and C/N determination. In order to examine the effect of assimilation on the δ^{15} N of residual NO₃⁻, special experiments were performed in which the same water was kept in the algal cultures for periods of up to 48 h. After 12, 24, and 48 h water samples were taken and the δ^{18} O and δ^{15} N of the NO₃⁻



BGD

11, 6909–6943, 2014

The fractionation of

nitrogen and oxygen

Discussion Paper

2.1.2 Constant NO₃⁻ concentration experiments

At low concentrations of NO₃⁻ (< 10 μ M) the algae rapidly assimilated NO₃⁻ and concentrations in solution decreased to values of less than 3 μ M within a few hours. In order to maintain a consistent low concentration and provide sufficient NO₃⁻ for the algal growth,

 5 NO₃⁻ was continuously added by means of a syringe pump. The rate of addition was initially determined by using the uptake rates calculated from the free drift experiments and then adjusted slightly after the analysis of the NO₃⁻ concentration in the experiment. In these experiments concentrations started at ~ 10 μ M and stabilized at 3 μ M throughout the growth period.

10 2.2 Analytical protocol

2.2.1 Stable isotopes

Algal biomass

The organic carbon and nitrogen content as well as the stable nitrogen (δ^{15} N) and carbon (δ^{13} C) isotopic composition of the algae was determined using a CN analyzer (ANCA, Europa Scientific) interfaced with a continuous-flow isotope-ratio mass spectrometer (CF-IRMS) (20–20, Europa Scientific). Prior to analysis the algae samples were dried and 3–6 mg were placed in tin capsules. Data obtained from the mass spectrometer provides the C/N ratio of the samples in addition to the isotopic content of the organic matter. Samples of the nutrient salts added were analyzed in a similar ²⁰ manner to determine the initial δ^{15} N of the medium. The δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O of the initial NO⁻₃ was also analyzed as dissolved inorganic nitrogen (see below). Internal laboratory standards calibrated to Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) and atmospheric N₂ were analyzed every ten samples and data were corrected relative to the mean of the two nearest standards. External precision is approximately ± 0.2‰ for δ^{15} N and ±0.1 for δ^{13} C. The C/N ratio was calculated by comparing the integrated area of the major



beams (mass 28 for N and mass 44 for C) to standards with known C/N ratios. The external precision for this method is < 0.1 %.

Dissolved inorganic nitrogen

The δ¹⁵N and δ¹⁸O composition of the samples were determined on a GV IsoPrime
with an external automated purge-and-trap system at the University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth, SMAST campus. The NO₃⁻ was converted to N₂O using Cd reduction to NO₂⁻ followed by azide treatment (McIlvin and Altabet, 2005). Data are reported relative to atmospheric N₂ and VSMOW for nitrogen and oxygen, respectively. Each run of NO₃⁻ samples consisted of one operational blank (low nutrient seawater treated with Cd), and

three NO₃⁻ standards, a Cd blank (low nutrient seawater treated with Cd), and three NO₃⁻ standards (USGS 34, 35, and an internal Altabet lab standard), followed by the prepared samples. Three randomly selected samples were also prepared in triplicate to check for method and machine reproducibility. The run ended with three more NO₂⁻ standards, three NO₃⁻ standards, a Cd blank, and an operational blank. Analytical precision measured from multiple determinations on standards was approximately ±0.2% for δ^{15} N and ±0.7% for δ^{18} O (NO₃⁻ only).

Isotopic data produced from each run were scrutinized for standard precision throughout individual runs. Samples were corrected for the small amount (~ 15%) of oxygen exchange that occurs between the sample and water during the conversion to nitrous oxide, fractionation due to oxygen removal, as well as the 1 : 1 addition of azide-N to NO_2^- -N in the formation of N_2O (see McIlvin and Altabet (2005) for an in depth discussion of $\delta^{15}N$ and $\delta^{18}O$ corrections).

2.2.2 Nutrient concentrations

Concentrations of NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻, and NH₄⁺ in the growth solutions were analyzed prior to, during, and after each experiment. Nitrate and nitrite concentrations were determined by diazotization before and after reduction with Cd (Grasshoff, 1976). Ammonium con-

centrations were determined with the indophenol-blue method. Note that the measured concentrations of the NO_3^- were slightly different than initial target concentrations.

3 Results

3.1 Nitrogen isotopes in algal material

5 3.1.1 Free drift experiments

Results from the free drift nutrient experiments from *Ulva* and *Agardhiella* are presented in Table 1. In each of the treatments the δ^{15} N of the new algal growth during each experiment and the residual NO₃⁻ concentrations left in each treatment after the 24 h incubations was determined. The δ^{15} N of the newly grown *Agardhiella* material decreased from 1.8% (14 µM) to 1.6% in the 50 µM treatment, to 0.7% in the 103 µM treatment and finally to -3.0% in the 485 µM experiment. Similar results were found in the experiments using *Ulva* although the δ^{15} N values were all higher (Table 1). For example, in the lowest two NO₃⁻ treatments, the δ^{15} N of the *Ulva* was actually more positive than that of the NO₃⁻ in the growth medium (Table 1).

¹⁵ The C/N ratios and the δ^{13} C values of the algae are included in the Supplement.

3.1.2 Syringe Experiments

The results from all the syringe experiments are listed in Table 4. The δ^{15} N value of *Ulva* and *Agardhiella* exhibited small decreases.



3.2 Isotopic analysis of Dissolved Inorganic Nitrogen

3.2.1 Initial isotopic composition

The mean δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O values of the initial NO₃⁻ were +3.3 ± 0.3 ‰ and +23 ± 0.3 means respectively (*n* = 12). The δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O values in both *Agardhiella* sp. ($r^2 = 0.60$, *n* = 13) and *Ulva* sp. ($r^2 = 0.79$, *n* = 25) were positively correlated to each other. The slopes of the relationships were slightly greater than unity (1.17 and 1.10) (Fig. 2).

3.2.2 Free drift experiments

The data from the free drift experiments are presented in Tables 2 and 3. The trend in the δ^{15} N of the NO₃⁻ mirrored that of the solid algae. As the NO₃⁻ was consumed, the residual NO₃⁻ became isotopically enriched in ¹⁵N. The δ^{18} O of the NO₃⁻ exhibited a slope with respect to δ^{15} N of close to unity in the experiments utilizing 100–500 µM NO₃⁻ and increased to approximately 2 in the lower concentration experiments.

4 Discussion

In order to calculate the fractionation during assimilation, the change in the δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O of the NO₃⁻ and the algal tissue can be modeled using a Rayleigh distillation model. In the case of N, the 15 N/ 14 N of the new algal growth (RA) at time (*t*) is given by Eq. (3), while the 15 N/ 14 N of the residual NO₃⁻ (*R*) at *t* is given by Eq. (4).

$$RAt = Ri \frac{1 - f^{1/\alpha}}{1 - f}$$
$$Rt = Ri f^{\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} - 1\right)}$$

20



In these equations (*f*) represents the fraction of the initial NO₃⁻ remaining, (Ri) the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratio of the initial NO₃⁻, (Rt) and (RAt) the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratio of the NO₃⁻ and new algal growth respectively after a specific time during over which (*f*) has been determined, and (*a*) the fractionation factor. The fractionation factor (¹⁵ ε) can also be calculated using the approach Mariotti et al. (1981) which utilizes a plot of the isotopic composition of the NO₃⁻ with respect to ln *f* or ln (NO₃⁻(*t*)/NO₃⁻(*i*)) as in Eq. (5). In this expression NO₃⁻ *i* refers to the initial concentration of NO₃⁻ and NO₃⁻ *t*, the concentration after a specific time and at which δt is measured.

$$\delta t = \delta i - \varepsilon \ln \left(\frac{NO_3^-(t)}{NO_3^-(t)} \right)$$

¹⁰ In Eq. (4), ε (¹⁵ ε) is the slope of the relationship between δ^{15} Nt and In (NO₃⁻(*t*)/NO₃⁻(*i*)). The term δt = the δ^{15} N of the NO₃⁻ at time (*t*) when the concentration is equal to NO₃⁻ (*t*) and $\delta i = \delta^{15}$ N of the NO₃⁻ at the initial time when the concentration is equal to NO₃⁻ (*i*). In the free drift experiments where the δ^{15} N of the solution was sampled multiple times the δ^{15} N values can be measured at various concentrations ¹⁵ as the NO₃⁻ becomes utilized by the algae and hence values of In(NO₃⁻(*t*)/NO₃⁻(*i*)) calculated. A similar approach is used to calculate ¹⁸ ε using the δ^{18} O data.

An alternative method for calculating the fractionation factor from the measurement of the algal tissue is to plot the change of the δ^{15} N as a function of the expression in Eq. (6).

20
$$X = \frac{\left(\frac{NO_{3}^{-}(t)}{NO_{3}^{-}(i)}\right) \ln\left(\frac{NO_{3}^{-}(t)}{NO_{3}^{-}(i)}\right)}{1 - \left(\frac{NO_{3}^{-}(t)}{NO_{3}^{-}(i)}\right)}$$

Discussion Paper BGD 11, 6909-6943, 2014 The fractionation of nitrogen and oxygen isotopes Discussion Paper P. K. Swart et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper Tables **Figures** Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Discussion** Paper **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

(5)

(6)

As $(NO_3^-(t)/NO_3^-(i))$ tends to 0 (all the NO_3^- is consumed) then the $\delta^{15}N$ of the algae (δA) will tend to equal the $\delta^{15}N$ of the initial NO_3^- . Hence utilizing Eq. (7), the slope of the relationship will be equivalent to $(\alpha - 1) \cdot 1000$ or ε .

 $\delta At = \delta Ai - \varepsilon x$

⁵ In each of the experiments the slope of the line is determined by plotting the initial $\delta^{15}N$ of the NO₃⁻ at a ln(NO₃⁻(*t*)/NO₃⁻(*i*)) value of zero and the measured $\delta^{15}N$ of the algae at the appropriate ln(NO₃⁻(*t*)/NO₃⁻(*i*)) value corresponding to the decrease in the concentration of NO₃⁻ at the end of 24 h.

4.1 Modeling

¹⁰ As NO₃⁻ removed from the medium was balanced by algal assimilation, isotopic fractionation produced corresponding changes in both the δ^{15} N of the residual NO₃⁻ and the δ^{15} N of new algal growth. These data are reported in Table 1 and the fractionation factor is estimated using Eqs. (3) and (4) as reported in Table 5.

4.1.1 Ulva

¹⁵ The ¹⁵ ε values calculated from the δ^{15} N of the algal growth and the NO₃⁻ show a decrease towards zero with decreasing concentrations of NO₃⁻ (Table 5, Fig. 3). At the higher concentrations the ¹⁵ ε estimates (~ 3‰) obtained from the algal growth and residual NO₃⁻ δ^{15} N are statistically the same, while at the lower initial NO₃⁻ concentrations, values of ¹⁵ ε obtained from the algal δ^{15} N are significantly lower (Fig. 4). If the observation that fractionation varies as a function of the concentration of NO₃⁻ is correct, then Eq. (3) can only yield a mean estimate of ¹⁵ ε as during the experiment the concentration of NO₃⁻ changed considerably as it is assimilated. In fact the data from the NO₃⁻ free drift experiment (Table 2) is best fitted by a quadratic equation confirming a change in fractionation with changing concentration (Fig. 5).



(7)

Using a Chi-squared test, the improvement in the fit between a linear and quadratic model can be shown to be statistically significant at the 99% level in both the 60 and 103 µM experiments. The first differential of the quadratic equation therefore provides an estimate of ε at any value of $(NO_3^-(t)/NO_3^-(t))$. Using the data from the experiments which were initiated at concentrations of 14, 60, and $103 \,\mu M \, NO_3^-$ and calculating the 5 mean $^{15}\varepsilon$ value derived from each experiment with respect to concentration rather than $NO_3^{-}(t)/NO_3^{-}(i)$, a robust estimate of ε with respect to changing NO_3^{-} can be obtained (Fig. 6). Data from the 500 µM experiment were not used as a result of the small change in concentration of NO₃⁻ (and as a consequence a small change in (NO₃⁻(t)/NO₃⁻(t))) which occurred during the experiment. Although the estimates of ${}^{15}\varepsilon$ obtained from the quadratic equation predict a value of less than zero at concentrations lower than \sim 1 μ M, none of the experiments were actually performed at these low concentrations and therefore this observation will need to be confirmed. In addition the one syringe experiment performed with Ulva at a constant concentration of ~ 3μ M yielded a $^{15}\varepsilon$ value of 1 ‰, higher than the values estimated from the NO_3^- drawdown and from the solid free drift experiments. Hence such data was inconsistent with a $^{15}\varepsilon$ value of below zero. Data on the algae tissue from the lowest concentrations of NO₃⁻ used in the free drift experiments also provides an estimate of ${}^{15}\varepsilon$ less than zero. In both of these experiments the δ^{15} N of the measured algae increase was greater than that in the

²⁰ initial NO₃⁻ (5.1 and 4.0% in the 14 μ M and 60 μ M treatments respectively compared to the initial NO₃⁻ value of 3.3%) (Table 1), changes which are outside the analytical error. Regardless of whether the fractionation factor falls below zero or not, the rate of change of ¹⁵ ε appears to be greatest at the lowest concentration, i.e. between 1 and 10 μ M (Fig. 6).

25 4.1.2 Agardhiella

Based on both the algal and $NO_3^-\delta^{15}N$ data, this species also exhibited a strong dependence between the fractionation and NO_3^- concentration. Values of ${}^{15}\varepsilon$ were close 6921



to zero, or slightly negative, at low concentrations (< 10 μ M) and increased to 6‰ in the 500 μ M experiment (Fig. 7). As a result of the fact that at most only three samples were taken for measurement of the δ^{15} N of the NO₃⁻ during the free drift experiments, it was not considered valuable to fit anything more than a straight line to the data and therefore a more refine of equation relating the change in concentration could not be calculated as was the case with data from *Ulva*. As in the case of *Ulva*, there was a suggestion that ¹⁵ ε values might fall below zero at low concentrations, although the δ^{15} N of the solid material did not increase as in the case of *Ulva* sp.

4.2 Concentration dependence of the fractionation factor

¹⁰ In microalgae and bacteria the uptake and fractionation of NO₃⁻ in algae has been proposed to be a three-step process (Granger et al., 2004; Hoch et al., 1992; Karsh et al., 2012, 2014; Mariotti et al., 1982; Shearer et al., 1991). First, a transport step across the cellular membrane (ε_{in}), second a nitrate reductase step (ε_{NR}), and third a flux out of the cell (ε_{out}). The overall fractionation manifested by the organism, expressed ε_{org} , ¹⁵ is related to the influx, efflux and nitrate reductase fractionation by Eq. (8) in which γ is the relative proportion of efflux relative to influx (Karsh et al., 2014).

$$\varepsilon_{\rm org} = \varepsilon_{\rm in} + \gamma (\varepsilon_{\rm NR} + \varepsilon_{\rm out})$$

The estimated fractionation associated with these processes in a marine diatom (*Thalassiosira weissflogii*) are; ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{in} = 2 \%$, ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{out} = 1.2 \%$, and ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{NR} = 26.6 \%$ (Karsh et al., 2012, 2014). As the majority of the fractionation is associated with the NR step, the degree to which this is expressed in the external medium, and also in the organism, is controlled by the amount of efflux relative to influx (γ). Accepting the possibility that there may be differences between microalgae and the organisms used in this study, we have nevertheless used this model as a basis with which to explain the observations of a concentration dependence on ${}^{15}\varepsilon_{org}$ made in this paper. In this regard it is helpful

to examine the work of Needoba et al. (2004) who measured the $\delta^{15}N$ of the internal



(8)

and external NO₃⁻ pools. They determined that the maximum difference in δ^{15} N occurred in situations in which ε_{org} was at a minimum, thus indicating that the efflux from the cell was small. Conversely when fractionation was high, the difference between the δ^{15} N of the external and internal pools was at a minimum and efflux maximal. As

- ⁵ in both cases, the greatest potential for isotope fractionation is at the NR step (Karsh et al., 2012; Ledgard et al., 1985), the principal explanation for dependence on external concentration must relate to the ratio of NO_3^- uptake to efflux from the cell. At lower external concentrations, NO_3^- is limiting and the $\delta^{15}N$ of the internal pool is highly elevated. However, most of the NO_3^- is consumed and efflux is minimal and, although
- the same amount of fractionation at the NR step takes place, this isotopic signal is not communicated to the external environment. At high concentration the reverse is true, NO₃⁻ is not limiting and the fractionation experienced at the NR step is translated to the external environment.

4.3 Oxygen isotopic composition of NO₃⁻

The measurement of the δ^{18} O of nitrate is a relatively new technique which has helped 15 to understand both the source of NO_3^- and its utilization (Granger et al., 2004, 2010; Leichter et al., 2007; Wankel et al., 2006, 2009). The data presented here suggests that the fractionation of 18 O is also dependent upon the concentration of NO₃⁻ in the external environment (Tables 2–4; Fig. 8). Generally the fractionation of δ^{18} O and δ^{15} N are related in a 1:1 ratio (Granger et al., 2004). In this study, however, the slope of all 20 the data seem to have a value of greater than the ideal 1:1 relationship (Fig. 2). It was argued by Granger et al. (2004) that this 1:1 relationship was consistent with fractionation of N and O during NR, whereas fractionation during diffusion would give a 2:1 relationship. In more recent work it was shown that there are different degrees of fractionation for N compared to O during uptake and efflux, which would cause the 25 relationship between ${}^{18}\varepsilon$ and ${}^{15}\varepsilon$ to rise significantly above unity, when fractionation is low (Karsh et al., 2014). Such data are in agreement with our study in that the



¹⁸ε : ¹⁵ε ratio is closest to unity in the highest concentration (~ 500 μM) experiments and increases with lower initial concentrations of NO₃⁻ reaching a value of ~ 2 at 10 μM. This 2 : 1 relationship corresponds to the lowest amount of fractionation observed (ε ~ 0‰). Using the rationale suggested by Granger et al. (2004), this pattern is consistent with a change in fractionation from a process predominantly controlled by NR, to one in which fractionation is controlled by the relative difference between the fractionation of O and N during uptake (1.4) and efflux (2.3) (Karsh et al., 2014). If the results of these experiments are correct then the relationship between δ^{18} O and δ^{15} N also should not be linear, but rather a quadratic, similar to that observed between the δ^{15} N and concentration discussed earlier. However, as a result of the larger error on the δ^{18} O compared to δ^{15} N (0.7 vs. 0.2‰) this pattern was not evident in the data collected in these experiments.

4.4 Biogeochemical implications

The observation of concentration dependence upon ¹⁵N fractionation during denitrification has been previously made for microbes (Kritee et al., 2012). Both the results of that 15 study, and the data presented here which suggest that there is a relationship between fractionation and concentration during assimilation, have implications in the application to the use of nitrogen isotopes for detection of N sources. It is clear that under typical N limiting conditions, both micro and macroalgae have the same isotopic composition as the ambient nitrate. However, when NO₃⁻ concentrations are elevated, algae fraction-20 ate the external NO₃ pool, forming biomass which is relative isotopically more negative than the ambient NO_3^- . The residual NO_3^- effluxed from the cell consequently becomes isotopically more positive along the pathway of utilization regardless of the δ^{15} N of the original NO₃. Consider a hypothetical coastal estuary in which there is significant input of NO₃⁻ from artificial fertilizers applied to adjacent agricultural areas. The NO₃⁻ 25 in the fertilizer would probably have an initial δ^{15} N value close to zero, as commercial fertilizers are produced by the Haber-Bosch process which does not appreciably



fractionate ¹⁵N from its atmospheric value. As a result of the high NO₃⁻ concentrations, the fractionation during assimilation by algae would be greater than zero producing initially algal material with δ^{15} N values more negative than the original NO₃⁻. As the NO₃⁻ is consumed, the δ^{15} N of the residual NO₃⁻ would become more positive. Eventually isotopically positive algal material would be formed from waters which originally had a δ^{15} N close to 0 ‰. It might be incorrectly assumed that the later formed algal material was affected by nitrogen derived from an isotopically positive source, when in fact the enrichment was produced as a result of fractionation during assimilation.

5 Conclusions

5

- 10 1. There is a concentration dependence upon the fractionation of ¹⁵N and ¹⁸O exerted during macroalgal assimilation of NO_3^- . This dependence varies according to species, but approaches zero at low concentrations in both the species studied here. This concentration dependence essentially means that in most open marine environments, where NO_3^- concentrations are less than 2 µM, there is minimal fractionation during assimilation. In environments with higher concentrations of NO_3^- , the fractionation is greater than zero leading to enrichment in the ¹⁵N of the residual NO_3^- regardless of the $\delta^{15}N$ of the original source of that NO_3^- . The observation of the concentration dependence of ¹⁵ ε helps to explain the wide range of values reported in the literature where experiments were carried over at a wide range of NO_3^- concentrations.
 - 2. The change in the ¹⁵ ε shows the largest rate of variation at low NO₃⁻ concentrations and there is a suggestion that ¹⁵ ε may fall below zero. This might imply that organic material formed under very low NO₃⁻ concentrations could manifest a reverse isotopic effect.



- 3. The ¹⁸ ε also shows a dependence on concentration and is related to ¹⁵ ε in 1 : 1 manner at higher concentrations (> 100 μ M) of NO₃⁻. At lower concentrations the slope of ¹⁸ ε / ¹⁵ ε approaches values of 2 : 1.
- 4. The change in the fractionation with respect to concentration and the changing slope of the relationship between N and O supports a model in which there is a change in the origin of the fractionation from one in which the control is exerted by the NR step to one in which the control is exerted by difference between fractionation exerted in uptake and efflux.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/11/6909/2014/ bgd-11-6909-2014-supplement.pdf.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank the staff of the Aplysia Facility and the Stable Isotope Laboratory at the University of Miami. Funding for this project was provided by EPA grants to PKS. We would like to thank Quinn Devlin for assistance in the laboratory and helpful discussions. Additional funding for this project was provided by the Stable Isotope Laboratory at the University of Miami.

References

5

10

- Altabet, M. A.: A time-series study of the vertical structure of nitrogen and particle dynamics in the Sargasso Sea, Limnol. Oceangr., 34, 1185–1201, 1989.
- ²⁰ Altabet, M. A., Deuser, W. G., Honjo, S., and Stienen, C.: Seasonal and depth-related changes in the source of sinking particles in the North-Atlantic, Nature, 354, 136–139, 1991.
 - Barford, C. C., Montoya, J. P., Altabet, M. A., and Mitchell, R.: Steady-state nitrogen isotope effects of N₂ and N₂O production in *Paracoccus denitrificans*, Appl. Environ. Microb., 65, 989–994, 1999.



- Carballeira, C., Viana, I. G., and Carballeira, A.: δ¹⁵N values of macroalgae as an indicator of the potential presence of waste disposal from land-based marine fish farms, J. Appl. Phycol., 25, 97–107, 2013.
- Cohen, R. A. and Fong, P.: Experimental evidence supports the use of delta N-15 content
- of the opportunistic green macroalga Enteromorpha intestinalis (Chlorophyta) to determine nitrogen sources to estuaries, J. Phycol., 41, 287–293, 2005.
 - Costanzo, S. D., O' Donohue, M. J., Dennison, W. C., Loneragan, N. R., and Thomas, M.: A new approach for detecting and mapping sewage impacts, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 42, 149–156, 2001. Delwiche, C. C. and Stevn, P. L.: Nitrogen isotope fractionation in soils and microbial reactions,
- ¹⁰ Environ. Sci. Technol., 4, 929–935, 1970.

- Deutsch, B. and Voss, M.: Anthropogenic nitrogen input traced by means of delta N-15 values in macroalgae: results from in-situ incubation experiments, Sci. Total Environ., 366, 799–808, 2006.
 - Dugdale, R. C. and Wilkerson, F. P.: The use of ¹⁵N to measure nitrogen uptake in eutrophic oceans: experimental considerations. Limnol. Oceangr., 31, 673–689, 1986.
- Granger, J., Sigman, D. M., Needoba, J. A., and Harrison, P. J.: Coupled nitrogen and oxygen isotope fractionation of nitrate during assimilation by cultures of marine phytoplankton, Limnol. Oceanogr, 49, 1763–1773, 2004.

Granger, J., Sigman, D. M., Prokopenko, M. G., Lehmann, M. F., and Tortell, P. D.: A method

- for nitrite removal in nitrate N and O isotope analyses, Limnol. Oceanogr.-Meth., 4, 205–212, 2006.
 - Granger, J., Sigman, D. M., Rohde, M. M., Maldonado, M. T., and Tortell, P. D.: N and O isotope effects during nitrate assimilation by unicellular prokaryotic and eukaryotic plankton cultures, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 74, 1030–1040, 2010.
- ²⁵ Grasshoff, K.: Methods of Seawater Analysis, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, 1976.
- Guillard, R. R. L.: Culture of phytoplankton for feeding marine invertebrates, in: Culture of Marine Invertebrate Animals, edited by: Smith, W. L. and Chanley, M. H., Plenum Press, New York, USA, 29–30, 1975.

Haug, G. H., Pedersen, T. F., Sigman, D. M., Calvert, S. E., Nielsen, B., and Peterson, L. C.:

- ³⁰ Glacial/interglacial variations in production and nitrogen fixation in the Cariaco Basin during the last 580 kyrs, Paleoceanography, 13, 427–432, 1998.
 - Heaton, T. H.: Isotopic studies of nitrogen pollution in the hydrosphere and atmosphere: a review, Chem. Geol., 59, 87–102, 1986.



- Hoch, M. P., Fogel, M. L., and Kirchman, D. L.: Isotope fractionation associated with ammonium uptake by a marine bacterium, Limnol. Oceangr., 37, 1447–1459, 1992.
- Hoering, T. C. and Ford, H.: The isotope effect in the fixation of nitrogen by Azotobacter, Am. J. Chem. Soc., 82, 376-378, 1960.
- 5 Horrigan, S. G., Montoya, J. P., Nevins, J. L., and McCarthy, J. J.: Natural isotopic composition of dissolved inorganic nitrogen in the Chesapeake Bay, Estuar. Coast. Shelf S., 30, 393-410, 1990.
 - Karsh, K. L., Granger, J., Kritee, K., and Sigman, D. M.: Eukaryotic assimilatory nitrate reductase fractionates N and O isotopes with a ratio near unity, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 5727-5735, 2012.
- 10

25

Karsh, K. L., Trull, T. W., Sigman, D. M., Thompson, P. A., and Granger, J.: The contributions of nitrate uptake and efflux to isotope fractionation during algal nitrate assimilation, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 132, 391-412, 2014.

Kritee, K., Sigman, D. M., Granger, J., Ward, B. B., Jayakumar, A., and Deutsch, C.: Reduced

- isotope fractionation by denitrification under conditions relevant to the ocean, Geochim, Cos-15 mochim. Ac., 92, 243-259, 2012.
 - Laitha, K. and Michener, R. H.: Stable Isotopes in Ecology and Environmental Science, Blackwell, London, 1994.

Lamb, K., Swart, P. K., and Altabet, M. A.: Nitrogen isotopic systematics in the Florida Reef Tract, B. Mar. Sci., 88, 119–146, 2012.

Lapointe, B. E., Barile, P. J., and Matzie, W. R.: Anthropogenic nutrient enrichment of seagrass and coral reef communities in the Lower Florida Keys: discrimination of local versus regional nitrogen sources, J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol., 308, 23-58, 2004.

Ledgard, S. F., Woo, K. C., and Bergersen, F. J.: Isotopic fractionation during reduction of nitrate and nitrite by extracts of spinach leaves, Aust. J. Plant Physiol., 12, 631-640, 1985.

Leichter, J. J., Paytan, A., Wankel, S., and Hanson, K.: Nitrogen and oxygen isotopic signatures of subsurface nitrate seaward of the Florida Keys reef tract, Limnol. Oceangr., 52, 1258-1267.2007.

Mariotti, A., Germon, J. C., Hubert, P., Kaiser, P., Letolle, R., Tardieux, A., and Tardieux, P.:

Experimental-determination of nitrogen kinetic isotope fractionation: some principles-30 Illustration for the denitrfication and nitrification processes, Plant Soil, 62, 413–430, 1981.



- Mariotti, A., Mariotti, F., Champigny, M. L., Amarger, N., and Moyse, A.: Nitrogen isotope fractionation asscoated with nitrate reductase activity and uptake of NO₃⁻ by Pearl Millet, Plant Physiol., 69, 880–884, 1982.
- McIlvin, M. R. and Altabet, M. A.: Chemical conversion of nitrate and nitrite to nitrous oxide for
- nitrogen and oxygen isotopic analysis in freshwater and seawater, Anal. Chem., 77, 5589– 5595, 2005.
 - Miyake, Y. and Wada, E.: The isotope effect on the nitrogen in biochemical, oxidation-reduction reactions, Records of Oceanographic Works in Japan, 11, 1–6, 1971.
 - Montoya, J. P., Horrigan, S. G., and McCarthy, J. J.: Natural abundance of N-15 in particulate nitrogen and zooplankton in the Chesapeake Bay, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 65, 35–61, 1990.
- nitrogen and zooplankton in the Chesapeake Bay, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 65, 35–61, 1990.
 Needoba, J. A., Waser, N. A., Harrison, P. J., and Calvert, S. E.: Nitrogen isotope fractionation in 12 species of marine phytoplankton during growth on nitrate, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 255, 81–91, 2003.

Needoba, J. A., Sigman, D. M., and Harrison, P. J.: The mechanism of isotope fractionation during algal nitrate assimilation as illuminated by the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N of intracellular nitrate, J. Phycol., 40, 517–522, 2004.

- Pennock, J. R., Velinsky, D. J., Ludlam, J. M., Sharp, J. H., and Fogel, M. L.: Isotopic fractionation of ammonium and nitrate during uptake by Skeletonema costatum: implications for delta N-15 dynamics under bloom conditions, Limnol. Oceangr., 41, 451–459, 1996.
- Shearer, G., Schneider, J. D., and Kohl, D. H.: Separating efflux and influx componenets of net nitrate uptake by synechococcus-R2 under steady state conditions, J. Gen. Microbiol., 137, 1179–1184, 1991.
 - Sigman, D. M., Lehman, S. J., and Oppo, D. W.: Evaluating mechanisms of nutrient depletion and C-13 enrichment in the intermediate-depth Atlantic during the last ice age, Paleocean., 18. doi:10.1020/2002PA000918.2002
- ²⁵ 18, doi:10.1029/2002PA000818, 2003.

30

- Stokes, M. D., Leichter, J. J., Wing, S., and Frew, R.: Temperature variability and algal isotopic heterogeneity on a Floridian coral reef, Mar. Ecol., 32, 364–379, 2011.
- Teichberg, M., Heffner, L. R., Fox, S., and Valiela, I.: Nitrate reductase and glutamine synthetase activity, internal N pools, and growth of *Ulva lactuca*: responses to long and short-term N supply, Mar. Biol., 151, 1249–1259, 2007.
- Viana, I. G. and Bode, A.: Stable nitrogen isotopes in coastal macroalgae: geographic and anthropogenic variability, Sci. Total Environ., 443, 887–895, 2013.



- Wada, E. and Hattori, A.: Nitrogen isotope effects in the assimilation of inorganic nitrogenous compounds by marine diatoms, Geomicrobiol. J., 1, 85–101, 1978.
- Wankel, S. D., Kendall, C., Francis, C. A., and Paytan, A.: Nitrogen sources and cycling in the San Francisco Bay Estuary: a nitrate dual isotopic composition approach, Limnol. Oceangr., 51, 1654–1664, 2006
- ⁵ 51, 1654–1664, 2006.

- Wankel, S. D., Kendall, C., and Paytan, A.: Using nitrate dual isotopic composition (δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O) as a tool for exploring sources and cycling of nitrate in an estuarine system: Elkhorn Slough, California, J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 114, 1–15, 2009.
- Waser, N. A., Yin, K. D., Yu, Z. M., Tada, K., Harrison, P. J., Turpin, D. H., and Calvert, S. E.: Nitrogen isotope fractionation during nitrate, ammonium and urea uptake by marine diatoms and coccolithophores under various conditions of N availability, Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser., 169, 29–41, 1998.



Table 1. The δ^{15} N of algal tissue grown under varying NO₃⁻ concentrations. Each analysis represents the mean of two separate analyses of the same material. *f* is the fractional NO₃⁻ drawdown prior to media renewal.

Species	Initial Concentration (µM)	Final ∂ ¹⁵ N ‰	σ	f
Ulva	14	5.1	0.04	0.26
	60	4.0	0.40	0.51
	103	2.9	0.38	0.78
	485	1.2	0.51	0.99
Agardhiella	14	1.8	0.11	0.21
	55	1.6	0.21	0.24
	104	0.7	0.19	0.68
	514	-3.0	0.40	0.96



Time	NO_3^-	Final δ^{15} N ‰	Final ∂ ¹⁸ O ‰	f
0 12 24	14.4 8.7	3.3 4.5	21.8 22.4	1.00
24	3.8	4.8	24.9	0.26
48	0.4	Lost	Lost	
0	60.3	3.4	23.0	1.00
12	46.1	4.1	24.2	0.76
24	30.7	4.9	25.5	0.51
48	1.0	9.5	30.1	0.02
0	103.1	3.2	23.6	1.00
12	89.9	3.5	24.1	0.87
24	80.7	4.1	24.4	0.78
48	36.2	6.3	27.6	0.35
0	485.3	3.2	23.2	1.00
12	466.7	3.2	23.5	0.96
24	480.8	2.7	23.1	0.99
48	434.6	3.4	23.7	0.90

Table 2. Changes in the δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O of NO₃⁻ during the *Ulva* experiments. Each analysis represents the mean of four replicates. *f* is the fractional NO₃⁻ drawdown prior to media renewal.



Table 3. Changes in the δ^{15} N and δ^{18} O of NO₃ during the *Agardhiella* experiments. Each analysis represents the mean of four replicates. *f* is the fractional NO₃⁻ drawdown prior to media renewal.

Time (h)	NO ₃ (µm)	Final ∂ ¹⁵ N ‰	Final δ^{18} O	f
0	14	3.5	17.3	1.00
12	3	6.3	23.1	0.22
24	3	6.4	22.6	0.21
0	55	2.9	21.9	1.00
24	13	6.3	25.6	0.24
0	104	3.1	23.3	1.00
24	71	5.1	25.1	0.68
0	514	2.7	23.1	1.00
24	495	3.1	23.3	0.96
48	439	4.1	25.0	0.85



 Table 4. N isotopic composition of Syringe experiment algae.

	NO ₃ (μm)	δ ¹⁵ Ν ‰	σ	n
Initial		3.3	0.3	16
Ulva	3	1.3	0.3	7
Agardhiella	7	3.4	0.0	2
Agardhiella	10	2.9	0.0	2



Table 5. Calculated fractionation $({}^{15}\varepsilon$ and ${}^{18}\varepsilon)$ for experiments: 1 = Syringe experiment, 2 = Free drift.

#	Species	NO_3^-	15ε	¹⁵ ε (DIN)	¹⁸ ε (DIN)
		(µM)	(eend) ‰	(BIII) ‰	(2.1.t) ‰
1	Ulva	2.6	2.1		
2	Ulva	14	-3.2	0.8	1.5
2	Ulva	60	-0.2	1.5	3.6
2	Ulva	103	0.3	2.9	3.8
2	Ulva	485	2.0	3.5	5.6
1	Agardhiella	7	0		
1	Agardhiella	10	0.4		
2	Agardhiella	14	3.2	1.9	Nm
2	Agardhiella	55	3.4	2.4	2.6
2	Agardhiella	104	3.0	5.1	4.8
2	Agardhiella	514	6.3	8.3	12.9





Fig. 1. (A) Pictures showing samples of *Agardhiella* sp. Grown in different concentrations of NO_3^- . From left to right, pictures show the initial individual, and specimens grown in solutions containing nominally ambient, $10 \,\mu$ M, $50 \,\mu$ M, $100 \,\mu$ M, and $500 \,\mu$ M NO_3^- . All experiments in which NO_3^- was added showed approximately similar growth rates, but reduced uptake of N at lower N concentrations. **(B)** At the end of the experiment the ends of the algae were trimmed and analyzed for their δ^{15} N, δ^{13} C, and C/N ratio. The new growth could be easily distinguished by comparison with the size of the original fragment **(A)** and the change in colour.





Interactive Discussion

Fig. 2. The relationship between δ^{18} O and δ^{15} N during the free drift experiments for the two species of algae studied. Error bars represent mean analytical error for the various analyses.









Fig. 4. Estimate of fractionation factor (α) and ϵ during the assimilation of NO₃⁻ by Ulva sp. based on the δ^{15} N analysis of the algal material (solid) and the DIN (data from Fig. 3).





experiment has been omitted as a result of the small change in the f value.



Fig. 6. Average fractionation factor (α) and ϵ calculated using the mean values estimated from the first differential of the quadratic fits shown in Fig. 5. Error bars represent 1 s.d. of the mean values.

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

