

Responses to Editor comment (13 Aug 2014):

Editor Initial Decision: Publish subject to minor revisions (Editor review) (13 Aug 2014) by Kaiyu Guan
Comments to the Author:

Your responses to the editor's comment are marked by ">>".

Comments to the Author:

Both reviewers and I agree that this work is interesting and fills the gap for understanding climate controls on dry-/semi-dryland phenology in Australia. The authors have done a good job in addressing two reviewers' comments. I have a few minor points to add.

>>We appreciate the positive feedback and suggestions by the editor (Dr. Kaiyu Guan).

1. The abstract is too long and there are some repetitions. Please shorten it and make it more concise.

>> Thank you. We shorted the abstract by ~20% by removing repetition.

The Abstract now reads: "Land surface phenological cycles of vegetation greening and browning are influenced by variability in climatic forcing. Quantitative spatial information on phenological cycles and their variability is important for agricultural applications, wildfire fuel accumulation, land management, land surface modeling, and climate change studies. Most phenology studies have focused on temperature-driven Northern Hemisphere systems, where phenology shows annually recurring patterns. Yet, precipitation-driven non-annual phenology of arid and semi-arid systems (i.e., drylands) received much less attention, despite the fact that they cover more than 30% of the global land surface. Here we focused on Australia, a continent with one of the most variable rainfall climates in the world and vast areas of dryland systems, where a detailed phenological investigation and a characterization the relationship between phenology and climate variability are missing. To fill this knowledge gap, we developed an algorithm to characterize phenological cycles and analyzed geographic and climate-driven variability in phenology 2000-2013, which included extreme drought and wet years. We linked derived phenological metrics with rainfall and the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI). We conducted a continent-wide investigation and a more detailed investigation over the Murray-Darling Basin (MDB), the primary agricultural area and largest river catchment of Australia. Results showed high inter- and intra-annual variability in phenological cycles across Australia. The peak of phenological cycles occurred not only during the austral summer but at any time of the year, and their timing varied by more than a month in the interior of the continent. The magnitude of phenological cycle peak and the integrated greenness were most significantly correlated with monthly SOI within the preceding 12 months. Correlation patterns occurred primarily over North Eastern Australia and within the MDB predominantly over natural land cover and particularly in floodplain and wetland areas. Integrated greenness of the phenological cycles (surrogate of vegetation productivity) showed positive anomalies of more than two standard deviations over most of Eastern Australia in 2009-2010, which coincided with the transition between the El Niño induced decadal droughts to flooding caused by La Niña. "

2. Regarding the dual seasonal cycle, I recommend the following paper to reconsider how to address this issue. This paper used the Fourier spectra to effectively identify how many cycles for the broad regions of Africa. As the #2 reviewer has suggested, I also think to include a spatial map to show the single/double growing season would be helpful in this case.

Guan, K., Medvigy, D., Wood, E. F., Caylor, K. K., Li, S. and Jeong S.J. (2014) "Deriving vegetation

phenological time and trajectory information over Africa using SEVIRI daily LAI", IEEE transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 53(2),1113-1130.

>>Thank you. We added a figure show the single/double growing season and cite the important reference as per the editor's suggestion (new Fig. 10). We now state at the end of Discussion subsection 4.1 "A phenological characterization of Australia that accommodates non-annual phenological cycles" that "While the results presented in this work focus on the phenological metrics of the first cycles of each year, a second cycle was not detected over most of Australia. For example two peaks during a calendar year occurred over only 25% of the Australian land surface. Within the 14 years of study, two peaks per year occurred no more than three times across 96% of Australia. Areas with two peaks per year occurred mostly on cropping or pasture land uses (Fig. 10). An alternative method to identify the number of cycles for broad regions can be found in Guan et al., (2014).

3. I agree with the #2 reviewer's comments regarding the lack of analysis for phenological timing and how climate controls it. The following paper used the similar dataset to address these issues, and authors may consider to cite it.

Guan, K., Wood, E. F., Caylor, K. K., Medvigy, D., Sheffield, J., Pan, M., Kimball, J., Xu, X and Jones, M.O. (Accepted) "Terrestrial hydrological control on vegetation phenology of African savannas and woodlands", Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences.

In my perspective, "phenology" is both magnitude and phase (or timing), and sometimes more emphasizes the latter. The authors have done a good job for magnitude (which is more related to "vegetation dynamics" used broadly), but the manuscript is relatively weak in the phase part (though I see your analysis for "peak timing"). I don't require the authors to do extra analysis, but clearly state where your work contributes and what is needed for future work is necessary. So I suggest the authors to put more related statements in the introduction and future work section.

>>Thank you. We added statements to the introduction and future work sections related to the contribution of our work and opportunity for future analysis highlighting that we focused in more detail on magnitude response of phenological cycles to climate variability and that future work could look more at the relationship between climate and phenological cycle timing. In this context we now cite the reference suggested by the editor in both the introduction and future work section.

Relevant passages in the Introduction now read:

"Parameters describing phenological cycles (hereafter phenological metrics) can be used to quantify the influence of climate change and variability on phenological magnitude (Ma et al., 2013;Brown et al., 2010) and timing (Guan et al., accepted)."

"However, studies quantifying the relationship between phenological magnitude and ENSO-related climate variability as shown for example for Africa (Brown et al., 2010;Philippon et al., 2014) are missing. Here we analyzed phenological magnitude responses to climate variability through a period of time from 2000 to 2013."

We now specified our second objective: "2) investigate the relationships between the derived phenological magnitude and rainfall, as well as between phenological magnitude and the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI; Trenberth and Caron (2000)), a proxy of ENSO, across the entire continent and in more detail for the MDB."

In the Future work section we now state that "Another opportunities for future work are the reanalysis of trends and trend breaks in vegetation phenological magnitude dynamics and climatic drivers

(Donohue et al., 2009; de Jong et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014a) and the relationship between vegetation phenological timing and climate control (Guan et al., accepted).”

4. A concise conclusion section is suggested.

>> Thank you. We added a concise conclusion section as per the editor’s suggestion. The conclusion now reads: “We characterized vegetation phenological cycles that we derived from time series of earth observing satellite images from 2000 to 2013, across Australia, the driest inhabited continent. The precipitation-driven, non-annual phenology of Australia’s drylands has not been previously studied in detail and the relationship between phenology and climatic drivers including rainfall and SOI has not been previously quantified.

We found the phenology of Australia’s drylands to be highly variable across the time series with shifts in phenological cycle peak timing of more than one month in the interior of the continent. Cycle integrated greenness, surrogate of vegetation productivity, shifted from negative to positive anomalies over most of Eastern Australia with the transition between the El Niño induced decadal droughts to flooding caused by La Niña. We related phenological magnitude response variability to the variability in rainfall and SOI across the continent and at higher spatial resolution for the MDB, the main agricultural basin of Australia. We found the most widespread correlation patterns with single-month as opposed to multi-month aggregated drivers, suggesting that rainfall and SOI at a specific point in time is of primary importance in driving phenology. Correlation patterns between phenological magnitude response with rainfall and SOI occurred primarily over North Eastern Australia and within the MDB predominantly over natural land cover and particularly in floodplain and wetland areas, highlighting the sensitivity of these ecosystems to ENSO-related climatic variability.

A more in-depth analysis of the relationship between climatic drivers and phenological magnitude response across multiple temporal scales and including temperature and radiation drivers and driver combinations should be investigated in future research. Further, the analysis of the relationship between phenological timing and climatic drivers should also be investigated.

Our approach could be valuable for other areas of rainfall-driven system and thus contributes to our understanding of non-annual phenological dynamics globally. The quantified spatial-temporal variability in phenology across Australia in response to climate variability presented here advances research of dryland phenology and provides important information for land management and climate change studies. The phenological metrics derived represent different stages of vegetation growth. They have been made freely available in contribution to the Australian Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network (TERN) and can be downloaded from the AusCover TERN Sydney node providing opportunities for a range of applications. The phenological metrics can be further used for characterizing the effect of anthropogenic disturbances on phenology and unraveling this effect from the influence of climatic forcing components and large scale atmospheric circulation indices.”

1 Land surface phenological response to
2 decadal climate variability across Australia
3 using satellite remote sensing

4 Keywords: Vegetation dynamics; semi-arid ecosystems; drylands; climate variability; vegetation
5 response to climate variability

6

7 M. Broich^{1*}, A. Huete¹, M. G. Tulbure², X. Ma¹, Q. Xin⁴, M. Paget³, N. Restrepo-Coupe¹, K. Davies¹, R.
8 Devadas¹, and A. Held³

9

10 [1] Plant Functional Biology and Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology, Sydney, PO Box
11 123, Broadway, NSW 2007, Australia.

12 now at: [*] {Centre of Ecosystem Science, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences,
13 University of New South Wales, Kensington NSW 2052, Australia.}

14 [2] Centre of Ecosystem Science, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University
15 of New South Wales, Kensington NSW 2052, Australia.

16 [3] CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric Research, Pye Laboratory, Acton, ACT, 2600, Australia.

17 [4] Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modeling, Center for Earth System
18 Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China.

19

20 Correspondence to: M. Broich (mark.broich@unsw.edu)

21

1 Abstract

2 Land surface phenological cycles of vegetation greening and browning, ~~recorded by earth-observing~~
3 ~~satellites,~~ are influenced by variability in climatic forcing. Quantitative spatial information on
4 phenological cycles and their variability is important for agricultural applications, wildfire fuel
5 accumulation, land management, land surface modeling, and climate change studies. Most
6 phenology studies have focused on temperature-driven Northern Hemisphere systems, where
7 phenology shows annually recurring patterns. Yet, precipitation-driven non-annual phenology of arid
8 and semi-arid systems (i.e., drylands) received much less attention, despite the fact that they cover
9 more than 30% of the global land surface. Here we focused on Australia, ~~the driest inhabited a~~
10 continent with one of the most variable rainfall climates in the world and vast areas of dryland
11 systems, ~~where a detailed phenological investigation and a characterization of the relationship~~
12 ~~between phenology and climate variability are missing.~~
13 ~~Detailed studies that investigate phenological cycles via satellite image time series and their~~
14 ~~response to climate variability across the entire continent designed specifically for Australian dryland~~
15 ~~conditions are missing.~~ To fill this knowledge gap ~~and to advance phenological research,~~ we
16 developed an algorithm to characterize phenological cycles and analyzed geographic and climate-
17 driven variability in phenology ~~across Australia 2000-2013, which included extreme drought and wet~~
18 ~~years.~~ We linked derived phenological metrics with rainfall and the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI).
19 ~~We performed our analysis on Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) data from the MODerate Resolution~~
20 ~~Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) from 2000 to 2013, which included extreme drought and wet~~
21 ~~years.~~ We conducted a continent-wide investigation ~~of the link between phenology and climate~~
22 ~~variability~~ and a more detailed investigation over the Murray-Darling Basin (MDB), the primary
23 agricultural area and largest river catchment of Australia.

1 Results showed high inter- and intra-annual variability in phenological cycles across Australia. The
2 peak of phenological cycles occurred not only during the austral summer but at any time of the year,
3 and their timing varied by more than a month in the interior of the continent. The magnitude of
4 phenological cycle peak and the integrated greenness were most significantly correlated with
5 monthly SOI within the preceding 12 months. Correlation patterns occurred primarily over North
6 Eastern Australia and within the MDB predominantly over natural land cover and particularly in
7 floodplain and wetland areas. Integrated greenness of the phenological cycles (surrogate of
8 vegetation productivity) showed positive anomalies of more than two standard deviations over most
9 of Eastern Australia in 2009-2010, which coincided with the transition between the El Niño induced
10 decadal droughts to flooding caused by La Niña. ~~The quantified spatial-temporal variability in
11 phenology across Australia in response to climate variability presented here provides important
12 information for land management and climate change studies and applications.~~

13

14 1 Introduction

15 Vegetation phenology refers to the response of vegetation to inter- and intra-annual variation of
16 climate, specifically irradiance, temperature and water (Myneni et al., 1997;White et al., 1997;Zhang
17 et al., 2003). Vegetation phenology is a useful indicator in the study of the response of ecosystems
18 to climate variability (Zhang et al., 2012;Richardson et al., 2013), and an important parameter for
19 land surface, climate and biogeochemical models that quantify the exchange of water, energy and
20 gases between vegetation and the atmosphere (Pitman, 2003;Eklundh and Jönsson, 2010). A variety
21 of applications that require the characterization of vegetation phenology include crop yield
22 quantification, wildfire fuel accumulation, vegetation condition, ecosystem response to climate
23 variability and climate change and ecosystem resilience (Schwartz, 2003;Liang and Schwartz,
24 2009;Peñuelas et al., 2009). Phenology of the vegetated land surface (land surface phenology,

1 hereafter phenology) is “the seasonal pattern of variation in vegetated land surfaces observed from
2 remote sensing” (Friedl et al., 2006).

3 In temperature-limited systems, phenological cycles occur on an annual basis, starting in spring and
4 ending in autumn. Existing algorithms aiming to characterize phenological cycles from remotely
5 sensed spectral vegetation ‘greenness’ indices perform well for ecosystems in temperature-driven
6 mid- and high-latitudes (Eklundh and Jönsson, 2010;Ganguly et al., 2010). Yet, in ecosystems where
7 rainfall is limited and highly variable such as semi-arid and arid systems (i.e., drylands; United
8 Nations(2011)), phenological cycles may be irregular in their length, timing, amplitude and
9 reoccurrence interval, occur at any time of the year or not occur at all in a given year (Brown and de
10 Beurs, 2008;Ma et al., 2013;Walker et al., 2014;Bradley and Mustard, 2007).

11 Despite the fact that drylands cover over 30% of the global land surface and occur on every
12 continent (United Nations, 2011), their rainfall-driven phenology that features non-annual cycles has
13 not been well characterized. Here we focused on Australia, a continent where drylands cover more
14 than 80% of the land surface. Recent reports by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
15 highlighted not only the importance of quantifying vegetation phenology in general (IPCC, 2013,
16 2007;Schwartz, 2013) but pointed to a lack of phenological studies for Australia and New Zealand
17 (Keatley et al., 2013;IPCC, 2001, 2007). We developed an algorithm to characterize phenological
18 cycles and analyzed the phenology of Australia, as an example of a rainfall-driven dryland systems.
19 Phenology at the landscape to continental scale is typically derived using time-series of remotely
20 sensed vegetation greenness indices such as the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and
21 the enhanced vegetation index (EVI) (de Beurs and Henebry, 2008). Several studies have used NDVI
22 time series recorded by the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) to investigate long-
23 term phenological trends induced by climate change (Moulin et al., 1997;Zhang et al., 2012). More
24 recent studies used EVI time series recorded by the MODerate-resolution Imaging
25 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) that has better geometric correction and increased resolution
26 compared to AVHRR (Tan et al., 2011). Compared with NDVI, EVI is less sensitive to residual

1 atmospheric contamination and soil background variations, and has a larger dynamic range of
2 sensitivity to vegetation greenness (Huete et al., 2002). EVI time series measure change in an
3 integrated property commonly referred to as 'greenness' has been found to be correlated with sub
4 pixel chlorophyll content and leaf area index (Huete et al., 2014).

5

6 Parameters describing phenological cycles (hereafter phenological metrics) can be used to quantify
7 the influence of climate change and variability on phenological magnitude (Ma et al., 2013; Brown
8 et al., 2010) and timing (Guan et al., accepted). Australia has one of the most variable climates in the
9 world, subject to high inter-annual rainfall variability due to the influence of El Niño Southern
10 Oscillation (ENSO) (Nicholls, 1991; Nicholls et al., 1997). Previous studies investigated the
11 relationship between vegetation index time series and rainfall globally, and the correlation with soil
12 moisture for Australia (Chen et al., 2014a; Andela et al., 2013). However, studies quantifying the
13 relationship between phenological magnitude and ENSO-related climate variability as shown for
14 example for Africa (Brown et al., 2010; Philippon et al., 2014) are missing. Here we analyzed
15 phenological magnitude responses to climate variability through a period of time from 2000 to
16 2013. This period encompassed the Australian Millennium Drought from 2001-2009 (van Dijk et al.,
17 2013) and the 2010-11 La Niña associated flooding (Heberger, 2011; Australian Bureau of
18 Meteorology, 2014a) and focused on one of the most affected areas, the MDB in South East of
19 Australia (van Dijk et al., 2013; Kirby et al., 2012; Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014b).
20 Particular emphasis was given to the MDB the catchment of Australia's largest river system and
21 associated ecologically valuable floodplain and wetland ecosystems and the primary agricultural
22 area of the continent (Connell, 2007).

23

24 The objectives of this study were to: 1) characterize the inter- and intra-annual variability of
25 phenological cycles of greening and browning, including non-annual cycles across Australia, a
26 continent with vast areas of dryland ecosystems; and 2) investigate the relationships between the

1 derived phenological **magnitude metrics** and rainfall, as well as between phenological **magnitude**
2 **metrics** and the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI; Trenberth and Caron (2000)), a proxy of ENSO,
3 across the entire continent and in more detail for the MDB.
4

5 2 Methods

6 2.1 Study area and data used

7 Australia covers an area of > 7.6 million km² and climatic zones range from tropical in the North to
8 temperate in the South (Fig. 1). Average rainfall does not exceed 600 mm over 80% of the land area
9 and is less than 300 mm over 50% of the land area (Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014c).
10 Northern Australia is dominated by savanna, whereas most of the country is covered by grassland
11 and desert vegetation (Köppen, 1884). Forest occurs at higher elevation in the temperate South
12 West and South East where large areas of the lowlands are used for rain-fed agriculture (Fig. 1;
13 Lymburner et al. (2011)). The MDB contains Australia's primary agricultural area and occupies 14%
14 of Australia in the South East of the continent (Fig. 1).
15

16 Place Fig. 1 around here
17

18 For algorithm development and testing, we used a set of EVI time series at 36 sites distributed across
19 Australia (Fig. 1). These 36 sites represented a range of land cover and climatic zones (Table 1;
20 (Lymburner et al., 2011);(Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014c)) to ensure that the algorithm
21 effectively captures the variability in phenology across the country and we used them to determine
22 optimized algorithm parameters. The majority (21) of our test sites were flux tower sites from the
23 OzFlux network (2014). We selected 15 additional test sites to represent a wider coverage of climate
24 conditions, vegetation cover and land uses.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Place Table 1 around here

As input data for the phenological characterization, we sourced EVI MOD13C2 and MOD13A1 with a temporal resolution of 16 days for the 18 Feb 2000 – 22 Apr 2013 time period (NASA Land Processes Distributed Active Archive Center, 2014).

We used the 5.6-km product (MOD13C2) to characterize the biogeographic patterns of vegetation phenology across the entire Australian continent and the 500-m product (MOD13A1) to investigate the phenological patterns in more detail across the MDB. We chose the 16-day versions of the products as they attenuate the noise present in higher temporal resolution versions (Solano et al., 2012).

To analyze the responses of phenological metrics to rainfall variability, we used monthly data from the Tropical Rainfall Monitoring Mission Project (TRMM_3B43.v7 product; (Goddard Space Flight Center, 2014)) with 0.25° x 0.25° spatial resolution for 1999-2012. Instead of using gridded rainfall data interpolated from widely spaced weather stations across large areas of the interior, we opted for remotely sensed rainfall measured by TRMM, which is systematic across space and time.

To analyze the responses of phenological metrics to ENSO, we used monthly data of the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI) obtained from the Australian Bureau of Meteorology (2014d). SOI represents the standardized difference of air pressures between Darwin and Tahiti and serves as a proxy of convection in the Western Pacific caused by ENSO sea surface temperature anomalies (Trenberth and Caron, 2000).

Across the MDB we used the Dynamic Land Cover dataset provided by Geoscience Australia (Lymburner et al., 2011) to investigate the differences between the phenological responses to SOI and rainfall over natural and managed land cover types. We derived the natural land cover class by grouping land cover dominated by trees, shrubs and grasses. The managed land cover classes encompassed rain-fed and irrigated agriculture and pasture. Almost a third of the basin's area is

1 managed for cropping and pasture (Lymburner et al., 2011). We also analyzed the phenological
2 response over the ecologically valuable floodplain and wetland areas of MDB (Kingsford et al., 2004)
3 and evaluated the floodplain's response to SOI as a proxy of ENSO-related drought and flooding.

4 5 2.2 Phenology metrics and algorithm

6 *2.2.1 Phenology metrics*

7 To account for non-annual vegetation dynamics, we defined a phenological cycle not as an annually
8 or seasonally recurring event but more broadly as a cycle of EVI-measured greening and browning
9 that may occur more than once per year or may skip a year entirely and not occur for one or more
10 years.

11 We modeled phenological cycle curves and key properties of each phenological cycle in the form of
12 curve metrics. The phenological metrics modeled the timing and magnitude of key transitional
13 points on the cycle's curve and included the timing and magnitude of the minimum points before
14 and after a phenological cycle, the peak point of the cycle and the start and end point of the cycle. In
15 addition, we also calculated the integrated area between the start and end points of a cycle as a
16 surrogate of vegetation productivity during a cycle (Zhang et al., 2013). By tracking the phenological
17 cycle metrics over time, we characterized the intra- and inter-annual variability of the phenological
18 cycle and thereby vegetation growth patterns.

19 20 2.2.2 Data pre-processing

21 We used the quality assurance flags in the MOD13 products to discard observations with insufficient
22 quality, which included any observation with either VI usefulness > code '10', snow cover, high
23 aerosol or climatology aerosol quantity, mixed or high clouds present or water in the Land/Water
24 Flag. For each pixel, we first used cubic spline interpolation (Dougherty et al., 1989) to temporally
25 gap-fill the data points discarded in the previous filtering step. Next, we smoothed the time series

1 for each pixel using Savitzky-Golay smoothing filter (Savitzky and Golay, 1964) with a window width
 2 of 15 time steps. This step effectively reduced the remaining noises in the time series that would
 3 otherwise impact the identification of minimum and maximum points and the subsequent fitting of a
 4 mathematical curve that we conducted to characterize the phenological cycles in a consistent way.

5 2.2.3 Curve fitting and phenological metric derivation

6 We identified local minimum and maximum points of the per-pixel time series using a moving
 7 window of 9 time steps and a > 0.01 EVI amplitude threshold to identify cycles of greening and
 8 browning. We used the identified minimum points to define the temporal extent of phenological
 9 cycles in the entire time series. We then fitted the 7-parameters double logistic model for each
 10 identified interval. We did not expect one or multiple phenological cycles in fixed intervals of the
 11 year. We thus allowed cycles to be characterized at any time to better represent the highly variable
 12 rainfall-driven phenological patterns across Australia's vast drylands and dual cycles in cropping and
 13 pasture zones. We fitted 7-parameter double logistic curves to each cycle in the per-pixel time
 14 series, defined as:

$$15 \quad \text{EVI}(t) = Vmin_a + \frac{Vmax - Vmin_a}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{Tmid_a - t}{S_a}\right)} - \frac{Vmax - Vmin_b}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{Tmid_b - t}{S_b}\right)}$$

16 (1)

17
 18 where $Vmin_a$ and $Vmin_b$ are equal to the first and second minimum EVI, respectively. $Vmax$ is the
 19 high asymptote in the double logistic model, $Tmid_a$ is the time when EVI reached half of $Vmax -$
 20 $Vmin_a$. $Tmid_b$ is the time when EVI reached half of $Vmax - Vmin_b$. S_a and S_b are the scale parameters
 21 on the increasing and the decreasing side of the curve, respectively. We identified the start and end
 22 points of each cycle as the points where the EVI reached 20% of the amplitude, between the first
 23 minimum and the peak, and the peak and the second minimum, respectively as also used in other
 24 studies (Eklundh and Jönsson, 2010; Tan et al., 2011; Jones et al., 2011; Delbart et al., 2005).

1 An example of the algorithm processing steps is shown for the Alice Springs flux tower site (Fig. 2).
2 The site represents *Acacia* woodlands in the arid interior of Australia. The site serves as an example
3 showing how our algorithm derives phenological metrics to characterize the high temporal
4 variability in phenological cycles for the interior of Australia.

5
6 Place Fig. 2 around here
7

8 We provide further examples of how the algorithm characterized the phenological cycles over
9 different land cover types in different rainfall zones in Fig. 3. The sites' location and description is
10 provided in Fig. 1 and Table 1, respectively.

11
12 Place Fig. 3 around here
13

14 2.3 Analysis of spatial-temporal patterns of phenology across Australia

15 After deriving phenological cycles and their metrics from per-pixel greenness time series we
16 analyzed the metrics across Australia at two levels of temporal aggregation: 1) In the form of
17 summary statistics (mean and standard deviation) across greenness time series to quantify overall
18 phenological variability over the 14-year time series; and 2) In the form of inter-cyclic variability as
19 the difference between a metric of one cycle and the following cycle over the 14-year time series.
20 For a given site, we calculated for example the mean peak magnitude and the peak magnitude's
21 standard deviation. An example of inter-cycle variability of metrics is our analysis of peak timing for
22 all peaks across the time series. We also analyzed the deviation of an individual phenological cycle
23 integral relative to the expected variability. For this purpose, we calculated the standardized
24 anomaly of each cycle's integral as the difference of the cycle's integral from the mean integral
25 divided by the standard deviation of the integrals.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

2.4 Analysis of spatial-temporal patterns of Australian phenology in response to rainfall and SOI variability

We further analyzed the statistical relationship between phenological cycle peak magnitude and cycle integrated greenness and TRMM rainfall and SOI (four combinations of correlation analyses) across Australia and in more detail for the MDB. The cycle peak magnitude represents maximum greenness while the cycle integrated greenness serves as a proxy of ecosystem productivity (Zhang et al., 2013). We used non-parametric Spearman rank correlation tests (Lehmann and D'Abrera, 1975), hereafter *Spearman rho*, to determine the strength and significant of monotonic relationships between rainfall and each of the two phenology metrics as well as SOI and the two phenology metrics. We evaluated relationships between rainfall and SOI as the explanatory variables binned over different intervals and with different lead times to the phenological cycle integral and peak magnitude, which were used as the response variables. We binned rainfall accumulation for intervals of 1 to 12 months and average SOI values for periods of 1 to 12 months up to 12 months prior to the phenological cycle peak.

The underlying assumption for investigating *Spearman rho* correlations between phenology and rainfall or SOI was that a significant and strong monotonic relationship between a phenological metric and preceding rainfall or SOI suggested that the phenology metric (peak magnitude and integrated greenness) is likely driven by the respective climate variable.

Aiming to identify correlation patterns and how these patterns change as a function of binning interval (1 – 12 months) and lead times (up to 12 months), we extracted for each pixel and binning interval the most significant test result. For each potential driver and binning interval, we analyzed the lead time, correlation and significance value. We illustrated the results only for areas that were significant (p -value < 0.05) and had a rho value of > 0.6.

1 Using the above methodology, we conducted a continent-wide analysis and a higher resolution
2 analysis investigating the relationship of SOI with phenology metrics for the MDB in South Eastern
3 Australia. Within the MDB we further investigated relationship between SOI and phenology
4 (differences in correlation patterns) over natural and managed land cover types as well as the
5 catchment's floodplain and wetlands.

6

7 3 Results

8 3.1 Mean and variability of peak and minimum magnitude as well as start and 9 end of cycle timing across 14 years

10 We evaluated the mean and variability of the peak and minimum magnitude across the 14-year time
11 series to investigate the inter-annual variations in vegetation phenology. The highest mean peak
12 magnitude occurred in a narrow area covered predominantly by evergreen humid tropical forest
13 along the North Eastern coast (areas with high EVI in Fig.4 A and B). The same area also had the
14 highest mean minimum magnitude values, indicating that greenness was persistently high (light
15 color areas in Fig.4 B). Other areas with high levels of persistent greenness (areas with high mean
16 peak magnitude and high mean minimum magnitude) included temperate grasslands in coastal
17 locations of South East Australia, temperate broadleaf forest in the South East and South West of
18 the continent, and across most of Tasmania (light color areas in Fig.4 A and B). The largest mean
19 seasonal amplitude (peak minus minimum magnitude) occurred in areas used for crop cultivation
20 and grazing in the South West and the South East. Areas of low mean peak amplitude were found
21 across large parts of the interior (darker tone areas in both Fig.4 A and B) with the exception of the
22 desert river beds.

23 The highest level of variability in peak magnitude occurred over cropped areas in the South East and
24 South West of Australia (light colored areas in Fig.4 C). High variability of peak magnitude over

1 natural vegetation cover was observed for example for regions predominantly covered with tropical
2 tussock grasses in the inland North and North East as well as areas with predominant chenopod
3 woody shrubs cover along the Great Australian Bight along the Southern coast of Australia (light
4 color areas in Fig.4 C). High variability in minimum magnitude occurred at higher elevations of the
5 Southern Great Dividing Range in South East of Australia (light color areas in Fig.4 D) and around the
6 center of the arid Lake Eyre, which is the lowest point of the continent.

7

8

Place Fig.4 around here

9

10 We also evaluated the mean and variability of the start and end of cycle timing across the 14-year
11 time series. Across Western and South Eastern Australia the mean start of cycles occurred during the
12 first half of the year and the mean end of cycle occurred in the second half of the year (Fig.5 A1).
13 Across Northern and Eastern Australia, the mean start of cycles occurred during the second half of
14 the year and the mean end of cycle occurred in first half of the following year (Fig.5 A2). The
15 variability in start and end of cycle was highest across interior Australia with the area of high
16 variability being higher for the end of cycle timing (Fig.5 B1 and 2).

17

18

Place Fig.5 around here

19

20

21 3.2 Inter-cycle variability in peak timing

22 The timing of the first cycles' peak within each year showed large variation from one year to another
23 across most of Australia (Fig.6). Variations in peak timing were observed over most of interior
24 Australia. Peak timing was later than average in 2001, 2004 and 2005 (Fig.6), but earlier in 2010-
25 2012 over interior Australia (Fig.6). The peak timing in the wet tropical savannas of the Northern

1 Territory and for most of the South West wheat belt was relatively stable (Fig.6). The center of the
2 continent showed an earlier than average peak in 2002 and 2009.

3 Over interior Australia peak timing varied by over a month from one year to another. Areas for
4 which no peak was observed in a given year (shown in gray in Fig.6) occurred primarily in the
5 drylands of the continent's interior, where phenological cycles may not follow an annually recurring
6 pattern. For example, areas with no peak over interior Australia in Fig.6 for 2005 and 2008 can be
7 also traced in Fig.2 where the phenology of the Alice Springs site did not show a peak in those years.

8

9

Place Fig.6 around here

10

11 3.3 Variability of cycle-integrated greenness

12 Greenness integrated between the start and end of a phenological cycle can provide a first
13 approximation of vegetation productivity (Ponce Campos et al., 2013;Zhang et al., 2013).

14 Standardized anomalies of integrated greenness highlight the deviation of an individual value from
15 the mean, relative to the expected level of variability (the standard deviation). Standardized
16 anomalies of integrated greenness were highly variable across time (Fig.7). Negative standardized
17 anomalies of integrated greenness (red tones in Fig.7) occurred across the continent in most areas in
18 2002 and vast areas of the continent in 2008 and 2009. Large areas of negative anomalies also
19 occurred in 2001 to 2003 and from 2004 to 2009. Large areas of positive standardized anomalies
20 (green tones in Fig.8), with increased greening of 1 to 2 standard deviations, occurred in 2010 a year
21 of particularly high rainfall.

22

23

Place Fig.7 around here

24

1 When relating the cycles' standardized anomalies of integrated greenness to the phenology at the
2 Alice Springs tower site, the widespread negative standardized anomaly over interior Australia in
3 2008 (Fig.7) was not represented in the site's curve (Fig 2) where no cycle started or ended in 2008
4 and 2009. Conversely, the positive standardized anomalies of cycles that started in 2010 and 2011
5 over large areas of Eastern and interior Australia can also be seen in the Alice Springs curve in the
6 form of larger than average integrals (Fig 2).

7

8 3.4 Analysis of spatial-temporal patterns of Australian phenology relative to 9 rainfall and SOI variability

10 We conducted correlation analysis relating two climate drivers (SOI and rainfall) and two
11 phenological metrics (first peak magnitude and cycle integral of each year), respectively (four
12 combinations). Each of the four analysis included climate drivers binned over periods between 1 and
13 12 months within the 12 month period leading up to the phenological peak. We found that areas
14 with significant correlations between SOI and phenology or rainfall and phenology were most
15 widespread for a binning interval of one month. Areas with significant correlations shrank as we
16 increased the binning interval of SOI or rainfall from 1 to 12 months.

17 The spatial pattern of significant correlations (areas significantly correlated, correlation strength, and
18 lead times) was generally similar for all four combinations of variables. However, the patterns of
19 significant correlation between peak magnitude and climate variables covered a larger area
20 compared to patterns of significant correlation between cycle integral and climate variables. The
21 patterns of significant SOI-driven correlation with phenology covered a larger and more
22 concentrated area compared to the rainfall driven correlation patterns. Given the above similarities
23 and the largest extent of significant correlation patterns at a single month binning interval, we limit
24 the presentation of results to the most significant monthly SOI and – cycle peak magnitude and the
25 most significant monthly rainfall– cycle peak magnitude correlation.

1 The most significant correlation of monthly SOI and cycle peak magnitude and monthly rainfall and
2 cycle peak magnitude were most widespread in North Eastern Australia (Fig.8 C). Lead times
3 between the most significantly correlated driver month and the phenological cycle peak were 1 to 6
4 months for North Eastern Australia and 7 to 12 months for the East Australian interior representing
5 an increase in lead time along a gradient of decreasing rainfall (Fig.8 A and B). These correlation
6 patterns extended into the Australian interior along desert river drainage lines such as the Cooper
7 Creek. The floodplain of the of the middle reach of the Cooper Creek can be clearly distinguished in
8 the correlation pattern, indicating a strong response of the floodplain vegetation to for example SOI
9 variability (Fig.9). Additional correlation patterns with a shorter lag time behind SOI (1-3 months)
10 were observed near the West coast of Australia with longer lag times of 5-8 month behind rainfall
11 (Fig 8 A).

12

13

Place Fig.8 around here

14

15

Place Fig.9 around here

16

17 In the MDB, correlation patterns between monthly SOI and cycle peak magnitude occurred primarily
18 over natural vegetation cover as opposed to areas used for agriculture or pasture (managed land
19 cover). The percentage of all significant relationships over natural land cover was 83.6% as opposed
20 to 15.9%, the percentage of all significant relationships over managed land cover (Table 2). These
21 percentages were disproportional to areal percentages of natural and managed land cover within
22 the MDB (71.8% and 28.2%, respectively). The highest percentage of significantly correlated areas
23 within each land cover class and highest mean rho values were found in areas dominated by shrubs,
24 trees and grasses. Irrigated agriculture and pasture had the smallest percentage of correlated area
25 (Table 2) compared to other land cover classes.

1 The ecologically valuable floodplains and wetlands of the MDB made up 10.9% of the basin area and
2 were of mixed land cover composition. The percentage of all areas with significant correlations
3 between monthly SOI and phenological cycle peak magnitude in floodplains and wetlands was
4 disproportionately higher (14.8%) than the percentage of area occupied by this zone (10.9%). In
5 addition, 6.1% of the floodplain and wetlands area showed significant relationships with monthly
6 SOI, which is higher than for any of the individual land cover classes in Table 2.

7

8

Place Table 2 around here

9

10 4 Discussion

11 4.1 A phenological characterization of Australia that accommodates non- 12 annual phenological cycles

13 Our research characterized the cycles and variability of non-annual vegetation phenology across
14 Australia and identified their relationships with variability in rainfall and ENSO-related large scale
15 atmospheric circulation. We provide a characterization of annual and non-annual phenological cycles
16 of vegetation greening and browning for Australia based on MODIS EVI data.

17 We used an enhanced phenology model to characterize rainfall-driven phenology across the
18 Australian continent, which includes large dryland regions. Very few studies have previously
19 quantified the land surface phenology of dryland systems (Walker et al., 2014), likely due to the fact
20 that the phenology of these systems is more complex than that of most temperature-limited regions
21 (Walker et al., 2014; Primack and Miller-Rushing, 2011). Dryland phenology responds to a variable
22 rainfall regime where the timing and magnitude of precipitation events varies inter-annually (Loik et
23 al., 2004; Brown et al., 1997).

1 We identified and characterized rainfall-driven phenological cycles at any time of the year over a 14-
2 year time series rather than within a predefined interval of every calendar year. This is important as
3 the timing of phenological cycles varied and not every phenological cycle metric occurred in every
4 year. We first identified points demarcating phenological cycles from the entire EVI time series and
5 then characterized the cycles using mathematical curves. For example, we did not identify a cycle
6 peak for every year and every pixel (areas shown in gray in Fig.6). However, this does not imply that
7 no cycle occurred but that the vegetation at these sites and points in time could be greening up
8 towards a peak in the following year, browning down towards an end of cycle point or be in a phase
9 between cycles. For example, the absence of peaks over interior Australia in 2005 and 2008 (Fig.6) is
10 also reflected in Fig 2. where the vegetation at the Alice Springs site in interior Australia was in
11 between phenological cycles. Phenological cycles thus need to be analyzed in the temporal context
12 of multiple years. While most studies of phenology attempted to fit phenological curves within a
13 predefined interval every calendar year, certain authors have proposed methods that include
14 iterating the curve fitted to the vegetation index time series or by fitting a curve of vegetation index
15 versus accumulated moisture (Tan et al., 2011;Brown and de Beurs, 2008). Our approach to
16 characterize non-annual phenology can be applied to other areas with rainfall-driven phenology and
17 thus contributes to our understanding of non-annual, rainfall-driven phenological dynamics globally.
18 While the results presented in this work focus on the phenological metrics of the first cycles of each
19 year, a second cycle was not detected over most of Australia. For example two peaks during a
20 calendar year occurred over only 25% of the Australian land surface. Within the 14 years of study,
21 two peaks per year occurred no more than three times across 96% of Australia. Areas with two
22 peaks per year occurred mostly on cropping or pasture land uses (Fig. 10). An alternative method to
23 identify the number of cycles for broad regions can be found in Guan et al., (2014).

24

25

Place Fig 10 around here

26

1 4.2 Phenology of Australia's interior

2 For the interior of Australia we identified low phenological peak and minimum magnitude and
3 associated small amplitude (darker tone areas in both Fig.4 A and B), high variability in magnitude,
4 timing and cycle integral. In addition, a peak was not identified in every year for large areas of the
5 interior. Most areas of the interior are dryland systems with sparse vegetation cover and where
6 vegetation phenology is driven by highly irregular rainfall timing and amounts (Australian Bureau of
7 Meteorology, 2014c, e) and hydrologic regimes can be difficult to predict (Young and Kingsford,
8 2006). Thus we do not see a strong phenological response (low amplitude), however we interpret
9 the high variability in start of cycle and peak timing (Fig 4 and Fig 5) as a fast response to rainfall
10 pulses and the missing cycles (Fig 5) were interpreted as dormant periods during dry years (Loik et
11 al. 2004). We interpret these patterns of variable phenological cycles over interior Australia, where a
12 cycle may vary in timing and length, or may skip a year entirely, to occur as a function of high climate
13 variability. De Jong et al. (2012) identified frequent trend breaks of greening and browning over
14 Australia that may be related to the non-annual phenological cycles identified here.

15 Desert river beds in the interior of the continent had low minimum but moderate peak magnitude.
16 The elevated peak magnitudes are caused by flooding driven by high amounts of distant rainfall
17 (Young and Kingsford, 2006). The center of the arid Lake Eyre basin showed high variability in
18 minimum magnitude. Lake Eyre is the center of a sparsely vegetated, close drainage basin and the
19 fact that we identified high variability was in line with known flooding patterns as this salt lake is
20 reached by flooding only once in a century (McMahon et al., 2005). We interpret the positive
21 anomaly in 2010 (Fig.7) as a function of the La Niña floods (Australian Bureau of Meteorology,
22 2014a).

23 Conversely, large variability of peak timing and cycle integrated greenness from one to another
24 phenological cycle was found not just in the interior of Australia but across most of the continent
25 (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7). High inter-annual variability in water availability across most of Australia rather

1 than for the continent's interior has also been demonstrated by the Australian Water Availability
2 Project (2014).

3

4 4.3 Australia's phenology, the 2001 to 2009 Millennium Drought and La Niña 5 high precipitation event in 2010

6 The years with widespread negative standard anomalies of cycle integrated greenness coincided
7 with the Millennium Drought from 2001 to 2009 (Heberger (2011); Fig. 7). Dryland vegetation is
8 subject to environmentally marginal conditions and is therefore highly sensitive to climate variability
9 (Hufkens et al., 2012;Brown et al., 1997).

10 Yet, the spatial extent of negative anomalies in certain years that extend beyond the dry interior
11 suggested temporary yet severe drought-related water limitations also in the monsoonal North and
12 the temperate area of South Eastern and South Western Australia (Fig. 7). The large positive
13 standardized anomalies of cycle integrated greenness identified in this work across most of Eastern
14 Australia in 2010 (1 to 2 standard anomalies; Fig. 7) coincided with a strong La Niña event and
15 associated high rainfall and floods that broke the Millennium Drought (Australian Bureau of
16 Meteorology, 2014a;Heberger, 2011). This pattern includes the desert rivers extending from North
17 Eastern Australia to Lake Eyre, which experienced a major flood in 2010.

18 While the relationship between ENSO cycles and rainfall variability primarily over Eastern Australia
19 has been investigated before (van Dijk et al., 2013;Risbey et al., 2009), our research has quantified
20 vegetation response across Australia to the transition from a strong El Niño drought to La Niña wet
21 periods. While the positive vegetation response to the 2010 La Niña occurred over Eastern Australia
22 that is also influenced by ENSO cycles (van Dijk et al., 2013;Nicholls, 1991;Nicholls et al., 1997), the
23 negative vegetation response during the Millennium Drought cover a larger area and occurred
24 across the continent.

25

1 4.4 Spatially explicit relationship between phenology and climatic variability

2 We found that SOI-driven patterns of correlation with phenology covered a larger area compared to
3 rainfall-driven patterns likely because SOI is a more generic proxy of climatic variability that
4 influences temperature, incoming solar radiation and rainfall rather than rainfall alone (Risbey et al.,
5 2009; Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014f) and because not all ecosystems of Australia are only
6 limited by water availability but also by temperature and radiation (Nemani et al., 2003).

7 The spatial extent of areas where we detected correlation between SOI or rainfall and phenological
8 metrics shrank with longer binning intervals of the climatic drivers. This suggested that relationships
9 between climatic drivers and phenological variability were strongest for driver variability within a
10 specific month of the year (e.g., SOI in September) as opposed to driver variability within for
11 example a 6 month period (e.g., mean SOI across 6 months starting in April). This falls in line with the
12 findings by Stone et al. (1996) who identified relationships between short-term SOI dynamics at
13 specific times of the year and rainfall. Previous studies (e.g. Brown et al. (2010)) using seasonal or
14 longer temporal aggregation of driver variables may therefore have not identified the full spatial
15 extent of correlation patterns.

16 We found the most concentrated significant correlation patterns between SOI and peak magnitude
17 in North Eastern Australia, which is in the proximity of the West Pacific convection variability
18 indicated by SOI. We observed similar yet less concentrated pattern for the rainfall – peak
19 magnitude correlation. We interpret this latter pattern as primarily as the effect of the large-scale
20 atmospheric circulation patterns indicated by SOI. The lag times of correlations over North Eastern
21 Australia varied between 1 and 6 months following SOI or rainfall. Shorter lag time (1 to 3 months)
22 correlation patterns with SOI were observed near the West coast of Australia yet lag times following
23 rainfall were longer (5-8 month). These patterns are spatially remote from the variability in
24 convection over the Western Pacific (North East of Australia) indicated by SOI. They may be related
25 to influence of the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) and the interaction between SOI and IOD (Risbey et al.,

1 2009), which may explain the difference in lead time of the SOI and rainfall drivers. Over North
2 Eastern Australia and the East Australian interior, the identified 3 to 6 and 7 to 12 months lag time of
3 phenological cycle peak magnitude was similar for the SOI and rainfall driver. The lag times identified
4 here fell within the range of aggregation found by Andela et al. (2013) who related NDVI with
5 rainfall. A study by Chen et al. (2014b) identified short lags (predominantly 1 month) between soil
6 moisture and NDVI, which are shorter than most of the lags we identified here. Soil moisture in the
7 previous month may provide the most direct relationship with vegetation response (as it represents
8 water available to vegetation) but the climatic conditions that drive soil moisture may precede the
9 soil moisture by a few months (Philippon et al., 2014). The identified increase in lag time between
10 SOI and phenological peak magnitude and rainfall and phenological peak magnitude along a gradient
11 of decreasing rainfall was in agreement with the findings by Andela et al. (2013). However, these
12 findings contradict the concept that rainfall pulses drive rapid phenological response (Loik et al.,
13 2004). We interpret our findings as the dominating space-time relationship between large scale
14 atmospheric circulation pattern variability and phenological response. Yet these patterns are unlikely
15 to represent responses to individual storm events. However, less significant relationships with
16 different SOI and rainfall month and lag time were also present suggesting that vegetation responds
17 to climatic variability at multiple time scales. A more in-depth analysis of the relationship between
18 climatic drivers and phenological response across multiple temporal scales should be investigated in
19 future research.

20 The proportion of areas for which we identified significant correlations was generally smaller than
21 those identified in other studies (e.g. Andela et al. (2013) and Chen et al. (2014a)). This could be
22 related to the relatively short time series we used and consequently the smaller power of our
23 correlation analysis. Nonetheless, the spatial pattern of correlation was most widespread in North
24 Eastern Australia and along desert river beds (e.g., Cooper Creek) in the interior. These patterns
25 agreed spatially with what would be expected from the SOI-approximated moisture source over the
26 West Pacific and the associated progression of rainfall and runoff into interior Australia.

1 We conducted a higher spatial resolution correlation analysis for the MDB to investigate sensitivity
2 of the area's vegetation to SOI variability. The MDB contains the primary agricultural area of
3 Australia and the basin's agriculture was severely impacted by the Millennium Drought (van Dijk et
4 al., 2013; Kirby et al., 2012; Heberger, 2011). We identified correlation patterns between SOI and
5 peak magnitude primarily over natural vegetation cover as opposed to areas used for dryland
6 agriculture or pasture. As expected, irrigated agriculture had the lowest percentage of area with
7 significant correlations between SOI and phenological peak magnitude. The lowest percentage of
8 area with significant correlations over managed land may be explained by the effort that land
9 managers and irrigators make to archive maximum production regardless of climatic variability (e.g.
10 fertilization, use of pesticides, crop rotation, livestock density, movement and irrigation) whereas
11 landscapes with natural vegetation cover may respond directly to climatic variability. In the context
12 of climatic influence on agriculture in the MDB, van Dijk et al. (2013) suggested that the Millennium
13 Drought impact on dryland wheat yields was offset by steady increases in cropped area and plant
14 water use efficiency as well as possibly CO₂ fertilization. As a zone of special interest within the MDB
15 we focused on floodplains and wetlands. These ecosystems were strongly impacted by the
16 Millennium Drought and 2010 La Niña floods (Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014b; Leblanc et
17 al., 2012). Across the MDB's floodplains and wetlands, we identified the highest percentage of areas
18 (6.1%) with significant correlation between SOI and phenological peak magnitude compared to other
19 natural or managed land cover, highlighting the sensitivity of these ecosystems to ENSO-related
20 climatic variability. We attributed the low percentage to limited test power as a function of the
21 relatively short time series (14 years) used here. For example Brown et al. (2010) found between
22 10% and 27% of certain areas in Africa to be significantly correlated with atmospheric indices using a
23 25-year AVHRR time series.

24

1 4.5 Limitations and future work

2 Several caveats of our work should be noted. When interpreting the phenological cycles
3 characterized here, it should be noted that the sub pixel composition of vegetation and background
4 as well as multi-layer vegetation structure is unknown and may change over time (Zhang et al.,
5 2009;Walker et al., 2012;Walker et al., 2014). Various methods for validating remotely sensed
6 metrics of phenological cycles with ground-based observations have been discussed including flux
7 tower productivity time series, ground based radiation sensor time series, phenocam time series as
8 well as crowd sourced citizen science (Richardson et al., 2007;Liang and Schwartz, 2009;Restrepo-
9 Coupe et al., 2013). Validation of the phenological metrics developed here is currently underway.
10 The phenological metrics derived and described here represent different stages of vegetation
11 growth. They have been made freely available in contribution to the Australian Terrestrial Ecosystem
12 Research Network (TERN) and can be downloaded from the AusCover TERN Sydney node¹:
13 <http://data.c3.uts.edu.au> providing opportunities for a range of applications.

14 In this work we traced phenological cycles over time, quantified cycles' inter-annual variability and
15 investigate their relationship with rainfall and ENSO thereby advancing phenological research for
16 Australia, a country with extensive drylands. The phenological metrics provided here can be further
17 used for characterizing the effect of anthropogenic disturbances on phenology and unraveling this
18 effect from the influence of climatic forcing related to ENSO. Another ~~opportunity~~ opportunities for
19 future work ~~are is~~ the reanalysis of trends and trend breaks in vegetation ~~phenological magnitude~~
20 dynamics and climatic drivers (Donohue et al., 2009;de Jong et al., 2012;Chen et al., 2014a) ~~and the~~
21 ~~relationship between vegetation phenological timing and climate controll~~ (Guan et al., accepted).

22

¹ The Australian Phenology Product is scheduled to permanently migrate to the Australian *Research Data Storage Infrastructure (RDSI)* that is funded through the Australian Government's Super Science Initiative and sourced from the Education Investment Fund (EIF).

1 5 Conclusion

2 We characterized vegetation phenological cycles that we derived from time series of earth observing
3 satellite images from 2000 to 2013, across Australia, the driest inhabited continent. The
4 precipitation-driven, non-annual phenology of Australia's drylands has not been previously studied
5 in detail and the relationship between phenology and climatic drivers including rainfall and SOI has
6 not been previously quantified.

7 We found the phenology of Australia's drylands to be highly variable across the time series with
8 shifts in phenological cycle peak timing of more than one month in the interior of the continent.
9 Cycle integrated greenness, surrogate of vegetation productivity, shifted from negative to positive
10 anomalies over most of Eastern Australia with the transition between the El Niño induced decadal
11 droughts to flooding caused by La Niña. We related phenological magnitude response variability to
12 the variability in rainfall and SOI across the continent and at higher spatial resolution for the MDB,
13 the main agricultural basin of Australia. We found the most widespread correlation patterns with
14 single-month as opposed to multi-month aggregated drivers, suggesting that rainfall and SOI at a
15 specific point in time is of primary importance in driving phenology. Correlation patterns between
16 phenological magnitude response with rainfall and SOI occurred primarily over North Eastern
17 Australia and within the MDB predominantly over natural land cover and particularly in floodplain
18 and wetland areas, highlighting the sensitivity of these ecosystems to ENSO-related climatic
19 variability.

20 A more in-depth analysis of the relationship between climatic drivers and phenological magnitude
21 response across multiple temporal scales and including temperature and radiation drivers and driver
22 combinations should be investigated in future research. Further, the analysis of the relationship
23 between phenological timing and climatic drivers should also be investigated.

1 Our approach could be valuable for other areas of rainfall-driven system and thus contributes to our
2 understanding of non-annual phenological dynamics globally. The quantified spatial-temporal
3 variability in phenology across Australia in response to climate variability presented here advances
4 research of dryland phenology and provides important information for land management and
5 climate change studies. The phenological metrics derived represent different stages of vegetation
6 growth. They have been made freely available in contribution to the Australian Terrestrial Ecosystem
7 Research Network (TERN) and can be downloaded from the AusCover TERN Sydney node providing
8 opportunities for a range of applications. The phenological metrics can be further used for
9 characterizing the effect of anthropogenic disturbances on phenology and unraveling this effect
10 from the influence of climatic forcing components and large scale atmospheric circulation indices.

11

12 Acknowledgements

13 This research was supported by an Australian Research Council Discovery grant (DP1115479) entitled
14 "Integrating remote sensing, landscape flux measurements, and phenology to understand the
15 impacts of climate change on Australian landscapes" (Huete, CI) and funding from the AusCover
16 Facility of the Australian Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Network (TERN). Calculations were
17 performed on the University of Technology, Sydney eResearch high performance computing facility.
18 Tulbure was partially funded through an Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career
19 Researcher Award (DE140101608).

20

21 References

22 Andela, N., Liu, Y. Y., van Dijk, a. I. J. M., de Jeu, R. a. M., and McVicar, T. R.: Global changes in
23 dryland vegetation dynamics (1988–2008) assessed by satellite remote sensing: comparing a new

1 passive microwave vegetation density record with reflective greenness data, *Biogeosciences*, 10,
2 6657-6676, 10.5194/bg-10-6657-2013, 2013.

3 Australian Bureau of Meteorology: The 2010-11 La Niña: Australia soaked by one of the strongest
4 events on record, <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/enso/feature/ENSO-feature.shtml>, 2014a.

5 Australian Bureau of Meteorology: El Niño - Detailed Australian Analysis and La Niña – Detailed
6 Australian Analysis; <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/enso/enlist/>;
7 <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/enso/lnlist/>, 2014b.

8 Australian Bureau of Meteorology: Climate Data Online: Average annual, seasonal and monthly
9 rainfall (mm) and Rainfall variability (index of variability);
10 <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/index.shtml>, 2014c.

11 Australian Bureau of Meteorology: Southern Oscillation Index Data,
12 <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/current/soi2.shtml>, 2014d.

13 Australian Bureau of Meteorology: Rainfall variability,
14 http://www.bom.gov.au/jsp/ncc/climate_averages/rainfall-variability/index.jsp, 2014e.

15 Australian Bureau of Meteorology: ENSO impacts – temperature,
16 <http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/enso/history/ln-2010-12/ENSO-temperature.shtml>, 2014f.

17 Bradley, B. a., and Mustard, J. F.: Comparison of phenology trends by land cover class: a case study in
18 the Great Basin, USA, *Global Change Biology*, 14, 334-346, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01479.x, 2007.

19 Brown, J. H., Valone, T. J., and Curtin, C. G.: Reorganization of an arid ecosystem in response to
20 recent climate change, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 94, 9729-9733, 1997.

21 Brown, M. E., and de Beurs, K. M.: Evaluation of multi-sensor semi-arid crop season parameters
22 based on NDVI and rainfall, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112, 2261-2271,
23 10.1016/j.rse.2007.10.008, 2008.

24 Brown, M. E., de Beurs, K., and Vrieling, A.: The response of African land surface phenology to large
25 scale climate oscillations, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114, 2286-2296,
26 10.1016/j.rse.2010.05.005, 2010.

1 Chen, B., Xu, G., Coops, N. C., Ciais, P., Innes, J. L., Wang, G., Myneni, R. B., Wang, T., Krzyzanowski,
2 J., Li, Q., Cao, L., and Liu, Y.: Changes in vegetation photosynthetic activity trends across the Asia–
3 Pacific region over the last three decades, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 144, 28-41,
4 10.1016/j.rse.2013.12.018, 2014a.

5 Chen, T., de Jeu, R. a. M., Liu, Y. Y., van der Werf, G. R., and Dolman, a. J.: Using satellite based soil
6 moisture to quantify the water driven variability in NDVI: A case study over mainland Australia,
7 *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 140, 330-338, 10.1016/j.rse.2013.08.022, 2014b.

8 Connell, D.: *Water politics in the Murray-Darling basin*, Federation Press, 2007.

9 de Beurs, K. M., and Henebry, G. M.: Spatio-temporal statistical methods for modeling land surface
10 phenology, in, edited by: Hudson, I. L., and Keatley, M. R., Springer, Dordrecht, 2008.

11 de Jong, R., Verbesselt, J., Schaepman, M. E., and Bruin, S.: Trend changes in global greening and
12 browning: contribution of short-term trends to longer-term change, *Global Change Biology*, 18, 642-
13 655, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02578.x, 2012.

14 Delbart, N., Kergoat, L., Le Toan, T., Lhermitte, J., and Picard, G.: Determination of phenological
15 dates in boreal regions using normalized difference water index, *Remote Sensing of Environment*,
16 97, 26-38, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.03.011>, 2005.

17 Donohue, R. J., McVicar, T. R., and Roderick, M. L.: Climate-related trends in Australian vegetation
18 cover as inferred from satellite observations, 1981-2006, *Global Change Biology*, 15, 1025-1039,
19 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01746.x, 2009.

20 Dougherty, R. L., Edelman, A., and Hyman, J. M.: Nonnegativity-, Monotonicity-, or Convexity-
21 Preserving Cubic and Quintic Hermite Interpolation, *Mathematics of Computation*, 52, 471-794,
22 1989.

23 Friedl, M., Henebry, G., Reed, B., Huete, A., White, M., Morissette, J., Nemani, R., Zhang, X., and
24 Myneni, R.: *Land surface phenology*, A Community White Paper requested by NASA. April 10. , 2006.

1 Ganguly, S., Friedl, M. A., Tan, B., Zhang, X., and Verma, M.: Remote Sensing of Environment Land
2 surface phenology from MODIS : Characterization of the Collection 5 global land cover dynamics
3 product, Remote Sensing of Environment, 114, 1805-1816, 10.1016/j.rse.2010.04.005, 2010.

4 Goddard Space Flight Center: Tropical Rainfall Monitoring Mission Project TRMM_3B43.v7 product,
5 USGS/Earth Resources Observation and Science (EROS) Center, Sioux Falls, South Dakota,
6 <http://trmm.gsfc.nasa.gov/>, 2014.

7 Guan, K., Medvigy, D., Wood, E. F. , Caylor, K. K. ,Li, S., Jeong S.J.: Deriving vegetation phenological
8 time and trajectory information over Africa using SEVIRI daily LAI, IEEE transactions on Geoscience
9 and Remote Sensing, 53(2),1113-1130, 2014.

10 Guan, K., Wood, E. F., Caylor, K. K., Medvigy, D., Sheffield, J., Pan, M., Kimball, J., Xu, X., Jones, M.O.:
11 Terrestrial hydrological control on vegetation phenology of African savannas and woodlands",
12 Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, accepted.

13 Heberger, M.: Australia's Millennium Drought: Impacts and Responses, in, edited by: Gleick, P. H.,
14 The World's Water, Island Press/Center for Resource Economics, 97-125, 2011.

15 Huete, A., Didan, K., Miura, T., Rodriguez, E. P., Gao, X., and Ferreira, L. G.: Overview of the
16 radiometric and biophysical performance of the MODIS vegetation indices, Remote Sensing of
17 Environment, 83, 195-213, 2002.

18 Huete, A., Miura, T., Yoshioka, H., Ratana, P., and Broich, M.: Indices of Vegetation Activity, in, edited
19 by: Hanes, J., Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, 2014.

20 Hufkens, K., Friedl, M., Sonnentag, O., Braswell, B. H., Milliman, T., and Richardson, A. D.: Linking
21 near-surface and satellite remote sensing measurements of deciduous broadleaf forest phenology,
22 Remote Sensing of Environment, 117, 307-321, 10.1016/j.rse.2011.10.006, 2012.

23 IPCC: Climate Change 2001: impacts, adaptation, and vulnerability. Contribution of working group II
24 to the third assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), Cambridge
25 University Press, Cambridge, 2001.

1 IPCC: Climate change 2007 – impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II
2 to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.

3 IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth
4 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press,
5 Cambridge, 2013.

6 Jones, M. O., Jones, L. a., Kimball, J. S., and McDonald, K. C.: Satellite passive microwave remote
7 sensing for monitoring global land surface phenology, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 115, 1102-
8 1114, 10.1016/j.rse.2010.12.015, 2011.

9 Keatley, M. R., Chambers, L. E., and Phillips, R.: Australia and New Zealand, in: *Phenology : An*
10 *Integrative Environmental Science*, edited by: Schwartz, M. D., Springer, Dordrecht, 2013.

11 Kingsford, R. T., Brandis, K., Thomas, R. F., Crighton, P., Knowles, E., and Gale, E.: Classifying
12 landform at broad spatial scales: the distribution and conservation of wetlands in New South Wales,
13 *Australia, Marine and Freshwater Research*, 55, 17-31, 2004.

14 Kirby, M., Connor, J., Bark, R., Qureshi, E., and Keyworth, S.: The economic impact of water
15 reductions during the Millennium Drought in the Murray-Darling Basin, AARES conference, 2012, 7-
16 10,

17 Köppen, W.: The thermal zones of the Earth according to the duration of hot, moderate and cold
18 periods and of the impact of heat on the organic world. (translated and edited by Volken, E. and S.
19 Brönnimann), *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, 1, 351-360, 1884.

20 Leblanc, M., Tweed, S., Van Dijk, A., and Timbal, B.: A review of historic and future hydrological
21 changes in the Murray-Darling Basin, *Global and Planetary Change*, 80–81, 226-246,
22 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.10.012>, 2012.

23 Lehmann, E. L., and D'Abrera, H. J. M.: *Nonparametrics: statistical methods based on ranks*, Holden-
24 Day, 1975.

25 Liang, L., and Schwartz, M.: Landscape phenology: an integrative approach to seasonal vegetation
26 dynamics, *Landscape Ecology*, 24, 465-472, 10.1007/s10980-009-9328-x, 2009.

1 Loik, M., Breshears, D., Lauenroth, W., and Belnap, J.: A multi-scale perspective of water pulses in
2 dryland ecosystems: climatology and ecohydrology of the western USA, *Oecologia*, 141, 269-281,
3 10.1007/s00442-004-1570-y, 2004.

4 Lymburner, L., Tan, P., Mueller, N., Thackway, R., Lewis, A., Thankappan, M., Randall, L., Islam, A.,
5 and Senarath, U.: The National Dynamic Land Cover Dataset, Geoscience Australia, Symonston,
6 Australia9781921954306, 105-105, 2011.

7 Ma, X., Huete, A., Yu, Q., Coupe, N. R., Davies, K., Broich, M., Ratana, P., Beringer, J., Hutley, L. B.,
8 Cleverly, J., Boulain, N., and Eamus, D.: Spatial patterns and temporal dynamics in savanna
9 vegetation phenology across the North Australian Tropical Transect, *Remote Sensing of*
10 *Environment*, 139, 97-115, 10.1016/j.rse.2013.07.030, 2013.

11 McMahon, T. A. T. A., Murphy, R., Little, P., Costelloe, J. F., Peel, M. C. M. C., Chiew, F. H. S., Hayes,
12 S., Nathan, R. J. R. J., Kandel, D. D., (Firm), S. K. M., Engineering, U. o. M. D. o. C. a. E., and Heritage,
13 A. D. o. t. E. a.: Hydrology of Lake Eyre Basin / Thomas A. McMahon, Rachel Murphy, Pat Little, Justin
14 F. Costelloe, Murray C. Peel, Francis H. S. Chiew, Susan Hayes, Rory Nathan, Durga D. Kandel,
15 [Canberra, Australian Capital Territory] Natural Heritage Trust, 2005.

16 Moulin, S., Kergoat, L., Viovy, N., and Dedieu, G.: Global-Scale Assessment of Vegetation Phenology
17 Using NOAA/AVHRR Satellite Measurements, *Journal of Climate*, 10, 1154-1170, 10.1175/1520-
18 0442(1997)010<1154:GSAOVP>2.0.CO;2
19 10.1175/1520-0442(1997)0102.0.CO;2, 1997.

20 Myneni, R. B., Keeling, C. D., Tucker, C. J., Asrar, G., and Nemani, R. R.: Increased plant growth in the
21 northern high latitudes from 1981 to 1991, *Nature*, 386, 698-702, 1997.

22 Nemani, R. R., Keeling, C. D., Hashimoto, H., Jolly, W. M., Piper, S. C., Tucker, C. J., Myneni, R. B., and
23 Running, S. W.: Climate-Driven Increases in Global Terrestrial Net Primary Production from 1982 to
24 1999, *Science*, 300, 1560-1563, 2003.

25 Nicholls, N.: The El Niño / Southern Oscillation and Australian vegetation, *Vegetatio*, 91, 23-36,
26 10.1007/BF00036045, 1991.

1 Nicholls, N., Drosowsky, W., and Lavery, B.: Australian rainfall variability and change, *Weather*, 52,
2 66-72, 10.1002/j.1477-8696.1997.tb06274.x, 1997.

3 OzFlux: Australian and New Zealand Flux Research and Monitoring, <http://www.ozflux.org.au/>, 2014.

4 Peñuelas, J., Rutishauser, T., and Filella, I.: Phenology Feedbacks on Climate Change, *Science*, 324,
5 887-888, 10.1126/science.1173004, 2009.

6 Philippon, N., Martiny, N., Camberlin, P., Hoffman, M. T., and Gond, V.: Timing and patterns of ENSO
7 signal in Africa over the last 30 years: insights from Normalized Difference Vegetation Index data,
8 *Journal of Climate*, 140111082254009-140111082254009, 10.1175/JCLI-D-13-00365.1, 2014.

9 Pitman, A. J.: The evolution of, and revolution in, land surface schemes designed for climate models,
10 *International Journal of Climatology*, 23, 479-510, 10.1002/joc.893, 2003.

11 Ponce Campos, G. E., Moran, M. S., Huete, A., Zhang, Y., Bresloff, C., Huxman, T. E., Eamus, D., Bosch,
12 D. D., Buda, A. R., Gunter, S. A., Scalley, T. H., Kitchen, S. G., McClaran, M. P., McNab, W. H.,
13 Montoya, D. S., Morgan, J. A., Peters, D. P. C., Sadler, E. J., Seyfried, M. S., and Starks, P. J.:
14 Ecosystem resilience despite large-scale altered hydroclimatic conditions, *Nature*, 494, 349-352,
15 [http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v494/n7437/abs/nature11836.html#supplementary-](http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v494/n7437/abs/nature11836.html#supplementary-information)
16 [information](http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v494/n7437/abs/nature11836.html#supplementary-information), 2013.

17 Primack, R. B., and Miller-Rushing, A. J.: Broadening the study of phenology and climate change, *New*
18 *Phytologist*, 191, 307-309, 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03773.x, 2011.

19 Restrepo-Coupe, N., Huete, A., Broich, M., and Davies, K.: Phenology Validation, in, *Terrestrial*
20 *Ecosystem Research Network*, 2013.

21 Richardson, A., Jenkins, J., Braswell, B., Hollinger, D., Ollinger, S., and Smith, M.-L.: Use of digital
22 webcam images to track spring green-up in a deciduous broadleaf forest, *Oecologia*, 152, 323-334,
23 10.1007/s00442-006-0657-z, 2007.

24 Richardson, A. D., Keenan, T. F., Migliavacca, M., Ryu, Y., Sonnentag, O., and Toomey, M.: Climate
25 change, phenology, and phenological control of vegetation feedbacks to the climate system,
26 *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 169, 156-173, 10.1016/j.agrformet.2012.09.012, 2013.

1 Risbey, J. S., Pook, M. J., McIntosh, P. C., Wheeler, M. C., and Hendon, H. H.: On the Remote Drivers
2 of Rainfall Variability in Australia, *Monthly Weather Review*, 137, 3233-3253,
3 10.1175/2009MWR2861.1, 2009.

4 Savitzky, A., and Golay, M. J. E.: Smoothing and Differentiation of Data by Simplified Least Squares
5 Procedures, *Analytical Chemistry*, 36, 1627-1639, 10.1021/ac60214a047, 1964.

6 Schwartz, M.: Introduction, in, edited by: Schwartz, M., *Tasks for Vegetation Science*, Springer,
7 Netherlands, 3-7, 2003.

8 Schwartz, M. D.: Preface, in: *Phenology : An Integrative Environmental Science*, edited by: Schwartz,
9 M. D., Springer, Dordrecht, 2013.

10 Stone, R. C., Hammer, G. L., and Marcussen, T.: Prediction of global rainfall probabilities using phases
11 of the Southern Oscillation Index, *Nature*, 384, 1996.

12 Tan, B., Morissette, J. T., Wolfe, R. E., Gao, F., Ederer, G. A., Nightingale, J., and Pedelty, J. A.: An
13 Enhanced TIMESAT Algorithm for Estimating Vegetation Phenology Metrics From MODIS Data, *IEEE
14 Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 4, 361-371, 2011.

15 Trenberth, K. E., and Caron, J. M.: The Southern Oscillation Revisited: Sea Level Pressures, Surface
16 Temperatures, and Precipitation, *Journal of Climate*, 13, 4358-4365, 10.1175/1520-
17 0442(2000)013<4358:TSORSL>2.0.CO;2, 2000.

18 United Nations: *Global Drylands: A UN system-wide response*, Geneva, Switzerland, 2011.

19 van Dijk, A. I. J. M., Beck, H. E., Crosbie, R. S., de Jeu, R. a. M., Liu, Y. Y., Podger, G. M., Timbal, B., and
20 Viney, N. R.: The Millennium Drought in southeast Australia (2001-2009): Natural and human causes
21 and implications for water resources, ecosystems, economy, and society, *Water Resources Research*,
22 49, 1040-1057, 10.1002/wrcr.20123, 2013.

23 Walker, J. J., de Beurs, K. M., Wynne, R. H., and Gao, F.: Evaluation of Landsat and MODIS data fusion
24 products for analysis of dryland forest phenology, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 117, 381-393,
25 10.1016/j.rse.2011.10.014, 2012.

1 Walker, J. J., de Beurs, K. M., and Wynne, R. H.: Dryland vegetation phenology across an elevation
2 gradient in Arizona, USA, investigated with fused MODIS and Landsat data, *Remote Sensing of*
3 *Environment*, 144, 85-97, 10.1016/j.rse.2014.01.007, 2014.

4 White, M. A., Thornton, P. E., and Running, S. W.: A continental phenology model for monitoring
5 vegetation responses to interannual climatic variability, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 11, 217-234,
6 1997.

7 Young, W. J., and Kingsford, R. T.: Flow variability in large unregulated dryland rivers, in: *Ecology of*
8 *Desert Rivers*, edited by: R., K., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 2006.

9 Zhang, X., Friedl, M. A., and Schaaf, C. B.: Monitoring vegetation phenology using MODIS, *Remote*
10 *Sensing of Environment*, 84, 471-475, 2003.

11 Zhang, X., Friedl, M. A., and Schaaf, C. B.: Sensitivity of vegetation phenology detection to the
12 temporal resolution of satellite data, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 30, 2061-2074, 2009.

13 Zhang, X. Y., Friedl, M. A., and Tan, B.: Long-term detection of global vegetation phenology from
14 satellite instruments, in, edited by: Zhang, X., InTech, 2012.

15 Zhang, Y., Susan Moran, M., Nearing, M. A., Ponce Campos, G. E., Huete, A. R., Buda, A. R., Bosch, D.
16 D., Gunter, S. A., Kitchen, S. G., Henry McNab, W., Morgan, J. A., McClaran, M. P., Montoya, D. S.,
17 Peters, D. P. C., and Starks, P. J.: Extreme precipitation patterns and reductions of terrestrial
18 ecosystem production across biomes, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences*, 118, 148-
19 157, 10.1029/2012JG002136, 2013.

20

21

1 **Figure captions**

2 **Fig. 1.** Land cover map of Australia shows closed and open tree cover in dark and light green, respectively.
3 The purple colors that occur predominantly in the South West and South East represent crops and pasture.
4 Brown marks shrubs, orange colors mark tussock grass and light brown colors mark hummock grass cover
5 across most of the semi-arid and arid interior (land cover classes were aggregated based on: Lymburner et
6 al. (2011)). The most prominent topographic feature is the Great Dividing Range that runs along the Eastern
7 seaboard. Locations of the 21 OzFlux flux tower sites and 15 additional sites are shown as red and blue
8 circles. We used the EVI time series at the sites for phenological algorithm development and testing (site list
9 provided in Table 1). The phenology for the sites marked by a large black circles is presented and discussed
10 in Section 2.2.3. The bottom left panel shows the extent of the MDB.

11

12 **Fig. 2.** Algorithm steps applied to the 14-year MODIS EVI time series (MOD13C2 single 5.6-km pixel) for the
13 Alice Springs flux site representing semi-arid mulga (Acacia) woodland of the center of Australia. (A) EVI
14 time series after screening out low quality observations (brown circles), EVI time series after gap filling and
15 smoothing (blue circles), and flagged minimum and peak of cycle points (green diamonds). (B) Curves fitted
16 as 7-parameter double logistic functions (red squares) characterizing the phenological cycles, and identifying
17 start and end of cycles points (yellow circles) delineating the cycles. The timing, length, amplitude, and
18 magnitudes of the phenological cycles at the site vary inter-annually.

19

20 **Fig. 3.** Examples of temporal variability of the characterized phenological cycles for the Sturt Plains,
21 Calperum, and Great Western Woodlands sites (refer to Fig. 1 and Table 1 for the sites' location and
22 description, respectively). Based on 14-years of MODIS EVI data after screening out low quality observations
23 (brown circles), EVI time series after gap filling and smoothing (blue circles), fitting 7-parameter double
24 logistic functions (red squares) and identifying start and end of cycles points (yellow circles) delineating the
25 characterized phenological cycles.

26

27 **Fig. 4.** Mean of peak magnitude (A), mean of minimum magnitude (B), standard deviation of peak
28 magnitude (C) and standard deviation of minimum magnitude (D). A map of dominant land cover type is
29 provided in Fig. 1.

30

31 **Fig. 5.** Mean Julian day of the start of the phenological cycles (A1) and standard deviation of the start of the
32 phenological cycles in number of days (B1) and mean Julian day of the end of the phenological cycles (A2)
33 and standard deviation of the end of the phenological cycles in number of days (B2) across the 14-year time
34 series.

35

36 **Fig. 6.** Inter-annual variation in the peak timing. The Julian day of the phenological cycles' peak is displayed
37 in the calendar year when the peak occurred. The mean (\bar{x}) and standard deviation (σ) of the cycle peak

1 timing is provided for reference. The scale is cyclic. Areas where no peak was observed during a given
2 calendar year are shown in gray.

3

4 **Fig. 7. Mean of the cycles' integral greenness across the time series (top left panel in day units) and**
5 **standardized anomaly of each cycle's integrated greenness. The standardized anomalies of the cycles are**
6 **shown in the year when the cycle started. For example, for a site with six phenological cycles across the time**
7 **series that started in 2001, 2002, 2003, 2005, 2008 and 2010, the cycles' standard deviations are shown in**
8 **2001, 2002, 2003, 2005, 2008 and 2010. All other years are shown as gray as no phenological cycle start was**
9 **detected for those years. The white circle in the top left panel mark the OzFlux site shown in Fig. 2.**

10

11 **Fig. 8. Statistically significant relationships between monthly SOI and phenological cycle peak magnitude**
12 **(top row) and monthly rainfall and phenological cycle peak magnitude (bottom row). (A) SOI and rainfall**
13 **month most significantly correlated with peak magnitude. (B) Lead time of SOI and rainfall month relative to**
14 **phenological peak and (C) Spearman's rho. Areas with $p > 0.05$ area shown in white. The black box in the top**
15 **right panel marks the extent of the area shown in Fig. 9 centered on the Cooper Creek floodplain in interior**
16 **Eastern Australia.**

17

18 **Fig. 9. Significant Spearman rho correlations (shown in green) between monthly SOI and phenological cycle**
19 **peak magnitude over a region in central Australia. The Cooper Creek floodplain of the middle reach of the**
20 **Cooper Creek is visible in the center. Only areas with $p < 0.05$ and $\rho \geq 0.6$ are shown.**

21

22 **Fig. 10. Number of years within the 14-year time series where two peaks were detected mostly associated**
23 **with cropping or pasture land (Fig. 1).**

24

25

26

1 **Tables and Figures:**

Table 1. Names, locations, land cover class (Lymburner et al., 2011) and, average annual rainfall amounts (Australian Bureau of Meteorology, 2014c) for the 36 sites shown in Fig. 1						
Site Name	Ozflux site	Site Code Fig. 1	Lat (°S)	Long (°E)	Land cover classes	Average annual rainfall [mm]
Nullaboure		NU	-30.275	127.175	Woody shrubs scattered	200
Great Blight Desert		GBD	-29.125	133.075	Woody shrubs sparse	200
Lake Eyre		LE	-27.425	137.225	Woody shrubs sparse	200
Great Western Woodlands		GWW	-30.225	120.625	Woody trees scattered	300
East of Shark Bay		ESB	-24.475	116.325	Woody shrubs sparse	300
Central Western Australia		CW	-24.125	124.175	Woody shrubs sparse	300
Interior Southeast Australia		IEA	-29.425	144.225	Woody shrubs sparse chenopods	300
Calperum	x	CP	-34.025	140.375	Woody trees scattered	300
West Australian wheat belt		WAW	-32.125	117.425	Herbaceous graminoids rainfed	400
Irrigated cropping		IC	-35.275	145.275	Herbaceous graminoids rainfed	400
Alice Springs	x	AS	-22.275	133.225	Herbaceous graminoids sparse hummock grasses	400
Simpson Desert		SD	-20.475	124.025	Herbaceous graminoids sparse hummock grasses	400
Hamersley	x	HA	-22.275	115.725	Woody shrubs sparse	400
Great Western Woodlands flux	x	GWWF	-31.925	120.075	Herbaceous graminoids sparse hummock grasses	400
Queensland Tussock		QTU	-21.225	143.075	Herbaceous graminoids sparse hummock grasses	500
North West Queensland		NWQ	-19.525	140.025	Woody trees scattered	600

Sturt Plains	x	SP	-17.175	133.375	Woody trees sparse	600
Riggs Creek	x	RC	-36.625	145.575	Herbaceous graminoids rainfed pasture	800
Arcturus	x	AR	-23.875	149.275	Woody trees open	800
Gingin	x	GG	-31.375	115.725	Woody trees sparse	800
Otway	x	OT	-38.525	142.825	Herbaceous graminoids rainfed pasture	1000
Wombat	x	WO	-37.425	144.075	Woody trees closed	1000
Cumberland Plain	x	CU	-33.725	150.725	Woody trees sparse	1000
Dry River	x	DR	-15.275	132.375	Woody trees sparse	1000
Wallaby Creek	x	WC	-37.425	145.175	Woody trees closed	1200
Daly River Pasture	x	DRP	-14.075	131.375	Woody trees open	1200
West of North Queensland		WNQ	-16.275	142.475	Woody trees sparse	1200
Nimmo	x	NI	-36.225	148.575	Woody trees closed	1600
Samford	x	SA	-27.425	152.825	Woody trees closed	1600
Tumbarumba	x	TU	-35.675	148.175	Woody trees open	1600
Howard Springs	x	HO	-12.475	131.175	Woody trees open	1600
Dampier peninsula		DP	-15.125	125.725	Woody trees sparse	1600
Dargo	x	DA	-37.125	147.175	Herbaceous graminoids rainfed pasture	2000
Northwest Tasmania		NWT	-41.225	145.175	Woody trees closed	2000
Cape Tribulation	x	CT	-16.125	145.375	Woody trees closed	8000
Daintree	x	DT	-16.225	145.425	Woody trees closed	8000

1

2

3

4


5

Table 2. Percentage distribution of most significant correlation relationship between monthly SOI and phenological peak magnitude per land cover class across the MDB. Shown are percentages of the MDB occupied by different land cover, percentage of basin-wide significantly correlated areas per land cover, percent of significantly correlated land cover class and average rho value per land cover.

Aggregated land cover classes (LCC)	Percent of basin covered by each LCC	% of the areas of significant correlations between monthly SOI and peak magnitude within each LCC	% of each LCC where significant correlation between monthly SOI and peak magnitude occurred	Average rho of significant correlations within LCC
Trees	43.0	48.7	5.2	0.71
Shrubs	9.8	12.2	5.7	0.74
Grasses	19.0	22.7	5.4	0.72
Rain-fed agriculture and pasture	28.1	15.9	2.6	0.69
Irrigated agriculture and pasture	0.1	< 0.0	0.9	0.69


1

2




1

2 Fig. 1




3

4 Fig. 2






1

2 Fig. 3




1

2 Fig. 4



1

2 Fig.5




1

2 Fig. 6


mean integrated EVI
0 96

standard anomaly
-2.5 0 2.5




1

2 Fig. 7




1

2 Fig. 8



3

4 Fig. 9



1

2 Fig. 10