CH_4 and N_2O dynamics in the boreal forest-mire ecotone

B. Ťupek[1], K. Minkkinen[1], J. Pumpanen[1], T. Vesala[2], and E. Nikinmaa[1]

[1]{Department of Forest Sciences, P.O. Box 27, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland}

[2]{Department of Physics, P.O. Box 48, 00014 University of Helsinki, Finland}

Correspondence to: B. Ťupek (boris.tupek@helsinki.fi)

Abstract

In spite of advances in greenhouse gas research, the spatio-temporal CH_4 and N_2O dynamics of boreal landscape remain challenging e.g. we need clarification of whether forest-mire transitions are occasional hotspots of landscape CH_4 and N_2O emissions during exceptionally high and low ground water level events.

In our study, we tested the differences and drivers of CH_4 and N_2O dynamics of forest/mire types in field conditions along the soil moisture gradient of the forest-mire ecotone. Soils changed from podzols to histosols and ground water rose downslope from the depth of 10 m in upland sites to 0.1 m in mires. Yearly meteorological conditions changed from being exceptionally wet to typical and exceptionally dry for the local climate. The median fluxes measured with a static chamber technique varied from -51 to 586 μg m^{-2} h^{-1} for CH_4 and from 0 to 6 μg m^{-2} h^{-1} for N_2O between forest/mire types throughout the entire wet-dry period.

In spite of the highly dynamic soil water fluctuations in carbon rich soils in forest-mire transitions, there were no large peak emissions in CH_4 and N_2O fluxes and the flux rates changed minimally between years. Methane oxidations were significantly lower in poorly drained transitions than in the well-drained uplands. Water saturated mires showed large CH_4 emissions, which were reduced entirely during the exceptional summer drought period. Near zero N_2O fluxes did not differ significantly between the forest/mire types probably due to their low nitrification potential. When upscaling boreal landscapes, pristine forest-mire transitions should be categorized as CH_4 oxidation types and background N_2O emission types instead of CH_4 and N_2O emission hotspots.

1 Introduction

Soil fertility, soil water content and soil carbon storage of boreal forest varies between well drained mineral soils mainly found in uplands and poorly drained organic soils mainly found in peatlands (Seibert et al. 2007, Weishampel et al. 2009). The CH_4 and N_2O fluxes from mineral and organic soils are impacted by varying soil moisture conditions (Solondz et al. 2008, Pihlatie et al. 2004). Typical mineral soil forests are small sinks of CH_4 and small sources or sinks of N_2O (Moosavi and Crill 1997, Pihlatie et al. 2007). Sparsely forested peatlands are typically large or small sources of CH_4 and small sources or sinks of N_2O (Martikainen et al. 1995, Nykänen et al. 1995, D'Angelo and Reddy, 1998). Field CH_4 and N_2O studies of natural boreal forest-mire ecotones are rare (e.g. Ullah et al. 2009, Ullah and Moore 2011) in comparison to those of typical forests or peatlands. However, the forest-mire ecotone "the lagg transitional zone" collects nutrients from the adjacent mineral soil runoff and is often more minerotrophic, biologically diverse, and productive than open mires or bogs (Howie and Meerveld 2011). Furthermore, ecotones between forests and mires are ecological switches (Agnew et al. 1993), where the vegetation of forests and mires coincide and soils frequently undergo fluctuations in water level position and chemistry (Hartshorn et al. 2003, Howie and Meerveld 2011), and where the CH_4 and N_2O dynamics of forest-mire transitions may be expected to differ generally and on a year-to-year basis from those of typical forests and mires.

The CH_4 uptake of forest soils is a result of CH_4 oxidizing aerobic methanotrophs sensitive to water saturation, soil porosity, moisture, temperature, pH, and ammonium (Moosavi and Crill 1997, Saari et al. 2004, Jaatinen et al. 2004). Unsaturated upland forest soils oxidize CH_4 at higher rates than more water saturated, acidic, and ammonium rich forested peat soils (Saari et al. 2004). In contrast to the CH_4 sinks of upland forest soils, and drained peatlands, natural mires emit CH_4 to the atmosphere (Bubier et al. 1995, Nykänen et al. 1998, Kettunen et al. 1999). CH_4 production in peat soil is a result of methanogenic and methanotrophic active bacteria, whose activity depends on anoxic and oxic conditions below and above the water level, temperature and availability of carbon substrate (Kettunen et al. 1999). Increasing soil moisture increases anoxic conditions favorable for increased methanogenesis (Juottonen et al. 2005), and as a result increases CH_4 emissions (Saarnio et al. 1997, Ojanen et al. 2010, Yrjälä et al. 2011). **Methane production potential in peat soils generally increases**

positively with pH (Juottonen et al. 2005, Ye et al. 2012), whereas CH_4 oxidation of forested peatlands has narrow pH optimum around 5.5 (Saari et al. 2004). The forest-mire transitions could become CH_4 sources during the period of elevated water table depth and higher pH levels.

N_2O emissions in well-drained boreal forest soils are controlled by soil moisture, pH, available nitrate, ammonium, oxygen, and carbon concentrations (Regina et al. 1996, Ullah et al. 2008). N_2O production is limited by the amount of nitrogen and is subject to denitrification and nitrification processes (Ambus et al. 2006). In well-drained soils NO_3 limitation, anoxic microsites, and larger soil porosity may also promote N_2O consumption (Frasier et al. 2010). N_2O consumption of soils correlates with dehydrogenase activity, which is affected by oxidation-reduction status and possibly controlled by soil moisture (Wlodarczyk et al. 2005). The N_2O consumption by soils is attributed to respiratory reduction (Conrad 1996) caused by denitrifiers and nitrifiers (Rosenkranz et al. 2006). N_2O emissions increase during drier periods through increased ammonification and nitrification (Regina et al. 1996, Nykänen et al. 1995, von Arnold et al. 2005). In water saturated minerotrophic peatlands nitrification supplies nitrate (Wrage et al. 2001) for denitrification, which is the main but small N_2O source (Wray et al. 2007, Frasier et al. 2010). **In nutrient rich mires, N_2O emissions increase during drier periods through increased ammonification and nitrification (Regina et al. 1996, Nykänen et al. 1995, von Arnold et al. 2005). Nitrification and supply of nitrate for denitrification increases with higher pH (Regina et al., 1996). However, if nitrate is available low pH increases N_2O emissions (Weslien et al., 2009). Thus, if nitrate would be present during water level drawdown conditions then the forest-mire transitions could become sources of N_2O.**

Our aims were 1) to test whether forest floor CH_4 and N_2O fluxes of the forest-mire transition differ from the typical upland forests and lowland mires of natural boreal landscape and 2) how meteorologically different years, i.e., exceptionally wet (2004), typical (2005), and exceptionally dry (2006), affect the fluxes.

We addressed the question, if in forest-mire transitions increasing wetness promote CH_4 production, and whether dry conditions reduce CH_4 production and increase N_2O emissions. We hypothesized that forest/mire types exhibit distinct levels of CH_4 and N_2O fluxes due to the changing soil structure from podzols to histosols and due to increasing soil water content from xeric to saturated. We expected that the occasionally saturated organo-mineral soils of forest-mire transitions are variable sources of CH_4 and N_2O fluxes. **In order to evaluate the underlying factors behind CH_4 and N_2O forest floor fluxes, we measured the fluxes and environmental variables such as soil temperature, soil moisture, water table depth, and soil water pH on 9 sites along the forest-mire ecotone during exceptionally different meteorological conditions. In order to detect statistical significant differences between CH_4 and N_2O fluxes of 9 sites we used two-way analysis of variance; and for better understanding of flux responses to environmental factors we used linear and non-linear regression models, and residual sensitivity analysis.**

2 Material and methods

2.1 Study site characteristics

The Vatiharju-Lakkasuo ecotone of nine forest and mire study sites forms a gradient in vegetation communities, soil moisture and nutrient conditions in Central Finland (61º 47', 24º 19') (Ťupek et al. 2008). Forest/mire types were classified using the Finnish classification systems (Cajander 1949, Laine et al., 2004) based on soil fertility reflected by the composition and abundance of forest floor vegetation, and by the site location on the slope. The ecotone study sites are situated along a 450 m transect on a hillslope with a relative relief of 15 meters and a 3.3% slope facing NE (Figure 1a). The fertility of the forest/mire sites increase from the poorly fertile sites at the xeric and saturated edges of the ecotone towards the most fertile *Oxalis-Myrtillus* type forest (OMT) in the middle of the hillslope (Figure 1b).

Dominant vegetation composition changes with increasing soil moisture down the slope. Xeric Scots pine forest (CT – *Calluna* Type) on the summit of glacial sandy esker gives way to subxeric Scots pine Norway spruce forest (VT – *Vaccinium Vitis Idea* Type) on the shoulder, and mesic and herbrich Norway spruce dominated types on the backslope and footslope (MT – *Vaccinium Myrtillus* Type, OMT - *Oxalis-Myrtillus Type*). The toeslope

contains forest-mire transitions of paludified mixed spruce-pine-birch forests (OMT+ - *Oxalis-Myrtillus* Paludified, KgK - *Myrtillus* Spruce Forest Paludified). There is a permanently wet mixed spruce-pine-birch swamp (KR – Spruce Pine Swamp) at the mire edge of the forest-mire transitions. On the level of the hillslope there are birch-pine fen mires with open tree canopies (VSR1 - and VSR2 - Tall Sedge Pine Fen) (Figure 1b). The forest floor vegetation is composed of site-specific mosses and vascular plants (Figure 1c).

Soils are formed by well-drained haplic podzols on the hillslope, intermediately drained histic and gleyic-histic podzols in the forest-mire transitions on the toe of the slope, and permanently wet hemic histosols downslope (Figure 1d).

We measured pH during summer campaign 2005 from soil water data collected on all sites by suction-cup lysimeters. Three lysimeters were installed in 10 cm and one in 30 cm depth below the soil surface in each site. Detailed description of the lysimeters and sampling procedure can be found in Starr (1985). The pH was measured on the day of water sampling in the laboratory by pH meter equipped with a glass electrode. The mean acidity level of the sites of forest-mire ecotone was gradually increasing from pH 5.6 in uplands (CT) to 4.4 in transitions (KR), whereas mires were less acid than transitions with pH 5.1 and 4.8 (VSR1 and VSR2 respectively) (Table 1). Collected soil water from 30 cm depth showed generally higher pH than soil water pH at 10 cm depth. Three soil cores for each plot were taken in July 2006 from the top soil (0-10 cm) in upland forests and from the two profile depths (0-10 cm, 10-30 cm) in forest mire transitions and in peatlands. The volume of samples was measured before the oven drying at 70 °C to determine the bulk density. The bulk density of the upper organic layer ranged from 0.24 gcm^{-3} (KR) to 0.48 gcm^{-3} (MT) and was approximately half of the bulk density of the organic layer from 10-30 cm depth (mean of transitions and mires 0.77 gcm^{-3}) (Table 1). The C/N ratio was determined once for each plot from the soil organic matter analysed by dry combustion with Leco CNS-1000 (Leco Corp., USA). The C/N ratio was wider in the 0-10 cm profile (mean 37) than in the 10-30 cm profile (mean 27). The highest N content and lowest C/N ratio along the ecotone was found in forest-mire transitions OMT+ and KgK (Table 1). A more detailed forest/mire type characterization is given by Ťupek et al. (2008).

2.2 Micrometeorological conditions

The micrometeorological measurements along the Vatiharju - Lakkasuo forest-mire ecotone were taken weekly during the summers of 2004 (July-November), 2005 (May-November), 2006 (May-September), and monthly during the winters (December-April). The forest floor soil temperatures (°C) at depths of 5, 15, and 30 cm (T_5, T_{15}, and T_{30}) were measured using a portable thermometer connected to thermocouples installed permanently in the soil. The volumetric soil moisture (%) at depths of 5, 10, and 30 cm (SWC_5, SWC_{10}, and SWC_{30}) was measured by a portable ThetaProbe (Delta-T Devices Ltd.) in diagonally installed perforated PVC tubes, to ensure the same compactness of the soil. The depth of water table was measured inside PVC tubes (ø 30 mm) installed at each site. Precipitation was measured by an automated bucket system at a station for monitoring forest – atmosphere relations, SMEARII (Hari and Kulmala, 2005), located 6km north - west from the forest-mire ecotone. Missing soil temperature and moisture data of ecotone were gap filled by linear regression between continuous measurements of soil temperature and moisture at SMEARII.

2.3 CH_4 and N_2O fluxes

The field gas sampling was conducted weekly in the 2004 and 2005 seasons, bi-weekly during the 2006 season, and monthly during the winters. The gas sampling was done the same day ±one day as the micrometeorological measurements. If there was packed snow on the ground the gas samples were taken from the top and bottom layers; and the CH_4 (μg m^{-2} h^{-1}) and N_2O (μg m^{-2} h^{-1}) fluxes were calculated by the snowpack diffusion method using each gas concentration difference, snow depth, porosity and temperature, and gas diffusion coefficients as in Sommerfeld et al. (1993). Otherwise if there was no snowpack, the samples were taken from 3 opaque, vented, closed, static chambers (ø 315 mm, h 295 mm) placed air tightly on preinstalled collars. On each measuring occasion a sample of ambient gas and four 15 ml samples from each of the three chambers were drawn in syringes at intervals of 5, 10, 15, 20 min from chamber closure, totaling 13 samples for each site. Chamber temperature was monitored during the sampling. After the sampling event, the gas samples were stored in coolers at +4°C and analyzed within 36 hours in a laboratory with a gas chromatograph. The gas chromatograph (Hewlett-Packard, USA) model number HP-5890A was fitted with a flame ionization detector (FID) for CH_4 and an electron capture detector (ECD) for N_2O detection. The gas chromatograph was also equipped with a moisture trap. Prior to analysis of field samples and after each set of 13 samples a reference gas sample of known CH_4 and

N_2O concentration was analyzed. The CH_4 (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) and N_2O (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) fluxes were calculated from the slope of linear regression between the set of 4 gas concentrations and sampling time, time elapsed after the chamber closure, and by applying temperature correction. For the flux calculation we used a MATLAB (The Mathworks Inc.) script developed at the Dept. of Physics, University of Helsinki.

The quantification limit of the gas chromatograph (MQL) was based on 100 subsequently analyzed samples of reference gas of known CH_4 and N_2O concentrations (mean +/- two SD: 1.837 +/-0.055 and 0.295+/-0.023 ppm respectively) and reference gas samples analyzed before the set of field samples for each site. The MQL was a gas specific standard deviation of the random fluxes derived from 1000 random sets of 4 CH_4 or N_2O concentrations of reference gas samples (22 µg m^{-2} h^{-1} for CH_4 and 18 µg m^{-2} h^{-1} for N_2O). In order to minimize the random error related to gas sampling in the field, fluxes were verified using the ambient field air sample analyzed before each sequence of chamber samples adopting similar criteria as used in Alm et al. (2007). Due to gas sampling disturbances in the field and poor gas chromatograph accuracy 17% of CH_4 and 49% of N_2O fluxes were discarded.

2.4 Statistical analysis

Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to test whether CH_4 and N_2O fluxes of forest/mire types have common means in wet, typical, and dry years. Post-hoc Tukey HSD tests were used to test the pairwise differences between the forest/mire types and years changing from wet to dry. For CH_4 fluxes we ran ANOVA tests twice, first on the whole dataset including nine forest/mire types and then on a subset of data including upland forests and forest-mire transitions, and excluding mires. For testing significant differences between the two groups of data we performed Welch's Two Sample t-test e.g. between the N_2O fluxes from the snow on the ground season (January-April in 2006) and the N_2O fluxes from the snowless seasons (May-November in 2005 and May-September in 2006).

In addition to ANOVA, we tested the dependence between the measured CH_4 (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) and the gap filled half-hourly environmental variables in separate models for: a) the upland forests on mineral soils (VT, VT, MT, OMT) and b) forest-mire transitions on organo-mineral soils and (OMT+, KgK, and KR), and c) mires (VSR1, VSR2).

CH_4 fluxes (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) of uplands and transitions were fitted by two linear mixed-effects regression models with a random effect for forest types (Pinheiro et al. 2013). For both groups of forest types, we evaluated the effect of all our environmental variables on CH_4 together and their combinations iteratively by selecting the model combination of variables that were significant.

The CH_4 fluxes for upland forests and transitions included soil moisture at 10 cm (%) (SWC_{10}) and soil temperature at 5 cm (°C) (T_5) as predictors in separate models (Eqs. (1) and (2)):

$$yu_{ij} = \beta_{CT}\,SWC_{10} + \beta_{VT}\,SWC_{10} + \beta_{MT}\,SWC_{10} + \beta_{OMT}\,SWC_{10} + \beta_{CT}\,T_5 + \beta_{VT}\,T_5 + \beta_{MT}\,T_5 + \beta_{OMT}\,T_5 + b_{CT} + b_{VT} + b_{MT} + b_{OMT} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (1)$$

$$yt_{ij} = \beta_{OMT}SWC_{10} + \beta_{KgK}SWC_{10} + \beta_{KR}SWC_{10} + \beta_{OMT}T_5 + \beta_{KgK}T_5 + \beta_{KR}T_5 + b_{OMT+} + b_{KgK} + b_{KR} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (2)$$

where yu_{ij} and yt_{ij} is the CH_4 flux (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) for upland forests or transitions and for a particular i^{th} forest type and the j^{th} observation, β_{CT} through β_{KR} are the fixed effect coefficients for a particular i^{th} forest type (CT, VT,MT, OMT Eq. (1), or OMT+, KgK, and KR Eq. (2)), SWC_{10}, and T_5 are the fixed effect variables (predictors) for observation j in forest type i where each forest type's predictor is assumed to be multivariate normally distributed, b_{CT} through b_{KR} are intercepts for the random effect for a particular i^{th} forest type and ε_{ij} is the error for case j in forest type i where each forest type's error is assumed to be multivariate normally distributed (Table 2).

The CH_4 fluxes (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) of mires were fitted by using a multiplicative non-linear regression model with a combined response to water table depth and soil temperature at 5 cm Eq. (3):

$$y_{ij} = a_0 e^{\left(-0.5\left(\frac{WT-WTopt}{WTtol}\right)^2\right)} e^{\left(-0.5\left(\frac{T5-Topt}{Ttol}\right)^2\right)} + \varepsilon_{ij}. \quad (3)$$

where $y$ij is the CH_4 flux (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) for the i^{th} mire (VSR1,VSR2) and for the j^{th} case, *WT* (cm) is water table depth, T5 (°C) is soil temperature at 5 cm, and a_0, *WTopt*, *WTtol*, *Topt*, *Ttol* are parameters (Table 3).

The N_2O fluxes (μg m^{-2} h^{-1}) of all forest/mire types were fitted by using one multiplicative non-linear regression model with a combined response to soil moisture and soil temperature at 5 cm Eq. (4):

$$z_{ij} = a_0 SWC_5 e^{\left(-0.5\left(\frac{T5-Topt}{Ttol}\right)^2\right)} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \quad (4)$$

where zij is the N_2O flux (μg m^{-2} h^{-1}) for the i^{th} mire (VSR1,VSR2) and for the j^{th} case, SWC_5 (%) is soil moisture at 5 cm, and T5 (°C) is soil temperature at 5 cm, and a_0, *Topt*, *Ttol* are parameters (Table 4).

To illustrate the sensitivity of CH_4 and N_2O flux response to environmental factors we performed a residual analysis by simulating a value for each data point with only one factor allowed to vary and the other set to its mean level. To examine correlations between CH_4 and N_2O fluxes and pH, and soil properties we preformed the Pearson's correlation tests. The statistical analyses were performed in MATLAB R2012a (The Mathworks Inc.) and in R (R Core Team 2013) software environments.

3 Results

3.1 Micrometeorological conditions

The largest differences between years 2004, 2005, and 2006 were seen in changing summer precipitation patterns (measured nearby the SMEARII station). The average June-August monthly precipitation was reduced from 94 to 44 mm from a wet 2004 to a dry 2006, while ambient temperature increased from 14 °C to 17 °C. In the coldest summer (2004) the average precipitation in June and July was over 117 mm, and dropped to 47 mm in August. In the typically warm summer of 2005 the monthly precipitation gradually increased up to 123 mm in August, and dropped to 58 mm in September. However, in the warmest summer (2006) the monthly precipitation never reached more than 48 mm. In July 2006, two rainless weeks induced a drought. By drought we mean that the soil water content in the upper soil layer (in mineral soils) was so low that mosses wilted and dried (all along the ecotone). The drought conditions lessened in mid-August and ended in September with increasing rains towards autumn. Late autumn was exceptionally warm and snowless.

Monthly median soil temperatures at 5 cm (T_5) ranged from around 5 °C in May, culminated to around 15-16 °C in July and August and subsided again to around 5 °C in October. The non-vegetative season T_5 minimum was close to 0 °C. The warmest T_5 was in upland forest 1CT and the coldest was in upper forest-mire transition 5 OMT+. Soil temperature slightly increased from forest-mire transitions towards mires. In spite of the ambient air temperature difference throughout all the months in the 3 years, we detected differences mainly during early and late season in 2004, 2005, and 2006 T_5 (Figure 2a).

The median water table (WT) showed the obvious rise from 10 m at the summit of the hill, to around 1 m in the mid-slope, between 0.5 and 0.1 m at the toe-slope and close to 0.01 m on the level (Figure 2b). The seasonal WT rise in 2005 was observed between the July and August medians. During the drought of 2006, the WT values dropped less than 0.1 m for the uppermost forest sites, but dropped heavily by ~1 m in the forest-mire transitions, and more than 0.5 m in the lowermost peatland sites.

Volumetric soil water content (SWC) in 10 cm depth ranged from a dry value of around 10% in the mineral soils to a water-saturated value of around 80% in swamp and mires (Figure 2c). The largest drought reduction of SWC was in August 2006 on the well-drained sandy podzol at the summit of the hill, and also on the poorly drained histic podzol on the toe slope.

3.2 CH_4 fluxes

The median fluxes from the forest floor varied from -51 to 586 µg m^{-2} h^{-1} for CH_4 among individual forest/mire types (CT, VT, MT, OMT, OMT+, KgK, KR, VSR1, VSR2) during the entire period (Figure 3a). The small negative CH_4 fluxes associated with prevailing oxidation were mostly observed in uplands and in transitions while mires typically showed large positive higher CH_4 fluxes associated with prevailing production. The CH_4 dynamics changed exponentially with increasing levels of the ground water table from small consumptions to large productions (Figure 2, Figure 3). The median CH_4 fluxes of uplands (CT, VT, MT, OMT), transitions (OMT+, KgK, KR), and mires (VSR1, VSR2) varied from -38, -8, and 392 µg m^{-2} h^{-1} respectively (Figure 3b). Momentary CH_4 fluxes of uplands and transitions ranged from -342 to 143 µg m^{-2} h^{-1}, whereas in mires the fluxes ranged from -12 to 6808 µg m^{-2} h^{-1} (Figure 3b). The median CH_4 fluxes for one upland (VT) and all the transitions (OMT+, KgK, KR) were found inside the range of the gas chromatograph

detection limits (MQL_{CH4} = 22 µg m^{-2} h^{-1}). In forest-mire transitional types the ground water level in August 2005 increased towards the surface and approached the levels typically found in mires (Figure 2b), but the soil water saturation in transitions was not followed by CH_4 emissions such as those found in mires.

A two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) showed that forest floor CH_4 fluxes differed significantly for the nine forest/mire types of the ecotone $F(8, 1252) = 108$, $p < 0.001$ and for the wet, typical, and dry years $F(2, 1252) = 10$, $p < 0.001$. There was a significant interaction between CH_4 fluxes of forest/mire types and wet, typical, and dry years $F(16, 1252) = 5$, $p < 0.001$. Tukey post-hoc comparison of the nine forest/mire types indicated that mires (VSR1, VSR2) gave significantly higher CH_4 fluxes than the other forest types. Differences in means (M) and 95% confidence limits (CI) ranged from minimum VSR2-KgK (M = 481, 95% CI [352, 610]) to maximum VSR1-OMT (M = 793, 95% CI [668, 918]) at $p < 0.001$. Also the CH_4 fluxes of the mires were significantly different from each other VSR2-VSR1 (M = -260, 95% CI [-384, -137]), $p < 0.001$. Differences between the years were significant at $p < 0.001$ for dry-typical (M = -96, 95% CI [-149, -43]) when CH_4 fluxes of mires were highly reduced. The comparison of mean CH_4 fluxes of typical-wet (M = 51, 95% CI [-6, 108]), $p = 0.089$ and dry-wet years did not show a significant difference (M = -45, 95% CI [-111, 20]), $p = 0.237$.

Differences between the other forest types (transitions, uplands) were not significant when analyzed together with the CH_4 fluxes of mires. The CH_4 fluxes for the seven transitional and upland forest types were significantly different $F(6, 976) = 71$, $p < 0.001$ when ANOVA was run without mires. Though unlike the nine forest/mire type dataset, for the group of uplands with transitions there was no difference between wet, typical, and dry years $F(2, 976) = 1$, $p = 0.292$ or their interactions $F(12, 976) = 1$, $p = 0.135$. The mean CH_4 oxidation of the upland forests (-42.9 µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) was for the whole period significantly larger than the mean CH_4 oxidation of the forest-mire transitions (-12.8 µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) according to Welch's two sample t-test $t(994) = 15.56$, $p < 0.001$. Tukey post-hoc comparison of the differences in the mean CH_4 fluxes for 21 pairs of seven upland and transitional forest types was significant for 17 pairs at $p < 0.001$ and ranged from OMT-VT (M = -35, 95% CI [-45, -25]) to KR-OMT (M = 51, 95% CI [41, 61]). Tukey post-hoc comparisons showed non-significant p values for 4 of the 21

pairs of CH_4 fluxes of transitional and upland forest types (MT-CT 0.056, OMT+-VT 0.965, OMT-MT 0.431, and KR-KgK 0.999).

3.3 Factors controlling CH_4 fluxes

The mean level of CH_4 fluxes of upland and transitional forests differed (Table 2, parameter "group bi"), though the sensitivity response to environmental factors was similar (Figure 4). The largest part of the CH_4 fluxes remained unexplained with our models, as the proportion of explained variance was relatively low for uplands (10%) and transitions (15%) and slightly higher for mires (22%). The modeled CH_4 flux response for the upland and transitional forest types to soil moisture at 10 cm was nearly flat, although the soil moisture parameter was significant ($p = 0.011$, Table 2). In the transitional *Oxalis-Myrtillus* Paludified forest type OMT+, where the soil moisture at 10 cm ranged from 20% (in the uplands) to over 70% (in the mires), the modeled CH_4 flux response between dry and water saturated soil differed by 50 µg m^{-2} h^{-1}. A stronger gradient than that in the soil moisture was detected by modeling stronger temperature responses of CH_4 fluxes for the uplands and the nearly flat response for the transitions (Figure 4). The model parameter to soil temperature at 5 cm in the uplands was highly significant at $p < 0.001$, in contrast to transitions where the temperature parameter was insignificant $p = 0.629$ (Table 2). In the mires the observed range of water level during wet, typical, and dry years spanned from the surface to a depth of 54 cm and showed a sigmoidal response with lower CH_4 fluxes towards the extreme ends. The optimum water level for CH_4 effluxes was at 18 cm (se 2.2) below the surface with 16.6 cm tolerance which is deviation of water level up to 60% of CH_4 flux maximum (Figure 4, $p < 0.001$, WT_{opt} and WT_{tol} in Table 3). Optimum near surface peat temperature for the CH_4 emissions was found at 13.9 °C (se 1.4) with 6.4 °C tolerance (Figure 4, $p < 0.001$, T_{opt} and T_{tol} in Table 3).

3.4 N_2O fluxes

During the typical and dry years the momentary forest floor N_2O fluxes of forest/mire types ranged from -107 to 248 µg m^{-2} h^{-1}. The median N_2O fluxes were similar for the forest/mire types and ranged only from 0 to 6 µg m^2 h^{-1} (Figure 5). The median N_2O fluxes of all forest/mire types were found inside the range of the method quantification limits (MQL_{N2O} = 18 µg m^{-2} h^{-1}). The N_2O fluxes of the snow on the ground period were significantly lower than the N_2O fluxes of the snowless period according to Welch's two sample t-test $t(297) = 5.094$, $p < 0.001$. Forest floor N_2O fluxes did not differ significantly for the nine forest/mire

types of the ecotone for the snowless periods $F(8, 284) = 0.708$, $p = 0.684$. Though, the momentary N_2O fluxes were significantly different in typical and dry snowless seasons $F(1, 284) = 6.157$, $p < 0.014$. N_2O fluxes were lower during dry snowless seasons and a small increase was observed only in one forest-mire transition (KR – Spruce Pine Swamp) and in one mire (VSR2 - Tall Sedge Pine Fen) (Figure 6).

In general N_2O fluxes were low and did not show clear spatial differences in relation to increasing soil moisture from xeric uplands to water saturated mires, but the N_2O fluxes were lower in the dry than in the typical years. The post-hoc Tukey tests of means and 95% confidence limits of N_2O fluxes for all pairs (except one) showed insignificant forest/mire type pair-wise differences during the whole period and also during the snowless periods of wet or dry years (Figure 6). The significant N_2O flux difference for VSR2-OMT in a dry year ($M = 35$, 95% CI [3, 68], $p = 0.02$) was caused by a small decrease in OMT and increase in VSR2 fluxes.

3.5 Factors controlling N_2O fluxes

The sensitivity response of fluxes was weak in relation to soil moisture at 5 cm and had a somewhat clearer and significant relation with soil temperature at 5 cm ($p < 0.001$, Table 4, Figure 7). The modeled Gaussian type response showed optimum N_2O production at 11.3 (°C) soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm with a very narrow temperature range increasing from 7 °C and subsiding at 14 °C.

3.6 Effects of pH and soil properties on CH_4 and N_2O flux

The site specific momentary CH_4 and N_2O fluxes did not show significant correlation with varying soil water pH (except for one correlation coefficient $r = -0.45$, $p = 0.02$ on MT for N_2O and pH at 10 cm). No correlation was found between CH_4 momentary data on the ecotone level. Although, for the CH_4 data including group of upland forest and forest-mire transitions (excluding mires) Pearson correlation between momentary CH_4 fluxes and soil water pH was significant ($r = -0.32$, $p < 0.001$). Mean values of summer 2005 CH_4 of upland forests and forest-mire transition were negatively correlated with mean pH ($CH_4 = 129.35 -$

33.36*pH, r^2 = 0.49, Fig. 8a). The ecotone N_2O fluxes of the summer 2005 pH campaign were significantly correlated with pH (r = 0.174, p = 0.004). The mean N_2O values of sites increased with mean pH (N_2O = −117.07 + 27.33*pH, r^2 = 0.32, Fig. 8b). However, the post-hoc Tukey differences of mean N_2O fluxes from forest floor for the pair-wise comparisons of forest/mire types were not significant for 31 pairs and mean N_2O flux differences were significant only for 5 pairs (KgK-CT, VSR1-KgK, VSR1-KR, VSR1-MT, VSR1-OMT, Figure 9). We did not find significant correlation between site specific mean CH_4 and N_2O flux and bulk density and/or C/N ratio.

4 Discussion

4.1 CH_4 dynamics

The forest/mire types significantly differ in forest floor CH_4 fluxes and between wet, typical and dry years. As expected, the largest difference was found between emissions of mires and the small oxidation of other forest types. However, CH_4 oxidation also showed significant differences between the forest types on mineral soil (uplands) and organo-mineral soil (transitions). Our study demonstrated that the CH_4 flux response to soil moisture changes with the relatively small mesoscale levels of a forest-mire ecotone (450 m long transect) (Figure 4). The CH_4 flux sensitivity to soil moisture showed a positive linear response to CH_4 oxidation for the drier soils of transitions and uplands. **Alternatively CH_4 emission in mires showed a Gaussian form response to water level depth with a reduction of the optimum under saturated or dry peat conditions (Fig. 4).** We have complemented the few studies on forest-mire gradients (e.g. Moosavi and Crill 1997, Ullah et al. 2009, Ullah and Moore 2011) and have lowered the likelihood of forest-mire transitions being biogeochemical hotspots of CH_4 emissions during short-term water level fluctuations.

The lack of an increase in CH_4 emissions during increased ground water levels in the transitions in our study could be attributed more to the relatively slow response of CH_4 producing bacteria than to the effectiveness of CH_4 oxidation which was reduced by a reduction in the aerated soil layer. Mäkiranta et al. (2009) showed that in forested peatlands the highest abundance of respiratory microbes could be found in the zone around the average water level. It is also known that the depth of maximum CH_4 production and oxidation is

strongly related to 30-day average water level depth with time lag differences between the drier and wetter microsites (Kettunen et al. 1999). The duration of exceptionally increased high water levels was probably too short for CH_4 producing bacteria to relocate and/or adapt to water saturated conditions. **Methane production potential of mire varies in relation to methanogen communities, substrate availability, pH, and temperature (Jouttonen et al. 2005, Jouttonen et al. 2008). Unlike in open mires, in drier conditions (similar to our forest-mire margin) decrease in methanogen community is associated with low CH_4 production potential and with low emissions (Yrjälä et al. 2011). In forest-mire margin, also relatively small population of methanotrophic microbes, coupled with *Sphagnum* mosses, and low CH_4 oxidation potential, related to low CH_4 concentrations in moss layer, could indicate low production potential (Larmola et al. 2010). It's known that water level depth is major control of CH_4 oxidation, and that *Sphagnum* species originally not oxidising CH_4 need several days up to a month to activate methanotrophs through a water phase (Larmola et al. 2010, Putkinen et al. 2012).**

Temporally water saturated soil layers of pristine forest-mire transitions had low CH_4 production partly due to highly acidic pH levels imposing physiological restrictions on soil microbial communities. Methanogenic activity in water saturated organic soils can be reduced by high acidity (e.g. Ye et al. 2012). **Activity of methanotrophic microbes of peatlands, forest peatlands, and upland forest soils is also pH dependent with optimum above 5(Danilova and Dedysh 2013, Saari et al. 2004). Our forest-mire transitions had mean pH below 5 and demonstrated lowest net CH_4 oxidation rates in comparison to upland forests on mineral soils (Fig.8) which is in line with Saari et al. (2004). Although, methane oxidation by methanothrophs in mineral soil sites was positively pH dependent (all mineral soil sites were net sinks), in mineral soil sites CH_4 production is primarily limited by high oxygen content.** Small momentary CH_4 emissions (Supplement Fig. 3a) observed in forest-mire transitions also indicated potential for occasionally higher production than consumption/oxidation.

Beside differences in microsite soil water saturation, pH, and microbial communities, also plant communities (e.g. Saarnio et al., 1997, Strom et al. 2003, Riutta et al., 2007, Dorodnikov et al. 2011) play important role in explaining net CH_4 emissions. In our forest-mire margin e.g. in Pine Spruce swamp (KR) and in Paludified Spruce forest (KgK) vascular plants (Fig. 1c) could contributed to an increase in net forest floor CH_4 emissions (Supplement Fig. 3), if methane production occasionally increased. It's known that transport of recently photosynthesized carbon downwards to plant roots partly feeds microbial methane production (Alm et al., 1997, Strom et al. 2003, Dorodnikov et al. 2011). After methanogenesis, aerenchyma of vascular plants mediates transport of CH_4 to atmosphere and increases net emissions (Hornibrook et al. 2009, Dorodnikov et al. 2011). Small amount of methane that is transported by pore water diffusion is efficiently oxidized by methanotrophs in the aerobic layer of peat and Sphagnum mosses (Hornibrook et al. 2009, Larmola et al. 2010).

Small CH_4 emissions as observed in relatively dry Scots pine dominated forests (VT – *Vaccinium Vitis idea* type) (Figure 3) with sandy podzol soil and ground water depths around two meters, have been occasionally found in mineral soil forests in other studies. This implies that plants' deepest roots play a role in CH_4 transport via the transpiration stream (Megonigal and Guenther 2008). Ullah et al. (2009) found that Spruce forest soils produced CH_4 only during the spring thaw season but later under drier summer conditions soils switched to CH_4 consumption. In our study the rare occurrence of small CH_4 emissions from forest soils differed between forest types and cannot only be attributed to increased soil moisture levels of microsites or transport from deep ground water sources. Small CH_4 emissions could be also partly attributed to the random noise in measurements. However, all the data showed a significant reduction of CH_4 uptake with increasing soil moisture at 10 cm, this may be associated with oxidation processes.

The form of CH_4 flux – soil moisture sensitivity is better known from soil incubation studies (Pihlatie et al. 2004, Ullah et al. 2007) than from field studies, as field soil moisture ranges may be narrow (e.g. Nakamo et al. 2004). In order to describe the sensitivity of CH_4 uptake to moisture in the field we need a large amount of data covering a wide range of soil conditions

(e.g. Hashimoto et al. 2011). In our study soil moisture varied between xeric and saturated conditions both spatially along the ecotone and temporally between years. Temporal soil water saturation in transitional forest-mire sites rather reduced CH_4 oxidations than promoted such CH_4 emissions as found in nearby permanently saturated mires. Beside the sensitivity of CH_4 fluxes to moisture we also observed sensitivity to soil temperature (Figure 4) possibly also reflecting the role of soil physiochemical properties and/or the activity of methanogens. The positively increasing CH_4 oxidation rates with temperature in upland forest types could reflect the importance of soil physiochemical properties e.g. bulk density, whereas the Gaussian form may also reflect a biological driven response in mires.

In our upland forests the role of soil physiochemical and microbiological drivers may have contributed to the fact that the temperature and moisture significantly explained just 10% of the variation. Although our mean CH_4 data did not show significant correlations with bulk density, the porous organic horizon is known to enable larger diffusion and CH_4 oxidation (Nakamo et al. 2004, Ullah and Moore 2011). It was difficult to assess the differences in sensitivity of CH_4 oxidation because of poor MQL and low fluxes of CH_4 oxidation. The absolute levels of the temperature effect on CH_4 fluxes in forest-mire transitions caused part of the signal to be mixed with variable sources of sampling errors and gas chromatograph precision errors. Though, in transitions both soil physiochemical and microbiological drivers may be important for CH_4 oxidations, as our forest-mire transitions showed a significant relation to soil moisture but not to temperature. The weak response of CH_4 oxidation to temperature was in contrast to the strong response to moisture and bulk density found in forests growing on mineral soils (Hashimoto et al. 2011). However, Nakamo et al. (2004) reported a clear relation with temperature but not with moisture for boreal birch forest (similar to our KR – Spruce Pine Swamp).

In mires, the form of CH_4 sensitivity to temperature and water table depth may be also determined by differences in pH, the composition of microbial and plant functional communities (Bubier et al. 1995, Jaatinen et al. 2004, Jouttonen et al. 2005, Jouttonen et al. 200, Larmola et al. 2010, Riutta et al. 2007, Saarnio et al. 1997, Saari et al. 2004, Yrjälä et al. 2011). The CH_4 emissions in VSR1 - Tall Sedge Pine Fen were larger than in VSR2 - Tall Sedge Pine Fen (Figure 4). Differences in

pH could favor methanogen activity in less acid fen (Jouttonen et al. 2005, Yrjälä et al. 2011, Ye et al. 2012). Slightly different coverage of vascular aerynchymous plants and *Sphagnum* mosses between VSR1 and VSR2 (Fig. 1c) could strongly affect site specific CH_4 production and oxidation potentials. In VSR1 the water level was closer to the surface, and the lawn microsites had a greater abundance of *Menyanthes* species, which are known to mediate higher CH_4 transport (Bubier et al. 1995, Macdonald et al. 1998). Shallower form of CH_4 sensitivity to water table in a hummock type fen VSR2 than in lawn type of fen VSR1 could resulted from differences in plant mediated CH_4 emissions (e.g. Riutta et al. 2007, Hornibrook et al. 2009, Dorodnikov et al. 2011) or CH_4 oxidation potential between *Sphagnum* species (Larmola et al. 2010). For example in the study by Saarnio et al. (1997) the CH_4 flux response to water level would be exponential if it accounted only for emissions from hummock and *Carex* lawn microsites, but the response was Gaussian for flark, hummock, *Eriophorum* lawn and *Carex* lawn microsites taken together.

4.2 N_2O dynamics

The momentary N_2O fluxes in the range from -107 to 248 (μg m^{-2} h^{-1}) and median emissions close to 0 (μg m^{-2} h^{-1}) for forest/mire types (Figure 5) were in the proximity of values for soils in similar climates (von Arnold et al. 2005a, Von Arnold et al. 2005b, Pihlatie et al. 2007, Matson et al. 2009, Ullah et al. 2009, Ojanen et al. 2010). Forest floor N_2O fluxes did not differ significantly for the nine forest/mire types of the ecotone $p = 0.637$ for the whole period from May 2005 to September 2006 probably due to the low nitrification potential of boreal forest in natural conditions (Regina et al. 1996). Low N_2O fluxes of different natural forests or wetlands sometimes do not show statistically significant difference (Matson et al. 2009, Ullah et al. 2009) e.g. due to the skewedness of data around zero with few seasonal peak events. However, statistically significant differences may be found between drained and undrained forests growing on organic soils and between evergreens and deciduous plants (Arnold et al. 2005a, Arnold et al. 2005b). Our drainage class of forest/mire types ranged from well drained to poorly drained, and our forest stands changed from pine and spruce dominated (uplands) to pine-spruce-birch mixed forests (transitions). Ullah and Moore (2009, 2011) found that soil drainage and dominant tree species strongly control net nitrification

rates, and that N_2O emissions from poorly drained soils can be three times larger than those from well drained soils due to slower denitrification than nitrification activity.

Soil incubation studies under various moisture and temperature regimes (Pihlatie et al., 2004, Szukics et al., 2010) imply that our higher forest floor N_2O emissions during typical summer 2005 than during dry summer 2006 (Supplement Fig. 3b) were probably induced by stimulated N turnover through the soil wetting and drying cycle under favorable temperature. During conditions with intermediate moisture (July-September 2005) we observed also mean N_2O flux of dry pine forest significantly larger than that of paludified spruce forest (larger CT than KgK), whereas mean N_2O flux of water saturated mire was larger than four sites (VSR1-KgK, VSR1-KR, VSR1-MT, VSR1-OMT) (Fig. 8, Fig. 9) . Therefore during fluctuating soil moisture, we could expect increased N_2O fluxes of normally xeric (CT) and water saturated (VSR1) site due to stimulated nitrification (CT in rewetting phase, and VSR1 in drying phase). During July-September 2005, CT and VSR1 sites were also least acid along the ecotone which could favor nitrification and consequently N_2O emissions through denitrification (Regina et al., 1996, Ste-Marie and Pare´, 1999, Paavolainen et al., 2000). These studies reported that increasing of pH by rewetting could initiate nitrification. In contrast to less acid CT and VSR1, highly acid forest-mire transitions with widest range of water level fluctuations along the forest-mire ecotone ranked into a group of sites with lower N_2O fluxes. Highly acid conditions prevent development of nitrifiers, substrate affinity and nitrification, even if ammonium is available (Ste-Marie and Pare´, 1999, Paavolainen et al., 2000). The fact that net nitrification of acid sensitive nitrifiers positively increases with forest floor pH, whereas acidification reduces it, suggests that nitrifiers in our sites were acid sensitive and not acid tolerant. The lack of nitrate renders denitrification potential to be negligible. Although, if nitrate would be present low pH would enhance N_2O emissions due to inhibiting di-nitrogenoxide reductase and increasing N_2O/N_2 ratio of denitrification (e.g. Weslien et al., 2009).

In pristine peatlands nitrification positively depended on pH and negatively on water level (Regina et al., 1996) in supply of nitrate for denitrification, as the main source of N_2O emissions (Regina et al., 1996; Nykänen et al., 1995; Wray et al., 2007). Thus, during drying-rewetting periods as in July-September 2005 our sites could initiate short-term significant

differences, but for the whole measurement period the lack of a statistically significant difference in N_2O fluxes was probably due to low nitrification potential. Generally low pH and high C/N ratios of our forest floors suggest conditions of low nitrification potential. Thus, the lack of a statistically significant difference in N_2O fluxes was probably due to low nitrification potential. Other reasons could be the low field sampling frequency and relatively high noise in the data (MQL compared to low fluxes). Measuring three microsites per site could lead to missing some peak N_2O emission events due to a large microscale spatial variation (von Arnold et al. 2005a). With our weekly or bi-weekly sampling frequency we could not identify larger microsite specific peak events possibly occurring after N was mobilized from e.g., fast decomposition of deciduous foliage during the drought related early peak in litterfall or during sudden soil freeze-thaw cycles (Pihlatie et al. 2007). However, these events might be rare in typical boreal conditions where plants are adapted to a rapid uptake of limited rates of soil N mineralization (Hikosaka 2003, Korhonen et al. 2013, Lupi et al. 2013).

Several studies (Martikainen et al. 1995, Regina et al. 1996) reported that peatlands in a pristine state showed small N_2O emissions, but when drained nitrification rates were enhanced and N_2O emissions increased depending on nutrient status (a large increase for rich sites and no increase for poor sites). The limited increase in N_2O emissions during the summer drought in our mires may be therefore attributed to low nutrient levels, a low supply of nitrate and/or low nitrification potential. Relatively low fertility may also be expected to limit the N_2O emissions during the dry season of our forests and forest-mire transitions as the N_2O emissions are also known to correlate with site fertility e.g., expressed as C/N ratio (Klemedtsson et al 2005, Ojanen at al. 2010, Hashimoto et al. 2011).

The N_2O fluxes of forest/mire types fitted by nonlinear regression models showed positive linear response to soil moisture at a depth of 5 cm and significant Gaussian type response to temperature at depths of 5 cm (Table 4, Figure 7). Although, the residuals of the moisture and temperature model were large (Figure 7) and R^2 was only 10%. Luo et al. (2012) demonstrated for temperate forests that N_2O emissions depended nonlinearly on the soil moisture and positively on soil temperature. In our study, the weak linear response of soil moisture to N_2O fluxes could be an artifact of fitting several N_2O processes of different

sensitivity to different forest/mire types. For example in well drained uplands the N_2O fluxes may be mainly due to processes of ammonification and nitrification while in mires nitrification in the drier surface layer may be coupled with denitrification in deeper water-saturated layers (Ambus et al. 2006, Regina et al. 1996). The soil moisture and temperature from deeper layers did not significantly explain the N_2O fluxes (results not shown). An active depth of 5 cm corresponding to the top of the organic layer is in agreement with Pihlatie et al. (2007) who demonstrated that N turnover in poor boreal forest soil takes place in the litter layer and that N_2O emissions originate mainly from the top soil. The N_2O production in our study, increased with rising soil temperature of the humus layer from 7 °C typically found after the soil thawed during spring warming and in autumn during soil cooling. These could be the periods when the nitrification potential increased; in spring probably due to mobilization of nitrogen during freeze-thaw cycles and in autumn probably due to mobilization of nitrogen from the quickly decomposing foliar litterfall (Pihlatie et al. 2007, Pihlatie et al. 2010, Luo et al. 2012).

5 Conclusions

The CH_4 fluxes of forest-mire ecotone were significantly different not only between sources or sink type forests, but also between sinks (upland and transitional types) and between sources (mires). The forest-mire transitions showed CH_4 oxidation rather than emission with very small sensitivity to wet and dry events. The N_2O fluxes of forest mire types were generally low. Despite small N_2O peaks in spring and autumn, the N_2O fluxes showed low sensitivity to soil moisture probably due to poor soil nitrogen content and the low nitrification potential of the forest/mire types in pristine conditions. Our pristine forest-mire transitions did not act as biogeochemical hotspots for CH_4 and N_2O emissions. The organo-mineral soils of pristine forest-mire transitions should be considered as CH_4 oxidation types and background N_2O emission types rather than landscape peak emission types.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Academy of Finland projects ICOS 271878, ICOS-Finland 281255 and ICOS-ERIC 281250; EU projects; NordForsk, through the Nordic Centre of Excellence (project DEFROST); the Finnish Centre of Excellence in Physics, Chemistry,

Biology and Meteorology of Atmospheric Composition and Climate Change (FCoE); and the Academy of Finland Center of Excellence program (project number 1118615). We also thank Jukka Laine, Jukka Alm, Mike Starr and Frank Berninger for valuable discussions; Mike Starr for providing suction cup lysimeters; Dr. Mari Pihlatie for providing us with the flux calculation script; Dr. Ilkka Korpela for providing the aerial image, courtesy of Finnish National Land Survey 2004; and Mr. Donald Smart for English language revision.

References

Agnew, A. D. Q., Wilson, J. B., and Sykes, M. T.: A vegetation switch as the cause of a forest/mire ecotone in New Zealand, Journal of Vegetation Science, 4, 273-278, doi:10.2307/3236115, 1993.

Alm, J., Talanov, A., Saarnio, S., Silvola, J., Ilkkonen, E., Aaltonen, H., Nykänen, H., and Martikainen, P.J.: Reconstruction of carbon balance for microsites in a boreal oligotrophic pine fen, Finland, Oecologia, 110,423-431, doi: 10.1007/s004420050177,1997.

Alm, J., Shurpali, N. J., Tuittila, E.-S., Laurila, T., Maljanen, M., Saarnio, S., and Minkkinen, K.: Methods for determining emission factors for the use of peat and peatlands — flux measurements and modelling, Boreal Env. Res. 12: 85–100, 2007.

Ambus, P., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Sources of nitrous oxide emitted from European forest soils, Biogeosciences, 3, 135-145, doi:10.5194/bg-3-135-2006, 2006.

Bubier, J., Moore, T., and Juggins, S.: Predicting methane emissions from bryophyte distribution in northern Canadian peatlands, Ecology, 76, 677-693, doi:10.2307/1939336, 1995.

Cajander, A. K.: Forest types and their significance. Acta Forestalia Fennica 56, 1–69, 1949.

D'Angelo, E., and Reddy, K.: Regulators of heterotrophic microbial potentials in wetland soils, Soil Biol Biochem, 31, 815-830, doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(98)00181-3, 1999.

Danilova, O. V., and Dedysh, S. N.: Abundance and diversity of methanotrophic *Gammaproteobacteria* in northern wetlands, Microbiology, 83, 67-76, doi: 10.1134/S0026261714020040, 2014.

Dorodnikov, M., Knorr, K.-H., Kuzyakov, Y., and Wilmking, M.: Plant-mediated CH4 transport and contribution of photosynthates to methanogenesis at a boreal mire: a ^{14}C pulse-labeling study, Biogeosciences, 8, 2365-2375, doi:10.5194/bg-8-2365-2011, 2011.

Frasier, R., Ullah, S., and Moore, T. R.: Nitrous oxide consumption potentials of well-drained forest soils in southern Quebec, Canada, Geomicrobiology Journal, 27, 53-60, doi:10.1080/01490450903232199, 2010.

Hari, P. and Kulmala, M.: Station for Measuring Ecosystem–Atmosphere Relations (SMEAR II), Boreal Env. Res. 10: 315–322, 2005.

Hartshorn, A. S., Southard, R. J., and Bledsoe, C. S.: Structure and function of peatland-forest ecotones in southeastern Alaska. Soil Sci Soc Am J, 67, 1572-1581, 2003.

Hashimoto, S., Morishita, T., Sakata, T., Ishizuka, S., Kaneko, S., and Takahashi, M.: Simple models for soil CO_2, CH_4, and N_2O fluxes calibrated using a Bayesian approach and multi-site data, Ecological Modelling, 222, 1283-1292, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.01.013, 2011.

Hikosaka K., 2003. A model of dynamics of leaves and nitrogen in a plant canopy: an integration of canopy photosynthesis, leaf life span, and nitrogen use efficiency, The American Naturalist , 162, 149-164, doi:10.1086/376576, 2003.

Hornibrook, E. R. C., Bowes, H. L., Culbert, A., and Gallego-Sala, A. V.: Methanotrophy potential versus methane supply by pore water diffusion in peatlands, Biogeosciences, 6, 1491-1504, doi:10.5194/bg-6-1491-2009, 2009.

Howie, S. A. and Tromp-van Meerveld, I.: The essential role of the lagg in raised bog function and restoration: a review, Wetlands, 31, 613–622, doi: 10.1007/s13157-011-0168-5, 2011.

Huttunen, J., Nykanen, H., Martikainen, P., and Nieminen, M.: Fluxes of nitrous oxide and methane from drained peatlands following forest clear-felling in Southern Finland, Plant and Soil, 255, 457-462, doi: 10.1023/A:1026035427891, 2003.

Jaatinen, K., Knief, C., Dunfield, P. F., Yrjälä, K., and Fritze, H.: Methanotrophic bacteria in boreal forest soil after fire, FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 50, 195-202, doi: 10.1016/j.femsec.2004.06.013, 2004.

Juottonen, H., Galand, P. E., Tuittila, E., Laine, J., Fritze, H., and Yrjälä, K.: Methanogen communities and bacteria along an ecohydrological gradient in a northern raised bog complex, Environmental Microbiology, 7, 1547-1557, doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2005.00838.x, 2005.

Juottonen, H., Tuittila, E., Juutinen, S., Fritze, H., and Yrjälä, K.: Seasonality of rDNA- and rRNA-derived archaeal communities and methanogenic potential in a boreal mire, The ISME Journal, 2, 1157–1168; doi:10.1038/ismej.2008.66, 2008.

Kettunen, A., Kaitala, V., Lehtinen, A., Lohila, A., Alm, J., Silvola, J. and Martikainen, P. J.: Methane production and oxidation potentials in relation to water table fluctuations in two boreal mires, Soil Biology and Biochemistry 31, 1741-1749, 1999.

Klemedtsson, L., von Arnold, K., Weslien, P., and Gundersen, P.: Soil CN ratio as a scalar parameter to predict nitrous oxide emissions, Global Change Biol., 11, 1142–1147, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.00973.x, 2005.

Korhonen, J. F. J., Pihlatie, M., Pumpanen, J., Aaltonen, H., Hari, P., Levula, J., Kieloaho, A.-J., Nikinmaa, E., Vesala, T., and Ilvesniemi, H.: Nitrogen balance of a boreal Scots pine forest, Biogeosciences, 10, 1083-1095, doi:10.5194/bg-10-1083-2013, 2013.

Laine, J., Komulainen, V.-M., Laiho, R., Minkkinen, K., Rasinmäki, A., Sallantaus, T., Sarkkola, S., Silvan, N., Tolonen, K., Tuittila, E-S., Vasander, H., and Päivänen, J.: Lakkasuo, a guide to mire ecosystems, University of Helsinki Department of Forest Ecology Publications 31, Helsinki, Finland, 123 pp., 2004.

Larmola, T., Tuittila, E.-S., Tiirola, M., Nykäne, H., Martikainen, J.P., Yrjälä, K., Tuomivirta, T., and Fritze, H.: The role of *Sphagnum* mosses in the methane cycling of a boreal mire. Ecology, 91, 2356–2365, http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/09-1343.1, 2010.

Luo, G. J., Brüggemann, N., Wolf, B., Gasche, R., Grote, R., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: Decadal variability of soil CO_2, NO, N_2O, and CH_4 fluxes at the Höglwald Forest, Germany, Biogeosciences, 9, 1741-1763, doi:10.5194/bg-9-1741-2012, 2012.

Lupi, C., Morin, H., Deslauriers, A., Rossi, S., Houle, D.: Role of soil nitrogen for the conifers of the boreal forest: a critical review, International Journal of Plant and Soil Science, 2, 155-189, 2012.

Macdonald, J. A., Fowler, D., Hargreaves, K. J., Skiba, U., Leith, I. D., and Murray, M. B.: Methane emission rates from a northern wetland; response to temperature, water table and transport, Atmospheric Environment, 32, 3219-3227, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00464-0, 1998.

Mäkiranta, P., Laiho, R., Fritze, H., Hytönen, J., Laine, J., and Minkkinen, K.: Indirect regulation of heterotrophic peat soil respiration by water level via microbial community structure and temperature sensitivity, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 41, 695-703, doi: 10.1016/j.soilbio.2009.01.004, 2009.

Martikainen, P. J., Nykanen, H., Crill, P., and Silvola, J.: Effect of a lowered water table on nitrous oxide fluxes from northern peatlands, Nature, 366, 51-53, doi:10.1038/366051a0, 1993.

Martikainen, P.J., Nykänen, H., Alm, J. and Silvola, J.: Change in fluxes of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide due to forest drainage of mire sites of different trophy, Plant Soil, 168-169, 571-577, doi: 10.1007/BF00029370, 1995.

Matson, A., Pennock, D., and Bedard-Haughn A.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from mature forest stands in the boreal forest, Saskatchewan, Canada, For. Ecol. Manage., 258, 1073–1083, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2009.05.034, 2009.

Megonigal, J.P, and Guenther, A. B.: Methane emissions from upland forest soils and vegetation, Tree Physiol., 28, 491–498, doi: 10.1093/treephys/28.4.491, 2008.

Moosavi, S. C., and Crill, P. M.: Controls on CH_4 and CO_2 emissions along two moisture gradients in the canadian boreal zone, J.Geophys.Res., 102, 29261-29277, doi:10.1029/96JD03873, 1997.

Nakano, T., Tnoue, G., and Fukuda, M.: Methane consumption and soil respiration by a birch forest soil in West Siberia, Tellus B, 56, 223-229, doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2004.00102.x, 2004.

Nykänen, H., Alm, J., Lang, K., Silvola, J., and Martikainen, P.: Emissions of CH_4, N_2O and CO_2 from a virgin fen and a fen drained for grassland in Finland, Journal of Biogeography, 22, 351-357, doi:10.2307/2845930, 1995.

Nykänen, H., Alm, J., Silvola, J., Tolonen, K., and Martikainen, P. J.: Methane fluxes on boreal peatlands of different fertility and the effect of long-term experimental lowering of the water table on flux rates, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 12, 53-69, doi:10.1029/97GB02732, 1998.

Ojanen, P., Minkkinen, K., and Alm, J.: Soil–atmosphere CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O fluxes in boreal forestry-drained peatlands, Forest Ecology and Management, 260, 411–421, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2010.04.036, 2010.

Paavolainen, L., Fox, M., and Smolander, A.: Nitrification and denitrification in forest soil subjected to sprinkling infiltration. Soil Biol. Biochem., 32, 669-678, doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00194-7, 2000.

Pihlatie, M., Syväsalo, E., Simojoki, A., Esala, M., and Regina, K.: Contribution of nitrification and denitrification to N_2O production in peat, clay and loamy sand soils under different soil moisture conditions, Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 70, 135-141. doi:10.1023/B:FRES.0000048475.81211.3c, 2004.

Pihlatie, M., Pumpanen, J., Rinne, J., Ilvesniemi, H., Simojoki, A., Hari, P., and Vesala, T.: Gas concentration driven fluxes of nitrous oxide and carbon dioxide in boreal forest soil. Tellus B, 59, 458-469, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2007.00278.x, 2007.

Pihlatie, M. K., Kiese, R., Brüggemann, N., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Kieloaho, A.-J., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Mammarella, I., Minkkinen, K., Penttilä, T., Schönborn, J., and Vesala, T.: Greenhouse gas fluxes in a drained peatland forest during spring frost-thaw event, Biogeosciences, 7, 1715-1727, doi:10.5194/bg-7-1715-2010, 2010.

Pinheiro, J., Bates, D., DebRoy, S., Sarkar, D. and the R Development Core Team.: nlme: Linear and Nonlinear Mixed Effects Models, R package version 3.1-113. http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/nlme/nlme.pdf, 2013.

Putkinen, A., Larmola, T., Tuomivirta, T., Siljanen, H.M.P., Bodrossy, L., Tuittila, E.-S., and Fritze, H.: Water dispersal of methanotrophic bacteria maintains functional methane oxidation in Sphagnum mosses. Front. Microbio. 3, 15, doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2012.00015, 2012.

R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. http://www.R-project.org/, 2013.

Regina, K., Nykanen, H., Silvola, J., and Martikainen, P.: Fluxes of nitrous oxide from boreal peatlands as affected by peatland type, water table level and nitrification capacity, Biogeochemistry, 35, 401-418, doi:10.1007/BF02183033, 1996.

Riutta, T., Laine, J., Aurela, M., Rinne, J., Vesala, T., Laurila, T., Haapanala, S., Pihlatie, M., and Tuittila, E.: Spatial variation in plant community functions regulates carbon gas dynamics in a boreal fen ecosystem, Tellus B, 59, 838–852, doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2007.00302.x, 2007.

Rosenkranz, P., Brűggemann, N., Papen, H., Xu, Z., Seufert, G., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: N_2O, NO and CH_4 exchange and microbial N turnover over a Mediterranean pine forest soil, Biogeosciences, 3, 121–133, doi:10.5194/bg-3-121-2006, 2006.

Saari, A., Rinnan, R., and Martikainen, P. J.: Methane oxidation in boreal forest soils: Kinetics and sensitivity to pH and ammonium, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 36, 1037-1046, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.01.018, 2004.

Saarnio, S., Alm, J., Silvola, J., Lohila, A., Nykanen, H., and Martikainen, P.: Seasonal variation in CH_4 emissions and production and oxidation potentials at microsites on an oligotrophic pine fen, Oecologia, 110, 414-422, doi:10.1007/s004420050176, 1997.

Seibert, J., Stendahl, J., and Sørensen, R.: Topographical influences on soil properties in boreal forests, Geoderma, 141, 139-148, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2007.05.013, 2007.

Solondz, D. S., Petrone, R. M., and Devito, K. J.: Forest floor carbon dioxide fluxes within an upland-peatland complex in the Western Boreal Plain, Canada, Ecohydrology, 1, 361-376, doi:10.1002/eco.30, 2008.

Sommerfeld, R. A., Mosier, A. R., and Musselman, R. C.: CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O flux through a Wyoming snowpack and implications for global budgets, Nature, 361, 140–142, doi:10.1038/361140a0, 1993.

Starr, M.R.: Variation in the quality of tension lysimeter soil water samples from a Finnish forest soil. Soil Sci., 140, 453-461, doi: 10.1097/00010694-198512000-00009, 1985.

Ste-Marie, C., and Pare´, D.:Soil, pH and N availability effects on net nitrification in the forest floors of a range of boreal forest stands. Soil Biol. Biochem., 31, 1579–1589, doi: 10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00086-3, 1999.

Szukics, U., Abell, G.C., Hödl, V., Mitter, B., Sessitsch, A., Hackl, E., and Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S.: Nitrifiers and denitrifiers respond rapidly to changed moisture and increasing temperature in a pristine forest soil. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 72, 395-406, doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00853.x., 2010.

Ťupek, B., Minkkinen, K., Kolari, P., Starr, M., Chan, T., Alm, J., Vesala, T., Laine, J. and Nikinmaa, E.: Forest floor versus ecosystem CO_2 exchange along boreal ecotone between upland forest and lowland mire, Tellus B, 60, 153–166, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0889.2007.00328.x, 2008.

Ullah, S. and Moore, T. R.: Soil drainage and vegetation controls of nitrogen transformation rates in forest soils, southern Quebec, J. Geophys. Res., 114, 01014, doi:10.1029/2008JG000824, 2009.

Ullah, S. and Moore, T. R.: Biogeochemical controls on methane, nitrous oxide, and carbon dioxide fluxes from deciduous forest soils in eastern Canada, J. Geophys. Res., Biogeosciences, 116, G03010, doi:10.1029/2010JG001525, 2011.

Ullah, S., Frasier, R., King, L., Picotte-Anderson, N., and Moore, T. R.: Potential fluxes of N_2O and CH_4 from three forests type soils in eastern Canada, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 40, 986-994, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.11.019, 2008.

Ullah, S., Frasier, R., Pelletier, L., and Moore, T. R.: Greenhouse gas fluxes from boreal forest soils during the snow-free period in Quebec, Canada, Canadian Journal of Forest Research-Revue Canadienne De Recherche Forestiere, 39, 666-680, 2009.

Von Arnold, K., Ivarsson, M., Öqvist, M., Majdi, H., Björk, R.G., Weslien P., Klemedtsson, L.: Can distribution of trees explain variation in nitrous oxide fluxes?, Scand. J. Forest. Res., 20, 481–489, doi: 10.1080/02827580500443443, 2005a.

Von Arnold, K., Weslien, P., Nilsson, M., Svensson, B., and Klemedtsson, L.: Fluxes of CO_2, CH_4 and N_2O from drained coniferous forests on organic soils, Forest Ecology and Management, 210, 239-254, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2005.02.031, 2005b.

Weishampel, P., Kolka, R., and King, J. Y.: Carbon pools and productivity in a 1-km^2 heterogeneous forest and peatland mosaic in Minnesota, USA, Forest Ecology and Management, 257, 747-754, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.10.008, 2009.

Weslien, P., Kasimir Klemedtsson, Å., Börjesson, G. and Klemedtsson, L.: Strong pH influence on N_2O and CH_4 fluxes from forested organic soils. European Journal of Soil Science, 60, 311–320, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01123.x, 2009.

Włodarczyk T., Szarlip P., and Brzezińska M.: Nitrous oxide consumption and dehydrogenase activity in Calcaric Regosols. Polish J. Soil Sci., 2, 97-110, 2005.

Wrage, N., Velthof, G. L., van Beusichem, M. L., and Oenema, O.: Role of nitrifier denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 33, 1723-1732, doi: 10.1016/s0038-0717(01)00096-7, 2001.

Wray, H.E. and Bayley, S.E.: Denitrification rates in marsh fringes and fens in two boreal peatlands in Alberta, Canada, Wetlands, 27, 1036–1045, doi:10.1672/0277-5212(2007)27[1036:DRIMFA]2.0.CO;2, 2007.

Ye, R.Z., Jin, Q.S., Bohannan, B., Keller, J.K., McAllister, S.A., and Bridgham, S.D.: pH controls over anaerobic carbon mineralization, the efficiency of methane production, and methanogenic pathways in peatlands across an ombrotrophic-minerotrophic gradient. Soil Biol. Biochem., 54, 36–47, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.05.015, 2012.

Yrjälä, K., Tuomivirta, T., Juottonen, H., Putkinen, A., Lappi, K., Tuittila, E., Penttilä, T., Minkkinen, K., Laine, J., Peltoniemi, K., and Fritze, H.: CH_4 production and oxidation processes in a boreal fen ecosystem after long-term water table drawdown, Global Change Biology, 17, 1311-1320, doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02290.x, 2011.

1 Table 1. Site soil water solution pH and soil properties.

2

	CT		VT		MT		OMT		OMT+		KgK		KR		VSR1		VSR2	
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE
pH 10 cm	5.57	0.36	5.14	0.42	5.24	0.08	4.68	0.39	4.58	0.30	4.46	0.14	4.37	0.22	5.06	0.39	4.80	0.44
pH 30 cm	6.20	0.06	6.18	0.02	5.91	0.13	5.30	0.11	5.53	0.04	4.91	0.10	4.55	0.08	5.32	0.15	4.79	0.19
Bulk density 0-10 cm	0.37	0.09	0.28	0.04	0.48	0.03	0.27	0.09	0.31	0.13	0.33	0.05	0.24	0.02	0.40	0.12	0.40	0.12
Bulk density10-30cm									0.92	0.07	0.31	0.12	0.85	0.03	0.90	0.07	0.90	0.07
Tot C (%) 0-10 cm	43.17		24.22		49.63		47.09		45.36		48.68		50.30		45.76		48.20	
Tot C (%) 10-30 cm									21.76		53.31		48.33		47.70		49.97	
Tot N (%) 0-10 cm	1.02		0.61		1.18		1.59		2.19		1.47		1.12		1.29		0.96	
Tot N (%) 10-30 cm									0.96		1.95		1.45		1.87		1.81	
C/N 0-10 cm	42.32		39.70		42.06		29.62		20.71		33.12		44.91		35.47		50.21	
C/N 10-30 cm									22.67		27.34		33.33		25.51		27.61	

3

Table 2. Parameter estimates and their standard errors for trend coefficients of CH_4 fluxes (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) of the upland forest types (CT, VT … OMT (Eq. (1)), and for the forest-mire transitions (OMT+, KgK, and KR (Eq. (2)). Both equations are functions of volumetric soil moisture at 10 cm (%) and soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm (°C).

Eq. (1)	bi	group bi	group bi SE	βi1	βi1 SE	βi2	βi2 SE	N	RMSE
CT	-39.345							137	35.2
VT	-26.213	-43.632	9.102	0.762[a]	0.299	-1.249	0.223	143	25.1
MT	-50.984							139	25.2
OMT	-57.985							144	32.1
Eq. (2)									
OMT+	-49.898							139	22.3
KgK	-48.216	-50.248	7.507	0.638	0.105	-0.109[b]	0.226	146	17.9
KR	-52.630							149	31.5
Eq. (2) soil temperature excluded from fitting									
OMT+	-51.799							139	22.3
KgK	-50.404	-52.466	6.341	0.660	0.099			146	17.9
KR	-55.196							149	31.5

$p < 0.001$ for all parameters, except [a] $p = 0.011$, [b] $p = 0.629$

βi1 - soil moisture at 10 cm, βi2 - soil temperature at 5 cm

Table 3. Parameter estimates and their standard errors for trend coefficients of CH_4 fluxes (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) of the mires (VSR1, VSR2 (Eq. (3)). The Eq. 3 is a function of water table depth (cm) and soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm (°C).

Eq. 3)	a0	a0 SE	T_{opt}	T_{opt} SE	T_{tol}	T_{tol} SE	WT_{opt}	WT_{opt} SE	WT_{tol}	WT_{tol} SE	N	RMSE
mires	1207.1	126.7	13.9	1.4	6.4	1.3	18.0	1.9	16.6	2.1	324	656
VSR1	1570.3	155.1	13.0	0.8	5.8	0.8	18.6	1.6	15.5	1.7	162	424
VSR2	801.3	190.8	16.6[a]	6.8	8.7[b]	4.5	17.3[c]	5.3	20.7[d]	9.7	162	558

p values < 0.001, except [a] p = 0.016, [b] p = 0.053, [c] p = 0.002, [d] p = 0.035

Table 4. Parameter estimates and their standard errors for forest floor N_2O fluxes (μg m^{-2} h^{-1}) of all forest/mire types (CT, VT …VSR2) in one group Eq. (4). The Eq. (4) is function of volumetric soil moisture at 5 cm (%) and soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm (°C).

Eq. 4)	a0	a0 SE	T_{opt}	T_{opt} SE	T_{tol}	T_{tol} SE	N	RMSE
forests/mires	4.034	0.635	11.268	0.183	1.414	0.181	400	36.2

$p < 0.001$ for all parameters



Figure 1. A) Airborne infrared photograph shows a 450 m long boreal forest-mire ecotone located on the NE slope of the glacial Vatiharju - Lakkasuo esker in Finland (61º 47', 24º 19'). B) The fisheye photographs show tree stands of xeric (1), subxeric (2), mesic (3), herb-rich (4), paludified (5-7), and saturated (8-9) forest/mire types. C) Photographs show ground vegetation and D) soil profiles of 9 forest/mire types. *Upland forests:1 CT – *Calluna*, 2 VT –*Vaccinium Vitis Idea*, 3 MT – *Vaccinium Myrtilus*, 4 OMT - *Oxalis-Myrtillus*); paludified forest-mire transition types (5 OMT+ - *Oxalis-Myrtillus* Paludified, 6 KgK – *Myrtillus* Spruce Forest Paludified, 7 KR – Spruce Pine Swamp); sparsely forested wet mire types: 8 VSR1 and 9 VSR2 - Tall Sedge Pine Fen.



Figure 2. The panel a, b, c shows the monthly medians of environmental variables: a) soil temperature at a depth of 5 cm, b) ground water level, and c) volumetric soil moisture at 10 cm depth observed along the forest/mire ecotone during wet (2004), intermediate (2005), and dry year (2006). The top-down arrangement of sites mimics the locations on the slope (see Fig. 1). The error bars represent the 25th and 75th percentiles.



Figure 3. The boxplots of forest floor CH_4 fluxes (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) for each forest/mire type (a), and (b) for uplands (CT, VT, MT, OMT), transitions (OMT+, KgK, KR), and mires (VSR1, VSR2) during the whole period. The left-right arrangement of sites mimics the locations on the slope (see Fig. 1).



Supplement Figure 3. The momentary forest floor gas fluxes (μgm^{-2} h^{-1}) of a) CH_4 and b) N_2O in forest/mire types (uplands CT, VT, MT, OMT, transitions OMT+, KgK, KR, and mires VSR1, VSR2) as measured during the years with exceptional moisture (wet, typical, and dry). The top–down arrangement of sites mimics the locations on the slope (see Fig. 1).



Figure 4. Comparison of sensitivity of forest floor CH_4 fluxes (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) to environmental factors for nine forest/mire types. In the upper panels is modeled CH_4 flux response to soil moisture at 10 cm (uplands and transitions) or to water table depth cm (mires) for uplands (CT, VT, MT, OMT) Eq. (1), for transitions (OMT+, KgK, KR) Eq. (2), and for mires (VSR1, VSR2) Eq. (3). Water table depth is indicated as negative when it is below the soil surface. In the lower panels, CH_4 flux response (Eq. (1), Eq. (2), Eq. (3)) is modeled to soil temperature at 5 cm of the same forest/mires types and during the same period as in the upper row. The CH_4 flux response for each individual environmental factor is illustrated so that the simulated value for each data point was recalculated by allowing only one factor at a time to vary while the others were set to their mean levels. To the adjusted CH_4 flux responses (black points) the corresponding residual of each data point was added in order to describe the unexplained model variation (gray points). The r2 (%) is the proportion of explained variance. The left-right arrangement of sites mimics the locations on the slope (see Fig. 1).



Figure 5. The boxplot of forest floor N_2O fluxes (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) for each forest/mire type (uplands - CT, VT, MT, OMT; transitions - OMT+, KgK, KR; and mires - VSR1, VSR2) during the period including typical and dry years. The left-right arrangement of sites mimics the locations on the slope (see Fig. 1).



Figure 6. The post-hoc Tukey differences (error bars for 95% confidence intervals) of mean N_2O (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) fluxes from forest floor for the pair-wise comparisons of forest/mire types (uplands - CT, VT, MT, OMT; transitions - OMT+, KgK, KR; and mires - VSR1, VSR2): a) the N_2O flux differences over the whole period for a typical and dry year, b) the N_2O flux differences only for snowless seasons and separately for typical and dry years.



Figure 7. Sensitivity of forest floor N_2O fluxes (µg m^{-2} h^{-1}) of forest/mire types together with environmental factors a) N_2O flux response to soil moisture at 5 cm, and b) N_2O flux response to soil temperature at 5 cm during the period including wet, typical, and dry years. The N_2O flux response form to each individual environmental factor is illustrated so that the simulated value by Eq. (4) for each data point was recalculated by allowing only one factor at a time to vary while the others were set their mean levels. To the adjusted N_2O flux responses (black points) the corresponding residual of each data point was added in order to describe the unexplained model variation (gray points). The r2 (%) is the proportion of explained variance.



Figure 8. Scatterplot between site specific mean pH and mean flux (ugm^{-2}g^{-1}) of a) CH_4 or b) N_2O of summer with intermediate moisture over the period of soil water sampling campaign (July-September 2005).Error bars show standard error. The CH_4 error bars for VSR1 and VSR2 are not shown.



Figure 9. The post-hoc Tukey differences (error bars for 95% confidence intervals) of mean N2O ($\mu gm^{-2}\ h^{-1}$) fluxes from forest floor for the pair-wise comparisons of forest/mire types (uplands - CT, VT, MT, OMT; transitions – OMT+, KgK, KR; and mires – VSR1, VSR2) over the period of soil water sampling campaign (July-September 2005).