

**Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?**

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

# Aggregates reduce transport distance of soil organic carbon: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Physical Geography and Environmental Change Research Group, Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, Klingelbergstrasse 27, 4056 Basel, Switzerland

Received: 24 March 2014 – Accepted: 23 May 2014 – Published: 12 June 2014

Correspondence to: Y. Hu (yaxian.hu@unibas.ch)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Abstract

The effect of soil erosion on global carbon cycling, especially as a source or sink for greenhouse gases, has been the subject of intense debate. The controversy arises mostly from the lack of information on the fate of eroded soil organic carbon (SOC) whilst in-transit from the site of erosion to the site of longer-term deposition. Solving this controversy requires an improved understanding of the transport distance of eroded SOC, which is principally related to the settling velocity of sediment fractions that carry the eroded SOC. Although settling velocity has already been included in some erosion models, it is often based on mineral particle size distribution. For aggregated soils, settling velocities are affected by their actual aggregate size rather than by mineral particle size distribution. Aggregate stability is, in turn, strongly influenced by SOC. In order to identify the effect of aggregation of source soil on the transport distance of eroded SOC, and its susceptibility to mineralization after transport and temporary deposition, a rainfall simulation was carried out on a silty loam. Both the eroded sediments and undisturbed soil were fractionated into six different size classes using a settling tube apparatus according to their settling velocities. Weight, SOC concentration and instantaneous respiration rates were measured for each of the six class fractions. Our results indicate that: (1) 41% of the eroded SOC was transported with coarse aggregates that would be likely re-deposited down eroding hillslopes, rather than with fine particles likely transferred to water courses; (2) erosion was prone to accelerate the mineralization of eroded SOC, and thus might contribute more CO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere than current estimates which often ignore potential effects of aggregation; (3) preferential deposition of SOC-rich coarse aggregates potentially causes an increase of SOC remaining in the colluvial system and a reduction of SOC flux to the alluvial or aquatic system. These findings identify a potential error of overestimating net erosion-induced carbon sink effects, and thus add an additional factor to consider when improving our current understanding of SOC erosion and deposition on hillslopes.

## BGD

11, 8829–8859, 2014

### Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## 1 Introduction

The net effect of soil erosion as a source or sink of CO<sub>2</sub> in the global carbon cycle has been the subject of intense debate (Lal, 2003; van Oost et al., 2007; Quinton et al., 2010; Dlugoß et al., 2012; Doetterl et al., 2012). On one hand, erosion exposes the previously incorporated soil organic carbon (SOC), which may accelerate the mineralization of eroded SOC (Jacinthe et al., 2002, 2004; Kuhn, 2007; Mora et al., 2007; Lal and Pimentel, 2008). On the other hand, deposition limits the decomposition of SOC upon burial, while inputs of decomposing plant material on the surface of eroding sites partially replaces the lost SOC (Harden et al., 1999; van Oost et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010). So far, effects of erosion on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions have mostly been assessed by comparing SOC stocks at the assumed site of erosion and the site of colluvial deposition (Stallard, 1998; Berhe, 2011; van Hemelryck et al., 2011; Nadeu et al., 2012; van Oost et al., 2012). One underlying assumption associated with this approach is that the redistribution of eroded SOC across landscapes is non-selective. However, several recent publications showed (at least) temporary enrichment of SOC in sediment, as well as preferential deposition of aggregates with size distribution and SOC concentration that differ from original soils (Schiettecatte et al., 2008; Kuhn et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2013a; Kuhn, 2013). As a consequence, carbon balances drawn only from the SOC stocks on sites of erosion and colluvial deposition may not adequately consider the potential SOC re-deposition into the terrestrial system.

The SOC redistribution, regardless after selective or non-selective erosion, is strongly depending on the transport distance of eroded SOC. This is thus related to the respective settling velocities of sediment fractions carrying the eroded SOC (Dietrich, 1982; Kinnell, 2001, 2005). Although settling velocity has already been included in some erosion models, it is often based on mineral particle size distribution (Morgan et al., 1998; Beuselinck et al., 1999; Flanagan and Nearing, 2000; van Oost et al., 2004; Aksoy and Kavvas, 2005). But for aggregated soils, settling velocities are affected by the actual size, irregular shape, porosity of soil fractions and their incorporation with

**BGD**

11, 8829–8859, 2014

### Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



light-dense SOC (Kinnell and McLachlan, 1988; Loch, 2001; Hu et al., 2013b). Hence, the mineral particle size classes, no matter how efficiently applicable in erosion models, are not the decisive factor that determines the actual settling behaviour or movement of aggregates. Aggregation of original soil potentially increases the settling velocities of soil particles, and thereby likely reduces their transport distances after erosion (Hu et al., 2013b). This, in theory, would also reduce the transport distance of eroded SOC incorporated into soil aggregates.

The effect of aggregation of source soil may also affect the movement of SOC down eroding hillslopes. Aggregation is related to SOC content, and SOC is often increased in both macro- and micro-aggregates (Tisdall and Oades, 1982; Cambardella and Elliott, 1994). The quality and stabilizing mechanisms of SOC in the soil matrix also vary with different aggregate conditions. For instance, physically-stabilized SOC within macro- and micro-aggregates is protected from mineralization by forming physical barriers between microbes, enzymes and their respective substrates (Six et al., 2002). In turn, SOC is very susceptible to mineralization after aggregate break-up, as often occurs during erosion and transport (Starr et al., 2000; Lal and Pimentel, 2008; van Hemelryck et al., 2010). Therefore, erosion, either detaching aggregates from the soil matrix or disintegrating larger aggregates, may have diverse impacts on mineralization of eroded SOC (van Hemelryck et al., 2010; Fiener et al., 2012). In this study, we aim to conduct an initial test of the theoretical deductions made above by fractionating eroded loess sediments, generated during a laboratory rainfall simulation, according to their settling velocities, and then measure their SOC concentration and respiration rates.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Soil sampling and preparation

A silty loam from the conventionally managed Bäumlhof Farm in Möhlin (47°33' N, 7°50' E), near Basel in northwest Switzerland, was used in this study. The soil supports

a maize-wheat-grass rotation. A-horizon material was sampled from a gentle shoulder slope (< 5%) in March 2012. Selected soil properties are shown in Table 1. Previous research on the same silty loam showed that aggregation increased the settling velocity of original soil fractions, particularly the medium sized fractions, in comparison with that expected based on the texture of the original soil (Hu et al., 2013b). Although the ultrasound energy used in Hu et al. (2013b) was not enough to thoroughly disperse the original soil into real mineral particles (Kaiser et al., 2012), such extent of dispersion was notable enough to demonstrate the potential under-estimation of applying mineral particle size distribution to predict the settling velocity of eroded SOC. Hence, it is speculated that similar increasing effects would also occur to sediment fractions, and thus make the silty loam suitable to investigate the potential effects of aggregation of original soil on the transport distances of differently sized sediment fractions. While this study investigated only one soil, similar loess soils cover about 10% (ca. 14.9 million km<sup>2</sup>) of the global land area (Sartori, 2000). This study was thus considered relevant as it generally reflects the erodible nature of similar loess soils under similar management regimes. In the future, more experiments with soils of different aggregation and various SOC contents have to be carried out to expand our knowledge on the effects of aggregation to a wider range of soils.

## 2.2 Experimental set-up

### 2.2.1 Rainfall simulation

The experiments consisted of three separate components: (1) rainfall simulation to sufficiently destroy aggregates, so as to ensure that the eroded sediments were less likely to experience further breakdown during the subsequent settling velocity measurements; (2) fractionation of the eroded sediments by a settling tube apparatus into six settling velocity classes; and (3) measurements of the instantaneous respiration rates of each settling class. The experiments were repeated three times in order to generate reliable erosion and respiration data.

## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Soils (0–5 cm depth) were placed in a 150 cm × 80 cm flume, which was pitched at a 15% gradient (Fig. 1a). Preliminary tests revealed that a flume of this size could generate sufficient runoff to initiate non-selective erosion on this particular silt loam. The soil was sieved into aggregates of a diameter less than 10 mm and over-sized clods were excluded in order to reduce variations in surface roughness, both within the flume and between replicates. Levelling the surface also ensured that large roughness elements, in particular depressions, did not inhibit movement of aggregates across the flume and thereby prevent selective deposition on the soil surface. To assist drainage, the base of the flume was perforated and covered with a fine cloth and a layer of sand (c.a., 5 cm). A FullJet nozzle of 1/4 HH14WSQ, installed 1.8 m above the soil surface, was used to generate rainfall. Soil of each replicate was then subjected to simulated rainfall at an intensity of 55 mm h<sup>-1</sup> for 3 h. The kinetic energy of the raindrops, detected by a Joss–Waldvogel-Disdrometer, was on average 200 J m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>.

Natural precipitation events of 55 mm h<sup>-1</sup> are unlikely in the Möhlin region, where precipitation intensity is mostly less than 35 mm h<sup>-1</sup> (return period of 0.33 years, MeteoSwiss, 2013). But increased intensity is often considered necessary to compensate for the deficiency of kinetic energy associated with simulated rainfalls in order to recreate conditions that were as comparable as possible with natural rainfalls (Dunkerley, 2008; Iserloh et al., 2012, 2013). A previous study had shown that full crust formation on the Möhlin silty loam (aggregates < 8 mm) requires a cumulative kinetic energy of about 340 J m<sup>-2</sup> (Hu et al., 2013a). This corresponds to natural precipitation of 35 mm h<sup>-1</sup> for 30 min (Iserloh et al., 2012). Therefore, a simulated rainfall of 55 mm h<sup>-1</sup> lasting for 3 h with a cumulative kinetic energy about 600 J m<sup>-2</sup> was chosen in this study to make sure that the aggregates (coarser than those in Hu et al., 2013a) would experience full crust formation to equilibrium conditions, i.e. resistance of crust against erosion equals the erosive force of raindrops and runoff.

Tap water, with an electric conductivity of 2220 μs cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is five times higher than natural rainwater in Basel, was used during each rainfall simulation. In general, the increased electric conductivity associated with tap water increases soil dispersion



## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Hence, with the current settling tube (length of 1.8 m), any fractions finer than 20  $\mu\text{m}$  (settling time longer than 1.5 h) were not further fractionated to reduce settling time. After fractionation, the six EQS classes were air-dried for 72 h in a dark environment at ambient temperature (20 °C). Despite the possibility of biasing the mineralization SOC potential through the process of air-drying, as the first step to unwrap the complex effects of aggregation onto SOC erosion, transport, and deposition, our aim was to produce quasi-natural sediments, i.e., subjecting to a single rainfall event, successively re-deposited after increasing transport distances and immediately dried afterwards. Further effects of multiple rainfall events, other soil moisture conditions (e.g., wet sediments) and long-term incubation will be investigated in future research, once the role of aggregation on eroded SOC has been studied.

### 2.2.3 Instantaneous respiration rate measurement

Instantaneous respiration rates were measured, based on the method described in Zibilske (1994) and Robertson et al. (1999). In brief, two grams (dry weight) of each EQS size fraction were placed into a 30 mL vial and re-wetted using distilled water in order to obtain a gravimetric moisture content equivalent to ca. 60 %. Preliminary tests revealed that the gravimetric moisture of 60 % represented a proper intermediate moisture level for sediment fractions of various surface areas, and thus exerted comparable effects on soil respiration rates (Xu et al., 2004; Bremenfeld et al., 2013). All the re-wetted fractions were then incubated over night at 25 °C (vials open). Two grams of original undisturbed soil were also prepared in the same way and used to generate reference measurements. Prior to soil respiration measurements, all vials were sealed using rubber stoppers. Gas from the headspace of each sealed vial was extracted by a 1 cc syringe at the beginning and end of the 1 h sampling period. Differences in CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations between these two measurements, as measured on a SRI8610C Gas Chromatograph, were used to calculate the instantaneous respiration rate.



## 2.2.4 Laboratory measurements and data analyses

Soil erosion rates for each 30 min interval were estimated by the mass of sediment samples both from the beginning 10 min (sum of the six EQS classes) and the late 20 min (not fractionated by the settling tube). Runoff samples collected during the late 20 min of each 30 min interval were allowed to settle for more than 48 h. The supernatant was then decanted off and the sediment was dried at 40 °C and weighed. The SOC concentration of all the samples was measured by a LECO RC 612 at 550 °C. Data analysis was carried out using Microsoft Excel 2010 and R studio software packages (R version 2.15.1).

## 3 Results

Runoff began after 20 min of rainfall and attained steady state conditions equivalent to 18 mm h<sup>-1</sup> after 120 min. Sediment discharge rates followed a similar pattern and reached steady state of 168.7 g m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. Detailed erosional responses are listed in Table 3.

The fraction mass and SOC in the six EQS classes of sediment are presented in Figs. 2 and 3. Preliminary data analysis had shown that while the absolute sediment mass increased over rainfall time, the proportional composition of six EQS classes in each sediment collection interval did not significantly differ over rainfall time. Hence, only proportional values are presented, and each EQS class could be considered to have 18 replicates (6 sediment collection intervals during each of the 3 rainfall events). Nevertheless, the distribution of fraction mass and SOC concentration considerably differed across six EQS classes: about 61 % of the sediment fractions were in EQS of 32 to 63 μm and 63 to 125 μm, containing about 65 % of the SOC. This SOC distribution in six EQS classes of sediment also contrasts against the association of SOC with mineral particles in the original soil (Table 1).

BGD

11, 8829–8859, 2014

Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion





## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



strongly contrasts against the approximately 11 % SOC mass associated with coarse mineral particles  $> 63 \mu\text{m}$  in the original soil (Table 1), and is also contrary to the high SOC concentration ( $24.3 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ ) in sediment fraction of  $\text{EQS} < 20 \mu\text{m}$  (Fig. 3a). These results support our theoretical deduction that aggregation of source soil reduces the likely transport distance of eroded SOC. This would then decrease the likelihood of eroded SOC being transferred from eroding hillslopes to aquatic systems, but increase the amount of eroded SOC being re-deposited into terrestrial systems. These findings are also consistent with those reported by Hu et al. (2013b), in which 79 % of the SOC mass in a silty loam was associated with mineral particles of size  $< 32 \mu\text{m}$ , whereas 73 % of the SOC mass was actually contained in aggregates of  $\text{EQS} > 63 \mu\text{m}$ . More experiments are required to describe the effects of different aggregation degrees and SOC contents.

### 4.2 Erosion as a source of $\text{CO}_2$ flux

The effect of aggregation on the likely fate of SOC may also cast new light on understanding the effect of soil erosion on global carbon cycling. Based on the EQS specific SOC concentration (Fig. 3), the potential SOC stock of a nominal 25 cm layer of topsoil on the foot-slope of a colluvial depositional site, assumingly composed of aggregates  $\text{EQS} > 63 \mu\text{m}$ , would be  $5.1 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$  on average (Table 4). The potential SOC stock from the same 25 cm layer of original soil would be only  $4.5 \text{ kg m}^{-2}$ , or 15.5 % lower than that on the foot-slope of a colluvial depositional site. Such a large difference implies that a combined model approach (Eq. 1), integrating the effects of aggregation on the likely fate of SOC, is demanded to adequately distinguish the proportion of SOC likely re-deposited along hill slopes from the portion potentially transferred to aquatic systems.

$$S_d \cdot C_d = S_e \cdot C_e - S_a \cdot C_a - C_{\min} \quad (1)$$

where,  $S_d$ : mass of sediment likely to be re-deposited along hill slopes;  $C_d$ : carbon concentration of sediment likely to be re-deposited along hill slopes;  $S_e$ : mass of eroded

soil;  $C_e$ : carbon concentration of eroded soil;  $S_a$ : mass of sediment potentially to be transferred to aquatic systems;  $C_a$ : carbon concentration of sediment potentially to be transferred to aquatic systems;  $C_{min}$ : carbon mineralized during transport.

In previous reports,  $C_{min}$  was considered to be a minor constituent in the overall carbon budget, and  $C_d$  was often assumed equivalent to the average of  $C_e$  (Stallard, 1998; Harden et al., 1999; Berhe et al., 2007; van Oost et al., 2007; Quinton et al., 2010). However, if presuming that  $C_e$  equals  $C_d$  observed in topsoil of colluvial depositional sites (e.g., in van Oost et al., 2012), then the  $C_d$  would lead to an overestimation of total SOC loss from eroding sites, because  $C_d$  is likely enriched by SOC-rich aggregates compared to  $C_e$  due to preferential deposition. Conversely, assuming  $C_d$  corresponds to  $C_e$  observed in topsoil at eroding sites (e.g., in Dlugob et al., 2012), would neglect the potential enrichment of SOC in sediment fractions preferentially deposited on hill slopes. This would thus lead to an underestimation of  $C_{min}$  during transport. In both cases, SOC transferred to aquatic systems would be overestimated. The observed enrichment of SOC by 15.5% in sediment fractions composed only of EQS > 63  $\mu\text{m}$ , indicates that the potential error of above-described estimates could be considerable. A 15.5% SOC enrichment of sediment re-deposited in the terrestrial system would imply a corresponding reduction in lateral SOC transfer between eroding and all colluvial depositional sites. The relevance of the effect of aggregation on SOC redistribution and subsequent fate must remain speculative, but our data indicate that it could be significant: reducing the 0.47 to 0.61 per year ( $\text{Pg yr}^{-1}$ ) lateral SOC transfer from global agricultural land (van Oost et al., 2007; Quinton et al., 2010) by 15% equals 0.07 to 0.09  $\text{Pg yr}^{-1}$ . This amount is roughly equivalent to the currently estimated net erosion-induced carbon sink rate of 0.12  $\text{Pg yr}^{-1}$  (van Oost et al., 2007). In other words, ignoring the effect of aggregation on erosion and redistribution of SOC might lead to an overestimation of the erosion-induced carbon sink effect. A single rainfall event on the silty loam as conducted in this study is not sufficient to question the results of global scale SOC flux models. However, since most sediment is aggregated (Walling, 1988; Walling and Webb, 1990), the behaviour of aggregated sediment requires a reconsideration of

**BGD**

11, 8829–8859, 2014

## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



existing approaches. Further investigation on other factors, such as soil types, aggregation status, aggregate breakdown mechanism, slope length, slope gradient, rainfall intensity, rainfall duration, and drying cycles between rainfall events, is demanded to examine the observation in this study.

5 The risk of falsely estimating SOC losses during transport is further exacerbated by the observed instantaneous respiration rates. The instantaneous respiration rates probably merely represent a spike of SOC mineralization after erosion, and therefore, should not be extrapolated over longer periods of time. However, the 41 % proportion of eroded SOC, which would likely be re-deposited along hillslopes, generated 53 % of the  
10 entire instantaneous respiration (Fig. 7c). This implies that the immediately deposited SOC is more susceptible to mineralization than both the mass of coarse sediment fractions and their SOC concentration would suggest. These findings are consistent with those observed by van Hemelryck et al. (2010), who reported that a significant fraction of SOC eroded from initially dry soil aggregates is mineralized after deposition. In consequence, the preferentially deposited SOC could potentially generate a further error  
15 in the carbon source-sink balance, particularly when repeated erosion and deposition processes along hillslopes cause further disintegration of large aggregates (Kuhn et al., 2003; van Hemelryck et al., 2010), thereby resulting in additional SOC exposure and mineralization (Jacinthe et al., 2002; Six et al., 2002). Overall, as a consequence of  
20 preferential deposition of SOC-enriched sediment fractions and enhanced mineralization during transport, the carbon losses during transport, so far assumed to be small (van Oost et al., 2007; Quinton et al., 2010), would actually be underestimated.

## 5 Conclusions

25 This study aimed to identify the effects of aggregation of source soil on the likely transport distance of eroded SOC and its susceptibility to mineralization after single-event transport and deposition. Our data show that 41 % of the eroded SOC from a silty loam was incorporated into aggregates of EQS > 63  $\mu\text{m}$ , and hence would likely be re-

**BGD**

11, 8829–8859, 2014

## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



deposited into the terrestrial system rather than being transferred to the aquatic system. This proportion is much greater than the approximately 11 % SOC mass associated with coarse mineral particles  $> 63 \mu\text{m}$  in the original soil (Table 1), and the high SOC concentration ( $24.3 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ ) in sediment fraction of EQS  $< 20 \mu\text{m}$  would suggest. Respiration rates from sediment fractions of EQS  $> 63 \mu\text{m}$  also increased immediately after erosion and deposition. Both results indicate that aggregation of source soil and preferential deposition of SOC-rich coarse sediment fractions may skew the re-deposition of eroded SOC towards the terrestrial system, rather than further transfer to the alluvial or aquatic system. Consequently, a risk of overestimating lateral SOC transfer exists when mineral grain size rather than actual size of aggregated sediment is applied in erosion models. Our very limited data indicates that this error could be potentially within the same range as the current estimate of annual net erosion-induced carbon sink rate (van Oost et al., 2007).

While based on a laboratory experiment and thus with very limited applicability to real landscapes, the potential effects of aggregation of source soil on reducing the transport distance of eroded SOC appear to be considerable. This illustrates the need to integrate the effect of aggregation of source soil on SOC transport distance into soil erosion models (e.g., as a soil erodibility parameter), in order to adequately distinguish SOC likely re-deposited in terrestrial systems from the portion potentially transferred to aquatic systems, and further assess the implications to the global carbon cycle. Further research should, therefore, focus on the effects of preferential deposition of eroded aggregates and the fate of SOC in these aggregates whilst in-transit and during multiple rainfall events. The effects of varying rainfall characteristics, crust formation, soil management and topography (e.g., Wang et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2013a) onto SOC transport should also be investigated.

## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

*Acknowledgements.* We gratefully acknowledge the financial support granted by Chinese Scholarship Council and the University of Basel. The contributions of Ruth Strunk and Mathias Würsch in carrying out the laboratory experiments are also gratefully acknowledged. We particularly appreciate the generous support of Franz Conen with the respiration measurements. The draft of the manuscript was substantially improved by comments from Phil Greenwood and four anonymous reviewers. We would also like to remember Marianne Caroni, a member of the laboratory staffs whose passing was much too premature and who is still sorely missed in the department.

## References

- Aksoy, H. and Kavvas, M. L.: A review of hillslope and watershed scale erosion and sediment transport models, *Catena*, 64, 247–271, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2005.08.008, 2005.
- Berhe, A. A.: Decomposition of organic substrates at eroding vs. depositional landform positions, *Plant Soil*, 350, 261–280, doi:10.1007/s11104-011-0902-z, 2011.
- Berhe, A. A., Harte, J., Harden, J. W., and Torn, M. S.: The significance of the erosion-induced terrestrial carbon sink, *BioScience*, 57, 337–346, 2007.
- Beuselinck, L., Govers, G., Steegen, A., Hairsine, P. B., and Poesen, J.: Evaluation of the simple settling theory for predicting sediment deposition by overland flow, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 24, 993–1007, 1999.
- Borselli, L., Torri, D., Poesen, J., and Sanchis, P.: Effects of water quality on infiltration, runoff and interrill erosion processes during simulated rainfall, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 26, 329–342, 2001.
- Bremenfeld, S., Fiener, P., and Govers, G.: Effects of interrill erosion, soil crusting and soil aggregate breakdown on in situ CO<sub>2</sub> effluxes, *Catena*, 104, 14–20, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2012.12.011, 2013.
- Cambardella, C. A. and Elliott, E. T.: Carbon and nitrogen dynamics of soil organic matter fractions from cultivated grassland soils, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 58, 123–130, 1994.
- Dietrich, W. E.: Settling velocity of natural particles, *Water Resour. Res.*, 18, 1615–1626, 1982.
- Dlugoß, V., Fiener, P., Van Oost, K., and Schneider, K.: Model based analysis of lateral and vertical soil carbon fluxes induced by soil redistribution processes in a small agricultural

## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

catchment: modelling soil redistribution and soil carbon dynamics, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 37, 193–208, doi:10.1002/esp.2246, 2012.

Doetterl, S., Six, J., Van Wesemael, B., and Van Oost, K.: Carbon cycling in eroding landscapes: geomorphic controls on soil organic C pool composition and C stabilization, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 18, 2218–2232, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02680.x, 2012.

Dunkerley, D.: Rain event properties in nature and in rainfall simulation experiments: a comparative review with recommendations for increasingly systematic study and reporting, *Hydrol. Process.*, 22, 4415–4435, doi:10.1002/hyp.7045, 2008.

Ferguson, R. I. and Church, M.: A simple universal equation for grain settling velocity, *J. Sediment. Res.*, 74, 933–937, 2004.

Fiener, P., Dlugos, V., Korres, W., and Schneider, K.: Spatial variability of soil respiration in a small agricultural watershed – are patterns of soil redistribution important?, *Catena*, 94, 3–16, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2011.05.014, 2012.

Flanagan, D. C. and Nearing, M. A.: Sediment particle sorting on hillslope profiles in the WEPP model, *T. ASAE*, 43, 573–583, 2000.

Harden, J. W., Sharpe, J. M., Parton, W. J., Ojima, S., Fries, T. L., Huntington, T. G., and Dabney, S. M.: Dynamic replacement and loss of soil carbon on eroding cropland, *Glob. Biogeochem. Cy.*, 13, 885–901, doi:10.1029/1999GB900061, 1999.

Hu, Y., Fister, W., and Kuhn, N. J.: Temporal variation of SOC enrichment from interrill erosion over prolonged rainfall simulations, *Agriculture*, 3, 726–740, 2013a.

Hu, Y., Fister, W., Rüegg, H. R., Kinnell, P. I. A., and Kuhn, N. J.: The Use of Equivalent Quatz Siye and Settling Tube Apparatus to Fractionate Soil Aggregates by Settling Velocity, *Geomorphol. Tech. Online Edn., Sect. 1.1.1*, British Society for Geomorphology, 2013b.

Hu, Y., Fister, W., Rüegg, H.-R., Kinnell, P. A., and Kuhn, N. J.: The Use of Equivalent Quartz Size and Settling Tube Apparatus to Fractionate Soil Aggregates by Settling Velocity, *Geomorphol. Tech. Online Edn., Section–1*, British Society for Geomorphology, 2013c.

Iserloh, T., Fister, W., Seeger, M., Willger, H., and Ries, J. B.: A small portable rainfall simulator for reproducible experiments on soil erosion, *Soil Till. Res.*, 124, 131–137, doi:10.1016/j.still.2012.05.016, 2012.

Iserloh, T., Ries, J. B., Arnáez, J., Boix-Fayos, C., Butzen, V., Cerdà, A., Echeverría, M. T., Fernández-Gálvez, J., Fister, W., Geißler, C., Gómez, J. A., Gómez-Macpherson, H., Kuhn, N. J., Lázaro, R., León, F. J., Martínez-Mena, M., Martínez-Murillo, J. F., Marzen, M., Mingorance, M. D., Ortigosa, L., Peters, P., Regüés, D., Ruiz-Sinoga, J. D.,



## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Scholten, T., Seeger, M., Solé-Benet, A., Wengel, R., and Wirtz, S.: European small portable rainfall simulators: a comparison of rainfall characteristics, *Catena*, 110, 100–112, doi:10.1016/j.catena.2013.05.013, 2013.

Jacinthe, P., Lal, R., and Kimble, J.: Carbon dioxide evolution in runoff from simulated rainfall on long-term no-till and plowed soils in southwestern Ohio, *Soil Till. Res.*, 66, 23–33, 2002.

Jacinthe, P.-A., Lal, R., Owens, L. B., and Hothem, D. L.: Transport of labile carbon in runoff as affected by land use and rainfall characteristics, *Soil Till. Res.*, 77, 111–123, doi:10.1016/j.still.2003.11.004, 2004.

Kaiser, M., Berhe, A. A., Sommer, M., and Kleber, M.: Application of ultrasound to disperse soil aggregates of high mechanical stability, *J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sc.*, 175, 521–526, doi:10.1002/jpln.201200077, 2012.

Kinnell, P. I. A.: Particle travel distances and bed and sediment compositions associated with rain-impacted flows, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 26, 749–758, doi:10.1002/esp.221, 2001.

Kinnell, P.: Raindrop-impact-induced erosion processes and prediction: a review, *Hydrol. Process.*, 19, 2815–2844, doi:10.1002/hyp.5788, 2005.

Kinnell, P. I. A. and McLachlan, C.: An injection barrel for the top entry sedimentation tube, Technical Memorandum, 43/1988, CSIRO Division soils, Australia, 1988.

Kuhn, N. J.: Erodibility of soil and organic matter: independence of organic matter resistance to interrill erosion, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 32, 794–802, doi:10.1002/esp.1486, 2007.

Kuhn, N. J.: Assessing lateral organic Carbon movement in small agricultural catchments, *Publ. zur Jahrestag. Schweiz. Geomorphol. Ges.*, edited by: Graf, C., 29, 151–164, 2013.

Kuhn, N., Bryan, R., and Navar, J.: Seal formation and interrill erosion on a smectite-rich Kastanozem from NE-Mexico, *Catena*, 52, 149–169, doi:10.1016/S0341-8162(02)00091-7, 2003.

Kuhn, N. J., Hoffmann, T., Schwanghart, W., and Dotterweich, M.: Agricultural soil erosion and global carbon cycle: controversy over?, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 34, 1033–1038, doi:10.1002/esp.1796, 2009.

Lal, R.: Soil erosion and the global carbon budget, *Environ. Int.*, 29, 437–450, doi:10.1016/S0160-4120(02)00192-7, 2003.

Lal, R. and Pimentel, D.: Soil erosion a carbon sink or source?, *Science*, 319, 1040–1041, 2008.

Loch, R. J.: Settling velocity – a new approach to assessing soil and sediment properties, *Comput. Electron. Agr.*, 31, 305–316, 2001.

## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- MeteoSwiss: Monthly total precipitation during April, May, and June at Station Arisdorf near Möhlin from 1985 to 2012, 2013.
- Mora, J. L., Guerra, J. A., Armas, C. M., Rodriguez-Rodriguez, A., Arbelo, C. D., and Notario, J. S.: Mineralization rate of eroded organic C in andosols of the Canary Islands, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 378, 143–146, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.01.040, 2007.
- Morgan, R. P. C., Quinton, J. N., Smith, R. E., Govers, G., Poesen, J. W. A., Auerswald, K., Chisci, G., Torri, D., and Styczen, M. E.: The European Soil Erosion Model (EUROSEM): a dynamic approach for predicting sediment transport from fields and small catchments, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 23, 527–544, 1998.
- Nadeu, E., Berhe, A. A., de Vente, J., and Boix-Fayos, C.: Erosion, deposition and replacement of soil organic carbon in Mediterranean catchments: a geomorphological, isotopic and land use change approach, *Biogeosciences*, 9, 1099–1111, doi:10.5194/bg-9-1099-2012, 2012.
- Nimmo, J. R. and Perkins, K. S.: Aggregate stability and size distribution, in: *Soil Science Society of America*, vol. Part 4 – Physical Methods, edited by: Dane J. H. and Topp G. C., Madison, Wisconsin, 317–328, 2002.
- Quinton, J. N., Govers, G., Van Oost, K., and Bardgett, R. D.: The impact of agricultural soil erosion on biogeochemical cycling, *Nat. Geosci.*, 3, 311–314, doi:10.1038/ngeo838, 2010.
- Robertson, G. P., Wedin, D., Groffmann, P. M., Blair, J. M., Holland, E. A., Nadelhoffer, K. J., and Harris, D.: Soil carbon and nitrogen availability – nitrogen mineralization, nitrification, and soil respiration potentials, in: *Standard Soil Method for Long-term Ecological Research*, edited by: Robertson, G. P., Coleman, D. C., Bledsoe, C. S., and Sollins, P., Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999.
- Rubey, W. W.: Settling velocities of gravel, sand, and silt particles, *Am. J. Sci.*, 225, 325–338, 1933.
- Sartori, M.: *The Quaternary Climate in Loess Sediments*, Diss. Naturwissenschaften ETH Zürich, Nr. 13570, available at: <http://e-collection.library.ethz.ch/view/eth:23643> (last access: 23 January 2014), 2000.
- Schiettecatte, W., Gabriels, D., Cornelis, W. M., and Hofman, G.: Enrichment of organic carbon in sediment transport by interrill and rill erosion processes, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 72, 50–55, 2008.
- Six, J., Conant, R. T., Paul, E. A., and Paustian, K.: Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic matter: implications for C-saturation of soils, *Plant Soil*, 241, 155–176, 2002.

## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Stallard, R. F.: Terrestrial sedimentation and the carbon cycle: coupling weathering and erosion to carbon burial, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 12, 231–257, 1998.
- Starr, G. C., Lal, R., Malone, R., Hothem, D., Owens, L., and Kimble, J.: Modeling soil carbon transported by water erosion processes, *Land Degrad. Dev.*, 11, 83–91, 2000.
- 5 Tisdall, J. M. and Oades, J. M.: Organic matter and water-stable aggregates in soils, *J. Soil Sci.*, 33, 141–163, 1982.
- Van Hemelryck, H., Fiener, P., Van Oost, K., Govers, G., and Merckx, R.: The effect of soil redistribution on soil organic carbon: an experimental study, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 3971–3986, doi:10.5194/bg-7-3971-2010, 2010.
- 10 Van Hemelryck, H., Govers, G., Van Oost, K., and Merckx, R.: Evaluating the impact of soil redistribution on the in situ mineralization of soil organic carbon, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 36, 427–438, doi:10.1002/esp.2055, 2011.
- Van Oost, K., Beuselinck, L., Hairsine, P. B., and Govers, G.: Spatial evaluation of a multi-class sediment transport and deposition model, *Earth Surf. Proc. Land.*, 29, 1027–1044, doi:10.1002/esp.1089, 2004.
- 15 Van Oost, K., Quine, T. A., Govers, G., De Gryze, S., Six, J., Harden, J. W., Ritchie, J. C., McCarty, G. W., Heckrath, G., Kosmas, C., Giraldez, J. V., da Silva, J. R. M., and Merckx, R.: The impact of agricultural soil erosion on the global carbon cycle, *Science*, 318, 626–629, doi:10.1126/science.1145724, 2007.
- 20 Van Oost, K., Verstraeten, G., Doetterl, S., Notebaert, B., Wiaux, F., Broothaerts, N., and Six, J.: Legacy of human-induced C erosion and burial on soil-atmosphere C exchange, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109, 19492–19497, doi:10.1073/pnas.1211162109, 2012.
- Walling, D. E.: Erosion and sediment yield research – some recent perspectives, *J. Hydrol.*, 100, 113–141, 1988.
- 25 Walling, D. E. and Webb, B. W.: Erosion and sediment yield: global and regional perspectives, in: *Hydrogeological Processes in Karst Terranes*, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, International Association of Hydrological Sciences, Antalya, Turkey, 1990.
- Wang, X., Gao, H., Tullberg, J. N., Li, H., Kuhn, N. J., Mchugh, A., and Li, Y.: Traffic and tillage effects on runoff and soil loss on the Loess Plateau of northern China, *Soil Res.*, 46, 667–675, 2008.
- 30 Wang, Z., Govers, G., Steegen, A., Clymans, W., Van den Putte, A., Langhans, C., Merckx, R., and Van Oost, K.: Catchment-scale carbon redistribution and deliv-

ery by water erosion in an intensively cultivated area, *Geomorphology*, 124, 65–74, doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.08.010, 2010.

Wiaux, F., Cornelis, J.-T., Cao, W., Vanclooster, M., and Van Oost, K.: Combined effect of geomorphic and pedogenic processes on the distribution of soil organic carbon quality along an eroding hillslope on loess soil, *Geoderma*, 216, 36–47, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.10.013, 2014.

Wu, W. and Wang, S. S.: Formulas for sediment porosity and settling velocity, *J. Hydraul. Eng.-ASCE*, 132, 858–862, 2006.

Xu, L., Baldocchi, D. D., and Tang, J.: How soil moisture, rain pulses, and growth alter the response of ecosystem respiration to temperature, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*, 18, GB4002, doi:10.1029/2004GB002281, 2004.

Zibilske, L. M.: Carbon mineralization, in: *Soil Science Society of America*, vol. *Methods of Soil Analysis*, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 1994.

# BGD

11, 8829–8859, 2014

## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Table 1.** Mineral particle size distribution, soil organic carbon (SOC) concentration distribution across mineral particle size, general SOC concentration, and the percentage of stable aggregates greater than 250  $\mu\text{m}$  in the silty loam used in this study.

	Mineral particle size ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) <sup>a</sup>					General SOC ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ ) <sup>b</sup>	Aggregates greater than 250 $\mu\text{m}$ (%) <sup>c</sup>
	< 32	32–63	63–125	125–250	> 250		
Weight (%)	62.0 <sub>0.3</sub>	29.1 <sub>0.4</sub>	6.6 <sub>0.3</sub>	1.2 <sub>0.1</sub>	1.1 <sub>0.1</sub>		
SOC ( $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ )	13.7 <sub>0.7</sub>	3.0 <sub>0.3</sub>	8.9 <sub>2.6</sub>	21.9 <sub>0.8</sub> <sup>e</sup>	26.4 <sub>1.3</sub> <sup>e</sup>	10.8 <sub>0.4</sub>	67.2 <sub>6.9</sub>
SOC mass proportion (%) <sup>d</sup>	80.8	8.3	5.6	2.5	2.8		

<sup>a</sup> Wet-sieving after dispersed by ultrasound using a Sonifier Model 250 from Branson, USA. The energy dissipated in the water/soil suspension is  $60 \text{ J mL}^{-1}$  (i.e. Energy = output power 70 W  $\times$  time 85 s/suspension volume 100 mL).

<sup>b</sup> LECO RC 612 at 550 °C.

<sup>c</sup> Method adapted from Nimmo and Perkins (2002).

<sup>d</sup> Calculated only from average values of Weight and SOC concentration.

<sup>e</sup> Might be over-estimated due to the mixture of minute amount of residue or straw, which was previously incorporated into the aggregates but then released upon dispersion and blended with coarse particles.

Lower case numbers indicate the range of minimum and maximum values ( $n = 3$ ).

## BGD

11, 8829–8859, 2014

## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

**Table 2.** Six settling velocities based on the Equivalent Quartz Size (EQS) classes, and the likely fate of eroded fractions based on the conceptual model developed by Starr et al. (2000).

EQS ( $\mu\text{m}$ )	Settling velocity ( $\text{m s}^{-1}$ )	Likely fate
< 20	Suspension	Likely transferred to rivers
20–32	$3.3 \times 10^{-4}$ – $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$	Possibly transferred to rivers
32–63	$1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ – $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$	
63–125	$3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ – $1.5 \times 10^{-2}$	Deposited along eroding hillslopes
125–250	$1.5 \times 10^{-2}$ – $4.5 \times 10^{-2}$	
> 250	$> 4.5 \times 10^{-2}$	

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)


## BGD

11, 8829–8859, 2014

### Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

**Table 3.** Summary of the erosional responses of Möhlin soil over 180 min of rainfall time. Subscripted numbers indicate the minimum and maximum range of the parameters ( $n = 3$ ).

Runoff rate (mm h <sup>-1</sup> )	Steady state (after 120 min)		Total runoff (kg)	Runoff coefficient (%)	Total sediment yield (g)
	Sediment discharge rate (g m <sup>-2</sup> h <sup>-1</sup> )	Sediment concentration (g L <sup>-1</sup> )			
18.0 <sub>0.9</sub>	168.7 <sub>14.4</sub>	9.4 <sub>0.1</sub>	40.7 <sub>3.1</sub>	20.6 <sub>1.6</sub>	475.8 <sub>74.6</sub>

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#)

[References](#)

[Tables](#)

[Figures](#)

[I <](#)

[> I](#)

[◀](#)

[▶](#)

[Back](#)

[Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)



## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

**Table 4.** Comparison between soil organic carbon (SOC) stock in top layer of 25 cm from a temporary depositional site which is theoretically composed of only three Equivalent Quartz Size (EQS) classes, and SOC stock of average original soil in the same top layer of 25 cm, as often applied in previous literature.

	EQS	SOC concentration (mg g <sup>-1</sup> )	SOC stock (kg m <sup>-2</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>	Differences (%) <sup>b</sup>	Average SOC stock (kg m <sup>-2</sup> )	Average differences (%) <sup>b</sup>
Re-distributed fractions	> 250	12.2	5.0	-11.1	5.1	-15.5
	125–250	15.7	6.5	-44.4		
	63–125	9.6	4.5	+11.1		
Original soil	NA	10.8	4.5	NA	4.5	NA

<sup>a</sup> Accurate bulk densities for sediment fractions of different aggregate sizes are not available, so only particle density 1.65 g cm<sup>-3</sup> is applied here to have form a preliminary comparison.

<sup>b</sup> Minus (-) means underestimation compared to the original soil; plus (+) means overestimation compared to the original soil.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)







**Figure 1.** The rainfall simulation flume (a), and the settling tube apparatus (b). The settling tube apparatus consists of four components: the settling tube, through which the soil sample settles; the injection device, by which the soil sample is introduced into the tube; the turntable, within which the fractionated subsamples are collected; and the control panel, which allows an operator to control the rotational speed and rest intervals of the turntable (operations see Hu et al., 2013b).

Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page	
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
⏪	⏩
◀	▶
Back	Close
Full Screen / Esc	
Printer-friendly Version	
Interactive Discussion	





**Figure 2.** The weight distribution of different Equivalent Quartz Size (EQS) classes of the sediment. Colors of the boxes correspond to the likely fate of each fraction after erosion. See Sect. 4.1 and Fig. 6 for definitions and explanation of the three manners of likely fate. Bars in the boxes represent median values. Whiskers indicate the lowest datum within 1.5 interquartile range of the lower quartile, and the highest datum within 1.5 interquartile range of the upper quartile ( $n = 18$ ).

**Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?**

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

[Title Page](#)

[Abstract](#) | [Introduction](#)

[Conclusions](#) | [References](#)

[Tables](#) | [Figures](#)

[◀](#) | [▶](#)

[◀](#) | [▶](#)


[Back](#) | [Close](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)


[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)






**Figure 3.** The distribution of soil organic carbon (SOC) concentration **(a)**, and soil organic carbon (SOC) mass **(b)** in different Equivalent Quartz Size (EQS) classes of the sediment. The line in **(a)** denotes the average SOC concentration of the original soil. Colors of the boxes correspond to the likely fate of each fraction after erosion. See Sect. 4.1 and Fig. 6 for definitions and explanation of the three manners of likely fate. Bars in the boxes represent median values. Whiskers indicate the lowest datum within 1.5 interquartile range of the lower quartile, and the highest datum within 1.5 interquartile range of the upper quartile ( $n = 18$ ).



**Figure 4.** The distribution of instantaneous respiration rate **(a)**; and potential CO<sub>2</sub> emission **(b)** in different Equivalent Quartz Size (EQS) classes of the sediment. The line in **(a)** denotes the average instantaneous respiration rate of the original soil. Colors of the boxes correspond to the likely fate of each fraction after erosion. See Sect. 4.1 and Fig. 6 for definitions and explanation of the three manners of likely fate. Bars in boxes represent median values. Whiskers indicate the lowest datum within 1.5 interquartile range of the lower quartile, and the highest datum within 1.5 interquartile range of the upper quartile ( $n = 18$ ).



**Figure 5.** The distribution of instantaneous respiration rate per gram of soil organic carbon (SOC) in different Equivalent Quartz Size (EQS) classes of the sediment. The line denotes the average instantaneous respiration rate per gram SOC of the original soil. Colors of the boxes correspond to the likely fate of each fraction after erosion. See Sect. 4.1 and Fig. 6 for definitions and explanation of the three manners of likely fate. Bars in boxes represent median values. Whiskers indicate the lowest datum within 1.5 interquartile range of the lower quartile, and the highest datum within 1.5 interquartile range of the upper quartile ( $n = 18$ ).

**Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?**

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back


Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






**Figure 6.** Likely fate of eroded soil organic carbon (SOC) as a function of aggregate size, re-drawn from the conceptual model developed by Starr et al. (2000). Blocks represent three manners of likely fate of eroded SOC, divided by the two convenient cut-off points: aggregate size of 6.3  $\mu\text{m}$  and 63  $\mu\text{m}$ . See Sect. 4.1 for definitions and explanation of the three manners of likely fate.

## Aggregates reduce transport distance of SOC: are our balances correct?

Y. Hu and N. J. Kuhn



**Figure 7.** The likely fate of sediment fractions **(a)**, eroded SOC **(b)**, and potential share of CO<sub>2</sub> emission **(c)** by fractions that would have been likely transferred to rivers, possibly transferred to rivers, and deposited along eroding hillslopes. The bar in box represents the median value, while numbers written in each box denote the average value. Whiskers indicate the lowest datum within 1.5 interquartile range of the lower quartile, and the highest datum within 1.5 interquartile range of the upper quartile ( $n = 18$ ).

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)