Manuscript prepared for Biogeosciences Discuss. with version 4.1 of the LATEX class copernicus_discussions.cls. Date: 16 September 2014

Nitrous oxide emission budgets and landuse driven hotspots for organic soils in Europe

T. Leppelt¹, R. Dechow¹, S. Gebbert¹, A. Freibauer¹, A. Lohila², J. Augustin³, M. Drösler⁴, S. Fiedler⁵, S. Glatzel⁶, H. Höper⁷, J. Järveoja⁸, P. E. Lærke⁹, M. Maljanen¹⁰, Ü. Mander⁸, P. Mäkiranta¹¹, K. Minkkinen¹², P. Ojanen¹², K. Regina¹³, and M. Strömgren¹⁴

¹Thünen-Institute of Climate-Smart Agriculture, Braunschweig, Germany
²Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland
³Leibniz-Center for Agricultural Landscape Research, Müncheberg, Germany
⁴Weihenstephan-Triesdorf University of Applied Sciences, Freising, Germany
⁵Johannes Gutenberg-University, Mainz, Germany
⁶University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
⁷LBEG State Authority for Mining, Energy and Geology, Hannover, Germany
⁸University of Tartu, Tartu, Estonia
⁹Aarhus University, Department of Agroecology, Tjele, Denmark
¹⁰University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland
¹¹Finnish Forest Research Institute, Vantaa, Finland
¹²University of Helsinki, Department of Forest Sciences, Helsinki, Finland
¹³MTT Agrifood Research Finland, Jokioinen, Finland
¹⁴Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Uppsala, Sweden

Correspondence to: Thomas Leppelt (thomas.leppelt@ti.bund.de)

Abstract

Organic soils are a main source of direct nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions, an important greenhouse gas (GHG). Observed N_2O emissions from organic soils are highly variable in space and time which causes high uncertainties in national emission inventories. Those uncertainties could be reduced when relating the upscaling process to a priori identified key drivers by using available N_2O observations from plot scale in empirical approaches. We used the empirical fuzzy modelling approach MODE to identify main drivers for N₂O and utilize them to predict the spatial emission pattern of European organic soils. We conducted a meta study with a total amount of 659 annual N_2O measurements which was used to derive separate models for different land use types. We applied our models to available, spatial explicit input driver maps to 10 upscale N₂O emissions on European level and compared the inventory with recently published IPCC emission factors. The final statistical models explained up to 60 % of the N₂O variance. Our study results showed that cropland and grasslands emitted the highest N_2O fluxes 0.98 \pm 1.08 and 0.58 \pm 1.03 gN₂O-Nm⁻²a⁻¹, respectively. High fluxes from cropland sites were mainly controlled by low soil pH-value and deep drained groundwater tables. Grassland hotspot 15 emissions were strongly related to high amount of N-fertilizer inputs and warmer winter temperatures. In contrast N₂O fluxes from natural peatlands were predominantly low (0.07 \pm 0.27 $gN_2O-Nm^{-2}a^{-1}$) and we found no relationship with the tested drivers. The total inventory for direct N₂O emissions from organic soils in Europe amount up to 149.5 GgN_2O-Na^{-1} , which included also fluxes from forest and peat extraction sites and exceeds the inventory calculated 20 by IPCC emission factors of 87.4 GgN_2O -N a^{-1} . N₂O emissions from organic soils represent up to 13 % of total European N₂O emissions reported in the European Union (EU) greenhouse gas inventory of 2011 from only 7 % of the EU area. Thereby the model demonstrated that with up to 85 % the major part of the inventory is induced by anthropogenic management, which shows the significant reduction potential by rewetting and extensivation of agricultural used 25 peat soils.

1 Introduction

Nitrous oxide (N_2O) is a natural trace gas with increasing abundance in atmosphere and radiation enforcing properties. Soil processes are the dominant source of terrestrial N_2O and contribute about 70% to the total net emission budget of N_2O (Mosier, 1998). Maljanen et al. (2010) showed that N₂O emissions from organic soils in Nordic countries are four times higher in comparison to fluxes from mineral soils. In Europe about 7% of the land area is covered by organic soils, often also called peat soils, according to Montanarella et al. (2006). The N_2O fluxes from natural, water logged organic soils are low. Drainage and cultivation lead to N mineralisation from degrading peat, and consequently N_2O production (Wild et al., 1998; Regina et al., 2004) via nitrification and denitrification processes (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). So far large scale 10 estimates are based on static emission factor approaches, which only partly reflect land use, climate, soil nutrient or drainage status. A regional study from Estonia found significant land use differences in N_2O emissions from drained organic soils (Mander et al., 2010). The 2013 Supplement to IPCC guidelines for national GHG inventories on wetlands (IPCC, 2013) has recently published new emission factors for different land use types, climate regions and basic soil 15 nutrient and drainage categories for global application. Application of emission factors in GHG inventories can lead to high uncertainties (Pouliot et al., 2012). So far, there are no successful process-based models of N₂O fluxes for organic soils. Klemedtsson et al. (2005) suggested to model N_2O emissions from peatland forest in Sweden with an empirical relationship to C/N ratio of top soil, based on observations from 12 sites. In Great Britain N₂O emissions from 20 agricultural organic soils were modelled with a regression to N input, water filled pore space (WFPS), soil temperature and land use (Sozanska et al., 2002), based on observations from 59 sites predominantly from mineral soils. The long reference lists in the 2013 IPCC Supplement suggest that there is a large amount of N_2O observations in the literature that has not yet been used for model calibration and validation. While some region- and land-use specific empirical 25 relationships have been published (Klemedtsson et al., 2005; Mander et al., 2010), a generic functional relationship between N₂O and environmental and management drivers across landuse categories is missing. This hampers the development of management strategies at local,

national and European scale for organic soils that reduce anthropogenic N_2O emissions. This study aims to:

- 1. Develop generic empirical relationships between human and natural drivers of N_2O applicable across land-use types, by multi-site calibration with all observations published until mid 2013 in Europe.
- 2. Determine the N_2O budget of organic soils in Europe and its various sources of uncertainty (model, spatial driver data).
- 3. Determine spatial hotspots of N₂O emissions driven by land-use, other human or natural drivers and priorities for future observations in high N₂O-risk zones.
- 4. Test whether the new IPCC emission factors are spatially representative of Europe and quantify potential bias.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Database

15

5

The N₂O flux synthesis is based on a meta study of direct N₂O emissions from organic soils. This literature survey contains N₂O observations in Europe published until mid 2013. All incorporated in situ flux measurement studies used the same gas measurement method, the wellestablished closed chamber technique (Hutchinson and Mosier, 1981). Annual N₂O fluxes were directly taken out of the publications and all fluxes that fulfill the minimum criteria of twelve measurements per year were included in our analysis. The database contains the total amount of 650 annual flux measurements meda on 100 sites in temperate and hereal regions in Europe

of 659 annual flux measurements made on 109 sites in temperate and boreal regions in Europe, spread across the main organic soil regions (Figure 1). Numerous measurements came from central Europe (Germany, Netherlands) and from Northern countries like Finland, Sweden and Estonia whereas the British isles and Eastern and Southern Europe are underrepresented in the dataset. The number of measurements per site differs from a minimum of one annual flux period up to a total amount of 59 annual fluxes. Most of the sites include flux measurements from different plots that vary in management and environmental conditions. Partly the experimental design was purposely chosen to distinguish between treatments or influences from different 5 sources, e.g. nitrogen fertilizer (Velthof and Oenema, 1995) or water content of top soil (van Beek et al., 2010). We extracted diverse environmental and management parameters to derive a wide set of parameters that can be tested for potential relationships to N₂O fluxes. The most frequent parameters are listed in Table 1 with units, parameter ranges and fraction of coverage in the studies. Missing values for climate parameters were gap-filled with data from the European Climate Assessment & Dataset (ECAD), described in Haylock et al. (2008). The entire database references are listed in Table 6.

2.2 Model development, calibration and validation

At first the N₂O fluxes and potential drivers were analysed by univariate statistics, respectively. Furthermore we investigated the correlations between fluxes and the corresponding driving parameters to understand interactions and constrain parameter combinations. The specified statis-15 tical analyses were carried out with the programming language R (R Development Core Team, 2013). Based on these results we used an empirical fuzzy logic modelling approach to predict N_2O fluxes based on main driving parameters. This data-driven fuzzy logic model has been successfully applied to predict annual N2O fluxes for agricultural mineral soils in Germany (Dechow and Freibauer, 2011). Bardossy et al. (2003) describe the fuzzy based modelling as 20 fast, transparent and parameter parsimonious alternative to other approaches. These techniques are based on the concept of fuzzy logic, a set theory that extends the binary logic of true (1) and false (0). It allows to have fuzzy sets with truth values in the range between 0 and 1 (degree of fulfilment) and therefore is able to handle partial truth, uncertainties or so called fuzziness. The fuzzy sets can be used to classify factor domains not only by constant crisp sets but also 25 by different function types (e.g., triangular, quadratic) with variable membership grade over the factor domain. Furthermore it can be utilized to divide factor spaces into sub domains and calculate all possible combinations in fuzzy interference schemes (FIS) by fuzzy logic algebra.

These FIS can be merged in conditional rule systems to model multivariate problems. The approach is able to model non linear relationships and to represent a priori knowledge that limits parameter spaces or constrains directions of relationships. Another advantage of fuzzy sets in comparison to other decision tree approaches is the smooth transition between different sets that allows more accurate modelling of continuous variables. In this study triangular fuzzy sets for driving parameters of annual N₂O fluxes were calibrated by simulated annealing technique to optimize corresponding responses for N₂O flux measurements. We use a forward selection algorithm in combination with a sub dataset, which consists of drivers that are available on Eu-

ropean scale, to determine the best fitted and regionalizable parameter combinations. The Nash 10 Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE) was used for model assessment:

5

25

$$NSE = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (F_o^i - F_m^i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (F_o^i - \bar{F}_o)^2}$$
(1)

The coefficient ranges from $-\infty$ to 1, where the value of 1 corresponds to a perfect match and a value of 0 indicates an accuracy comparable to the mean of the observed data. The residual variance of the observed fluxes F_o^i and the modelled fluxes F_m^i must be smaller than the data ¹⁵ variance of the observed fluxes to indicate that the model is a better predictor than the mean value of the observed data \bar{F}_o . The NSE coefficient is described as a good indicator of model prediction performance because it is a combined measure for scatter and bias (Nash and Sutcliffe, 1970). The automatic selected parameter combinations with the highest NSE measures above 0 represent the best N₂O predictors according to the used parameter set and performance indicator. The NSE_{cali} and NSE_{cv} refer to the NSE coefficient for the model calibration and the validation, respectively.

Further optimisation was performed by setting up model ensembles (MODE) for final parameter combinations, using empirical bootstrapping methods with up to 50 individual models which reduces over-fitting and achieves better averaged model predictions. We followed the procedures described in Dechow and Freibauer (2011).

We validated the model results by a k-fold cross-validation by study sites (Kohavi, 1995). The original dataset was partitioned into k subsets by study site. A single subsample was excluded as validation dataset from the calibration process. All remaining k-1 sites were used for model calibration and could be validated to the independent validation set. This procedure is repeated k times until each site is used once as validation dataset. The study sites subsamples include different number of annual fluxes which can contain up to 15% of fluxes from the total dataset. Hence the unequal sized subsamples can lead to a very strict cross validation result in case of excluding a site with numerous measurements and high proportion of the total dataset. The calibration was weighted by number of measurements per site to avoid over- and underrepresentation for sites with small and high number of flux measurements, respectively. We also have to take into account that the N₂O fluxes span over several orders of magnitude. Hence we applied a logarithmic transformation,

$$F_o^l = ln(F_o^i + 0.5) \tag{2}$$

to linearise the flux range for better optimisation performance. To generate models useful for ¹⁵ upscaling, we considered only driving parameters that can be regionalized. Therefore good predictors of N₂O fluxes like soil nitrate (NO_3^-), ammonium (NH_4^+), mineral N content or CN ratio were not included into the final modelling approach.

2.3 Regionalization

5

10

The regionalization describes the application of our validated fuzzy model on EU-wide available input datasets to derive consistent N₂O emissions for Europe. Spatially explicit upscaling of the fuzzy model was realized in a geographic information system (GIS). We used the open source GRASS GIS (Neteler et al., 2012) to process the model input datasets and predict N₂O emissions on EU level. Therefore we developed and implemented several GRASS modules to perform fuzzy logic modelling in this GIS framework. Additionally we conduct time series analysis of climate and landuse data by using the temporal framework TGRASS (Gebbert and Pebesma, 2014). The input data on EU level is predominantly available in raster cell format in Lambert azimuthal equal area (LAEA) projection with the finest resolution of 1x1 km gridded

data. Hence we selected the LAEA projection and a resolution of 1x1 km as common unit to avoid data loss by transformation processes and raster cell resampling. The model was applied on peatland areas in Europe which are based on the organic soil distribution map by Montanarella et al. (2006). This dataset serves as basis for all spatial calculations in this study. The following regional datasets were used for driving parameters:

- Land use distribution:

- CORINE land cover (CLC) from 2006 (Büttner and Kosztra, 2007) differentiated into cropland, grassland, forest, peat extraction and natural areas.
- Historic Land Dynamics Assessment (HILDA) (Fuchs et al., 2013) differentiated into cropland, grassland (which contains also natural areas) and forest sites for latest available year, 2010.
- Meteorology: Temperature and precipitation from ECAD dataset (Haylock et al., 2008).
 Based on the daily resolution dataset we calculated the 30 year (1982-2012) longterm annual and seasonal (spring, summer, autumn and winter) minimal, maximal and mean temperatures and precipitations sums.
- Mean annual water table: There is no spatially explicit data available for Europe. Mean annual water table was therefore represented by land use specific frequency distribution functions of observed water table in the database. The mean value of the frequency distributions was used for regionalization, while the distribution served for uncertainty assessment.
- Soil properties: Datasets from European soil portal and Joint Research Center (JRC) (Panagos et al., 2012).
 - Top soil acidity (Reuter et al., 2008).
 - Organic carbon content of top soil (Jones et al., 2005).

20

10

5

- Bulk density of top soil (Tiktak et al., 2002). The European soil portal provides gridded averages, which mix mineral and organic soils. Consequently, bulk density neither adequately reflects organic soils nor the dependence of bulk density on land use and peat degradation status. As for mean annual water table, land use specific frequency distribution functions of bulk density was used for regionalization.
- Nitrogen fertilization based on Hutchings et al. (2012).

5

The sum of European wide annual N_2O emissions represents the emissions from cropland, grassland, forest, peat extraction and natural sites on organic soils. Beside the fuzzy model approach land use stratified emission factors can also be utilized to predict annual emission budgets. Emission factors were derived from the N_2O flux synthesis as mean per land use type 10 and compared to the IPCC emission factors from the wetland supplement (IPCC, 2013). We used the good practise guidance of the IPCC Tier 1 approach to calculate the European inventory of N₂O emissions from managed organic soils. The IPCC Tier 1 approach stratifies land use classes by drainage, peat type and climate zone. The delineation between the temperate and boreal zone can be derived from the IPCC definition applied to climate data. Drainage and peat 15 type, however, are not available in a spatially explicit way. We therefore applied the default of nutrient-poor conditions in boreal forests, nutrient-rich conditions in temperate forests, and deep drainage in temperate grasslands. Spatial resolution and land use definitions produce significant uncertainty in the regionalization of N₂O emissions. The uncertainty in land use classifications was assessed by testing the sensitivity of the European N_2O inventory to the choice of the land 20 use map, represented by the two European wide spatially explicit map products CORINE and HILDA. The general land use distribution on organic soils can be separated into the forestry dominated boreal zone, the agricultural temperate zone and the main natural peatland areas in the subarctic Northern parts of Europe. N_2O emission hotspots were identified on the map together with related ranges of drivers separately for each land use specific model. In order to 25 locate N_2O emission hotspots in Europe we computed the flux distributions by land use category from the N_2O emission map and defined the fluxes above the 90th quantile as hotspot emissions for the particular land use category.

Discussion Paper

(3)

 N_2O emissions can vary largely in space and time and the capabilities to model these variation are restricted to the size of the sample dataset and the data quality. Therefore it is important to propagate the uncertainties during the modelling process to be able to estimate the overall accu-

- ⁵ racy of the model result. For several ecosystems the confidence interval limits of IPCC emission factors for N₂O emissions from peat soils are greater than the mean values. The modelling approach aims to reduce this variability by using explanatory parameters to predict N₂O fluxes. Uncertainty analysis comprised uncertainties in input parameters and in the model. The model uncertainty was calculated with a fuzzy rule based uncertainty estimation, details in (Dechow and Freibauer, 2011). It can be described as the standard deviation σ_f , which is derived from the rule specific normal like uncertainty distributions in Eq. 3:
 - $\sigma_f^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n DOF_i \sigma_{r_i}^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n DOF_i}$

where DOF_i is the degree of fulfilment and σ_{r_i} is the standard deviation of a normal like uncertainty distribution of Rule *i*. The rule specific uncertainty was estimated by using results from the cross validation over study sites as reference to calculate the model uncertainty. The input parameter uncertainties were estimated by Monte Carlo simulation with parameter variabilities taken from available database. The combination of input and model uncertainty results in the overall uncertainty estimation, which was applied pixel wise for uncertainty analysis on EU level. The resulting map contains average and standard deviation values for a normal like distribution function of N₂O emissions for each raster cell.

The N_2O emission budget is the sum of all raster cell values that are located within a defined area. The corresponding uncertainty of the inventory can be calculated by error propagation. Spatial explicit modelling introduces autocorrelation into the calculation of GHG emission inventories and their uncertainty estimation. Without consideration of the spatial covariance we

would underestimate the real uncertainty. This is a methodical problem we solved by integrate the covariance into the error propagation equation to improve the uncertainty estimation:

$$\sigma_b = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_{f_i}^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^n cov_{ij}}$$
(4)

where $\sigma_{i,j}$ is the standard deviation of a raster cell, indexed by *i* and cov_{ij} the corresponding covariance between all raster cell values, indexed by *i* and *j*. We approximated the covariances between raster cells as a function of distance and calculated the corresponding covariance matrix to apply Eq. 4 to the raster map.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Statistical analysis

The N₂O fluxes were log normal distributed with predominantly minor fluxes between -0.1 to 10 0.1 and few high peaks up to 8.11 $g N_2 O-N m^{-2} a^{-1}$ from grasslands in the Netherlands (Koops et al., 1997). We found significant differences in flux data between land use categories, that are shown in Fig. 2. In general the highest fluxes occurred on cropland and grassland sites, whereas natural and rewetted organic soils feature low emissions in average. Fluxes from forest sites were in average lower than the emissions from cropland and grasslands, but included some high 15 outliers of up to 6.06 $gN_2O-Nm^{-2}a^{-1}$ from Slovenia, (Danevčič et al., 2010). The peat extractions sites were only represented by 35 annual flux measurements, which indicated an average flux of 0.47 gN_2O -N m⁻² a⁻¹ for active and abandoned extraction sites. Table 2 lists the correlation coefficients for N₂O fluxes and main driving parameters. The mean annual groundwater tables for different land use categories were correlated to N₂O fluxes with a correlation coef-20 ficient of r = 0.32 (p < 0.05). In addition Fig. 3 (a) shows that high N₂O fluxes occurred in the range of mean groundwater table of 0.2 to 0.9 m below the surface. The groundwater table has been found as driving parameter for N₂O in several other studies, (Martikainen et al., 1993;

Regina et al., 1996; van Beek et al., 2010). Drainage increases emissions of N_2O , in particular for nutrient rich organic soils and fertilized and grazed grassland. The seasonal fluctuations of the water table could be better predictor for the magnitude of N2O emissions but these informations were only available for a small fraction of the data set. Therefore we were restricted to use only the mean annual water table in our analysis. The N-fertilization amount was also correlated 5 with N₂O fluxes (r = 0.43, p < 0.05). Figure 3 (b) suggests that this relationship is especially strong for emissions from grasslands. The N₂O fluxes plotted against C/N Ratio indicated a ratio threshold at approximately 30-35 below which high fluxes occur in the dataset, see Fig. 3 (c). This result provides evidence and supports the findings of Klemedtsson et al. (2005) that the C/N ratio can be a strong predictor for N_2O emissions from organic soils. Peat mineralization 10 releases carbon as CO₂ while nitrogen preferentially remains in the soil. Nitrogen fertilization has a similar net effect, so that both processes reduce the soil C/N ratio. Therefore the C/N ratio can be utilized as indicator for soil processes and conditions that trigger N_2O emissions. Figure 3 (d) shows that low pH values were related to high C/N ratios and vice versa. The collected site data revealed a non linear relationship between pH values and corresponding soil 15 C/N ratios. Due to unavailable data for C/N ratios on European scale, the soil pH relationship to C/N ratios was used as partial proxy for C/N ratio in the regionalization. There is a general trend that managed organic soils with low C/N ratio occur on fertile, minerotrophic peat soils with higher pH values while high C/N ratios are found in nutrient poor ombrotrophic peatlands. Nevertheless, the wide scatter of pH values for a given C/N ratio indicates more complex spatial 20 patterns and pH has an independent direct influence on N2O formation, too (see below). Several other studies found evidence for climate influence at particular peatland sites or regions (Dobbie et al., 1999; Sozanska et al., 2002; Lohila et al., 2010) which can be confirmed in the following landuse stratified models.

3.2 Model calibration and validation

3.2.1 Complete dataset

We applied the fuzzy logic model approach on the entire flux dataset, which results in the best fitted model ensemble (NSE_{cv} = 0.12) for four covariates (bulk density, groundwater table, mean winter temperate and annual precipitation). The stochastic variability within the data hampers 5 the generic model approach to predict the measured fluxes accurately. Thus validation results were unsatisfactoy and we investigated further improvements by data partitioning with categorical parameters e.g. land use category, peat type and climate zone. The peat type stratified data set, separated into bog, fen and shallow peat soils, results in improved model fits for each peat type. Peat type, however, cannot be regionalized due to lack of European spatially explicit 10 maps. In contrast to Freibauer and Kaltschmitt (2003), where N₂O fluxes from temperate and sub-boreal climates on mineral soils showed different mean and maximum emissions, we found no significant differences between climate zones for N_2O fluxes on organic soils. Hence the data partitioning by climate zones had no improving effect on the model performance. We achieved the best model results for land use stratification and developed fuzzy logic models for cropland, 15 grassland, forest and extraction sites, separately. Therefore each land use model has different number and range of observations, as well as different covariates. Table 3 gives an overview for the land use specific N₂O flux data and corresponding model performances.

3.2.2 Cropland

²⁰ The best fitted cropland model was calibrated for three parameters: top soil pH, the mean groundwater table and the annual precipitation amount with a model efficiency of NSE = 0.63. These model covariates were validated for 40 observations from 20 sites on which all three model parameter were available in our dataset. The range of N₂O fluxes from the cropland sub dataset (-0.02, 3.70) in g N₂O-N m⁻² a⁻¹ was comparable to the range of the complete cropland dataset (-0.02, 6.10). Only few extreme high fluxes were excluded, so that the mean values are equivalent. Using this sub dataset, we were able to achieve the best model fit of $NSE_{cv} = 0.41$ in terms of an independent cross validation, compare Fig. 4.

As mentioned before in section 3.1, the top soil pH of croplands was not only correlated to N₂O emissions (r = -0.53, p <0.001) but also significantly to the C/N ratio (r = -0.68, p < <0.001). Mørkved et al. (2007) suggested the soil pH as strong controlling factor for N₂O fluxes, because it affects the N₂O production processes of both denitrification and nitrification. Additionally they stated that low pH soils have higher N₂O/N₂ production ratios and thus higher potential N₂O emissions. The described effect is also observable for fluxes from croplands on organic soils. Weslien et al. (2009) found also a strong negative correlation of soil pH and N₂O emissions in their data. They argued that the Di-nitrogenoxide reductase is inhibited by acidic pH and thus can enhance N₂O emissions (Firestone and Davidson, 1989; Skiba and Smith, 1993). This result is supported by the findings of Liu et al. (2010). They found a strong negative correlation between the N₂O/N₂ product ratio of denitrification and soil pH.

The second important parameter in the model, the groundwater table, is well known as proxy for oxygen availability in top soil and therefore can significantly control the N₂O production processes, (Regina et al., 1996; van Beek et al., 2010). We found a correlation between N₂O and groundwater table in the cropland dataset which confirmed this significance (r = 0.31, p < 0.05). The model indicates that deep drainage induces higher fluxes of N₂O. In contrast to Fig. 3 (a), which include all land use categories, the model structure for the relationship of groundwater table and N₂O fluxes for croplands only was linear and not in form of a hump shaped, nonlinear curve. The sub dataset for croplands indicated a linear increase in N₂O fluxes with deep drainage. Furthermore precipitation turned out as the third model component. Precipitation increases the WFPS in top soil and can trigger N₂O flux peaks immediately after the rain events (Dobbie et al., 1999; Dobbie and Smith, 2003). High annual precipitation amounts can increase the probability of such N₂O peak flux events in drained agricultural used organic soils.

The expected role of N-fertilizer, as N_2O emission amplifier on croplands (Velthof and Oenema, 1995; Skiba et al., 1998), could not be confirmed in our modelling approach. Both the statistical analysis, shown in Fig. 3 (b), and the fuzzy modelling approach found no significant relationship of N_2O fluxes and N-fertilization. Organic soils under croplands had C/N ratios be-

low 30 and are likely strong sources of nitrogen by peat mineralisation. Assuming a soil carbon loss from mineralised peat of 7.9 t Cha⁻¹ a⁻¹, as suggested by the IPCC (IPCC, 2013) (Table 2.1), it would result in a mean N mineralization of approximately 424.7 kg ha⁻¹ a⁻¹ for cropland sites in our database with average C/N ratios of 18.6 ± 5.8. This exceeds the maximum amount of N fertilizer (288.8 kg ha⁻¹) that has been applied to cropland sites. The estimated mean N mineralization suggests that independent of fertilizer application sufficient substrate for N₂O production is available and the N₂O production is not limited by external N-input. All high fluxes from croplands were measured on deeply drained sites, which is also reflected in the regionalization by using the groundwater distribution with mean water table of 0.58 m below
surface. In summary sensitivity analysis shows, that the cropland model predicts highest emissions on sites with deep drainage, soil pH around 4.0 and high amount of annual precipitation in combination, whereas the lowest emissions occur for soils with higher pH values and water table near the surface, regardless of rainfall.

3.2.3 Grassland

Grasslands are the best observed land use category represented by 217 annual flux measure-15 ments. The automatic calibration results in a fuzzy model with three parameters, which can explain about 68% of the variability in the flux data (NSE = 0.68). The parameters are nitrogen fertilizer amount, mean winter temperature and precipitation in autumn. The required parameter combination is available for 96 observations from 44 sites that cover the N₂O flux range of (-0.03, 4.10) with a higher mean ($\bar{x} = 0.67$) than the complete grassland dataset ($\bar{x} = 0.58$ 20 $g N_2 O-N m^{-2} a^{-1}$). The cross validation could reproduce nearly sixty percent of the variability in the data, (NSE_{cv} = 0.58), (Fig. 5). In agreement with the statistical analysis, (Fig. 3 (b)), we also found the significant relationship of N_2O fluxes and N-fertilization for the grasslands fuzzy model approach. The amount of N-fertilization was directly correlated (r = 0.54, p < 0.05) to the fluxes from grassland sites, whereas no relationship was found for croplands. In fact the N-25 fertilization amount was the most important model parameter. The importance of N-fertilization has been recognized in several other studies on organic soils, (Velthof and Oenema, 1995; Skiba et al., 1998). The different responses for grassland and cropland also have been observed and

modelled for N_2O fluxes from mineral soils (Dechow and Freibauer, 2011). Furthermore different sensitivities to N-fertilization on temperate and subboreal agricultural mineral soils are discussed in (Freibauer and Kaltschmitt, 2003; Roelandt et al., 2005).

- In addition to the management influence the mean winter air temperature is also correlated to N_2O fluxes (r = 0.40, p < 0.05) and was identified as second important model parameter. The emissions increased with raising winter air temperatures up to maximum values approximately around 0 °C. This relation of N₂O fluxes to mean temperatures in winter months (December, January and February) can be a proxy for the amount of released emissions due to freeze-thaw cycles as described in Freibauer and Kaltschmitt (2003) and Jungkunst et al. (2006). Although
- the interaction of parameters, e. g. air temperature, WFPS and snow cover, that can induce freeze-thaw cycles is complex and highly variable, the model successfully worked with winter temperature as simple input parameter. This is especially useful regarding model upscaling attempts, because the temperature, as well as the winter temperature only, is easily available on European scale.
- Autumn precipitation turned out as the third model component. We observed a positive correlation (r = 0.50, p < 0.05) between the rainfall amount in autumn months (September, October and November) and the N₂O fluxes on grassland sites. As stated before, precipitation can increase the WFPS in top soil and trigger N₂O fluxes (Dobbie et al., 1999). This strong statistical relation between autumn precipitation and N₂O has not been described before for organic
 grasslands, but agrees with evidence in mineral croplands Dechow and Freibauer (2011). High precipitation in autumn leaves wet soils in winter, which is a preconditions for freeze-thaw peaks of N₂O emissions. In summary grasslands N₂O fluxes are sensitive to N-fertilization and seasonal precipitation and temperatures. Highest emissions are expected for intensive managed
- 25 autumn.

3.2.4 Forest

The measured forest N_2O fluxes in the dataset (n = 170) are dominantly located in boreal (61 %) and subboreal regions (22%), whereas temperate forest sites have only a small percent-

grasslands with high N-input, that are controlled by winter temperature and rainfall events in

age (17%). These climatic regions have different mean N₂O emissions 0.51, 0.33 and 0.26 in gN₂O-N m⁻² a⁻¹ for temperate, subboreal and boreal climates, respectively. However the range within the climatic regions are comparable and no significant difference between mean N₂O fluxes is recognizable. The best fitted forest model consisted of three parameters: mean groundwater table, top soil pH and the annual mean air temperature with a model efficiency of NSE = 0.66. The corresponding sub dataset consisted of 60 observations from 38 sites that cover the N₂O flux range of (0.01, 6.06) in gN₂O-N m⁻² a⁻¹, which is almost identical to the complete forest dataset. The cross-validation left significant variability unexplained (NSE_{cv} = 0.25). Obviously, the validation data set is too small to robustly describe general relationships. Top soil pH turned out as most important driver with higher N₂O emissions for pH values lower than 5.5. In forests we observed C/N ratios below 30 also under acid conditions and therefore the relationship between pH and C/N, that has been stated before, exhibits too much variation to get utilized. Nevertheless the soil pH can be selected directly as driver for N₂O emissions because it explains a major part of the variability. The response of N₂O in organic

¹⁵ soils under forests thus resembles the response under cropland.

20

25

5

10

The mean annual groundwater table was modeled as hump shaped function, similar to Fig. 3 (a) and predicted the highest N_2O fluxes in a drainage range from 0.4 - 0.8 m below ground. Martikainen et al. (1993) and Regina et al. (1996) stated that lowering the water table in boreal peatlands increases the N_2O fluxes from soils, especially more in minerotrophic than in ombotrophic sites. The presented forest model can reproduce this effect, due to the combination of groundwater table and pH-value, which can be utilized as proxy for nutrient supply.

Mean annual air temperature was identified as third model parameter with increasing N_2O emissions in warmer regions. In general the model predicts lower N_2O fluxes from forest sites in comparison to crop- and grassland sites and only few hot spot emissions appeared under drained, nutrient rich and warm conditions.

3.2.5 Peat extraction

 N_2O flux data were only represented by 35 observations from 20 different peat extraction sites. The N_2O fluxes from extraction sites ranged from -0.01 to 3.69 with the mean of 0.47

 $gN_2O-Nm^{-2}a^{-1}$. The fuzzy logic model calibration achieved the best performance (NSE = 0.89) with three parameters: the top soil bulk density, the annual precipitation and the winter temperature. The required parameters were available for 21 observations from 12 sites with similar mean and range for N_2O fluxes in comparison to the complete peat extraction dataset. The best fitted model achieved a model performance of $NSE_{cv} = 0.28$. Comparable to the forest 5 model validation, the data set is also too small to robustly describe general relationships for extraction sites. The bulk density of top soils were strongly correlated (r = 0.9, p < 0.05) to N_2O fluxes from extraction sites with highest N_2O emissions from compacted sites. The range of bulk densities from extraction sites covered loosely packed natural peat densities, as well as densities of high compaction which indicate strong peat degradation. This wide range of bulk 10 densities could be related to variations in management intensity on extraction sites. The N₂O response to winter temperature and annual precipitation agrees with patterns found for croplands and grasslands. The limited data availability for peat extraction sites can provoke a systematic bias and thus can restrict the model upscaling accuracy. On the other hand, peat extraction sites only have a small percentage of land area and relatively low flux rates in comparison to other 15 land use categories, e. g. cropland, grassland or forest. Therefore the impact on the European N₂O emission budget is very small.

3.2.6 Natural peatland

Natural, pristine peatlands are characterized by wet conditions and peat growth. In these ecosystems the groundwater table is the limiting factor for N₂O emissions, because generally waterlogged soils have low amount of oxygen available, which decreases the N₂O production rate (Firestone and Davidson, 1989). We have 132 observations from 64 different sites with a mean flux of 0.07 g N₂O-N m⁻² a⁻¹in a range of -0.43 to 0.45 in our database. Thereby we included also rewetted peatlands that exhibit the majority of the sparsely occurring higher fluxes. Some of these restored sites are still in a transitional phase after recent restoration and in some rewetted sites shallow drainage persists. These human influences could explain outlier N₂O emissions. We were not able to find a significant statistical relationship between gathered driving parameters and N₂O fluxes. The automatic calibration of the fuzzy model also could not identify a

parameter combination that has a greater explanatory power than the mean flux. Therefore we used the mean value of N_2O fluxes for calculating emission budgets in further model applications. In general the N_2O fluxes from natural organic soils are very low and even consumption can occur in wet, nitrogen-poor soils (Chapuis-Lardy et al., 2007). Hence the contribution to the European N_2O emission budget is comparatively small. The IPCC wetland supplement even reported zero fluxes of N_2O for natural peatlands, (IPCC, 2013). Nonetheless fluxes from natural peatlands represent the background N_2O emission that are expected from peatland areas without

any anthropogenic management and therefore could provide useful information for estimating human influence.

10 3.3 Uncertainties

5

15

The quality of the spatial datasets for the regionalization adds an unknown bias. The pixel information in the soil map contains aggregated data, which may not be representative of the peat soils. Bulk density data in the European soil map was in the range of mineral soils and thus considered implausible and inadequate for regionalization. The pH range of the European soil map agreed with the pH range in the observational data set but it remains unclear whether agricultural practices such as liming have been considered and whether the pH values given in the soil map are representative of the land uses on the peat soils.

A sensitivity analysis of the fuzzy models showed that driving parameter uncertainty dominated over model uncertainty except for the forest model. Our approach to estimate the driving parameters mean water table and bulk density, which are unavailable at European level, is not necessarily spatially representative of Europe. Water table constitutes the major source of uncertainty and likely bias in the European N₂O inventory. Improvements in the spatial representation of water table annual mean values as those by Bechtold et al. (2014) and also seasonal fluctuations would strongly enhance inventory accuracy.

3.4 Hotspots of N₂O emissions

Figure 8 shows the European N_2O emission map of organic soils with pixel-wise uncertainties derived by regionalisation of the models presented in Section 3.2. For all land use types computed distributions were positively skewed. N_2O emission hotspots from croplands (1.8 - 2.43)

⁵ $g N_2 O-N m^{-2} a^{-1}$) were located in North Denmark, Poland, Estonia and in South Finland. All hotspot regions were related to low soil pH < 4.7, which seems to be the main driving parameter for cropland N₂O emissions at continental scale. N₂O emissions from croplands are generally highest and have also the highest N₂O hotspots of all land use categories. Approximately 35 % of N₂O emissions from cropland exceeded the maximum grassland and 87 % exceeded the maximum forest emissions.

The grassland emission hot spots $(0.54 - 1.64 \text{ g } N_2 \text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ a}^{-1})$ were predominantly located in the Netherlands, Germany, Ireland and in the Baltic states. They were linked to high Nfertilization rates larger than 250 kg ha⁻¹, warmer winter temperatures above 0 °C and more than 160 mm rainfall in autumn.

Forests had a relatively small span in N₂O emissions and low peak emissions (0.59 - 0.8 g N₂O-Nm⁻²a⁻¹), which only reached one third of the cropland maximum and half of the grassland maximum, respectively. The highest flux rates were scattered all over European forest sites on peatlands and were related to pH values lower than 5 similar to the pattern of cropland N₂O hotspots. In addition, the forest N₂O emissions increased especially for annual mean temperatures above 6 °C, which coincides with a higher fraction of minerotrophic peat soils.

The hot spot emissions from extraction sites $(0.78 - 0.87 \text{ g } \text{N}_2 \text{O-N m}^{-2} \text{ a}^{-1})$ were in the same range as forest hotspots and were evenly distributed across Finland and the Baltic states. They were driven by annual precipitation above 500 mm and winter temperatures around 0 °C.

25

Natural sites were represented with the mean N_2O flux of 0.07 g N_2O -N m⁻² a⁻¹ from natural sites in the database and therefore set constant across Europe.

The hotspot locations of N_2O fluxes from cropland sites can be confirmed by measurements in the database from Denmark (Petersen et al., 2012), South Finland and Germany. Observed

 N_2O fluxes of up to 6.11 g N_2O -N m⁻² a⁻¹ from soils with low pH between 4.0 - 5.5 support the model results. Unfortunately the modelled hotspot regions in Poland can not be validated with observations. Grassland emission hotspots in the Netherlands and Germany have been observed in several studies (Velthof and Oenema, 1995; van Beek et al., 2010; Wild et al., 1998) and are well represented in our dataset. In general the grassland model (Section 3.2.3) and the spatial 5 patterns show a strong signal from anthropogenic induced emissions which is slightly modified by seasonal climate conditions. The contrasts between croplands and grasslands have not been described before on organic soils but agree with N₂O responses described for mineral soils at national and European level (Jungkunst et al., 2006; Dechow and Freibauer, 2011). In forests, the highest forest N₂O flux measurements were found in boreal peatlands from Finland and 10 Sweden (Klemedtsson et al., 1997; Weslien et al., 2009), as well as in a forest from Slovenia, Danevčič et al. (2010) which exceeds the highest fluxes by the forest model. Remarkably all these N₂O hotspot fluxes are related also to low soil pH under 4.7 and C/N ratios below 20 which is consistent with the relation of N₂O fluxes, pH values and C/N ratios for the whole dataset in Fig. 3(d). In extraction sites, N₂O emission hotspots occurred in the Baltic region. 15 They were in the same magnitude as the highest flux data from extraction sites observed in Estonia (Salm et al., 2011). The cropland model hot spot uncertainties ranged from 0.90 up to 1.01 and were comparable to the grassland uncertainties $(0.92 - 1.07 \text{ gN}_2\text{O}-\text{Nm}^{-2}\text{a}^{-1})$ for hot spot emissions. In both land use types, modelled N_2O flux rates clearly exceed the uncertainty range. The N_2O emission pattern from croplands and grasslands can thus be considered robust. 20 This finding gives important information where to focus N₂O mitigation since croplands and grasslands represent the main source of N_2O emissions per area and for the total European emission inventory (see Section 3.5). In contrast, the highest forest and peat extraction fluxes had higher uncertainties (1.31 - 1.51) and (0.96 - 1.38 gN_2O -N m⁻² a⁻¹) than modelled N₂O flux rates. The high uncertainty in the distribution functions of water table and bulk density 25 contributes most to the total uncertainty estimation. The large forest areas in the boreal zone had the highest relative uncertainty but low N_2O flux rates (Fig. 8). The uncertainty of fluxes from natural sites was calculated by using the standard deviation (0.27 $gN_2O-Nm^{-2}a^{-1}$) of the distribution for all available N₂O fluxes from natural sites.

Discussion Paper

3.5 European N₂O budget for organic soils

The European N₂O budget from organic soils calculated by the fuzzy model, the average emission factors (EF) derived from the European observations and IPCC approach range between 149.5 and 87.4 for the CLC land use data and between 132.7 and 121.1 $\mathrm{Gg}\mathrm{N_2O}\text{-Na}^{-1}$ for

 $_{5}$ HILDA land use data (Table 4). The 95% confidence intervals (Table 4) indicate no distinct differences between the three flux estimates. The total N₂O budget from organic soils is remarkably robust despite large differences in assumptions, underlying data and land use representation.

Only the fuzzy model is spatially explicit. The emission factor based approaches assume that
the observational basis is representative so that the mean observed flux represents the land use class. This assumption is obviously inadequate for N₂O emissions from organic soils in Europe because the mean N₂O emission by land use class calculated from the fuzzy model implied emission factor (IEF) deviates from the average EF of the underlying observations (Table 5). Obviously, forests and croplands high N₂O emissions and unfertilized grasslands with low N₂O
emissions are underrepresented in European observations. Robust inventories therefore should strive for a good representation of driving parameters, in particular soil pH and N-fertilization.

strive for a good representation of driving parameters, in particular soil pH and N-fertilization, which determine the high N_2O emissions from cropland and grassland.

The IPCC EFs strongly disagree with the two European based IEFs. For forests, the low IPCC EF for boreal nutrient-poor forests seems too low for Europe because if it is replaced with

- the EF for boreal nutrient-rich forests the forest N₂O budget becomes similar to the results of the fuzzy model. The IPCC EF for cropland is between the fuzzy model IEF and the average EF. Additional measurements in the undersampled hotspot regions are, however, necessary, to interpret these differences. The IPCC EF for grassland exceeds the European based IEFs, but comes close if a reasonable fraction of shallow drained grassland is included. The IPCC EF
- ²⁵ for extraction sites is at the low end of European observations. This strongly points to missing hotspot observations in the worldwide IPCC database, which are partly included as unpublished data in our database. We conclude that the IPCC EF for extraction sites is not representative

for Europe while the EFs for forests, croplands and grasslands seem to match when the land stratification of nutrient status and drainage level is known.

The areas by land use class vary between CLC and HILDA due to differences in classification methods. Whereas forest areas represent approximately 50% of total peatland area in both classifications, crop- and grassland areas greatly differ due to different classifications. Natural 5 and extraction sites are only available for the CLC land cover dataset. The land use differences provoke proportional differences in N_2O budgets for croplands and grasslands. Nonetheless, the IEF derived from the spatially explicit fuzzy model remains relatively stable so that the fuzzy model can be considered to yield robust IEFs independent of land use definitions. These IEFs would also qualify as national or European wide Tier 2 approach for greenhouse gas invento-10 ries. N₂O emissions from organic soils represent up to 13% of total European N₂O emissions reported in the European Union (EU) greenhouse gas inventory of 2011 (European Commission, 2013) from only 7% of the EU area. N₂O emissions from croplands alone on organic soils contribute 13 to 17% to the direct N₂O emissions from agricultural used soils (European Commission, 2013).

15

20

Anthropogenic N₂O emissions 3.6

Clearly, the N₂O budget of organic soils is dominated by emissions from managed land use systems, in particular cropland and grassland. The natural background emission can be estimated by assuming that the total area of organic soils in Europe would be in pristine, natural status. This natural baseline emission budget would amount to 21.53 (7.58 - 35.16) GgN_2O-Na^{-1} . The difference between these baseline emissions and the emission budget with realistic land use can be interpreted as anthropogenic part of the N_2O emissions budget. Accordingly, the anthropogenic contribution to N2O emissions amounts to 80 to 85 percent of the total European N₂O budget.

4 Conclusions

5

10

We compiled an extensive European dataset of N_2O observations on organic soils, made a fuzzy model based analysis of anthropogenic and natural drivers and presented the first European spatially explicit N_2O budget from organic soils. The total budget was consistent with inventories based on static emission factors provided that the emission factors were applied in a way that was representative of regional and land use specific emissions.

 N_2O emissions from organic soils are dominantly driven by human management, in particular water table. Soil properties such as C/N ratio, pH and bulk density modify the response strength of organic soils to human management. Climatic parameters such as seasonal or annual temperature and precipitation only have a secondary role in N_2O emissions.

Organic soils in Europe emit more N_2O than suggested by the IPCC default methodology. Less than 100,000 km² of agriculturally used organic soils emit about 80 Gg N_2O - Na^{-1} , equivalent to 20% of European direct soil N_2O emissions from agriculture.

Acid croplands e.g. in Denmark or Poland, and intensively fertilized grasslands, e.g. in the
 ¹⁵ Netherlands or Germany were identified as strongest hotspots. The hotspots from acid croplands are backed only by few measurements and need further investigations.

Drainage is a main driver for N_2O emissions and therefore the groundwater table has been integrated in the model although it was not available for upscaling. This created additional uncertainty in the calculated regionalized N_2O budget but also highlights that the largest source of uncertainty does not come from the N_2O observations but from the uncertainty in spatial

- of uncertainty does not come from the N_2O observations but from the uncertainty in spatial driver data. Improved spatial information on water table is critical for reducing uncertainty in inventories and targeting GHG mitigation measures. The sensitivity of N_2O emissions on mean annual water table across land use classes indicates that water table management is one of the most effective ways to mitigate N_2O emissions from land use of organic soils.
- Acknowledgements. This study includes numerous unpublished datasets made available by several institutes. We grateful thank all partners and PI's: Elisa Albiac Borraz, Sascha Beetz, Colja Beyer, Tim Eickenscheidt, Jan Heinichen, Wolfram Adelmann, Julia-Maria Hermann und Marika Bernrieder, Michael Sommer, Michael Giebels, Katharina Leiber-Sauheitl, Mandy Peichl-Brak, Holger Fell, Niko Rosskopf, Jutta Zeitz, Christoph Förster and involved institutes: Weihenstephan-Triesdorf University of Applied

Sciences, Freising; Leibniz-Center for Agricultural Landscape Research, Müncheberg; University of Rostock; Humboldt University of Berlin; University of Hohenheim;

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement n° 244122, GHG-Europe and from

the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) in the project CCLandStraD (Support code 01LL0909A). Furthermore, we acknowledge Andreas Laggner, Katrin Brautzsch, Michel Bechtold, Bärbel Tiemeyer and Ullrich Dettmann for data processing and expert knowledge support.

References

Arnold, K. V., Weslien, P., Nilsson, M., Svensson, B., and Klemedtsson, L.: Fluxes of CO₂, CH₄ and

- N₂O from drained coniferous forests on organic soils, Forest Ecology and Management, 210, 239–254, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2005.02.031, 2005.
 - Augustin, J., Merbach, W., and Rogasik, J.: Factors influencing nitrous oxide and methane emissions from minerotrophic fens in northeast Germany, Biology and Fertility of Soils, 28, 1–4, doi:10.1007/s003740050455, 1998.
- Bardossy, A., Haberlandt, U., and Krysanova, V.: Automatic fuzzy-rule assessment and its application to the modelling of nitrogen leaching for large regions, Soft Computing - A Fusion of Foundations, Methodologies and Applications, 7, 370–385, doi:10.1007/s00500-002-0226-2, 2003.

Bechtold, M., Tiemeyer, B., Laggner, A., Leppelt, T., Frahm, E., and Belting, S.: Large-scale regionalization of water table depth in peatlands optimized for greenhouse gas emission upscaling, Hydrol.

- 20 Earth Syst. Sci., 18, 3319–3339, doi:10.5194/hess-18-3319-2014, 2014.
 - Beetz, S., Liebersbach, H., Glatzel, S., Jurasinski, G., Buczko, U., and Höper, H.: Effects of land use intensity on the full greenhouse gas balance in an Atlantic peat bog., Biogeosciences, 10, 2013.
 - Bell, M. J., Jones, E., Smith, J., Smith, P., Yeluripati, J., Augustin, J., Juszczak, R., Olejnik, J., and Sommer, M.: Simulation of soil nitrogen, nitrous oxide emissions and mitigation scenarios at 3 Eu-
- ropean cropland sites using the ECOSSE model, Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 92, 161–181, doi:10.1007/s10705-011-9479-4, 2012.
 - Beyer, C. and Höper, H.: Greenhouse gas emissions from rewetted bog peat extraction sites and a Sphagnum cultivation site in Northwest Germany, Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 4493–4530, doi:10.5194/bgd-11-4493-2014, 2014.

- Büttner, G. and Kosztra, B.: CLC2006 technical guidelines, European Environment Agency, Technical Report, 2007.
- Chapuis-Lardy, L., Wrage, N., Metay, A., Chotte, J.-L., and Bernoux, M.: Soils, a sink for N₂O? A review, Global Change Biology, 13, 1–17, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01280.x, 2007.
- ⁵ Danevčič, T., Mandic-Mulec, I., Stres, B., Stopar, D., and Hacin, J.: Emissions of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O from Southern European peatlands, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 42, 1437–1446, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2010.05.004, 2010.
 - Dechow, R. and Freibauer, A.: Assessment of German nitrous oxide emissions using empirical modelling approaches, Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems, 91, 235–254, doi:10.1007/s10705-011-9458-9, 2011.
- 10
 - Dobbie, K. E. and Smith, K. A.: Nitrous oxide emission factors for agricultural soils in Great Britain: the impact of soil water-filled pore space and other controlling variables, Global Change Biology, 9, 204–218, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2486.2003.00563.x, 2003.

Dobbie, K. E., McTaggart, I. P., and Smith, K. A.: Nitrous oxide emissions from intensive agricultural

15 systems: Variations between crops and seasons, key driving variables, and mean emission factors, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 104, 26891–26899, doi:10.1029/1999JD900378, 1999.

Drösler, M.: Trace gas exchange and climatic relevance of bog ecosystems, Southern Germany, Ph.D. thesis, 2005.

- Eickenscheidt, T., Freibauer, A., Heinichen, J., Augustin, J., and Drösler, M.: Short-term effects of biogas digestate and cattle slurry application on greenhouse gas emissions from high organic carbon grasslands, Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 5765–5809, doi:10.5194/bgd-11-5765-2014, 2014a.
 - Eickenscheidt, T., Heinichen, J., Augustin, J., Freibauer, A., and Drösler, M.: Nitrogen mineralization and gaseous nitrogen losses from waterlogged and drained organic soils in a black alder (Alnus glutinosa (L.) Gaertn.) forest, Biogeosciences, 11, 2961–2976, doi:10.5194/bg-11-2961-2014, 2014b.
- (L.) Gaertn.) forest, Biogeosciences, 11, 2961–2976, doi:10.5194/bg-11-2961-2014, 2014b.
 European Commission: Annual European Union greenhouse gas inventory 1990–2011 and inventory report 2013, Tech. rep., European Commission, http://unfccc.int/files/national_reports/annex_i_ghg_____inventories/national_inventories_submissions/application/zip/eua-2013-crf-27may.zip, 2013.
 Firestone, M. K. and Davidson, E. A.: Microbiological basis of NO and N₂O production and consump-
- 30
- tion in soil, vol. 47, John Wiley & Sons, 1989.
- Freibauer, A. and Kaltschmitt, M.: Controls and models for estimating direct nitrous oxide emissions from temperate and sub-boreal agricultural mineral soils in Europe, Biogeochemistry, 63, 93–115, doi:10.1023/A:1023398108860, 2003.

Fuchs, R., Herold, M., Verburg, P. H., and Clevers, J.: A high-resolution and harmonized model approach for reconstructing and analysing historic land changes in Europe, Biogeosciences, 10, 1543–1559, doi:10.5194/bg-10-1543-2013, 2013.

Gebbert, S. and Pebesma, E.: TGRASS: A temporal GIS for field based environmental modeling, Environmental Modelling & Software, 53, 1–12, 2014.

5

15

Grønlund, A., Sveistrup, T. E., Søvik, A. K., Rasse, D. P., and Kløve, B.: Degradation of cultivated peat soils in northern norway based on field scale CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ emission measurements, Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science, 52, 149–159, doi:10.1080/03650340600581968, 2006.

Haylock, M. R., Hofstra, N., Tank, A. M. G. K., Klok, E. J., Jones, P. D., and New, M.: A European daily

- high-resolution gridded data set of surface temperature and precipitation for 1950–2006, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, D20 119, doi:10.1029/2008JD010201, 2008.
 - Hutchings, N. J., Reinds, G. J., Leip, A., Wattenbach, M., Bienkowski, J. F., Dalgaard, T., Dragosits, U., Drouet, J. L., Durand, P., Maury, O., and de Vries, W.: A model for simulating the timelines of field operations at a European scale for use in complex dynamic models, Biogeosciences, 9, 4487–4496, doi:10.5194/bg-9-4487-2012, 2012.
 - Hutchinson, G. L. and Mosier, A. R.: Improved Soil Cover Method for Field Measurement of Nitrous Oxide Fluxes, Soil Science Society of America Journal, 45, 311, doi:10.2136/sssaj1981.03615995004500020017x, 1981.

Hyvönen, N., Huttunen, J., Shurpali, N., Tavi, N., Repo, M., and Martikainen, P.: Fluxes of nitrous oxide

and methane on an abandoned peat extraction site: Effect of reed canary grass cultivation, Bioresource Technology, 100, 4723–4730, doi:10.1016/j.biortech.2009.04.043, 2009.

IPCC: Supplement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories: Wetlands, Tech. rep., the national greenhouse gas inventories programme, 2013.

Jones, R. J. A., Hiederer, R., Rusco, E., and Montanarella, L.: Estimating organic carbon in the soils

- of Europe for policy support, European Journal of Soil Science, 56, 655–671, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2005.00728.x, 2005.
 - Jungkunst, H. F. and Fiedler, S.: Geomorphology-key regulator of net methane and nitrous oxide fluxes from the pedosphere, Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie, NF, 49, 429–543, 2005.

Jungkunst, H. F., Freibauer, A., Neufeldt, H., and Bareth, G.: Nitrous oxide emissions from agricultural

30 land use in Germany— a synthesis of available annual field data, Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science, 169, 341–351, doi:10.1002/jpln.200521954, 2006.

27

Klemedtsson, A. K., Weslien, P., and Klemedtsson, L.: Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes from a farmed Swedish Histosol, European Journal of Soil Science, 60, 321–331, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01124.x, 2009.

Klemedtsson, L., Klemedtsson, A. K., Moldan, F., and Weslien, P.: Nitrous oxide emission from Swedish

- ⁵ forest soils in relation to liming and simulated increased N-deposition, Biology and Fertility of Soils, 25, 290–295, doi:10.1007/s003740050317, 1997.
 - Klemedtsson, L., Von Arnold, K., Weslien, P., and Gundersen, P.: Soil CN ratio as a scalar parameter to predict nitrous oxide emissions, Global Change Biology, 11, 1142–1147, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.00973.x, 2005.
- 10 Kløve, B., Sveistrup, T. E., and Hauge, A.: Leaching of nutrients and emission of greenhouse gases from peatland cultivation at Bodin, Northern Norway, Geoderma, 154, 219–232, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2009.08.022, 2010.

Kohavi, R.: A study of cross-validation and bootstrap for accuracy estimation and model selection, in: International joint Conference on artificial intelligence, vol. 14, p. 1137–1145, 1995.

- Koops, J., van Beusichem, M., and Oenema, O.: Nitrogen loss from grassland on peat soils through nitrous oxide production, Plant and Soil, 188, 119–130, doi:10.1023/A:1004252012290, 1997.
 - Kroon, P., Vesala, T., and Grace, J.: Flux measurements of CH₄ and N₂O exchanges, Agricultural and forest meteorology, 2010.

Laine, J., Silvola, J., Tolonen, K., Alm, J., Nykänen, H., Vasander, H., Sallantaus, T., Savolainen, I., Sin-

- ²⁰ isalo, J., and Martikainen, P. J.: Effect of water-level drawdown on global climatic warming: Northern peatlands, Ambio, p. 179–184, 1996.
 - Leiber-Sauheitl, K., Fuß, R., Voigt, C., and Freibauer, A.: High CO₂ fluxes from grassland on histic Gleysol along soil carbon and drainage gradients, Biogeosciences, 11, 749–761, doi:10.5194/bg-11-749-2014, 2014.
- Liu, B., Mørkved, P. T., Frostegård, Å., and Bakken, L. R.: Denitrification gene pools, transcription and kinetics of NO, N₂O and N₂ production as affected by soil pH, FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 72, 407–417, doi:10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00856.x, 2010.

Lohila, A., Aurela, M., Hatakka, J., Pihlatie, M., Minkkinen, K., Penttilä, T., and Laurila, T.: Responses of N₂O fluxes to temperature, water table and N deposition in a northern boreal fen, European Journal

- ³⁰ of Soil Science, 61, 651–661, 2010.
 - Mäkiranta, P., Hytönen, J., Aro, L., Maljanen, M., Pihlatie, M., Potila, H., Shurpali, N. J., Laine, J., Lohila, A., Martikainen, P. J., and Minkkinen, K.: Soil greenhouse gas emissions from afforested organic soil croplands and cutaway peatlands, Boreal environment research, 12, 159–175, 2007.

- Maljanen, M., Liikanen, A., Silvola, J., and Martikainen, P. J.: Nitrous oxide emissions from boreal organic soil under different land-use, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 35, 689–700, 2003.
- Maljanen, M., Sigurdsson, B. D., Guhmundsson, J., Óskarsson, H., Huttunen, J. T., and Martikainen, P. J.: Greenhouse gas balances of managed peatlands in the Nordic countries- present knowledge and gaps, Biogeosciences, 7, 2711–2738, 2010.
- Maljanen, M., Shurpali, N., Hytönen, J., Mäkiranta, P., Aro, L., Potila, H., Laine, J., Li, C., and Martikainen, P. J.: Afforestation does not necessarily reduce nitrous oxide emissions from managed boreal peat soils, Biogeochemistry, 108, 199–218, doi:10.1007/s10533-011-9591-1, 2012.

5

25

R., Kuller, R., Harding, A., and Augustin, J.: Assessment of methane and nitrous oxide fluxes in rural landscapes, Landscape and Urban Planning, 98, 172–181, doi:10.1016/j.landurbplan.2010.08.021, 2010.

Martikainen, P. J., Nykanen, H., Crill, P., and Silvola, J.: Effect of a lowered water table on nitrous oxide fluxes from northern peatlands, Nature, 366, 51–53, doi:10.1038/366051a0, 1993.

- ¹⁵ Montanarella, L., Jones, R. J. A., and Hiederer, R.: The distribution of peatland in Europe., Mires and Peatland, 1, 2006.
 - Mørkved, P. T., Dörsch, P., and Bakken, L. R.: The N₂O product ratio of nitrification and its dependence on long-term changes in soil pH, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 39, 2048–2057, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2007.03.006, 2007.
- 20 Mosier, A. R.: Soil processes and global change, Biology and Fertility of Soils, 27, 221–229, doi:10.1007/s003740050424, 1998.
 - Nash, J. E. and Sutcliffe, J. V.: River flow forecasting through conceptual models part I A discussion of principles, Journal of Hydrology, 10, 282–290, doi:10.1016/0022-1694(70)90255-6, 1970.
 - Neteler, M., Bowman, M. H., Landa, M., and Metz, M.: GRASS GIS: A multi-purpose open source GIS, Environmental Modelling & Software, 31, 124–130, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.11.014, 2012.
 - Nykanen, H., Alm, J., Lang, K., Silvola, J., and Martikainen, P. J.: Emissions of CH₄, N₂O and CO₂ from a virgin fen and a fen drained for grassland in Finland, Journal of Biogeography, p. 351–357, 1995.
 - Ojanen, P., Minkkinen, K., Alm, J., and Penttilä, T.: Soil-atmosphere CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O
- fluxes in boreal forestry-drained peatlands, Forest Ecology and Management, 260, 411–421, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2010.04.036, 2010.
 - Panagos, P., Van Liedekerke, M., Jones, A., and Montanarella, L.: European Soil Data Centre: Response to European policy support and public data requirements, Land Use Policy, 29, 329–338, 2012.

Mander, U., Uuemaa, E., Kull, A., Kanal, A., Maddison, M., Soosaar, K., Salm, J.-O., Lesta, M., Hansen,

- Peichl-Brak, M.: The Influence of Land Management on the Fluxes of Greenhouse Gases in Organic Soils, Universität Hohenheim, 2013.
- Petersen, S. O., Hoffmann, C. C., Schäfer, C. M., Blicher-Mathiesen, G., Elsgaard, L., Kristensen, K., Larsen, S. E., Torp, S. B., and Greve, M. H.: Annual emissions of CH₄ and N₂O, and ecosystem
- ⁵ respiration, from eight organic soils in Western Denmark managed by agriculture, Biogeosciences, 9, 403–422, 2012.
 - Pouliot, G., Wisner, E., Mobley, D., and Hunt, William, J.: Quantification of emission factor uncertainty, Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association (1995), 62, 287–298, PMID: 22482287, 2012.

R Development Core Team: R: A language and environment for statistical computing, ISBN 3-900051-

- 10 07-0. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria, 2013. url: http://www. R-project. org, 2013.
 - Rees, R. M., Augustin, J., Alberti, G., Ball, B. C., Boeckx, P., Cantarel, A., Castaldi, S., Chirinda, N., Chojnicki, B., Giebels, M., Gordon, H., Grosz, B., Horvath, L., Juszczak, R., Kasimir Klemedtsson, A., Klemedtsson, L., Medinets, S., Machon, A., Mapanda, F., Nyamangara, J., Olesen, J. E., Reay,
- D. S., Sanchez, L., Sanz Cobena, A., Smith, K. A., Sowerby, A., Sommer, M., Soussana, J. F., Stenberg, M., Topp, C. F. E., van Cleemput, O., Vallejo, A., Watson, C. A., and Wuta, M.: Nitrous oxide emissions from European agriculture an analysis of variability and drivers of emissions from field experiments, Biogeosciences, 10, 2671–2682, doi:10.5194/bg-10-2671-2013, 2013.

Regina, K., Nykänen, H., Silvola, J., and Martikainen, P. J.: Fluxes of nitrous oxide from boreal peatlands

- as affected by peatland type, water table level and nitrification capacity, Biogeochemistry, 35, 401–418, doi:10.1007/BF02183033, 1996.
 - Regina, K., Syväsalo, E., Hannukkala, A., and Esala, M.: Fluxes of N₂O from farmed peat soils in Finland, European Journal of Soil Science, 55, 591–599, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2004.00622.x, 2004.

Reuter, H. I., Lado, L. R., Hengl, T., and Montanarella, L.: Continental-scale digital soil mapping us-

- ing European soil profile data: soil pH, Hamburger Beiträge zur Physischen Geographie und Landschaftsökologie, 19, 91–102, 2008.
 - Roelandt, C., Van Wesemael, B., and Rounsevell, M.: Estimating annual N₂O emissions from agricultural soils in temperate climates, Global Change Biology, 11, 1701–1711, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2005.01025.x, 2005.
- ³⁰ Salm, J. O., Maddison, M., Tammik, S., Soosaar, K., Truu, J., and Mander, U.: Emissions of CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O from undisturbed, drained and mined peatlands in Estonia, Hydrobiologia, p. 1–15, 2011.
 - Skiba, U. and Smith, K. A.: Nitrification and denitrification as sources of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide in a sandy loam soil, Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 25, 1527–1536, 1993.

Sozanska, M., Skiba, U., and Metcalfe, S.: Developing an inventory of N₂O emissions from British soils, Atmospheric Environment, 36, 987–998, doi:16/S1352-2310(01)00441-1, 2002.

- Strömgren, M., Fröberg, M., and Olsson, M.: Greenhouse gas fluxes from four drained forested peatlands with different fertility in southern Sweden, Submitted to Boreal Environmental Research, 2014.
- Tauchnitz, N., Brumme, R., Bernsdorf, S., and Meissner, R.: Nitrous oxide and methane fluxes of a pristine slope mire in the German National Park Harz Mountains, Plant and soil, 303, 131–138, 2008.
- 10 Tiktak, A., Nie, D. D., Van Der Linden, T., and Kruijne, R.: Modelling the leaching and drainage of pesticides in the Netherlands: the GeoPEARL model, Agronomie, 22, 373–387, doi:10.1051/agro:2002022, 2002.
 - van Beek, C., Pleijter, M., Jacobs, C., Velthof, G., van Groenigen, J., and Kuikman, P.: Emissions of N₂O from fertilized and grazed grassland on organic soil in relation to groundwater level, Nutrient Cycling
- ¹⁵ in Agroecosystems, 86, 331–340, doi:10.1007/s10705-009-9295-2, 2010.

5

20

25

Velthof, G. and Oenema, O.: Nitrous oxide fluxes from grassland in the Netherlands: II. Effects of soil type, nitrogen fertilizer application and grazing, European Journal of Soil Science, 46, 541–549, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.1995.tb01350.x, 1995.

Weslien, P., Klemedtsson, A. K., Börjesson, G., and Klemedtsson, L.: Strong pH influence on N₂O and CH₄ fluxes from forested organic soils, European Journal of Soil Science, 60, 311–320, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2009.01123.x, 2009.

European Journal of Soil Science, 49, 327–335, doi:10.1046/j.1365-2389.1998.00156.x, 1998.

Yamulki, S., Anderson, R., Peace, A., and Morison, J. I. L.: Soil CO₂ CH₄ and N₂O fluxes from an afforested lowland raised peatbog in Scotland: implications for drainage and restoration., Biogeosciences, 10, 2013.

Velthof, G. L., Brader, A. B., and Oenema, O.: Seasonal variations in nitrous oxide losses from managed grasslands in The Netherlands, Plant and Soil, 181, 263–274, doi:10.1007/BF00012061, 1996.

Wild, Klemisch, and Pfadenhauer: Nitrous oxide and methane fluxes from organic soils under agriculture,



Fig. 1. Overview for measurement sites. Size of points indicates number of measurements per site. Background map displays peatland distribution in Europe with peatcover per square kilometre from Montanarella et al. (2006).



Fig. 2. Boxplots for N_2O fluxes (a) and mean annual groundwater table (b) for five different land use categories (cropland, grassland, peat extraction, forest and natural sites). N_2O fluxes are shown without outliers and *n* indicates the number of measurement per category.



Fig. 3. The scatter plots shows (a) the N₂O flux relationship to mean annual groundwater table, (b) the relationship between N-fertilization and N₂O fluxes for crop- and grassland with significant (P<0.001) linear relationship for grassland ($r^2 = 0.26$), (c) the N₂O fluxes plotted against the C/N ratios and (d) pH-values in relationship to this C/N ratios including the fitted non linear function ($ph = 15 \cdot cn^{-0.36}$) ($r^2 = 0.5$).



Fig. 4. Fuzzy model performance for calibration and cross validation of N_2O fluxes from cropland on organic soils. The modelled fluxes (x-axis) represent the mean flux rates from a model ensemble of 50 individually bootstrapped models. The cross validation was performed by excluding one site per iteration.



Fig. 5. Fuzzy model results for calibration and cross validation for N_2O fluxes from grassland on organic soils. The modelled fluxes (x-axis) represent the mean flux rates from a model ensemble of 50 individually bootstrapped models. The cross validation was performed by excluding one site per iteration.



Fig. 6. Fuzzy model results for calibration and cross validation for N_2O fluxes from forest sites on organic soils. The modelled fluxes (x-axis) represent the mean flux rates from a model ensemble of 50 individually bootstrapped models. The cross validation was performed by excluding one site per iteration.



Fig. 7. Fuzzy model results for calibration and cross validation for N_2O fluxes from peat extraction sites on organic soils. The modelled fluxes (x-axis) represent the mean flux rates from a model ensemble of 50 individually bootstrapped models. The cross validation was performed by excluding one site per iteration.



Fig. 8. European N₂O fluxes for 1x1km raster grid cells calculated with the fuzzy logic model approach (left) and the corresponding pixel wise model uncertainty as standard deviations (right) for organic soils in $g N_2 O-N m^{-2} a^{-1}$. The land use classification is based on CORINE land cover.

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Table 1. List of potential driving parameters for N_2O with units, value mean/range and fraction of measurement studies that cover each parameter. Soil parameters are related to top soil layer of 100 cm depth and all parameters are calculated as annual average values. With the exception of precipitation and nitrogen fertilization which are calculated as annual sums.

Name	Description	Unit	Mean	Min	Max	Fraction (%)
bd	Bulk density	${ m g}\cdot{ m cm}^{-3}$	0.34	0.03	1.36	69.2
corg	Organic carbon content	%	36.11	6.7	57.5	79.8
ntot	Total nitrogen content	%	1.82	0.3	3.9	71.8
ph	pH value	-	5.34	3.3	7.63	61
cn	Ratio of carbon and nitrogen	-	21.29	9	78.17	80.4
pd	Thickness of peat layer	m	1.61	0.2	10.2	38.7
tair	Air temperature	°C	6.22	-0.23	11.2	83.5
tsoil	Soil temperature	°C	8.8	1.94	11.78	19.1
рр	Precipitation	mm	645.2	0	1840	81.6
wt	Groundwater table	m	0.32	-0.62	1.36	82.2
wfps	Water filled pore space	%	76.48	41.25	100	13.7
no3	Nitrate concentration	$\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{ha}^{-1}$	32.97	0	211.7	13.1
nh4	Ammonia concentration	$kg \cdot ha^{-1}$	28.4	0.33	241	13.1
nmin	Mineral nitrogen concentration	$kg \cdot ha^{-1}$	61.37	2.21	241	14.3
nfert	Organic and mineral nitrogen fertilization	$kg \cdot ha^{-1}$	43.77	0	713	80.7

Table 2. Correlation matrix of N ₂ O fluxes and potential driving parameters for the available dataset from
organic soils in Europe. The parameter names are described in Table 1.

	N_2C) bd	corg	ntot	ph	cn	pd	tair	tsoil	pp	wt	wfps	nmin	nfert
N_2O	1.00	0.17	-0.10^{*}	0.07 -	-0.05	-0.19	-0.14^{*}	0.06	0.07	0.11**	0.32	-0.30	0.10	0.43
bd		1.00	-0.80	-0.39	0.37	-0.48	-0.32	0.34	-0.08	-0.17	0.46	0.07	-0.04	0.25
corg			1.00	0.38 -	-0.50	0.59	0.27	-0.32	-0.08	0.15	-0.31	-0.12	-0.12	-0.13^{**}
ntot				1.00	0.14^{*}	-0.40	0.34	0.04	0.11	-0.04	-0.21	0.07	0.26^{*}	0.16
ph					1.00	-0.64	-0.31	0.06	0.22^{*}	-0.30	0.29	-0.03	0.29**	0.19
cn						1.00	0.02	-0.22	-0.18	0.15	-0.20	-0.19	-0.36	-0.18
pd							1.00	0.17^{**}	0.29^{*}	0.10	-0.39	-0.06	-0.20	-0.22
tair								1.00	0.77	0.02	-0.11^{*}	-0.01	0.15	0.16
tsoil									1.00	0.44	0.15	-0.26	0.27	0.07
pp										1.00	-0.13^{**}	-0.14	0.24^{*}	0.01
wt											1.00	-0.39	0.08	0.17
wfps												1.00	0.10	-0.01
nmir	1												1.00	0.10
nfert														1.00

Level of Significance:

** Significant at $P \le 0.01$

* Significant at $P \le 0.05$

Table 3. List of calibrated and validated N₂O fuzzy logic models with covariates that are described in Table 1 (Parameters), number of flux measurements (N_{*flux*}) and model performances of calibration (NSE_{*cali*}) and cross validation (NSE_{*cv*}) for different land use categories, respectively.

Landuse	Parameters	N_{flux}	NSE_{cali}	NSE_{cv}
Crop	wt, ph, pp	40	0.63	0.41
Grass	nfert, tair winter, pp autumn	96	0.68	0.58
Forest	wt, ph, tair	60	0.66	0.25
Extraction	bd, pp, tair winter	21	0.89	0.28
Natural	-	132	-	-

Table 4. N₂O emission budget for European peatlands by different approaches: Fuzzy logic model (Fuzzy), average emission factors of flux data from this study (Average) and IPCC emission factor approach (IPCC) are shown as mean and 95 % confidence interval of the budgets in GgN_2O-Na^{-1} . The land use categories are based on CLC 2006 (top) and HILDA 2010 (bottom).

Fuzzy					Average		IPCC			
Landuse	Mean	95% Conf. Int.		Mean	95% Conf. Int.		Mean 95% C		onf. Int.	
Crop	71.734	63.903	79.565	42.443	33.113	51.730	56.417	35.586	78.116	
Grass	7.848	2.856	12.841	15.687	12.036	19.365	22.780	13.080	31.180	
Forest	64.005	37.980	90.031	42.157	26.730	57.583	8.196	0.524	15.612	
Extraction	0.099	-0.045	0.240	0.134	0.050	0.218	0.009	-0.001	0.018	
Natural	5.795	2.078	9.513	5.795	2.041	9.469	0.000	0.000	0.000	
Sum	149.482	93.718	205.246	106.216	68.701	143.732	87.402	53.980	120.824	
Crop	40.446	36.282	44.609	22.512	17.563	27.438	29.924	18.875	41.433	
Grass	29.103	12.530	45.675	53.768	41.253	66.376	82.143	43.971	116.158	
Forest	63.115	36.031	90.199	45.814	29.050	62.579	9.070	0.524	17.334	
Sum	132.663	76.899	188.428	122.095	84.579	159.610	121.137	72.515	169.758	

Table 5. Overview of land use areas on organic soils in Europe and corresponding implied emission factors (iEF) for the Fuzzy logic model (Fuzzy), the average emission factors of flux data from this study (Average) and IPCC emission factor approach (IPCC). The land areas are shown in km² for CLC 2006 (top) and HILDA 2010 (bottom), respectively. The emission factors are derived from the mean N₂O flux budget divided by particular land use class area and are displayed in $g N_2 O-N m^{-2} a^{-1}$.

Landuse	Area	Fuzzy iEF	Average iEF	IPCC iEF
Crop	43,397.84	1.653	0.978	1.300
Grass	27,046.10	0.290	0.580	0.842
Forest	132,986.80	0.421	0.317	0.062
Extraction	283.35	0.349	0.473	0.032
Natural	81,626.15	0.071	0.071	0
Crop	23,018.50	1.757	0.978	1.300
Grass	92,703.48	0.314	0.580	0.842
Forest	144,525.03	0.410	0.317	0.062

Table 6. List of sites with number of flux measurements and references that are included in the presented meta study.

Name	Number of sites	Number of fluxes	Start date	End date	Reference
Aardlapalu	1	2	2009-01-01	2010-12-31	Salm et al. (2011)
Ahlenmoor	6	17	2008-01-01	2011-12-31	Beetz et al. (2013),
					+ unpublished data
Alkkia	1	1	2003-05-01	2004-04-30	Mäkiranta et al. (2007)
Apukka	1	6	2001-01-01	2002-12-31	Regina et al. (2004)
Asa	4	6 6 8 8	2000-01-01	2001-12-31	Arnold et al. (2005)
Benediktbeuern	6	6	2005-01-01	2005-12-31	unpublished data
Bodin	5 3	8	2003-01-01	2003-12-31	Kløve et al. (2010)
Bodo	3	8	2003-01-01	2004-12-31	Grønlund et al. (2006)
Central Finland	12	<u>3</u> 5	1991-01-01	1992-12-31	Regina et al. (1996)
Donaumoos	7	7 5	1994-01-01	1999-12-31	Wild et al. (1998)
Donauried	7 5 6 5 9	5	2004-01-01	2004-12-31	unpublished data
Dümmer	<u>6</u>	16	2008-01-01	2011-12-31	unpublished data
Dummerstorf	5	6	2010-01-01	2011-12-31	unpublished data
Falköping	9	9	1995-01-01	1997-12-31	Weslien et al. (2009),
T 11	1	1	2000 01 01	2000 12 21	Klemedtsson et al. (2009)
Falla	1	1	2008-01-01	2009-12-31	Strömgren et al. (2014)
Finland	50	69	2007-01-01	2008-12-31	Ojanen et al. (2010)
Flanders Moss	4	4	2009-01-01	2009-12-31	Yamulki et al. (2013)
Flugebo	1	1	2008-01-01	2008-12-31	Strömgren et al. (2014)
Freising	29	50	2007-01-01	2012-12-31	Eickenscheidt et al. (2014b),
					Eickenscheidt et al. (2014a),
F	1	2	2000 01 01	2011 12 21	+ unpublished data
Fyodorovskoye	1	3	2009-01-01	2011-12-31	unpublished data
Graben-Neudorf Grosses Moor	5 6	10 12	2010-01-01	2011-12-31	Peichl-Brak (2013)
Grosses Moor	0	12	2011-01-01	2012-12-31	Leiber-Sauheitl et al. (2014),
Gullhult	1	1	2008-01-01	2008-12-31	+ unpublished data
Gumnitz	1	10	1995-01-01	1999-12-31	Strömgren et al. (2014)
Halolanmaeki	2 5				Augustin et al. (1998) Melienen et al. (2003)
Harz	2 5 2 6	6 2	1996-01-01 2002-01-01	1997-12-31 2002-12-31	Maljanen et al. (2003) Tauchnitz et al. (2008)
Heinrichswalde	$\frac{2}{6}$	18	1995-01-01	1999-12-31	ZALF unpublished data
Hijesoo	1		2009-01-01	2009-12-31	Salm et al. (2011)
Ilomantsi	2	5	1991-01-01	1992-12-31	Nykanen et al. (1995)
Jokioinen	2 1	4 5 9	2000-01-01	2002-12-31	Regina et al. (2004)
JORIOIIICII	1	,	2000-01-01	2002-12-31	Regina et al. (2004)

Name	Number of sites	Number of fluxes	Start date	End date	Reference
Kannus	15	47	2000-01-01	2007-12-31	Maljanen et al. (2012)
Kasesoo	1	3	2009-01-01	2009-12-31	Salm et al. (2011)
Kendlmühlfilze	13	13	1999-01-01	1999-12-31	Drösler (2005),
	-	-			+ unpublished data
Kuresoo	1	5 9	2009-01-01	2009-12-31	Salm et al. (2011)
Kuuma	1	9	2000-01-01	2002-12-31	Regina et al. (2004)
Lakkasuo		16	1991-01-01	1992-12-31	Laine et al. (1996)
Linnansuo	$2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 2$	8	2004-01-01	2007-12-31	Hyvönen et al. (2009)
Ljubljana Marsh		4	2005-01-01	2005-12-31	Danevčič et al. (2010)
Lompolojaenkkae	1	3	2006-01-01	2008-12-31	Lohila et al. (2010)
Mooseurach	18	33	2007-01-01	2011-12-31	unpublished data
Mørke	1	3	2008-01-01	2008-12-31	Petersen et al. (2012)
Nørreå	1	1	2009-01-01	2009-12-31	unpublished data
Orramossen	1	1	2008-01-01	2008-12-31	Strömgren et al. (2014)
Paulinenaue	17	59	1995-01-01	2011-12-31	Augustin et al. (1998),
					Bell et al. (2012),
					Rees et al. (2013),
					+ unpublished data
Puhatu	1	3 3 6	2009-01-01	2009-12-31	Salm et al. (2011)
Reeiwijk	1	3	2006-01-01	2008-12-31	Kroon et al. (2010)
Rovaniemi	1	6	2001-01-01	2002-12-31	Regina et al. (2004)
Sangla	1	1	2009-01-01	2009-12-31	Salm et al. (2011)
Sernitz	2	4	1998-01-01	1999-12-31	ZALF unpublished data
Skjern	1	2	2008-01-01	2008-12-31	Petersen et al. (2012)
Spreewald	4	8	2010-01-01	2011-12-31	unpublished data
St. Vildmose	1	4 2 8 3 4	2008-01-01	2008-12-31	Petersen et al. (2012)
Valgeraba	1	4	2009-01-01	2009-12-31	Salm et al. (2011)
Vesijako	8 8	8	2003-01-01	2003-12-31	Minkkinen unpublished data
Westermoor	0	16	2010-01-01	2011-12-31	Beyer and Höper (2014),
Wildmoos	2	4	2001-01-01	2002-12-31	+ unpublished data
Zarnekow	2 5	4 21	2001-01-01	2002-12-31	Jungkunst and Fiedler (2005 unpublished data
Zegveld	5	21	1992-01-01	2007-12-31	Velthof et al. (1996),
Legvelu	0	<i>∠1</i>	1992-01-01	2007-12-31	Koops et al. (1990),
					van Beek et al. (2010)