# Methane related changes in prokaryotes along geochemical profiles in sediments of Lake Kinneret (Israel)

**I. Bar Or[1], E. Ben-Dov[2,3], A. Kushmaro*[2,5,6], W. Eckert[4] and O. Sivan[1]**

[1]Department of Geological and Environmental Sciences, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er- Sheva, P.O. Box 653, 8410501, Israel.

[2]Avram and Stella Goldstein-Goren Department of Biotechnology Engineering, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Be'er- Sheva, P.O. Box 653, 8410501, Israel.

[3]Department of Life Sciences, Achva Academic College, Achva, M.P. Shikmim 79800, Israel.

[4] Israel Oceanographic and Limnological Research, The Yigal Allon Kinneret Limnological Laboratory, PO Box 447, 14950 Migdal, Israel.

[5]National Institute for Biotechnology in the Negev, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, P.O. Box 653, Be'er-Sheva 8410501, Israel

[6]School of Materials Science and Engineering, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

* Corresponding author: Mailing address: Department of Biotechnology Engineering, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, P.O. Box 653, Be'er-Sheva 8410501, Israel. Phone: 972-8-6479.024. Fax: 972-8-6472.983. E-mail: arielkus@bgu.ac.il.

Keywords: anaerobic methane oxidation, iron reduction, archaeal classification, bacterial classification, Lake Kinneret.

**Abstract.** Microbial methane oxidation is the primary control on the emission of the greenhouse gas methane into the atmosphere. In terrestrial environments, aerobic methanotrophic bacteria are largely responsible for this process. In marine sediments a coupling of anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) with sulfate reduction, often carried out by a consortium of anaerobic methanotrophic archaea (ANME) and sulfate reducing bacteria, consumes almost all methane produced within marine sediments. Motivated by recent evidence for AOM with iron(III) in Lake Kinneret sediments, the goal of the present study was to link the geochemical gradients in the lake porewater to the microbial community structure. Screening of archaeal 16S rRNA gene sequences revealed a shift from hydrogenotrophic to acetoclastic methanogens with depth. The observed changes in microbial community structure suggest possible direct and indirect mechanisms for the

AOM coupled to iron reduction in deep sediments. Members of the *Burkholderiales* and *Nitrospirales* orders increased with depth, suggesting their involvement in iron reduction together with *Geobacter* genus and "reverse methanogenesis". An indirect mechanism through sulfate and ANMEs seems less probable to explain the data due to the absence of ANME sequences. This is despite the abundant sequences related to sulfate reducing bacteria (*Deltaproteobacteria*) together with the occurrence of *dsr*AB in the deep sediment that could indicate the production of sulfate (disproportionation) from $S^0$ for sulfate-driven AOM. The presence of the functional gene *pmo*A in the deep anoxic sediment together with sequences related to *Methylococcales* suggests the existence of a second unexpected indirect pathway - aerobic methane oxidation pathway in an anaerobic environment.

## 1. Introduction

Chemical profiles in the porewater of aquatic sediments reflect the sequence of microbially mediated redox reactions that are driven by the availability of both, electron donors and of suitable electron acceptors. The latter are depleted in the order of decreasing chemical potential, beginning with oxygen and proceeding through nitrate, manganese and iron oxides, and then sulfate. Below the main zone of sulfate reduction, the fermentation of organic carbon leads to the formation of methane ($CH_4$) by the process of methanogenesis (Froelich et al., 1979).

The produced methane is isotopically depleted in $^{13}C$, with values of ~−50 to −110‰ (Schoell, 1988), and the residual dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) pool is enriched by an isotopic fractionation factor (ε) of 50 to 70‰ (e.g., (Borowski et al., 2000; Whiticar, 1999)). When the produced methane diffuses into a zone with a suitable electron acceptor, it can be consumed by microbial oxidation (methanotrophy), the main process by which the important greenhouse methane is prevented from escaping into the atmosphere. While in the terrestrial environment, aerobic methanotrophy is the dominant process (Chistoserdova et al., 2005), in anaerobic marine sediments archaea are found to consume the majority of upward diffusing methane coupled to sulfate reduction (Knittel and Boetius, 2009; Thauer, 2010; Valentine, 2002).

Evidence from lipids and from fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) showed that a consortium of archaea and sulfate reducing bacteria are involved in this anaerobic methane oxidation (AOM) (Boetius et al., 2000; Hinrichs et al., 1999; Orphan et al., 2001). To date, three groups of anaerobic methanotrophic archaea (ANME), named ANME-1, ANME-2, and ANME-3, are known to perform sulfate driven AOM (Niemann et al., 2006; Orphan et al., 2002). However Milucka et al. (2012) demonstrated AOM mediated solely by archaea, where the archaea was shown to oxidize the methane and reduce the sulfate to elemental sulfur. Disproportionating bacteria, also involved in this mechanism, oxidize and reduce this elemental sulfur to sulfate and sulfide, respectively. The carbon isotopic fractionation factor (ε) for this methanotrophic process was shown to be in the range of 4-30‰ (Kinnaman et al., 2007; Whiticar, 1999). Enrichment cultures of ANME from different environments showed a carbon isotopic fractionation of 12-39‰ (Holler et al., 2009).

Other electron acceptors were recently shown to drive AOM. Nitrite driven AOM by oxygenic bacteria was observed in two different freshwater ecosystems in Netherlands (Ettwig et al., 2009; Raghoebarsing et al., 2006) and also in peatlands (Zhu et al., 2012). Beal et al. (Beal et al., 2009) showed the potential of manganese and iron-driven AOM in marine sediments, and Egger et al., (2014) showed it in brackish costal sediments. In our recent study (Sivan et al., 2011), we provided *in situ* geochemical evidence for AOM coupled to microbial iron reduction below the main

methanogenesis zone in Lake Kinneret (LK) sediments, where dissolved sulfate and nitrate are absent. However, the mechanism that is responsible for this process was not investigated. The goal of the present study was to explore the possible microbial pathways that may be involved in the iron driven AOM in LK sediments. This is by combining chemical and isotope analyses of porewater samples along a depth profile from LK sediments with molecular biological techniques. By using specific functional genes related to dissimilatory sulfate reductase (*dsr*) for sulfate reducers (Klein et al., 2001), methyl-coenzyme M reductase (*mcr*) for methanogens and anaerobic methanotrophs (Hallam et al., 2003) and particulate methane monooxygenase (*pmmo*) for aerobic methanotrophs (McDonald et al., 2008) we pinpoint the most likely candidate for this relatively unknown process.

### 1.1. Study site

Located in northern Israel, Lake Kinneret (LK, Fig.1) is a warm monomictic subtropical lake. Typical concentrations of major electron acceptors in the water column during the mixed period are 35–50 μM nitrate and 600 μM sulfate (Adler et al., 2011; Nishri et al., 2000; Serruya et al., 1974). In the spring, the newly formed epilimnion is characterized by increasing temperatures and enhanced phytoplankton development, while in the hypolimnion heterotrophic microorganisms gradually deplete oxygen and then nitrate. Organic matter degradation by bacterial iron and manganese reduction takes place below the thermocline in the summer, and in the end of the stratification period, sulfate reduction starts in the bottom water. In the upper part of the sediment, sulfate reduction is the dominant microbial process year round, and below depth of 5 cm this process is mostly replaced by methanogenesis (Adler et al., 2011; Eckert and Conrad, 2007). Total iron content ($Fe_{(tot)}$) in the sediment increases with depth till 18 cm and then remains uniform around 550 μmol/g Dry Weight (Eckert, 2000). Mn(II) concentration is about 13 μmol/g Dry Weight in the sediment (Serruya, 1971). The total carbon ($C_{tot}$) in the solid phase shows a decrease from 14 μmol/g Dry Weight in the top part of the sediment to 8 μmol/g Dry Weight in the deep part of the sediment (~30 cm) (Eckert, 2000).

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1. Sampling

Several sediment cores were collected from the center of the lake (Station A, Fig. 1) at a water depth of ~42 m (maximum lake water column depth) at different times using Perspex tubes, measuring 55 cm long by 5 cm in diameter, with a gravity corer. The cores were stored in the dark at 4°C until they were sliced (on the same day or the day after). Core sampling for the microbial community study took place in December 2009. Over a dozen of porewater chemical and isotope

profiles were conducted seasonally (every 3-4 months) from 2007 to 2013. The slight seasonal changes allowed using typical geochemical profiles (Adler et al., 2011) in order to sample for the microbiology communities in the different electron acceptors zones and to correlate between the microbial sampling and the geochemical profiles.

## 2.2. Geochemical analyses

Cores were divided into 2-cm slices from top to bottom under a constant flow of $N_2$ using a slicing device. About 1.5 ml of each sediment slice was transferred into $N_2$-flushed crimp bottles containing 5 ml of 1.5 N NaOH for the headspace measurements of $CH_4$ and $\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$ (after Sivan et al., 2011). $CH_4$ concentrations were measured on a SHIMADZU gas chromatograph (GC 8IF) equipped with a FID detector at a precision of 2 $\mu mol \cdot L^{-1}$.

Porewater was extracted immediately from each slice by centrifugation at 27,000 g at 4ºC in a $N_2$ atmosphere, and the supernatant was filtered through 0.45-µm filters. A 1-ml subsample was fixed with Ferrozine and analyzed for dissolved Fe(II) (Stookey, 1970). Four millimeters of subsample were poured into an acidified vial (1 ml of 0.5N nitric acid) to measure dissolved Mn(II). The sample was analyzed via an Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer (ICP-MS, Elan DRC II, Perkin Elmer) at a precision of ±10%. For sulfide profiles, 1 ml of subsample was added to zinc acetate and hydrogen sulfide concentrations were determined by the methylene blue method with MDL of the method is 1 µM (Cline, 1969). For sulfate measurements, 5 ml of the subsamples were analyzed with a Dionex DX500 high-pressure liquid chromatograph with a precision of ±3%. Iron isotope analysis was done by acidifying the subsamples with 10% HCl for one week (to dissolve any precipitated iron) and then purifying them by anion exchange chromatography (Borrok et al., 2007). $\delta^{56}Fe$ was measured on a Neptune multi-collector ICP-MS in high resolution mode according to standard methods and standardized against isotopic reference material (IRMM-014) with a precision of ±0.1‰ (John and Adkins, 2010). Total lipids were extracted using the Bligh–Dyer procedure (Bligh and Dyer, 1959) with solvent mixture of 2:1:0.8 (methanol:dichloromethane:buffer). $\delta^{13}C$ of the total lipid extraction (TLE) was measured on an Elemental Analyzer Isotopic Ratio Mass Spectrometer (EA-IRMS) with a precision of 0.1%.

## 2.3. DNA extraction and quantitative PCR (qPCR) amplification from sediment samples

Part of the sediment slices were kept frozen at -20ºC for the microbial work. Samples from three different depths (0–3 cm representing the sulfate reduction zone, 6–9 cm representing the methanogenesis zone, and 29–32 cm representing the deep AOM zone) were defrosted. Those depth zones were chosen based on the geochemical profiles sampled at different times, showing quasi steady state and stabilization of the sediments (Adler et al., 2011; Sivan et al., 2011). Therefore,

microbial community sampling of the three depth zones at a single time point should represent the different microbial habitats as they pertain to the respective geochemical zones. Total genomic DNA was extracted from the sediment samples using the MoBio Power Soil DNA isolation kit (MoBio Laboratories, Solana Beach, CA). Genomic DNA was eluted using 60 µl of elution buffer and stored at −20°C. Concentrations of DNA were determined via UV-Vis spectrophotometry (ND-1000 NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE) (sulfate reduction zone 22ng/µl, methanogenesis zone 35.8ng/µl and AOM zone 14ng/µl).

Quantification of functional genes were performed using the *mcrA* primers (Luton et al., 2002), F 'GGTGGTGTMGGATTCACACARTAYGCWACAG' and R 'TTCATTGCRTAGTTWGGRTAGTT', *dsrA* primers, DSR1F 'ACSCACTGGAAGCACG' (Wagner et al., 1998) and RH3-dsr-R 'GGTGGAGCCGTGCATGTT' (Ben-Dov et al., 2007) and *pmoA* primers, a189F 'GGNGACTGGGACTTCTGG' and mb661R 'CCGGMGCAACGTCYTTACC' (Yan et al., 2006) with a ABI prism 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). The qPCR reaction consisted of 10 µL of Absolute Blue SYBR Green ROX, 150 nM each of forward and reverse primers and 5 µL of each DNA template. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 15 minutes at 95$^{o}$C for enzyme activation, followed by 40 rounds of 15 seconds at 95$^{o}$C for denaturation and 1 minute at 60$^{o}$C for annealing/extension. To verify that the used primer pair produced only a single specific product, a dissociation protocol was added after thermo cycling, to determine dissociation of the PCR products from 60$^{o}$C to 95$^{o}$C. Standards for the calibration curves for quantification were made using pGEM-T Easy plasmid cloned with 1.9 kb *dsrAB* and amplicons of the *mcrA* and *pmoA* encoding for functional genes at a known concentration with six serial dilution points (in steps of tenfold). All runs included a no-template control. Plasmid standards and environmental samples were simultaneously assayed in triplicates. The ABI prism 7000 Sequence Detection System andSDS Software were used for data analysis. qC values were exported into a Microsoft Excel Work sheet for further statistical analysis.

## 2.4. Sequence analysis

454 tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing was performed by the Research and Testing Laboratory (Lubbock, Texas, USA) as previously described (Dowd et al., 2008). The bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA gene primers that were used are 28F 'GAGTTTGATCNTGGCTCAG' and 519R 'GTNTTACNGCGGCKGCTG' and Arch349F 'GYGCASCAGKCGMGAAW' and Arch806R 'GGACTACVSGGGTATCTAAT' respectively. Data analysis was made using two different methodologies (MOTHUR and SILVA ngs). The initial trimming of the sequences was made by MOTHUR v1.33 (Schloss et al., 2009) and generated around 300 bp length of sequences. The

trimmed sequences were taken to further analysis by MOTHUR (Schloss et al., 2011) using SILVA.nr_v119 database. MOTHUR 454 pipeline filtration and denoising remove from the analysis sequences that were <150 bp, when they contained homopolymers longer than 8 bp, ambiguous bases, more than one mismatch to barcode sequences or more than two mismatches to the forward primer sequence. We further removed sequences that did not align in the same nucleotide position on the reference database. Most of the bacterial sequences were in the same region, however the archaeal sequences were spared between two different regions. We used one position window that was dominant in the top sample and implied it on the other archaeal analysis. Identical sequences were grouped and then were aligned against SILVA.nr_v119. Chimers were removed using MOTHUR CHIMERA UCHIME (Edgar et al., 2011). The lengths of the remaining sequences were around 200 bp. A further screening step (pre-cluster) was applied to reduce sequencing noise by clustering reads differing by only one base every 100 bases (Huse et al., 2010). In addition, the rest of the sequences were classified in order to remove eukaryote, mitochondria and chloroplast classified sequences. The remaining sequences were used to generate a distance matrix and clustering into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) defined at 97% cutoff using the average neighbor algorithm. The OTUs were classified using SILVA.nr_v119 database with a confidence threshold of 80%. Alpha diversity was calculated by MOTHUR using the remaining sequences at 97% similarity. The beta diversity was calculated using comparable data, the number of sequences per sample was made equal through subsampling (Table 1and 2). The second program which we used for analysis was SILVA ngs pipeline (Quast et al., 2013). The trimmed sequences from mother were Aligner against the SILVA SSU rRNA seed. Sequences shorter than 50 aligned nucleotides and with more than 2% of ambiguities or 2% of homopolymers, respectively, were removed. The sequences that were not aligned as being putative contaminations/artifacts were removed. Then sequences were clustered to OTUs with 98% similarity and classified by local nucleotide BLAST search against SILVA database v119. To filter out low identity and artificial BLAST hits, hits for which the function '(%sequence identity +%alignment coverage)/2' did not exceed the value of 93% were discarded. Sequences with weak low score were classified as 'No Relatives' and will be disregarded from now on. Standard deviation between the percentages of the two classification showed that at the phylum level there are small differences between the two pipelines (supplementary Table 1). In the order classification, the standard deviations increased but the sequences still showed close similarity. Estimates of phylotype richness, diversity coverage and similarity were calculated according to the abundance-based coverage estimate (ACE), Chao's estimator (Chao, 1984; Chao and Ma, 1993), the Shannon diversity index, Good's coverage (Good, 1953) were calculated in MOTHUR (Table 1and 2). The distribution and abundance matrix of the OTUs was normalized to the sample with the smallest number of reads by randomly resampling of

214 the MOTHUR data set for statistical comparisons. Raw sequencing data was deposited in the MG-
215 RAST (metagenomics.anl.gov) archive.

216

217 **2.5. Microbial community structure related to the environment conditions**

218 To estimate community similarity among samples, we used PC-ORD 6 software. Subsample OTU
219 data from MOTHUR was used to create a distance matrix based on the Sørensen (Bray–Curtis)
220 dissimilarities of the OTU composition of the samples. The data was normalized to percentages
221 before the analysis. Community relationships were visualized using principal coordinate analysis
222 (PCoA) based on this distance matrix. Different environmental variables were added as well ($CH_4$,
223 $\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$, Fe(II), $\delta^{56}$Fe(II), Mn(II), $H_2S$, $SO_4^{2-}$,dissolved organic carbon (DOC), $\delta^{13}C_{TLE}$, $NH_4^+$ and
224 $PO_4^{3-}$) in order to identify potential explanatory variables. The environmental vector were applied
225 and projected to the PCoA ordination. In addition, Venn diagrams for graphical descriptions of
226 unshared and shared OTUs between the three samples were constructed using MOTHUR

227 **3. Results**

228 **3.1. Porewater profiles**

229 This study focused on microbial community shifts along the porewater profiles of electron acceptor
230 gradients related to the methane cycle. Over dozen of porewater profiles were performed seasonally
231 from station A (Fig. 1) to characterize shifts in main electron acceptors with depth. The shown
232 profiles (Fig. 2) are representatives of the slight seasonal trends. Sulfate and sulfide profiles show
233 depletion from ~100 μM at the top part of the sediment, to below detection limits within the upper
234 15 cm (Fig. 2A). This typical concave curvature profile of sulfate in the porewater indicates
235 intensive sulfate reduction in the upper few centimeters of the sediment throughout the year. A
236 typical LK methane concentration profile (Fig. 2B) shows increase from 250 μM at the water-
237 sediment interface to a maximum of about 1.25 mM in the depth range of 7 to 15 cm, and then a
238 decrease below 15 cm depth. The profile of $\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$ (Fig. 2B) shows a decrease from −60‰ at a
239 depth of 1 cm to about −65‰ at a depth of 7 cm and then an increase in the deeper sediments to a
240 maximum value of −53.5‰ at a depth of 25 cm. Also, the profile of $\delta^{13}C_{TLE}$ (Fig. 2C) shows a
241 decrease in this deepest part of the sediment.
242 Manganese and iron oxides are the most probable electron accepters in the deep part of the sediment
243 where methane is decreased. Dissolved Mn(II) concentration (Fig. 2D) increased from 5 μM at the
244 top of the sediment to a plateau of about 23 μM from depths of 23 to 36 cm. The Fe(II)
245 concentration profile also showed an increase with depth (Fig. 2D), however in a different pattern.

In the upper 15 cm, dissolved Fe(II) concentrations were below the detection limit, and gradually increased below 15 cm to about 90 μM at a depth of 36 cm. The $\delta^{56}$Fe profile (Fig. 2C) showed a decrease with depth from 0.5‰ in the upper part to −1.7% to −2.3% in the deep part of the sediment.

### 3.2. Sediment microbial communities

To study the sediment depth-dependent microbial community shifts, 16S rRNA gene sequences of the prokaryotic community from three different depth zones (0–3, 6–9 and 29–32 cm, see arrows in Fig. 2a) were extracted and analyzed. As mentioned above, these three zones represent the main sulfate reduction zone, the methanogenesis zone, and the deep iron driven AOM zone, respectively. The diverse bacterial and archaeal communities at these depth zones varied in composition and richness throughout the sediment. A total of 13227 and 4881 bacterial and archaeal sequences representing 3852 and 705 OTUs (cut-off value of 98%), respectively, were identified by SILVA database project. The normalized Shannon and Chao index were used as proxies for diversity and richness, respectively (Table 1 and 2). The upper layer showed lower diversity (Shannon index, 3.91 and 1.8 for bacteria and archaea) and richness (Chao index, 925.7 and 83.4 for bacteria and archaea) than the other depths. Moreover, the bacterial coverage of the upper layer was the highest (91%), while that of the deeper layers was about 80%. The archaeal coverage was almost the same (~88%) in all the sampled layers. Most of our sequences were affiliated with uncultured microorganisms mainly from various sediment environments.

Although the bacterial OTUs were distributed over 43 phyla, we represent here only the phyla that were over 1% sequences of the 454 library. The most abundant phyla were: *Proteobacteria*, *Chloroflexi*, *Nitrospirae*, *Bacteroidetes*, *Firmicutes* and *Chlorobi* observed in at least one of the libraries (Fig. 3). Dominant phyla had different trends; *Chloroflexi* and *Nitrospirae* showed increase with depth while *Proteobacteria* showed decrease with depth. *Firmicutes* were highly abundant only in the top layer. *Bacteroidetes* and *Chlorobi* were more abundant in the middle layer of the sediment.

The communities of microorganisms in the top layer (0-3cm) of the sediment had less sequence overlap with those of the deeper layers (70 and 33 shared bacterial sequences with the middle and bottom layers respectively) and of the deeper layers (Supplementary Fig 1and 2). The most dominant (~48%) phylum in this bacterial community was *Proteobacteria*; class *Gammaproteobacteria* (91%) and the genera *Acinetobacter* (28%) and *Pseudomonas* (14%) (Fig. 3). The second most abundant (38%) phylum was *Firmicutes*; class *Clostridia* (21%) and *Bacilli* (17%), family *Clostridiaceae* (15%) and genus *Bacillus* (14%). Further sequences were related to other phyla and order (Fig 3 and supplementary Table 1).

In the archaeal community the sequences number were much lower, however the coverage calculation shows about 90% coverage. The dominant phylum was *Euryarchaeota* (98%), and the rest of the 2% was *Thaumarchaeota*. *Methanomicrobia* (92%) was the dominant class of *Euryarchaeota* divided between genera of *Methanoregula* (58%), *Methanosaeta* (22%) and *Methanolinea* (11%). The other abundant classes of *Euryarchaeota* were *Halobacteria* (2%) and *Thermoplasmata* (3%). *Thaumarchaeota* was divided between two classes: Marine Benthic Group B (MBGB 1%) and Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotic Group (MCG 1%) (Fig. 4).

The middle layer (6-9cm) was the richest and most diverse in its bacterial and archaeal populations comparing to the other layers, but with the lowest community coverage (bacterial 77% and archaeal 86%). The dominant phyla in this depth were divided to *Proteobacteria* (40%), *Chloroflexi* (14%), *Bacteroidetes* (7%), *Chlorobi* (5%) and *Nitrospirae* (4%). The dominant classes in *Proteobacteria* were *Deltaproteobacteria* (68%), *Betaproteobacteria* (14%) and *Gammaproteobacteria* (14%). Sva0485 (8%), *Syntrophobacterales* (7%), *Desulfarculales* (3%) and *Desulfuromonadales* (2%) were the dominant affiliated order in *Deltaproteobacteria*. *Methylococcaceae* family of *Gammaproteobacteria* had 1% affiliated sequences. The dominant family in *Chloroflexi* was *Anaerolineaceae* (6%). *Ignavibacteriales* (4%) order was the dominant in *Chlorobi*. *Nitrospirae* increased from the upper layer and was mainly represented by the *Nitrospiraceae* (4%) family. Further sequences were related to other phyla and order (Fig. 3, supplementary Table 1).

The archaeal community was the richest and most diverse in the middle zone. The dominant phyla were *Euryarchaeota* (96%) while *Thaumarchaeota* has the rest of the 4%. *Euryarchaeota* was divided between 3 dominant classes: *Methanomicrobia* (78%), *Thermoplasmata* (11%) and *Halobacteria* (6%). *Methanomicrobia* was divided between *Methanoregula* (19%), *Methanosaeta*(50%) and *Methanolinea* (10%) genus's. *Thermoplasmata* was divided between Marine Benthic Group D and DHVEG-1 (5%) and Terrestrial Miscellaneous Gp(TMEG) (3%). *Halobacteria* dominant family was Deep Sea Hydrothermal Vent Gp 6(DHVEG-6) (6%) *Thaumarchaeota* was divided mainly between two classes: Marine Benthic Group B (MBGB 2%) and Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotic Group (MCG 1%) (Fig. 4).

The bottom layer (29-32 cm) bacterial community dominant phyla included: *Proteobacteria* (39%), *Chloroflexi* (19%) and *Nitrospirae* (8%). *Proteobacteria* were divided to three main classes: *Deltaproteobacteria* (20%), *Gammaproteobacteria* (10%) and *Betaproteobacteria* (6%). Sva0485 (11%) and *Syntrophobacterales* (4%) were the dominant affiliated order in *Deltaproteobacteria*. The dominant family in *Chloroflexi* was *Anaerolineaceae* (7%) and *Ignavibacteriales* (4%) order is the dominant *Chlorobi*. *Nitrospirae* increased from the upper layer and was mainly represented by

*Nitrospiraceae* (8%) family. Further sequences were related to other phyla and order (Fig. 3, supplementary Table 1)

At this depth, the dominant archaeal phyla were *Euryarchaeota* (98%) while *Thaumarchaeota* has the rest of the 2%. *Euryarchaeota* was divided between 3 dominant classes: *Methanomicrobia* (86%), *Thermoplasmata* (6%) and *Halobacteria* (5%). *Methanomicrobia* was divided between *Methanoregula* (16%), *Methanosaeta*(58%) and *Methanolinea* (11%) genus's. *Thermoplasmata* was divided between Marine Benthic Group D and DHVEG-1 (5%) and Terrestrial Miscellaneous Gp(TMEG) (3%) family. *Halobacteria* dominant family was Deep Sea Hydrothermal Vent Gp 6(DHVEG-6) (1%) *Thaumarchaeota* was divided mainly between two classes: Marine Benthic Group B (MBGB 1%) and Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotic Group (MCG 1%) (Fig. 4). The detailed description of the major communities in the sediment is described at the discussion and the appendix. No ANME sequences were detected even though specific primers (ANME2C-AR468F, ANME3-1249, ANME1-395F, ANME1-1417, ANME3-140F, ANME3-1249, ANME2a-426 and ANME2a-1242R, see Miyashita et al., 2009) were used.

### 3.3. Depth comparison of microbial communities

An ordination plot from the 454 pyrosequencing by MOTHUR subsample OTUs dataset was derived from Principal coordinate's analysis (PCoA) of the bacterial and archaeal. The PCoA display the similarities and differences between the bacterial and archaeal communities varied with depth (Fig. 5a and 5b respectively). The PCoA of bacteria and archaea show very similar separation between the communities of different layers related to the different environment conditions of each layer. The two deeper layers are on the same distant on the X axis showing relatively more similarity than the upper layer. The vectors of the sulfate and sulfide are correlative with communities of the top layer, while methane, $\delta^{13}C_{TLE}$ and $\delta^{56}Fe(II)$ were more associated to the communities of the middle layer. The communities in the bottom layer were more correlated to Fe(II), Mn(II) and $NH_4^+$. The Venn diagram shows also that more OTUs from the deep layers were shared than between the upper layer and the deeper layers (Supplementary Fig 1 and 2).

### 3.4. Functional gene profile

In order to better understand the abundance of microbial functionality in the different depth we used qPCR for different functional genes. The functional genes *mcrA* and *dsrA* had very similar pattern - low concentrations in the upper layer ($9*10^5 \pm 6.4*10^4$ and $1*10^6 \pm 1.7*10^5$ respectively) and highest concentrations ($6.9*10^6 \pm 6.7*10^5$ and $6.9*10^6 \pm 9.9*10^4$) in the middle layer. *pmoA* gene showed also the same pattern, however with lower concentration ($2.3*10^5 \pm 9.7*10^3$ to $1.6*10^6 \pm 1.7*10^5$) (Fig. 6).

## 4. Discussion

In this study of LK sediments we investigated changes in the microbial diversity associated with porewater geochemistry and the transition of the dominant electron acceptors with depth (0-40 cm). The geochemical porewater profiles in LK (Fig. 2) suggest that the sediment can be broadly divided into three different regions of microbial processes: the upper 5 cm which is dominated by sulfate reduction, the methanogenesis zone between 5 to 17 cm, and the deep sediments, dominated by anaerobic oxidation of methane coupled to iron reduction. This division of the sediment and the deep iron-driven AOM processes were confirmed by *in situ* profiles of methane, $\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$, sulfate, and ferrous iron and subsequent use of a numerical mass conservation model (based on the geochemical profiles of DIC and $\delta^{13}C_{DIC}$ (Adler et al., 2011) and a set of geochemical incubation experiments conducted in our previous work (Sivan et al., 2011).

The mechanisms that enable the process of AOM via iron reduction can be characterized by the existence of specific prokaryotic populations at each depth and their resemblance to similar environments with a distinct characterization. There are only few studies that analyzed microbial communities relative to geochemical zones in fresh water sediments (Deutzmann and Schink, 2011; Koizumi et al., 2004; Ye et al., 2009). Therefore, this study can deepen the information on microbial community shifts under different electron acceptors conditions, especially those related to the methane cycle. It is also the first study in LK that divides the sediment to different layers and attribute significantly to the diversity of the microbial ecology in the different zones.

Pipeline of SILVA ngs was used for the alpha-diversity to get more classified sequences and better coverage of the classification and population. However, for the beta-diversity the data from the MOTHUR pipeline applied to get more statistical results without the bias of different sample size. The changes between the pipeline results for the alpha diversity were not large in the phyla level (standard deviation of ~0.5%) but increased with higher taxonomy levels.

### 4.1. Sediment geochemical conditions

In the upper layer of sediment (0-3 cm), sulfate concentrations were the highest and decreased with depth as particulate organic matter from the water column accumulates, making organic carbon degradation available (Hadas and Pinkas, 1992). Adler et al. (2011) calculated that the bulk of bacterial sulfate reduction occurs in the top 1 cm of sediment, a finding that was supported by the microbial work of Hadas and Pinkas, (1992). Using sulfide and particulate organic carbon measurements, Eckert and Conrad. (2007) showed that sulfate reduction accounts for most of the mineralization of organic matter in the upper 15 cm of sediment. Methane concentrations in the

upper layer were relatively low and probably reached from upward diffusion as methanogens are outcompeted by sulfate reducers there (Lovley and Klug, 1983). The depletion in methane concentrations and the decline $\delta^{13}C_{TLE}$ values and the heavier isotopic values of $\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$ in the upper layer (Fig 2) compared to the methanogenic zone may be explained by AOM via sulfate reduction, although no ANME sequences were found using specific primers or by phylogenetic alignment at any depth in the sediment.

Below the sulfate reduction zone, in the middle layer of sediment (6-9 cm), methane reached its maximum concentrations (Fig. 2B). The low values of $\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$ in the methanogenesis zone are typical due to the large carbon isotope fractionation that occurs during methane production, thereby leaving the produced methane isotopically light and the DIC isotopically heavy. Below the maximum methane production zone (around 20 cm), methane concentrations began to decrease while $\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$ values increased (Fig. 2B), an outcome that may be due to the AOM process, which leaves residual methane isotopically heavier. Depleted $\delta^{13}C_{TLE}$ (Fig. 2C) in the deep part of the sediment also supports the presence of AOM with the production of light biomass from methane oxidation. In addition, although all the suitable electron acceptors at this depth were depleted, both Fe(II) and Mn(II) increased (Fig. 2D). Also, $\delta^{56}Fe$ values (Fig. 2C) of dissolved iron in the deep sediment were isotopically negative, similar to sediments with active dissimilatory bacterial iron reduction (Severmann et al., 2006) and are an indication of active iron reduction in the deep sediments and not just diffusion. The increase in Fe(II) concentrations below a depth of 15 cm was probably supported by the absence of sulfide. The potential of highly reactive Fe(III) oxides to drive AOM in LK was also supported by our set of mesocosm incubation studies (Sivan et al., 2011). Since manganese oxide concentrations were very low (~0.04%) throughout the LK sediment column (Serruya et al., 1974), iron oxide seemed to play a bigger role in this AOM process.

## 4.2. Microbial population that could be involved in methane cycle

### *4.2.1. Deltaproteobacteria*

*Deltaproteobacteria* were the most abundant in the middle and deep sediment samples. Many members of the families of *Syntrophobacterales* are sulfate reducers or as fermentative organism, depending on the environmental conditions (McInerney et al., 2007). *Syntrophaceae,* the dominant family of *Syntrophobacterales*, are acetate degrading sulfate reducing bacterium (Jiang et al., 2009). *Desulfarculales* are strictly anaerobic mesophilic sulfate-reducing bacterium with the capability to oxidize acetate and fatty acids (Sun et al., 2010). *Desulfobacteralesare* is sulfate reducer, capable of oxidizing hydrogen in soils and sediments (Burow et al., 2014). *Desulfuromonadales* was shown as $S^0$ respiring (Pjevac et al., 2014) and may also reduce Fe(III) and Mn(IV) in marine surface sediments (Lovley, 2006). About 1% of the sequences in the middle

and bottom layers or the cores were affiliated to the *Geobacter* genus members which are involved in iron reduction. In the deep layer the most abundant class was Sva0485. Sequences of the SVa485 order were similar to those found in different aquatic environments, but the metabolic functions of members of this order are not clear. *Pelobacter carbinolious* (Lovley et al., 1995), a member of SVa485 is capable of Fe(III) and sulfur reduction. Schwarz et al. (2007a) showed that in the upper part of LK sediment *Deltaproteobacteria* was one of the dominant classes, and that most of them were affiliated with acetate oxidizing sulfate reducing bacteria, that outcompete the acetoclastic methanogens. Even though *Deltaproteobacteria* are best known for their sulfate reduction metabolism, they can shift their metabolism in response to depleted sulfate concentrations (Plugge et al., 2011).

*4.2.2. Betaproteobacteria*

*Betaproteobacteria* percentages increased slightly with depth. These percentages are comprised of chemoheterotrophs and chemoautotrophs that derive nutrients from decomposition of organic material**.** *Burkholderiales* was the dominant order in *Betaproteobacteria* and increased with depth (1.5% to 4%). (Schwarz et al., 2007b) showed that *Burkholderiales* is active in the upper sediment of LK. Members of this group are able to carry out anaerobic oxidation of acetate with (per)chlorate as electron acceptor (Yoshida et al., 2005) or oxidize hydrogen in deep subserface(Orcutt et al., 2011) .

*4.2.3. Gammaproteobacteria*

Our *Gammaproteobacteria* affiliated sequences have different phylogenetic classification distribution in each layer. In the middle and bottom layers members of the *Methylococcales* order were observed (~1%). *Methylococcales* are aerobic methanotrophs which some members were present within the sediments and overlying water column from dysoxic, methane-rich vent and seep systems (Tavormina et al., 2008). The most abundant order in the deep layer was HOC36 (5%) which is an uncultured *Gammaproteobacteria*. however when compared to NCBI data base it was found to be closely related to uncultured LK clones (99%) and to cultured *Methylocaldum* sp. (94%) (Bodrossy et al., 1997) which is a Thermophilic methanotroph isolated from landfill cover soil.

4.2.4. *Nitrospirae*

Members of the *Nitrospirae* phylum, increased with depth. Sequences from our samples were classified to *Nitrospirales* order in *Nitrospirea* and were most abundant in the bottom layer of the sediment (7%). Part of our sequences were similar to those found in aprevious study (Schwarz et al., 2007b). . Using SIP-RNA, (Schwarz et al., 2007b) showed t not only that *Nitrospirae* were present, but also that they were functionally bioactive. Although *Nitrospirae* is a known nitrate oxidizer (Ehrich et al., 1995), the conditions of this environment suggest that it utilized another metabolic pathway. *Nitrospirae* also include the iron reducing candidates such as

*Magnetobacterium bavaricum* (Spring et al., 1993) and sulfur reducers (Sonne-Hansen and Ahring, 1999). Part of our sequences were aligned to uncultured clone (98%) from freshwater sediment which enhanced degradation of phenanthrene and pyrene by amorphous ferric hydroxide (Yan et al., 2012) .

4.2.5. *Methanomicrobia*

The majority of our sequences were classified within the *Euryarchaeota*, in the *Methanomicrobia* class. Our 16S rRNA sequences were divided between the *Methanomicrobiales and Methanosarcinales* order. *Methanosaeta* genus of *Methanosarcinales* increases with depth. *Methanosaeta* are acetoclastic methanogens which only able to grow on acetate even at low concentrations (Jetten et al., 1990). *Methanosaeta* was also shown in previous studies of LK (Schwarz et al., 2007a, 2007b) and in other meso to eutrophic freshwater lakes (Glissman et al., 2004; Koizumi et al., 2003). Yamada et al. (2014) showed that *Methanosaetacan* also have the ability to reduce ferrihydrite with $H_2$ as the electron source. The *Methanosaeta concilii* was the most similar cultured acetoclastic methanogen (96%) to our sequences which was observed also in the previous study. The *Methanolinea* genus of *Methanomicrobiales* a hydrogenotrophic methanogen was observed in constant percentages for all depths. Members of the *Methanoregula* genus, a genus that is also hydrogenotrophic methanogen, decreased with depth in the core. *Methanoregula, Methanosaeta and Methanolinea* represent 60% of sequences of methanogens retrieved from freshwater lakes (Borrel et al., 2011).

*4.2.6. Thermoplasmata*

The dominant family in *Thermoplasmata* is The Marine Benthic Group D and DHVEG-1 (MBG-D). Members of the MBG-D have been shown to exist in a variety of freshwater and marine environments (Beal et al., 2009; Borrel et al., 2012), and it is the most widely encountered, uncultured lineage in freshwater lake sediments. Even though their metabolism is unknown, hypotheses about their functionalities are based on the environments in which they were found. Methanogenesis was suggested, as they were found in deep lake sediments with high methane concentrations (Borrel et al., 2012), and they were also hypothesized to be involved in AOM, as they were found in AOM zones (Schubert et al., 2011) and in marine seep sediment (Beal et al., 2009). However, in other environments, in which methane concentrations were low, the utilization of waste products, intermediates, or dead cells by MBG-D was also suggested (Smith et al., 1975). Recently, a single cell genomics study showed that members of MBG-D were capable of exogenous protein degradation in cold anoxic environments (Lloyd et al., 2013).

*4.2.7. Thaumarchaeota*

*Thaumarchaeota* are mostly known as archaeal ammonia oxidizers but in the sediments they may contribute significantly to the reservoir of nitrogen oxides in ocean waters and thus to productivity,

484 including the assimilation of carbon(Pester et al., 2011). The enzyme ammonia monooxygenase,
485 which belongs to the enzyme family of copper containing membrane bound monooxgenases that
486 possess wide substrate ranges. Closely related enzymes, which can often perform the same function
487 but with different substrates, include ammonia oxidizing bacteria with ammonia (in
488 *Gammaproteobacteria*) and methane as a substitute (Lontoh et al., 2000). However, a
489 microorganism's metabolic energy is mainly defined by its downstream enzyme machinery
490 (Tavormina et al., 2011). In our sediment *Thaumarchaeota* observed in low percentages with 2
491 main classes: Marine Benthic Group B and Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotic Group.

492 *4.2.8.* Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotic Group

493 The Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotic Group (MCG) is a cosmopolitan class assigned to the
494 *Thaumarchaeota* phylum whose members are found mostly in anoxic habitats and have the
495 capability to take up organic carbon (Biddle et al., 2006). Because of their huge environmental
496 range and their complex phylogeny, the MCG collectively possess great metabolic diversity(Jiang
497 et al., 2008). Current evidence for members of the MCG lineage suggests that they may obtain
498 energy from the anaerobic oxidation of methane, but they do so via a dissimilatory methane-
499 oxidizing process and they do not assimilate its carbon (Biddle et al., 2006)

500 4.2.9. Marine Benthic Group B

501 Members of the MBGB were detected in a growing number of benthic marine environments,
502 including Atlantic deep-sea sediments, marine mud volcanoes, marine carbonate crusts from the
503 Black Sea, organic-poor deep subsurface sediments of the central oceanic basins, and organic-rich
504 methane or methane hydrate containing sediments near continental margins(Sørensen and Teske,
505 2006). Recent results from Ocean Drilling Program showed that no known methantroph was
506 detected however methane oxidation appeared to be mediated by Marine Benthic Group B and the
507 Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotal Group(Biddle et al., 2006).

508 **4.3. Concept for methane cycle mechanisms**

509 A few possible mechanisms for the novel process of iron driven AOM in the deep part of the
510 sediment can be suggested based on the geochemical profiles and the microbial communities. A
511 possible direct process could be through new, currently unknown bacteria/archaea that reduce iron
512 and utilize methane. Possible indirect processes include: 1) Reduction of Fe(III) oxides by oxidation
513 of sulfide (in pyrite or FeS minerals) to sulfur intermediates, and then disproportionation to sulfide
514 and sulfate (such as in (Holmkvist et al., 2011)), and sulfate driven AOM but without ANME. 2)
515 Reduction of Fe(III) by utilizing $H_2$ (Lovley, 1991), which creates a low concentration of $H_2$ and
516 drives "reverse methanogenesis" (Hallam et al., 2004; Hoehler et al., 1994) by the archaea in the
517 deep sediment. 3) An oxygenic methane oxidation pathway in an anaerobic environment as

described by Ettwig et al. (2010), when methane is oxidized by oxygen that is released from iron oxides.

The microbial population changes can be used to support the deep iron driven AOM mechanism (presented by the sample from 29-32cm). The bacterial diversity in this depth was lower than in the methanogenesis zone (6-9 cm) but higher than in the upper layer (0-3 cm) of the sediment. The changes in bacterial diversity with depth could be related to the availability of different electron acceptors and of organic matter (Nam et al., 2008). However, there is an overlap between bacterial and archaeal sequences in the middle and the bottom layers (PCoA and Venn diagram), indicating that the environmental conditions affecting the processes. Alpha diversity indexes (Table 1and 2) were compared to previous studies conducted only in the upper layer of the sediment of LK using different methods (Schwarz et al., 2007a; Wobus et al., 2003), and showed a similarity in the upper parts of the sediment. However, the deeper layers in this study showed higher diversity and richness than the previous studies (Nusslein et al., 2001; Schwarz et al., 2007a, 2007b) and was close to other studies using 454 sequencing (Hollister et al., 2010; Röske et al., 2012).

The direct mechanism of iron reduction coupled with methane oxidation can occur by one microorganism, as methanogens have the ability to reduce iron (Van Bodegom et al., 2004; Bond et al., 2002) however not with methane as the electron donor. According to our results, this kind of unique methanotrophy could be from MBGD (*Thermoplasmata* class) or MCG/MBGB (*Thaumarchaeota* phylum). Although their metabolisms are unknown, these groups were found in methane rich environments. Another option is a consortium of microbes including those belonging *Geobacter* genus, which are known for iron reduction, or *Nitrospirales*, known to reduce iron, together with methanotrophs or with methanogens capable of "reverse methanogenesis". The "reverse methanogenesis" pathway could be carried out by the dominant deep layer methanogens *Methanosaeta*, which have been shown in a previous study as the dominant active methanogens in the upper layer (Schwarz et al., 2007b). *Methanosaeta* could perform a different metabolic process or may have been inhibited. *mcrA* functional gene of methanogens/anaerobic methanotroph shows that in the middle layer methanogens were more abundant than in the upper and bottom layers (Fig 6). ANME were not found in the sediment using specific primers and Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization methods. An additional reason for not finding any ANME sequences in our samples is likely because that the *mcrA* observed in the deep layer belongs to the methanogens which maybe cause the reverse methanogenesis.

The results suggest that *Desulfuromonadales* of *Deltaproteobacteria* class could be involved in indirect mechanisms of disproportionation of sulfur together with other *Deltaproteobacteria* sulfate reducer. The *dsrAB* functional gene shows that sulfate reducers are present at the same level of the core where *mcrA* functional gene (Fig. 6) of methanogens or methanotrophs are found in the deep

553 part of the sediment. Additionally, the presence of the *Deltaproteobacteria* at the deepest sediment
554 depth and the observed accumulation of acetate with depth (data not shown) could indicate their
555 exploitation of a different metabolic path in the deep sediment than in the upper part of the
556 sediment.

557 The third indirect mechanism of anaerobic methane oxidation via an oxygenic pathway was
558 shown clearly by the *pmoA* functional gene. The *pmoA* concentration in the deep part of the
559 sediment was higher than in the upper part, indicating an oxygenic pathway (Fig. 6). However,
560 pMMO is a homologue enzyme of ammonia monooxygenase and might be sequenced together with
561 pMMO (Tavormina et al., 2011). The source of pMMO could be *Methylococcales*
562 (*Gammaproteobacteria*), which is an aerobic methanotroph found in the deep part of our samples.
563 However, bias of *pmoA* with ammonia monooxygenase could be cased by *Thaumarchaeota*,
564 Betaproteobacteria or Nitrospirae, which were found in all depth.

565 *Thaumarchaeota* comprise not only all known archaeal ammonia oxidizers, but also several
566 clusters of environmental sequences representing microorganisms with unknown energy
567 metabolisms (Pester et al., 2011). Members of *Thaumarchaeota* phylum could have monooxgenases
568 like enzymes that are able to capture methane due to the enzymes' phylogenetic proximities to
569 methane monooxgenases, but that activity requires the necessary downstream metabolic pathway. If
570 they could have that ability, they would have been good candidates for group of methanotrophic
571 archaea. This indirect pathway can be similar to that found in the NC10 phylum (Zhu et al., 2012),
572 which produces oxygen via the reduction of nitrite and the oxidation of methane, but with iron
573 oxides. Also many bacterial ammonia oxidizer sequences were found in our environment.
574 Ammonium profiles show increase with depth mainly due to decomposition of the organic matter,
575 and theoretically the large amount of ammonium could be oxidized by ferric iron minerals and
576 produce nitrite (Clement et al., 2005). Maybe ammonia monooxygenase can function for
577 ammonium up take for iron reduction and for methane up take for oxidation, but that is only a
578 speculation. However, no nitrate or nitrite was detected in the deep sediment, moreover no NC10
579 phylum (Ettwig et al., 2010) was observed in our sequences.

580 To summarize, this study attempted to correlate between the performed geochemical and
581 microbial profiles in lake sediments. The geochemical data suggest three main depth related zones
582 of electron acceptor activities in the sediment: sulfate reduction, methanogenesis and a novel, deep
583 iron-driven AOM. The prokaryotic analysis provided clues regarding the microorganisms that may
584 be involved in this novel process and the metabolic paths that occur throughout the microbial
585 assemblage. For AOM via iron reduction to occur, a number of potential pathways and their
586 combinations have been suggested. Orders that become enriched (Sva0485, *Methanosarcinales* and
587 *Nitrospirales*) with depth can be assumed to participate in the AOM process either directly or

indirectly. A possible direct process could be through new, currently unknown bacteria/archaea that reduce iron and utilize methane, which may be carried out by a MCG as a methanotroph in a consortium with an iron reducer like *Geobacter*. Possible indirect processes could be Fe(III) reduction by sulfide, oxidation of the sulfide to elemental sulfur and other sulfur intermediates and then disproportionation to sulfide and sulfate and sulfate driven AOM. However, this process is less likely because ANMEs were not found. It could also be via reduction of Fe(III) by utilizing $H_2$, creating a low concentration of $H_2$ and driveing "reverse methanogenesis". Fe(III) reduction processes could be carried out by *Nitrospirae* and/or *Deltaproteobacteria*. Members of both groups can reduce iron while in a consortium with methanogenic *Methanosarcinales*, or can reduce the iron with sulfur minerals, creating sulfate (see above) that *Deltaproteobacteria* can utilize while in a consortium with a MCG as a methanotrophic archaea. An oxidation of methane coupled to iron reduction pathway as described by Ettwig et al., (2010) could occur by *Thaumarchaeota* or *Nitrospirae* with a monooxygenase enzyme that can utilize methane while using iron oxides to generate the oxygen needed to oxidize the methane. Further research involving even larger sample of the microbial community and characterization of more diverse functional genes will provide better indication for the composition of the microbial communities at the different depths. Also, microbial and geochemical experiments have the potential to give more clues on the mechanism of this novel iron driven AOM.

## Acknowledgments

We thank M. Adler for her assistance in the field and in the laboratory. Thanks to the members of Orit's and Ariel's laboratories for all the help. Many thanks to V. Orphan, S. Connon and K. Dawson from Caltech for their help and for their fruitful discussions. This research was funded by the Water Authority of Israel (O.S. and W.E.).

## References

Adler, M., Eckert, W. and Sivan, O.: Quantifying rates of methanogenesis and methanotrophy in Lake Kinneret sediments (Israel) using pore-water profiles, Limnol. Oceanogr., 56(4), 1525–1535, 2011.

Beal, E. J., House, C. H. and Orphan, V. J.: Manganese-and Iron-Dependent Marine Methane Oxidation, Science, 325(5937), 184–187, 2009.

Biddle, J. F., Lipp, J. S., Lever, M. a, Lloyd, K. G., Sørensen, K. B., Anderson, R., Fredricks, H. F., Elvert, M., Kelly, T. J., Schrag, D. P., Sogin, M. L., Brenchley, J. E., Teske, A., House, C. H. and

621 Hinrichs, K.-U.: Heterotrophic Archaea dominate sedimentary subsurface ecosystems off Peru.,
622 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 103(10), 3846–3851, 2006.

623 Bligh, E. G. and Dyer, W. J.: A rapid method of total lipid extraction and purification, Can. J.
624 Biochem. Physiol., 37(8), 911–917, 1959.

625 Van Bodegom, P. M., Scholten, J. C. M. and Stams, A. J. M.: Direct inhibition of methanogenesis
626 by ferric iron., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 49(2), 261–8, 2004.

627 Bodrossy, L., Holmes, E. M., Holmes, A. J., Kovács, K. L. and Murrell, J. C.: Analysis of 16S
628 rRNA and methane monooxygenase gene sequences reveals a novel group of thermotolerant, Arch
629 microbiol, 168, 493–503, 1997.

630 Boetius, A., Ravenschlag, K., Schubert, C. J., Rickert, D., Widdel, F., Gieseke, A., Amann, R.,
631 Jørgensen, B. B., Witte, U. and Pfannkuche, O.: A marine microbial consortium apparently
632 mediating anaerobic oxidation of methane, Nature, 407(6804), 623–626, 2000.

633 Bond, D. R., Lovley, D. R. and Methanococcus, A.: Reduction of Fe ( III ) oxide by methanogens in
634 the presence and absence of extracellular quinones, Environ. Microbiol., 4(2), 115–124, 2002.

635 Borowski, W. S., Cagatay, N., Ternois, Y. and Paull, C. K.: Data report: carbon isotopic
636 composition of dissolved CO2, CO2 gas, and methane, Blake-Bahama Ridge and northeast
637 Bermuda Rise, ODP Leg 172, Proc. Ocean Drill. Program, Sci. Results, 172, 1–16, 2000.

638 Borrel, G., Jézéquel, D., Biderre-Petit, C., Morel-Desrosiers, N., Morel, J.-P., Peyret, P., Fonty, G.
639 and Lehours, A.-C.: Production and consumption of methane in freshwater lake ecosystems., Res.
640 Microbiol., 162(9), 832–847, 2011.

641 Borrel, G., Lehours, A.-C., Crouzet, O., Jézéquel, D., Rockne, K., Kulczak, A., Duffaud, E., Joblin,
642 K. and Fonty, G.: Stratification of Archaea in the deep sediments of a freshwater meromictic lake:
643 vertical shift from methanogenic to uncultured archaeal lineages., PLoS One, 7(8), e43346, 1–14,
644 2012.

645 Borrok, D. M., Wanty, R. B., Ridley, W. I., Wolf, R., Lamothe, P. J. and Adams, M.: Separation of
646 copper, iron, and zinc from complex aqueous solutions for isotopic measurement, Chem. Geol.,
647 242(3-4), 400–414, 2007.

648 Burow, L. C., Woebken, D., Marshall, I. P. G., Singer, S. W., Pett-Ridge, J., Prufert-Bebout, L.,
649 Spormann, a M., Bebout, B. M., Weber, P. K. and Hoehler, T. M.: Identification of
650 Desulfobacterales as primary hydrogenotrophs in a complex microbial mat community.,
651 Geobiology, 12(3), 221–230, 2014.

652 Chao, A.: Nonparametric Estimation of the Number of Classes in a Population, Scand. J. Stat.,
653 11(4), 265–270, 1984.

654 Chao, B. Y. A. and Ma, M.: Stopping rules and estimation for recapture debugging with unequal
655 failure rates, Biometrika, 80(1), 193–201, 1993.

656 Chistoserdova, L., Vorholt, J. A. and Lidstrom, M. E.: A genomic view of methane oxidation by
657 aerobic bacteria and anaerobic archaea, Genome Biol., 6(2), 208.1–208.6, 2005.

Clement, J., Shrestha, J., Ehrenfeld, J. and Jaffe, P.: Ammonium oxidation coupled to dissimilatory reduction of iron under anaerobic conditions in wetland soils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 37(12), 2323–2328, 2005.

Cline, J. D.: Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen sulfide In natural waters, Limnol. Oceanogr., 14, 454–458, 1969.

Deutzmann, J. S. and Schink, B.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane in sediments of Lake Constance, an oligotrophic freshwater lake., Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 77(13), 4429–36,.

Dowd, S. E., Callaway, T. R., Wolcott, R. D., Sun, Y., McKeehan, T., Hagevoort, R. G. and Edrington, T. S.: Evaluation of the bacterial diversity in the feces of cattle using 16S rDNA bacterial tag-encoded FLX amplicon pyrosequencing (bTEFAP)., BMC Microbiol., 8(125), 1–8, 2008.

Eckert, T.: The Influence of Chemical Stratification in the Water Column on Sulfur and Iron Dynamics in Pore Waters and Sediments of Lake Kinneret, Israel, M.Sc. thesis, University of Bayreuth, Germany., 2000.

Eckert, W. and Conrad, R.: Sulfide and methane evolution in the hypolimnion of a subtropical lake: A three-year study, Biogeochemistry, 82(1), 67–76, 2007.

Edgar, R. C., Haas, B. J., Clemente, J. C., Quince, C. and Knight, R.: UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection., Bioinformatics, 27(16), 2194–2200, 2011.

Egger, M., Rasigraf, O., Sapart, C. J., Jilbert, T., Jetten, M. S. M., Röckmann, T., van der Veen, C., Banda, N., Kartal, B., Ettwig, K. F. and Slomp, C. P.: Iron-mediated anaerobic oxidation of methane in brackish coastal sediments., Environ. Sci. Technol., doi:10.1021/es503663z, 2014.

Ehrich, S., Behrens, D., Lebedeva, E., Ludwig, W. and Bock, E.: A new obligately chemolithoautotrophic, nitrite-oxidizing bacterium, Nitrospira moscoviensis sp. nov. and its phylogenetic relationship., Arch. Microbiol., 164(1), 16–23, 1995.

Ettwig, K. F., Van Alen, T., van de Pas-Schoonen, K. T., Jetten, M. S. M. and Strous, M.: Enrichment and molecular detection of denitrifying methanotrophic bacteria of the NC10 phylum, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 75(11), 3656–3662, 2009.

Ettwig, K. F., Butler, M. K., Le Paslier, D., Pelletier, E., Mangenot, S., Kuypers, M. M. M., Schreiber, F., Dutilh, B. E., Zedelius, J. and de Beer, D.: Nitrite-driven anaerobic methane oxidation by oxygenic bacteria, Nature, 464(7288), 543–548, 2010.

Froelich, P. N., Klinkhammer, G. P., Bender, M. L., Luedtke, N. A., Heath, G. R., Cullen, D., Dauphin, P., Hammond, D., Hartman, B. and Maynard, V.: Early oxidation of organic matter in pelagic sediments of the eastern equatorial Atlantic: suboxic diagenesis, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 43(7), 1075–1090, 1979.

Glissman, K., Chin, K.-J., Casper, P. and Conrad, R.: Methanogenic pathway and archaeal community structure in the sediment of eutrophic Lake Dagow: effect of temperature., Microb. Ecol., 48(3), 389–399, 2004.

Good, I. J.: The population frequencies of species and the estimation of population parameters, Biometrika, 40(3-4), 237–264, 1953.

Hadas, O. and Pinkas, R.: Sulfte-reduction process in sediments of Lake Kinneret, Israel, Hydrobiologia, 235(1), 295–301, 1992.

Hallam, S. J., Girguis, P. R., Preston, C. M., Richardson, P. M. and DeLong, E. F.: Identification of methyl coenzyme M reductase A (mcrA) genes associated with methane-oxidizing archaea, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 69(9), 5483–5491, 2003.

Hallam, S. J., Putnam, N., Preston, C. M., Detter, J. C., Rokhsar, D., Richardson, P. M. and DeLong, E. F.: Reverse methanogenesis: testing the hypothesis with environmental genomics, Science, 305(5689), 1457–1462, 2004.

Hinrichs, K. U., Hayes, J. M., Sylva, S. P., Brewer, P. G. and DeLong, E. F.: Methane-consuming archaebacteria in marine sediments, Nature, 398(6730), 802–805, 1999.

Hoehler, T. M., Alperin, M. J., Albert, D. B. and Martens, C. S.: Field and laboratory studies of methane oxidation in an anoxic marine sediment: evidence for a methanogen-sulfate reducer consortium, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 8(4), 451–463, 1994.

Holler, T., Wegener, G., Knittel, K., Boetius, A., Brunner, B., Kuypers, M. M. M. and Widdel, F.: Substantial 13C/12C and D/H fractionation during anaerobic oxidation of methane by marine consortia enriched in vitro, Environ. Microbiol. Rep., 1(5), 370–376, 2009.

Hollister, E. B., Engledow, A. S., Hammett, A. J. M., Provin, T. L., Wilkinson, H. H. and Gentry, T. J.: Shifts in microbial community structure along an ecological gradient of hypersaline soils and sediments., ISME J., 4(6), 829–838, 2010.

Holmkvist, L., Ferdelman, T. G. and Jørgensen, B. B.: A cryptic sulfur cycle driven by iron in the methane zone of marine sediment (Aarhus Bay, Denmark), Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 75(12), 3581–3599, 2011.

Huse, S. M., Welch, D. M., Morrison, H. G. and Sogin, M. L.: Ironing out the wrinkles in the rare biosphere through improved OTU clustering., Environ. Microbiol., 12(7), 1889–1898, 2010.

Jetten, M. S. M., Stams, A. J. M. and Zehnder, A. J. B.: Acetate threshold values and acetate activating enzymes in methanogenic bacteria, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 73(4), 339–344, 1990.

Jiang, H., Dong, H., Yu, B., Ye, Q., Shen, J., Rowe, H. and Zhang, C.: Dominance of putative marine benthic Archaea in Qinghai Lake, north-western China., Environ. Microbiol., 10(9), 2355–2367, 2008.

Jiang, L., Zheng, Y., Peng, X., Zhou, H., Zhang, C., Xiao, X. and Wang, F.: Vertical distribution and diversity of sulfate-reducing prokaryotes in the Pearl River estuarine sediments, Southern China., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 70(2), 93–106, 2009.

John, S. G. and Adkins, J. F.: Analysis of dissolved iron isotopes in seawater, Mar. Chem., 119(1-4), 65–76, 2010.

Kinnaman, F. S., Valentine, D. L. and Tyler, S. C.: Carbon and hydrogen isotope fractionation associated with the aerobic microbial oxidation of methane, ethane, propane and butane, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 71(2), 271–283, 2007.

Klein, M., Friedrich, M., Roger, a J., Hugenholtz, P., Fishbain, S., Abicht, H., Blackall, L. L., Stahl, D. a and Wagner, M.: Multiple lateral transfers of dissimilatory sulfite reductase genes between major lineages of sulfate-reducing prokaryotes., J. Bacteriol., 183(20), 6028–6035, 2001.

Knittel, K. and Boetius, A.: Anaerobic oxidation of methane: progress with an unknown process., Annu. Rev. Microbiol., 63, 311–334, 2009.

Koizumi, Y., Takii, S. and Fukui, M.: Depth-related change in archaeal community structure in a freshwater lake sediment as determined with denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis of amplified 16S rRNA genes and reversely transcribed rRNA fragments., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 48(2), 285–92, doi:10.1016/j.femsec.2004.02.013, 2004.

Koizumi, Y., Takii, S., Nishino, M. and Nakajima, T.: Vertical distributions of sulfate-reducing bacteria and methane-producing archaea quantified by oligonucleotide probe hybridization in the profundal sediment of a mesotrophic lake., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 44(1), 101–108, 2003.

Lloyd, K. G., Schreiber, L., Petersen, D. G., Kjeldsen, K. U., Lever, M. a., Steen, A. D., Stepanauskas, R., Richter, M., Kleindienst, S., Lenk, S., Schramm, A. and Jørgensen, B. B.: Predominant archaea in marine sediments degrade detrital proteins, Nature, 496(7444), 215–218, 2013.

Lontoh, S., DiSpirito, a a, Krema, C. L., Whittaker, M. R., Hooper, a B. and Semrau, J. D.: Differential inhibition in vivo of ammonia monooxygenase, soluble methane monooxygenase and membrane-associated methane monoxygenase by phenylacetylene., Environ. Microbiol., 2(5), 485–494, 2000.

Lovley, D.: Dissimilatory Fe ( III ) - and Mn ( IV ) -Reducing Prokaryotes Microorganisms, in Prokaryotes, pp. 635–658, Springer New York, New York., 2006.

Lovley, D. R.: Dissimilatory Fe(III) and Mn(IV) reduction., Microbiol. Rev., 55(2), 259–287, 1991.

Lovley, D. R. and Klug, M. J.: Sulfate Reducers Can Outcompete Methanogens at Concentrations Sulfate Reducers Can Outcompete Methanogens Sulfate Concentrationst at Freshwater, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 45(1), 187–192, 1983.

Lovley, D. R., Phillips, E. J. P., Lonergan, D. J. and Widman, P. K.: Fe ( III ) and S0 reduction by Pelobacter carbinolicus, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 61(6), 2132–2138, 1995.

McDonald, I. R., Bodrossy, L., Chen, Y. and Murrell, J. C.: Molecular ecology techniques for the study of aerobic methanotrophs., Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 74(5), 1305–15, doi:10.1128/AEM.02233-07, 2008.

McInerney, M. J., Rohlin, L., Mouttaki, H., Kim, U., Krupp, R. S., Rios-Hernandez, L., Sieber, J., Struchtemeyer, C. G., Bhattacharyya, A., Campbell, J. W. and Gunsalus, R. P.: The genome of Syntrophus aciditrophicus: life at the thermodynamic limit of microbial growth., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 104(18), 7600–7605, 2007.

Milucka, J., Ferdelman, T. G., Polerecky, L., Franzke, D., Wegener, G., Schmid, M., Lieberwirth, I., Wagner, M., Widdel, F. and Kuypers, M. M. M.: Zero-valent sulphur is a key intermediate in marine methane oxidation., Nature, 491(7425), 541–546, 2012.

772 Miyashita, A., Mochimaru, H., Kazama, H., Ohashi, A., Yamaguchi, T., Nunoura, T., Horikoshi,
773 K., Takai, K. and Imachi, H.: Development of 16S rRNA gene-targeted primers for detection of
774 archaeal anaerobic methanotrophs (ANMEs)., FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 297(1), 31–37, 2009.

775 Nam, Y.-D., Sung, Y., Chang, H.-W., Roh, S. W., Kim, K.-H., Rhee, S.-K., Kim, J.-C., Kim, J.-Y.,
776 Yoon, J.-H. and Bae, J.-W.: Characterization of the depth-related changes in the microbial
777 communities in Lake Hovsgol sediment by 16S rRNA gene-based approaches., J. Microbiol., 46(2),
778 125–136, 2008.

779 Niemann, H., Lösekann, T., de Beer, D., Elvert, M., Nadalig, T., Knittel, K., Amann, R., Sauter, E.
780 J., Schlüter, M. and Klages, M.: Novel microbial communities of the Haakon Mosby mud volcano
781 and their role as a methane sink, Nature, 443(7113), 854–858, 2006.

782 Nishri, a., Imberger, J., Eckert, W., Ostrovsky, I. and Geifman, Y.: The physical regime and the
783 respective biogeochemical processes in the lower water mass of Lake Kinneret, Limnol. Oceanogr.,
784 45(4), 972–981, 2000.

785 Nusslein, B., Chin, K. J., Eckert, W. and Conrad, R.: Evidence for anaerobic syntrophic acetate
786 oxidation during methane production in the profundal sediment of subtropical Lake Kinneret
787 (Israel), Environ. Microbiol., 3(7), 460–470, 2001.

788 Orcutt, B. N., Sylvan, J. B., Knab, N. J. and Edwards, K. J.: Microbial ecology of the dark ocean
789 above, at, and below the seafloor., Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 75(2), 361–422, 2011.

790 Orphan, V. J., House, C. H., Hinrichs, K. U., McKeegan, K. D. and DeLong, E. F.: Methane-
791 consuming archaea revealed by directly coupled isotopic and phylogenetic analysis., Science,
792 293(5529), 484–487, 2001.

793 Orphan, V. J., House, C. H., Hinrichs, K. U., McKeegan, K. D. and DeLong, E. F.: Multiple
794 archaeal groups mediate methane oxidation in anoxic cold seep sediments, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.,
795 99(11), 7663–7668, 2002.

796 Pester, M., Schleper, C. and Wagner, M.: The Thaumarchaeota: an emerging view of their
797 phylogeny and ecophysiology., Curr. Opin. Microbiol., 14(3), 300–306, 2011.

798 Pjevac, P., Kamyshny, A., Dyksma, S. and Mußmann, M.: Microbial consumption of zero-valence
799 sulfur in marine benthic habitats., Environ. Microbiol., 16(11), 3416–3430, 2014.

800 Plugge, C. M., Zhang, W., Scholten, J. C. M. and Stams, A. J. M.: Metabolic flexibility of sulfate-
801 reducing bacteria., Front. Microbiol., 2(May), 1–8, 2011.

802 Quast, C., Pruesse, E., Yilmaz, P., Gerken, J., Schweer, T., Yarza, P., Peplies, J. and Glöckner, F.
803 O.: The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based
804 tools., Nucleic Acids Res., 41(Database issue), D590–D596, 2013.

805 Raghoebarsing, A. A., Pol, A., Van de Pas-Schoonen, K. T., Smolders, A. J. P., Ettwig, K. F.,
806 Rijpstra, W. I. C., Schouten, S., Damsté, J. S. S., den Camp, H. J. M. O. and Jetten, M. S. M.: A
807 microbial consortium couples anaerobic methane oxidation to denitrification, Nature, 440(7086),
808 918–921, 2006.

Röske, K., Sachse, R., Scheerer, C. and Röske, I.: Microbial diversity and composition of the sediment in the drinking water reservoir Saidenbach (Saxonia, Germany)., Syst. Appl. Microbiol., 35(1), 35–44, 2012.

Schloss, P. D., Gevers, D. and Westcott, S. L.: Reducing the effects of PCR amplification and sequencing artifacts on 16S rRNA-based studies., PLoS One, 6(12), e27310, 2011.

Schloss, P. D., Westcott, S. L., Ryabin, T., Hall, J. R., Hartmann, M., Hollister, E. B., Lesniewski, R. a, Oakley, B. B., Parks, D. H., Robinson, C. J., Sahl, J. W., Stres, B., Thallinger, G. G., Van Horn, D. J. and Weber, C. F.: Introducing mothur: open-source, platform-independent, community-supported software for describing and comparing microbial communities., Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 75(23), 7537–7541, 2009.

Schoell, M.: Multiple origins of methane in the earth, Chem. Geol., 71(1-3), 1–10, 1988.

Schubert, C. J., Vazquez, F., Lösekann-Behrens, T., Knittel, K., Tonolla, M. and Boetius, A.: Evidence for anaerobic oxidation of methane in sediments of a freshwater system (Lago di Cadagno)., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 76(1), 26–38, 2011.

Schwarz, J. I. K., Eckert, W. and Conrad, R.: Community structure of Archaea and Bacteria in a profundal lake sediment Lake Kinneret (Israel), Syst. Appl. Microbiol., 30(3), 239–254, 2007a.

Schwarz, J. I. K., Lueders, T., Eckert, W. and Conrad, R.: Identification of acetate-utilizing Bacteria and Archaea in methanogenic profundal sediments of Lake Kinneret (Israel) by stable isotope probing of rRNA., Environ. Microbiol., 9(1), 223–237, 2007b.

Serruya, C.: Lake Kinneret: the nutrient chemistry of the sediments, Limnol. Oceanogr., 16(May), 510–521, 1971.

Serruya, C., Edelstein, M., Pollingher, U. and Serruya, S.: Lake Kinneret sediments: nutrient composition of the pore water and mud water exchanges, Limnol. Oceanogr., 19(3), 489–508, 1974.

Severmann, S., Johnson, C. M., Beard, B. L. and McManus, J.: The effect of early diagenesis on the Fe isotope compositions of porewaters and authigenic minerals in continental margin sediments, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 70(8), 2006–2022, 2006.

Sivan, O., Adler, M., Pearson, A., Gelman, F., Bar-Or, I., John, S. G. and Eckert, W.: Geochemical evidence for iron-mediated anaerobic oxidation of methane, Limnol. Oceanogr., 56(4), 1536–1544, 2011.

Smith, P. F., Langworthy, T. A. and Smith, M. R.: Polypeptide nature of growth requirement in yeast extract for Thermoplasma Polypeptide Nature of Growth Requirement in Yeast Extract for Thermoplasma acidophilum, J. Bacteriol., 124(2), 884–892, 1975.

Sonne-Hansen, J. and Ahring, B. K.: Thermodesulfobacterium hveragerdense sp. nov., and Thermodesulfovibrio islandicus sp. nov., two thermophilic sulfate reducing bacteria isolated from a Icelandic hot spring., Syst. Appl. Microbiol., 22(4), 559–564, 1999.

Sørensen, K. B. and Teske, A.: Stratified communities of active Archaea in deep marine subsurface sediments., Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 72(7), 4596–4603, 2006.

Spring, S., Amann, R., Ludwig, W., Schleifer, K. H., van Gemerden, H. and Petersen, N.: Dominating role of an unusual magnetotactic bacterium in the microaerobic zone of a freshwater sediment., Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 59(8), 2397–2403, 1993.

Stookey, L. L.: Ferrozine-a new spectrophotometric reagent for iron, Anal. Chem., 42(7), 779–781, 1970.

Sun, H., Spring, S., Lapidus, A., Davenport, K., Del Rio, T. G., Tice, H., Nolan, M., Copeland, A., Cheng, J.-F., Lucas, S., Tapia, R., Goodwin, L., Pitluck, S., Ivanova, N., Pagani, I., Mavromatis, K., Ovchinnikova, G., Pati, A., Chen, A., Palaniappan, K., Hauser, L., Chang, Y.-J., Jeffries, C. D., Detter, J. C., Han, C., Rohde, M., Brambilla, E., Göker, M., Woyke, T., Bristow, J., Eisen, J. a, Markowitz, V., Hugenholtz, P., Kyrpides, N. C., Klenk, H.-P. and Land, M.: Complete genome sequence of Desulfarculus baarsii type strain (2st14)., Stand. Genomic Sci., 3(3), 276–284, 2010.

Tavormina, P. L., Orphan, V. J., Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Jetten, M. S. M. and Klotz, M. G.: A novel family of functional operons encoding methane/ammonia monooxygenase-related proteins in gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs, Environ. Microbiol. Rep., 3(1), 91–100, 2011.

Tavormina, P. L., Ussler, W. and Orphan, V. J.: Planktonic and sediment-associated aerobic methanotrophs in two seep systems along the North American margin., Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 74(13), 3985–2995, 2008.

Thauer, R. K.: Functionalization of methane in anaerobic microorganisms., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 49(38), 6712–6713, 2010.

Valentine, D. L.: Biogeochemistry and microbial ecology of methane oxidation in anoxic environments: a review, Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek, 81(1-4), 271–282, 2002.

Whiticar, M. J.: Carbon and hydrogen isotope systematics of bacterial formation and oxidation of methane, Chem. Geol., 161(1-3), 291–314, 1999.

Wobus, A., Bleul, C., Maassen, S., Scheerer, C., Schuppler, M., Jacobs, E. and Röske, I.: Microbial diversity and functional characterization of sediments from reservoirs of different trophic state., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 46(3), 331–347, 2003.

Yamada, C., Kato, S., Kimura, S., Ishii, M. and Igarashi, Y.: Reduction of Fe(III) oxides by phylogenetically and physiologically diverse thermophilic methanogens., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 89(3), 637–645, 2014.

Yan, Z., Song, N., Cai, H., Tay, J.-H. and Jiang, H.: Enhanced degradation of phenanthrene and pyrene in freshwater sediments by combined employment of sediment microbial fuel cell and amorphous ferric hydroxide., J. Hazard. Mater., 199-200, 217–225, 2012.

Ye, W., Liu, X., Lin, S., Tan, J., Pan, J., Li, D. and Yang, H.: The vertical distribution of bacterial and archaeal communities in the water and sediment of Lake Taihu., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 70(2), 107–20, 2009.

Yoshida, N., Takahashi, N. and Hiraishi, A.: Phylogenetic Characterization of a Polychlorinated-Dioxin- Dechlorinating Microbial Community by Use of Microcosm Studies, , 71(8), 4325–4334, 2005.

Zhu, B., van Dijk, G., Fritz, C., Smolders, A. J. P., Pol, A., Jetten, M. S. M. and Ettwig, K. F.: Anaerobic oxidization of methane in a minerotrophic peatland: enrichment of nitrite-dependent methane-oxidizing bacteria., Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 78(24), 8657–8665, 2012.

# Tables

**Table 1**. Bacterial sequences used for classification in SILVA ngs and for subsample in MOTHUR for the alpha diversity.

| Bacteria | # seq SILVA ngs | OTUs SILVA ngs | # seq MOTHUR | OTUs MOTUR | coverage | invsimpson | chao | ace | shannon |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0-3 cm | 3631 | 599 | 2588 | 388 | 0.92 | 10/10.8/11.7 | 805/925.7/1092.8 | 1246.5/1384.0/1546.7 | 3.83/3.91/3.98 |
| 6-9 cm | 4641 | 1735 | 3365 | 1337 | 0.77 | 286.6/315.9/351.8 | 2437.9/2673.0/2958.4 | 3876.8/4135.2/4420.0 | 6.48/6.53/6.57 |
| 29-32 cn | 5038 | 1516 | 3615 | 1214 | 0.81 | 127.8/142.4/160.6 | 2104.4/2318.7/2583.1 | 3047.7/3259.5/3495.4 | 6.13/6.19/6.24 |

**Table 2**. Archaeal sequences used for classification in SILVA ngs and for subsample in MOTHUR for the alpha diversity.

| Archaea | # seq SILVA ngs | OTUs SILVA ngs | # seq MOTHUR | OTUs MOTHUR | coverage | invsimpson | chao | ace | shannon |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0-3 cm | 288 | 48 | 268 | 32 | 0.90 | 2.5/2.9/3.5 | 53.9/83.4/164.5 | 232.8/344.6/520.4 | 1.6/1.8/2.0 |
| 6-9 cm | 1382 | 184 | 1408 | 200 | 0.86 | 8.4/10.2/13.2 | 39.0/131.4/220.4 | 144.7/195.2/277.4 | 2.87/3.05/3.22 |
| 29-32 cm | 3110 | 383 | 2829 | 245 | 0.88 | 7.9/9.2/10.9 | 73.5/104.8/181.7 | 133.2/190.9/289.6 | 2.58/2.74/2.91 |

**Figure Captions:**

**Figure 1:** Schematic location of Lake Kinneret. Numbers correspond to altitude measured in meters from the level of Lake Kinneret. Cores were taken from station A (taken from Hambright et al., 2004).

**Figure 2**: Geochemical porewater profiles in LK sediment. **A.** profile of $SO_4^{-2}$ (black circles) and sulfide (gray diamonds) in the porewater **B**. Headspace measurements of methane (gray circles) and $\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$ (black squares) in the sediments **C.** $\delta^{13}C$ of total lipids extraction (TLE) (black diamonds) from the sediment, and $\delta^{56}Fe$ (gray circles) of the dissolved iron in the porewater. **D**. Electron acceptor profiles of dissolved Fe(II) (gray triangles) and Mn (II) (black squares) in the porewater,**.** Black arrows indicate the sampled sections for 16S rRNA gene analysis. The presented methane profile was taken two weeks prior to the sampling for the microbial communities on December 2009. Sampling for Fe(II), Mn(II) and $SO_4^{-2}$ profiles was carried out between 2007 and 2011 and for sulfide from 2007 to 2013. The $\delta^{13}C_{CH4}$ and $\delta^{56}Fe$ profiles were performed four months prior to the microbial sampling on August 2009.

**Figure 3**: Classification of bacterial sequences using SILVA ngs pipeline. Phyla and order distribution of sequences of the 454 sequencing at the different depths.

**Figure 4**: Classification of archaeal sequences using SILVA ngs pipeline. Order distributions of sequences of the 454 sequencing at the different depths.

**Figure 5**: Principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) of the **A**. bacterial and **B.** archaeal community matrix (based on operational taxonomic units) and vector fitting of the environmental geochemical conditions in the different depth. The bacterial community from the upper layer is labeled with red triangle, the middle layer is labeled with green triangle and bottom layer is labeled with blue triangle**.**

**Figure 6**: profiles of functional genes from the 3 different depths. Green bar represent *pmoA* functional gene of aerobic methanotroph. Red bar represent *dsrAB* functional gene of sulfate reducers and blue bar represent *mcrA* functional gene from methanogens and anaerobic methanotrophs.




**Figure 1**.




929
930

931

932 **Figure 2.**




933

934

935

936 **Figure 3.**

937


Depth 0-3 cm
Depth 6-9 cm
Depth 29-32 cm
0%
20%
40%
60%
80%
100%
% 454 clone library
1 Halobacteriales
2 Methanomicrobiales
3 Methanosarcinales
4 Thermoplasmatales
5 WCHA1-57
6 Uncultured

**Figure 4.**


A
d13CCH4
Fe(II)
29-32cm
Mn(II)
NH4+
DOC
0-3cm
SO42-
H2S
CH4
d13CTLE
d56Fe(II
PO43-
6-9cm
Axis 2
Axis 1


29-32cm
d13CCH4
B
Fe(II)
Mn(II)
NH4+
DOC
H2S
0-3cm
SO42-
Axis 2
CH4
d13CTLE
d56Fe(II
6-9cm
PO43-
Axis 1

**Figure 5.**


pmoA
dsrAB
mcrA
Depth 0-3 cm
Depth 6-9 cm
Depth 29-32 cm
0.E+00
2.E+06
4.E+06
6.E+06
8.E+06
Bac/gr wet sed

949

950 **Figure 6**

951

952

953

954 # Supplementary




955

956

957 **Figure 1**

958 **Supplementary Fig. 1.** Venn diagram showing archaeal sequences overlap between the different
959 depths. The green circle represents the top sample (0-3 cm), the blue circle represents the middle
960 sample (6-9 cm) and the red circle represents the bottom sample (29-32 cm).

961




962

963 **Figure 2**

964 **Supplementary Fig. 2.** Venn diagram showing bacterial sequences overlap between the different
965 depths. The green circle represents the top sample (0-3 cm), the blue circle represents the middle
966 sample (6-9 cm) and the red circle represents the bottom sample (29-32 cm).

967

968 **Supplementary Table 1.** The classification percentage and number of sequences of archaea A.
969 phylum, B. class and C. order by SILVA ngs.

| Archaea | 0-3 cm | | 6-9 cm | | 29-32 cm | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Phylum** | # seq | % | # seq | % | # seq | % |
| Euryarchaeota | 283 | 98.3 | 1430 | 96.4 | 3048 | 98.0 |
| Thaumarchaeota | 5 | 1.7 | 53 | 3.6 | 62 | 2.0 |

970

| Archaea | 0-3 cm | | 6-9 cm | | 29-32 cm | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Class** | # seq | % | # seq | % | # seq | % |
| AK59 | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| AK8 | | | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Group C3 | | | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 |
| Halobacteria | 7 | 2.4 | 96 | 6.5 | 165 | 5.3 |
| Marine Benthic Group B | 2 | 0.7 | 24 | 1.6 | 30 | 1.0 |
| Marine Group I | | | | | 2 | 0.1 |
| Methanobacteria | 1 | 0.3 | | | | |
| Methanomicrobia | 266 | 92.4 | 1164 | 78.5 | 2679 | 86.1 |
| Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotic Group | 3 | 1.0 | 21 | 1.4 | 19 | 0.6 |
| pSL12 | | | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| South African Gold Mine Gp 1(SAGMCG-1) | | | 3 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Thermoplasmata | 9 | 3.1 | 170 | 11.5 | 204 | 6.6 |

971

| Archaea | 0-3 cm | | 6-9 cm | | 29-32 cm | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Order** | # seq | % | # seq | % | # seq | % |
| 20a-9 | | | 5 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.1 |
| ANME-1 | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| Cenarchaeales | | | | | 2 | 0.1 |
| Halobacteriales | 7 | 2.4 | 96 | 6.5 | 165 | 5.3 |
| Methanobacteriales | 1 | 0.3 | | | | |
| Methanomicrobiales | 202 | 70.1 | 422 | 28.5 | 878 | 28.2 |
| Methanosarcinales | 64 | 22.2 | 742 | 50.0 | 1800 | 57.9 |
| Thermoplasmatales | 8 | 2.8 | 165 | 11.1 | 201 | 6.5 |
| WCHA1-57 | 1 | 0.3 | | | | |
| Uncultured | 5 | 1.7 | 53 | 3.6 | 60 | 1.9 |

972

973 **Supplementary Table 2.** The classification percentage and number of sequences of bacterial A.
974 phylum, B. class and C. order by SILVA ngs.

| Bacteria | 0-3 cm | | 6-9 cm | | 29-32 cm | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Phylum** | # seq | % | # seq | % | # seq | % |
| Acidobacteria | 33 | 0.9 | 112 | 2.4 | 123 | 2.4 |
| Actinobacteria | 56 | 1.5 | 61 | 1.3 | 90 | 1.8 |
| Armatimonadetes | 21 | 0.6 | 12 | 0.3 | 14 | 0.3 |
| Bacteroidetes | 80 | 2.2 | 349 | 7.5 | 126 | 2.5 |
| BD1-5 | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Caldiserica | | | | | 2 | 0.0 |
| Candidate division BRC1 | 2 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Candidate division JS1 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | | |
| Candidate division KB1 | | | | | 3 | 0.1 |
| Candidate division OD1 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 18 | 0.4 |
| Candidate division OP11 | 2 | 0.1 | 17 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Candidate division OP3 | 1 | 0.0 | 108 | 2.3 | 84 | 1.7 |
| Candidate division OP8 | 9 | 0.2 | 81 | 1.7 | 106 | 2.1 |
| Candidate division SR1 | | | 4 | 0.1 | | |
| Candidate division TM7 | 6 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 | | |
| Candidate division WS3 | 15 | 0.4 | 70 | 1.5 | 103 | 2.0 |
| Chlorobi | 38 | 1.0 | 235 | 5.1 | 206 | 4.1 |
| Chloroflexi | 65 | 1.8 | 672 | 14.5 | 969 | 19.2 |
| CKC4 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Cyanobacteria | 18 | 0.5 | 69 | 1.5 | 21 | 0.4 |
| Deferribacteres | 1 | 0.0 | 122 | 2.6 | 126 | 2.5 |
| Deinococcus-Thermus | 1 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.2 |
| Elusimicrobia | | | 7 | 0.2 | 13 | 0.3 |
| Fibrobacteres | | | 20 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.2 |
| Firmicutes | 1376 | 37.9 | 140 | 3.0 | 152 | 3.0 |
| Gemmatimonadetes | 2 | 0.1 | 15 | 0.3 | 15 | 0.3 |
| Hyd24-12 | 4 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.2 | | |
| GOUTA4 | | | | | 4 | 0.1 |
| JL-ETNP-Z39 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Lentisphaerae | 12 | 0.3 | 38 | 0.8 | 10 | 0.2 |
| Nitrospirae | 31 | 0.9 | 195 | 4.2 | 409 | 8.1 |
| NPL-UPA2 | 1 | 0.0 | 33 | 0.7 | 5 | 0.1 |
| OC31 | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| Planctomycetes | 22 | 0.6 | 140 | 3.0 | 172 | 3.4 |
| Proteobacteria | 1762 | 48.5 | 1837 | 39.6 | 1954 | 38.8 |
| SHA-109 | 6 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Spirochaetae | 1 | 0.0 | 102 | 2.2 | 134 | 2.7 |
| Synergistetes | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| TA06 | 5 | 0.1 | 66 | 1.4 | 102 | 2.0 |
| Tenericutes | 13 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Thermotogae | | | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 |
| TM6 | 19 | 0.5 | 27 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.4 |
| Verrucomicrobia | 3 | 0.1 | 39 | 0.8 | 20 | 0.4 |
| WCHB1-60 | 22 | 0.6 | 13 | 0.3 | | |

| Bacteria | 0-3 cm | | 6-9 cm | | 29-32 cm | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **CLASS** | # seq | % | # seq | % | # seq | % |
| Acidimicrobiia | 5 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Acidobacteria | 26 | 0.7 | 80 | 1.7 | 83 | 1.6 |
| Actinobacteria | 7 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Alphaproteobacteria | 23 | 0.6 | 25 | 0.5 | 50 | 1.0 |
| Anaerolineae | 31 | 0.9 | 296 | 6.4 | 375 | 7.4 |
| Ardenticatenia | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| ARKDMS-49 | | | 2 | 0.0 | | |
| ARKICE-90 | | | 11 | 0.2 | | |
| Bacilli | 611 | 16.8 | 23 | 0.5 | 49 | 1.0 |
| Bacteroidia | | | 5 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| BD2-2 | 2 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Betaproteobacteria | 65 | 1.8 | 268 | 5.8 | 344 | 6.8 |
| BS5 | 3 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.2 | | |
| BSV13 | | | 9 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Caldilineae | 1 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Caldisericia | | | | | 2 | 0.0 |
| Chlorobia | 6 | 0.2 | 42 | 0.9 | 18 | 0.4 |
| Chloroplast | 3 | 0.1 | 42 | 0.9 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Clostridia | 761 | 21.0 | 116 | 2.5 | 99 | 2.0 |
| Coriobacteriia | 3 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.1 |
| Cyanobacteria | 13 | 0.4 | 22 | 0.5 | 7 | 0.1 |
| Cytophagia | 1 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Deferribacteres | 1 | 0.0 | 122 | 2.6 | 126 | 2.5 |
| Dehalococcoidia | 2 | 0.1 | 276 | 5.9 | 453 | 9.0 |
| Deinococci | 1 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.2 |
| Deltaproteobacteria | 57 | 1.6 | 1257 | 27.1 | 1022 | 20.3 |
| DEV055 | 6 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.2 | | |
| Elusimicrobia | | | 7 | 0.2 | 13 | 0.3 |
| Epsilonproteobacteria | | | 12 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Erysipelotrichia | | | | | 3 | 0.1 |
| FFCH16263 | 1 | 0.0 | | | 2 | 0.0 |
| Fibrobacteria | | | 20 | 0.4 | 9 | 0.2 |
| Flavobacteriia | 9 | 0.2 | 34 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Gammaproteobacteria | 1614 | 44.5 | 257 | 5.5 | 536 | 10.6 |
| Gemmatimonadetes | 2 | 0.1 | 15 | 0.3 | 15 | 0.3 |
| Holophagae | 5 | 0.1 | 24 | 0.5 | 29 | 0.6 |
| Ignavibacteria | 32 | 0.9 | 193 | 4.2 | 188 | 3.7 |
| JG30-KF-CM66 | | | 12 | 0.3 | 6 | 0.1 |
| KD4-96 | 9 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Ktedonobacteria | 1 | 0.0 | | | | |
| LD1-PB3 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Lentisphaeria | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | | |
| MB-A2-108 | 6 | 0.2 | 4 | 0.1 | 14 | 0.3 |
| MBMPE71 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Melainabacteria | 2 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 |
| ML635J-21 | | | 4 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Mollicutes | 13 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| MSB-5B2 | | | | | 4 | 0.1 |
| MSBL3 | | | 6 | 0.1 | | |
| Negativicutes | 4 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Nitrospira | 31 | 0.9 | 195 | 4.2 | 409 | 8.1 |
| OM190 | 1 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Oligosphaeria | | | 7 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 |
| OPB35 soil group | 3 | 0.1 | 25 | 0.5 | 11 | 0.2 |
| OPB41 | 20 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.5 | 35 | 0.7 |
| Opitutae | | | 12 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.1 |
| PBS-III-20 | 2 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | | |
| Phycisphaerae | 11 | 0.3 | 101 | 2.2 | 130 | 2.6 |
| Pla3 lineage | | | 10 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.1 |
| Pla4 lineage | | | 9 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.2 |
| Planctomycetacia | 10 | 0.3 | 15 | 0.3 | 19 | 0.4 |
| Proteobacteria Incertae Sedis | | | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| S085 | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| SB-1 | | | 7 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.2 |
| SB-5 | 19 | 0.5 | 33 | 0.7 | 12 | 0.2 |
| S-BQ2-57 soil group | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| SHA-26 | | | 7 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.3 |
| Spartobacteria | | | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Sphingobacteriia | 30 | 0.8 | 95 | 2.0 | 30 | 0.6 |
| Spirochaetes | 1 | 0.0 | 102 | 2.2 | 134 | 2.7 |
| Subgroup 22 | 2 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.2 | 11 | 0.2 |
| Synergistia | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| TA18 | 3 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | | |
| Thermoleophilia | 14 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.5 | 25 | 0.5 |
| Thermotogae | | | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 |
| TK10 | | | | | 2 | 0.0 |
| vadinHA17 | 18 | 0.5 | 145 | 3.1 | 51 | 1.0 |
| vadinHA49 | | | | | 5 | 0.1 |
| VC2.1 Bac22 | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| Verrucomicrobiae | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| WCHB1-41 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| WCHB1-32 | 1 | 0.0 | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| uncultured | 138 | 3.8 | 538 | 11.6 | 585 | 11.6 |

976

| Bacteria | 0-3 cm | | 6-9 cm | | 29-32 cm | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Order** | # seq | % | # seq | % | # seq | % |
| 10bav-F6 | | | | | 2 | 0.0 |
| 43F-1404R | | | 2 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Acidimicrobiales | 5 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Alteromonadales | | | 9 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.3 |
| Amsterdam-1B-07 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Anaerolineales | 31 | 0.9 | 296 | 6.4 | 375 | 7.4 |
| B1-7BS | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| B276-D12 | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| Bacillales | 602 | 16.6 | 23 | 0.5 | 47 | 0.9 |
| Bacteroidales | | | 5 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| BD2-11 terrestrial group | | | 2 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Bdellovibrionales | | | 34 | 0.7 | 13 | 0.3 |
| BP-U1C-1g10 | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| Burkholderiales | 56 | 1.5 | 86 | 1.9 | 208 | 4.1 |
| C86 | | | | | 2 | 0.0 |
| Caldilineales | 1 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Caldisericales | | | | | 2 | 0.0 |
| Campylobacterales | | | 12 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Caulobacterales | 12 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| CCM11a | | | 9 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Chlorobiales | 6 | 0.2 | 42 | 0.9 | 18 | 0.4 |
| Chromatiales | 3 | 0.1 | 11 | 0.2 | 21 | 0.4 |
| Chthoniobacterales | | | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Clostridiales | 760 | 20.9 | 107 | 2.3 | 99 | 2.0 |
| Coriobacteriales | 3 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.1 |
| Corynebacteriales | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Cytophagales | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | | |
| D8A-2 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Deferribacterales | 1 | 0.0 | 122 | 2.6 | 126 | 2.5 |
| Dehalococcoidales | | | 6 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Deltaproteobacteria Incertae Sedis | 2 | 0.1 | 55 | 1.2 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Desulfarculales | 12 | 0.3 | 132 | 2.8 | 62 | 1.2 |
| Desulfobacterales | 13 | 0.4 | 118 | 2.5 | 88 | 1.7 |
| Desulfuromonadales | | | 80 | 1.7 | 41 | 0.8 |
| Erysipelotrichales | | | | | 3 | 0.1 |
| Fibrobacterales | | | 18 | 0.4 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Flavobacteriales | 9 | 0.2 | 34 | 0.7 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Frankiales | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |
| FS117-23B-02 | | | 2 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 |
| FW22 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Gaiellales | 11 | 0.3 | 19 | 0.4 | 20 | 0.4 |
| Gammaproteobacteria Incertae Sedis | | | 4 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Gastranaerophilales | | | 1 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Gemmatimonadales | 2 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.2 |
| GIF3 | | | 2 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.1 |
| GIF9 | | | 117 | 2.5 | 251 | 5.0 |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| GR-WP33-30 | 1 | 0.0 | 14 | 0.3 | 34 | 0.7 |
| Haloplasmatales | 13 | 0.4 | | | | |
| HOC36 | 2 | 0.1 | 72 | 1.6 | 267 | 5.3 |
| Holophagae Incertae Sedis | | | 5 | 0.1 | 12 | 0.2 |
| Holophagales | | | 2 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 |
| Hydrogenophilales | | | 48 | 1.0 | 44 | 0.9 |
| Ignavibacteriales | 32 | 0.9 | 193 | 4.2 | 188 | 3.7 |
| KCLunmb-38-53 | 1 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.2 | | |
| KD3-62 | 1 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.2 |
| KI89A clade | | | 4 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Lactobacillales | 2 | 0.1 | | | 2 | 0.0 |
| Legionellales | 5 | 0.1 | 17 | 0.4 | 15 | 0.3 |
| Lineage IIb | | | | | 4 | 0.1 |
| Lineage IIc | | | 5 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Lineage IV | | | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| MD2894-B20 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Methylococcales | 9 | 0.2 | 70 | 1.5 | 69 | 1.4 |
| Methylophilales | | | 27 | 0.6 | 10 | 0.2 |
| Micrococcales | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| mle1-8 | 6 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.2 |
| MSB-3A7 sediment group | | | 3 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| MSBL8 | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| MSBL5 | 1 | 0.0 | 139 | 3.0 | 169 | 3.4 |
| MSBL9 | 1 | 0.0 | 22 | 0.5 | 59 | 1.2 |
| MVP-21 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | |
| MVP-88 | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| Myxococcales | 1 | 0.0 | 82 | 1.8 | 45 | 0.9 |
| NB1-n | | | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Nitrosomonadales | 2 | 0.1 | 36 | 0.8 | 22 | 0.4 |
| Nitrospirales | 31 | 0.9 | 195 | 4.2 | 409 | 8.1 |
| ODP1230B30.09 | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| Oceanospirillales | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| Oligosphaerales | | | 7 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Opitutales | | | 12 | 0.3 | 7 | 0.1 |
| Order II (Bacteroidetes) | | | 1 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Order Incertae Sedis | | | 2 | 0.0 | | |
| PBS-18 | | | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| PeM15 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | |
| Phycisphaerales | 2 | 0.1 | 10 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.2 |
| Pla1 lineage | | | 18 | 0.4 | 17 | 0.3 |
| Planctomycetales | 10 | 0.3 | 15 | 0.3 | 19 | 0.4 |
| Propionibacteriales | 3 | 0.1 | | | | |
| possible order 07 | | | 2 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Pseudomonadales | 1523 | 41.9 | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| Rhizobiales | 6 | 0.2 | 16 | 0.3 | 45 | 0.9 |
| Rhodobacterales | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| Rhodocyclales | 4 | 0.1 | 43 | 0.9 | 29 | 0.6 |
| Rhodospirillales | | | 3 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Rickettsiales | 3 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | | |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| S15A-MN16 | 1 | 0.0 | 18 | 0.4 | 14 | 0.3 |
| S-70 | | | 3 | 0.1 | | |
| SAR324 clade(Marine group B) | | | 2 | 0.0 | | |
| SC-I-84 | 2 | 0.1 | 27 | 0.6 | 31 | 0.6 |
| Sh765B-AG-111 | | | | | 2 | 0.0 |
| Sh765B-TzT-29 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 |
| SHA-43 | | | 2 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Selenomonadales | 4 | 0.1 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Solirubrobacterales | 3 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Sphingobacteriales | 30 | 0.8 | 95 | 2.0 | 30 | 0.6 |
| Sphingomonadales | 1 | 0.0 | | | 3 | 0.1 |
| Spirochaetales | 1 | 0.0 | 98 | 2.1 | 133 | 2.6 |
| Streptomycetales | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| Subgroup 17 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | | |
| Subgroup 18 | 3 | 0.1 | 27 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.4 |
| Subgroup 23 | 2 | 0.1 | 6 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.1 |
| Subgroup 4 | 2 | 0.1 | | | | |
| Subgroup 6 | 20 | 0.6 | 31 | 0.7 | 25 | 0.5 |
| Subgroup 7 | 2 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | | |
| Subgroup 13 | | | 5 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 |
| Subgroup 19 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 13 | 0.3 |
| Subgroup 2 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Subgroup 21 | | | 9 | 0.2 | | |
| Subgroup 25 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 |
| Subgroup 3 | | | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Subgroup 11 | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| Subgroup 9 | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| SubsectionII (Cyanobacteria) | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| SubsectionI (Cyanobacteria) | 6 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.1 |
| SubsectionIV (Cyanobacteria) | 7 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.3 | 3 | 0.1 |
| Sva0485 | 20 | 0.6 | 390 | 8.4 | 546 | 10.8 |
| Synergistales | | | | | 1 | 0.0 |
| Syntrophobacterales | 8 | 0.2 | 347 | 7.5 | 183 | 3.6 |
| SZB30 | 4 | 0.1 | 9 | 0.2 | 7 | 0.1 |
| Thermoanaerobacterales | | | 9 | 0.2 | | |
| Thermotogales | | | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 0.0 |
| Thiotrichales | 3 | 0.1 | 5 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 |
| TPD-58 | 1 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 | 8 | 0.2 |
| TRA3-20 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | |
| vadinBA26 | | | 8 | 0.2 | 16 | 0.3 |
| Verrucomicrobiales | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| Vampirovibrionales | 2 | 0.1 | | | | |
| VAN12 | 7 | 0.2 | | | | |
| Victivallales | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.1 | | |
| WD2101 soil group | | | 1 | 0.0 | | |
| Xanthomonadales | 65 | 1.8 | 50 | 1.1 | 129 | 2.6 |
| uncultured | 237 | 6.5 | 951 | 20.5 | 816 | 16.2 |

977

978 **Appendix**

979 The major bacterial populations in the sediment:

980 *Proteobacteria*

981 *Proteobacteria* was the most dominant bacterial phylum in all the depths. Their percentage was
982 slowly decreases with depth however the composition within its classes were changing with depth.
983 *Proteobacteria* is also among the most abundant phyla in LK (Schwarz et al., 2007a) and in other
984 freshwater sediments (Tamaki et al., 2005; Wobus et al., 2003). *Proteobacteria* is a very diverse
985 phylum, divided in to number of classes which most of them have been observed in LK sediments.

986 *Betaproteobacteria*

987 *Betaproteobacteria* percentages were slowly increasing with depth. They are comprised of
988 chemoheterotrophs and chemoautotrophs which derive nutrients from decomposition of organic
989 material**.** *Burkholderiales* was the dominant order in *Betaproteobacteria* and increased with depth
990 (1.5% to 4%). Schwarz et al. (2007a) showed that *Burkholderiales* is active in the upper sediment of
991 LK. Members of this group are able of anaerobic oxidation of acetate with (per)chlorate as electron
992 acceptor (Yoshida et al., 2005) or oxidize hydrogen in deep subserface(Orcutt et al., 2011).
993 *Hydrogenophilales* and *Nitrosomonadales* orders were observed in lower abundant but in the
994 middle and deep layer of the sediment. *Hydrogenophilales* can utilize hydrogen (Ontiveros-
995 Valencia et al., 2013) and *Hydrogenophilaceae* family are obligatory chemolithoautotrophic,
996 aerobic or facultative anaerobic sulfur oxidizers and reduction of nitrate (Kelly and Wood, 2000)
997 which are often found also in wastewater treatment systems(Luo et al., 2011). *Nitrosomonadales*
998 are freshwater bacteria which presumed to have a role in ammonia oxidation and/or denitrification
999 processes (Edlund et al., 2008). McBeth et al. (2013) also showed that *Nitrosomonadales* are
1000 commonly found as freshwater Fe oxidizers. It should be noted that the upper sediment may
1001 contain denitrifying bacteria that arrived from the water column where nitrification conditions exists
1002 throughout the year.

1003 *Gammaproteobacteria*

1004 Our sequences which were affiliated to the *Gammaproteobacteria* have different phylogenetic
1005 classification distribution in each layer. The upper layer was dominated by *Pseudomonadales* (42%)
1006 which were divided to 2 main genera: *Acinetobacter* (28%) and *Pseudomonas* (14%). *Acinetobacter*
1007 was shown to have the ability to degrade organic matter. Our sequences which similar to
1008 *Acinetobacter genus were closest to Acinetobacter lwoffii (*99%*)* found in sewage and growndwater
1009 (Nazina et al., 2000). our sequences classified as *Pseudomonas were* similar to *Pseudomonas*
1010 *stutzeri* (99%) which has the ability to oxidize a large variety of organic compounds, and some

members also involved in nitrification and denitrification, degradation of aromatic compounds, and nitrogen fixation (Chen et al., 2011). In the middle and bottom layers *Methylococcales* order was observed (~1%). *Methylococcales* are aerobic methanotrophs which some members were present within the sediments and overlying water column from dysoxic, methane-rich vent and seep systems (Tavormina et al., 2008). The most abundant order in the deep layer was HOC36 (5%) which is an uncultured *Gammaproteobacteria*. however when compared to NCBI data base it was closly related to uncultured LK clones (99%) and to cultured *Methylocaldum* sp. (94%) (Bodrossy et al., 1997) which was a Thermophilic methanotroph isolated from landfill cover soil.

*Deltaproteobacteria*

*Deltaproteobacteria* were the most abundant in the middle and deep sediment samples. In the middle layer the abundant classes were *Syntrophobacterales* (8%), *Desulfarculales* (3%), *Desulfobacterales* (2%) and *Desulfuromonadales* (2%). Many members of the families of *Syntrophobacterales* are sulfate reducers or as fermentative organism, depending on the environmental conditions (McInerney et al., 2007). *Syntrophaceae* the dominant family of *Syntrophobacterales* are acetate degrading sulfate reducing bacterium (Jiang et al., 2009). *Desulfarculales* are strictly anaerobic are mesophilic sulfate-reducing bacterium with the capability to oxidize acetate and fatty acids (Sun et al., 2010) . *Desulfobacteralesare* sulfate reducers capable to oxidize hydrogen in soils and sediments (Burow et al., 2014). *Desulfuromonadales* was shown as $S^0$ respiring (Pjevac et al., 2014) and may also reduce Fe(III) and Mn(IV) in marine surface sediments (Lovley, 2006). About 1% of the sequences in the middle and bottom layers were affiliated *to Geobacter* genus which involve in iron reduction. In the deep layer the most abundant class was Sva0485. Sequences of the SVa485 order were similar to those found in different aquatic environments, but the metabolic functions of members of this order are not clear. *Pelobacter carbinolious* (Lovley et al., 1995), a member of SVa485 capable of Fe(III) and sulfur reduction. Schwarz et al. (2007a) showed in the upper part of LK sediment that *Deltaproteobacteria* was one of the dominant classes, most of which were affiliated with acetate oxidizing sulfate reducing bacteria, which outcompete the acetoclastic methanogens. Even though *Deltaproteobacteria* are best known for their sulfate reduction metabolism, they can shift their metabolism in response to depleted sulfate concentrations (Plugge et al., 2011).

*Chloroflexi*

*Chloroflexi* was found to be one of the most abundant bacterial phyla in LK and represented diverse functional groups that increased with depth. The abundant classes that increase with depth were *Anaerolineae* and *Dehalococcoidia*. Although they are found in a variety of anaerobic

environments, only a few representatives have been cultivated so far (Yamada and Sekiguchi, 2009). The metabolisms of the cultured representatives showed that they utilized organic matter, but no specific electron acceptor has as yet been reported (Yamada et al., 2006). *Chloroflexi* was one of the most dominant phyla in contaminated soil environment which had a lot of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Winderl et al., 2008). Members of *Chloroflexi* were found throughout the entire core, indicating that *Anaerolineae* representatives could be the main aromatic organic matter decomposers in LK. *Dehalococcoidia* are widely distributed in the marine subsurface, yet metabolic properties of the many uncultivated lineages are completely unknown. *Dehalococcoidia* could be capable of oxidizing various fatty acids and/or structurally related substrates (Wasmund et al., 2013) or reductive dechlorination of chlorinated hydrocarbon(Maymo et al., 1995).

*Nitrospirae*

*Nitrospirae* phylum, were increased with depth. Sequences from our samples were classified to *Nitrospirales* order in *Nitrospirea* which were most abundant in the bottom layer of the sediment (7%). Part of our sequences were similar to previous study (Schwarz et al., 2007b). (Schwarz et al., 2007b) showed by SIP-RNA not only that *Nitrospirae* was present, but also that it was functionally bioactive. Although *Nitrospirae* is a known nitrate oxidizer (Ehrich et al., 1995), the conditions of this environment suggest that it utilized another metabolic pathway. *Nitrospirae* also include the iron reducing candidates *Magnetobacterium bavaricum* (Spring et al., 1993) and sulfur reducers (Sonne-Hansen and Ahring, 1999). Part of our sequences were aligned to uncultured clone (98%) from freshwater sediment which enhanced degradation of phenanthrene and pyrene by amorphous ferric hydroxide (Yan et al., 2012) .

*Chlorobi*

*The Chlorobi*, green sulfur bacteria, are a group of anoxygenic photosynthetic bacteria observed frequently in anoxic microbial mats and meromictic lakes. *Chlorobi* in found in deeper layers of the sediment since they support higher $H_2S$ concentrations and require less light intensity. They photosynthesize using $H_2S$ as electron donor, although they can also grow photoheterotrophically, and some of them form symbiotic consortia with heterotrophic partners that are widely distributed in chemoclines of meromictic lakes throughout the world (Briée et al., 2007). *Chlorobi* metabolic features include fixing $CO_2$, fixing $N_2$ and oxidize sulfide or other reduced sulfur compounds (Liu et al., 2012). Most our sequences were classified to *Ignavibacteria* order which capable of organoheterotrophy under both oxic and anoxic conditions.

*Firmicutes*

*Firmicutes* are mostly abundant in the upper part of the sediment. Our sequences were classified to 2 main orders: *Bacillales* and *Clostridia*. *Bacillales* have been observed in diverse environments and with diverse metabolic functions. Our sequences were closely related to a few species: *Bacillus thioparans* (99%) which grew chemolithoautotrophically by oxidation of thiosulfate to sulfate and was found in deep sea sediment (Pérez-Ibarra et al., 2007). Bacillus subterraneus (99%) utilize amorphous iron(III), Mn(II)(IV), nitrate, nitrite and fumarate as electron acceptors (Kanso et al., 2002). *Clostridia* are anaerobic bacteria which play an important role in the biogeochemical cycling of carbon, sulfur and iron. Clostridia are key microbial drivers in iron reduction in estuarine sediments and acid mine drainage environments(Shah et al., 2014). Part of our sequences were closely related to *Clostridium tunisiense* (96%) which uses elemental sulfur but not sulfate, thiosulfate, nor sulfite as terminal electron acceptors(Thabet et al., 2004).

*Bacteroidetes*

Sequences of *Bacteroidetes* were mostly abundant in the middle layer of the LK sediment core. *Bacteroidetes* are known as hydrolytic fermentative bacteria, they are major utilizers of high-molecular-mass dissolved organic matter in marine ecosystems (Cottrell and Kirchman, 2000). Therefore, fresh organic matter (high in high molecular mass dissolved organic matter) that descends from the water column could be utilized by the *Bacteroidetes* present in the upper part of the sediment.

The major archaeal populations in the sediment:

The distribution of the population was described above. However the environmental conditions and metabolic functionality of our sequences were not shown. The uncultured clones similer to our sequences might help indicate on microbial process of the dominant sequences in our samples.

*Euryarchaeota*

*Methanomicrobia*

The majority of our sequences were classified within *Euryarchaeota*, in *Methanomicrobia* class. Our 16S rRNA sequences were divided between *Methanomicrobiales and Methanosarcinales* order. *Methanosaeta* genus of *Methanosarcinales* increases with depth. *Methanosaeta* are acetoclastic methanogens which only able to grow on acetate even at low concentrations(Jetten et al., 1990). *Methanosaeta* was also shown in previous studies of LK (Schwarz et al., 2007a, 2007b) and in other meso to eutrophic freshwater lakes (Glissman et al., 2004; Koizumi et al., 2003). Yamada et al. (2014) showed that *Methanosaetacan* also have the ability to reduce ferrihydrite with $H_2$ as the electron source. The closest relative cultured acetoclastic methanogen to our sequences was *Methanosaeta concilii* (96%) similar results to the previous studys. *Methanolinea* genus of

*Methanomicrobiales* which is hydrogenotrophic methanogen was observed in constant percentages for all depths. *Methanoregula* genus which is also hydrogenotrophic methanogen is decreasing with depth. *Methanoregula, Methanosaeta and Methanolinea* represent 60% of sequences of methanogens retrieved from freshwater lakes (Borrel et al., 2011).

*Thermoplasmata*

The dominant family in *Thermoplasmata* is The Marine Benthic Group D and DHVEG-1 (MBG-D). Members of the MBG-D have been shown to exist in a variety of freshwater and marine environments (Beal et al., 2009; Borrel et al., 2012), and it is the most widely encountered, uncultured lineage in freshwater lake sediments. Even though their metabolism is unknown, hypotheses about their functionalities are based on the environments in which they were found. Methanogenesis was suggested, as they were found in deep lake sediments with high methane concentrations (Borrel et al., 2012), and they were also hypothesized to be involved in AOM, as they were found in AOM zones (Schubert et al., 2011) and in marine seep sediment (Beal et al., 2009). However, in other environments, in which methane concentrations were low, the utilization of waste products, intermediates, or dead cells by MBG-D was also suggested (Smith et al., 1975). Recently, a single cell genomics study showed that members of MBG-D were capable of exogenous protein degradation in cold anoxic environments (Lloyd et al., 2013).

In addition Terrestrial Miscellaneous Gp(TMEG) family was observed only in the middle and bottom layers of the sediment. This lineage was includes clones from the terrestrial subsurface and from soils, marine sediments and freshwater lakes (Teske and Sørensen, 2008). Sequences closely related to this group were found in sediment influenced by sulfer-rich, hypoxic groundwater and aquatic sediment samples.

*Thaumarchaeota*

*Thaumarchaeota* are mostly known as archaeal ammonia oxidizers but in the sediments they may contribute significantly to the reservoir of nitrogen oxides in ocean waters and thus to productivity, including the assimilation of carbon(Pester et al., 2011). The enzyme ammonia monooxygenase, which belongs to the enzyme family of copper containing membrane bound monooxgenases that possess wide substrate ranges. Closely related enzymes, which can often perform the same function but with different substrates, include ammonia oxidizing bacteria with ammonia (in *Gammaproteobacteria*) and methane as a substitute (Lontoh et al., 2000). However, a microorganism's metabolic energy is mainly defined by its downstream enzyme machinery (Tavormina et al., 2011). In our sediment *Thaumarchaeota* observed in low percentages with 2 main classes: Marine Benthic Group B and Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotic Group.

Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotic Group

The Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotic Group (MCG) is a cosmopolitan class assigned to the *Thaumarchaeota* phylum whose members are found mostly in anoxic habitats and have the capability to take up organic carbon (Biddle et al., 2006). Because of their huge environmental range and their complex phylogeny, the MCG collectively possess great metabolic diversity(Jiang et al., 2008). Current evidence for members of the MCG lineage suggests that they may obtain energy from the anaerobic oxidation of methane, but they do so via a dissimilatory methane-oxidizing process and they do not assimilate its carbon (Biddle et al., 2006)

Marine Benthic Group B

Members of the MBGB were detected in a growing number of benthic marine environments, including Atlantic deep-sea sediments, marine mud volcanoes, marine carbonate crusts from the Black Sea, organic-poor deep subsurface sediments of the central oceanic basins, and organic-rich methane or methane hydrate containing sediments near continental margins(Sørensen and Teske, 2006). Recent results from Ocean Drilling Program showed that no known methantroph was detected however methane oxidation appeared to be mediated by Marine Benthic Group B and the Miscellaneous Crenarchaeotal Group (Biddle et al., 2006)

**References**

Beal, E. J., House, C. H. and Orphan, V. J.: Manganese-and Iron-Dependent Marine Methane Oxidation, Science, 325(5937), 184–187, 2009.

Biddle, J. F., Lipp, J. S., Lever, M. a, Lloyd, K. G., Sørensen, K. B., Anderson, R., Fredricks, H. F., Elvert, M., Kelly, T. J., Schrag, D. P., Sogin, M. L., Brenchley, J. E., Teske, A., House, C. H. and Hinrichs, K.-U.: Heterotrophic Archaea dominate sedimentary subsurface ecosystems off Peru., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 103(10), 3846–3851, 2006.

Bodrossy, L., Holmes, E. M., Holmes, A. J., Kovács, K. L. and Murrell, J. C.: Analysis of 16S rRNA and methane monooxygenase gene sequences reveals a novel group of thermotolerant, Arch microbiol, 168, 493–503, 1997.

Borrel, G., Jézéquel, D., Biderre-Petit, C., Morel-Desrosiers, N., Morel, J.-P., Peyret, P., Fonty, G. and Lehours, A.-C.: Production and consumption of methane in freshwater lake ecosystems., Res. Microbiol., 162(9), 832–847, 2011.

Borrel, G., Lehours, A.-C., Crouzet, O., Jézéquel, D., Rockne, K., Kulczak, A., Duffaud, E., Joblin, K. and Fonty, G.: Stratification of Archaea in the deep sediments of a freshwater meromictic lake: vertical shift from methanogenic to uncultured archaeal lineages., PLoS One, 7(8), e43346, 1–14, 2012.

Briée, C., Moreira, D. and López-García, P.: Archaeal and bacterial community composition of sediment and plankton from a suboxic freshwater pond., Res. Microbiol., 158(3), 213–227, 2007.

Burow, L. C., Woebken, D., Marshall, I. P. G., Singer, S. W., Pett-Ridge, J., Prufert-Bebout, L., Spormann, a M., Bebout, B. M., Weber, P. K. and Hoehler, T. M.: Identification of Desulfobacterales as primary hydrogenotrophs in a complex microbial mat community., Geobiology, 12(3), 221–230, 2014.

Chen, M., Yan, Y., Zhang, W., Lu, W., Wang, J., Ping, S. and Lin, M.: Complete genome sequence of the type strain Pseudomonas stutzeri CGMCC 1.1803., J. Bacteriol., 193(21), 6095, 2011.

Cottrell, M. T. and Kirchman, D. L.: Natural Assemblages of Marine Proteobacteria and Members of the Cytophaga-Flavobacter Cluster Consuming Low- and High-Molecular-Weight Dissolved Organic Matter, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 66(4), 1692–1697, 2000.

Edlund, A., Hårdeman, F., Jansson, J. K. and Sjöling, S.: Active bacterial community structure along vertical redox gradients in Baltic Sea sediment., Environ. Microbiol., 10(8), 2051–2063, 2008.

Ehrich, S., Behrens, D., Lebedeva, E., Ludwig, W. and Bock, E.: A new obligately chemolithoautotrophic, nitrite-oxidizing bacterium, Nitrospira moscoviensis sp. nov. and its phylogenetic relationship., Arch. Microbiol., 164(1), 16–23, 1995.

Glissman, K., Chin, K.-J., Casper, P. and Conrad, R.: Methanogenic pathway and archaeal community structure in the sediment of eutrophic Lake Dagow: effect of temperature., Microb. Ecol., 48(3), 389–399, 2004.

Jetten, M. S. M., Stams, A. J. M. and Zehnder, A. J. B.: Acetate threshold values and acetate activating enzymes in methanogenic bacteria, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 73(4), 339–344, 1990.

Jiang, H., Dong, H., Yu, B., Ye, Q., Shen, J., Rowe, H. and Zhang, C.: Dominance of putative marine benthic Archaea in Qinghai Lake, north-western China., Environ. Microbiol., 10(9), 2355–2367, 2008.

Jiang, L., Zheng, Y., Peng, X., Zhou, H., Zhang, C., Xiao, X. and Wang, F.: Vertical distribution and diversity of sulfate-reducing prokaryotes in the Pearl River estuarine sediments, Southern China., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 70(2), 93–106, 2009.

Kanso, S., Greene, A. C. and Patel, B. K. C.: Bacillus subterraneus sp . nov ., an iron- and manganese-reducing bacterium from a deep subsurface Australian thermal aquifer, , 869–874, 2002.

Kelly, D. P. and Wood, A. P.: Confirmation of Thiobacillus denitrificans as a species of the genus Thiobacillus , in the β - subclass of the Proteobacteria , with strain NCIMB 9548 as the type strain, , 547–550, 2000.

Koizumi, Y., Takii, S., Nishino, M. and Nakajima, T.: Vertical distributions of sulfate-reducing bacteria and methane-producing archaea quantified by oligonucleotide probe hybridization in the profundal sediment of a mesotrophic lake., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 44(1), 101–108, 2003.

Liu, Z., Frigaard, N.-U., Vogl, K., Iino, T., Ohkuma, M., Overmann, J. and Bryant, D. a: Complete Genome of Ignavibacterium album, a Metabolically Versatile, Flagellated, Facultative Anaerobe from the Phylum Chlorobi., Front. Microbiol., 3(May), 185, 2012.

1214 Lloyd, K. G., Schreiber, L., Petersen, D. G., Kjeldsen, K. U., Lever, M. a., Steen, A. D.,
1215 Stepanauskas, R., Richter, M., Kleindienst, S., Lenk, S., Schramm, A. and Jørgensen, B. B.:
1216 Predominant archaea in marine sediments degrade detrital proteins, Nature, 496(7444), 215–218,
1217 2013.

1218 Lontoh, S., DiSpirito, a a, Krema, C. L., Whittaker, M. R., Hooper, a B. and Semrau, J. D.:
1219 Differential inhibition in vivo of ammonia monooxygenase, soluble methane monooxygenase and
1220 membrane-associated methane monoxygenase by phenylacetylene., Environ. Microbiol., 2(5), 485–
1221 494, 2000.

1222 Lovley, D.: Dissimilatory Fe ( III ) - and Mn ( IV ) -Reducing Prokaryotes Microorganisms, in
1223 Prokaryotes, pp. 635–658, Springer New York, New York., 2006.

1224 Lovley, D. R., Phillips, E. J. P., Lonergan, D. J. and Widman, P. K.: Fe ( III ) and S0 reduction by
1225 Pelobacter carbinolicus, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 61(6), 2132–2138, 1995.

1226 Luo, J.-F., Lin, W.-T. and Guo, Y.: Functional genes based analysis of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria
1227 community in sulfide removing bioreactor., Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 90(2), 769–778, 2011.

1228 Maymo, X., Chien, Y., Gossett, J. M. and Zinder, S. H.: Isolation of a Bacterium That Reductively
1229 Dechlorinates Tetrachloroethene to Ethene, 1995.

1230 McBeth, J. M., Fleming, E. J. and Emerson, D.: The transition from freshwater to marine iron-
1231 oxidizing bacterial lineages along a salinity gradient on the Sheepscot River, Maine, USA., Environ.
1232 Microbiol. Rep., 5(3), 453–463, 2013.

1233 McInerney, M. J., Rohlin, L., Mouttaki, H., Kim, U., Krupp, R. S., Rios-Hernandez, L., Sieber, J.,
1234 Struchtemeyer, C. G., Bhattacharyya, A., Campbell, J. W. and Gunsalus, R. P.: The genome of
1235 Syntrophus aciditrophicus: life at the thermodynamic limit of microbial growth., Proc. Natl. Acad.
1236 Sci. U. S. A., 104(18), 7600–7605, 2007.

1237 Nazina, T. N., Kosareva, I. M., Davidov, a. S., Tourova, T. P., Novikova, E. V., Khafizov, R. R.
1238 and Poltaraus, a. B.: Physicochemical and microbiological characteristics of groundwater from
1239 observation wells of a deep radioactive liquid waste repository, Microbiology, 69(1), 89–95, 2000.

1240 Ontiveros-Valencia, A., Ilhan, Z. E., Kang, D.-W., Rittmann, B. and Krajmalnik-Brown, R.:
1241 Phylogenetic analysis of nitrate- and sulfate-reducing bacteria in a hydrogen-fed biofilm., FEMS
1242 Microbiol. Ecol., 85(1), 158–167, 2013.

1243 Orcutt, B. N., Sylvan, J. B., Knab, N. J. and Edwards, K. J.: Microbial ecology of the dark ocean
1244 above, at, and below the seafloor., Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev., 75(2), 361–422, 2011.

1245 Pérez-Ibarra, B. M., Flores, M. E. and García-Varela, M.: Isolation and characterization of Bacillus
1246 thioparus sp. nov., chemolithoautotrophic, thiosulfate-oxidizing bacterium., FEMS Microbiol. Lett.,
1247 271(2), 289–296, 2007.

1248 Pester, M., Schleper, C. and Wagner, M.: The Thaumarchaeota: an emerging view of their
1249 phylogeny and ecophysiology., Curr. Opin. Microbiol., 14(3), 300–306, 2011.

1250 Pjevac, P., Kamyshny, A., Dyksma, S. and Mußmann, M.: Microbial consumption of zero-valence
1251 sulfur in marine benthic habitats., Environ. Microbiol., 16(11), 3416–3430, 2014.

Plugge, C. M., Zhang, W., Scholten, J. C. M. and Stams, A. J. M.: Metabolic flexibility of sulfate-reducing bacteria., Front. Microbiol., 2(May), 1–8, 2011.

Schubert, C. J., Vazquez, F., Lösekann-Behrens, T., Knittel, K., Tonolla, M. and Boetius, A.: Evidence for anaerobic oxidation of methane in sediments of a freshwater system (Lago di Cadagno)., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 76(1), 26–38, 2011.

Schwarz, J. I. K., Eckert, W. and Conrad, R.: Community structure of Archaea and Bacteria in a profundal lake sediment Lake Kinneret (Israel), Syst. Appl. Microbiol., 30(3), 239–254, 2007a.

Schwarz, J. I. K., Lueders, T., Eckert, W. and Conrad, R.: Identification of acetate-utilizing Bacteria and Archaea in methanogenic profundal sediments of Lake Kinneret (Israel) by stable isotope probing of rRNA., Environ. Microbiol., 9(1), 223–237, 2007b.

Shah, M., Lin, C.-C., Kukkadapu, R., Engelhard, M. H., Zhao, X., Wang, Y., Barkay, T. and Yee, N.: Syntrophic Effects in a Subsurface Clostridial Consortium on Fe(III)-(Oxyhydr)oxide Reduction and Secondary Mineralization, Geomicrobiol. J., 31(2), 101–115, 2014.

Smith, P. F., Langworthy, T. A. and Smith, M. R.: Polypeptide nature of growth requirement in yeast extract for Thermoplasma Polypeptide Nature of Growth Requirement in Yeast Extract for Thermoplasma acidophilum, J. Bacteriol., 124(2), 884–892, 1975.

Sonne-Hansen, J. and Ahring, B. K.: Thermodesulfobacterium hveragerdense sp. nov., and Thermodesulfovibrio islandicus sp. nov., two thermophilic sulfate reducing bacteria isolated from a Icelandic hot spring., Syst. Appl. Microbiol., 22(4), 559–564, 1999.

Sørensen, K. B. and Teske, A.: Stratified communities of active Archaea in deep marine subsurface sediments., Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 72(7), 4596–4603, 2006.

Spring, S., Amann, R., Ludwig, W., Schleifer, K. H., van Gemerden, H. and Petersen, N.: Dominating role of an unusual magnetotactic bacterium in the microaerobic zone of a freshwater sediment., Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 59(8), 2397–2403, 1993.

Sun, H., Spring, S., Lapidus, A., Davenport, K., Del Rio, T. G., Tice, H., Nolan, M., Copeland, A., Cheng, J.-F., Lucas, S., Tapia, R., Goodwin, L., Pitluck, S., Ivanova, N., Pagani, I., Mavromatis, K., Ovchinnikova, G., Pati, A., Chen, A., Palaniappan, K., Hauser, L., Chang, Y.-J., Jeffries, C. D., Detter, J. C., Han, C., Rohde, M., Brambilla, E., Göker, M., Woyke, T., Bristow, J., Eisen, J. a, Markowitz, V., Hugenholtz, P., Kyrpides, N. C., Klenk, H.-P. and Land, M.: Complete genome sequence of Desulfarculus baarsii type strain (2st14)., Stand. Genomic Sci., 3(3), 276–284, 2010.

Tamaki, H., Sekiguchi, Y., Hanada, S., Nomura, N., Matsumura, M., Nakamura, K. and Kamagata, Y.: Comparative Analysis of Bacterial Diversity in Freshwater Sediment of a Shallow Eutrophic Lake by Molecular and Improved Cultivation-Based Techniques Comparative Analysis of Bacterial Diversity in Freshwater Sediment of a Shallow Eutrophic Lake by Molecul, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 71(4), 2162–2169, 2005.

Tavormina, P. L., Orphan, V. J., Kalyuzhnaya, M. G., Jetten, M. S. M. and Klotz, M. G.: A novel family of functional operons encoding methane/ammonia monooxygenase-related proteins in gammaproteobacterial methanotrophs, Environ. Microbiol. Rep., 3(1), 91–100, 2011.

1290 Tavormina, P. L., Ussler, W. and Orphan, V. J.: Planktonic and sediment-associated aerobic
1291 methanotrophs in two seep systems along the North American margin., Appl. Environ. Microbiol.,
1292 74(13), 3985–2995, 2008.

1293 Teske, A. and Sørensen, K. B.: Uncultured archaea in deep marine subsurface sediments: have we
1294 caught them all?, ISME J., 2(1), 3–18,.2007.90, 2008.

1295 Thabet, O. B. D., Fardeau, M.-L., Joulian, C., Thomas, P., Hamdi, M., Garcia, J.-L. and Ollivier, B.:
1296 Clostridium tunisiense sp. nov., a new proteolytic, sulfur-reducing bacterium isolated from an olive
1297 mill wastewater contaminated by phosphogypse., Anaerobe, 10(3), 185–190, 2004.

1298 Wasmund, K., Lars, S., Lloyd, K. G., Petersen, D. G., Schramm, A., Stepanauskas, R., Jørgensen,
1299 B. B. and Adrian, L.: Genome sequencing of a single cell of the widely distributed marine
1300 subsurface Dehalococcoidia, phylum Chloroflexi, ISME J., 8, 383–397, 2013.

1301 Wobus, A., Bleul, C., Maassen, S., Scheerer, C., Schuppler, M., Jacobs, E. and Röske, I.: Microbial
1302 diversity and functional characterization of sediments from reservoirs of different trophic state.,
1303 FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 46(3), 331–347, 2003.

1304 Yamada, C., Kato, S., Kimura, S., Ishii, M. and Igarashi, Y.: Reduction of Fe(III) oxides by
1305 phylogenetically and physiologically diverse thermophilic methanogens., FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.,
1306 89(3), 637–645, 2014.

1307 Yamada, T. and Sekiguchi, Y.: Cultivation of Uncultured Chloroflexi Subphyla: Significance and
1308 Ecophysiology of Formerly Uncultured Chloroflexi “Subphylum I” with Natural and
1309 Biotechnological Relevance, Microbes Environ., 24(3), 205–216, 2009.

1310 Yamada, T., Sekiguchi, Y., Hanada, S., Imachi, H., Ohashi, A., Harada, H. and Kamagata, Y.:
1311 Anaerolinea thermolimosa sp. nov., Levilinea saccharolytica gen. nov., sp. nov. and Leptolinea
1312 tardivitalis gen. nov., sp. nov., novel filamentous anaerobes, and description of the new classes
1313 Anaerolineae classis nov. and Caldilineae classis nov. in the, Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol., 56(Pt 6),
1314 1331–1340, 2006.

1315 Yan, Z., Song, N., Cai, H., Tay, J.-H. and Jiang, H.: Enhanced degradation of phenanthrene and
1316 pyrene in freshwater sediments by combined employment of sediment microbial fuel cell and
1317 amorphous ferric hydroxide., J. Hazard. Mater., 199-200, 217–225, 2012.

1318 Yoshida, N., Takahashi, N. and Hiraishi, A.: Phylogenetic Characterization of a Polychlorinated-
1319 Dioxin- Dechlorinating Microbial Community by Use of Microcosm Studies, , 71(8), 4325–4334,
1320 2005.

1321

1322