

Interactive
Comment

Interactive comment on “Bacterial production and transformation of dissolved neutral sugars and amino acids in seawater” by L. Jørgensen et al.

L. Jørgensen et al.

linda.jor.85@gmail.com

Received and published: 12 August 2014

We would like to thank anonymous referee #1 for the constructive comments and opinions on the manuscript.

With respect to the novelty of the manuscript, there are two points we would like to highlight. Our study provides new knowledge on microbial production and transformation of biomolecules in the Ocean. First, we show that microbes grown from glucose release neutral sugars with a certain signature (mol% glucose, galactose etc.). This signature does not depend on initial DOM source (DOM and glucose or just glucose) or initial microbial community (Arctic or Atlantic). This result has never been reported previously in the literature. Ogawa et al. (2001) also incubated artificial seawater spiked with glucose and investigated the change in biomolecules, but they did not include dif-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



ferent treatments as we did. Also, they did not report the molecular composition of neutral sugars released by the microbial community. They only reported the change in neutral sugar yield over time. Hence, our study is the first to present and discuss the molecular composition of neutral sugars released by microbes grown from glucose.

Second, we show that the molecular composition of neutral sugars and amino acids approach a common endpoint after 32 days. This result is new and remarkable taking the different starting conditions into account (natural or artificial seawater, glucose spiked or not, Arctic or Atlantic microbial community). The common endpoint indicates that microbes leave a similar microbial fingerprint of semi-labile or refractory biomolecules irrespective of starting substrate (semi-labile and refractory compounds present in the natural samples when collected or glucose in the artificial samples).

Neutral sugars and amino acids are found in very low concentrations in seawater which makes them very difficult to measure, and reliable measurements are therefore scarce. Therefore, only few incubation studies investigating the microbial production and/or transformation of biomolecules exist. We have rewritten the introduction to better highlight the current knowledge and gaps. This should hopefully improve the readability of the paper and make it more clear what the novelty of our study is.

Reply to specific comments:

Title: We agree that the term 'bacterial production' is likely to be misunderstood and have changed the title to 'Production and transformation of dissolved neutral sugars and amino acids by bacteria in seawater'. The term 'seawater' (or the like) is necessary to point out that the paper deals with the marine environment. We have not been able to come up with a better term, and 'seawater' cannot be replaced with 'ocean', since that would imply an in situ study rather than an incubation study.

P6152: It is now stated in the abstract that the incubated seawater includes artificial, Arctic and North Atlantic seawater.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

P6152, L13: It has been clarified in the abstract that the paper investigates combined neutral sugars and amino acids rather than just free biomolecules.

P6152, L24: As mentioned in the abstract, the D/L ratios generally increased during the incubations (although, from very different starting values). The important point here was not the exact quantitative change but rather the fact that all treatments (NSW, NSWglu and ASWglu) increase and end up having almost similar D/L ratios of the four individual amino acids. The numerical values are stated in the abstract to keep the abstract consistent, since neutral sugar values are stated as well.

P6152, L22: We have rewritten the sentence so that it does not include a reference to the microbial carbon pump.

P6152, L22 + L23: By 'long' we do not mean 32 days but rather years, hundreds of years or maybe even thousands of years. The point is we find that semi-labile and refractory biomolecules (those that are left in the natural seawater samples after 32 days) are almost similar to bacterially produced and altered biomolecules (those that are left in the artificial seawater after 32 days). The natural seawater samples on day 32 represent the leftover semi-labile and refractory biomolecules (which were probably present in the samples when collected in the ocean) and the artificial samples on day 32 represent bacterially-produced and degraded biomolecules. The fact that there is a close resemblance between these two types of treatments suggests that a fraction of bacterially-produced biomolecules are semi-labile or refractory – at least, they appear alike. We have tried to clarify this in the abstract by pointing out that the natural samples represent 'semi-labile and refractory DOM' and artificial samples represent 'bacterially-produced DOM'.

P5164, L25: Bacteria are well known producers and consumers of D-amino acids in the ocean. We have clarified in the text, that the balance between sources and sinks is an important factor in shaping the D/L ratio observed in the ocean.

P5164, L27: Instead of referring to the samples as Arctic and Atlantic in the intro-

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

duction, they are now described as ‘sampled from water masses originating from the surface water of the Arctic Ocean and the Atlantic Ocean’. We have kept the names ‘Arctic’ and ‘Atlantic’ throughout the remaining of the paper, but it is now clarified in the Methods section that these are just names that do not represent the entire Arctic or Atlantic Ocean.

P6155, L25: Subsection 2.1 in the Methods section is now organized in a way that it first describes the sampling sites, then the six different treatments and finally the preparation and setup of the incubations.

Table 1 and 2: We are aware that Tables 1 and 2 are rather boring but they contain data that are scarce and the compilation here will be of use for the scientific community. We agree that data on neutral sugars produced by bacteria and neutral sugars and amino acids left after 32 days are the most interesting in Tables 1 and 2. It is certainly possible to move these data into two new tables, but that would leave even more tables for the reader to keep track of and we believe that this will decrease the simplicity and readability of the paper. Instead, we suggest highlighting these numbers in Tables 1 and 2, e.g. by writing them in a bold font or by boxing the numbers.

References:

Ogawa, H., Y. Amagai, I. Koike, K. Kaiser, R. Benner. 2001. Production of refractory dissolved organic matter by bacteria. *Science*, 292: 917-920.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 6151, 2014.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)