

## ***Interactive comment on “A probabilistic risk assessment for the vulnerability of the European carbon cycle to extreme events: the ecosystem perspective” by S. Rolinski et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #1**

Received and published: 3 September 2014

The manuscript entitled "A probabilistic risk assessment for the vulnerability of the European carbon cycle to extreme events: The ecosystem perspective" by S. Rolinski et al. aims at applying the risk analysis framework of van oijen et al. 2011 to carbon fluxes at the European level. The authors use a dynamic vegetation model including NPP, respiration and disturbance fluxes for a final assessment of NBP extreme responses to climate indices. Definitely we have to acknowledge the effort in applying this framework to the carbon balance and include a large panel of processes including disturbances. However I am very concerned with some methodological issues and the way the results and conclusions are presented.

1. The study uses a dynamic vegetation model (LPJml) coupled with a fire risk model

C4901

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



SPITFIRE to capture the carbone fluxes arising from photosynthesis, soil respiration and emissions from combustion and the decomposition of resulting debris. I have no major concerns with the use of this tool for continental scale assessment of carbone fluxes, which definitely remains convenient and fairly validated as explained in the manuscript. My concern is to use it for quantifying the impact of extreme climate events. In this sense, this manuscript is kind of disappointing as none of the processes of the recent climate extreme experiment in the field have been incorporated, tested, nor even cited or discussed. The ‘Acknowledgements’ part of the manuscript should not be considered for evaluation but it’s surprising to figure out that the authors have been financed by two projects related to climate extremes, with a tremendous amount of information from data acquisition (<http://www.carbo-extreme.eu/index.php/Publications/Project>) and that only a few of these results have been cited or considered. In turn, as the analysis has been performed, it appears as a model’s sensitivity to climate extreme, within an outstanding conceptual framework. This can be interesting per se, but the goals and discussion should be rewritten in this sense. Neither new processes, nor model’s testing under extreme events have been provided, to conclude on the actual carbone fluxes under extreme events. In turn, the discussion is very difficult to read, and doesn’t provide fruitfull information. Again, no link with observations are given, as for exemple with observed (or simulated by experiments) processes.

2. My second concern is methodological. The future climate scenario is describdied with the few lines: “MPI-REMO results have been bias corrected by applying the 1970–2010 mean and standard deviation of the WATCH-ERA-Interim climate data”. No reference for the method is mentionned. This is critical here. Numerous bias correction for climate scenarios exist, with differential accounting for the number of rainy days (Mean rainfall anomalies vs quantile methods for exemple, Deque et al. 2007). As the number of consecutive dry days is a key variable, the authors should more precisely describe their climate scenario. There are confusing references to this variable (C or CDD) which should be homogeneized. In addition, the sensibility of these climate predictions for

C4902

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

extreme events based on the different reanalysis dataset should be discussed (Bedia et al. 2012).

3. Fires are part of NBP, as modeled by the SPTIFIRE module. How well this module performs for extreme events? Long term fire reconstructions have been delivered (again within the projects financing the study) (Koutsias et al. 2012) and extended burned area in Europe are available (EFFIS, Mc Inerney et al. 2013) and have been analyzed (Loepfe et al. 2012, San Miguel Ayanz 2013). It would be worth mentioned all this in the discussion, and provide some results.

In conclusion, I was convinced with the conceptual framework and value the effort to apply it to NBP based on a combined assessment of carbone fluxes and disturbances, but I remain skeptical on the ability of these models to capture the conclusions mentioned in the manuscript for the belowmentioned reasons. To me, this is a sensitivity analysis to extreme events, from a model validated on the current climate variability. The results should be discussed in terms of comparisons and identification of model's caveats with processes obtained from experiments rather than brought up as concluding statements as it is provided in the present version.

Some additional details to be improved: P10169 l14 : "negative impact" is a pessimistic/dramatic point of view that should be balanced as some areas of the world would benefit from climate change. L15: ecosystem degradation: again, balance your statements l171 l4: you mean 'probability' instead of probability? l175 l1-l4: no reference for the bias correction method? Provide here either a reference or a more comprehensive procedure. As CDD is an environmental variable used for the analysis, we have to know if the number of rainy days been corrected or only rain amounts? Different bias correction methods exists so we wonder which one has been used. C or CDD for consecutive dry days?

I present here a list of studies arising from the carbo extreme project (<http://www.carbo-extreme.eu/index.php/Publications/Project>):

BGD

11, C4901–C4906, 2014

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Burri, S., Sturm, P., Prechsl, U., Knohl, A., Buchmann, N. (2014): The impact of extreme summer drought on the short-term carbon coupling of photosynthesis to soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux in a temperate grassland. *Biogeosciences*, 11, 961–975. doi:10.5194/bg-11-961-2014

Limousin J.-M., Rambal S., Ourcival J.-M., Rodríguez-Calcerrada J., Pérez-Ramos I.M., Rodríguez-Cortina R., Misson L. and Joffre R. (2012) Morphological and phenological shoot plasticity in a Mediterranean evergreen oak facing long-term increased drought. *Oecologia* 169 (2): 565-577.

Martin–StPaul N.K., Limousin J.M., Vogt-Schilb H, Rodríguez-Calcerrada J, Rambal S, Longepierre D and Misson L (2013) The temporal response to drought in a Mediterranean evergreen tree: comparing a regional precipitation gradient and a throughfall exclusion experiment *Global Change Biology*. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12215

Misson L., Limousin J.-M., Rodriguez R. and Letts M.G. (2010): Leaf physiological responses to extreme droughts in Mediterranean *Quercus ilex* forest. *Plant, Cell and Environment* Vol. 33 (11), 1898-1910. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02193.x.

Misson L., Degueldre D., Collin C., Rodriguez R., Rocheteau A., Ourcival J.-M., Rambal S. (2011): Phenological responses to extreme droughts in a Mediterranean forest. *Global Change Biology* Vol. 17(2), 1036–1048. DOI:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02348.x

Pérez-Ramos Ignacio M., Rodríguez-Calcerrada Jesús, Ourcival Jean M. and Rambal Serge (2013) *Quercus ilex* recruitment in a drier world: A multi-stage demographic approach. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* (2013), DOI 10.1016/j.ppees.2012.12.005

Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Ciais, P., Frank, D., Mahecha, M., Seneviratne, S. I., Zscheischler, J., Beer, C., Buchmann, N., Frank, D., Papale, D., Rammig, A., Smith, P., Thonicke, K., van der Velde, M., Vicca, S., Walz, A., and Wattenbach, M. (2013) Climate extremes and the carbon cycle. *Nature* 500, 287–295, doi: 10.1038/nature12350

**BGD**

11, C4901–C4906, 2014

[Interactive  
Comment](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



Reyer C.P.O., Leuzinger S., Rammig A., Wolf A., Bartholomeus R.P., Bonfante A., De Lorenzi F., Dury M., Gloning P., Abou Jaoudé R., Klein T., Kuster T.M., Martins M., Niedrist G., Riccardi M., Wohlfahrt G., De Angelis P., de Dato G., François L., Menzel A., Pereira M. (2012) A plant's perspective of extremes: Terrestrial plant responses to changing climatic variability. *Global Change Biology* DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12023

Rodriguez-Calcerrada J., Jaeger C., Limousin J.M., Ourcival J.M., Joffre R., Rambal S. (2011) Leaf CO<sub>2</sub> efflux is attenuated by acclimation of respiration to heat and drought in a Mediterranean tree. *Functional Ecology* 25, 983–9

Selsted, M., van der Linden, L., Ibrom, A., Michelsen, A., Larsen, K., Kongstad, J., Mikkelsen, T., Pilegaard, K., Beier, C. and Ambus, P., 2012. Soil respiration is stimulated by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and reduced by summer drought: Three years of measurements in a multifactor ecosystem manipulation experiment in a temperate heathland (CLIMAITE) *Global Change Biology* 18, 1216-1230.

Teuling A.J., Seneviratne, S.I., Stöckli R., Reichstein M., Moors E., Ciais P., Luyssaert S., van den Hurk B., Ammann C., Bernhofer C., Dellwik E., Gianelle D., Gielen B., Grünwald T., Klumpp K., Montagnani L., Moureaux C., Sottocornola M. and Wohlfahrt G. (2010) Contrasting response of European forest and grassland energy exchange to heatwaves. *Nature Geoscience*. doi:10.1038/ngeo950,

Vicca, S., Bahn, M., Estiarte, M., van Loon, E. E., Vargas, R., Alberti, G., Ambus, P., Arain, M. A., Beier, C., Bentley, L. P., Borken, W., Buchmann, N., Collins, S. L., de Dato, G., Dukes, J. S., Escobar, C., Fay, P., Guidolotti, G., Hanson, P. J., Kahmen, A., Kröel-Dulay, G., Ladreiter-Knauss, T., Larsen, K. S., Lellei-Kovacs, E., Lebrija-Trejos, E., Maestre, F. T., Marhan, S., Marshall, M., Meir, P., Miao, Y., Muhr, J., Niklaus, P. A., Ogaya, R., Peñuelas, J., Poll, C., Rustad, L. E., Savage, K., Schindlbacher, A., Schmidt, I. K., Smith, A. R., Sotta, E. D., Suseela, V., Tietema, A., van Gestel, N., van Straaten, O., Wan, S., Weber, U., and Janssens, I. A. (2014) Can current moisture responses predict soil CO<sub>2</sub> efflux under altered precipitation regimes? A synthesis of

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

manipulation experiments, Biogeosciences, 11, 2991-3013, doi:10.5194/bg-11-2991-20

References cited in the evaluation : San Miguel Ayanz J., Moreno JM Camia A. 2013. Analysis of large fires in European Mediterranean landscapes: Lessons learned and perspectives. Forest ecology and management 294 (11-22): DOI: 10.1016/j.foreco.2012.10.050 Bedia J. et al. 2012. Sensitivity of fire weather index to different reanalysis products in the Iberian Peninsula. Natural hazards and earth system sciences. 12(3): 699-708

Mc Inerney et al. 2013. Design and Function of the European Forest Fire Information System. Photogrammetric engineering and remote sensing. 79(10): 965-973

Koutsias N. et al. 2013 On the relationships between forest fires and weather conditions in Greece from long-term national observations (1894-2010) . international journal of wildland fire 22(4): 493-507

Loepfe et al. 2012. Comparison of burnt area estimates derived from satellite products and national statistics in Europe . international journal of remote sensing 33(12): 3653-3671

---

[Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 10167, 2014.](#)

**BGD**

11, C4901–C4906, 2014

---

[Interactive  
Comment](#)

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)

