

Comments on **Biogeosciences Discuss.**, 2014-354: "Processes determining the marine alkalinity and carbonate saturation distributions" by **B. R. Carter** et al.

### ***I. General comments***

In this manuscript, the authors introduce a composite tracer  $\text{Alk}^*$  to study the process determining the marine alkalinity and calcium carbonate saturation distributions. The authors present the global distributions of  $\text{Alk}^*$  and estimate the riverine  $A_T$  budget for different ocean basins. On regional scale, the authors highlight the high  $\text{Alk}^*$  near river mouths due to riverine input and low  $\text{Alk}^*$  in the Red Sea due to biological precipitation of  $\text{CaCO}_3$ . For the variability of carbonate saturation state, the authors define a metric to evaluate the importance of various controlling factors. Overall, the subject of this manuscript meets the general interest of Biogeosciences and I support the publication of this work after a moderate modification. Please see below for my detailed comments.

### ***II. Specific comments***

1. p11141, line 18-21: “The marine  $A_T$  distribution is affected by the cycling of carbonate, freshwater, and organic matter, so we develop the quasi-conservative tracer  $\text{Alk}^*$  to isolate the influences carbonate cycling.”

Variations of alkalinity in the ocean are mainly controlled by the following processes: 1) mixing between different water masses, (2) precipitation and evaporation, (3) production and remineralization of organic matter, (4) precipitation and dissolution of  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , (5) external sources such as riverine input, underground water, hydrothermal vent fluids, (6) redox reactions in anaerobic environment [Chen, 2002]. By integrating the concept of potential alkalinity, the tracer  $\text{Alk}^*$  is not affected by production and remineralization of organic matter (process 3). In addition, the influence of precipitation and evaporation (process 2) is removed by using the salinity-normalization method of Robbins (2001). On general,  $\text{Alk}^*$  is primarily affected by mixing (process 1), precipitation and dissolution of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (process 4), riverine input (process 5). However, it should be mentioned that, in some special marine environments, the contributions of hydrothermal vent fluids, and redox reactions may be significant. In these cases,  $\text{Alk}^*$  is no longer a good “tracer to isolate the influences of carbonate cycling”.

2. p11142, lines 7-19.

Please revise these sentences for a more accurate description of nitrogen cycle and its influence on alkalinity.

The three reaction equations here are questionable (e.g., nitrification starts from  $\text{NH}_3$  or  $\text{NH}_4^+$  in R1, R2 gives unrealistic product  $\text{O}_2$  in denitrification). These equations are not really useful for the following discussion and I suggest to remove them. If the authors want to keep these equations, please refer to the equations by Wolf-Gladrow et al. [2007].

### 3. p11144, Eqs. 3-5

The global mean passive conservative potential alkalinity ( $A_P^C$ ) is subtracted from potential alkalinity ( $A_P$ ) to calculate  $Alk^*$  (Eqs. 3-5). Instead of using the global mean  $A_P^C$ , is it better to use the mean surface  $A_P^C$  in the low-latitude tropical open oceans? In these oligotrophic waters, influences of riverine input, convection and biogenic  $CaCO_3$  production are minor while most of alkalinity variability is controlled by precipitation and evaporation [Jiang *et al.*, 2014; Millero *et al.*, 1998]. Therefore, it provides a better reference for defining  $Alk^*$ . In this way, positive  $Alk^*$  indicates alkalinity inputs (riverine inputs, upwelled deep water *et al.*) while negative  $Alk^*$  suggests alkalinity removal ( $CaCO_3$  precipitation *et al.*).

### 4. p11144, lines 14-24.

The authors discuss the difference between  $Alk^*$  and the typical salinity-normalized result ( $sA_P$ ). The reasons why " $sA_P$  does not mix conservatively, has a variable response to carbonate production, and yields an undefined value for a riverine end-member with zero salinity and non-zero  $A_P$ " and "the non-linearity of  $sA_P$ " are discussed in detailed by Jiang *et al.* [2014].

### 5. p11145- 11146, section 3.1

This section is not well-organized and Figs. 2-5 need more explanations. Consider to discuss the surface distribution (Fig. 2&3) in one paragraph and discuss the vertical gradient (Fig. 4&5) in the second paragraph.

### 6. "The similarity between phosphate and $Alk^*$ distributions suggests that $Alk^*$ captures the portion of $A_T$ that varies in response to biological cycling as the hard parts of marine organisms." p11145, lines 23-24

This statement is not really true and need more explanations. Although the surface ocean  $Alk^*$  and phosphate have the same sources (upwelled deep water enriched in  $Alk^*$  and nutrient, riverine inputs), they are removed by different biological activities. Production of particle organic carbon (POC) decreases phosphate but has no effect on changing  $Alk^*$ . In contrast, precipitation of  $CaCO_3$  decreases  $Alk^*$  without changing phosphate. As a result, the low surface concentrations of phosphate and  $Alk^*$  in the low-latitude open ocean are due to the weak convection and low biological productions of POC and  $CaCO_3$ . The high concentrations of phosphate and  $Alk^*$  are generally in the high-nutrient low-chlorophyll (HNLC) regions, which is mainly due to the strong convection and low productions of POC and  $CaCO_3$ . On the other hand, the surface concentrations of phosphate and  $Alk^*$  are related to the ratio of  $CaCO_3$ /POC production (the rain ratio). Given the same initial condition, high rain ratio would result in relatively low  $Alk^*$  and high phosphate, and vice versa.

### 7. Section 3.3:

Although the Amazon is the largest  $A_T$  source, its  $Alk^*$  is relatively low. Therefore, the Amazon is not the best example to show the riverine  $Alk^*$ . I don't find Fig. 7 and the discussion on winter-summer difference (p11148, line 19 - p11149, line 2) are

closed related to the main objectives of this study.

The third paragraph (p11149, lines 15-30) only presents the estimates of the riverine  $\text{Alk}^*$ . It should be moved to section 3.2 (constructing the riverine  $A_T$  budget for ocean basins) or moved to supplement.

8. The authors define surface ocean as the top 50m of water column. It seems that 50m is a little bit deep. Normally, it is 20m depth in the (sub)tropics and 30m depth at high latitudes [Lee *et al.*, 2006]. Meanwhile, the boundary between the Atlantic and the Arctic defined by the authors ( $40^\circ$  N) seems a little bit south?

9. Section 4.2, p11153, lines 13-25:

$\Omega = [\text{Ca}^{2+}] [\text{CO}_3^{--}] / K'_{\text{sp}}$ , any factor affecting  $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]$ ,  $[\text{CO}_3^{--}]$ , or  $K'_{\text{sp}}$  can modulate  $\Omega$ . Why only  $C_T$  is mentioned in the discussions here?

10. Conclusions:

This section is too long. Please provide more concise conclusions.

### III. Technical corrections

“carbonate saturation” => calcium carbonate saturation throughout the manuscript.

p11140, line 20:

“to isolate the influences carbonate” => to isolate the influences of carbonate

p11142, line 5:

“while still mixing” => while still mixing conservatively

p11145, lines 21-22:

“The similarity of the  $A_T$  and salinity distributions demonstrates the strong influence of freshwater cycling on the surface marine  $A_T$  distribution [Jiang *et al.*, 2014; Millero *et al.*, 1998]”. Please add references here.

p11146, line 1-2

“The  $\text{Alk}^*$  distribution has a broadly similar explanation to the phosphate distribution.” is a repetitive sentence. Delete it.

p11149, line 27:

“into the Yellow Sea” => into the East China Sea

p11152, line 21:

“alongside the ... values”. Please correct the symbols in this sentence.

p11154, lines 17-18:

“A plot of  $\text{Alk}^*$  against salinity reveals the large  $A_T$  input from the Amazon River”. This sentence come from nowhere (which plot?).

Fig. 6:

The color (the numbers of measurements) in this figure is not really useful.

### References:

Chen, C.-T. A. (2002), Shelf-vs. dissolution-generated alkalinity above the chemical lysocline, *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*, 49(24–25), 5365-5375, doi: 10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00196-0.

Jiang, Z.-P., T. Tyrrell, D. J. Hydes, M. Dai, and S. Hartman (2014), Variability of alkalinity and alkalinity-salinity relationship in the tropical and subtropical surface ocean, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 28, 729-742.

Lee, K., L. T. Tong, F. J. Millero, C. L. Sabine, A. G. Dickson, C. Goyet, G. H. Park, R. Wanninkhof, R. A. Feely, and R. M. Key (2006), Global relationships of total alkalinity with salinity and temperature in surface waters of the world's oceans, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 33(19), L19605, doi: 10.1029/2006gl027207.

Millero, F. J., K. Lee, and M. Roche (1998), Distribution of alkalinity in the surface waters of the major oceans, *Marine Chemistry*, 60(1-2), 111-130.

Wolf-Gladrow, D. A., R. E. Zeebe, C. Klaas, A. Kortzinger, and A. G. Dickson (2007), Total alkalinity: The explicit conservative expression and its application to biogeochemical processes, *Marine Chemistry*, 106(1-2), 287-300, doi: 10.1016/j.marchem.2007.01.006.