Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, C6461–C6466, 2014 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/11/C6461/2014/
© Author(s) 2014. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



## Interactive comment on "Insight into Emiliania huxleyi coccospheres by focused ion beam sectioning" by R. Hoffmann et al.

## R. Hoffmann et al.

scheu@mpie.de

Received and published: 5 November 2014

Dear Reviewer, Thank you very much for the careful reading of our manuscript. In the following, we address all comments step by step. With best regards, Prof. Christina Scheu -On behalf of all authors-

Reviewer 2: General Comments: This manuscript represents a very interesting application of FIB SEM to the study of coccospheres. As pointed out by the authors, the ability to more accurately calculate the PIC/POC has implications for CO2 sequestration, as well as trying to understand why the algae make platelets in the first place. The images and the movie are quite amazing, and I will definitely like to use the movie in lectures on the application of FIB SEM to natural materials.

C6461

Our response: We thank the reviewer for the positive evaluation of our work.

Reviewer 2: However, there are two points that detract from this work. The first is the language. The manuscript uses lots of "empty" words, and strange phrasing, which detracts from the story. Please remove all uses of the word "latter". The way the figures are used is also not helpful- they are presented sort of like a report: Figure 1 shows this. Figure 2 shows that. I think the story would be better served if the figures were more organically woven into the text.

Our response: Thank you for the advice. We have removed such empty words and have rewritten the sentences in particular where the figures are mentioned.

Reviewer 2: The second point is that there is a little disjoint between the material presented in the introduction and the overall discussion. The introduction discusses climate change and whether the coccoliths would be a sink or source of CO2, and if we could use the marine archives to better understand climate in the past. I don't feel the results fully come back to these points. I would like a paragraph or two explaining the implications of the calculated PIC/POC values, and if the authors think this method could be used to section whole coccoshpheres found in chalks.

Our response: See reply to Reviewer 1, p12785 above

Reviewer 2: However, I definitely agree that this manuscript warrants publication in Biogeosciences. Specific points: P12774 L16- I don't think you mean to imply that the ecosystem itself knows about global climate change. I would change the first sentence to read "In the context of the current climate change debate, understanding ecosystem response to environmental disturbances has become..."

Our response: Thank you, we made this change.

Reviewer 2:

P12774 L17- remove "In order to be able". You use a lot of empty words like this, which detracts from your message.

Our response: As mentioned above we have removed such words.

Reviewer 2: P12775: L8: I am not sure what is meant by "morphological abberations possible". P12775 L9-11: replace "Some features ...... complete coccospheres" with "Up until recently, it was only possible to image coccoliths using conventional SEM, using con- ventional sample preparation methods- either smearing coccoliths onto sample hold- ers, or using the microtome to create single cross sections through the cells. However, advances in technology now allow us to both serially image and cross section through the coccospheres, opening up a whole new way of observing coccosphere architec- ture."

Our response: Thank you, we followed your suggestion in the revised manuscript.

Reviewer 2: P12276 L1: You cannot get crystallographic information from BSE, unless you are using a back-scattered diffraction detector. Back-scattered electrons will absolutely display atomic number contrasts, if the energy of the incoming electrons is high enough to generate an x-ray from the material in question.

Our response: Clearly, BSE imaging does not provide any information on the crystallographic phase as for instance backscatter electron diffraction can do. However, BSE channeling contrast mechanisms which depend on the crystallographic orientation of the investigated volume allow for discrimination of differently aligned grains/crystals with the same mass-contrast.

Reviewer 2: P12777 L 15: You give us your polishing current, but do you mean to say you did all the FIB work just using 240 pA? If so, technically it is not a polishing current. Polishing is the last step, usually, when making a TEM sample. If you only used 240 pA, then remove the word "polishing". If you used some other settings in the FIB, please let us know what they are.

Our response: The reviewer is correct. We have therefore removed the "polishing" in the revised manuscript.

C6463

Reviewer 2: P!2778 L14-15: The fact the n per cell and the inner coccosphere diameter can be determined from FIB sectioning is really part of the new science in this paper. Us- ing the FIB to get these values has not been attempted before. Unfortunately these points are buried in the methods section! I would suggest stating this very clearly in the introduction. Something along the lines "PIC and POC values are often used to evaluate a coccospheres response to climate change. However, it can be difficult to accurately calculate the number of coccoliths per cell, and the inner coccosphere diameter. These are two parameters that are needed to calculate the PIC and POC values. The FIB SEM presents an elegant way to obtain these values ..."

Our response: We agree with the reviewer and have added the following to the Introduction. Inserted after page 12775, line 11: "The coccolith quota is needed to calculate particulate inorganic carbon (PIC) quota from SEM images. The inner coccosphere diameter, which equals the cell diameter, can be used to calculate particulate organic carbon (POC) quota. Both coccolith quota and inner coccosphere diameter cannot be determined using conventional SEM, but can be obtained accurately by means of FIB-SEM. The PIC/POC ratio determines if coccolithophores act as a source or a sink of CO2 relative to the atmosphere (Balch et al., 1991; Holligan et al., 1993; Buitenhuis et al., 1996) and therefore is an important variable for modeling carbon-cycling in the oceans (Ridgwell et al., 2009). Moreover, coccolithophore's response to climate change is often expressed in terms of PIC and POC quotas." Page 12776, lines 7-12, were deleted. Page 12775, lines 12-17 were changed to: "FIB-SEM (Inkson et al., 2001; Williams et al., 2005; Uchic et al., 2006; Holzapfel et al., 2007; Kato et al., 2007; Mc Grozther and Munroe, 2007) enables bulk samples to be locally sectioned...." Page 12775, line 11: was changed to Langer et al. 2006 Page 12785, line 2: was changed to Benner 2008

Reviewer 2: P12779: I think the results can be presented much better. For example: "FIB SEM images taken at different stages of milling (for the whole processes, readers are en- couraged to see the video in the supplementary information), illustrate the

beautiful and complex structure of E. Hux (Figure 1). When moving from a single complete coc-cosphere (Fig. 1.1), into the milling (Fig 1.2) and then into the interior of the cell, one begins to see how the individual coccolith platelets are layered (Fig 1.3 and 1.4). In some cases the layers of coccoliths is uneven (Fig 1.5 and 1.6), however this is not often revealed until the middle of the coccosphere is milled. These results imply that whole coccospheres need to be milled, and it is not sufficient just to mill part of the organism. Additionally, it is not sufficient to mill only one sample. The coccospheres in this study are quite heterogenous (Figure 2). Some have four layers of coccoliths (Fig 2.1), whilst some have only three (Fig 2.2). Some have unequal layers (Fig 2.3 and 2.4), which may correspond to the growth direction. Some of the cross sections also show that the shell thickness varies with the number of observable coccoliths (Fig 2.5 and 2.6) .....".

Our response: We thank the reviewer and have rearranged our sentences.

Reviewer 2: P12781 L21: Replace with "It is difficult to calculate the number of coccoliths layers, since in this study we calculated that only 1 in 3 coccospheres have coccoliths that are evenly distributed. In a case where the layers are not even all around the sphere, the value corresponding to the maximum number of layers was used."

Our response: Thank you, we made this change.

Reviewer 2: P 12782 L13: I do not see the contrast differences in Figure 5.2. What I do see is a lot of charging. I disagree that the bright colors are from orientation of the calcite crystals, as this is not something a back-scattered detector would show. In BSE images, materials with different atomic number would appear brighter, but calcite it calcite. It should be the same greyscale.

Our response: We do not agree with the reviewer. As mentioned above, BSE channeling contrast mechanisms which depend on the crystallographic orientation of the investigated volume allow for discrimination of differently aligned grains/crystals with the same mass-contrast and composition. We therefore correlate the different colors

C6465

to channeling and not to charging.

Reviewer 2: Overall, I think the figure captions are a bit long, and information gets lost. Figure 5: Replace caption with "SEM images of the same coccosphere cross-section taken using secondary electrons (5.1) and back-scattered electrons (5.2). Secondary electrons are generated closer to the surface, so 5.1 shows a lot more of the finer surface features. Back-scattered electrons are sensitive to chemical composition, and are generated deeper in the sample. Brighter areas here are charging.

Our response: Thank you for the advice. We have made the changes except for the last sentence (see discussion above about the channeling).

Reviewer 2: Technical corrections: Overall, I think the text needs a bit of revision. But here are some definite mistakes. P12775 L4: replace "were used to gain" with "provide". P12775 L24: remove the "y" from difficult. P12777 L3: Replace "spatle" with "spatula". P12781 L4: replace "at" with "on

Our response: We have made the corrections.

Please also note the supplement to this comment: http://www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/11/C6461/2014/bgd-11-C6461-2014-supplement.pdf

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 12773, 2014.