

Emissions from potential Patagonian dust sources and associated biological response in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean

A. Castagna¹, H. Evangelista¹, L. G. Tilstra², and R. Kerr³

[1]{Laboratório de Radioecologia e Mudanças Globais, Universidade do Estado do Rio de Janeiro – UERJ, Pav. Haroldo L. Cunha/Subsolo, Rua São Francisco Xavier 524, Maracanã, 20550-013, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil}

[2]{Climate Research and Seismology Department, Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute – KNMI, De Bilt, Utrecht, PO Box 201, Netherlands}

[3]{Laboratório de Estudos dos Oceanos e Clima, Instituto de Oceanografia, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande – FURG, Rio Grande, Rio Grande do Sul, 96203-900, Brazil}

Correspondence to: A. Castagna (castagna@uerj.br)

Abstract

The effect of Patagonian dust over primary producers in the Southern Ocean has long been disputed. Here we present new remote sensing evidence in favour of dust mediated biological response and postulate a hypothesis to explain the spatial relation observed. A new remote sensing definition of dust source areas based on the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) and Absorbing Aerosol Index (AAI) correlation is presented and interannual variation in AAI is evaluated within the source regions as a proxy for dust activity. Correlation of this data with annual chlorophyll concentration, phytoplankton biomass, and diatom dominance reveals a spatially coherent latitudinal band of positive correlation concentrated between the Polar Front and the Subtropical Front. This pattern is restricted to western areas in the biomass correlation and extends toward Africa for the chlorophyll and diatom correlation. This region is equivalent to the area of the Subantarctic Mode Water formation, characterized by a ratio $Si:N \ll 1$ in late summer, an unfavourable condition for diatom development, especially under iron limitation. Therefore, due to Si-Fe co-limitation, the positive correlation could be the consequence of an enhanced sensibility of this area to

1 external iron addition for diatom growth. For the Argentinean shelf-break, is not clear
2 whether direct dust input and/or wind stress driving water masses upwelling could be
3 responsible for the positive correlation.

4

5 **1 Introduction**

6 The last three decades saw an emerging discussion on the role of micronutrients, particularly
7 iron, as a limiting factor for marine biological production (e.g., Martin and Fitzwater, 1988;
8 de Baar et al., 1995; Boyd et al., 2007; Westberry et al., 2013). Iron (Fe) is a co-factor of
9 metalloenzymes on major biochemical pathways, from respiratory electron transfer reactions to
10 chlorophyll synthesis (Geider and La Roche, 1994; Morel et al., 2003). Although it is the
11 fourth most abundant element on the Earth's crust (Rudnick and Gao, 2003), at the current
12 oxidizing conditions of the atmosphere and upper ocean, it is present primarily as Fe⁺³, which
13 is largely insoluble in seawater (Liu and Millero, 2002). As a result, the large amounts of
14 eroded iron added to coastal waters are removed by physical, chemical and biological
15 processes before reaching the open ocean.

16 In the Southern Ocean, where Ekman divergence drives strong upwelling of deep water
17 masses rich in dissolved macronutrients (Orsi et al., 1995; Sigman et al., 1999), observational
18 (e.g., Pollard et al., 2009) and experimental evidences (e.g., Martin et al., 2013) have shown
19 that additions of iron alone can modify ecosystem functioning (Moore et al., 2013), enhancing
20 primary production, with potential consequences to the carbon cycle (Smetacek et al., 2012).

21 Natural direct input of iron to these waters occurs through diffusion and mixing of dissolved
22 iron from seabed and direct aeolian deposition of mineral dust into the surface (e.g., Moore
23 and Braucher, 2008). In the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean, a region over the influence
24 of Patagonia (Li et al., 2008), models suggest that dust can be as important as oceanic sources
25 even at the expected modern low dust flux (Fung et al., 2000; Moore and Braucher, 2008).
26 This is a unique region of the Southern Ocean in which geological evidence points to a
27 coupled variation of dust flux and biological production over the last 1 My (Maher et al.,
28 2010).

29 However, evidences are not consistent and the relative contribution of these sources, and their
30 impact on the ecosystem, has been a matter of intense debate over the last decade (Fung et al.,
31 2000; Erickson et al., 2003; Cassar et al., 2007; Meskhidze et al., 2007; Johnson et al., 2011).

1 Much of this debate is based on modelling studies, which, despite their relevance, are subject
2 to large errors. For example, dust iron solubility is a parameter notoriously difficult to
3 simulate, as it is dependent on its mineralogy (Journet et al., 2008), physicochemical reactions
4 during transport (e.g., Baker and Croot, 2010), plankton diversity (e.g., Rubin et al., 2011)
5 and chemical condition of the ocean (Bressac and Guieu, 2013). But even the dust emission
6 and flux are largely uncertain, and modelled South American dust emission can vary by 10^3
7 Tg yr⁻¹ between models (Huneus et al., 2011).

8 Here we present a remote sensing approach to study if and where the interannual variation on
9 dust emission from Patagonia may exerts observable signal on the phytoplankton in the
10 Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean.

11 **2 Methods**

12 **2.1 Source areas and dust activity**

13 Dust and other iron ~~containing-bearing~~ aerosols show a characteristic absorption in the blue-
14 ultraviolet (UV) region of the electromagnetic radiation, which increases toward more
15 energetic wavelengths (Patterson, 1981). Therefore, the difference in the observed and
16 modelled clear sky spectral contrast in two UV bands can be used efficiently for their
17 identification (Herman et al., 1997). The resulting parameter, the Absorbing Aerosol Index
18 (AAI), ~~can be is~~ regarded as a ~~qualitative-semi-quantitative~~ proxy ~~(Torres et al., 2002)~~
19 ~~(Chiapello and Moulin, 2002)~~, since beside the dust load, the microphysical properties of the
20 aerosol and the altitude of the aerosol layer also have significant influence on its absolute
21 value (Torres et al., 1998; De Graaf et al., 2005). However, for temporal monitoring over
22 source regions, microphysical properties and mean altitude should present a much lower
23 variability than dust optical thickness, allowing a quantitative use of the index. Such approach
24 ~~have already has~~ been verified (e.g., Chiapello et al., 1999; Hsu et al., 1999; Deroubaix et al.,
25 2013) and employed with success in other studies (e.g., ~~Chiapello et al., 1999~~ Chiapello and
26 Moulin, 2002).

27 We use the scientific AAI product (version 5.1) from the Scanning Imaging Absorption
28 Spectrometer for Atmospheric Chartography (SCIAMACHY) sensor on-board the Envisat
29 platform (Tilstra et al., 2012), to calculate interannual variation from 2003 to 2010. We
30 exclude 2011 from the calculations due to the intense Puyehue (Chile) eruption initiated in

1 June, which covered a vast area with thick ash deposits (Gaitán et al., 2011), prone to
2 remobilization by wind erosion (Haller and Frumento, 2012).

3 To define the dust source areas within meridional South America we relied on the negative
4 correlation of the AAI with a vegetation proxy, employed as an integrative parameter of two
5 time varying surface properties related to dust emission (Jobbágy et al., 2002; Cropp et al.,
6 2013): (i) the soil moisture content, which influences particle cohesion; and (ii) the abundance
7 and structure of vegetation, which influences the transmittance of the kinetic energy from the
8 wind to the surface (Tegen and Fung, 1994; Mahowald et al., 2005). Together, these
9 parameters regulate the threshold wind velocity needed to initiate the dust emission over a
10 specified region. An analysis highlighting areas where AAI increase is related to vegetation
11 decrease could reveal areas of dust emission, provided that areas of biomass burning are
12 excluded.

13 We used the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS) annual Normalized
14 Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) data set (Feldman and McClain, 2010) as a vegetation
15 proxy. The NDVI uses the normalized difference of the surface radiance in the red (absorbed
16 by chlorophyll) and in the infrared spectrum (scattered by the foliar structure) to indicate
17 vegetation abundance and structure (Brown et al., 2006). The choice of any specific NDVI
18 data set should have little impact on the source areas definition, as arid zones are the regions
19 with greater coherence between sensors (Brown et al., 2006) and we note that Behrenfeld et
20 al. (2001) reported high coherence of this data set with the legacy Advanced Very High
21 Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR) NDVI.

22 | To promote spatial constraint, we used the spearman's ρ threshold of ~~-0.9~~ -0.64 below which
23 | a pixel is identified as a source region. This is the minimum negative coefficient needed to
24 | attain statistical significance at the 0.05 level with ~~all~~ eight years of data (2003-2010). The
25 | statistical significance is not an objective method to define source areas because a greater
26 | sample size would include areas with small correlation, but it is a convenient threshold for
27 | this first order approach.

28 | To reduce the influence of biomass burning on the source areas definition, we further applied
29 | the constraint of a mean annual NDVI below 0.4. ~~The~~ and the results found here (see section
30 | 3.1), are markedly in agreement with other estimates of source areas in Patagonia. But
31 | biomass burning aerosols can be transported over large distances and could influence the AAI
32 | time series collected over the defined source regions. However, considering that Patagonia is

1 | [a semi-desert environment is not likely that the AAI signal, especially over the source regions,](#)
2 | [is significantly affected or determined by biomass burning events.](#)

3 | **2.2 Phytoplankton response**

4 | The simplest and most commonly used parameter to remotely access phytoplankton responses
5 | to environmental variability is the chlorophyll-*a* (Chl-*a*) concentration (Cropp et al., 2005), an
6 | universal pigment in the light harvesting process of photosynthesis (Ritchie, 2006). The fast
7 | and pronounced Chl-*a* increase is a known effect following relief of iron limitation on
8 | phytoplankton (de Baar et al., 2005), that is related to physiological acclimation and biomass
9 | increase (Sunda and Huntsman, 1997; Westberry et al., 2013). Recently, Westberry et al.
10 | (2013) used a series of remotely sensed proxies of phytoplankton response to revisit natural
11 | and purposed iron fertilization studies in the last decade. In line with previous studies, their
12 | results showed that although Chl-*a* concentration cannot be used ~~reliable~~ [reliably](#) to estimate
13 | carbon stock changes, it is a sensitive parameter to iron addition. It could therefore be used as
14 | a proxy to investigate phytoplankton response to dust derived iron inputs to the Southern
15 | Ocean.

16 | But for the dust fertilization hypothesis, leached iron additions should have an impact on the
17 | carbon stock, due to a delay in predators to cope with increased production (Irigoiien, 2005)
18 | and the increase in abundance of larger, grazer-protected species. Therefore, we also evaluate
19 | changes in remotely sensed phytoplankton carbon (C_{phyto}) (Behrenfeld et al., 2005; Westberry
20 | et al., 2008, 2013) used as a biomass proxy for primary producers. C_{phyto} is derived from
21 | single band particulate backscattering (b_{bp}) at 443 nm, based on its modelled relationship with
22 | remotely sensed reflectance ($R_{\text{rs}}(443)$).

23 | Diatoms are the primary group to respond to iron fertilization, but growth response is not
24 | restricted to this group (Boyd et al., 2007). To evaluate which groups could be responding to
25 | dust in this area, we also employed the relative annual frequency of broad groups from the
26 | PHYSAT algorithm (Alvain et al., 2005, 2008). The PHYSAT algorithm uses 5 bands in the
27 | blue-green range of the visible electromagnetic spectrum to derive pixel dominance of 5
28 | phytoplankton groups (Nanoecariotes, Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus, Diatoms and
29 | Phaeocystis-like) on a daily basis. Changes in the relative annual dominance frequency of these
30 | groups could be related to dust variability.

1 Dust over the ocean or suspended in the seawater can interfere in carbon and pigment
2 readings by changing single band reflectance and the blue to green reflectance ratio used to
3 calculate phytoplankton carbon stock and Chl-*a* from space-born sensors (O'Reilly et al.,
4 2000; Moulin et al., 2001; Claustre et al., 2002). This could prevent the use of Chl-*a* and
5 C_{phyto} as proxies for dust mediated biological response. However, this effect should be
6 minimal in waters where optical properties are dominated by biological constituents. The
7 mineral artefact effect is proportional to the relative concentrations of dust and
8 organisms/pigments (Claustre et al., 2002; Woźniak and Stramski, 2004), being more relevant
9 on oligotrophic areas and/or areas with high dust load in the atmosphere or in the seawater.
10 Over the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean, dust load and flux are expected to be small
11 (Gaiero et al., 2003; Li et al., 2008) and the deep mixed layer in the region would decrease the
12 concentration of the dust deposited in the seawater (Claustre et al., 2002; De Boyer Montégut
13 et al., 2004). Phytoplankton biomass and pigment concentration however, are moderate to
14 high throughout the year (Allison et al., 2010). Combined, these properties minimize the noise
15 added by dust variation, suggesting a negligible effect of dust on biological proxy estimation
16 in this region (e.g., Johnson et al., 2011).

17 Level 3 SeaWiFS annual Chl-*a* and GSM $b_{\text{bp}}(443)$ data (Feldman and McClain, 2010) from
18 2003 to 2010 were obtained, processed (C_{phyto}) and aggregated to $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ spatial resolution.
19 Level 3 monthly relative frequencies from PHYSAT were aggregated to annual frequencies
20 and to $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ spatial resolution. These data sets were then correlated [using Spearman's \$\rho\$](#) with
21 the AAI time series over the source areas in Patagonia. [Uncertainty estimates of the](#)
22 [correlation analysis are presented in the supplementary material.](#)

23 All analyses were carried out in R software (R Core Team, 2013) with aid of packages
24 “raster” (Hijmans and Etten, 2013), “rgdal” (Bivand et al., 2013a) and “sp” (Pebesma and
25 Bivand, 2005; Bivand et al., 2013b).

26 **3 Results and discussion**

27 **3.1 Source areas and interannual variation on dust emission**

28 Four possible source areas were identified in Patagonia and Tierra del Fuego Island (Fig. 1).
29 The major areas (i.e., Areas 1 and 2, Fig. 1) are located in northern and central Patagonia, on a
30 sparsely vegetated region (Paruelo et al., 1998) punctuated by a disperse group of ephemeral
31 rivers and lakes that provide wind erodible material (Prospero et al., 2002; Gaiero et al.,

1 2003). Visual examination of the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)
2 true colour composites (not shown) suggests that only a few point sources within these areas
3 are major contributors to dust emission. The majority of the point sources are minor
4 contributors, collectively compounding a diffuse brown haze over the southwest coast of the
5 Atlantic Ocean, and only rarely presenting major emission events.

6 The major areas identified here are very similar to those published by Prospero et al. (2002),
7 which used AAI data in a different classification scheme, and are closely related to the areas
8 identified by the more recent remote sensing survey of Ginoux et al. (2012). Areas 1 and 2
9 also correspond to areas identified by the model of Johnson et al. (2010) as the major source
10 areas in Patagonia. Modelled source areas identified by Li et al. (2010) are somewhat similar,
11 but their southern area is centred around 50°S, over the San Julian Great Depression, which
12 showed only weak correlation in this study (Fig. 1).

13 The area in north-eastern Tierra del Fuego (Area 3, Fig. 1) has been previously described by
14 Arche and Vilas (1986, 2001) and recently proposed by Gassó et al. (2010) as the source for
15 mineral dust arriving at the Concordia Station (75.1°S, 123.35°E), Antarctica. Although small,
16 it is the southernmost recognized dust source in the Southern Hemisphere. This site is located
17 surrounding the San Sebastián bay, and is composed of seasonal dry lakes with strong wind
18 erosion patterns (Arche and Vilas, 1986, 2001). Dust plumes from this site can be easily
19 identified in MODIS true colour composites as elongated brown shades, due to the
20 combination of strong westerlies south of the Andes and aggregated deflation areas.

21 The area in western Tierra del Fuego (Area 4, Fig. 1), however, probably represents a miss
22 identification by the procedure employed, as this site does not hold characteristics needed for
23 dust emission. The islands surrounding the Beagle Channel represent a mixture of exposed
24 rocks, forests and ice caps, without significant sedimentary sites. Therefore, this site is
25 excluded from further processing.

26 As the simple arithmetic mean is sensitive to even a single extreme event, we computed the
27 10% trimmed mean AAI over the source regions. As expected due to area relations, AAI time
28 series over these regions (Fig. 2) show dominance from areas 1 and 2. As dust emission could
29 not be confirmed for area 4, time series used for further processing included only areas 1, 2
30 and 3. We note that this choice should exert minimal influence due to the close similarity
31 between the time series.

1 3.2 Source activity and phytoplankton proxies correlation

2 The correlation of the mean AAI time series and Chl-*a* show a clear zonal pattern of positive
3 correlation over the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean downwind of Patagonia (Fig. 3A
4 and B). This zonal area of positive correlation is bounded by the limits of the Antarctic
5 Circumpolar Current Southern Boundary (ACC - SB) and the Subtropical Front (STF) at the
6 north, but generally restricted at the north of the Polar Front (PF; Fig. 3A), as defined by Orsi
7 et al. (1995). Although the zonal pattern is also visible in the C_{phyto} and AAI correlation (Fig.
8 3C and D), it is less clear and restricted to western areas closest to source, but with the same
9 zonal relations.

10 The correlation presented is an indirect analysis of dust interaction with the Southern Ocean
11 biological system, as the dust transport and deposition could not be evaluated. The patterns of
12 spatial transport depend heavily on the relative position of source areas and high/low pressure
13 zones (Johnson et al., 2011), while deposition also depends on the variable wet removal of
14 dust particles from the atmosphere (Jickells et al., 2005). Nevertheless, spatial patterns of
15 annual dust deposition are highly coherent among models in this region, processed for
16 different years or periods (e.g., Mahowald et al., 2005). Together with the result showed here,
17 this suggests that on an annual scale, spatial variations in atmospheric dust transport and
18 deposition could be less important than dust source area activity. [This could result because the
19 majority of the dust leaving the continent should deposit over the ocean and be redistributed
20 by ocean currents, as it was estimated by Li et al. \(2010\) that only 13% of air masses leaving
21 Patagonia reach Antarctica within 10 days.](#)

22 Notwithstanding the indirect analysis, the resulting zonal pattern is suggestive as it bears
23 resemblance with the Southern Ocean meridional zonation in physical, chemical and
24 biological features (Deacon, 1982; Pollard et al., 2002). The general pattern in the Chl-*a*
25 correlation and its relation to the PF are also coherent with the results of Erickson et al.
26 (2003), who used spatially resolved modelled dust deposition and monthly anomaly in Chl-*a*
27 data between 2000-2001.

28 As areas of enhanced Chl-*a* are related to greater availability of iron on a first order basis
29 (Sokolov and Rintoul, 2007), climatological distribution of Chl-*a* could be used as indirect
30 assessment of nutritional status. Also, Chl-*a* absolute value is one important component of the
31 artefact effect magnitude (Woźniak and Stramski, 2004). It is therefore valuable to analyse the
32 relation between the climatological values of Chl-*a* (1997-2010) and the correlation index.

1 This analysis shows lack of relation (Fig. 4), suggesting small to null system scale effect of
2 nutritional (availability of trace metals) or artefact aspects (dust interference on the Chl-*a*
3 signal) on the correlation. We also note that no clear longitudinal gradient in correlation
4 strength along the zonal pattern is observed in Figs. 3A and B, which suggests also a lack of
5 relation on dust flux and correlation indices, as observed by Erickson et al. (2003). As will be
6 discussed later, this could result from a great sensitivity to even small additions of trace
7 metals and the long range transport of dust particles suspended in the surface waters.

8 The similarity of the diatom annual relative dominance and dust source activity correlation
9 pattern (Fig. 5a) with those of Chl-*a* and C_{phyto} , and its association with the meridional zone
10 between the STF and the PF suggests a determinant role of large scale circulation and
11 biogeochemical features related to diatom ecology. This is expected, as diatoms are the
12 primary group limited by trace metals and Si availability in the Southern Ocean (Hutchins et
13 al., 2001; Leblanc et al., 2005).

14 Under prevailing limiting iron conditions of the Southern Ocean, the Si:NO₃ consumption
15 ratio can reach ~3:1, 2-3 times higher than in iron replete systems (e.g., Hutchins and
16 Bruland, 1998). The higher consumption ratio results in the preferential removal of Si relative
17 to other macronutrients from the surface waters (Hutchins and Bruland, 1998; Takeda, 1998).
18 With the advance of the growing season, shallow and more stratified waters north of the PF in
19 the Atlantic sector prevent sufficient resupply of Si from deeper waters (Sarmiento et al.,
20 2004). Also, as water masses are advected northerly through the Ekman transport from the
21 upwelling site near continental Antarctica to the PF (Sigman et al., 1999), Si is removed by
22 the same process, resulting in advected waters with deficiency in Si relative to other
23 macronutrients (Hutchins and Bruland, 1998). Therefore, in contrast with waters south of the
24 PF, this region suffers a seasonal depletion of silicate in summer, reaching the limiting values
25 for diatom growth of < 5 μM (Coale et al., 2004; De La Rocha and Passow, 2004), an Si:N <
26 0.5:1 (Garcia et al., 2010). The effect of such conditions is clear on late summer, when
27 communities in this region are typically dominated by non-diatom groups as both revealed by
28 fraction of biomass basis (Laubscher et al., 1993) or pigment concentration (Alvain et al.,
29 2008).

30 Upon iron addition, however, despite low Si availability, diatom assembly Chl-*a* and biomass
31 can increase several fold (Hutchins et al., 2001; Leblanc et al., 2005). The result is a reduced
32 Si:Diatom biomass and a community Si:NO₃ consumption that can drop below unity, to

1 average values of ~0.5:1, reducing the remaining Si to submicro-molar levels (Takeda, 1998;
2 Coale et al., 2003; Leblanc et al., 2005). It is noteworthy that controls in waters very deficient
3 in Si ($< 0.6 \mu\text{M}$) have Si:NO₃ consumption ratio even smaller than Fe amended treatments
4 (Hutchins et al., 2001; Leblanc et al., 2005). While Si is mainly consumed by diatoms, NO₃
5 can be consumed by other taxa, and the very low consumption ratio in the controls is due to a
6 relatively low diatom growth compared with non-diatoms groups.

7 The mechanism behind the Fe effect in the Si:Diatom biomass and Si:NO₃ consumption ratio
8 is not yet clear and could involve synergic interactions of physiological (growth rate),
9 morphological (silification and/or volume) and taxonomical changes in the diatom assembly
10 (e.g., Marchetti and Cassar, 2009). Nevertheless, these effects are consistent and can be
11 observed even with small additions of 0.2-0.5 nM Fe to these waters (Coale et al., 2003;
12 Leblanc et al., 2005). Therefore, a possible dust fertilization effect could extend the growing
13 season for diatoms, which would reduce the relative annual dominance of successional groups
14 like *Synechococcus* (Alvain et al., 2008), as can be observed in Fig. 5b.

15 Dust dissolution could supply other trace metals to the surface layer, but their effects on
16 diatoms ecology are not yet clear. Under Si limitation, Zinc (Zn) additions can increase the
17 affinity of the Si uptake system, as measured by the lower half saturation constant for Si
18 uptake (De La Rocha et al., 2000), providing competitive advantage at least for some species
19 (Leblanc et al., 2005). But community experiments are variable in the effect of this element
20 on diatom growth and Si:NO₃ consumption ratios (Coale et al., 2003; Crawford et al., 2003;
21 Leblanc et al., 2005). Nevertheless, it is interesting that Zn concentrations are very low on the
22 area of high correlation (Wyatt et al., 2014) and that Croot et al. (2011) noted that Zn removal
23 occurred slightly after Si removal in meridional transects in the Atlantic sector of the
24 Southern Ocean.

25 But the plausibility of the dust fertilization hypothesis can be accessed for the iron effect
26 alone. Assuming the average iron composition and solubility of Patagonian dust (Gaiero et al.,
27 2003), it would be required a dust flux of 0.4 g m^{-2} to add 0.5 nM Fe to a 50 m mixed layer
28 depth, a value one order of magnitude lower than that estimated for singular dust events in
29 Patagonia ([0.2 to 2 mg m⁻²](#); Johnson et al., 2011). [This value is also much smaller than would](#)
30 [be needed for an artefact effect in the region. Considering the calculations of Woźniak and](#)
31 [Stramski \(2004\) and an average mixed layer depth of 50 m, it would be required a dust flux of](#)

1 | [5 g m⁻² for detectable artefact above the biological signal \(0.1 g m⁻³ of dust for an average](#)
2 | [chlorophyll concentration of 0.5 mg m⁻³\).](#)

3 Therefore, on an annual basis, increased addition of “background” dust or increased
4 frequency and intensity of dust events could provide measurable biological response within
5 the gradient of iron deficiency to iron replete systems on low Si waters at least for Chl-*a*.
6 Higher iron additions would be needed for biomass increase and the exponential decay on
7 atmospheric flux of mineral particles with distance from source could explain the response
8 restricted to western areas. Thus, the combined constraints of at least Fe and Si on diatom
9 growth possibly condition this region to be highly sensitive to dust derived iron additions,
10 explaining the spatial patterns. Direct effect of silicate addition from dissolution of dust in
11 seawater is unlikely (Boyd et al., 2010), as soluble Si represents up to 1% of aluminum-
12 silicate mass (Tegen and Kohfeld, 2006), only two fold higher than iron in Patagonian dust
13 (Gaiero et al., 2003), but with 10³ greater biological demand.

14 Examination of true colour composites reveals that thick Patagonian dust plumes disperse
15 being no longer visible after a few hundred kilometres from coast and therefore direct aeolian
16 input of biologically significant amounts of trace metals would be difficult on far off sites.
17 However, due to the integrity and dynamism of the fronts structure (Sokolov and Rintoul,
18 | 2007) and possible long residence time of dust in the mixed layer (Boyd et al., 2010), [a](#)
19 | [fraction of the](#) dust deposited on the western region could be transported to distant sites, as
20 has already been suggested for Argentinean shelf sediments (de Baar et al., 1995). Also,
21 oceanic communities limited by micronutrients are efficient recyclers of iron. In such
22 communities, dust derived organically bounded iron can be transported hundreds of
23 kilometres from the addition location, as is observed downstream from islands (Sokolov and
24 Rintoul, 2007). This longitudinal transport by ocean currents would also help to explain the
25 observed zonal pattern, not entirely coincident with modelled dust deposition patterns.

26 Finally, Fig. 3 also shows a strong positive relation at the blooming region along the
27 Argentinean shelf-break. This region potentially receives micronutrients from upwelling,
28 shelf water and direct dust deposition (Garcia et al., 2008). Shelf water micronutrients
29 originate from a mixed contribution of which, apart from ground water discharge, aeolian dust
30 can contribute to a minimum of ~40% (Gaiero et al., 2003). This indicates that interannual
31 dust variation could influence an important fraction of the micronutrients delivered to this
32 region. Although the correlation could be an artefact of wind influence on both upwelling and

1 dust emission (Meskhidze et al., 2007) due to the close proximity of the sites, it need not be
2 the case as dust variation can be related to wind-independent soil moisture and vegetation
3 variation. As direct correlation on wind speed and Chl-*a* anomalies are positive for this region
4 (Kahru et al., 2010), effects of these sources cannot be easily separated without models or
5 field campaign, but we note that the two processes can occur simultaneously and need not be
6 exclusive.

7 [The results of Meskhidze et al. \(2007\) also cover the entire Atlantic sector of the Southern](#)
8 [Ocean, and show no evidence of direct effect of wind induced surface mixing on the](#)
9 [longitudinal band of correlation between dust and biological proxies. Nevertheless, it cannot](#)
10 [be excluded that the correlation observed is caused by external phenomenon imposing similar](#)
11 [variability to both dust and biological proxies, without a direct effect of dust fertilization. We](#)
12 [are not aware, however, of such process.](#)

13 It is more difficult to understand the negative correlation surrounding the areas of positive
14 correlation. Air masses leaving the principal source regions in Patagonia potentially cover all
15 Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean (Li et al., 2010), from the Drake Passage to Southern
16 Africa, but are generally directed to southeast. Therefore negative (or positive) areas north of
17 ~40°S may have no relation with coupled dust and biological variability. South of 60°S, sea
18 ice dynamics and influence on biological communities would result in a lack of relation or at
19 least a small positive correlation due to dust accumulation on sea ice and subsequent release
20 in the same integration period (calendar year). However, areas south of ~60°S are also
21 generally negative, and the mechanism behind this behaviour is not yet understood.

22 **4 Conclusions**

23 The effect of Patagonian dust on the productivity of Atlantic sector of Southern Ocean has
24 generally been regarded as negligible. Unfortunately, no research team has reported a dust
25 storm from Patagonia over the ocean, so that the magnitude of dust flux in those conditions
26 and its associated biological response are still unknown. Therefore, until observational or
27 experimental data are available, we have to rely only on indirect studies based on modelling
28 and remote sensing. The present study is an attempt to contribute with further indirect
29 evidences.

30 Here we presented patterns of dust source activity correlation with three remotely sensed
31 proxies for biological response (chlorophyll-*a*, phytoplankton carbon concentration and
32 diatom relative dominance). The results showed a coherent spatial structure within the

1 meridional zonation of the Southern Ocean, extending from South America to Africa. The
2 correlation is interpreted in terms of dust supply of trace metals to surface waters, and
3 represents new evidence that trace metal dissolution from dust deposition has an observable
4 biological effect even at modern flux. The sensibility of the area north of the Polar Front is
5 attributed to diatom co-limitation by silicate and iron, although synergic effects of other
6 micronutrient supplied by dust could also contribute.

7 The possibility that Patagonian dust could help to modulate the productivity in the Atlantic
8 sector of the Southern Ocean even at the modern low flux is important in face of the current
9 climate change and land-use effects on dust source emission in Patagonia.

10 **Acknowledgements**

11 We acknowledge the use of imagery from the Land Atmosphere Near-real time Capability for
12 EOS (LANCE) system operated by the NASA/GSFC/Earth Science Data and Information
13 System (ESDIS) with funding provided by NASA/HQ. We would like to acknowledge
14 Séverine Alvain for the use of the PHYSAT data. We also acknowledge the Council for
15 Research and Scientific Development of Brazil (CNPq)/ Project 556971/2009-4 for financial
16 support and scholarship.

17

1 **References**

- 2 Allison, D. B., Stramski, D. and Mitchell, B. G.: Seasonal and interannual variability of
3 particulate organic carbon within the Southern Ocean from satellite ocean color observations,
4 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115(C6), C06002, doi:10.1029/2009JC005347, 2010.
- 5 Alvain, S., Moulin, C., Dandonneau, Y. and Bréon, F.-M.: Remote sensing of phytoplankton
6 groups in case 1 waters from global SeaWiFS imagery, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res.*
7 *Pap.*, 52(11), 1989–2004, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2005.06.015, 2005.
- 8 Alvain, S., Moulin, C., Dandonneau, Y. and Loisel, H.: Seasonal distribution and succession
9 of dominant phytoplankton groups in the global ocean: A satellite view, *Global Biogeochem.*
10 *Cycles*, 22(3), GB3001, doi:10.1029/2007GB003154, 2008.
- 11 Arche, A. and Vilas, F.: Depósitos eólicos de grano fino en la Bahía de San Sebastián, Tierra
12 del Fuego, Argentina, *Acta Geológica Hispánica*, 21-22, 261–266, 1986.
- 13 Arche, A. and Vilas, F.: Fine-grained aeolian sediments in San Sebastián Bay, Tierra del
14 Fuego, Argentina, *J. Iber. Geol.*, 27, 159–173, 2001.
- 15 Baker, A. R. and Croot, P. L.: Atmospheric and marine controls on aerosol iron solubility in
16 seawater, *Mar. Chem.*, 120(1-4), 4–13, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2008.09.003, 2010.
- 17 Behrenfeld, M. J., Randerson, J. T., McClain, C. R., Feldman, G. C., Los, S. O., Tucker, C. J.,
18 Falkowski, P. G., Field, C. B., Frouin, R., Esaias, W. E., Kolber, D. D. and Pollack, N. H.:
19 Biospheric primary production during an ENSO transition., *Science* (80-.), 291(5513),
20 2594–7, doi:10.1126/science.1055071, 2001.
- 21 Behrenfeld, M. J., Boss, E., Siegel, D. A. and Shea, D. M.: Carbon-based ocean productivity
22 and phytoplankton physiology from space, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 19, GB1006,
23 doi:10.1029/2004GB002299, 2005.
- 24 Bivand, R., Keitt, T. and Rowlingson, B.: *rgdal: Bindings for the Geospatial Data Abstraction*
25 *Library*, [online] Available from: <http://cran.r-project.org/package=rgdal>, 2013a.
- 26 Bivand, R. S., Pebesma, E. and Gómez-Rubio, V.: *Applied Spatial Data Analysis with R*, 2nd
27 ed., Springer New York, New York, NY., 2013b.
- 28 Boyd, P. W., Jickells, T., Law, C. S., Blain, S., Boyle, E. A., Buesseler, K. O., Coale, K. H.,
29 Cullen, J. J., de Baar, H. J. W., Follows, M., Harvey, M., Lancelot, C., Levasseur, M., Owens,

1 N. P. J., Pollard, R., Rivkin, R. B., Sarmiento, J., Schoemann, V., Smetacek, V., Takeda, S.,
2 Tsuda, A., Turner, S. and Watson, A. J.: Mesoscale iron enrichment experiments 1993-2005:
3 synthesis and future directions., *Science*, 315(5812), 612–7, doi:10.1126/science.1131669,
4 2007.

5 Boyd, P. W., Mackie, D. S. and Hunter, K. A.: Aerosol iron deposition to the surface ocean
6 — Modes of iron supply and biological responses, *Mar. Chem.*, 120(1-4), 128–143,
7 doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2009.01.008, 2010.

8 Bressac, M. and Guieu, C.: Post-depositional processes: What really happens to new
9 atmospheric iron in the ocean's surface?, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 27(3), 859–870,
10 doi:10.1002/gbc.20076, 2013.

11 Brown, M. E., Pinzón, J. E., Didan, K., Morissette, J. T., Tucker, C. J. and Pinzon, J. E.:
12 Evaluation of the consistency of long-term NDVI time series derived from AVHRR, SPOT-
13 vegetation, SeaWiFS, MODIS, and Landsat ETM+ sensors, *Geosci. Remote Sensing, IEEE*
14 *Trans.*, 44(7), 1787–1793, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2005.860205, 2006.

15 Cassar, N., Bender, M. L., Barnett, B. A., Fan, S., Moxim, W. J., Levy, H. and Tilbrook, B.:
16 The Southern Ocean biological response to aeolian iron deposition., *Science*, 317(5841),
17 1067–70, doi:10.1126/science.1144602, 2007.

18 [Chiapello, I. and Moulin, C.: TOMS and METEOSAT satellite records of the variability of](#)
19 [Saharan dust transport over the Atlantic during the last two decades \(1979–1997\), *Geophys.*](#)
20 [*Res. Lett.*, 29\(8\), 1176, doi:10.1029/2001GL013767, 2002.](#)

21 Chiapello, I., Prospero, J. M., Herman, J. R. and Hsu, N. C.: Detection of mineral dust over
22 the North Atlantic Ocean and Africa with the Nimbus 7 TOMS, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104(D8),
23 9277–9291, doi:10.1029/1998JD200083, 1999.

24 Claustre, H., Morel, A., Hooker, S. B., Babin, M., Antoine, D., Oubelkheir, K., Bricaud, A.,
25 Leblanc, K., Quéguiner, B. and Maritorena, S.: Is desert dust making oligotrophic waters
26 greener?, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(10), 1469, doi:10.1029/2001GL014056, 2002.

27 Cleveland, W. S.: Robust Locally Weighted Regression and Smoothing Scatterplots, *J. Am.*
28 *Stat. Assoc.*, 74(368), 829–836, doi:10.1080/01621459.1979.10481038, 1979.

29 Coale, K. H., Wang, X., Tanner, S. J. and Johnson, K. S.: Phytoplankton growth and
30 biological response to iron and zinc addition in the Ross Sea and Antarctic Circumpolar

1 Current along 170°W, *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 50(3-4), 635–653,
2 doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00588-X, 2003.

3 Coale, K. H., Peloquin, J., Hall, J., Safi, K., Smith Jr., W. O., Wright, S. W. and van den
4 Enden, R.: Southern Ocean iron enrichment experiment: carbon cycling in high- and low-Si
5 waters., *Science*, 304(5669), 408–14, doi:10.1126/science.1089778, 2004.

6 Crawford, D. W., Lipsen, M. S., Purdie, D. A., Lohan, M. C., Statham, P. J., Whitney, F. A.,
7 Putland, J. N., Johnson, W. K., Sutherland, N., Peterson, T. D., Harrison, P. J. and Wong, C.-
8 S.: Influence of zinc and iron enrichments on phytoplankton growth in the northeastern
9 subarctic Pacific, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 48(4), 1583–1600, doi:10.4319/lo.2003.48.4.1583,
10 2003.

11 Croot, P. L., Baars, O. and Streu, P.: The distribution of dissolved zinc in the Atlantic sector
12 of the Southern Ocean, *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 58(25-26), 2707–2719,
13 doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.10.041, 2011.

14 Cropp, R. A., Gabric, A. J., McTainsh, G. H., Braddock, R. D. and Tindale, N. W.: Coupling
15 between ocean biota and atmospheric aerosols: Dust, dimethylsulphide, or artifact?, *Global
16 Biogeochem. Cycles*, 19(4), GB4002, doi:10.1029/2004GB002436, 2005.

17 Cropp, R. A., Gabric, A. J., Levasseur, M., McTainsh, G. H., Bowie, A. R., Hassler, C. S.,
18 Law, C. S., McGowan, H., Tindale, N. W. and Viscarra Rossel, R.: The likelihood of
19 observing dust-stimulated phytoplankton growth in waters proximal to the Australian
20 continent, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 117-118, 43–52, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2013.02.013, 2013.

21 Deacon, G. E. R.: Physical and biological zonation in the Southern Ocean, *Deep Sea Res. Part
22 A. Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 29(1), 1–15, doi:10.1016/0198-0149(82)90058-9, 1982.

23 De Baar, H. J. W., de Jong, J. T. M., Bakker, D. C. E., Löscher, B. M., Veth, C., Bathmann,
24 U. and Smetacek, V.: Importance of iron for plankton blooms and carbon dioxide drawdown
25 in the Southern Ocean, *Nature*, 373(6513), 412–415, doi:10.1038/373412a0, 1995.

26 De Baar, H. J. W., Boyd, P. W., Coale, K. H., Landry, M. R., Tsuda, A., Assmy, P., Bakker,
27 D. C. E., Bozec, Y., Barber, R. T., Brzezinski, M. A., Buesseler, K. O., Boyé, M., Croot, P.
28 L., Gervais, F., Gorbunov, M. Y., Harrison, P. J., Hiscock, W. T., Laan, P., Lancelot, C., Law,
29 C. S., Levasseur, M., Marchetti, A., Millero, F. J., Nishioka, J., Nojiri, Y., van Oijen, T.,
30 Riebesell, U., Rijkenberg, M. J. A., Saito, H., Takeda, S., Timmermans, K. R., Veldhuis, M. J.
31 W., Waite, A. M. and Wong, C.-S.: Synthesis of iron fertilization experiments: From the Iron

- 1 Age in the Age of Enlightenment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110(C9), C09S16,
2 doi:10.1029/2004JC002601, 2005.
- 3 De Boyer Montégut, C., Madec, G., Fischer, A. S., Lazar, A. and Iudicone, D.: Mixed layer
4 depth over the global ocean: An examination of profile data and a profile-based climatology,
5 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109(C12), C12003, doi:10.1029/2004JC002378, 2004.
- 6 De Graaf, M., Stammes, P., Torres, O. and Koelemeijer, R. B. A.: Absorbing Aerosol Index:
7 Sensitivity analysis, application to GOME and comparison with TOMS, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
8 110(D1), doi:10.1029/2004JD005178, 2005.
- 9 De La Rocha, C. L., Hutchins, D. A., Brzezinski, M. A. and Zhang, Y.: Effects of iron and
10 zinc deficiency on elemental composition and silica production by diatoms, *Mar. Ecol. Prog.*
11 *Ser.*, 195, 71–79, 2000.
- 12 De La Rocha, C. L. and Passow, U.: Recovery of *Thalassiosira weissflogii* from nitrogen and
13 silicon starvation, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 49(1), 245–255, doi:10.4319/lo.2004.49.1.0245, 2004.
- 14 [Deroubaix, A., Martiny, N., Chiapello, I. and Marticorena, B.: Suitability of OMI aerosol
15 index to reflect mineral dust surface conditions: Preliminary application for studying the link
16 with meningitis epidemics in the Sahel, *Remote Sens. of Environ.*, 133\(0\), 116–127,
17 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.02.009, 2013.](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2013.02.009)
- 18 Erickson, D. J., Hernandez, J. L., Ginoux, P., Gregg, W. W., McClain, C. and Christian, J.:
19 Atmospheric iron delivery and surface ocean biological activity in the Southern Ocean and
20 Patagonian region, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30(12), 1–4, doi:10.1029/2003GL017241, 2003.
- 21 Feldman, G. C. and McClain, C. R.: Ocean Color Web, SeaWiFS Reprocessing 2010.0, edited
22 by N. Kuring and S. W. Bailey. [online] Available from: <http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/>,
23 2010.
- 24 Fung, I. Y., Meyn, S. K., Tegen, I., Doney, S. C., John, J. G. and Bishop, J. K. B.: Iron supply
25 and demand in the upper ocean, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 14(1), 281,
26 doi:10.1029/1999GB900059, 2000.
- 27 Gaiero, D. M., Probst, J.-L., Depetris, P. J., Bidart, S. M. and Leleyter, L.: Iron and other
28 transition metals in Patagonian riverborne and windborne materials: geochemical control and
29 transport to the southern South Atlantic Ocean, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*, 67(19), 3603–
30 3623, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(03)00211-4, 2003.

1 Gaitán, J. J., Ayesa, J. A., Umaña, F., Raffo, F. and Bran, D. B.: Cartografía del área afectada
2 por cenizas volcánicas en las provincias de Río Negro y Neuquén, in XIX Congreso
3 Latinoamericano de la ciencia del suelo, XXIII Congreso Argentino de la ciencia del suelo.,
4 2011.

5 Garcia, H. E., Locarnini, R. A., Boyer, T. P., Antonov, J. I., Zweng, M. M., Baranova, O. K.
6 and Johnson, D. R.: World Ocean Atlas 2009, Volume 4: Nutrients (phosphate, nitrate, and
7 silicate), edited by S. Levitus, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 2010.

8 Garcia, V. M. T., Garcia, C. A. E., Mata, M., Pollery, R., Piola, A., Signorini, S. R., McClain,
9 C. and Iglesiasrodriguez, M.: Environmental factors controlling the phytoplankton blooms at
10 the Patagonia shelf-break in spring, Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap., 55(9), 1150–
11 1166, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2008.04.011, 2008.

12 Gassó, S., Stein, A., Marino, F., Castellano, E., Udisti, R. and Ceratto, J.: A combined
13 observational and modeling approach to study modern dust transport from the Patagonia
14 desert to East Antarctica, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10(17), 8287–8303, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8287-
15 2010, 2010.

16 Geider, R. J. and La Roche, J.: The role of iron in phytoplankton photosynthesis, and the
17 potential for iron-limitation of primary productivity in the sea, Photosynth. Res., 39(3), 275–
18 301, doi:10.1007/BF00014588, 1994.

19 Ginoux, P., Prospero, J. M., Gill, T. E., Hsu, N. C. and Zhao, M.: Global scale attribution of
20 anthropogenic and natural dust sources and their emission rates based on MODIS Deep Blue
21 aerosol products, Rev. Geophys., 50(3), RG3005, doi:10.1029/2012RG000388, 2012.

22 Haller, M. J. and Frumento, O. A.: Estimating remobilization rate of ash deposited during the
23 Puyehue (Southern Andes) eruption in 2011, in Abstracts for the October 2012 Meeting on
24 Volcanism in the American Southwest, Flagstaff, Arizona, edited by J. B. Lowenstern, p. 1,
25 United States Geological Survey., 2012.

26 Harris, U. and Orsi, A. H.: Locations of the various fronts in the Southern Ocean, [online]
27 Available from:
28 https://data.aad.gov.au/aadc/metadata/metadata_redirect.cfm?md=/AMD/AU/southern_ocean
29 [_fronts](#), 2008.

1 Herman, J. R., Bhartia, P. K., Torres, O., Hsu, C., Seftor, C. and Celarier, E.: Global
2 distribution of UV-absorbing aerosols from Nimbus 7/TOMS data, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
3 102(D14), 16911–16922, doi:10.1029/96JD03680, 1997.

4 Hijmans, R. J. and Etten, J. van: raster: Geographic data analysis and modeling, [online]
5 Available from: <http://cran.r-project.org/package=raster>, 2013.

6 [Hsu, N. C., Herman, J. R., Torres, O., Holben, B. N., Tanre, D., Eck, T. F., Smirnov, A.,
7 Chatenet, B. and Lavenu, F.: Comparisons of the TOMS aerosol index with Sun-photometer
8 aerosol optical thickness: Results and applications, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104\(D6\), 6269,
9 doi:10.1029/1998JD200086, 1999.](#)

10 Huneus, N., Schulz, M., Balkanski, Y., Griesfeller, J., Prospero, J. M., Kinne, S., Bauer, S.,
11 Boucher, O., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Diehl, T. L., Easter, R., Fillmore, D., Ghan, S., Ginoux,
12 P., Grini, A., Horowitz, L., Koch, D., Krol, M. C., Landing, W., Liu, X., Mahowald, N. M.,
13 Miller, R., Morcrette, J.-J., Myhre, G., Penner, J., Perlwitz, J., Stier, P., Takemura, T. and
14 Zender, C. S.: Global dust model intercomparison in AeroCom phase I, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
15 11(15), 7781–7816, doi:10.5194/acp-11-7781-2011, 2011.

16 Hutchins, D. A. and Bruland, K. W.: Iron-limited diatom growth and Si:N uptake ratios in a
17 coastal upwelling regime, *Nature*, 393(6685), 561–564, doi:10.1038/31203, 1998.

18 Hutchins, D. A., Sedwick, P. N., DiTullio, G. R., Boyd, P. W., Quéguiner, B., Griffiths, F. B.
19 and Crossley, A. C.: Control of phytoplankton growth by iron and silicic acid availability in
20 the subantarctic Southern Ocean: Experimental results from the SAZ Project, *J. Geophys.*
21 *Res.*, 106(C12), 31559, doi:10.1029/2000JC000333, 2001.

22 Irigoien, X.: Phytoplankton blooms: a “loophole” in microzooplankton grazing impact?, *J.*
23 *Plankton Res.*, 27(4), 313–321, doi:10.1093/plankt/fbi011, 2005.

24 Jickells, T. D., An, Z.-S., Andersen, K. K., Baker, A. R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Cao, J.
25 J., Boyd, P. W., Duce, R. A., Hunter, K. A., Kawahata, H., Kubilay, N., LaRoche, J., Liss, P.
26 S., Mahowald, N. M., Prospero, J. M., Ridgwell, A. J., Tegen, I. and Torres, R.: Global iron
27 connections between desert dust, ocean biogeochemistry, and climate., *Science (80-.)*,
28 308(5718), 67–71, doi:10.1126/science.1105959, 2005.

29 Jobbágy, E. G., Sala, O. E. and Paruelo, J. M.: Patterns and controls of primary production in
30 the Patagonian steppe: A remote sensing approach, *Ecology*, 83(2), 307–319,
31 doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2002)083[0307:PACOPP]2.0.CO;2, 2002.

1 Johnson, M. S., Meskhidze, N., Solmon, F., Gassó, S., Chuang, P. Y., Gaiero, D. M.,
2 Yantosca, R. M., Wu, S., Wang, Y. and Carouge, C.: Modeling dust and soluble iron
3 deposition to the South Atlantic Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115(D15), 1–13,
4 doi:10.1029/2009JD013311, 2010.

5 Johnson, M. S., Meskhidze, N., Kiliyanpilakkil, V. P. and Gassó, S.: Understanding the
6 transport of Patagonian dust and its influence on marine biological activity in the South
7 Atlantic Ocean, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11(6), 2487–2502, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2487-2011,
8 2011.

9 Journet, E., Desboeufs, K. V, Caquineau, S. and Colin, J.-L.: Mineralogy as a critical factor of
10 dust iron solubility, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35(7), L07805, doi:10.1029/2007GL031589, 2008.

11 Kahru, M., Gille, S. T., Murtugudde, R., Strutton, P. G., Manzano-Sarabia, M., Wang, H. and
12 Mitchell, B. G.: Global correlations between winds and ocean chlorophyll, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
13 115(C12), C12040, doi:10.1029/2010JC006500, 2010.

14 Laubscher, R. K., Perissinotto, R. and McQuaid, C. D.: Phytoplankton production and
15 biomass at frontal zones in the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean, *Polar Biol.*, 13(7), 471–
16 481, doi:10.1007/BF00233138, 1993.

17 Leblanc, K., Hare, C. E., Boyd, P. W., Bruland, K. W., Sohst, B., Pickmere, S., Lohan, M. C.,
18 Buck, K. N., Ellwood, M. J. and Hutchins, D. A.: Fe and Zn effects on the Si cycle and
19 diatom community structure in two contrasting high and low-silicate HNLC areas, *Deep Sea*
20 *Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 52(10), 1842–1864, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2005.06.005, 2005.

21 Li, F., Ginoux, P. and Ramaswamy, V.: Distribution, transport, and deposition of mineral dust
22 in the Southern Ocean and Antarctica: Contribution of major sources, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
23 113(D10), D10207, doi:10.1029/2007JD009190, 2008.

24 Li, F., Ginoux, P. and Ramaswamy, V.: Transport of Patagonian dust to Antarctica, *J.*
25 *Geophys. Res.*, 115(D18), 1–9, doi:10.1029/2009JD012356, 2010.

26 Liu, X. and Millero, F. J.: The solubility of iron in seawater, *Mar. Chem.*, 77(1), 43–54,
27 doi:10.1016/S0304-4203(01)00074-3, 2002.

28 Maher, B. A., Prospero, J. M., Mackie, D., Gaiero, D. M., Hesse, P. P. and Balkanski, Y.:
29 Global connections between aeolian dust, climate and ocean biogeochemistry at the present

1 day and at the last glacial maximum, *Earth-Science Rev.*, 99(1-2), 61–97,
2 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2009.12.001, 2010.

3 Mahowald, N. M., Baker, A. R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Duce, R. A., Jickells, T. D.,
4 Kubilay, N., Prospero, J. M. and Tegen, I.: Atmospheric global dust cycle and iron inputs to
5 the ocean, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 19(4), doi:10.1029/2004GB002402, 2005.

6 Marchetti, A. and Cassar, N.: Diatom elemental and morphological changes in response to
7 iron limitation: a brief review with potential paleoceanographic applications, *Geobiology*,
8 7(4), 419–31, doi:10.1111/j.1472-4669.2009.00207.x, 2009.

9 Martin, J. H. and Fitzwater, S. E.: Iron deficiency limits phytoplankton growth in the north-
10 east Pacific subarctic, *Nature*, 331(6154), 341–343, doi:10.1038/331341a0, 1988.

11 Martin, P., van der Loeff, M. R., Cassar, N., Vandromme, P., d'Ovidio, F., Stemmann, L.,
12 Rengarajan, R., Soares, M., González, H. E., Ebersbach, F., Lampitt, R. S., Sanders, R.,
13 Barnett, B. a., Smetacek, V. and Naqvi, S. W. a.: Iron fertilization enhanced net community
14 production but not downward particle flux during the Southern Ocean iron fertilization
15 experiment LOHAFEX, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 27, n/a–n/a, doi:10.1002/gbc.20077,
16 2013.

17 Meskhidze, N., Nenes, A., Chameides, W. L., Luo, C. and Mahowald, N. M.: Atlantic
18 Southern Ocean productivity: Fertilization from above or below?, *Global Biogeochem.*
19 *Cycles*, 21(2), 1–9, doi:10.1029/2006GB002711, 2007.

20 Moore, C. M., Mills, M. M., Arrigo, K. R., Berman-Frank, I., Bopp, L., Boyd, P. W.,
21 Galbraith, E. D., Geider, R. J., Guieu, C., Jaccard, S. L., Jickells, T. D., La Roche, J., Lenton,
22 T. M., Mahowald, N. M., Marañón, E., Marinov, I., Moore, J. K., Nakatsuka, T., Oschlies, A.,
23 Saito, M. A., Thingstad, T. F., Tsuda, A. and Ulloa, O.: Processes and patterns of oceanic
24 nutrient limitation, *Nat. Geosci.*, 6(9), 701–710, doi:10.1038/ngeo1765, 2013.

25 Moore, J. K. and Braucher, O.: Sedimentary and mineral dust sources of dissolved iron to the
26 world ocean, *Biogeosciences*, 5(3), 631–656, doi:10.5194/bg-5-631-2008, 2008.

27 Morel, F. M. M., Milligan, A. J. and Saito, M. A.: *Marine Bioinorganic Chemistry: The Role*
28 *of Trace Metals in the Oceanic Cycles of Major Nutrients*, in *Treatise on Geochemistry*, vol.
29 6, edited by K. K. Turekian and H. D. Holland, pp. 113–143, Elsevier, Oxford., 2003.

1 Moulin, C., Gordon, H. R., Chomko, R. M., Banzon, V. F. and Evans, R. H.: Atmospheric
2 correction of ocean color imagery through thick layers of Saharan dust, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
3 28(1), 5–8, doi:10.1029/2000GL011803, 2001.

4 O'Reilly, J. E., Maritorena, S., O'Brien, M. C., Siegel, D. A., Toole, D., Menzies, D., Smith,
5 R. C., Mueller, J. L., Mitchell, B. G., Kahru, M., Chavez, F. P., Strutton, P., Cota, G. F.,
6 Hooker, S. B., McClain, C. R., Carder, K. L., Müller-Karger, F., Harding, L., Magnuson, A.,
7 Phinney, D., Moore, G. F., Aiken, J., Arrigo, K. R., Letelier, R. and Culver, M.: SeaWiFS
8 Postlaunch Calibration and Validation Analyses , Part 3, in NASA Technical Memorandum
9 2000–206892, Vol. 11, vol. 11, edited by S. B. Hooker and E. R. Firestone, p. 49, NASA
10 Goddard Space Flight Center., 2000.

11 Orsi, A. H., Whitworth, T. and Nowlin, W. D.: On the meridional extent and fronts of the
12 Antarctic Circumpolar Current, *Deep Sea Res. Part I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 42(5), 641–673,
13 doi:10.1016/0967-0637(95)00021-W, 1995.

14 Paruelo, J. M., Jobbágy, E. G. and Sala, O. E.: Biozones of Patagonia (Argentina), *Ecol.*
15 *Austral*, 8, 145–153, 1998.

16 Patterson, E. M.: Optical Properties of the Crustal Aerosol: Relation to Chemical and Physical
17 Characteristics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 86(C4), 3236–3246, doi:10.1029/JC086iC04p03236, 1981.

18 Pebesma, E. J. and Bivand, R. S.: Classes and methods for spatial data in R, *R News*, 5(2), 9–
19 13 [online] Available from: <http://cran.r-project.org/doc/Rnews/>, 2005.

20 Pollard, R. T., Lucas, M. and Read, J.: Physical controls on biogeochemical zonation in the
21 Southern Ocean, *Deep Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.*, 49(16), 3289–3305,
22 doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(02)00084-X, 2002.

23 Pollard, R. T., Salter, I., Sanders, R. J., Lucas, M. I., Moore, C. M., Mills, R. A., Statham, P.
24 J., Allen, J. T., Baker, A. R., Bakker, D. C. E., Charette, M. A., Fielding, S., Fones, G. R.,
25 French, M., Hickman, A. E., Holland, R. J., Hughes, J. A., Jickells, T. D., Lampitt, R. S.,
26 Morris, P. J., Nédélec, F. H., Nielsdóttir, M., Planquette, H., Popova, E. E., Poulton, A. J.,
27 Read, J. F., Seeyave, S., Smith, T., Stinchcombe, M., Taylor, S., Thomalla, S., Venables, H.
28 J., Williamson, R. and Zubkov, M. V: Southern Ocean deep-water carbon export enhanced by
29 natural iron fertilization., *Nature*, 457(7229), 577–80, doi:10.1038/nature07716, 2009.

30 Prospero, J. M., Ginoux, P., Torres, O., Nicholson, S. E. and Gill, T. E.: Environmental
31 characterization of global sources of atmospheric soil dust identified with the NIMBUS 7

1 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) absorbing aerosol product, *Rev. Geophys.*,
2 40(1), 1–31, doi:10.1029/2000RG000095, 2002.

3 R Core Team: R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, [online] Available
4 from: <http://www.r-project.org/>, 2013.

5 Ritchie, R. J.: Consistent sets of spectrophotometric chlorophyll equations for acetone ,
6 methanol and ethanol solvents, *Photosynth. Res.*, 89, 27–41, doi:10.1007/s11120-006-9065-9,
7 2006.

8 Rubin, M., Berman-Frank, I. and Shaked, Y.: Dust- and mineral-iron utilization by the marine
9 dinitrogen-fixer *Trichodesmium*, *Nat. Geosci.*, 4(8), 529–534, doi:10.1038/ngeo1181, 2011.

10 Rudnick, R. L. and Gao, S.: 3.01 - Composition of the Continental Crust, in *Treatise on*
11 *Geochemistry*, vol. 3, edited by H. D. Holland and K. K. Turekian, pp. 1–64, Pergamon,
12 Oxford., 2003.

13 Sarmiento, J. L., Gruber, N., Brzezinski, M. A. and Dunne, J. P.: High-latitude controls of
14 thermocline nutrients and low latitude biological productivity, *Nature*, 427(January), 56–60,
15 doi:10.1038/nature02204.1., 2004.

16 Sigman, D. M., Altabet, M. A., McCorkle, D. C., Francois, R. and Fischer, G.: The $\delta^{15} \text{N}$ of
17 nitrate in the southern ocean: Consumption of nitrate in surface waters, *Global Biogeochem.*
18 *Cycles*, 13(4), 1149–1166, doi:10.1029/1999GB900038, 1999.

19 Smetacek, V., Klaas, C., Strass, V. H., Assmy, P., Montresor, M., Cisewski, B., Savoye, N.,
20 Webb, A., D'Ovidio, F., Arrieta, J. M., Bathmann, U. V., Bellerby, R. G. J., Berg, G. M.,
21 Croot, P. L., Gonzalez, S., Henjes, J., Herndl, G. J., Hoffmann, L. J., Leach, H., Losch, M.,
22 Mills, M. M., Neill, C., Peeken, I., Röttgers, R., Sachs, O., Sauter, E., Schmidt, M. M.,
23 Schwarz, J., Terbrüggen, A. and Wolf-Gladrow, D.: Deep carbon export from a Southern
24 Ocean iron-fertilized diatom bloom, *Nature*, 487(7407), 313–319, doi:10.1038/nature11229,
25 2012.

26 Sokolov, S. and Rintoul, S. R.: On the relationship between fronts of the Antarctic
27 Circumpolar Current and surface chlorophyll concentrations in the Southern Ocean, *J.*
28 *Geophys. Res.*, 112(C7), C07030, doi:10.1029/2006JC004072, 2007.

29 Sunda, W. G. and Huntsman, S. A.: Interrelated influence of iron, light and cell size on
30 marine phytoplankton growth, *Nature*, 2051(1977), 389–392, doi:10.1038/37093, 1997.

- 1 Takeda, S.: Influence of iron availability on nutrient consumption ratio of diatoms in oceanic
2 waters, *Nature*, 393, 774–777, doi:10.1038/31674, 1998.
- 3 Tegen, I. and Fung, I. Y.: Modeling of mineral dust in the atmosphere: Sources, transport, and
4 optical thickness, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99(D11), 22897–22914, doi:10.1029/94JD01928, 1994.
- 5 Tegen, I. and Kohfeld, K. E.: Atmospheric Transport of Silicon, in *The silicon cycle : Human*
6 *perturbations and impacts on aquatic systems*, edited by V. Ittekkot, D. Unger, C. Humborg,
7 and N. T. An, pp. 81–92, Island Press., 2006.
- 8 Tilstra, L. G., De Graaf, M., Aben, I. and Stammes, P.: In-flight degradation correction of
9 SCIAMACHY UV reflectances and Absorbing Aerosol Index, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117(D6),
10 doi:10.1029/2011JD016957, 2012.
- 11 Torres, O., Bhartia, P. K., Herman, J. R., Ahmad, Z. and Gleason, J.: Derivation of aerosol
12 properties from satellite measurements of backscattered ultraviolet radiation: Theoretical
13 basis, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(D14), 17099–17110, doi:10.1029/98JD00900, 1998.
- 14 ~~Torres, O., Herman, J. R., Bhartia, P. K. and Sinyuk, A.: Aerosol properties from EP TOMS~~
15 ~~near UV observations, *Adv. Sp. Res.*, 29(11), 1771–1780, doi:10.1016/S0273-~~
16 ~~4177(02)00109-6, 2002.~~
- 17 Westberry, T. K., Behrenfeld, M. J., Milligan, A. J. and Doney, S. C.: Retrospective satellite
18 ocean color analysis of purposeful and natural ocean iron fertilization, *Deep Sea Res. Part I*
19 *Oceanogr. Res. Pap.*, 73, 1–16, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2012.11.010, 2013.
- 20 Wozniak, S. B. and Stramski, D.: Modeling the Optical Properties of Mineral Particles
21 Suspended in Seawater and their Influence on Ocean Reflectance and Chlorophyll Estimation
22 from Remote Sensing Algorithms, *Appl. Opt.*, 43(17), 3489, doi:10.1364/AO.43.003489,
23 2004.
- 24 Wyatt, N. J., Milne, A., Woodward, E. M. S., Rees, A. P., Browning, T. J., Bouman, H. A.,
25 Worsfold, P. J. and Lohan, M. C.: Biogeochemical cycling of dissolved zinc along the
26 GEOTRACES South Atlantic transect GA10 at 40°S, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 28(1), 44–
27 56, doi:10.1002/2013GB004637, 2014.
- 28

1 Figure 1. Final contour of identified “source areas” overlaid on the AAI x NDVI correlation
2 map. Visually confirmed source areas are: (1) northern Patagonia, (2) central Patagonia, and
3 (3) northeastern Tierra del Fuego; area 4, in western Tierra del Fuego, was not confirmed and
4 is probably a miss identification (see text).

5

6 Figure 2. Mean [annual](#) SCIAMACHY AAI time series over source regions.

7

8 Figure 3. [Spearman correlation](#) ~~Correlation~~ of mean AAI over source areas ~~in Patagonia~~ and
9 Chl-*a* (A, B) and C_{phyto} (C, D) over the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean. A and C show
10 the ocean fronts delimiting the larger spatial extent of the correlations, while B and C
11 superimpose ocean fronts delimiting areas with higher correlations. Grey corresponds to areas
12 with < 4 years of phytoplankton data. The fronts positions were obtained from Harris and
13 Orsi (2008).

14

15 Figure 4. Density plot of Spearman correlation indices dependency on Chl-*a* for the Southern
16 Ocean (> 40°S). Colour scale show number of points per bin. Thick line shows a robust
17 locally weighted regression (Cleveland, 1979).

18

19 Figure 5. Correlation of mean AAI over source areas in Patagonia and Diatom (A) and
20 Synechococcus (B) relative abundances over the Atlantic sector of the Southern Ocean. Grey
21 corresponds to areas with < 4 years of phytoplankton data. The scale is the same of Fig. 3.