

# **The combined effects of nitrification inhibitor and biochar incorporation on yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from an intensively managed vegetable field in southeastern China**

B. Li, C.H. Fan, Z.Q. Xiong\*, Q.L. Li, M. Zhang

5 Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Low Carbon Agriculture and GHGs Mitigation, College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, 210095, China

\*Corresponding author. Z.Q. Xiong\*

Tel: 86-25-84395148; fax: 86-25-84395210; E-mail address: [zqxiong@njau.edu.cn](mailto:zqxiong@njau.edu.cn)

**Abstract:** The influences of nitrification inhibitor (NI) and biochar incorporation on yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O  
10 in a vegetable field were studied using the static chamber method and gas chromatography. An experiment was conducted in an intensively managed vegetable field with 7 consecutive vegetable crops in 2012–2014 in southeastern China. With equal annual amounts of N (1217 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), 6 treatments under 3 biochar amendment rates, namely, 0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (C0), 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (C1), and 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (C2), with compound fertilizer (CF) or urea mixed with NI of nitrapyrin as chlorinated pyridine (CP), were  
15 studied in these field experiments. The results showed that although no significant influence on soil organic carbon (SOC) content or total nitrogen (TN), nitrapyrin could result in a significant increase in soil pH during the experimental period. Nitrapyrin significantly decreased cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by 15.9%–32.1% while increasing vegetable yield by 9.8%–41.9%. Thus, it also decreased yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions significantly. In addition to the differential responses of the soil pH, biochar  
20 amendment significantly increased SOC and TN. Additionally, compared with the treatments without biochar addition, cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions showed no significant difference in the CF or the CP group treatments but increased slightly (but not significantly) by 7.9%–18.3% in the CP group treatments. Vegetable yield was enhanced by 7.1%–49.5% compared with the treatments without biochar amendment, and the yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were thus decreased significantly.  
25 Furthermore, treatments applied with nitrapyrin and biochar incorporation slightly increased yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by 9.4%, on average, compared with CP-C0. Therefore, the incorporation of nitrapyrin could serve as an appropriate practice for increasing vegetable yield and mitigating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in intensively managed vegetable fields and should be further examined in various

agroecosystems.

# 1 Introduction

Nitrous oxide ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) is an important greenhouse gas and also contributes to ozone depletion in the stratosphere (IPCC, 2007; Saggar et al., 2007; Ravishankara et al., 2009).  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions increased from 10 Tg to 12 Tg  $\text{N}_2\text{O-N yr}^{-1}$  between 1900 and 2000 and may reach 16 Tg  $\text{N}_2\text{O-N yr}^{-1}$  by 2050 (Bouwman et al., 2013). The increase in nitrogen (N) fertilizer application in agricultural ecosystems has been recognized as a major source of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , representing approximately 60% of the global anthropogenic emission rates in 2005 (Smith et al., 2007; Park et al., 2012). Emissions from soils increase markedly following the application of N fertilizers and animal manures for the purpose of increasing crop production (Mosier et al., 1998; Davidson, 2009).

Increasing grain yields is a boundary condition for ‘greening’ Chinese agriculture in light of the increasing food demand in China. The high N fertilizer application rate contributes to high crop yields (Qin et al., 2010) but, inevitably, also to high  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions (Ding et al., 2007) and nitrate leaching (Li et al., 2007). To minimize the overall greenhouse gas (GHG) impact of agriculture while increasing crop production, the amount of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emitted per unit of crop production (yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions) needs to be considered (Van Groenigen et al., 2010). The yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions approach has been suggested as a more comprehensive index to assess  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions in agricultural ecosystems (Van Groenigen et al., 2010; Grassini and Cassman, 2012). This approach is attractive in view of the need to balance crop production with the mitigation of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions from agriculture. Only a few studies have directly addressed yield-scaled emissions in agriculture cropping systems (Halvorson et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2010; Gagnon et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2014), particularly intensively managed vegetable systems.

The area from which vegetables are harvested in China represents approximately 45% of the world total (FAOSTAT, 2009). In China, the area devoted to vegetable crops has increased from 3.33 million ha in 1976 to 24.8 million ha in 2006, representing 14.5% of the total cropland in China (FAO, 2011). Moreover, intensively managed vegetable cultivation represents a major source of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions in the agricultural sector in China (Zheng et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2011) as a result of the practical characteristics of high N fertilization, intensive irrigation and favorable environmental conditions that are associated with this type of agriculture. Previous studies have shown that annual N fertilizer inputs are extremely high for certain intensively managed vegetable fields (Ju et al., 2006;

Xiong et al., 2006; He et al., 2009). These levels are almost 3–4 times the fertilizer levels used for non-vegetable crops in fields where 2 crops are grown per year (Zheng et al., 2004). As a consequence, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from N fertilizer in vegetable ecosystems represent 20% (Zheng et al., 2004) or 21.4% (Wang et al., 2011) of the total emissions from China's farmland.

5        Alternative practices that could reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions without necessarily reducing N inputs or crop yields have also been considered, such as nitrification inhibitor (NI) application (Zaman et al., 2009; Ji et al., 2011) and biochar amendment (Zhang et al., 2010; Jia et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013) in agricultural soils. NIs have been used in the field to improve the efficiency of fertilizers and to reduce both nitrate leaching and denitrification by maintaining the N in the soil as NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (Majumdar et al., 10        2000; Pathak and Nedwell, 2001; Malla et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2010), thus mitigating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and increasing the crop yield from the agricultural ecosystem. A newly developed NI of nitrapyrin, namely, 2-chloro-6-(trichloromethyl) pyridine (CP), produced by chlorinating 2-methylpyridine, has been used in agricultural ecosystems to mitigate GHG emissions and simultaneously increase crop yield (Ma et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2013). Biochar amendment of soils is currently being considered as 15        a means of mitigating climate change by sequestering carbon (C) while concurrently improving soil properties and functions (Lehmann, 2007; Woolf et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012a). However, the effects of biochar amendment induced by biochar addition on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions may be either positive or negative depending on the inherent characteristics of biochar, the addition of exogenous N and the soil water regime (Spokas and Reicosky, 2009; Xie et al., 2013; Cayuela et al., 2013, 2014). The soil pH of 20        intensively managed vegetable fields has been found to be much lower than that of other agricultural ecosystems due to the input of large amounts of N. Most likely, this lower soil pH will cause a negative effect if biochar amendment is used to mitigate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions because it would affect activity of N<sub>2</sub>O reductase in soil (Cayuela et al., 2014). Overall, based on previous results, both nitrapyrin application and biochar amendment could serve to decrease yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in various agriculture 25        ecosystems. However, information for investigating the combined effects of nitrapyrin application and biochar amendment incorporation on yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O in intensively managed vegetable agriculture is limited.

Accordingly, we quantified the effect of nitrapyrin application and biochar amendment incorporation on yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in intensively managed vegetable agriculture in 30        southeastern China. The objective of the study was to find appropriate practices for increasing

vegetable yield and mitigating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from intensively managed vegetable fields.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Experiment site and biochar properties

A field experiment was conducted at a suburban site (31°59'N, 118°51'E) in Nanjing City, Jiangsu Province, China, from 2012 to 2014. This area has a subtropical monsoon climate with an annual mean rainfall of 1,107 mm and an annual mean air temperature of 15.34°C (Nanjing Meteorology). The selected site had been conventionally continuously cultivated with vegetables for approximately 10 years and is a typical vegetable field. The studied soil was classified as Fimi-Orthic Anthrosols (RGCSST, 2001), with a bulk density of 1.2 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, a total porosity of 51%, a clay (<0.002 mm diameter) fraction of 30.1%, a silt (0.002–0.02 mm diameter) fraction of 64.7% and a sand (0.02–2 mm diameter) fraction of 5.2%. The main properties of this soil are as follows: pH, 5.52; total N, 1.90 g kg<sup>-1</sup>; organic carbon, 15.6 g C kg<sup>-1</sup>; and CEC (cation exchange capacity), 31.2 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup>.

For the field experiment, biochar was produced from wheat straw at the Sanli New Energy Company in Henan, China by pyrolysis and thermal decomposition at 400 °C. The biochar had a carbon content of 467 g C kg<sup>-1</sup> and an N content of 5.9 g N kg<sup>-1</sup>. The initial values of pH, CEC and ash content were 9.4, 24.1 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> and 20.8%, respectively.

### 2.2 Treatments and vegetable management

There are 6 treatments with the same amount of total N in triplicate for 7 consecutive vegetable crops from Apr. 12, 2012 to Jun. 12, 2014 in Nanjing, China. Each plot had an area of 7.5 m<sup>2</sup> and measured 3 m × 2.5 m. Biochar was applied at rates of 0, 20 and 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (C0, C1 and C2, respectively) with compound fertilizer (CF) or urea fertilizer mixed with nitrapyrin (CP). All treatments received the same amount of N fertilizer based on the local practices during the experimental period. The total N application rate for each treatment was equal, 1217 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> across the experimental period, of which 312.5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for Amaranth (*Amaranthus mangostanus* L.) and Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.), 600 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for Tung choy (*Ipomoea aquatica* Forssk.) and 250 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for Baby bok choy (*Brassica chinensis* L.). Compound fertilizer with an m(N):m(P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>):m(K<sub>2</sub>O) ratio of 15:15:15 was used for the CF group treatments and the N form of the compound fertilizer is ammonium fertilizer, while the corresponding P and K fertilizers were broadcast in the form of calcium phosphate and potassium chloride, respectively, in addition to the nitrapyrin urea

for the CP group treatments. All fertilization occurred before transplanting and as the base fertilizer for each vegetable crop except for Tung choy, which had 312.6 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal fertilization and 287.4 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as top-dressing according to the local farmers' practice. Additionally, both P and K fertilizers for the CP group treatments were applied as top-dressing for the Tung choy growing period as well.

5 Biochar was added once to the vegetable fields before sowing of the first vegetable crop (Amaranth) on Apr. 8, 2012 and was incorporated with the soil by hand plowing at a depth of 20 cm.

There were 7 vegetable crops grown successively during the entire observation period (Apr. 12, 2012 to Jun. 12, 2014). Each type of vegetable was seeded by hand and harvested at the appropriate mature stage according to the local farmers' practice. Furthermore, a short fallow period was imposed  
10 after fresh biomass was harvested from each vegetable crop. Soon after harvesting each vegetable crop, the field was tilled to a depth of approximately 12–15 cm. A protective plastic film was used to cover the crops according to the growth requirements of each vegetable crop, i.e., from Apr. 12, 2012 to May 25, 2012 and Mar. 15, 2014 to May 12, 2014 for Amaranth and from Nov. 20, 2012, to Feb. 24, 2013 and Nov. 5, 2013 to Mar. 14, 2014 for Baby bok choy, as Amaranth and Baby bok choy require  
15 relatively warm weather conditions for growth. All the other management procedures, including crop species, tillage, irrigation, and pesticide followed the local farmers' practices are presented in Table 1.

### 2.3 Measurements of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes, soil samples and environmental factors

A static opaque chamber method was used to collect air samples from the experimental sites from 3 replicates for each treatment. Each chamber was made of PVC and consisted of a chamber body  
20 (50×50×50 cm<sup>3</sup>). The outside of the chamber was coated with sponge and aluminum foil to prevent the effects of high temperatures on the chamber. The chamber was installed on a frame. The frames were inserted 0.1 m deep in the soil in each plot and filled with water to make the chamber gas-tight. Sampling was conducted between 8:30 and 10:30 in the morning every other day for 1 week after fertilizer application and then once per week thereafter. Gas fluxes were measured on 121 occasions  
25 over the 2-year period. On each sampling occasion, air samples were taken 0, 10, 20 and 30 min after chamber closure. The samples, collected in 20-mL syringes, were returned to the laboratory, and the N<sub>2</sub>O was determined on the same day with a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A, Agilent Ltd, Shanghai, China) equipped with an electron capture detector (ECD). The carrier gas was argon-methane (5%) at a flow rate of 40 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The column and ECD temperature were maintained  
30 at 40 °C and 300 °C, respectively. Concentrations of N<sub>2</sub>O were quantified by comparing the peak area

with those of reference gases (Nanjing special gas factory, Nanjing, China). N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were calculated by using the linear increases in gas concentration with time. The mean flux for 1 vegetable crop was calculated as the average of all measured fluxes. The measured fluxes were weighted by the interval between 2 measurements (Xiong et al., 2006). The cumulative seasonal N<sub>2</sub>O was calculated as the product of the mean flux and the seasonal duration.

Except for the soil that was analyzed immediately before the experiment in April 2012, another batch of soil samples for each treatment was collected on Jun. 12, 2014 and stored at -20 °C for laboratory analysis. In accordance with Lu (2000), the soil texture was measured using pipette analysis, the total soil organic carbon (SOC) was analyzed by wet digestion with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, and the TN was determined by semi-micro Kjeldahl digestion using Se, CuSO<sub>4</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> as catalysts. The soil pH was measured at a volume ratio of 1:2.5 (soil to water ratio) using a PHS-3C mv/pH detector (Shanghai, China). The soil temperature was measured at a depth of 15 cm beneath the collection point when the gas samples were collected.

Simultaneously with the determination of the trace gas fluxes, soil sampling at 0–15 cm depth was conducted for the determination of soil mineral N and soil water content. Soil mineral N was determined at approximately 7–15 days interval. The soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N were extracted by shaking for 1 h on a rotary shaker with 2 mol L<sup>-1</sup> KCl solution. According to Lu (2000), soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N contents were measured following the two wavelength ultraviolet spectrometry and indophenol blue methods, respectively, using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer (HITACHI, U-2900, Japan). The soil moisture content obtained by oven drying was converted to water-filled pore space (WFPS) using the following equation:

$$\text{WFPS} = \text{volumetric water content (cm}^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}) / \text{total soil porosity (cm}^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}). \quad (1)$$

Here, total soil porosity = [1 – (soil bulk density (g cm<sup>-3</sup>) / 2.65)] with an assumed soil particle density of 2.65 (g cm<sup>-3</sup>). The total soil bulk density was determined with the cutting ring method to 0–10 cm depth according to Lu (2000).

## 2.4 Estimation of vegetable yields and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

The fresh vegetable yields were measured after each vegetable growth period by weighing all of the above-ground vegetable parts that were grown in each plot.

The yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were related to crop yield as in Van Groenigen et al. (2010) and Grassini and Cassman (2012) and were calculated as follows:

Yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions = Cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions / vegetable yield (kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N t<sup>-1</sup> yield).

(2)

## 2.5 Data processing and statistics

The values presented are given as arithmetic means  $\pm$  standard error (SE). All figures in this study were plotted in Microsoft Excel 2003. Significant differences on soil temperature and WFPS among different vegetable crops were determined by the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test. A 2-way ANOVA was used to analyze the effects of nitrapyrin, biochar and their interactions on vegetable yield, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions throughout the experimental period. A Tukey's multiple range tests was used to determine if significant differences occurred between the treatment means at a significance level of 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP, ver. 7.0 (SAS Institute, USA, 2007).

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Soil properties and soil microclimate

Nitrapyrin had no significant influence on TN or SOC during the experimental period. However, significant increases in soil TN were observed in treatments with biochar amendments (Table 2  $p<0.001$ ). Compared with the treatments without biochar amendments, soil TN was increased by 81.5%–99.3% and 44.8%–63.2% for the CF and CP group treatments, respectively. Similar to soil TN, SOC increased significantly in the treatments with biochar amendment (Table 2,  $p<0.001$ ). SOC was enhanced by 66.9%–85.1% and 80.4%–81.3% for the CF and CP group treatments, respectively, compared with the treatments without biochar amendment. Moreover, nitrapyrin increased soil pH significantly by 0.97–2.15 units compared with the treatments without nitrapyrin ( $p<0.001$ ), and biochar amendment decreased soil pH significantly by 1.59–1.63 units and 0.45–0.98 units for the CF and CP group treatments (Table 2,  $p<0.001$ ), respectively. Significant interactions between nitrapyrin and biochar were observed to affect soil TN ( $p<0.05$ ) and soil pH ( $p<0.001$ ) throughout the intensive agriculture experimental period.

No statistical differences in WFPS and soil temperature were detected among all the treatments over the whole experimental period (data not shown). Dynamic variation of soil temperature was detected with seasonal change of outside temperature although plastic film was set sometimes in low-temperature seasons in the vegetable field. As shown in Figure 1a and 1b, significant differences were found between different vegetable crops, with high temperature in summer and low in winter



season ( $p<0.001$ ). Moreover, soil WFPS rates ranged from 31.9–76.0% across all the experimental period. No significant difference on WFPS rates were detected among vegetable crops (Fig. 1c).

### 3.2 Dynamics of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N content

The dynamics of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes from all the treatments across the 7 vegetable growth crops are shown in Figure 2. The pattern of these fluxes was relatively consistent and was sporadic and pulse-like. N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes showed a similar trend during the same period in both years. Following basal fertilization, tillage and irrigation, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions ranged from 17–3406  $\mu\text{g N m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  were observed in all treatments across the experimental period. N<sub>2</sub>O emissions primarily occurred with the increase in soil temperature during the summer, from May to October. However, as shown in Figure 2d and Figure 2g, no significant N<sub>2</sub>O peaks were found in certain vegetable crops to which basal or top-dressing fertilization was added because of the low soil temperature after fertilization in the vegetable field.

Soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N contents ranged from 58.0–413.9  $\text{mg N kg}^{-1}$  and 36.4–279.1  $\text{mg N kg}^{-1}$  across the 7 vegetable crops growth period, respectively as shown in Figure 3. The fertilization events considerably increased soil inorganic N (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> + NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) content. As the nitrapyrin limited the nitrification process, significant higher soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N contents were observed for the treatments with nitrapyrin compared to the treatments with compound fertilizer at the same N rate (Fig. 3a). In contrast to the NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N, a significant lower content of soil NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N was observed in the plots applied with nitrapyrin (Fig. 3b). In addition, no significant effects of biochar were observed to affect soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N contents throughout the intensive vegetable growth period (Fig. 3).

### 3.3 Cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, vegetable yield and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

Cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions for each treatment across the entire experimental period are shown in Table 3a. These emissions varied widely among different crops during the individual vegetable crop-growing season. Additionally, the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions showed significant differences among all the treatments. The greatest N<sub>2</sub>O-N flux was observed in the CF-C0 treatment ( $54.6\pm1.5 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ), whereas the lowest flux was in the CP-C0 treatment ( $37.1\pm4.4 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1}$ ). As shown in Table 3a and, Table 4 significant decreases in cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were detected in the treatments with nitrapyrin ( $p<0.001$ ). The decreases ranged from 15.9%–32.1% compared with the treatments without NI application ( $p<0.001$ ). In contrast, biochar amendment had no significant influence on cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in both CF and CP group treatments. However, as shown in Table 3a, slight increases in

cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were observed. These increases were 7.9% and 18.3% for CP-C1 and CP-C2, respectively, but were not significant compared with CP-C0. Furthermore, no significant interaction between nitrapyrin and biochar amendment was observed to affect cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions throughout the intensive vegetable experimental period (Table 4).

5 Table 3b shows details of the fresh weight for each vegetable crop. The highest fresh weight yield for the 7 consecutive vegetable crops was  $535.4 \pm 16.7 \times 10^3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  for CP-C1, an increase of 71.7% compared with CF-C0. Both nitrapyrin application ( $p < 0.001$ ) and biochar amendment ( $p < 0.05$ ) significantly increased the yield in the intensively managed vegetable system over the experimental period. As shown in Table 3b, NI significantly increased vegetable yield by 9.8%–41.9% while biochar amendment also resulted in significant increases of 7.1%–49.5% in vegetable yield, compared with the  
10 treatments without nitrapyrin or biochar, respectively. Moreover, significant interactions between nitrapyrin and biochar amendment were observed to affect vegetable yield throughout the intensive vegetable experimental period (Table 4,  $p < 0.05$ ).

Table 3c shows the yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, which were related to the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O  
15 emissions and the fresh weight yield ranged from  $0.074 \pm 0.004$  to  $0.175 \pm 0.017 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N t}^{-1}$  yield over the entire experimental period. The lowest value of yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions was  $0.074 \pm 0.004 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N t}^{-1}$  yield for CP-C0, namely, the treatment with nitrapyrin application and without biochar amendment. Both nitrapyrin application ( $p < 0.001$ ) and biochar amendment ( $p < 0.05$ ) significantly decreased the yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the entire experimental period. Furthermore,  
20 significant interactions between nitrapyrin and biochar were observed to affect yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions throughout the experimental period (Table 4,  $p < 0.05$ ).

## 4 Discussion

### 4.1 Effects of nitrapyrin as nitrification inhibitor on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and vegetable yield

25 N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are directly related to the amount of mineral N available in the soil. A two-way ANOVA indicated that seasonal N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the vegetable-growing periods were significantly affected by nitrapyrin application (Table 4,  $p < 0.001$ ), in agreement with previous results (Ma et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2013). Different types of NI that were effective in reducing N<sub>2</sub>O have been reported by previous studies (Xu et al., 2000; Boeckx et al., 2005; Zaman et al., 2008, 2009; Zaman and Blennerhassett,

2010). Nitrapyrin could efficiently inhibit the activity of the ammonia oxidase and reducing the abundance of *nirK* gene in vegetable soil, and thus inhibit the nitrification process while regulating the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  content of soil (Fig. 3), which is highly related to mitigating  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions (Di et al. 2009, 2014). Moreover, nitrapyrin application in vegetable soil resulted in a significant increase in soil pH though insignificant in soil TN and SOC across the 7 consecutive vegetable growing periods (Table 2,  $p < 0.001$ ). The pH increase effect may have been a result of the production of hydroxyl ( $\text{OH}^-$ ) ions during urea hydrolysis (De Boer and Kowalchuk, 2011). Similarly, Zaman et al. (2008) have reported a low rise in soil pH after applying Agrotain-treated urea to pasture soil. A laboratory incubation of DCD decomposition also showed a soil pH increase with the addition of urine with or without DCD (Singh et al., 2008). Zhu et al. (2011) reported a negative correlation between soil pH and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rate in vegetable soils, which may indicate that the increasing of soil pH is another factor mitigating  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions.

A previous study has shown that N fertilizers combined with NI such as DCD and DMPP may improve the yield and quality of agricultural and horticultural crops (Pasda et al., 2001). Similarly, nitrapyrin produced a significant increase in vegetable yield in our study across the experimental period (Table 3b, Table 4,  $p < 0.001$ ). Possible explanations for higher crop yields obtained with  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -containing fertilizers supplemented with nitrapyrin (Fig. 3a) include the reduction of N losses by leaching and volatilization and the improved bio-availability of N in vegetable soil resulting from the added nitrapyrin. Ma et al. (2013) reported that 2 types of NIs (nitrapyrin and DCD) increased average wheat yield by 9.7% under conventional and no-till practices during the winter wheat-growing season. In addition, the uptake rates of the inorganic N would increase due to the increasing effect of nitrapyrin on soil pH in vegetable field (Jampeetong et al., 2013).

#### 4.2 Effects of biochar on $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emissions and vegetable yield

As shown in Table 3a and Table 4, biochar had no significant influence on cumulative  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  during the experimental period. Decreases in the net emissions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from certain agricultural ecosystems as a result of soil amendment with biochar have been well documented by previous studies (Cayuela et al., 2014; Felber et al., 2014). Additionally, a meta-analysis of 30 papers (published from 2007 to 2013) by Cayuela et al. (2014) found that soil  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions, which were affected by biochar characteristics, soil characteristics and N fertilizer type, were reduced by 54% in both laboratory and field studies.

However, biochar amendment had no significant influence on cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the experimental period and even slightly increased cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O by 7.9%–18.3% in the CP group treatments (Table 3a, Table 4). Thus, the mitigating effect of biochar amendment on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions did not work in the intensively managed vegetable field in this study, which is in consistent with previous

5 short-term laboratory incubation results in acidic soils (Yuan and Xu, 2011; Wang et al., 2014). This finding may firstly be due to the decrease in soil pH in the treatments amended with biochar (Table 2). In contrast with the significant increase in soil pH due to the liming effect of biochar generally reported in previous studies (Biederman and Harpole 2012, Zhang et al., 2010), soil pH decreased significantly in the plots amended with biochar (Table 2,  $p < 0.001$ ). Luo et al (2011) reported that biochar with low

10 pyrolysis temperature had more water extractable organic carbon. Although considered to be stable in soil, biochar brings extra carbon source for heterotrophic nitrification process, which may also cause an increase in the H<sup>+</sup> content due to nitrification processes in the soil (Schmidt, 1982; De Boer and Kowalchuk, 2001; Wrage et al., 2001) and thus decrease the soil pH significantly. In addition, the decrease in soil pH may, most likely, be attributed to the weathering effect of biochar after 2 years of

15 incorporation into fields (Jones et al., 2012; Spokas, 2013), particularly in intensively managed vegetable fields. Yao et al. (2010) reported that the pH of biochar samples decreased from 8.4 to 7.5, primarily due to the loss of base cations through leaching and probable carbonation during the weathering process, and biochar offers the practical benefits of high N fertilization input and may also be weathered more easily in vegetable soils. Cayuela et al. (2014) also reported that the effectiveness of

20 biochar application on mitigating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions was significant in neutral and alkaline soils but not in acidic soils with pH < 5, which probably due to that low soil pH may result in adversely affecting the activity of N<sub>2</sub>O reductase in vegetable field (Liu et al., 2010). The decrease in soil pH may also increase the heterotrophic nitrification rate, which may cause the increase of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in vegetable field (Zhu et al., 2011) though heterotrophic nitrification is generally considered to be a

25 minor source of N<sub>2</sub>O (Anderson et al., 1993). Moreover, Sánchez-García et al. (2014) found that biochar increased cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the soil when ammonia oxidation and nitrifier-denitrification (ND) were the major processes generating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, whereas it decreased N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the soil when denitrification is the main pathway leading to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions under the same experimental conditions. Vegetable field had a high amount of N input and may expect ammonia

30 oxidation and linked ND being important processes generating N<sub>2</sub>O (Wrage et al., 2001; Huang et al.,

2014).

Biochar amendment significantly increased SOC and soil TN (Table 2,  $p<0.001$ ) and thus significantly improved vegetable yield compared with the treatments that received no biochar addition (Table 4,  $p<0.05$ ), which agree well with previous reported benefit of adding biochar to soils (Major et al. 2010; Jia et al., 2012). In our study, SOC increased significantly by 66.9%–85.1% in the treatments amended with biochar over the experimental period (Table 2,  $p<0.001$ ). This result is in consistent with the finding that average SOC increased by 61% due to biochar addition, reported in a meta-analysis by Biederman and Harpole (2012). Biochar amendment significantly increased SOC, most likely due to its inert recalcitrant C component, which can contribute to soil carbon sequestration, at least over periods of decades to millions of years (Kuzyakov et al., 2009, 2014; Lehmann et al., 2011). Additionally, biochar amendment also significantly increased soil TN (Table 2,  $p<0.001$ ), which is in consistent with previous study in paddy rice (Zhang et al., 2012b). Most likely, this difference in soil TN is probably due to the release of N in soil from the biochar (Singh et al., 2010; Schouten et al., 2012). The N content of the biochar used in our experiment was  $5.9 \text{ g N kg}^{-1}$ , and this potential N source may also have increased the soil TN. Additionally, the amendment of biochar would offer a further opportunity to achieve N fertilizer savings in vegetable soil, which may have resulted in an increase of soil TN due to the higher inorganic N absorption effects, as seen in comparison with treatments without biochar amendment in an intensively managed vegetable field (Ding et al. 2010). Furthermore, vegetable yield enhancement effect of biochar may also be associated with increases in root exudation in the plots amended with biochar (Gregory, 2006). Biochar amendment in agricultural soil may stimulate microbial activity, resulting in nutrient release (Steinbeiss et al., 2009), reducing nutrient leaching (Laird et al., 2010), and improving crop nutrient availability and plant N uptake (Saarnio et al., 2013) in the intensively managed vegetable field. Moreover, as shown in Table 3b, a significant difference in vegetable yield among the treatments was found under different biochar application rates with compound N fertilization (CF-C0, CF-C1 and CF-C2), in agreement with the results reported by Jeffery et al. (2011) although the plots amended with biochar showed a significantly lower soil pH (Table 2,  $p<0.001$ ).

#### 4.3 The combined effects of nitrapyrin and biochar incorporation on yield-scaled $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emissions

Analyzing  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions on a yield basis provides interesting information for estimating the

environmental impacts of intensive agricultural production systems. As shown in Table 3c, yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions ranged from  $0.074 \pm 0.004$ – $0.175 \pm 0.017$  kg  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ –N  $\text{t}^{-1}$  yield, much lower than previously reported values (Van Groenigen et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2013). Ordinarily, vegetable crops require higher N application rates than staple food crops such as rice, wheat and maize (Li and Wang, 2007). Moreover, the leafy vegetables in this study differed from other crops and all aboveground portions of the vegetable plants were considered as the yield, resulting in low values of yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in our vegetable field.

Overall, nitrapyrin significantly decreased yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions across the experimental period (Table 3c, Table 4,  $p < 0.001$ ), attributing to the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reducing and vegetable increasing effects of nitrapyrin in intensively managed vegetable field. Our results indicate that the yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions were minimal in the CP-C0 treatment ( $0.074 \pm 0.004$  kg  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ –N  $\text{t}^{-1}$  yield). This treatment showed the lowest cumulative  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions ( $37.1 \pm 4.4$  kg N  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) and the second highest vegetable yield ( $500.3 \pm 34.9$  t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ). Under the application of equal amounts of N, nitrapyrin application was a more efficient way to reduce the yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions in our case. This approach may significantly improve vegetable yield while causing a decrease in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions and, thus, improved agronomic N use efficiency (NUE) in intensively managed vegetable agriculture (Li et al., 2007; Asing et al., 2008). Thus, nitrapyrin application without biochar amendment (CP-C0) can serve as an appropriate way of mitigating  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions while increasing vegetable yield in intensively managed vegetable agriculture.

Biochar amendment significantly decreased the yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions across the experimental period (Table 3c, Table 4,  $p < 0.05$ ), mainly due to the increasing effect of vegetable yield with biochar. Obvious interactions in yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions were observed between nitrapyrin and biochar addition (Table 4,  $p < 0.05$ ). Significant interactions in yield were observed though no significant differences in vegetable yield were found among different combinations of nitrapyrin and biochar as CP-C0, CP-C1 and CP-C2 (Table 3b), indicating biochar incorporation rate did not increase vegetable yield when combined with nitrapyrin application. Most likely, the vegetable yields were relatively high compared with other ecosystems although both nitrapyrin and biochar separately can significantly increase vegetable yield.

However, no significant interactions between nitrapyrin and biochar in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions were observed in the current study. Treatments with nitrapyrin and biochar incorporation slightly increased

the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O by 7.9%–18.3%, but not significantly (Table 3a, Table 4), which may probably due to the reason that biochar be able to diminish the mitigating effect of nitrapyrin by affecting the N transformation processes in vegetable soil (Fig. 3). Di et al. (2014) reported that DCD was highly effective in inhibiting the growth of AOB communities, and reducing N<sub>2</sub>O emissions under high soil moisture, whereas Yanai et al., (2007) found that when the soils were rewetted at 83% WFPS, the suppressive effects of charcoal addition on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were not observed. Thus, biochar addition diminished the mitigation effect of nitrapyrin on cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in vegetable soil under the high WFPS condition due to frequent irrigations (Fig. 1). Additionally, biochar would increase the heterotrophic nitrification rate in the treatments applied with both nitrapyrin and biochar (CP-C1 and CP-C2) compared with CP-C0 treatment, and thus increase the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in vegetable field. Since we did not measure the individual N transformation process responding to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, the combined effects of NI and biochar incorporation on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in intensively managed vegetable fields need further study.

## 5 Conclusions

Yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were significantly affected by both nitrapyrin application and biochar amendment in intensively managed vegetable agriculture. Throughout the experimental period, although significant influences on soil TN and SOC were not found, nitrapyrin application significantly increased soil pH and vegetable yield while significantly decreasing cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the intensively managed vegetable field, therefore causing a significant decrease in yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions over the experimental period. Moreover, biochar amendment significantly increased soil TN, SOC and vegetable yield but had no significant influence on cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, whereas this amendment significantly decreased soil pH and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Nitrapyrin and biochar incorporation into vegetable soil slightly increased yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the experimental period. Yield gains were the most important factor for lower yield-scale N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in our case compared with previous studies. Overall, taking environmental and economic benefits into consideration, nitrapyrin application in the vegetable field was the best procedure for reducing the yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. The long-term combined effects of nitrapyrin applications and biochar amendment and their underlying mechanisms on N transformation processes in intensively managed vegetable agriculture should be further studied.

## **Acknowledgments**

We sincerely appreciate the anonymous reviewer for his critical and valuable comments to help improve this manuscript. This work was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technology (2013BAD11B01), the National Science Foundation of China (41171238), and the Doctoral Program

5 of Higher Education of China (20110097110001).



## References

- Anderson, I. C., Poth, M., Homstead, J., and Burdige, D.: A comparison of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O production by the autotrophic nitrifier *Nitrosomonas europaea* and the heterotrophic nitrifier *Alcaligenes faecalis*, *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 59(11), 3525-3533, 1993.
- 5 Asing, J., Saggar, S., Singh, J., and Bolan, N. S.: Assessment of nitrogen losses from urea and an organic manure with and without nitrification inhibitor, dicyandiamide, applied to lettuce under glasshouse conditions, *Soil Research*, 46, 535-541, 2008.
- Biederman, L. A., and Harpole W. F.: Biochar and its effects on plant productivity and nutrient cycling: a meta-analysis, *Glob. Change Biol-Bioenergy*, 5, 202-214, 2012.
- 10 Boeckx, P., Xu, X., and Van Cleemput, O.: Mitigation of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emission from rice and wheat cropping systems using dicyandiamide and hydroquinone, *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 72, 41-49, 2005.
- Bouwman, A. F., Beusen, A. H. W., Griffioen, J., Van Groenigen, J. W., Hefting, M. M., Oenema, O., Van Puijenbroek P. J. T. M., Seitzinger S., Slomp C. P., and Stehfest, E.: Global trends and  
15 uncertainties in terrestrial denitrification and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368(1621), 20130112, doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0112, 2013.
- Cayuela, M. L., Sánchez-Monedero, M. A., Roig, A., Hanley, K., Enders, A., and Lehmann, J.: Biochar and denitrification in soils: when, how much and why does biochar reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions?, *Scientific reports*, 3(1732), doi:10.1038/srep01732, 2013.
- 20 Cayuela M. L., van Zwieten L., Singh B. P., Jeffery S., Roig A., and Sánchez-Monedero M. A.: Biochar's role in mitigating soil nitrous oxide emissions: A review and meta-analysis, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 191, 5-16, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.10.009>, 2014.
- Chen, D., Suter, H. C., Islam, A., and Edis, R.: Influence of nitrification inhibitors on nitrification and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emission from a clay loam soil fertilized with urea, *Soil Biology and  
25 Biochemistry*, 42, 660-664, 2010.
- Davidson, E. A.: The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric nitrous oxide since 1860, *Nature Geoscience*, 2, 659-662, 2009.
- De Boer, W., and Kowalchuk, G. A.: Nitrification in acid soils: micro-organisms and mechanisms, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33, 853-866, 2001.

- Di, H. J., Cameron, K. C., Podolyan, A., and Robinson, A.: Effect of soil moisture status and a nitrification inhibitor, dicyandiamide, on ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier growth and nitrous oxide emissions in a grassland soil, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 73, 59-68, 2014.
- Di H. J., Cameron K. C., Shen J. P., Winefield C. S., Callaghan M. O., Bowatte S., and He J. Z.:  
5 Nitrification driven by bacteria and not archaea in nitrogen-rich grassland soils, *Nat. Lett.*, 2, 621-624, 2009.
- Ding, W., Cai, Y., Cai, Z., Yagi, K., and Zheng, X.: Nitrous oxide emissions from an intensively cultivated maize-wheat rotation soil in the North China Plain, *Science of the total environment*, 373, 501-511, 2007.
- 10 Ding, Y., Liu, Y. X., Wu, W. X., Shi, D. Z., Yang, M., and Zhong, Z. K.: Evaluation of biochar effects on nitrogen retention and leaching in multi-layered soil columns, *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 213(1-4), 47-55, 2010.
- Felber, R., Leifeld, J., Horák, J., and Neftel, A.: Nitrous oxide emission reduction with greenwaste biochar: comparison of laboratory and field experiments, *European Journal of Soil Science*, 65,  
15 128-138, 2014.
- Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Data (FAOSTAT), *World Agriculture Towards 2015/2030*, Available online at: <http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx>. [2014-10-12], Rome, Italy, 2009.
- Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), FAOSTAT (Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Data) Statistical Yearbook Vol. 4, available at: <http://faostat.fao.org/>, [2014-10-12], 2011.
- 20 Gagnon, B., Ziadi, N., Rochette, P., Chantigny, M. H., and Angers, D. A.: Fertilizer source influenced nitrous oxide emissions from a clay soil under corn, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 75, 595-604, 2011.
- Grassini, P., and Cassman, K. G.: High-yield maize with large net energy yield and small global warming intensity, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 109, 1074-1079, 2012.
- 25 Gregory, P. J.: Roots, rhizosphere and soil: the route to a better understanding of soil science?, *European Journal of Soil Science*, 57, 2-12, 2006.
- Halvorson, A. D., Del Grosso, S. J., and Alluvione, F.: Tillage and inorganic nitrogen source effects on nitrous oxide emissions from irrigated cropping systems, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 74, 436-445, 2010.
- 30 He, F. F., Jiang, R. F., Chen, Q., Zhang, F. S., and Su, F.: Nitrous oxide emissions from an intensively

- managed greenhouse vegetable cropping system in Northern China, *Environ. Pollut.*, 157, 1666-1672, 2009.
- Huang, T., Gao, B., Hu, X. K., Lu, X., Well, R., Christie, P., Bakken, L., and Ju, X. T.: Ammonia-oxidation as an engine to generate nitrous oxide in an intensively managed calcareous  
5 Fluvo-aquic soil, *Scientific reports*, 4(3950), doi: 10.1038/srep03950, 2014.
- IPCC-Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- Jampeetong, A., Konnerup, D., Piwpuan, N., and Brix, H.: Interactive effects of nitrogen form and pH  
on growth, morphology, N uptake and mineral contents of *Coix lacryma-jobi* L, *Aquatic Botany*,  
10 111, 144-149, 2013.
- Jeffery, S., Verheijen, F. G. A., Van Der Velde, M., and Bastos, A. C.: A quantitative review of the effects of biochar application to soils on crop productivity using meta-analysis, *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 144, 175-187, 2011.
- Ji, Y., Yu, J., Ma, J., Li, X. P., Xu, H., and Cai Z. C.: Effect of timing of DCD application on nitrous  
15 oxide emission during wheat growing period. *Acta. Ecol. Sin.*, 31, 7151-7160, 2011. (In Chinese)
- Jia, J., Li, B., Chen, Z., Xie, Z., and Xiong, Z. Q.: Effects of biochar application on vegetable production and emissions of  $N_2O$  and  $CH_4$ , *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 58, 503-509, 2012.
- Jones, D. L., Rousk, J., Edwards-Jones, G., Deluca, T. H., and Murphy, D. V.: Biochar mediated changes in soil quality and plant growth in a three year field trial, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 45,  
20 113-124, 2012.
- Ju, X. T., Kou, C. L., Zhang, F. S., and Christie, P.: Nitrogen balance and groundwater nitrate contamination: Comparison among three intensive cropping systems on the North China Plain, *Environ. Pollut.*, 143, 117-125, 2006.
- Kuzyakov, Y., Bogomolova, I., and Glaser, B.: Biochar stability in soil: Decomposition during eight  
25 years and transformation as assessed by compound-specific  $^{14}C$  analysis, *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 70, 229-236, 2014.
- Kuzyakov, Y., Subbotina, I., Chen, H. Q., Bogomolova, I., and Xu, X. L.: Black carbon decomposition and incorporation into microbial biomass estimated by  $^{14}C$  labeling, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 41, 210-219, 2009.
- 30 Lehmann, J.: A handful of carbon, *Nature*, 447, 143-144, 2007.

- Laird, D., Fleming, P., Wang, B., Horton, R., and Karlen, D.: Biochar impact on nutrient leaching from a Midwestern agricultural soil, *Geoderma*, 158, 436-442, 2010.
- Lehmann, J., Rillig, M., Thies, J., Masiello, C. A., Hockaday, W. C., and Crowley, D.: Biochar effects on soil biota—a review, *Soil. Biol. Biochem.*, 43, 1812-1836, 2011.
- 5 Li, D. J., and Wang, X. M.: Nitric oxide emission from a typical vegetable field in the Pearl River Delta, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 9498-9505, 2007.
- Li, X., Hu, C., Delgado, J. A., Zhang, Y., and Ouyang, Z.: Increased nitrogen use efficiencies as a key mitigation alternative to reduce nitrate leaching in north china plain, *Agricultural Water Management*, 89, 137-147, 2007.
- 10 Liu, B., Mørkved, P. T., Frostegard, A., and Bakken, L. R.: Denitrification gene pools, transcription and kinetics of NO, N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> production as affected by soil pH, *FEMS microbiology ecology*, 72, 407-417, 2010.
- Lu, R. K.: *Soil Agro-chemical Analyses*, Agricultural Technical Press of China, Beijing, 2000. (In Chinese)
- 15 Luo, Y., Durenkamp, M., De Nobili, M., Lin, Q., and Brookes, P. C.: Short term soil priming effects and the mineralisation of biochar following its incorporation to soils of different pH, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43(11), 2304-2314, 2011.
- Ma, Y., Sun, L., Zhang, X., Yang, B., Wang, J., Yin, B., Yan, X., and Xiong, Z.: Mitigation of nitrous oxide emissions from paddy soil under conventional and no-till practices using nitrification inhibitors during the winter wheat-growing season, *Biology and fertility of soils*, 49, 627-635, 2013.
- 20 Major, J., Rondon, M., Molina, D., Riha, S. J., and Lehmann, J.: Maize yield and nutrition during 4 years after biochar application to a Colombian savanna oxisol, *Plant Soil*, 333, 117-128, 2010.
- Majumdar, D., Kumar, S., Pathak, H., Jain, M.C., and Kumar, U.: Reducing nitrous oxide emission from an irrigated rice field of North India with nitrification inhibitors. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 81, 163-169, 2000.
- 25 Malla, G., Bhatia, A., Pathak, H., Prasad, S., Jain, N., and Singh, J.: Mitigating nitrous oxide and methane emissions from soil under rice-wheat system with nitrification inhibitors, *Chemosphere* 58, 141-147, 2005.
- 30 Mosier, A.R., Kroeze, C., Nevison, C., Oenema, O., Seitzinger, S. P., and Van Cleemput, O.: Closing

the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget: nitrous oxide emissions through the agricultural nitrogen cycle, OECD/IPCC/IEA phase II development of IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventory methodology, *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 52, 225-248, 1998.

Pasda, G., Hähndel, R., and Zerulla, W.: Effect of fertilizers with the new nitrification inhibitor DMPP (3, 4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate) on yield and quality of agricultural and horticultural crops, *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 34, 85-97, 2001.

Park, S., Croteau, P., Boering, K. A., Etheridge, D. M., Ferretti, D., Fraser, P. J., Kim, K-R., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., van Ommen, T. D., Steele L. P., and Trudinger, C. M.: Trends and seasonal cycles in the isotopic composition of nitrous oxide since 1940, *Nature Geoscience*, 5, 261-265, 2012.

Pathak, H., and Nedwell, D. B.: Strategies to reduce nitrous oxide emission from soil with fertilizer selection and nitrification inhibitor, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 129, 217-228, 2001.

Qin, S., He, X., Hu, C., Zhang, Y., and Dong, W.: Responses of soil chemical and microbial indicators to conservational tillage versus traditional tillage in the North China Plain, *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 46, 243-247, 2010.

Ravishankara, A. R., Daniel, J. S., and Portmann, R. W.: Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O): the dominant ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century, *Science*, 326, 123-125, 2009.

CRGCST (Cooperative Research Group on Chinese Soil Taxonomy): *Chinese Soil Taxonomy* (3rd Edition), Science Press, Beijing, New York, 2001

Saarnio, S., Heimonen, K., and Kettunen, R.: Biochar addition indirectly affects N<sub>2</sub>O emissions via soil moisture and plant N uptake, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 58, 99-106, 2013.

Saggar, S., Giltrap, D. L., Li, C., and Tate, K. R.: Modelling nitrous oxide emissions from grazed grasslands in New Zealand, *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 119, 205-216, 2007.

Sánchez-García, M., Roig, A., Sanchez-Monedero, M. A., and Cayuela, M. L.: Biochar increases soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions produced by nitrification-mediated pathways, *Soil Processes*, 2(25), doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2014.00025, 2014.

Schmidt, E. L.: Nitrification in soil, *Nitrogen in agricultural soils, (nitrogeninagrics)*, 22, 253-288, 1982.

Schouten, S., van Groenigen, J. W., Oenema, O., and Cayuela, M. L.: Bioenergy from cattle manure? Implications of anaerobic digestion and subsequent pyrolysis for carbon and nitrogen dynamics in

soil, GCB Bioenergy, 4, 751-760, 2012.

Singh, J., Saggar, S., Giltrap, D. L., and Bolan, N. S.: Decomposition of dicyandiamide (DCD) in three contrasting soils and its effect on nitrous oxide emission, soil respiratory activity, and microbial biomass-an incubation study, Soil Research, 46, 517-525, 2008.

- 5 Singh, B. P., Hatton, B. J., Singh, B., Cowie, A. L., and Kathuria, A.: Influence of biochars on nitrous oxide emission and nitrogen leaching from two contrasting soils, J. Environ. Qual., 39, 1224-1235, 2010.

Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogleh, S., O'Mara, F., Rice, C., Scholes, B., Sirotenko, O., Howden, M., McAllister, T., Pan, G., Romanenko, V.,  
10 Schneider, U., and Towprayoon, S.: Policy and technological constraints to implementation of greenhouse gas mitigation options in agriculture, Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 118, 6-28, 2007.

Spokas, K. A.: Impact of biochar field aging on laboratory greenhouse gas production potentials, GCB Bioenergy, 5, 165-176, 2013.

- 15 Spokas, K.A., and Reicosky, D.C.: Impacts of sixteen different biochars on soil greenhouse gas production, Annals of Environmental Science, 3(1), 4, 2009.

Steinbeiss, S., Gleixner, G., and Antonietti, M.: Effect of biochar amendment on soil carbon balance and soil microbial activity, Soil Biol. Biochem., 41, 1301-1310, 2009.

- Van Groenigen, J. W., Velthof, G. L., Oenema, O., Van Groenigen, K. J., and Van Kessel, C.: Towards  
20 an agronomic assessment of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions: a case study for arable crops, Euro. J. Soil. Sci., 61, 903-913, 2010.

Wang, L., Butterly, C. R., Wang, Y., Herath, H. M. S. K., Xi, Y. G., and Xiao, X. J.: Effect of crop residue biochar on soil acidity amelioration in strongly acidic tea garden soils, Soil Use and Management, 30(1), 119-128, 2014.

- 25 Wang, J. Y., Xiong, Z. Q., and Yan, X. Y.: Fertilizer-induced emission factors and background emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O from vegetable fields in China, Atmos. Environ., 45, 6923-6929, 2011.

Wang, Z., Zheng, H., Luo, Y., Deng, X., Herbert, S., and Xing, B.: Characterization and influence of biochars on nitrous oxide emission from agricultural soil, Environmental Pollution, 174, 289-296, 2013.

- 30 Wei, X. R., Hao, M. D., Xue, X. H., Shi, P., Horton, R., Wang, A., and Zang, Y. F.: Nitrous oxide

- emission from highland winter wheat field after long-term fertilization, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 3301-3310, 2010.
- Woolf, D., Amonette, J. E., Street-Perrott, F. A., Lehmann, J., and Joseph, S.: Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change, *Nature communications*, 1, 56, doi: 10.1038/ncomms1053, 2010.
- 5 Wrage, N., Velthof, G. L., Van Beusichem, M. L., and Oenema, O.: Role of nitrifier denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33(12), 1723-1732, 2001.
- Xie, Z., Xu, Y., Liu, G., Liu, Q., Zhu, J., Tu, C., Amonette, J., Cadisch, G., Yong, J., and Hu, S.: Impact of biochar application on nitrogen nutrition of rice, greenhouse-gas emissions and soil organic carbon dynamics in two paddy soils of China, *Plant and soil*, 370, 527-540, 2013.
- 10 Xiong, W., Xia, Y. Q., Zhou, W., and Yan, X. Y.: Relationship between nitrogen application rate and nitrous oxide emission and effect of nitrification inhibitor in vegetable farming system, *Acta. Pedologica Sinica*, 50, 743-751, 2013. (In Chinese)
- Xiong, Z. Q., Xie, Y. X., Xing, G. X., Zhu, Z. L., and Butenhoff, C.: Measurements of nitrous oxide emissions from vegetable production in China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 2225-2234, 2006.
- 15 Xu, X., Zhou, L., Van Cleemput, O., and Wang, Z.: Fate of urea-<sup>15</sup>N in a soil-wheat system as influenced by urease inhibitor hydroquinone and nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide, *Plant and Soil*, 220, 261-270, 2000.
- Yanai, Y., Toyota, K., and Okazaki, M.: Effects of charcoal addition on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soil resulting from rewetting air-dried soil in short-term laboratory experiments, *Soil Science and Plant*
- 20 *Nutrition*, 53, 181-188, 2007.
- Yao, F. X., Arbestain, M. C., Virgel, S., Blanco, F., Arostegui, J., Maciá-Agulló, J. A., and Macías, F.: Simulated geochemical weathering of a mineral ash-rich biochar in a modified Soxhlet reactor, *Chemosphere*, 80, 724-732, 2010.
- Yuan, J. H., and Xu, R. K.: The amelioration effects of low temperature biochar generated from nine
- 25 crop residues on an acidic Ultisol, *Soil Use and Management*, 27(1), 110-115, 2011.
- Zaman, M., and Blennerhassett, J. D.: Effects of the different rates of urease and nitrification inhibitors on gaseous emissions of ammonia and nitrous oxide, nitrate leaching and pasture production from urine patches in an intensive grazed pasture system, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 136, 236-246, 2010.
- Zaman, M., Nguyen, M. L., and Sagggar, S.: N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> emissions from pasture and wetland soils with
- 30 and without amendments of nitrate, lime and zeolite under laboratory condition, *Soil Research*, 46,

526-534, 2008.

Zaman, M., Saggar, S., Blennerhassett, J. D., and Singh, J.: Effect of urease and nitrification inhibitors on N transformation, gaseous emissions of ammonia and nitrous oxide, pasture yield and N uptake in grazed pasture system, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41, 1270-1280, 2009.

- 5 Zhang, A., Bian, R., Pan, G., Cui, L., Hussain, Q., Li, L., Zheng, J., Zheng, J., Zhang, X., Han, X., and Yu, X.: Effects of biochar amendment on soil quality, crop yield and greenhouse gas emission in a Chinese rice paddy: a field study of 2 consecutive rice growing cycles, *Field Crops Research*, 127, 153-160, 2012b.

- 10 Zhang, A., Cui, L., Pan, G., Li, L., Hussain, Q., Zhang, X., Zheng, J., and Crowley, D.: Effect of biochar amendment on yield and methane and nitrous oxide emissions from a rice paddy from Tai Lake plain, China, *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 139, 469-475, 2010.

Zhang, A., Liu, Y., Pan, G., Hussain, Q., Li, L., Zheng, J., and Zhang, X.: Effect of biochar amendment on maize yield and greenhouse gas emissions from a soil organic carbon poor calcareous loamy soil from Central China Plain, *Plant and soil*, 351, 263-275, 2012a.

- 15 Zheng, X. H., Han, S. H., Huang, Y., Wang, Y. S., and Wang, M. X.: Re-quantifying the emission factors based on field measurements and estimating the direct N<sub>2</sub>O emission from Chinese croplands, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 18(2), doi: 10.1029/2003GB002167, 2004.

- Zhou, M., Zhu, B., Brüggemann, N., Bergmann, J., Wang, Y., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> leaching on a crop-yield basis from a subtropical rain-fed wheat–maize rotation in response to different types of nitrogen fertilizer, *Ecosystems*, 17(2), 286-301, 2014.

20 Zhu, T. B., Zhang, J. B., and Cai, Z. C.: The contribution of nitrogen transformation processes to total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soils used for intensive vegetable cultivation, *Plant Soil*, 343, 313-327, 2011.



**Table 1** Vegetable species and management procedure over the entire experimental period.

Vegetable Species	Growth Period	Fertilization Time	Tillage Time	Irrigation Time	Pesticide	Greenhouse Setting
Amaranth	2012/4/12- 2012/7/10	2012/4/18	2014/4/16	2012/4/17	2014/4/16	2012/4/12- 2012/5/25
				2012/5/4		
				2012/5/8		
				2012/5/14		
Tung choy	2012/7/11- 2012/11/19	2012/7/10 2012/9/7	2012/7/9	2012/7/10	2012/7/9	
				2012/7/25		
				2012/8/15		
				2012/9/18		
				2012/9/25		
Baby bok choy	2012/11/2- 2013/3/27			2012/10/8		2012/11/20- 2013/2/24
				2012/12/9		
				2012/12/29		
				2013/1/15		
Coriander herb	2013/3/28- 2013/6/30	2013/3/27	2013/3/26	2013/2/1	2013/3/26	
				2013/3/27		
				2013/4/13		
Tung choy	2013/7/1- 2013/11/4	2013/7/2 2013/9/8	2013/7/1	2013/7/2	2013/7/1	
				2013/8/6		
				2013/8/15		
				2013/9/14		
Baby bok choy	2013/11/5- 2014/3/14	2013/11/4	2013/11/3	2013/11/4		2013/11/5- 2014/3/14
				2013/12/1		
				2013/12/18		
Amaranth	2014/3/15- 2014/6/12	2014/3/14	2014/3/13	2014/3/14	2014/3/13	2014/3/15- 2014/5/12
				2014/4/7		
				2014/4/23		

**Table 2**

Influence of biochar amendment on soil total nitrogen (TN), soil organic carbon (SOC), and soil pH in 6 different treatments over the entire experimental period. Values represent means $\pm$ SD (n=3).

Treatments	TN	SOC	pH
	(g N kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(g C kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
T0	1.90	15.6	5.52
CF-C0	1.46 $\pm$ 0.03 d	12.7 $\pm$ 0.6 c	5.27 $\pm$ 0.08 c
CP-C0	1.74 $\pm$ 0.12 c	12.8 $\pm$ 0.8 c	6.24 $\pm$ 0.08 a
CF-C1	2.65 $\pm$ 0.02 b	21.8 $\pm$ 0.2 b	3.64 $\pm$ 0.04 d
CP-C1	2.52 $\pm$ 0.16 b	23.2 $\pm$ 0.3 a	5.79 $\pm$ 0.05 b
CF-C2	2.91 $\pm$ 0.05 a	23.5 $\pm$ 0.3 a	3.68 $\pm$ 0.06 d
CP-C2	2.84 $\pm$ 0.12 a	23.1 $\pm$ 0.9 a	5.26 $\pm$ 0.08 c
<i>P</i>	***	***	***

T0 provides the initial soil condition prior to the experiments;

CF, compound fertilizer; CP, chlorinated pyridine, a mixture of urea and nitrapyrin;

C0, Biochar 0 t·ha<sup>-1</sup>; C1, Biochar 20 t·ha<sup>-1</sup>; C2, Biochar 40 t·ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Means  $\pm$  SD with different letters in the same column indicate significant differences between treatments according to Tukey's multiple range test ( $p<0.05$ ).

\*\*\*  $p<0.001$

*P* value: the index of differences between the control group and the experimental group. If  $p<0.05$  and  $p<0.01$ , significant differences exists between the control group and the experimental group

**Table 3**

Cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, vegetable yield and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions under different treatments over the entire experimental period.

Treatments	Amaranth	Tung choy	Baby bok choy	Coriander herb	Tung choy	Baby bok choy	Amaranth	Total rotation
	2012/4/18- 2012/7/10 (41d/83d)	2012/7/11- 2012/11/19 (100d/131d)	2012/11/20- 2013/3/27 (98d/127d)	2013/3/28- 2013/6/30 (62d/94d)	2013/7/1- 2013/11/4 (106d/126d)	2013/11/5- 2014/3/14 (67d/129d)	2014/3/15- 2014/6/12 (58d/89d)	(779d)
	(a) Cumulative N <sub>2</sub> O emissions (kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )							
CF-C0	9±0.8 a	17.7±0.9 ab	1.1 ± 0.7 a	4.1±1.2 a	16.5±2.8 a	0.8±0.2 ab	5.3±0.3 a	54.6±1.5 a
CP-C0	6.7±1.2 d	15.6±1.2 b	0.8 ± 0.1 b	2.7±0.5 a	6.5±1.4 c	0.6±0.1 c	3.8±1.6 a	37.1±4.4 d
CF-C1	9.3±0.5 ab	21.3±4.0 a	1.1 ± 0.1 ab	4.4±0.7 a	11.4±3.8 b	0.9±0.2 a	4.8±1.6 a	52.6±10.2 ab
CP-C1	8.3±0.6 c	16.1±0.4 b	0.9 ± 0.2 ab	3.9±1.9 a	9.6±2.3 bc	0.7±0.1 abc	4.7±1.6 a	43.9±5.8 bcd
CF-C2	9.4±0.7 bc	20.7±1.7 a	0.9 ± 0.2 ab	3.6±0.7 a	8.8±2.2 bc	0.7±0.1 abc	4.2±0.6 a	47.3±2.4 abc
CP-C2	8.6±0.9 b	15.7±2.2 b	0.9 ± 0.1 ab	3.1±0.4 a	7.6±0.6 bc	0.6±0.1 bc	3.9±0.8 a	39.8±3.5 cd
(b) Vegetable yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )								
CF-C0	11.1±1.8 c	83.1±12.4 c	10.2±3.6 d	18.6±1 bc	132.5±6 b	44.9±4.6 a	11.5±4.4 c	311.8±23.8 d
CP-C0	19.8±0.4 a	140.5±11.9 a	58.9±5.7 b	24.7±4.1 b	163.6±16.3 a	47.2±9.3 a	45.5±2 a	500.3±34.9 a
CF-C1	19.3±1.4 ab	95.2±3.9 c	39±8.1 c	25.8±5.2 b	131.9±14.1 b	49.9±6.1 a	16.1±4.4 c	377.2±23.4 c
CP-C1	23.3±0.9 a	123.7±12.6 ab	81±6.5 a	24.9±3.2 b	177.2±14.5 a	61.6±13.2 a	43.7±6.8 ab	535.4±16.7 a
CF-C2	14.6±5.7 bc	128.9±6.8 ab	57.8±11.4 b	12.6±2.6 c	172.2±4.9 a	43.1±17.3 a	17±9.3 c	446.2±36.1 b
CP-C2	19.5±2.9 ab	120.7±7.2 b	62.1±13.4 b	35.3±8.5 a	161.4±9.7 a	56.7±9.4 a	33.9±6.5 b	489.7±12.7 ab
(c) Yield-scaled N <sub>2</sub> O emissions (kg N <sub>2</sub> O-N t <sup>-1</sup> yield)								
CF-C0	0.814±0.082 a	0.216±0.031 a	0.135±0.105 a	0.218±0.062 ab	0.125±0.026 a	0.019±0.005 a	0.516±0.207 a	0.175±0.017 a
CP-C0	0.338±0.051 c	0.112±0.005 c	0.014±0.002 b	0.131±0.101 b	0.041±0.011 c	0.012±0.004 a	0.084±0.032 c	0.074±0.004 d

CF-C1	0.475±0.027 bc	0.225±0.048 a	0.029±0.01 b	0.176±0.057 ab	0.085±0.035 b	0.017±0.005 a	0.302±0.07 b	0.139±0.027 b
CP-C1	0.337±0.022 c	0.131±0.011 bc	0.029±0.002 b	0.167±0.089 ab	0.054±0.011 bc	0.012±0.027 a	0.114±0.051 bc	0.081±0.009 cd
CF-C2	0.659±0.317 ab	0.161±0.009 b	0.016±0.001 b	0.293±0.083 a	0.051±0.013 bc	0.019±0.011 a	0.302±0.154 b	0.106±0.009 c
CP-C2	0.417±0.065 bc	0.131±0.025 bc	0.015±0.006 b	0.089±0.019 b	0.047±0.005 c	0.011±0.004 a	0.019±0.019 bc	0.081±0.008 cd

See Table 2 for treatment codes. The total range of observation dates includes sampling that occurred during vegetable planting or not during vegetable planting. The values indicate (mean ± SD). Data in the brackets indicate the vegetable growing days/total days including the following fallow period for each vegetable crop in rotation. Different letters indicate a significant difference between treatments ( $p<0.05$ ).

**Table 4**

Two-way ANOVA for the effects of biochar (Bc) and nitrapyrin (CP) on the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, vegetable yield and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O over the entire experimental period.

Factors	DF	Cumulative N <sub>2</sub> O emissions			Vegetable yield			Yield-scaled N <sub>2</sub> O emissions		
		SS	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	SS	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	SS	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
Bc	2	66.28	1.21	0.3577	12977.7	9.58	<0.05	0.0029	6.63	<0.05
CP	1	570.09	19.29	<0.001	76098.8	111.36	<0.001	0.0171	78.04	<0.001
Bc×CP	2	86.83	1.47	0.2687	17542.7	12.95	<0.05	0.0044	10.13	<0.05
Model	5	723.21	4.89		106619.4	31.48		0.0244	22.31	
Error	12	354.67			8126.9			0.0026		

SS: sum of squares

F value: ratio of mean squares of 2 independent samples

*P* value: index of differences between the control group and the experimental group. If  $p < 0.05$  and  $p < 0.001$ , significant differences exists between the control group and the experimental group

**Figure 1** Dynamics of soil temperature (T) and WFPS across the experimental period (a). Box plots for soil temperature (b) and WFPS (c) in different vegetable crops.

The 1st to 7th mean different vegetable crops in rotation across the experimental period. Different letters indicate significant difference among all treatment medians ( $p < 0.05$ ). The plus mark in the box represents the medians of all data.

**Figure 2** Dynamics of soil  $N_2O$  emissions fluxes under different treatments in vegetable fields with 7 consecutive vegetable crops from 2012 to 2014 in southeastern China.

The solid and dashed arrows indicate basal fertilization and top-dressing, respectively. The dashed line separates the vegetable growing and fallow periods. The bars indicate the standard error of the mean (+SE) for the 3 replicates of each treatment. See Table 2 for treatment codes.

**Figure 3** Dynamics of the soil  $NH_4^+$ -N and  $NO_3^-$ -N concentrations within the 0–15 cm soils under different treatments in vegetable fields with 7 consecutive vegetable crops from 2012 to 2014 in southeastern China.

The solid and dashed arrows indicate basal fertilization and top-dressing, respectively. The dashed line separates different vegetable growth periods. See Table 2 for treatment codes.

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ ; n.s. not significant.

# The combined effects of nitrification inhibitor and biochar incorporation on yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from an intensively managed vegetable field in southeastern China

B. Li, C.H. Fan, Z.Q. Xiong\*, Q.L. Li, M. Zhang

5 Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Low Carbon Agriculture and GHGs Mitigation, College of Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, 210095, China

\*Corresponding author. Z.Q. Xiong\*

Tel: 86-25-84395148; fax: 86-25-84395210; E-mail address: [zqxiong@njau.edu.cn](mailto:zqxiong@njau.edu.cn)

**Abstract:** The influences of nitrification inhibitor (NI) and biochar incorporation on yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O in a vegetable field were studied using the static chamber method and gas chromatography. An experiment was conducted in an intensively managed vegetable field with 7 consecutive vegetable crops in 2012–2014 in southeastern China. With equal annual amounts of N (1217 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), 6 treatments under 3 biochar amendment rates, namely, 0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (C0), 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (C1), and 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (C2), with compound fertilizer (CF) or urea mixed with [NI of nitrapyrin](#) as chlorinated pyridine (CP), were studied in these field experiments. The results showed that although no significant influence on soil organic carbon (SOC) content or total nitrogen (TN), [nitrapyrin](#) could result in a significant increase in soil pH during the experimental period. [Nitrapyrin](#) significantly decreased cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by 15.9%–32.1% while increasing vegetable yield by 9.8%–41.9%. Thus, it also decreased yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions significantly. In addition to the differential responses of the soil pH, biochar amendment significantly increased SOC and TN. Additionally, compared with the treatments without biochar addition, cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions showed no significant difference in the CF or the CP group treatments but increased slightly (but not significantly) by 7.9%–18.3% in the CP group treatments. Vegetable yield was enhanced by 7.1%–49.5% compared with the treatments without biochar amendment, and the yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were thus decreased significantly. Furthermore, treatments applied with [nitrapyrin](#) and biochar incorporation slightly increased yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by 9.4%, on average, compared with CP-C0. Therefore, the incorporation of [nitrapyrin](#) could serve as an appropriate practice for increasing vegetable yield and mitigating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in intensively managed vegetable fields and should be further examined in various agroecosystems.

删除的内容: .3

删除的内容: as NI

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

# 1 Introduction

Nitrous oxide ( $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) is an important greenhouse gas and also contributes to ozone depletion in the stratosphere (IPCC, 2007; Saggar et al., 2007; Ravishankara et al., 2009).  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions increased from 10 Tg to 12 Tg  $\text{N}_2\text{O-N yr}^{-1}$  between 1900 and 2000 and may reach 16 Tg  $\text{N}_2\text{O-N yr}^{-1}$  by 2050 (Bouwman et al., 2013). The increase in nitrogen (N) fertilizer application in agricultural ecosystems has been recognized as a major source of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , representing approximately 60% of the global anthropogenic emission rates in 2005 (Smith et al., 2007; Park et al., 2012). Emissions from soils increase markedly following the application of N fertilizers and animal manures for the purpose of increasing crop production (Mosier et al., 1998; Davidson, 2009).

Increasing grain yields is a boundary condition for ‘greening’ Chinese agriculture in light of the increasing food demand in China. The high N fertilizer application rate contributes to high crop yields (Qin et al., 2010) but, inevitably, also to high  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions (Ding et al., 2007) and nitrate leaching (Li et al., 2007). To minimize the overall greenhouse gas (GHG) impact of agriculture while increasing crop production, the amount of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emitted per unit of crop production (yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions) needs to be considered (Van Groenigen et al., 2010). The yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions approach has been suggested as a more comprehensive index to assess  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions in agricultural ecosystems (Van Groenigen et al., 2010; Grassini and Cassman, 2012). This approach is attractive in view of the need to balance crop production with the mitigation of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions from agriculture. Only a few studies have directly addressed yield-scaled emissions in agriculture cropping systems (Halvorson et al., 2010; Wei et al., 2010; Gagnon et al., 2011; [Zhou et al., 2014](#)), particularly intensively managed vegetable systems.

The area from which vegetables are harvested in China represents approximately 45% of the world total (FAOSTAT, 2009). In China, the area devoted to vegetable crops has increased from 3.33 million ha in 1976 to 24.8 million ha in 2006, representing 14.5% of the total cropland in China (FAO, 2011). Moreover, intensively managed vegetable cultivation represents a major source of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions in the agricultural sector in China (Zheng et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2011) as a result of the practical characteristics of high N fertilization, intensive irrigation and favorable environmental conditions that are associated with this type of agriculture. Previous studies have shown that annual N fertilizer inputs are extremely high for certain intensively managed vegetable fields (Ju et al., 2006;



Xiong et al., 2006; He et al., 2009). These levels are almost 3–4 times the fertilizer levels used for non-vegetable crops in fields where 2 crops are grown per year (Zheng et al., 2004). As a consequence, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from N fertilizer in vegetable ecosystems represent 20% (Zheng et al., 2004) or 21.4% (Wang et al., 2011) of the total emissions from China's farmland.

Alternative practices that could reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions without necessarily reducing N inputs or crop yields have also been considered, such as nitrification inhibitor (NI) application (Zaman et al., 2009; Ji et al., 2011) and biochar amendment (Zhang et al., 2010; Jia et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013) in agricultural soils. NIs have been used in the field to improve the efficiency of fertilizers and to reduce both nitrate leaching and denitrification by maintaining the N in the soil as NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (Majumdar et al., 2000; Pathak and Nedwell, 2001; Malla et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2010), thus mitigating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and increasing the crop yield from the agricultural ecosystem. A newly developed NI of nitrapyrin, namely, 2-chloro-6-(trichloromethyl) pyridine (CP), produced by chlorinating 2-methylpyridine, has been used in agricultural ecosystems to mitigate GHG emissions and simultaneously increase crop yield (Ma et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2013). Biochar amendment of soils is currently being considered as a means of mitigating climate change by sequestering carbon (C) while concurrently improving soil properties and functions (Lehmann, 2007; Woolf et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012a). However, the effects of biochar amendment induced by biochar addition on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions may be either positive or negative depending on the inherent characteristics of biochar, the addition of exogenous N and the soil water regime (Spokas and Reicosky, 2009; Xie et al., 2013; Cayuela et al., 2013, 2014). The soil pH of intensively managed vegetable fields has been found to be much lower than that of other agricultural ecosystems due to the input of large amounts of N. Most likely, this lower soil pH will cause a negative effect if biochar amendment is used to mitigate N<sub>2</sub>O emissions because it would affect activity of N<sub>2</sub>O reductase in soil (Cayuela et al., 2014). Overall, based on previous results, both nitrapyrin application and biochar amendment could serve to decrease yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in various agriculture ecosystems. However, information for investigating the combined effects of nitrapyrin application and biochar amendment incorporation on yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O in intensively managed vegetable agriculture is limited.

Accordingly, we quantified the effect of nitrapyrin application and biochar amendment incorporation on yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in intensively managed vegetable agriculture in southeastern China. The objective of the study was to find appropriate practices for increasing

删除的内容: chlorinated pyridine (CP),

删除的内容: 6-chloro-2-trichloromethylpyridine

删除的内容: ; Cayuela et al.

带格式的: 下标

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: estimated

删除的内容: CP

vegetable yield and mitigating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from intensively managed vegetable fields.

## 2 Materials and methods

### 2.1 Experiment site and biochar properties

A field experiment was conducted at a suburban site (31°59'N, 118°51'E) in Nanjing City, Jiangsu Province, China, from 2012 to 2014. This area has a subtropical monsoon climate with an annual mean rainfall of 1,107 mm and an annual mean air temperature of 15.34°C ([Nanjing Meteorology](#)). The selected site had been conventionally continuously cultivated with vegetables for approximately 10 years and is a typical vegetable field. The studied soil was classified as Fimi-Orthic Anthrosols (RGCSST, 2001), with a bulk density of 1.2 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, a total porosity of 51%, a clay (<0.002 mm diameter) fraction of 30.1%, a silt (0.002–0.02 mm diameter) fraction of 64.7% and a sand (0.02–2 mm diameter) fraction of 5.2%. The main properties of this soil are as follows: pH, 5.52; total N, 1.90 g kg<sup>-1</sup>; organic carbon, 15.6 g C kg<sup>-1</sup>; and CEC (cation exchange capacity), 31.2 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup>.

For the field experiment, biochar was produced from wheat straw at the Sanli New Energy Company in Henan, China by pyrolysis and thermal decomposition at 400 °C. The biochar had a carbon content of 467 g C kg<sup>-1</sup> and an N content of 5.9 g N kg<sup>-1</sup>. The initial values of pH, CEC and ash content were 9.4, 24.1 cmol kg<sup>-1</sup> and 20.8%, respectively.

### 2.2 Treatments and vegetable management

[There are](#) 6 treatments with the same amount of total N<sub>2</sub> in triplicate for 7 consecutive vegetable crops from Apr. 12, 2012 to Jun. 12, 2014 in Nanjing, China. Each plot had an area of 7.5 m<sup>2</sup> and measured 3 m × 2.5 m. Biochar was applied at rates of 0, 20 and 40 t ha<sup>-1</sup> (C0, C1 and C2, respectively) with compound fertilizer (CF) or urea fertilizer mixed with [nitrapyrin](#) (CP). All treatments received the same amount of N fertilizer based on the local practices during the experimental period. The total N application rate for each treatment was equal, 121.7 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> across the experimental period, of which 312.5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for Amaranth (*Amaranthus mangostanus* L.) and Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.), 600 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied for Tung choy (*Ipomoea aquatica* Forssk.) and 250 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> for Baby bok choy (*Brassica chinensis* L.). Compound fertilizer with an m(N):m(P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>):m(K<sub>2</sub>O) ratio of 15:15:15 was used for the CF group treatments [and the N form of the compound fertilizer is ammonium fertilizer](#), while the corresponding P and K fertilizers were broadcast in the form of calcium phosphate and potassium chloride, respectively, in addition to the [nitrapyrin](#) urea

删除的内容: were applied

删除的内容: CP

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

删除的内容: .3

删除的内容: CP

for the CP group treatments. All fertilization occurred before transplanting and as the base fertilizer for each vegetable crop except for Tung choy, which had 312.6 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal fertilization and 287.4 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as top-dressing according to the local farmers' practice. Additionally, both P and K fertilizers for the CP group treatments were applied as top-dressing for the Tung choy growing period as well.

Biochar was added once to the vegetable fields before sowing of the first vegetable crop (Amaranth) on Apr. 8, 2012 and was incorporated with the soil by hand plowing at a depth of 20 cm.

~~There were~~ 7 vegetable crops grown successively during the entire observation period (Apr. 12, 2012 to Jun. 12, 2014). Each type of vegetable was seeded by hand and harvested at the appropriate mature stage according to the local farmers' practice. Furthermore, a short fallow period was imposed after fresh biomass was harvested from each vegetable crop. Soon after harvesting each vegetable crop, the field was tilled to a depth of approximately 12–15 cm. A protective plastic film was used to cover the crops according to the growth requirements of each vegetable crop, i.e., from Apr. 12, 2012 to May

25, 2012 ~~and Mar. 15, 2014 to May 12, 2014~~ for Amaranth and from Nov. 20, 2012, to ~~Feb. 24, 2013~~ and Nov. 5, 2013 to Mar. ~~14~~, 2014 for Baby bok choy, as Amaranth and Baby bok choy require relatively warm weather conditions for growth. All the other management procedures, including crop species, tillage, irrigation, and pesticide, followed the local farmers' practices ~~are presented in Table 1.~~

### 2.3 Measurements of N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes, soil samples and environmental factors

A static opaque chamber method was used to collect air samples from the experimental sites from 3 replicates for each treatment. Each chamber was made of PVC and consisted of a chamber body (50×50×50 cm<sup>3</sup>). The outside of the chamber was coated with sponge and aluminum foil to prevent the effects of high temperatures on the chamber. The chamber was installed on a ~~frame~~. The ~~frames~~ were inserted 0.1 m deep in the soil in each plot and filled with water to make the chamber gas-tight.

Sampling was conducted between 8:30 and 10:30 in the morning every other day for 1 week after fertilizer application and then once per week thereafter. Gas fluxes were measured on 121 occasions over the 2-year period. On each sampling occasion, air samples were taken 0, 10, 20 and 30 min after chamber closure. The samples, collected in 20-mL syringes, were returned to the laboratory, and the N<sub>2</sub>O was determined on the same day with a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A, Agilent Ltd, Shanghai, China) equipped with an electron capture detector (ECD). The carrier gas was argon-methane (5%) at a flow rate of 40 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The column and ECD temperature were maintained at 40 °C and 300 °C, respectively. Concentrations of N<sub>2</sub>O were quantified by comparing the peak area

删除的内容: are

删除的内容: were

删除的内容: May

删除的内容: 25

删除的内容: 2012

删除的内容: 15

删除的内容: and weed control,

删除的内容: channel

删除的内容: channel

with those of reference gases (Nanjing special gas factory, Nanjing, China). N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were calculated by using the linear increases in gas concentration with time. The mean flux for 1 vegetable crop was calculated as the average of all measured fluxes. The measured fluxes were weighted by the interval between 2 measurements (Xiong et al., 2006). The cumulative seasonal N<sub>2</sub>O was calculated as the product of the mean flux and the seasonal duration.

Except for the soil that was analyzed immediately before the experiment in April 2012, another batch of soil samples for each treatment was collected on Jun. 12, 2014 and stored at -20 °C for laboratory analysis. In accordance with Lu (2000), the soil texture was measured using pipette analysis, the total soil organic carbon (SOC) was analyzed by wet digestion with H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>-K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, and the TN was determined by semi-micro Kjeldahl digestion using Se, CuSO<sub>4</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> as catalysts. The soil pH was measured at a volume ratio of 1:2.5 (soil to water ratio) using a PHS-3C mv/pH detector (Shanghai, China). The soil temperature was measured at a depth of 15 cm beneath the collection point when the gas samples were collected.

Simultaneously with the determination of the trace gas fluxes, soil sampling at 0–15 cm depth was conducted for the determination of soil mineral N and soil water content. Soil mineral N was determined at approximately 7–15 days interval. The soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N were extracted by shaking for 1 h on a rotary shaker with 2 mol L<sup>-1</sup> KCl solution. According to Lu (2000), soil NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N contents were measured following the two wavelength ultraviolet spectrometry and indophenol blue methods, respectively, using an ultraviolet spectrophotometer (HITACHI, U-2900, Japan). The soil moisture content obtained by oven drying was converted to water-filled pore space (WFPS) using the following equation:

$$\text{WFPS} = \text{volumetric water content (cm}^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}) / \text{total soil porosity (cm}^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}). \quad (1)$$

Here, total soil porosity = [1 – (soil bulk density (g cm<sup>-3</sup>) / 2.65)] with an assumed soil particle density of 2.65 (g cm<sup>-3</sup>). The total soil bulk density was determined with the cutting ring method to 0–10 cm depth according to Lu (2000).

#### 2.4 Estimation of vegetable yields and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

The fresh vegetable yields were measured after each vegetable growth period by weighing all of the above-ground vegetable parts that were grown in each plot.

The yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were related to crop yield as in Van Groenigen et al. (2010) and Grassini and Cassman (2012) and were calculated as follows:

删除的内容: within 2–5 days

删除的内容: in closed bags

删除的内容: of the plow layer

删除的内容: (

删除的内容: )

删除的内容: soil water content and

删除的内容: s

删除的内容: ammonium (

带格式的: 下标

带格式的: 上标

删除的内容: )

删除的内容: nitrate (

带格式的: 下标

删除的内容: )

带格式的: 上标

带格式的: 下标

带格式的: 上标

带格式的: 下标

带格式的: 上标

删除的内容: The s

删除的内容: Soil water content was determined from soil samples collected at a depth of 10 cm. These samples were collected from all treatments on each gas sampling date. The samples were dried at 105 °C for 8 hours and weighed.

带格式的

删除的内容: -

Yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions = Cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions / vegetable yield (kg N<sub>2</sub>O-N t<sup>-1</sup> yield).

带格式的: 英语(美国)

(2)

## 2.5 Data processing and statistics

The values presented are given as arithmetic means ± standard error (SE). All figures in this study were plotted in Microsoft Excel 2003. Significant differences on soil temperature and WFPS among different vegetable crops were determined by the nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test. A 2-way ANOVA was used to analyze the effects of nitrapyrin, biochar and their interactions on vegetable yield, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions throughout the experimental period. A Tukey's multiple range tests was used to determine if significant differences occurred between the treatment means at a significance level of 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using JMP, ver. 7.0 (SAS Institute, USA, 2007).

删除的内容: CP

## 3 Results

### 3.1 Soil properties and soil microclimate

Nitrapyrin had no significant influence on TN or SOC during the experimental period. However, significant increases in soil TN were observed in treatments with biochar amendments (Table 2,  $p < 0.001$ ). Compared with the treatments without biochar amendments, soil TN was increased by 81.5%–99.3% and 44.8%–63.2% for the CF and CP group treatments, respectively. Similar to soil TN, SOC increased significantly in the treatments with biochar amendment (Table 2,  $p < 0.001$ ). SOC was enhanced by 66.9%–85.1% and 80.4%–81.3% for the CF and CP group treatments, respectively, compared with the treatments without biochar amendment. Moreover, nitrapyrin increased soil pH significantly by 0.97–2.15 units compared with the treatments without nitrapyrin ( $p < 0.001$ ), and biochar amendment decreased soil pH significantly by 1.59–1.63 units and 0.45–0.98 units for the CF and CP group treatments (Table 2,  $p < 0.001$ ), respectively. Significant interactions between nitrapyrin and biochar were observed to affect soil TN ( $p < 0.05$ ) and soil pH ( $p < 0.001$ ) throughout the intensive agriculture experimental period.

删除的内容: To analyze the soil properties, soil samples were collected at the end of the field experiment under the different treatments. The results of this analysis are presented in Table 1.

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: 1

删除的内容: ly

删除的内容: 1

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: 18.4%–59.1%

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: 30.2%–30.9%

删除的内容: 7.2%–15.7%

删除的内容: 1

删除的内容: CP

No statistical differences in WFPS and soil temperature were detected among all the treatments over the whole experimental period (data not shown). Dynamic variation of soil temperature was detected with seasonal change of outside temperature although plastic film was set sometimes in low-temperature seasons in the vegetable field. As shown in Figure 1a and 1b, significant differences were found between different vegetable crops, with high temperature in summer and low in winter

season ( $p<0.001$ ). Moreover, soil WFPS rates ranged from 31.9–76.0% across all the experimental period. No significant difference on WFPS rates were detected among vegetable crops (Fig. 1c).

### 3.2 Dynamics of $N_2O$ fluxes and soil $NH_4^+-N$ and $NO_3^- -N$ content

The dynamics of  $N_2O$  fluxes from all the treatments across the 7 vegetable growth crops are shown in Figure 2. The pattern of these fluxes was relatively consistent and was sporadic and pulse-like.  $N_2O$  fluxes showed a similar trend during the same period in both years. Following basal fertilization, tillage and irrigation,  $N_2O$  emissions ranged from 17–3406  $\mu g N m^{-2} h^{-1}$  were observed in all treatments across the experimental period.  $N_2O$  emissions primarily occurred with the increase in soil temperature during the summer, from May to October. However, as shown in Figure 2d and Figure 2g, no significant  $N_2O$  peaks were found in certain vegetable crops to which basal or top-dressing fertilization was added because of the low soil temperature after fertilization in the vegetable field.

Soil  $NH_4^+-N$  and  $NO_3^- -N$  contents ranged from 58.0–413.9  $mg N kg^{-1}$  and 36.4–279.1  $mg N kg^{-1}$  across the 7 vegetable crops growth period, respectively as shown in Figure 3. The fertilization events considerably increased soil inorganic N ( $NO_3^- + NH_4^+$ ) content. As the nitrapyrin limited the nitrification process, significant higher soil  $NH_4^+-N$  contents were observed for the treatments with nitrapyrin compared to the treatments with compound fertilizer at the same N rate (Fig. 3a). In contrast to the  $NH_4^+-N$ , a significant lower content of soil  $NO_3^- -N$  was observed in the plots applied with nitrapyrin (Fig. 3b). In addition, no significant effects of biochar were observed to affect soil  $NH_4^+-N$  and  $NO_3^- -N$  contents throughout the intensive vegetable growth period (Fig. 3).

### 3.3 Cumulative $N_2O$ emissions, vegetable yield and yield-scaled $N_2O$ emissions

Cumulative  $N_2O$  emissions for each treatment across the entire experimental period are shown in Table 3a. These emissions varied widely among different crops during the individual vegetable crop-growing season. Additionally, the cumulative  $N_2O$  emissions showed significant differences among all the treatments. The greatest  $N_2O-N$  flux was observed in the CF-C0 treatment ( $54.6\pm1.5 kg N ha^{-1}$ ), whereas the lowest flux was in the CP-C0 treatment ( $37.1\pm4.4 kg N ha^{-1}$ ). As shown in Table 3a and Table 4 significant decreases in cumulative  $N_2O$  emissions were detected in the treatments with nitrapyrin ( $p<0.001$ ). The decreases ranged from 15.9%–32.1% compared with the treatments without NI application ( $p<0.001$ ). In contrast, biochar amendment had no significant influence on cumulative  $N_2O$  emissions in both CF and CP group treatments. However, as shown in Table 3a, slight increases in

删除的内容: 3

删除的内容: 4

删除的内容:  $N_2O$  emissions

带格式的: 英语(美国)

删除的内容: .2

删除的内容: 5.9

删除的内容: Temporal variations of the soil  $NH_4^+-N$  and  $NO_3^- -N$  content from all the treatments across the 7 vegetable growth crops are shown in Figure 3.

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 缩进: 首行缩进: 2 字符

删除的内容: across the experimental

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

删除的内容: in vegetable soil

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

删除的内容: applied

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

删除的内容: interaction

删除的内容: between nitrapyrin

删除的内容: amendment

删除的内容: as

删除的内容: experimental

带格式的

带格式的: 下标

删除的内容: V

cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were observed. These increases were 7.9% and 18.3% for CP-C1 and CP-C2, respectively, but were not significant compared with CP-C0. Furthermore, no significant interaction between nitrapyrin and biochar amendment was observed to affect cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions throughout the intensive vegetable experimental period (Table 4).

5 Table 3b shows details of the fresh weight for each vegetable crop. The highest fresh weight yield for the 7 consecutive vegetable crops was  $535.4 \pm 16.7 \times 10^3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$  for CP-C1, an increase of 71.7% compared with CF-C0. Both nitrapyrin application ( $p < 0.001$ ) and biochar amendment ( $p < 0.05$ ) significantly increased the yield in the intensively managed vegetable system over the experimental period. As shown in Table 3b, NI significantly increased vegetable yield by 9.8%–41.9% while biochar amendment also resulted in significant increases of 7.1%–49.5% in vegetable yield, compared with the treatments without nitrapyrin or biochar, respectively. Moreover, significant interactions between nitrapyrin and biochar amendment were observed to affect vegetable yield throughout the intensive vegetable experimental period (Table 4,  $p < 0.05$ ).

带格式的：缩进：首行缩进：2 字符

删除的内容：CP

删除的内容：CP

删除的内容：nor

删除的内容：CP

删除的内容：2

Table 3c shows the yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, which were related to the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and the fresh weight yield ranged from  $0.074 \pm 0.004$  to  $0.175 \pm 0.017 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N t}^{-1}$  yield over the entire experimental period. The lowest value of yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions was  $0.074 \pm 0.004 \text{ kg N}_2\text{O-N t}^{-1}$  yield for CP-C0, namely, the treatment with nitrapyrin application and without biochar amendment. Both nitrapyrin application ( $p < 0.001$ ) and biochar amendment ( $p < 0.05$ ) significantly decreased the yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the entire experimental period. Furthermore, significant interactions between nitrapyrin and biochar were observed to affect yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions throughout the experimental period (Table 4,  $p < 0.05$ ).

删除的内容：,

删除的内容：and t

删除的内容：CP

删除的内容：CP

删除的内容：CP

删除的内容：2

## 4 Discussion

4.1 Effects of nitrapyrin as nitrification inhibitor on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and vegetable yield

带格式的：字体：小四

删除的内容：CP

25 N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are directly related to the amount of mineral N available in the soil. A two-way ANOVA indicated that seasonal N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the vegetable-growing periods were significantly affected by nitrapyrin application (Table 4,  $p < 0.001$ ), in agreement with previous results (Ma et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2013). Different types of NI that were effective in reducing N<sub>2</sub>O have been reported by previous studies (Xu et al., 2000; Boeckx et al., 2005; Zaman et al., 2008, 2009; Zaman and Blennerhassett,

删除的内容：CP

删除的内容：2



2010). Nitrapyrin could efficiently inhibit the activity of the ammonia oxidase and reducing the abundance of nirK gene in vegetable soil, and thus inhibit the nitrification process while regulating the  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  content of soil (Fig. 3), which is highly related to mitigating  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions (Di et al. 2009, 2014). Moreover, nitrapyrin application in vegetable soil resulted in a significant increase in soil pH though insignificant in soil TN and SOC across the 7 consecutive vegetable growing periods (Table 2,  $p < 0.001$ ). The pH increase effect may have been a result of the production of hydroxyl ( $\text{OH}^-$ ) ions during urea hydrolysis (De Boer and Kowalchuk, 2011). Similarly, Zaman et al. (2008) have reported a low rise in soil pH after applying Agrotain-treated urea to pasture soil. A laboratory incubation of DCD decomposition also showed a soil pH increase with the addition of urine with or without DCD (Singh et al., 2008). Zhu et al. (2011) reported a negative correlation between soil pH and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rate in vegetable soils, which may indicate that the increasing of soil pH is another factor mitigating  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions.

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: (Di et al. 2009, 2014)

删除的内容: mitigate

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: 1

A previous study has shown that N fertilizers combined with NI such as DCD and DMPP may improve the yield and quality of agricultural and horticultural crops (Pasda et al., 2001). Similarly, nitrapyrin produced a significant increase in vegetable yield in our study across the experimental period (Table 3b, Table 4,  $p < 0.001$ ). Possible explanations for higher crop yields obtained with  $\text{NH}_4^+$ -containing fertilizers supplemented with nitrapyrin (Fig. 3a) include the reduction of N losses by leaching and volatilization and the improved bio-availability of N in vegetable soil resulting from the added nitrapyrin. Ma et al. (2013) reported that 2 types of NIs (nitrapyrin and DCD) increased average wheat yield by 9.7% under conventional and no-till practices during the winter wheat-growing season. In addition, the uptake rates of the inorganic N would increase due to the increasing effect of nitrapyrin on soil pH in vegetable field (Jampeetong et al., 2013).

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: Table 2, Table 3b

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

带格式的: 字体: (默认) Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清除

带格式的: 字体: (默认) Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清除

带格式的: 字体: (默认) Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清除

带格式的: 字体: (默认) Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清除

删除的内容: 2

删除的内容: 3a

## 4.2 Effects of biochar on $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emissions and vegetable yield

As shown in Table 3a and Table 4, biochar had no significant influence on cumulative  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  during the experimental period. Decreases in the net emissions of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from certain agricultural ecosystems as a result of soil amendment with biochar have been well documented by previous studies (Cayuela et al., 2014; Felber et al., 2014). Additionally, a meta-analysis of 30 papers (published from 2007 to 2013) by Cayuela et al. (2014) found that soil  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions, which were affected by biochar characteristics, soil characteristics and N fertilizer type, were reduced by 54% in both laboratory and field studies.



However, biochar amendment had no significant influence on cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the experimental period and even slightly increased cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O by 7.9%–18.3% in the CP group treatments (Table 3a, Table 4). Thus, the mitigating effect of biochar amendment on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions did

删除的内容: 2

删除的内容: 3a

not work in the intensively managed vegetable field in this study, which is in consistent with previous short-term laboratory incubation results in acidic soils (Yuan and Xu, 2011; Wang et al., 2014). This finding may firstly be due to the decrease in soil pH in the treatments amended with biochar (Table 2).

删除的内容: 1

In contrast with the significant increase in soil pH due to the liming effect of biochar generally reported in previous studies (Biederman and Harpole 2012, Zhang et al., 2010), soil pH decreased significantly in the plots amended with biochar (Table 2,  $p < 0.001$ ). Luo et al (2011) reported that biochar with low

删除的内容: 1

pyrolysis temperature had more water extractable organic carbon. Although considered to be stable in soil, biochar brings extra carbon source for heterotrophic nitrification process, which may also cause an

删除的内容: Biochar brings extra carbon source to provide the energy for heterotrophic nitrification process though biochar was considered to be stable in soil,

increase in the H<sup>+</sup> content due to nitrification processes in the soil (Schmidt, 1982; De Boer and Kowalchuk, 2001; Wrage et al., 2001) and thus decrease the soil pH significantly. In addition, the decrease in soil pH may, most likely, be attributed to the weathering effect of biochar after 2 years of

删除的内容: the

incorporation into fields (Jones et al., 2012; Spokas, 2013), particularly in intensively managed vegetable fields. Yao et al. (2010) reported that the pH of biochar samples decreased from 8.4 to 7.5, primarily due to the loss of base cations through leaching and probable carbonation during the

删除的内容: to provide the more energy

删除的内容: primarily

weathering process, and biochar offers the practical benefits of high N fertilization input and may also be weathered more easily in vegetable soils. Cayuela et al. (2014) also reported that the effectiveness of biochar application on mitigating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions was significant in neutral and alkaline soils but not in

acidic soils with pH < 5, which probably due to that low soil pH may result in adversely affecting the activity of N<sub>2</sub>O reductase in vegetable field (Liu et al., 2010). The decrease in soil pH may also

删除的内容: .

删除的内容: Low

increase the heterotrophic nitrification rate, which may cause the increase of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in vegetable field (Zhu et al., 2011) though heterotrophic nitrification is generally considered to be a

删除的内容: result in adversely affect the activity

删除的内容: nitrous oxide

minor source of N<sub>2</sub>O (Anderson et al., 1993). Moreover, Sánchez-García et al. (2014) found that biochar increased cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the soil when ammonia oxidation and

带格式的: 下标

删除的内容: that biochar

nitrifier-denitrification (ND) were the major processes generating N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, whereas it decreased N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the soil when denitrification is the main pathway leading to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions under the

删除的内容: re

删除的内容: being

same experimental conditions. Vegetable field had a high amount of N input and may expect ammonia oxidation and linked ND being important processes generating N<sub>2</sub>O (Wrage et al., 2001; Huang et al.,

删除的内容: and

删除的内容: re

2014).

Biochar amendment significantly increased SOC and soil TN (Table 2,  $p<0.001$ ) and thus significantly improved vegetable yield compared with the treatments that received no biochar addition

(Table 4,  $p<0.05$ ), which agree well with previous reported benefit of adding biochar to soils (Major et

al. 2010; Jia et al., 2012). In our study, SOC increased significantly by 66.9%–85.1% in the treatments amended with biochar over the experimental period (Table 2,  $p<0.001$ ). This result is in consistent with

the finding that average SOC increased by 61% due to biochar addition, reported in a meta-analysis by Biederman and Harpole (2012). Biochar amendment significantly increased SOC, most likely due to its inert recalcitrant C component, which can contribute to soil carbon sequestration, at least over periods

of decades to millions of years (Kuzyakov et al., 2009, 2014; Lehmann et al., 2011). Additionally,

biochar amendment also significantly increased soil TN (Table 2,  $p<0.001$ ), which is in consistent with previous study in paddy rice (Zhang et al., 2012b). Most likely, this difference in soil TN is probably

due to the release of N in soil from the biochar (Singh et al., 2010; Schouten et al., 2012). The N content of the biochar used in our experiment was 5.9 g N kg<sup>-1</sup>, and this potential N source may also

have increased the soil TN. Additionally, the amendment of biochar would offer a further opportunity to achieve N fertilizer savings in vegetable soil, which may have resulted in an increase of soil TN due

to the higher inorganic N absorption effects, as seen in comparison with treatments without biochar amendment in an intensively managed vegetable field (Ding et al. 2010). Furthermore, vegetable yield

enhancement effect of biochar may also be associated with increases in root exudation in the plots amended with biochar (Gregory, 2006). Biochar amendment in agricultural soil may stimulate

microbial activity, resulting in nutrient release (Steinbeiss et al., 2009), reducing nutrient leaching (Laird et al., 2010), and improving crop nutrient availability and plant N uptake (Saarnio et al., 2013) in

the intensively managed vegetable field. Moreover, as shown in Table 3b, a significant difference in vegetable yield among the treatments was found under different biochar application rates with

compound N fertilization (CF-C0, CF-C1 and CF-C2), in agreement with the results reported by Jeffery et al. (2011) although the plots amended with biochar showed a significantly lower soil pH (Table 2,

$p<0.001$ ).

#### 4.3 The combined effects of nitrapyrin and biochar incorporation on yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions

Analyzing N<sub>2</sub>O emissions on a yield basis provides interesting information for estimating the

删除的内容: 1

删除的内容: 2

删除的内容: 1

删除的内容: 1

删除的内容: a

删除的内容: paddy

删除的内容: 1

带格式的: 字体: 小四

删除的内容: CP

environmental impacts of intensive agricultural production systems. As shown in Table 3c, yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions ranged from  $0.074 \pm 0.004$ – $0.175 \pm 0.017$  kg  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ –N  $\text{t}^{-1}$  yield, much lower than previously reported values (Van Groenigen et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2013). Ordinarily, vegetable crops require higher N application rates than staple food crops such as rice, wheat and maize (Li and Wang, 2007). Moreover, the leafy vegetables in this study differed from other crops and all aboveground portions of the vegetable plants were considered as the yield, resulting in low values of yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  in our vegetable field.

Overall, nitrapyrin significantly decreased yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions across the experimental period (Table 3c, Table 4,  $p < 0.001$ ), attributing to the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  reducing and vegetable increasing effects of nitrapyrin in intensively managed vegetable field. Our results indicate that the yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions were minimal in the CP-C0 treatment ( $0.074 \pm 0.004$  kg  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ –N  $\text{t}^{-1}$  yield). This treatment showed the lowest cumulative  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions ( $37.1 \pm 4.4$  kg N  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) and the second highest vegetable yield ( $500.3 \pm 34.9$  t  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ). Under the application of equal amounts of N, nitrapyrin application was a more efficient way to reduce the yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions in our case. This approach may significantly improve vegetable yield while causing a decrease in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions and, thus, improved agronomic N use efficiency (NUE) in intensively managed vegetable agriculture (Li et al., 2007; Asing et al., 2008). Thus, nitrapyrin application without biochar amendment (CP-C0) can serve as an appropriate way of mitigating  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions while increasing vegetable yield in intensively managed vegetable agriculture.

Biochar amendment significantly decreased the yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions across the experimental period (Table 3c, Table 4,  $p < 0.05$ ), mainly due to the increasing effect of vegetable yield with biochar. Obvious interactions in yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions were observed between nitrapyrin and biochar addition (Table 4,  $p < 0.05$ ). Significant interactions in yield were observed though no significant differences in vegetable yield were found among different combinations of nitrapyrin and biochar as CP-C0, CP-C1 and CP-C2 (Table 3b), indicating biochar incorporation rate did not increase vegetable yield when combined with nitrapyrin application. Most likely, the vegetable yields were relatively high compared with other ecosystems although both nitrapyrin and biochar separately can significantly increase vegetable yield.

However, no significant interactions between nitrapyrin and biochar in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions were observed in the current study. Treatments with nitrapyrin and biochar incorporation slightly increased

删除的内容: Venterea et al. (2011) observed that grain N yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions ranged between 4 and 8 kg  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ –N  $\text{t}^{-1}$  N and that aboveground N yield-scaled  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions ranged between 2 and 7 kg  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ –N  $\text{t}^{-1}$  N in a corn-soybean rotation in Rosemount, USA, with a fertilizer N input of 146 kg N  $\text{ha}^{-1}$   $\text{yr}^{-1}$ .

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: 2

删除的内容: 3c

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: 2

删除的内容: 3c

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: 2

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O by 7.9%–18.3%, but not significantly (Table 3a, Table 4), which may probably due to the reason that biochar be able to diminish the mitigating effect of nitrapyrin by affecting the N transformation processes in vegetable soil (Fig. 3). Di et al. (2014) reported that DCD was highly effective in inhibiting the growth of AOB communities, and reducing N<sub>2</sub>O emissions under high soil moisture, whereas Yanai et al., (2007) found that when the soils were rewetted at 83% WFPS, the suppressive effects of charcoal addition on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were not observed. Thus, biochar addition diminished the mitigation effect of nitrapyrin on cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in vegetable soil under the high WFPS condition due to frequent irrigations (Fig. 1). Additionally, biochar would increase the heterotrophic nitrification rate in the treatments applied with both nitrapyrin and biochar (CP-C1 and CP-C2) compared with CP-C0 treatment, and thus increase the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in vegetable field. Since we did not measure the individual N transformation process responding to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, the combined effects of NI and biochar incorporation on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in intensively managed vegetable fields need further study.

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

## 5 Conclusions

Yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were significantly affected by both nitrapyrin application and biochar amendment in intensively managed vegetable agriculture. Throughout the experimental period, although significant influences on soil TN and SOC were not found, nitrapyrin application significantly increased soil pH and vegetable yield while significantly decreasing cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in the intensively managed vegetable field, therefore causing a significant decrease in yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions over the experimental period. Moreover, biochar amendment significantly increased soil TN, SOC and vegetable yield but had no significant influence on cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, whereas this amendment significantly decreased soil pH and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. Nitrapyrin and biochar incorporation into vegetable soil slightly increased yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions during the experimental period. Yield gains were the most important factor for lower yield-scale N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in our case compared with previous studies. Overall, taking environmental and economic benefits into consideration, nitrapyrin application in the vegetable field was the best procedure for reducing the yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. The long-term combined effects of nitrapyrin applications and biochar amendment and their underlying mechanisms on N transformation processes in intensively managed vegetable agriculture should be further studied.

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: n

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: CP

删除的内容: NI

## Acknowledgments

[We sincerely appreciate the anonymous reviewer for his critical and valuable comments to help](#)

[improve this manuscript.](#) This work was supported by [the Ministry of Science and Technology](#)

(2013BAD11B01), [the National Science Foundation of China \(41171238\)](#), and the Doctoral Program

of Higher Education of China (20110097110001).

删除的内容: the National  
Science Foundation of China  
(41171238),

## References

Anderson, I. C., Poth, M., Homstead, J., and Burdige, D.: A comparison of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O production by the autotrophic nitrifier *Nitrosomonas europaea* and the heterotrophic nitrifier *Alcaligenes faecalis*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 59(11), 3525-3533, 1993.

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: ,

- 5 Asing, J., Saggar, S., Singh, J., and Bolan, N. S.: Assessment of nitrogen losses from urea and an organic manure with and without nitrification inhibitor, dicyandiamide, applied to lettuce under glasshouse conditions. *Soil Research*, 46, 535-541, 2008.

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: ,

Biederman, L. A., and Harpole W. F.: Biochar and its effects on plant productivity and nutrient cycling: a meta-analysis, *Glob. Change Biol-Bioenergy*, 5, 202-214, 2012.

- 10 Boeckx, P., Xu, X., and Van Cleemput, O.: Mitigation of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emission from rice and wheat cropping systems using dicyandiamide and hydroquinone. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 72, 41-49, 2005.

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: ,

Bouwman, A. F., Beusen, A. H. W., Griffioen, J., Van Groenigen, J. W., Hefting, M. M., Oenema, O., Van Puijenbroek P. J. T. M., Seitzinger S., Slomp C. P., and Stehfest, E.: Global trends and uncertainties in terrestrial denitrification and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368(1621), 20130112, doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0112, 2013.

15

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: ,

Cayuela, M. L., Sánchez-Monedero, M. A., Roig, A., Hanley, K., Enders, A., and Lehmann, J.: Biochar and denitrification in soils: when, how much and why does biochar reduce N<sub>2</sub>O emissions?, *Scientific reports*, 3(1732), doi:10.1038/srep01732, 2013.

删除的内容:

删除的内容: ,

- 20 Cayuela M. L., van Zwieten L., Singh B. P., Jeffery S., Roig A., and Sánchez-Monedero M. A.: Biochar's role in mitigating soil nitrous oxide emissions: A review and meta-analysis, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 191, 5-16, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.10.009>, 2014.

Chen, D., Suter, H. C., Islam, A., and Edis, R.: Influence of nitrification inhibitors on nitrification and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emission from a clay loam soil fertilized with urea, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 42, 660-664, 2010.

25

Davidson, E. A.: The contribution of manure and fertilizer nitrogen to atmospheric nitrous oxide since 1860, *Nature Geoscience*, 2, 659-662, 2009.

De Boer, W., and Kowalchuk, G. A.: Nitrification in acid soils: micro-organisms and mechanisms, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33, 853-866, 2001.

Di, H. J., Cameron, K. C., Podolyan, A., and Robinson, A.: Effect of soil moisture status and a nitrification inhibitor, dicyandiamide, on ammonia oxidizer and denitrifier growth and nitrous oxide emissions in a grassland soil, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 73, 59-68, 2014.

Di H. J., Cameron K. C., Shen J. P., Winefield C. S., Callaghan M. O., Bowatte S., and He J. Z.: Nitrification driven by bacteria and not archaea in nitrogen-rich grassland soils, *Nat. Lett.*, 2, 621-624, 2009.

Ding, W., Cai, Y., Cai, Z., Yagi, K., and Zheng, X.: Nitrous oxide emissions from an intensively cultivated maize-wheat rotation soil in the North China Plain, *Science of the total environment*, 373, 501-511, 2007.

删除的内容: -

Ding, Y., Liu, Y. X., Wu, W. X., Shi, D. Z., Yang, M., and Zhong, Z. K.: Evaluation of biochar effects on nitrogen retention and leaching in multi-layered soil columns. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 213(1-4), 47-55, 2010.

Felber, R., Leifeld, J., Horák, J., and Neftel, A.: Nitrous oxide emission reduction with greenwaste biochar: comparison of laboratory and field experiments, *European Journal of Soil Science*, 65, 128-138, 2014.

删除的内容: Ding, W. X., Yu H. Y., and Cai Z. C.: Impact of urease and nitrification inhibitors on nitrous oxide emissions from fluvo-aquic soil in the North China Plain, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 47, 91-99, 2011.

Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Data (FAOSTAT), *World Agriculture Towards 2015/2030*, Available online at: <http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx>. [2014-10-12], Rome, Italy, 2009.

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), FAOSTAT (Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Data) Statistical Yearbook Vol. 4, available at: <http://faostat.fao.org/>, [2014-10-12], 2011.

Gagnon, B., Ziadi, N., Rochette, P., Chantigny, M. H., and Angers, D. A.: Fertilizer source influenced nitrous oxide emissions from a clay soil under corn, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 75, 595-604, 2011.

Grassini, P., and Cassman, K. G.: High-yield maize with large net energy yield and small global warming intensity, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 109, 1074-1079, 2012.

Gregory, P. J.: Roots, rhizosphere and soil: the route to a better understanding of soil science?, *European Journal of Soil Science*, 57, 2-12, 2006.

Halvorson, A. D., Del Grosso, S. J., and Alluvione, F.: Tillage and inorganic nitrogen source effects on nitrous oxide emissions from irrigated cropping systems, *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 74, 436-445, 2010.

He, F. F., Jiang, R. F., Chen, Q., Zhang, F. S., and Su, F.: Nitrous oxide emissions from an intensively

managed greenhouse vegetable cropping system in Northern China, Environ. Pollut., 157, 1666-1672, 2009.

Huang, T., Gao, B., Hu, X. K., Lu, X., Well, R., Christie, P., Bakken, L., and Ju, X. T.:

Ammonia-oxidation as an engine to generate nitrous oxide in an intensively managed calcareous  
Fluvo-aquic soil, Scientific reports, 4(3950), doi: 10.1038/srep03950, 2014.

IPCC-Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis,  
Cambridge Univer-sity Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2007.

Jampeetong, A., Konnerup, D., Piwpuan, N., and Brix, H.: Interactive effects of nitrogen form and pH  
on growth, morphology, N uptake and mineral contents of Coix lacryma-jobi L., Aquatic Botany,  
111, 144-149, 2013.

Jeffery, S., Verheijen, F. G. A., Van Der Velde, M., and Bastos, A. C.: A quantitative review of the  
effects of biochar application to soils on crop productivity using meta-analysis, Agriculture,  
Ecosystems & Environment, 144, 175-187, 2011.

Ji, Y., Yu, J., Ma, J., Li, X. P., Xu, H., and Cai Z. C.: Effect of timing of DCD application on nitrous  
oxide emission during wheat growing period. Acta. Ecol. Sin., 31, 7151-7160, 2011. (In Chinese)

Jia, J., Li, B., Chen, Z., Xie, Z., and Xiong, Z. Q.: Effects of biochar application on vegetable  
production and emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub>, Soil Science and Plant Nutrition, 58, 503-509, 2012.

Jones, D. L., Rousk, J., Edwards-Jones, G., Deluca, T. H., and Murphy, D. V.: Biochar mediated  
changes in soil quality and plant growth in a three year field trial, Soil Biol. Biochem., 45,  
113-124, 2012.

Ju, X. T., Kou, C. L., Zhang, F. S., and Christie, P.: Nitrogen balance and groundwater nitrate  
contamination: Comparison among three intensive cropping systems on the North China Plain,  
Environ. Pollut., 143, 117-125, 2006.

Kuzyakov, Y., Bogomolova, I., and Glaser, B.: Biochar stability in soil: Decomposition during eight  
years and transformation as assessed by compound-specific <sup>14</sup>C analysis, Soil Biol. Biochem. 70,  
229-236, 2014.

Kuzyakov, Y., Subbotina, I., Chen, H. Q., Bogomolova, I., and Xu, X. L.: Black carbon decomposition  
and incorporation into microbial biomass estimated by <sup>14</sup>C labeling, Soil Biol. Biochem., 41,  
210-219, 2009.

Lehmann, J.: A handful of carbon, Nature, 447, 143-144, 2007.

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置

带格式的: 缩进: 左侧: 0 磅  
, 悬挂缩进: 1.5 字符

带格式的: 默认段落字体, 字  
体: (默认) Times New Roman,  
10 磅, 字体颜色: 自动设置,  
图案: 清除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 非  
倾斜, 字体颜色: 自动设置

带格式的: 默认段落字体, 字  
体: (默认) Times New Roman,  
10 磅, 字体颜色: 自动设置,  
图案: 清除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 非  
倾斜, 字体颜色: 自动设置

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: ,



Laird, D., Fleming, P., Wang, B., Horton, R., and Karlen, D.: Biochar impact on nutrient leaching from a Midwestern agricultural soil, *Geoderma*, 158, 436-442, 2010.

Lehmann, J., Rillig, M., Thies, J., Masiello, C. A., Hockaday, W. C., and Crowley, D.: Biochar effects on soil biota—a review, *Soil. Biol. Biochem.*, 43, 1812-1836, 2011.

Li, D. J., and Wang, X. M.: Nitric oxide emission from a typical vegetable field in the Pearl River Delta, China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 9498-9505, 2007.

Li, X., Hu, C., Delgado, J. A., Zhang, Y., and Ouyang, Z.: Increased nitrogen use efficiencies as a key mitigation alternative to reduce nitrate leaching in north china plain, *Agricultural Water Management*, 89, 137-147, 2007.

Liu, B., Mørkved, P. T., Frostegard, A., and Bakken, L. R.: Denitrification gene pools, transcription and kinetics of NO, N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> production as affected by soil pH, *FEMS microbiology ecology*, 72, 407-417, 2010.

Lu, R. K.: Soil Agro-chemical Analyses, Agricultural Technical Press of China, Beijing, 2000. (In Chinese)

Luo, Y., Durenkamp, M., De Nobili, M., Lin, Q., and Brookes, P. C.: Short term soil priming effects and the mineralisation of biochar following its incorporation to soils of different pH, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 43(11), 2304-2314, 2011.

Ma, Y., Sun, L., Zhang, X., Yang, B., Wang, J., Yin, B., Yan, X., and Xiong, Z.: Mitigation of nitrous oxide emissions from paddy soil under conventional and no-till practices using nitrification inhibitors during the winter wheat-growing season, *Biology and fertility of soils*, 49, 627-635, 2013.

Major, J., Rondon, M., Molina, D., Riha, S. J., and Lehmann, J.: Maize yield and nutrition during 4 years after biochar application to a Colombian savanna oxisol, *Plant Soil*, 333, 117-128, 2010.

Majumdar, D., Kumar, S., Pathak, H., Jain, M.C., and Kumar, U.: Reducing nitrous oxide emission from an irrigated rice field of North India with nitrification inhibitors. *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 81, 163-169, 2000.

Malla, G., Bhatia, A., Pathak, H., Prasad, S., Jain, N., and Singh, J.: Mitigating nitrous oxide and methane emissions from soil under rice-wheat system with nitrification inhibitors, *Chemosphere* 58, 141-147, 2005.

Mosier, A.R., Kroeze, C., Nevison, C., Oenema, O., Seitzinger, S. P., and Van Cleemput, O.: Closing

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: .,

删除的内容: ,

删除的内容: Li, X., Zhang, G., Xu, H., Cai, Z., and Yagi, K.: Effect of timing of joint application of hydroquinone and dicyandiamide on nitrous oxide emission from irrigated lowland rice paddy field, *Chemosphere*, 75, 1417-1422, 2009.

删除的内容: ,

带格式的: 字体: (默认) Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清除

带格式的: 字体: (默认) Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清除

带格式的: 字体: (默认) Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清除

带格式的: 缩进: 左侧: 0 磅, 悬挂缩进: 2 字符

带格式的: 字体: (默认) Times New Roman, 10 磅, 非倾斜, 字体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清除

带格式的: 字体: (默认) Times New Roman, 10 磅, 非倾斜, 字体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清除

the global N<sub>2</sub>O budget: nitrous oxide emissions through the agricultural nitrogen cycle, OECD/IPCC/IEA phase II development of IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventory methodology, *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*, 52, 225-248, 1998.

Pasda, G., Hähndel, R., and Zerulla, W.: Effect of fertilizers with the new nitrification inhibitor DMPP (3, 4-dimethylpyrazole phosphate) on yield and quality of agricultural and horticultural crops, *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 34, 85-97, 2001.

Park, S., Croteau, P., Boering, K. A., Etheridge, D. M., Ferretti, D., Fraser, P. J., Kim, K-R., Krummel, P. B., Langenfelds, R. L., van Ommen, T. D., Steele L. P., and Trudinger, C. M.: Trends and seasonal cycles in the isotopic composition of nitrous oxide since 1940, *Nature Geoscience*, 5, 261-265, 2012.

Pathak, H., and Nedwell, D. B.: Strategies to reduce nitrous oxide emission from soil with fertilizer selection and nitrification inhibitor, *Water Air Soil Poll.*, 129, 217-228, 2001.

Qin, S., He, X., Hu, C., Zhang, Y., and Dong, W.: Responses of soil chemical and microbial indicators to conservational tillage versus traditional tillage in the North China Plain, *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 46, 243-247, 2010.

Ravishankara, A. R., Daniel, J. S., and Portmann, R. W.: Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O): the dominant ozone-depleting substance emitted in the 21st century, *Science*, 326, 123-125, 2009.

CRGCST (Cooperative Research Group on Chinese Soil Taxonomy): *Chinese Soil Taxonomy* (3rd Edition), Science Press, Beijing, New York, 2001

Saarnio, S., Heimonen, K., and Kettunen, R.: Biochar addition indirectly affects N<sub>2</sub>O emissions via soil moisture and plant N uptake, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 58, 99-106, 2013.

Saggar, S., Giltrap, D. L., Li, C., and Tate, K. R.: Modelling nitrous oxide emissions from grazed grasslands in New Zealand, *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 119, 205-216, 2007.

Sánchez-García, M., Roig, A., Sanchez-Monedero, M. A., and Cayuela, M. L.: Biochar increases soil N<sub>2</sub>O emissions produced by nitrification-mediated pathways, *Soil Processes*, 2(25), doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2014.00025, 2014.

Schmidt, E. L.: Nitrification in soil, *Nitrogen in agricultural soils, (nitrogeninagrics)*, 22, 253-288, 1982.

Schouten, S., van Groenigen, J. W., Oenema, O., and Cayuela, M. L.: Bioenergy from cattle manure? Implications of anaerobic digestion and subsequent pyrolysis for carbon and nitrogen dynamics in

删除的内容: ,

soil, GCB Bioenergy, 4, 751-760, 2012.

Singh, J., Sagggar, S., Giltrap, D. L., and Bolan, N. S.: Decomposition of dicyandiamide (DCD) in three contrasting soils and its effect on nitrous oxide emission, soil respiratory activity, and microbial biomass-an incubation study, Soil Research, 46, 517-525, 2008.

5 Singh, B. P., Hatton, B. J., Singh, B., Cowie, A. L., and Kathuria, A.: Influence of biochars on nitrous oxide emission and nitrogen leaching from two contrasting soils, J. Environ. Qual., 39, 1224-1235, 2010.

Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogleh, S., O'Marai, F., Ricej, C., Scholesk, B., Sirotentkol, O., Howdenm, M., McAllistere, T., Pan G, Romanenkovo, V,  
10 Schneiderp U., and Towprayoon, S.: Policy and technological constraints to implementation of greenhouse gas mitigation options in agriculture, Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 118, 6-28, 2007.

Spokas, K. A.: Impact of biochar field aging on laboratory greenhouse gas production potentials, GCB Bioenergy, 5, 165-176, 2013.

删除的内容: ,

15 Spokas, K.A., and Reicosky, D.C.: Impacts of sixteen different biochars on soil greenhouse gas production, Annals of Environmental Science, 3(1), 4, 2009.

Steinbeiss, S., Gleixner, G., and Antonietti, M.: Effect of biochar amendment on soil carbon balance and soil microbial activity, Soil Biol. Biochem., 41, 1301-1310, 2009.

Van Groenigen, J. W., Velthof, G. L., Oenema, O., Van Groenigen, K. J., and Van Kessel, C.: Towards  
20 an agronomic assessment of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions: a case study for arable crops, Euro. J. Soil. Sci., 61, 903-913, 2010.

Wang, L., Butterly, C. R., Wang, Y., Herath, H. M. S. K., Xi, Y. G., and Xiao, X. J.: Effect of crop  
residue biochar on soil acidity amelioration in strongly acidic tea garden soils, Soil Use and  
Management, 30(1), 119-128, 2014.

删除的内容: Venterea, R. T., Maharjan, B., and Dolan, M. S.: Fertilizer source and tillage effects on yield-scaled nitrous oxide emissions in a corn cropping system, J. Environ. Qual., 40, 1521-1531, 2011.

25 Wang, J. Y., Xiong, Z. Q., and Yan, X. Y.: Fertilizer-induced emission factors and background emissions of N<sub>2</sub>O from vegetable fields in China, Atmos. Environ., 45, 6923-6929, 2011.

Wang, Z., Zheng, H., Luo, Y., Deng, X., Herbert, S., and Xing, B.: Characterization and influence of biochars on nitrous oxide emission from agricultural soil, Environmental Pollution, 174, 289-296, 2013.

30 Wei, X. R., Hao, M. D., Xue, X. H., Shi, P., Horton, R., Wang, A., and Zang, Y. F.: Nitrous oxide

emission from highland winter wheat field after long-term fertilization, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 3301-3310, 2010.

Woolf, D., Amonette, J. E., Street-Perrott, F. A., Lehmann, J., and Joseph, S.: Sustainable biochar to mitigate global climate change, *Nature communications*, 1, 56, doi: 10.1038/ncomms1053, 2010.

5 Wrage, N., Velthof, G. L., Van Beusichem, M. L., and Oenema, O.: Role of nitrifier denitrification in the production of nitrous oxide, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 33(12), 1723-1732, 2001.

Xie, Z., Xu, Y., Liu, G., Liu, Q., Zhu, J., Tu, C., Amonette, J., Cadisch, G., Yong, J., and Hu, S.: Impact of biochar application on nitrogen nutrition of rice, greenhouse-gas emissions and soil organic carbon dynamics in two paddy soils of China, *Plant and soil*, 370, 527-540, 2013.

10 Xiong, W., Xia, Y. Q., Zhou, W., and Yan, X. Y.: Relationship between nitrogen application rate and nitrous oxide emission and effect of nitrification inhibitor in vegetable farming system, *Acta. Pedologica Sinica*, 50, 743-751, 2013. (In Chinese)

Xiong, Z. Q., Xie, Y. X., Xing, G. X., Zhu, Z. L., and Butenhoff, C.: Measurements of nitrous oxide emissions from vegetable production in China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40, 2225-2234, 2006.

15 Xu, X., Zhou, L., Van Cleemput, O., and Wang, Z.: Fate of urea-<sup>15</sup>N in a soil-wheat system as influenced by urease inhibitor hydroquinone and nitrification inhibitor dicyandiamide, *Plant and Soil*, 220, 261-270, 2000.

Yanai, Y., Toyota, K., and Okazaki, M.: Effects of charcoal addition on N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soil resulting from rewetting air-dried soil in short-term laboratory experiments, *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 53, 181-188, 2007.

20 Yao, F. X., Arbestain, M. C., Virgel, S., Blanco, F., Arostegui, J., Maciá-Agulló, J. A., and Macías, F.: Simulated geochemical weathering of a mineral ash-rich biochar in a modified Soxhlet reactor, *Chemosphere*, 80, 724-732, 2010.

25 Yuan, J. H., and Xu, R. K.: The amelioration effects of low temperature biochar generated from nine crop residues on an acidic Ultisol, *Soil Use and Management*, 27(1), 110-115, 2011.

Zaman, M., and Blennerhassett, J. D.: Effects of the different rates of urease and nitrification inhibitors on gaseous emissions of ammonia and nitrous oxide, nitrate leaching and pasture production from urine patches in an intensive grazed pasture system, *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 136, 236-246, 2010.

30 Zaman, M., Nguyen, M. L., and Saggar, S.: N<sub>2</sub>O and N<sub>2</sub> emissions from pasture and wetland soils with and without amendments of nitrate, lime and zeolite under laboratory condition, *Soil Research*, 46,

带格式的：上标

删除的内容：,

526-534, 2008.

Zaman, M., Saggar, S., Blennerhassett, J. D., and Singh, J.: Effect of urease and nitrification inhibitors on N transformation, gaseous emissions of ammonia and nitrous oxide, pasture yield and N uptake in grazed pasture system, *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 41, 1270-1280, 2009.

- 5 Zhang, A., Bian, R., Pan, G., Cui, L., Hussain, Q., Li, L., Zheng, J., Zheng, J., Zhang, X., Han, X., and Yu, X.: Effects of biochar amendment on soil quality, crop yield and greenhouse gas emission in a Chinese rice paddy: a field study of 2 consecutive rice growing cycles, *Field Crops Research*, 127, 153-160, 2012b.

Zhang, A., Cui, L., Pan, G., Li, L., Hussain, Q., Zhang, X., Zheng, J., and Crowley, D.: Effect of  
10 biochar amendment on yield and methane and nitrous oxide emissions from a rice paddy from Tai Lake plain, China, *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 139, 469-475, 2010.

Zhang, A., Liu, Y., Pan, G., Hussain, Q., Li, L., Zheng, J., and Zhang, X.: Effect of biochar amendment on maize yield and greenhouse gas emissions from a soil organic carbon poor calcareous loamy soil from Central China Plain, *Plant and soil*, 351, 263-275, 2012a.

- 15 Zheng, X. H., Han, S. H., Huang, Y., Wang, Y. S., and Wang, M. X.: Re-quantifying the emission factors based on field measurements and estimating the direct N<sub>2</sub>O emission from Chinese croplands, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 18(2), doi: 10.1029/2003GB002167, 2004.

Zhou, M., Zhu, B., Brüggemann, N., Bergmann, J., Wang, Y., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: N<sub>2</sub>O and CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> leaching on a crop-yield basis from a subtropical rain-fed wheat-maize  
20 rotation in response to different types of nitrogen fertilizer. *Ecosystems*, 17(2), 286-301, 2014.

Zhu, T. B., Zhang, J. B., and Cai, Z. C.: The contribution of nitrogen transformation processes to total N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from soils used for intensive vegetable cultivation, *Plant Soil*, 343, 313-327, 2011.

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 缩进: 左侧: 0 磅  
, 悬挂缩进: 2 字符

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 字  
体颜色: 自动设置, 图案: 清  
除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 非  
倾斜, 字体颜色: 自动设置,  
图案: 清除

带格式的: 字体: (默认)  
Times New Roman, 10 磅, 非  
倾斜, 字体颜色: 自动设置,  
图案: 清除

**Table 1** Vegetable species and management procedure over the entire experimental period.

<u>Vegetable</u>	<u>Growth</u>	<u>Fertilization</u>	<u>Tillage</u>	<u>Irrigation</u>	<u>Pesticide</u>	<u>Greenhouse</u>
<u>Species</u>	<u>Period</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Time</u>	<u>Time</u>		<u>Setting</u>
<u>Amaranth</u>	<u>2012/4/12-</u> <u>2012/7/10</u>	<u>2012/4/18</u>	<u>2014/4/16</u>	<u>2012/4/17-</u> <u>2012/5/4</u> <u>2012/5/8</u> <u>2012/5/14</u>	<u>2014/4/16</u>	<u>2012/4/12-</u> <u>2012/5/25</u>
<u>Tung choy</u>	<u>2012/7/11-</u> <u>2012/11/19</u>	<u>2012/7/10</u> <u>2012/9/7</u>	<u>2012/7/9</u>	<u>2012/7/10</u> <u>2012/7/25</u> <u>2012/8/15</u> <u>2012/9/18</u> <u>2012/9/25</u> <u>2012/10/8</u>	<u>2012/7/9</u>	—
<u>Baby bok choy</u>	<u>2012/11/2-</u> <u>2013/3/27</u>			<u>2012/12/9</u> <u>2012/12/29</u> <u>2013/1/15</u> <u>2013/2/1</u>		<u>2012/11/20-</u> <u>2013/2/24</u>
<u>Coriander herb</u>	<u>2013/3/28-</u> <u>2013/6/30</u>	<u>2013/3/27</u>	<u>2013/3/26</u>	<u>2013/3/27</u> <u>2013/4/13</u>	<u>2013/3/26</u>	
<u>Tung choy</u>	<u>2013/7/1-</u> <u>2013/11/4</u>	<u>2013/7/2</u> <u>2013/9/8</u>	<u>2013/7/1</u>	<u>2013/7/2</u> <u>2013/8/6</u> <u>2013/8/15</u> <u>2013/9/14</u>	<u>2013/7/1</u>	
<u>Baby bok choy</u>	<u>2013/11/5-</u> <u>2014/3/14</u>	<u>2013/11/4</u>	<u>2013/11/3</u>	<u>2013/11/4</u> <u>2013/12/1</u> <u>2013/12/18</u>		<u>2013/11/5-</u> <u>2014/3/14</u>
<u>Amaranth</u>	<u>2014/3/15-</u> <u>2014/6/12</u>	<u>2014/3/14</u>	<u>2014/3/13</u>	<u>2014/3/14</u> <u>2014/4/7</u> <u>2014/4/23</u>	<u>2014/3/13</u>	<u>2014/3/15-</u> <u>2014/5/12</u>
—						
—						

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式表格

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

**Table 2**

删除的内容: 1

Influence of biochar amendment on soil total nitrogen (TN), soil organic carbon (SOC), and soil pH in 6 different treatments over the entire experimental period. Values represent means $\pm$ SD (n=3).

Treatments	TN	SOC	pH
	(g N kg <sup>-1</sup> )	(g C kg <sup>-1</sup> )	
T0	1.90	15.6	5.52
CF-C0	1.46 $\pm$ 0.03 d	12.7 $\pm$ 0.6 c	5.27 $\pm$ 0.08 c
CP-C0	1.74 $\pm$ 0.12 c	12.8 $\pm$ 0.8 c	6.24 $\pm$ 0.08 a
CF-C1	2.65 $\pm$ 0.02 b	21.8 $\pm$ 0.2 b	3.64 $\pm$ 0.04 d
CP-C1	2.52 $\pm$ 0.16 b	23.2 $\pm$ 0.3 a	5.79 $\pm$ 0.05 b
CF-C2	2.91 $\pm$ 0.05 a	23.5 $\pm$ 0.3 a	3.68 $\pm$ 0.06 d
CP-C2	2.84 $\pm$ 0.12 a	23.1 $\pm$ 0.9 a	5.26 $\pm$ 0.08 c
<i>P</i>	***	***	***

带格式的: 两端对齐

T0 provides the initial soil condition prior to the experiments;

CF, compound fertilizer; CP, chlorinated pyridine, a mixture of urea and nitrapyrin;

C0, Biochar 0 t·ha<sup>-1</sup>; C1, Biochar 20 t·ha<sup>-1</sup>; C2, Biochar 40 t·ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Means  $\pm$  SD with different letters in the same column indicate significant differences between

treatments according to Tukey's multiple range test ( $p<0.05$ ).

删除的内容: Tukey's

\*\*\*  $p<0.001$

$P$  value: the index of differences between the control group and the experimental group. If  $p<0.05$  and  $p<0.01$ , significant differences exists between the control group and the experimental group

删除的内容: 3

Table 3

Cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, vegetable yield and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O emissions under different treatments over the entire experimental period.

	Amaranth	Tung choy	Baby bok choy	Coriander herb	Tung choy	Baby bok choy	Amaranth	To rota
Treatments	2012/4/18- 2012/7/10 (41d/83d)	2012/7/11- 2012/11/19 (100d/131d)	2012/11/20- 2013/3/27 (98d/127d)	2013/3/28- 2013/6/30 (62d/94d)	2013/7/1- 2013/11/4 (106d/126d)	2013/11/5- 2014/3/14 (67d/129d)	2014/3/15- 2014/6/12 (58d/89d)	(77)
(a) Cumulative N <sub>2</sub> O emissions (kg N ha <sup>-1</sup> )								
CF-C0	9±0.8 a	17.7±0.9 ab	1.1 ± 0.7 a	4.1±1.2 a	16.5±2.8 a	0.8±0.2 ab	5.3±0.3 a	54.6±
CP-C0	6.7±1.2 d	15.6±1.2 b	0.8 ± 0.1 b	2.7±0.5 a	6.5±1.4 c	0.6±0.1 c	3.8±1.6 a	37.1±
CF-C1	9.3±0.5 ab	21.3±4.0 a	1.1 ± 0.1 ab	4.4±0.7 a	11.4±3.8 b	0.9±0.2 a	4.8±1.6 a	52.6±10
CP-C1	8.3±0.6 c	16.1±0.4 b	0.9 ± 0.2 ab	3.9±1.9 a	9.6±2.3 bc	0.7±0.1 abc	4.7±1.6 a	43.9±5.
CF-C2	9.4±0.7 bc	20.7±1.7 a	0.9 ± 0.2 ab	3.6±0.7 a	8.8±2.2 bc	0.7±0.1 abc	4.2±0.6 a	47.3±2.
CP-C2	8.6±0.9 b	15.7±2.2 b	0.9 ± 0.1 ab	3.1±0.4 a	7.6±0.6 bc	0.6±0.1 bc	3.9±0.8 a	39.8±3
(b) Vegetable yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )								
CF-C0	11.1±1.8 c	83.1±12.4 c	10.2±3.6 d	18.6±1 bc	132.5±6 b	44.9±4.6 a	11.5±4.4 c	311.8±
CP-C0	19.8±0.4 a	140.5±11.9 a	58.9±5.7 b	24.7±4.1 b	163.6±16.3 a	47.2±9.3 a	45.5±2 a	500.3±
CF-C1	19.3±1.4 ab	95.2±3.9 c	39±8.1 c	25.8±5.2 b	131.9±14.1 b	49.9±6.1 a	16.1±4.4 c	377.2±
CP-C1	23.3±0.9 a	123.7±12.6 ab	81±6.5 a	24.9±3.2 b	177.2±14.5 a	61.6±13.2 a	43.7±6.8 ab	535.4±
CF-C2	14.6±5.7 bc	128.9±6.8 ab	57.8±11.4 b	12.6±2.6 c	172.2±4.9 a	43.1±17.3 a	17±9.3 c	446.2±
CP-C2	19.5±2.9 ab	120.7±7.2 b	62.1±13.4 b	35.3±8.5 a	161.4±9.7 a	56.7±9.4 a	33.9±6.5 b	489.7±
(c) Yield-scaled N <sub>2</sub> O emissions (kg N <sub>2</sub> O-N t <sup>-1</sup> yield)								
CF-C0	0.814±0.082 a	0.216±0.031 a	0.135±0.105 a	0.218±0.062 ab	0.125±0.026 a	0.019±0.005 a	0.516±0.207 a	0.175±0
CP-C0	0.338±0.051 c	0.112±0.005 c	0.014±0.002 b	0.131±0.101 b	0.041±0.011 c	0.012±0.004 a	0.084±0.032 c	0.074±0

带格式的: 葡萄牙语(巴西)



CF-C1	0.475±0.027 bc	0.225±0.048 a	0.029±0.01 b	0.176±0.057 ab	0.085±0.035 b	0.017±0.005 a	0.302±0.07 b	0.139±0
CP-C1	0.337±0.022 c	0.131±0.011 bc	0.029±0.002 b	0.167±0.089 ab	0.054±0.011 bc	0.012±0.027 a	0.114±0.051 bc	0.081±0.
CF-C2	0.659±0.317 ab	0.161±0.009 b	0.016±0.001 b	0.293±0.083 a	0.051±0.013 bc	0.019±0.011 a	0.302±0.154 b	0.106±0
CP-C2	0.417±0.065 bc	0.131±0.025 bc	0.015±0.006 b	0.089±0.019 b	0.047±0.005 c	0.011±0.004 a	0.019±0.019 bc	0.081±0.

See Table 2 for treatment codes. The total range of observation dates includes sampling that occurred during vegetable planting or not during vegetable planting. The values indicate (mean ± SD). Data in the brackets indicate the vegetable growing days/total days including the following fallow period for each vegetable crop in rotation. Different letters indicate a significant difference between treatments ( $p<0.05$ ).

删除的内容: 1

**Table 4**

Two-way ANOVA for the effects of biochar (Bc) and nitrapyrin (CP) on the cumulative N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, vegetable yield and yield-scaled N<sub>2</sub>O over the entire experimental period.

Factors	DF	Cumulative N <sub>2</sub> O emissions			Vegetable yield			Yield-scaled N <sub>2</sub> O emissions		
		SS	F	P	SS	F	P	SS	F	P
Bc	2	66.28	1.21	0.3577	12977.7	9.58	<0.05	0.0029	6.63	<0.05
CP	1	570.09	19.29	<0.001	76098.8	111.36	<0.001	0.0171	78.04	<0.001
Bc×CP	2	86.83	1.47	0.2687	17542.7	12.95	<0.05	0.0044	10.13	<0.05
Model	5	723.21	4.89		106619.4	31.48		0.0244	22.31	
Error	12	354.67			8126.9			0.0026		

SS: sum of squares

F value: ratio of mean squares of 2 independent samples

P value: index of differences between the control group and the experimental group. If  $p < 0.05$  and  $p < 0.001$ , significant differences exists between the control group and the experimental group

**Figure 1** Dynamics of soil temperature (T) and WFPS across the experimental period (a). Box plots for soil temperature (b) and WFPS (c) in different vegetable crops.

The 1st to 7th mean different vegetable crops in rotation across the experimental period. Different letters indicate significant difference among all treatment medians ( $p < 0.05$ ). The plus mark in the box represents the medians of all data.

**Figure 2** Dynamics of soil  $N_2O$  emissions fluxes under different treatments in vegetable fields with 7 consecutive vegetable crops from 2012 to 2014 in southeastern China.

The solid and dashed arrows indicate basal fertilization and top-dressing, respectively. The dashed line separates the vegetable growing and fallow periods. The bars indicate the standard error of the mean (+SE) for the 3 replicates of each treatment. See Table 2 for treatment codes.

**Figure 3** Dynamics of the soil  $NH_4^+-N$  and  $NO_3^--N$  concentrations within the 0–15 cm soils under different treatments in vegetable fields with 7 consecutive vegetable crops from 2012 to 2014 in southeastern China.

The solid and dashed arrows indicate basal fertilization and top-dressing, respectively. The dashed line separates different vegetable growth periods. See Table 2 for treatment codes.

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ ; n.s. not significant

删除的内容: dashed line separates the vegetable growing and fallow periods

删除的内容: apart

删除的内容: 1

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

带格式的: 字体: 10 磅

删除的内容:

between nitrapyrin and