

1 **Effect of ammonium input over the distribution of iron in the seawater and the**
2 **phytoplankton in a mesocosm experiment in a north Patagonian fjord**

3
4 **N. Sanchez¹, M. V. Ardelan¹, N. Bizsel², J. L. Iriarte³**

5
6 [1] Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU), Department of Chemistry,
7 Trondheim 7491, Norway

8 [2] Institute of Marine Sciences and Technology, Dokuz Eylul University, H. Aliyev Bulv.,
9 No: 10, Inciralti, Izmir, Turkey

10 [3] Instituto de Acuicultura and Centro de Investigación en Ecosistemas de la Patagonia-
11 CIEP, Universidad Austral de Chile, Puerto Montt. COPAS Sur-Austral, Universidad de
12 Concepción, Concepción, Chile.

13 Correspondence: N. Sanchez (nicolas.sanchez@ntnu.no)

14 **ABSTRACT**

15 The distribution and concentration of iron in seawater and plankton were studied under
16 different ammonium concentrations in a 22 day mesocosm experiment. The objective was to
17 assess the possible effects of aquaculture on the phytoplankton and the biogeochemistry in
18 fjords of Chile. Brackish and marine water were used in two different setups, each one with
19 1 control and 4 different NH_4^+ concentrations. Total Chelex labile (TFe_{Ch}), dissolved Chelex
20 labile (DFe_{Ch}) and DGT labile (Fe_{DGT}) iron measurements were performed in seawater, while
21 the particulate iron content was determined as total (PFe) and fractionated (PFe_{SF}) for the
22 plankton community. The average concentration per treatment revealed higher values for
23 both TFe_{Ch} and DFe_{Ch} in the marine system compared to the brackish system. TFe_{Ch} showed
24 an overall increasing trend in time and with increasing ammonium concentration, positively
25 correlated to the chlorophyll and particulate organic carbon content. DFe_{Ch} on the other hand
26 presented an inverse pattern as expected. Fe_{DGT} had on average lower concentrations
27 compared to DFe_{Ch} with final concentrations significantly lower in treatments with artificial
28 ammonium addition. PFe had an increasing trend in time and with increasing ammonium in
29 both systems. However, when normalized to chlorophyll-a or particulate organic carbon the
30 trend was inverted, showing that at higher ammonium loading the iron per chlorophyll-a or

Deleted: in

Deleted: . The objective was in order

Deleted: the

Deleted: on

Deleted: whereas,

Deleted: TheaAverage

Deleted: revealed

Deleted: concentrations

Deleted: system

Deleted: a

Deleted: generally

Deleted: which exhibited a

Deleted: ion

Deleted: whereas

Deleted: had

Deleted: a

Deleted: had

Deleted: However

Deleted: was

particulate organic carbon decreases. PFe_{SE} major changes occurred in the marine system in the ratio between the 20-140 µm and the 2-20 µm fractions, suggesting a possible community structure shift. Overall, ammonium input indicated an effect over iron in the seawater and the particulate matter, depending on the iron form and the microbial assemblage. The further changes in the microbial composition due to ammonium addition may affect the cycling of iron, having possible positive or negative feedbacks in the major biogeochemical cycles.

Deleted: a

Deleted: in

Deleted: positive or

Deleted: in the

1. INTRODUCTION

The fjord ecosystem in Chile constitutes a nearly pristine environment. However, it is experiencing a growing anthropogenic influence and aquaculture is one of the main influences. This industry has seen and increased expansion in the last two decades, causing growing concern about the environmental impact. Salmon farming releases nutrients as dissolved inorganic species through excretion (Ammonium (NH₄⁺) and phosphate (PO₄³⁻)), particulate organic nitrogen (PON) and phosphorus (POP) through defecation, and its dissolved components (DON and DOP) through resuspension from the particulate fractions (Olsen and Olsen, 2008). Oxygen depletion and decreased biodiversity among others are well documented effects for the marine sediments and benthic fauna. However, current knowledge of how waste release affects the structure and function of the pelagic ecosystems is still scarce (Cloern, 2001; Olsen et al., 2006). It has been proposed that this waste release may alter nutrient stoichiometry in the seawater determining to some extent how the marine environment responds to increasing anthropogenic inputs of nutrients (Arrigo, 2005).

Deleted: The fjord

Deleted: Chile,

Deleted: . However, it is

Deleted: influences

Major biogeochemical cycles (carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus) in the marine environment, are strongly dependent on marine microbes as this group is directly responsible for approximately half of the earth's primary production (Field et al., 1998). In coastal areas, such as the Chilean fjord regions, the macronutrient nitrogen is mainly contributed as nitrate NO₃⁻ with the incoming deep water (nutrient-rich) and/or the surface layers through the run-off of inland fertilizers. After phytoplankton uptake, nitrate follows a series of metabolic processes that occur in order to be finally assimilated as NH₄⁺. Within these steps, in the nitrogen cycle, as well as for that of major elements, are involved metabolic processes that are dependent on the availability of certain "micro-nutrients". Trace metals such as Mn, Fe, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn and Cd are involved in several biological processes capable of influencing the biochemical cycling in aquatic systems such as of carbon (e.g. carbon-concentrating mechanism involves the Zn metalloenzyme carbonic anhydrase) or nitrogen (e.g. Fe requirements for

Deleted: such

Deleted: the Chilean like

Deleted: es

Deleted: that

Deleted: be

Deleted: in

metalloenzymes in nitrogen cycle) (Morel and Price, 2003). As most of these elements are continuously exported out the photic zone into deeper water as settling organic biomass, biological processes (uptake, trophic transfer, regeneration, excretion and decomposition) are critical in controlling the fate of these bioactive metals in the ocean (Wang et al., 2001). In the case of iron, the key role it plays on major biochemical cycles in the marine environment is well acknowledged (Martin et al., 1991; Martin, 1991; Morel et al., 1991). Specifically related to nitrogen, Fe is involved in the nutrient uptake by diatoms as NO_3^- undergoes sequential reduction to nitrite and ammonium, each step involving the assimilatory nitrate reductase and assimilatory nitrite reductase enzymes respectively (Zehr and Ward, 2002).

Deleted: into deeper water pth

Through this feedback control mechanism between the so-called “macro-” and “micro-” nutrients, it can be expected that a species shift in the macronutrient load (e.g. NO_3^- to NH_4^+) in a given environment, may affect the long-term cycling of trace elements. The biologically “new” versus “regenerated” production, based on the NO_3^- : NH_4^+ uptake ratio in the water column, is a determinant factor favoring growth rates of certain groups of primary producers (Thompson et al., 1989). Therefore, increased input of dissolved inorganic nutrients (NH_4^+ and PO_4^{3-}) by aquaculture activities may have a direct effect on the phytoplankton community structure (Olsen and Olsen 2008). The general response from marine pelagic ecosystems to nutrient enrichment is reflected in increased nutrient uptake by phytoplankton and bacteria and hence growth rate, with the consequent increased autotrophic biomass transfer to higher trophic levels (Olsen and Olsen 2008). However, knowledge on the capacity for phytoplankton to biologically uptake and metabolize these surplus of nutrients, strongly link to the bioavailability of certain trace metals, is still scarce.

Deleted: For instance, changes in nitrogen supply (e.g. NH_4^+), could potentially affect the cycling of iron, perhaps modifying the Fe requirements of the phytoplankton community.

Deleted: is

Deleted: within a certain environment

Deleted: , may modify the

Deleted: addition

Deleted: with the,

Deleted: ly

Deleted: the

Deleted: asses

Deleted: the

Deleted: the

Deleted: experiment simulat

Deleted: ing

Deleted: was carried out. In it,

Deleted: were

Deleted: follow

Considering this, it can be expected that within a certain environment, following nutrient enrichment through NH_4^+ , the nitrogen cycling and the stoichiometry of trace elements linked to it may be modified. Therefore, it is likely that a NO_3^- to NH_4^+ shift achieved through progressive nutrient addition would imply long-term changes in the Fe requirements by phytoplankton with the consequent effect on the trophic transfer to higher trophic levels. In order to assess the probable feedbacks processes between nitrogen and iron marine cycles and the implications in the base of the pelagic marine food web, a mesocosm experiment simulating the nutrient enrichment occurring in fjords ecosystems due to salmon farming was carried out. In it, the concentration and variation in time of different fractions of Fe in the seawater and plankton community under different NH_4^+ concentrations were measured.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

Experiments were carried out during the austral summer season between January and February 2011 at the facilities of the Huinay Scientific Field Station (42°22'46"S – 72°25'12"W) in the Comau fjord, Northern Patagonia (Fig. 1). The fjord has north-south location, with a maximum depth of nearly 500 m and a width of 2-8.5 km. Its hydrography features a two layer system with the presence of a permanent low salinity layer (LSL) between the surface and ~5 m, product of the mixing of freshwater (precipitation and river runoff) with oceanic water. Salinity in the first 10 m ranges from 18 to 28 PSU during the year, remaining constant below 10 m. Dissolved oxygen ranges from 7.5 ml.L⁻¹ in surface waters to 4 ml.L⁻¹ at 20 m (Jantzen et al., 2013; Pickard, 1971; Sánchez et al., 2011; Häussermann and Försterra, 2009; Iriarte et al., 2013). The sharp salinity gradient was the criteria to use two types of water to perform the experiments.

Deleted: where the salinity regulates the formation of the pycnocline

Deleted: latter

Deleted: determined the reason

Deleted: for using

2.2 Mesocosms set up and sampling

A total of 10 (1m³) containers were filled with water collected at depths of 2 m (brackish) and 10 m (marine) respectively, each one representing one treatment (Fig. 1). Water pumped into the containers was collected with a peristaltic pump (Multifix type M80), placed in a peer and using plastic hose (35 mm diameter) projected 30 m offshore with a bottom depth at the sampling point ca 30 - 45 m. Seawater was pumped to a 30 L mixing bottle and then distributed simultaneously to all mesocosms containers by gravity-fed pipe flow. The water was not prescreened, in order to contain the different taxonomic groups at the various trophic levels of the natural plankton assemblage. The length of the experiments was 22 days and during this period the containers remained closed. Sampling was performed every third day after proper mixing of the containers and before any other kind of samples. A hose connected to the peristaltic pump was introduced in each tank pumping 2-3 Lt into 5 L plastic bottles, which were taken to the laboratory for processing (Table 1). All material used, except from containers was acid washed in ultra-pure HNO₃ (Double quartz distilled from reagent grade). The containers were washed with the ambient seawater thoroughly and preconditioned by the same seawater for one day. Initial concentrations for all treatments corresponded to water collected by the peristaltic pump at the respective depths for brackish and marine systems before starting the experiments.

Deleted: tanks

Deleted: meters

Deleted: tanks

Deleted: Flowing water were pumped into a 33 L plastic collector, then afterwards equally distributed to each of the five tanks.

2.3 Nutrient additions

In order to simulate the nutrient enrichment occurring in the water, containers were supplied with four different concentration (treatments) of macronutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus and silicon) as ammonium chloride (NH_4Cl), sodium dihydrogen phosphate monohydrate ($\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$) and sodium metasilicate enneahydrate ($\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3\cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$) every third day at a fixed ratio. Although salmon aquaculture does not add silicon into the marine environment, it was supplied as the fjords ecosystem in southern Chile has continuous and in excess natural input of it, thus preventing potential nutrient limiting specifically for diatoms. The five units used per system, were denominated as Control, Natural, Conc 1, Conc 2 and Conc 3, where “Control” corresponded to the unit with no addition of nutrients, whereas “Natural”, received a nutrient input at the average ratio for N:P:Si, occurring in the natural environment (González et al., 2010; González et al., 2011; Iriarte et al., 2013). The three other units received the experimental nutrient concentrations from the lowest (Conc1) to the highest (Conc3) (Table 2). All macronutrient solutions were prepared from reagent grade and treated by adding Chelex-100 resin (Bio-Rad Laboratories) to remove the iron (see Section 2.5). The solutions were collected in acid washed PE bottles.

Deleted: column product of salmon aquaculture waste

Deleted: tanks

Deleted: due to

Deleted: prepared from

Deleted: reagents

2.4 Laboratory work

Processing of the samples collected in the field as performed under Class-100 laminar flow hood (AirClean-600 PCR Workstation) minimized possible contamination, whereas laboratory worked was carried out in a class 1000 clean laboratory at the Department of Chemistry at NTNU. After processing, all samples were analyzed by High Resolution Inductive Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (HR-ICP-MS) Element 2 (Thermo-Finnigan) with PFA-Schott type spray chamber and nebulizer.

Deleted: ing

2.5 Chelex samples

Samples for total Chelex labile (TFe_{Ch}) and dissolved Chelex labile (DFe_{Ch}) iron were collected in acid washed PE bottles, where a volume (~150 ml) of water was collected and added ~0.8 mL of Chelex-100 slurry (Bio-Rad Laboratories). The chelating ion-exchange resin was cleaned by putting in 2-3 M ultra-pure HNO_3 for 2 hours and washed with deionized water (18.2 M Ω) (two times), then adding 13.5 M Ammonia solution (Suprapur Merck) to maintain in the ammonium form. For the DFe_{Ch} , 0.2 μm acid washed filters (0.45 + 0.2 μm Sartorius Sartobran 300) and all-plastic syringes (PE) were used to filter the water.

1 All samples were double bagged and placed in a shaker (65 - 80rpm) for 48 – 72 hours. After
2 this period, each sample was transferred to an acid-washed Poly-prep Chromatography
3 column with a built-in polyethylene frit (pore size 100 – 300 μ m size) (Bio-Rad
4 Laboratories), where the water was washed out through and the Chelex-100 resin containing
5 the material was retained in the frit. Each column was then first rinsed with deionized water
6 (18.2 M Ω) and secondly with ~10mL of 0.1M Ammonium Acetate buffer (pH: 9.6 prepared
7 from 13.5M ammonia (suprapur Merck) and 17.4M acetic acid (traceSELECT ultra Fluka) to
8 remove the residue of seawater matrix, then afterwards packed and stored at 4°C. In the clean
9 laboratory, extraction of trace metals was done in a two-step acidifying process, obtaining a
10 final 5 mL 0.6 M HNO₃ sample (Ardelan et al., 2010;Öztürk et al., 2002).

Deleted: Bio-Rad Laboratories

Deleted: the column,

Deleted: restrained by

Deleted: resin

Deleted: present at the end of the column

Deleted: were

Deleted: deionized Milli-Q water 18.2 M Ω

11 **2.6 DGT samples**

12 Diffusive gradient in thin films (DGT) (Zhang and Davison, 1995) samples were collected
13 for labile iron (Fe_{DGT}), placing three DGT samplers in acid washed plastic sealed containers
14 with a known volume (~2000 mL) of water. Containers were placed in a shaker (60 - 80rpm)
15 for 48 – 72 hours and then the samplers were collected and stored at 4°C. In the clean
16 laboratory, all DGT samplers were processed over a Teflon sheet, where the first two layers
17 (filter and gel) were removed using plastic tweezers. The third layer, containing the Chelex-
18 gel was transferred to an acid washed PE tube and 1 mL 3M ultra-pure HNO₃ was added. The
19 PE tubes containing the Chelex-gel were put on a shaker at (60 - 80rpm) for a 12 hour period.
20 Afterwards, content in the PE tubes was transferred to new acid-washed PE tubes, keeping
21 the Chelex-gel in the previous tube. To assured total transfer of material, 4 mL of deionized
22 water (18.2 M Ω) were used to rinse the previous tubes and then poured into the new ones,
23 obtaining a final 5 mL 0.6 M HNO₃ sample (Ardelan et al., 2009).

Deleted: then

Deleted: after emptied and

Deleted: by using plastic tweezers

Deleted: Chelex-gelresin

Deleted: were

Deleted: deionized water

24 **2.7 Size fraction filtration**

25 Simple and sequential fractionation filtration was performed respectively to determine the
26 concentration and distribution of the particulate iron within the plankton community as total
27 (PFe) and in different size fractions (PF_{ESF}) present in the mesocosms. The former, implied
28 filtration only through 0.2 μ m, while the later, encompassed a range of five size classes: 0.8 –
29 2 μ m (picoplankton), 2 - 10 μ m (nanoplankton), 10 – 20 μ m (larger nanoplankton), 20 – 140
30 μ m (microplankton) and >140 μ m (mesozooplankton). Filtration up to the 10 μ m was
31 performed with a simple filtration system fitted to a peristaltic pump and using acid washed

Deleted: T

Deleted: , simple and sequential fractionation filtration were performed respectively

filters (isopore membrane, polycarbonate, hydrophilic, 0.2, 2, 10 μm , 47 mm), whereas filtration from 20, 140 and 220 μm fractions were performed with acid washed meshes (Nitex) with different pore size, with the retained material then afterwards washed into 0.2 μm filters. Filtration volumes ranged from ≥ 2000 mL for larger fractions to a 100 mL for the smaller ones. Samples were kept frozen until further processing. In the clean laboratory, samples underwent High Performance Microwave Reactor (Ultra Clave UC Milestone) digestion, by placing the filters into Teflon tubes, adding 5 mL of 7M ultra-pure HNO_3 and then inside the UC for two hours. After the digestion process, samples were set to final dilution of 61 ± 0.3 mg. Original volume was calculated using the density of deionized water ($18.2 \text{ M}\Omega$) (0.998 gr.cm^{-3} at 20°C) and initial volume filtered to obtain final concentration.

2.8 Blanks and detection limits

The detection limit used here is three times the standard deviation calculated from the measured method blank values. All values reported here lie above the blank value determined, first subtracted from the blanks obtained from HR-ICP-MS values and then calculated to the appropriate concentration. Method blanks and detection limits of the analysis performed in HR-ICP-MS for each technique are presented (Table 3). Method efficiency was determined by addition of Chelex-100 resin for certified reference materials CASS-4 and NASS-6 from the National Research Council of Canada (Ardelan et al., 2010).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Iron variability in the mesocosms seawater

Measurements for TFe_{Ch} , DFe_{Ch} and Fe_{DGT} during the experiment presented high variability between treatments and among the two systems (Fig 2). Overall, TFe_{Ch} and DFe_{Ch} concentrations presented higher values on the marine compared to the brackish system. On the other hand, average Fe_{DGT} concentration for all treatments showed no difference between the brackish ($4.0 \pm 2.4 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$) and marine ($3.9 \pm 2.3 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$) system (Table 4). TFe_{Ch} distribution for every treatment in both systems, tended to follow the same distribution pattern in time, with an initial increase followed by a maximum and a posterior decrease (Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b). Mean TFe_{Ch} concentrations for the Control and Natural treatments in both systems presented the lowest values (brackish: $7.7 \pm 2.9 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$ and $11.9 \pm 2.8 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$ and marine: $12.7 \pm 5.4 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$ and $13.5 \pm 2.8 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$ respectively) among all five treatments (Table. 4). DFe_{Ch} distribution in time, exhibited a lower range of variability compared to

Deleted: M

Deleted: H

Deleted: bigger

Deleted: under

Deleted: UP

Deleted: re

Deleted: room temperature

Deleted: using

Deleted: 2

Deleted: (Ardelan et al., 2010)

Deleted: alo

Deleted: 3

1 TFe_{Ch}, with a more consistent decreasing trend in time for both systems (Fig. 2c and Fig. 2d).
2 Except for the Natural treatment in the marine system, final concentrations for all treatments
3 in both systems presented lower values than initial ones (Table 4).

4 Conversely to TFe_{Ch} and DFe_{Ch}, Fe_{DGT} distribution in time revealed no clear trend in any
5 system (Fig. 2e and Fig. 2f). However, average in time per treatment revealed lower Fe_{DGT}
6 compared to DFe_{Ch} in the brackish and marine systems (Table 4). Values in the brackish
7 system ranged from very low $0.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$ up to $6.6 \pm 2.2 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$, while those in the
8 marine system presented a broader range (up to $9.5 \pm 2.0 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$). In both systems the final
9 concentrations in the Control and Natural treatments presented the highest values, being
10 above initial ones, whereas the final concentrations for the other treatments were below initial
11 values. A comparison between the final concentrations in the revealed significant differences
12 between the Natural and the three artificial nutrient addition treatments (Conc 1, Conc 2 and
13 Conc 3) (1-way ANOVA; DF: 14, Holm-Sidak), for both brackish and marine systems.

14 3.2 TFe_{Ch}, DFe_{Ch}, Fe_{DGT} and NH₄⁺ loading

15 No significant relationship was found between TFe_{Ch} and DFe_{Ch} and between DFe_{Ch} and
16 Fe_{DGT} for all 5 treatments neither for the brackish nor the marine system. However,
17 comparing TFe_{Ch} and DFe_{Ch} only for treatments with artificial nutrient addition (Conc 1,
18 Conc 2 and Conc 3), a negative correlation ($R^2 = 0.535$) appears in the brackish system (Fig.
19 3a). Relative to the NH₄⁺ loading, concentrations for TFe_{Ch} presented positive correlation for
20 both the brackish ($R^2 = 0.606$) and marine ($R^2 = 0.839$) systems, reflecting the increasing
21 trend in time observed with increasing NH₄⁺ concentration. Contrary to TFe_{Ch}, the DFe_{Ch}
22 exhibited a negative trend in both systems, but this was not significant (Fig. 3b and Fig. 3c).

23 3.3 Iron variability in the mesocosm plankton community

24 PFe in all treatments presented a higher mean value ($116.3 \pm 75.1 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$) in the marine
25 compared to the brackish system ($80.6 \pm 44.6 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$). The average in time for each
26 treatment with artificial NH₄⁺ addition in both systems, showed higher values compared to
27 those in the Control and the Natural treatments. The trend exhibited by PFe content in the
28 plankton biomass followed an increase in time and with increasing NH₄⁺ loading (in Conc 3
29 in both systems, there was a noticeable decrease at the end of the experiment) (Fig. 4a).
30 However, when standardized by the total Chlorophyll (Chl-a) content, PFe:Chl-a reflected an
31 inverse trend with a decreasing concentration with increasing NH₄⁺ loading in time in both

Deleted: showed

Deleted: no

Deleted: neither

Deleted: the brackish nor in the marine

Deleted: values

Deleted: between

Deleted: treatments final concentrations showed

Deleted: test

Deleted: mesocosms

Deleted: Yet

Deleted: for these variables

Deleted: of

Deleted: along

Deleted: treatment values

systems (Fig. 4b). The same change in trend occurred when PFe was standardized by the particulate organic carbon (PFe:POC) (Fig. 4c). Moreover, standardized values plotted against Chl-a or POC for the three artificial addition treatments, the PFe showed a negative exponential correlation (R^2 : 0.507 to 0.960), supporting the trend observed (Fig. 5).

PFe_{SF} values followed the same trend as PFe in both the brackish and marine systems as expected. A decreasing trend in time in the controls, and in the same way, higher values per fraction in treatments with artificial nutrient addition exhibited the accumulation trend of Fe with increasing NH_4^+ loading (Fig. 6). The proportion of PFe_{SF} in the 0.8 - 2 μm fraction remained constant with no significant changes among treatments in the brackish system, whereas in the marine system, treatments with artificial nutrient addition presented a decrease (Conc 1: 10.9 % Conc 2: 17.5 % and Conc 3: 13.7 %) compared to Control (34.8 %) and Natural (35.3 %). PFe_{SF} in the fraction >140 μm , exhibited higher proportion in all treatments with artificial nutrient addition compared to the Control and Natural, for both the brackish and marine system, yet it was not significant in either case.

3.4 PFe_{SF} in the 20-140 and 2-20 μm fraction

To compare PFe_{SF} contained in the plankton community in the size spectrum from 2 to 140 μm , the microplankton - nanoplankton Fe ratio (Fe μ :n ratio) was estimated between the 20 - 140 μm fraction (containing the microplankton) and the 2-10 and 10-20 μm fractions added (containing the nanoplankton) (Fig. 8a and Fig. 8c). In the brackish system, the Fe μ :n ratio presented no significant differences between treatments. On the other hand, in the marine system the mean Fe μ :n ratio for Control (0.8 ± 0.2) and Natural (0.8 ± 0.4) treatments compared to Conc 1 (2.4 ± 0.4) and Conc 2 (1.9 ± 0.3) presented significant differences (1-way ANOVA, DF: 14 P: < 0.002; Holm-Sidak). These higher ratios imply an increase of the proportion in the PFe_{SF} of the 20 - 140 μm fraction relative to the 2-20 μm fraction

3.5 Phytoplankton assemblages

The total abundance of phytoplankton presented average higher values in the brackish systems compared to the marine system, being proportional to the NH_4^+ concentration in both cases. Thus, Control and Natural presented the lowest values, while Conc 2 and Conc 3 presented the highest values (Fig. 8b and Fig. 8d). Abundance by main groups revealed initial high values ($> 2 \times 10^6 \text{ cell.ml}^{-1}$) and dominance of nanoplankton in the brackish system, while diatoms and nanoplankton were both present in low numbers ($3 - 7 \times 10^5 \text{ cell.ml}^{-1}$) in the

Deleted: Likewise PFe in the Control treatments,

Deleted: from an initial concentration (all fractions added) of 95.9 nmol.L^{-1} to 32.9 nmol.L^{-1} (65.7% reduction), and from $173.8 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$ to 70.1 nmol.L^{-1} (59.7% reduction)

Deleted: for the brackish and for the marine mesocosms respectively (Fig. 6). For the Natural treatment, no trend in time for any of the systems was observed.

Deleted: all treatments with artificial nutrient addition showed

Deleted: total mean

Deleted: concentration than Control and Natural treatments.

Deleted: Mean total PFe_{SF} concentrations for Conc 1, Conc 2 and Conc 3 of 168.8 ± 54.4 , 176.0 ± 40.9 and $230.9 \pm 85.6 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$ (mean \pm SD) for the brackish and 210.2 ± 19.3 , 219.6 ± 74.2 and $183.0 \pm 116.4 \text{ nmol.L}^{-1}$ (mean \pm SD) for the marine system,

Deleted: showed increasing trend

Deleted: as percentage of the total

Deleted: in the same range

Deleted: Yet, 0.8 - 2 μm fraction

Deleted: system, for all

Deleted: showed a lower proportion

Deleted: treatments, In the case of the

Deleted: it was appreciable an increase in the

Deleted: in the brackish (Conc 1: 24.9%, Conc 2: 46.7 % and Conc 3: 16.7 %)

Deleted: (11.6 %)

Deleted: (14.9 %),

Deleted: ((Conc 1: 20.8% Conc 2: 35.9 % and Conc 3: 39.8 %) compare to Control (10.3 %) and Natural (12 %) y

Deleted: the microplankton - nanoplankton Fe ratio (Fe μ :n ratio) was estimated

Deleted: fractions

Deleted: were added and then compare against the 20 - 140 μm fraction (containing the microplankton)

Deleted: microplankton - nanoplankton ratio (μ :n ratio)

Deleted: (1-way ANOVA, DF: 14 P: 0.589)

Deleted: in the marine system, the

Deleted: Control and Natural treatments, presented

Deleted: mean ratios of (0.8 ± 0.2 and 0.8 ± 0.4 respectively), while Conc 1, Conc and Conc 3 mean ratios value (... [1]

Deleted: higher ratios imply that t (... [2]

marine system. For the brackish system, a rapid decline of the nanoplankton abundance was observed, maintaining low values in all treatments (except some peaks), while in the marine system the nanoplankton remained low throughout the experiment in all treatments. On the contrary, diatoms in the treatments with NH_4^+ addition grew steadily until the abrupt decline in both systems despite of the low initial values and the higher nanoplankton abundance in the brackish system (Fig 8b and Fig 8d). Concerning the diatom composition, no significant trend was found related to the water type or for NH_4^+ concentration. Both systems showed the dominance of chain-forming centric diatoms as *Chaetoceros spp.* (medium and small sizes) and *Guinardia delicatula*. By the middle of the experiment, medium size *Chaetoceros spp.* represented > 70 % in all treatments, both in the brackish and marine systems.

Deleted: . A long time

Deleted: it was observed

Deleted: abundance

Deleted: mesocosms

4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Iron variability in the mesocosms

The Fe distribution pattern appeared to be correlated to the POC and Chl-a trends observed along the experiment. This implies a direct biological role in the changes in distribution of the different fractions of the element in the seawater (Fig. 7). TFe_{Ch} and DFe_{Ch} followed this pattern with opposite trends, particularly that of TFe_{Ch} exhibiting a remarkably similar trend to that followed by the POC. Given the observed decreasing concentration in DFe_{Ch} , the increase of TFe_{Ch} is presumed as a product of an increase in the particulate iron, via physical-chemical changes and/or uptake by the biota. A possible explanation could be that enhanced biological activity (e.g. release of organic ligands and exudates) might have induced chemical changes in the speciation in a fraction of iron previously not available (e.g. complexed) to the chelex-100 resin, forming newly dissolved iron (including colloids) that afterwards progressively transformed in time into TFe_{Ch} .

Deleted: etc...

A relevant factor related to the observed results, was the high biomass production attained in the containers. Compared to the productivity of the region (Iriarte et al., 2007; González et al., 2010; González et al., 2011; Iriarte et al., 2012), the concentrations of Chl-a and POC observed in the treatments, were much higher due to the artificial nutrient addition. This thus contributed to the formation of aggregate material at high rate that could be noticed at simple observation. Possible results of this type of particle aggregation could have been enhanced adsorption of the dissolved form onto the aggregates and coagulation of colloids, therefore removing portion of the dissolved fraction and transforming it into particulate. In a mesoscale

Deleted: mesocosms

Deleted: natural

Deleted: contributing

Deleted: (noticeable)

1 experiment Wong et al. (2006) reported a quick transformation of the dissolved iron to
2 particulate forms, with as much as 70% of the added iron transformed in the non-dissolved
3 form after less than 24 h. The trend described lower colloidal iron percentages as the
4 experiment progressed while the particulate fractions increased. The mechanism alleged to be
5 involved, could be a combination of biological uptake (Chen et al., 2003; Nodwell and Price,
6 2001) or simply adsorption of dissolved (including colloidal) iron to the plankton cell
7 surfaces as well as aggregation of oxyhydroxides (Wong et al., 2006). Accordingly, the
8 decrease observed in TFe_{Ch} towards the end of the experiment, coupled to that of Chl-a and
9 POC, seems to point to the settlement of the dying phytoplankton, and therefore suggesting
10 that the PFe attached was eventually exported to the bottom of the mesocosm containers.

Deleted: in a mesoscale experiment

11 Among other factors that could account for a poor correlation between different fractions of
12 iron, mechanical artifact could be an important one. It is known that colloids encompass a size
13 range from 1 to 1000 nm in diameter (Wells, 1998), lying within the boundaries of the
14 dissolved and particulate matter, consequently subjected to possible bias via artificial
15 manipulation. Moreover, colloid production rates could be enhanced by biological action,
16 presumably through a combination of cell exudation and lysis, microbial degradation of
17 particulate organic matter, sloppy feeding and excretion by zooplankton (Wells and
18 Goldberg, 1994), all factors which were gradually increased in the experiment by the NH_4^+
19 input. The combined factors above, could have modulated the increase of TFe_{Ch} , and
20 therefore the positive linear correlation with NH_4^+ input and TFe_{Ch} . The rather poor negative
21 correlation for DFe_{Ch} and NH_4^+ could be related to the mismatch between newly DFe_{Ch}
22 becoming available and the uptake by phytoplankton, making an expected decreasing DFe_{Ch}
23 trend less evident.

Deleted: the

Deleted: combined

Deleted: made

24 In the case of the Fe_{DGT} , the fact that the average concentration for all treatments was lower
25 than that of DFe_{Ch} , would suggest that the Chelex labile dissolved fraction, was probably
26 not all readily bioavailable. Nevertheless, when looking at the trends in time between Fe_{DGT}
27 and DFe_{Ch} , it was evident the high variation, exhibited at some points opposite trends (Fig. 7a
28 and Fig. 7c). The average ratio between DFe_{Ch} and Fe_{DGT} , higher for the marine (1.44)
29 compared to the brackish (1.27), suggest differences in the proportion of the bioavailable
30 fraction between the systems. However, given such variability the latter could not prove
31 significant, rather it establishes a gross pattern. Such variability can be argued as the response
32 to a dynamic system in which biological (release of organic ligands) and chemical (kinetics

Deleted: exhibiting

Deleted: even with Fe_{DGT} values higher than the DFe_{Ch}

Deleted: would

Deleted: Yet

Deleted: Observing the trends in time, it can be argued that

Deleted: might

1 and equilibrium) forcing, modulated changes in the iron speciation in short periods of time.
2 Despite all the variation, at the end of the experiment the significant lower Fe_{DGT}
3 concentrations for all the treatments with NH_4^+ compared to the Natural one, reflected the
4 decrease of the bioavailable fraction of iron as a consequence of the increased uptake by the
5 growing phytoplankton biomass.

Deleted: modulated

Deleted: Ddespite

Deleted: in time

6 Further sources of variability affecting the results could be invoked. Although it was carefully
7 performed, the fieldwork carried out was not under 100% ultraclean conditions. Indeed, the
8 high peaks obtained for both TFe_{Ch} and DFe_{Ch} samples appeared to be clear sample artifact.
9 Moreover, provided methodological errors were ruled out, high variation could have been the
10 result of an enclosed system and the experimental setup. Such case could be the NH_4^+ rate
11 supply ($4.6 \mu\text{mol.L}^{-1}.\text{d}^{-1}$) applied in treatment Conc 3. A significantly high concentration
12 (Olsen et al., 2006) was applied due to expecteded possible toxic effects, in that way the
13 plankton community was exposed to drastic changes in short periods of time that would not
14 have occurred in a natural environment.

Deleted: , leaving room for possible contamination

Deleted: other source of

Deleted: conditions

Deleted: for

Deleted: exposing

Deleted: rather

15 4.2 Iron content in the planktonic community

16 Determination of the PFe and PFe_{SF} , aimed to provide an estimation of the particulate iron
17 relative to the particulate carbon pool in the system and hence within the planktonic
18 community revealed that changes occurred in time of the concentration and distribution. The
19 results presumably reflect the effects of the NH_4^+ input over the planktonic composition in
20 both systems. PFe standardized both by POC and Chl-a, showed a negative exponential
21 relation with NH_4^+ , for both the brackish and marine systems, while PFe_{SF} not standardized
22 by fractionated POC and Chl-a data revealed a significant change in the ratio in the iron
23 content between two fractions (micro and nano) of the plankton community in the marine
24 system. The observed differences for the brackish and marine systems, can be attributed to a
25 great extent to the biological features (different microbial assemblages) under constant
26 physical forcing (i.e. presence of permanent LSL) and other hydrographic parameters proper
27 of fjord ecosystems (Pickard, 1971). The LSL particularly affects the water column features,
28 reducing light penetration, nutrient exchange and limiting wind-induced mixing, during
29 periodss of strong water column stratification (Gibbs, 2001). The result is an environmental
30 partitioning, with an often less productive (primary productivity) brackish layer based on
31 nutrient recycling, dominated regularly by the nano-plankton size class, whereas below the
32 halocline there is a marine layer with peak productivity ($10\text{--}15\text{m}$) based on constant nutrient

Deleted: although

Deleted: due to lack of

Deleted: data for

Deleted: showed for two treatments in the marine system

Deleted: absolute

1 input (deep nutrient-rich waters) and with micro-phytoplankton as the dominant component
2 (Sánchez et al., 2011).

3 Based on the higher Fe μ :n ratio observed in the marine system, could be argued that micro-
4 phytoplankton (diatoms in particular), takes advantage of the NH_4^+ input at less energy
5 expense. Therefore, a plankton community dominated by big diatoms could be expected to be
6 resembled in the marine system. However, it was evident that diatoms also outweighed the
7 nanoplankton abundance and biomass in the brackish system. The evidence of successful
8 growth of diatoms based on NH_4^+ is substantial. The diatom *Thalassiosira pseudonana*
9 exhibited an 8% increase in growth based on NH_4^+ compared to NO_3^- , under saturating light
10 and Fe-replete conditions (Thompson et al., 1989), while another study found higher growth
11 rates for ammonium-grown cells than for nitrate-grown cells of several species under the
12 same conditions (Levasseur et al., 1993). On the other hand, an oceanic diatom isolated from
13 the subarctic Pacific was found to have no difference in the growth rates of nitrate- and
14 ammonium-grown cells under Fe-replete conditions (Muggli et al., 1996). It is worth noting
15 that NO_3^- (not added as nutrient), concentrations in the marine system showed a decreasing
16 trend in the Control and Natural, while in the treatments with artificial addition NO_3^-
17 concentration remained constant during the experiment (data not shown), thus supporting the
18 idea of preferential ammonium uptake. Nevertheless, Price et al. (2005) found contrasting
19 results when growing diatoms with NO_3^- and NH_4^+ under high and low Fe-mediums. While
20 the iron quota (Q) was higher for NO_3^- under low Fe, at high Fe, was higher for NH_4^+ grown
21 cells. The main reasons pointed out that the growth conditions and species differences may be
22 responsible for the contrasting results.

23 As the Fe μ :n ratio here discussed above, the Fe:C ratio is determined mainly by the
24 differences in the community species composition and its physiological state. In contrast to
25 the relatively constant Redfield ratios of C: N: P, the cellular Fe:C ratios vary markedly (by a
26 factor of 30) as a function of the iron available (Bruland et al. 2001). Hence, most of the data
27 available on estimation of trace element quotas come from laboratory cultures (e.g. Sunda
28 and Huntsman 1995), with still few data from natural environments (Cullen and Sherrell,
29 1999). In such a way, in the scenario with the natural community studied here, There was a
30 high variability but with a tendency to reducing iron uptake, due to the conditions of NH_4^+
31 excess supply and diatoms dominance (Sunda and Huntsman, 1995). Accordingly, the POC
32 based Fe:C ratio obtained per treatment on both systems, followed a rather decreasing trend

Deleted: suggested

Deleted: took

Deleted: with a consequent probable lesser preference over nitrate.

Deleted: Yet

Deleted: this was the case

Deleted: also

Deleted: abundance and certainly biomass

Deleted: fraction

Deleted: along

Deleted: referenced

Deleted: it could be expected

on time with increasing NH_4^+ concentration (Fig. 8b and Fig. 8d). ~~However, a more~~ pronounced reduction for the brackish mesocosms ~~was observed~~ despite that initial Control and Natural values ~~being~~ higher compared to marine ones. Given the dominance of diatoms in both systems, it could be argued these would reflect species- specific differences (e.g. diatom species with different Fe requirements). Nevertheless, the diatom composition found (at the genus level) in both systems did not differ significantly to account for the different slopes observed in Fe:C in time.

Deleted: Yet

Deleted: it is observed

On the other hand, looking on the composition within the nanoplankton size fraction it is observed that nanoflagellates ~~constituted on average double~~ (5% ~~versus~~ 10%) of the total abundance in the brackish system compare to the marine ~~system~~. Pico- and nano-plankton with higher surface to volume ratios and a more efficient uptake at low nutrient concentrations (Chisholm, 1992; Price et al., 1994), thrive in environments where the main source of nitrogen is recycled like NH_4^+ , hence having lower Fe requirements. Conversely, diatoms in general have a higher requirement ~~for~~ iron to satisfy certain metabolic demands (Bruland et al., 2001). ~~This is~~ even more so for coastal assemblages since ~~they~~ have been shown to have an order-of-magnitude higher iron requirement (on a Fe:C basis) than oceanic species (Sunda and Huntsman 1995). ~~Thus it could be argued that nanoflagellates, having a~~ higher contribution to the carbon pool to the brackish system, would have a consequently decrease in the iron to carbon proportion (Schmidt and Hutchins, 1999; Sunda and Huntsman, 1997). ~~On the contrary, diatoms (higher contribution in the marine system), needing to meet~~ minimum Fe requirements ~~could~~ account for the rather smoothly decrease in ~~the~~ Fe:C ratio observed in the marine system.

Deleted: made up i

Deleted: 50% more

Deleted: against

Deleted: For this reason,

Deleted: by

Deleted: having

Deleted: , whereas

Despite the reduction ~~in the~~ Fe:C ratio, ~~the~~ estimated ratios as a proxy for the Fe content of plankton community in ~~the~~ Comau fjord during the experiment covered a broad range (265 up to 4224 $\mu\text{mol}:\text{mol}^{-1}$). Medians values (more representative here) were between 419 to 1430 and 753 to 1765 $\mu\text{mol}:\text{mol}^{-1}$ for the brackish and marine mesocosms respectively, situating these values above to what is reported on the literature for phytoplankton, (2.3 to 370 $\mu\text{mol}:\text{mol}^{-1}$) (Sunda and Huntsman, 1995; Ho et al., 2003; Sarthou et al., 2005). ~~The~~ values reported here account for the potential total cellular iron, ~~but this did~~ not distinguish between external and intracellular iron content. Reported values range from 63 to 90% of intracellular iron of total cellular iron under Fe limiting conditions for different cell diameters ~~s~~ and phytoplankton class species (Sunda and Huntsman, 1995). Moreover, the study reports

1 intracellular max concentrations of $1700 \mu\text{mol}:\text{mol}^{-1}$ for diatom species at high Fe conditions,
2 30 times higher than needed to support maximum growth rate. Therefore, the values reported
3 here could be reduced by at least 20%. For further comparisons, a range of carbon to
4 chlorophyll ratios from the literature and the Fe:Chl found here, where used to estimate the
5 Fe:C by other means (Table 5). Values obtained were higher than the POC based Fe:C but
6 with a consistent trend, thus seeing a decrease in the Fe:C at higher NH_4^+ .

Deleted: yet,

Deleted: was consistent,

Deleted: iron to carbon

7 When addressing the Fe:C ratio, it was considered that Chl-a only accounts for the
8 autotrophic component of the plankton community (i.e. diatoms, autotrophic dinoflagellates
9 and autotrophic nanoflagellates), whereas POC renders the complete amount of organic
10 carbon in the whole community (i.e. including bacteria and protists). Likewise, POC
11 measurements include all organic carbon whether of autochthonous or allochthonous origins,
12 which might be of particular relevance in fjords ecosystem subjected to constant input of
13 terrigenous origin (Syvitski et al., 1987; Vargas et al., 2011). In northern Patagonia fjords,
14 where the Comau fjord is located, are not influenced by glaciers and thus have significantly
15 lower loads of fine inorganic sediments than most fjords further south (Pickard, 1971), where
16 the input of marine-derived organic carbon on surface sediments varies widely and can
17 account between for 13 to 96% (average 61%) (Sepúlveda et al., 2011). Yet, a study of the
18 Comau fjord reports allochthonous contributions to the sediments of 23.6 to 89.9 % at depths
19 of 35 m and 475 m respectively (Silva et al., 2011). In this sense, it is important to highlight
20 that this high variability could have a significant effect on the composition of the water
21 collected for the experiments, in particular the brackish system. The pycnocline formed by
22 the fresh water input act as barrier, therefore retarding the water mixing, with the probable
23 consequence of temporary accumulation of organic carbon of allochthonous origin in the first
24 meters of the water column. As observed in the initial POC content, the brackish water
25 showed a three-fold difference ($263.9 \pm 23.3 \text{ mg}:\text{m}^{-3}$) compared to the marine (70.1 ± 11.8
26 $\text{mg}:\text{m}^{-3}$) mesocosm, hence increasing the carbon to iron ratio and simulating a lower Fe:C in
27 the plankton community for the brackish mesocosms. Regardless of the above, and given that
28 both Chl and POC related to the iron showing the same trend, the POC based Fe:C ratio
29 estimation can be still considered a reliable approach.

Deleted: for

Deleted: which

Deleted: ied

Deleted: (exponential decrease)

1 SUMMARY

2 The addition of NH_4^+ in our experimental set up revealed that there was an effect on the
3 distribution of the different forms of iron measured in the seawater as well as in the
4 particulate matter representing the content of iron in the plankton community.

Deleted: showed

5 The response for both type of water was different, the effect depended on the iron form
6 measured and was correlated either positively or negatively with increased NH_4^+
7 concentration, as seen from the TFe_{Ch} and DFe_{Ch} correlation in the brackish system. The
8 physical-chemical changes observed in Fe measured in seawater, suggest that besides from
9 dissolvable Fe, TFe (non-filtered) is related to the biological uptake, and that under certain
10 conditions should not be neglected as potential bioavailable source of Fe.

Deleted: in magnitude;

Deleted: depending

Deleted: water

Deleted: apart

11 In the presence of an excess of nutrients a dominance of microphytoplankton and a decrease
12 in Fe:C was observed in both water systems. However, the fact that Fe μn and Fe:C ratios,
13 species composition, Chl-a and POC were considerably different, highlights the key role of
14 the microbial assemblage within each type of water and therefore in the natural environment.

Deleted: was observed

Deleted: types

Deleted: and parameters like

15 Determining Q in key species is important to infer over the physiological state, environmental
16 adaptation or possible growth limitation in phytoplankton and therefore is useful to
17 understand possible ecosystem changes (e.g. a phytoplankton community with a low Fe:C
18 but rather high Chl-a yield, as seen here, could result in higher efficiency in carbon export).

Deleted: of

Deleted: certain

Deleted: to environment

Deleted: seeing

19 Likewise microcosm essays, these results are product of enclosed manipulated systems and
20 therefore interpretations must take this into account. Natural systems are complex and this
21 could be seen in the differences observed in the brackish and marine systems. Consequently,
22 to better understand the impact of the anthropogenic influence (e.g. increase input of NH_4^+ via
23 salmon aquaculture) on the biogeochemical cycling of major elements, and presumably that of
24 micronutrients such as iron in the pelagic ecosystem in the fjords of Chile, more emphasis
25 needs to be allocated to study the linkages in between and the role of the marine biota within.

Deleted: ing from

Deleted: that

Deleted: can cause over the

Deleted: cycling of iron

Deleted: in a similar way the biogeochemical cycling of major elements (C, N, and P)

Deleted: such as

Deleted: s between macro and micro-nutrients cycles

26 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

27 This work was part of the project "CAN WASTE EMISSION FROM FISH FARMS
28 CHANGE THE STRUCTURE OF MARINE FOOD WEBS (WAFOW)? - A comparative
29 study of coastal ecosystems in Norway and Chile (project 193661), and the project A Cross-
30 disciplinary Integrated Eco-system Eutrophication Research and Management Approach –

CINTERA (project 216607), both funded by NTNU–Norwegian Research Council . This work was partially supported by CONICYT of the Chilean government, through the FONDECYT research project (1110614).

We would like to thank the scientists and staff of the Fundacion San Ignacio del Huinay science station for their valuable support and the logistics provided during sampling. We also greatly appreciate the comments of the anonymous reviewers, who help us to considerably improve the manuscript. This is publication 103 of the Huinay Scientific Field Station.

REFERENCES

- Ardelan, M. V., Steinnes, E., Lierhagen, S., and Linde, S. O.: Effects of experimental CO₂ leakage on solubility and transport of seven trace metals in seawater and sediment, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 407, 6255–6266, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.09.004, 2009.
- Ardelan, M. V., Holm-Hansen, O., Hewes, C., Reiss, C. S., Silva, N., Dulaiova, H., Steinnes, E., and Sakshaug, E.: Natural iron enrichment around the Antarctic Peninsula in the Southern Ocean, *Biogeosciences*, 7, 11–25, 2010.
- Arrigo, K. R.: Marine microorganisms and global nutrient cycles, *Nature*, 437, 6, 2005.
- Bruland, K. W., Rue, E. L., and Smith, G. J.: Iron and Macronutrients in California Coastal Upwelling Regimes: Implications for Diatom Blooms, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 46, 1661–1674, 2001.
- Chen, M., Dei, R. C. H., Wang, W. X., and Guo, L.: Marine diatom uptake of iron bound with natural colloids of different origins, *Mar. Chem.*, 81, 177–189, 2003.
- Chisholm, S. W.: Phytoplankton size, Primary productivity and biogeochemical cycles in the sea. Plenum, 213–237, 1992.
- Cloern, J. E.: Our evolving conceptual model of the coastal eutrophication problem, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 210, 53, 2001.
- Cullen, J. T., and Sherrell, R. M.: Techniques for determination of trace metals in small samples of size-fractionated particulate matter: Phytoplankton metals off central California, *Mar. Chem.*, 67, 233–247, 1999.
- Field, C. B., Behrenfeld, M. J., Randerson, J. T., and Falkowski, P.: Primary production of the biosphere: integrating terrestrial and oceanic components, *Science*, 281, 237–240, 1998.
- Gibbs, M. T.: Aspects of the structure and variability of the low-salinity-layer in Doubtful Sound, a New Zealand fiord, *N. Z. J. Mar. Freshwat. Res.*, 35, 59–72, 10.1080/00288330.2001.9516978, 2001.
- González, H. E., Calderón, M., Castro, L., Clément, A., Cuevas, L., Daneri, G., Iriarte, J., Lizárraga, L., Martínez, R., and Menschel, E.: Primary production and plankton dynamics in the Reloncaví Fjord and the Interior Sea of Chiloé, Northern Patagonia, Chile, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 402, 13–30, 2010.
- González, H. E., Castro, L., Daneri, G., Iriarte, J., Silva, N., Vargas, C., Giesecke, R., and Sánchez, N.: Seasonal plankton variability in Chilean Patagonia fjords: Carbon flow through the pelagic food web of Aysen Fjord and plankton dynamics in the Moraleda Channel basin, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 31, 225–243, 2011.
- Ho, T. Y., Quigg, A., Finkel, Z. V., Milligan, A. J., Wyman, K., Falkowski, P. G., and Morel, F. M. M.: The elemental composition of some marine phytoplankton, *J. Phycol.*, 39, 1145–1159, 2003.

1 Häussermann, V., and Försterra, G.: Marine benthic fauna of Chilean Patagonia, *Nature in*
2 *Focus*, Santiago, 2009.

3 Iriarte, J. L., González, H., Liu, K., Rivas, C., and Valenzuela, C.: Spatial and temporal
4 variability of chlorophyll and primary productivity in surface waters of southern Chile (41.5-
5 43 S), *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 74, 471-480, 2007.

6 Iriarte, J. L., Pantoja, S., González, H. E., Silva, G., Paves, H., Labbé, P., Rebolledo, L., Van
7 Ardelan, M., and Häussermann, V.: Assessing the micro-phytoplankton response to nitrate in
8 Comau Fjord (42° S) in Patagonia (Chile), using a microcosms approach, *Environ. Monit.*
9 *Assess.*, 1-16, 2012.

10 Iriarte, J. L., Pantoja, S., González, H., Silva, G., Paves, H., Labbé, P., Rebolledo, L.,
11 Ardelan, M., and Häussermann, V.: Assessing the micro-phytoplankton response to nitrate in
12 Comau Fjord (42°S) in Patagonia (Chile), using a microcosms approach, *Environ. Monit.*
13 *Assess.*, 185, 5055-5070, 10.1007/s10661-012-2925-1, 2013.

14 Jantzen, C., Häussermann, V., Försterra, G., Laudien, J., Ardelan, M., Maier, S., and Richter,
15 C.: Occurrence of a cold-water coral along natural pH gradients (Patagonia, Chile), *Mar.*
16 *Biol.*, 160, 2597-2607, 2013.

17 Levasseur, M., Thompson, P. A., and Harrison, P. J.: Physiological acclimation of marine
18 phytoplankton to different nitrogen source, *J. Phycol.*, 29, 587-595, 1993.

19 Martin, J. H.: Iron, Liebig's Law, and the Greenhouse, *Oceanography*, 4, 52-55, 1991.

20 Martin, J. H., Gordon, M. R., and Fitzwater, S.: The Case for Iron, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 36,
21 1793-1802, 1991.

22 Morel, F. M. M., Rueter, J., and Price, N.: Iron nutrition of phytoplankton and its possible
23 importance in the ecology of ocean regions with high nutrient and low biomass,
24 *Oceanography*, 4, 56-61, 1991.

25 Morel, F. M. M., and Price, N.: The biogeochemical cycles of trace metals in the oceans,
26 *Science*, 300, 944-947, 2003.

27 Muggli, D. L., Lecourt, M., and Harrison, P.: Effects of iron and nitrogen source on the
28 sinking rate, physiology and metal composition of an oceanic diatom from the subarctic
29 Pacific, *Marine ecology progress series. Oldendorf*, 132, 215-227, 1996.

30 Nodwell, L. M., and Price, N. M.: Direct use of inorganic colloidal iron by marine
31 mixotrophic phytoplankton, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 765-777, 2001.

32 Olsen, Y., Agustí, S., Andersen, T., Duarte, C. M., Gasol, J. M., Gismervik, I., Heiskanen, A.
33 S., Hoell, E., Kuuppo, P., and Lignell, R.: A comparative study of responses in planktonic
34 food web structure and function in contrasting European coastal waters exposed to
35 experimental nutrient addition, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 488-503, 2006.

36 Pickard, G.: Some physical oceanographic features of inlets of Chile, *Journal of the Fisheries*
37 *Board of Canada*, 28, 1077-1106, 1971.

38 Price, N. M., Ahner, B., and Morel, F.: The equatorial Pacific Ocean: Grazer-controlled
39 phytoplankton populations in an iron-limited ecosystem, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 520-534, 1994.

40 Sánchez, N., González, H. E., and Iriarte, J. L.: Trophic interactions of pelagic crustaceans in
41 Comau Fjord (Chile): their role in the food web structure, *J. Plankton Res.*, 33, 1212-1229,
42 10.1093/plankt/fbr022, 2011.

43 Sarthou, G., Timmermans, K. R., Blain, S., and Tréguer, P.: Growth physiology and fate of
44 diatoms in the ocean: a review, *J. Sea Res.*, 53, 25-42, 2005.

45 Schmidt, M., and Hutchins, D.: Size-fractionated biological iron and carbon uptake along a
46 coastal to offshore transect in the NE Pacific, *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in*
47 *Oceanography*, 46, 2487-2503, 1999.

1 Sepúlveda, J., Pantoja, S., and Huguen, K. A.: Sources and distribution of organic matter in
2 northern Patagonia fjords, Chile (~ 44–47° S): A multi-tracer approach for carbon cycling
3 assessment, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 31, 315-329, 2011.

4 Silva, N., Vargas, C. A., and Prego, R.: Land–ocean distribution of allochthonous organic
5 matter in surface sediments of the Chiloé and Aysén interior seas (Chilean Northern
6 Patagonia), *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 31, 330-339, 2011.

7 Sunda, and Huntsman, S. A.: Iron uptake and growth limitation in oceanic and coastal
8 phytoplankton, *Mar. Chem.*, 50, 189-206, 10.1016/0304-4203(95)00035-p, 1995.

9 Sunda, and Huntsman, S. A.: Interrelated influence of iron, light and cell size on marine
10 phytoplankton growth, *Nature*, 390, 389-392, 1997.

11 Syvitski, J. P., Burrell, D. C., and Skei, J. M.: Fjords: processes and products, 1987.

12 Thompson, P. A., Levasseur, M. E., and Harrison, P. J.: Light-limited growth on ammonium
13 vs. nitrate: What is the advantage for marine phytoplankton?, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 1014-1024,
14 1989.

15 Vargas, C. A., Martinez, R. A., San Martin, V., Aguayo, M., Silva, N., and Torres, R.:
16 Allochthonous subsidies of organic matter across a lake-river-fjord landscape in the Chilean
17 Patagonia: Implications for marine zooplankton in inner fjord areas, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 31,
18 187-201, 2011.

19 Wang, W. X., Dei, R. C. H., and Xu, Y.: Cadmium uptake and trophic transfer in coastal
20 plankton under contrasting nitrogen regimes, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 211, 293-298, 2001.

21 Wells, M. L., and Goldberg, E. D.: The Distribution of Colloids in the North Atlantic and
22 Southern Oceans, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 39, 286-302, 1994.

23 Wells, M. L.: Marine colloids: A neglected dimension, *Nature*, 391, 530-531, 1998.

24 Wong, C. S., Johnson, W. K., Sutherland, N., Nishioka, J., Timothy, D. A., Robert, M., and
25 Takeda, S.: Iron speciation and dynamics during SERIES, a mesoscale iron enrichment
26 experiment in the NE Pacific, *Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography*,
27 53, 2075-2094, 10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.05.037, 2006.

28 Zehr, J. P., and Ward, B. B.: Nitrogen cycling in the ocean: new perspectives on processes
29 and paradigms, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 68, 1015-1024, 2002.

30 Zhang, H., and Davison, W.: Performance characteristics of diffusion gradients in thin films
31 for the in situ measurement of trace metals in aqueous solution, *Analytical Chemistry*, 67,
32 3391-3400, 1995.

33 Öztürk, M., Steinnes, E., and Sakshaug, E.: Iron Speciation in the Trondheim Fjord from the
34 Perspective of Iron Limitation for Phytoplankton, *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.*, 55, 197-212,
35 10.1006/ecss.2001.0897, 2002.

36
37
38
39
40
41

Table 1. Date, sampling day (sday), system and parameters measured (Chelex labile (Total: TFeCh and dissolved: DFeCh) and DGT labile (FeDGT) iron) for the different treatments in the mesocosm experiment.

<u>Date</u>	<u>Sday</u>	<u>System</u>	<u>Parameters</u>
<u>23 / 24.01.2011</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>Marine / Brackish</u>	<u>TFe_{Ch} - DFe_{Ch} - Fe_{DGT}</u>
<u>26 / 27.01.2011</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>Marine / Brackish</u>	<u>TFe_{Ch} - DFe_{Ch} - Fe_{DGT} - PFe</u>
<u>29 / 30.01.2011</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>Marine / Brackish</u>	<u>TFe_{Ch} - DFe_{Ch} - Fe_{DGT} - PFe_{SF}</u>
<u>01 / 02.02.2011</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>Marine / Brackish</u>	<u>TFe_{Ch} - DFe_{Ch} - PFe</u>
<u>04 / 05.02.2011</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>Marine / Brackish</u>	<u>TFe_{Ch} - DFe_{Ch} - PFe_{SF}</u>
<u>07 / 09.02.2011</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>Marine / Brackish</u>	<u>TFe_{Ch} - DFe_{Ch} - Fe_{DGT} - PFe</u>
<u>10 / 11.02.2011</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>Marine / Brackish</u>	<u>TFe_{Ch} - DFe_{Ch} - PFe</u>
<u>13 / 14.02.2011</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>Marine / Brackish</u>	<u>TFe_{Ch} - DFe_{Ch} - Fe_{DGT} - PFe_{SF}</u>

1 Table 2, Concentration (μM) and ratio for the different macronutrients added as NH_4Cl for
 2 Nitrogen (N), $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ for Phosphorus (P) and $\text{Na}_2\text{SiO}_3\cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$ for Silicon (Si) in the
 3 different treatments for the brackish (6 to 10) and marine (1 to 5) systems, in the mesocosm
 4 experiment. Control units had no nutrient addition.

Deleted: 1

Deleted: Rate supply

Deleted: $\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$

Deleted: (1-5)

<u>Treatment</u>	<u>Mesocosm</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>Si</u>	<u>N:P</u>	<u>N:Si</u>
<u>Control</u>	<u>1 and 6</u>					
<u>Natural</u>	<u>2 and 7</u>	<u>6.5</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>3.2</u>	<u>15.3</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Conc 1</u>	<u>3 and 8</u>	<u>26.4</u>	<u>1.1</u>	<u>13.1</u>	<u>24.2</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Conc 2</u>	<u>4 and 9</u>	<u>65.8</u>	<u>2.7</u>	<u>32.6</u>	<u>24.2</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Conc 3</u>	<u>5 and 10</u>	<u>102.8</u>	<u>4.3</u>	<u>51.0</u>	<u>24.2</u>	<u>2</u>

Table 3. Concentration (nM), Standard deviation (Std) and relative standard deviation (RSD %), for the blanks analyzed in HR-ICP-MS for the chelex, DGT and fractionation samples in the mesocosm experiment. Concentration (nM) and percentage of recovery (% Rec) for the certified reference materials (NRC). *Method blanks: 20 ml deionized water 18.2 MΩ water +10 ml ammonium acetate solution treated exactly as sample with 0.8 ml clean Chelex-100 and eluted similarly. ** With pre-concentration factor 30.

<u>Blank</u>	<u>n</u>	<u>nM</u>	<u>Std</u>	<u>% RSD</u>	<u>Ref. nM</u>	<u>% Rec.</u>
<u>Chelex-100</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>0,02</u>	<u>0,01</u>	<u>39,07</u>		
<u>DGT</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>0,03</u>	<u>0,01</u>	<u>23,79</u>		
<u>Fractionation (0.8 µm filter)</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0,02</u>	<u>0,00</u>	<u>24,28</u>		
<u>Fractionation (2 µm filter)</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0,01</u>	<u>0,00</u>	<u>11,06</u>		
<u>Fractionation (10 µm filter)</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>0,01</u>	<u>0,01</u>	<u>50,51</u>		
<u>Method blank*</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>0,08 nmol</u>	<u>0,02</u>	<u>28,6</u>		
<u>Procedural detection limits**</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>0,1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
<u>Standard</u>						
<u>CASS-4</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>10,5</u>	<u>1,4</u>	<u>12,9</u>	<u>12,7</u>	<u>82,2</u>
<u>NASS-6</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6,2</u>	<u>0,5</u>	<u>7,4</u>	<u>8,8</u>	<u>70,5</u>

Deleted: mol.L⁻¹

Deleted: Filter: Filter pore size. Std: Standard deviation. C. Int 95%: Confidence interval 95 %. C. Int. 95% (%): Confidence interval 95 % percentage.

Formatted: English (U.S.)

1 Table 4. Concentration (nM) for total Chelex labile (TFe_{Ch}), dissolved Chelex labile (DFe_{Ch}) and DGT labile (Fe_{DGT}) iron for all treatments in
2 the brackish and marine systems in the mesocosm experiment.

Deleted: mol.L⁻¹

Deleted: both

	Sday	TFe _{Ch}					DFe _{Ch}					Fe _{DGT}				
		Con	Nat	Conc1	Conc2	Conc3	Con	Nat	Conc1	Conc2	Conc3	Con	Nat	Conc1	Conc2	Conc3
Brackish	1	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.2	4.7±1.8	4.7±1.8	4.7±1.8	4.7±1.8	4.7±1.8
	2	9.4	13.3	9.3	7.1	15.1	8.0	3.5	4.9	6.9	5.4	2.6±1.5	0.3±0.1	3.4±2.6	0.3±0.0	2.1±2.9
	3	10.3	12.1	14.9	14.5	23.6	5.2	4.6	4.7	6.4	4.3			3.7±2.6	2.3±1.0	
	5	7.6	15.6	24.2	25.6	20.2	1.4	6.9	2.0	3.7	2.8	6.3±2.4	6.3±1.7	6.6±2.2	6.2±0.1	5.7±1.7
	8	2.9	10.0	15.9	24.6	9.6	1.0	5.4	4.6	2.8	2.7	4.3±1.9	6.3±0.5	2.4±0.4	2.4±0.8	0.9±0.8
Marine	1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	13.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	4.1±1.4	4.1±1.4	4.1±1.4	4.1±1.4	4.1±1.4
	2		11.7				6.2	6.3	12.1					1.5±0.5	2.5±3.2	
	3	12.0	15.1	16.2	21.0	19.9	7.5	7.7	6.8	6.4	4.4				2.6±0.5	4.3±3.5
	5	9.4	17.4	31.7	30.4	23.3	6.2	6.8	4.2	4.8	7.4	2.4±0.9	2.1±1.1	4.3±1.3	8.2±1.7	1.8±1.1
	8	7.5	10.4	24.3	14.1	9.2	6.6	3.5	2.9	2.8	3.6	9.5±2.1	6.3±2.6	2.9±0.5	2.8±0.9	2.1±0.4

1 Table 5. Chlorophyll to carbon ratio (Chl:C) ($\mu\text{mol}:\text{mmol}^{-1}$) and iron to carbon ratio (Fe:C)
 2 ($\mu\text{mol}:\text{mol}^{-1}$) for a range of values for *T. pseudomona* (Sunda and Huntsmann 1995). Fe:C
 3 ($\mu\text{mol}:\text{mol}^{-1}$) based on Fe:Chl ($\mu\text{mol}:\text{mmol}^{-1}$) and Chl:C from the literature, for all the
 4 treatments in the brackish and marine systems in the mesocosm experiment.

Formatted: Width: 21 cm, Height: 29,7 cm

Deleted: 4

Deleted: both

Reference		Control		Natural		Conc 1		Conc 2		Conc 3	
Chl:C	Fe:C	Fe:Chl	Fe:C	Fe:Chl	Fe:C	Fe:Chl	Fe:C	Fe:Chl	Fe:C	Fe:Chl	Fe:C
0.13	13	Brackish	59969	7616	121318	15407	24971	3171	28578	3629	1213
0.26	1770			15472		31300		6443		7373	2465
		Marine	53321	6772	43888	5574	62126	7890	47562	6040	1691
				13757		11323		16029		12271	3435

8

1 Figure 1. Study area, sampling site (Huinay Stn) and deployment of the brackish and marine
2 systems for the mesocosm experiment in the Comau Fjord, Chile during January-February
3 2011.

4 Figure 2. Total Chelex labile iron (TFe_{Ch}) (a.-b.), dissolved Chelex labile iron (DFe_{Ch}) (c.-d.)
5 and DGT labile iron (Fe_{DGT}) (e.-f.) (nmol.L^{-1}), in the brackish (left) and marine (right)
6 systems for all treatments in the mesocosm experiment. Gap between points: missing data.
7 Error bars: standard deviation ($n=3$).

8 Figure 3. Relation between a.) TFe_{Ch} (nmol.L^{-1}) versus DFe_{Ch} (nmol.L^{-1}) for both systems
9 (Conc 1, Conc 2, Conc3), TFe_{Ch} and DFe_{Ch} versus NH_4^+ concentration in the b.) brackish and
10 c.) marine systems for all treatments (average for all days) in the mesocosm experiment.
11 Error bars: standard deviation ($n=5$).

12 Figure 4. Distribution of a.) PFe (nmol.L^{-1}), standardized by b.) chlorophyll-a (Chl-a)
13 (nmol.ugr^{-1}) (PFe:Chl-a) and c.) particulate organic carbon (POC) (nmol.ugr^{-1}) (PFe:POC),
14 for all treatments along time in the brackish and marine systems in the mesocosm experiment.

15 Figure 5. PFe standardized by chlorophyll-a (Chl-a) (nmol.ug^{-1}) and by particulate organic
16 carbon (POC) versus chlorophyll-a ($\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$) and particulate organic carbon ($\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$) in the a.-
17 b.) brackish and c. – d.) marine systems, for treatments with artificial nutrient addition
18 (Conc 1, Conc 2 and Conc 3) in the mesocosms experiment.

19 Figure 6. Distribution of the PFe_{SF} (nmol.L^{-1}), in the plankton community, contained within
20 the different size classes (μm) in the a.) brackish and b.) marine systems for all treatments
21 along time in the mesocosm experiment.

22 Figure 7. Total Chelex labile iron (TFe_{Ch}), dissolved Chelex labile iron (DFe_{Ch}), DGT labile
23 iron (Fe_{DGT}) (nmol.L^{-1}), Chlorophyll (Chl-a) ($\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$) (Right axis) and particulate organic
24 carbon (POC) ($\mu\text{g.L}^{-1}$) (Left axis) concentrations in the a.- b.) brackish and c.- d.) marine
25 systems for all treatments in the mesocosm experiment. Isolated points: contamination
26 outliers. Dash line: missing data. Error bars: standard deviation ($n=3$).

27 Figure 8. Distribution of PFe_{SF} (nmol.L^{-1}) in three fractions ($2 - 10\mu\text{m}$, $10 - 20\mu\text{m}$ and $20 -$
28 $140\mu\text{m}$) of the plankton community (Left axis), ratio between PFe_{SF} in the $20 - 140\mu\text{m}$ and
29 $2 - 10 + 10 - 20\mu\text{m}$ (encompassing the microplankton and nanoplankton fractions
30 respectively) (Right axis). Abundance (Cell.L^{-1}) of total phytoplankton, diatoms
31 (microplankton) and nanoplankton and iron to carbon ratio (Fe:C) ($\mu\text{mol.mol}^{-1}$) (Right axis)
32 in the a.-b.) brackish and c.-d.) marine systems for all treatments along time in the
33 mesocosms experiment. Error bars: standard deviation ($n=3$).

Deleted: loading

Deleted: u

Deleted: r

Deleted: u

Deleted: r

Deleted: concentration

Deleted: within the

Deleted: mg.m^{-3}

Deleted: P

Deleted: O

Deleted: Carbon

Deleted: mg.m^{-3}

Deleted: a

Deleted: I

Deleted: Carbon ratio