

December 29, 2014

Response to **S. Zimov**

We are grateful for this reviewer's comments on our manuscript. Based on these comments and suggestions, we have revised our manuscript in an effort to improve it and address the concerns. Below is our response to the reviewer's comments (reproduced in bold).

I like the manuscript. It is rather bare (without emotions) with minimum of discussions. The work is very useful. I know how it is difficult to manage the work - to measure CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes from the number of lakes both in summer and in winter. Many years ago, I tried to do this work on Kolyma lowland from Arctic coast to taiga area. The authors have done the work much better than we had done. The received results looks very probable. Unfortunately, yedoma on Alaska exists fragmentary. The authors were not able to show dependency of methane flow on temperature (latitude). According my experience I can note that the dependency is more strong for diffusive flow than for bubbling.

We thank the reviewer for sharing results of his previous experience measuring CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes from lakes along a latitudinal transect in Northeast Siberia, where the landscape is predominately covered by yedoma permafrost. The reviewer is correct that we were unable to determine a temperature dependence of lake CH₄ emission along our North-South Alaska lakes transect, most likely due to geographic variability and the highly dissected distribution of yedoma permafrost in Alaska - Our transect crossed yedoma only in Interior Alaska, but not in the North or South. We agree with the reviewer that diffusive flux is more likely controlled by temperature than ebullition, since at low temperatures CH₄ oxidation is more temperature sensitive than CH₄ production (Whalen et al., 1990, Schulz et al., 1997) and seep ebullition, which we studied, seems to bypass aerobic oxidation. Tan et al. (2014) recently showed this as well through process-based climate-sensitive lake biogeochemical modeling of lake CH₄ production and emissions for Alaskan lakes as well. We were not able to demonstrate temperature relationships to any emission modes in our field measurements, likely due to the confounding factor of geographic variability of substrates (e.g. yedoma vs. non-yedoma soils) and the fact that organic matter supply from thawing yedoma in the yedoma lakes seemed to overwhelm CH₄ production and emissions among lakes. We have added these points of discussion to the manuscript as well as references suggesting such temperature (latitude) dependency of diffusive emissions should exist based on studies that found these results in other non-yedoma regions (Marotta et al., 2014; Rasilo et al. 2014; Yvon-Durocher et al., 2014) [See Sec. 4.2].

The authors experimentally have confirmed that fact that yedoma is an important factor of the methane global budget. That is the main result of the work. Their data support that the permafrost (yedoma) thawing could be a main factor of atmospheric methane rising during Pleistocene-Holocene transition and can be important methane source in nearest future. Data of gas rate dynamic in lakes is also important for understanding hydrobiota distribution. For example, to the northward fish in lakes are more sensitive to low concentration of oxygen and high concentration of methane.

We agree with the reviewer that CH₄ and CO₂ dynamics in lakes have important implications for lake biota. We have added a paragraph to Sec. 4.3 explaining that understanding the dynamics of dissolved CH₄ and O₂ in northern lakes has also relevance to hydrobiota distribution. Ohman et al. (2006) showed that CH₄ concentration in the water column is correlated with fish community composition in lakes, which is easily understood since CH₄ can be used as an indicator of anoxia and therefore, correlated with the fish O₂ requirements.

We thank the reviewer for the time and thought they put into reading our manuscript and for their helpful suggestions for improvement. We hope that our revised manuscript will be considered suitable for publication in *Biogeosciences*.

References cited

- Marotta, H., Pinho L., Bastviken D., Tranvik L. J., and Enrich-Prast A.: Greenhouse gas production in low-latitude lake sediments responds strongly to warming, *Nat. Clim. Change*, 4: 467-470, 2014.
- Ohman, J., Buffam, I., Englund, G., Blom, A., Lindgren, E., and Laudon L.: Associations between water chemistry and fish community composition: a comparison between isolated and connected lakes in northern Sweden, *Freshwater Biol.*, 51, 510-522, 2006.
- Rasilo, T., Prairie, Y. T., and Del Giorgio, P. A.: Large-scale patterns in summer diffusive CH₄ fluxes across boreal lakes, and contribution to diffusive C emissions, *Glob. Chang. Biol.*, in press, 2014.
- Schulz, S., Matsuyama, H., and Conrad, R. Temperature dependence of methane production from different precursors in a profundal sediment (Lake Constance), *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 22: 207–213. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6941.1997.tb00372.x, 1997.
- Tan, Z., Zhuang, Q., Walter Anthony, K. M.: Modeling methane emissions from arctic lakes: model development and site-level study, *J. Adv. Model Earth Sy.*, in review.
- Whalen, S. C., Reeburgh, W. S. and Sandbeck, K. A. Rapid methane oxidation in a landfill cover soil, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 56: 3405–3411, 1990.
- Yvon-Durocher, G., Allen, A. P., Bastviken, D., Conrad, R., Gudasz, C., St-Pierre, A., Thanh-Duc, N., del Giorgio, P. A.: Methane fluxes show consistent temperature dependence across microbial to ecosystem scales, *Nature*, 507: 488-491, 2014.