Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, C8337–C8338, 2015 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/11/C8337/2015/

© Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD

11, C8337-C8338, 2015

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Reconciling single chamber Mg/Ca with whole test δ^{18} O in surface to deep dwelling planktonic foraminifera from the Mozambique Channel" by J. Steinhardt et al.

M. F. G. Weinkauf

mweinkauf@marum.de

Received and published: 27 January 2015

This is a very interesting paper. Upon skimming through it I just stumbled over one little curiosity. You state yourself several times (p. 17268, lines 11–12; Eq. (4); caption of Fig. 4) that the supposed relationship between $\delta^{18}{\rm O}$ temperature and Mg/Ca should be positive exponential. Why then (according to your caption and from the looks of it) are you fitting a polynomial second degree to your data (Fig. 4, right panel)? An exponential function would be much more reasonable on a mechanistical basis (as you state yourself). Unless you have a particular reason to believe that the relationship should have a local minimum at around 8 °C and then rise again, but then some of your

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



other statements are incorrect and this would have to be discussed.

Best regards, Manuel Weinkauf

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 17255, 2014.

BGD

11, C8337-C8338, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

