

## ***Interactive comment on “Biogeochemistry of a large and deep tropical lake (Lake Kivu, East Africa): insights from a stable isotope study covering an annual cycle” by C. Morana et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 10 March 2015

General comments:

The authors present a fairly well written manuscript reporting on the seasonal and spatial variations of the concentration and isotopic signature of different carbon and nitrogen pools of a large African lake (Lake Kivu), which shown contrasting patterns across both space and time. While the study presents extensive data on the major C pools of the lake, I was left wondering however if many of the isotopic patterns described were of any significance. For example, there was only a 1 per mill change in the DIC isotopic signature, both across season and lake depth, and the authors make a series of inference on the lake functioning based on such little variation. What is the actual experimental error on these values, and why the authors suggest that the

C9032

change in  $d^{13}C$ -DIC is significant, but not the change in  $d^{13}C$ -DOC although the latter pool also varied by one per mill? I also had a hard time reconciling the conclusion that the lake is net autotrophic, yet a net C source to the atmosphere based on the isotopic evidence presented. The authors suggest that allochthonous inputs are of minor importance, so my question is then where is the excess C coming from? Also, I wouldn't be surprised if the gradual and small enrichment of the DIC isotopic signature during the rainy season may simply reflect a return to the equilibrium of the DIC pool isotopic signature with the atmosphere after the intrusion of a more depleted pool during the dry season, which would have little to do with biological processes ( $PP > R$ ), but more with simple physical mixing. In this regard, the study would have greatly benefited from gas evasion (or invasion) or metabolism ( $PP$  vs.  $R$ ) measurements. Finally, I was not totally convinced by the importance of the methane-based biomass production for the lake food web as suggested by the authors. Per the authors calculation, about 5% only of the oxidized methane could be incorporated into biomass, in line with other lake studies (Jones and Lennon, 2010, *AME* 58:45-53), and I would thus suggest toning down the conclusions accordingly.

Specific comments:

P17236L219: Maybe this has been observed in temperate lakes, but here the data show a complete opposite pattern: more depleted  $d^{13}C$ -DIC values were found at times i.e., during the dry season (Fig.2b) when the Chla (and potentially PP) values peaked in the lake, suggesting only a limited impact of PP on the seasonal dynamics of the DIC pool isotopic signature.

P17236L23: Again, perhaps I am missing something, but it seems to me that the enrichment of the  $d^{13}C$ -DIC during the rainy season could simply be reflecting a re-equilibration with atmospheric  $CO_2$  after the DIC became depleted due to deeper water mixing (P17234L24 and Fig.2b). Did the authors take this into account at all? What would be the expected  $d^{13}C$ -DIC values if fully equilibrated with the atmosphere?

C9033

P17237L17: Yet, total or bacterial respiration may also be enhanced (for example, see Amado et al. *Front Microbiol.* 2013; 4: 167.), and perhaps even more so than primary production, resulting in a higher probability of experiencing net heterotrophy in tropical systems. I am not saying that it will be the case, but the authors' argument should be better supported.

P17240L6: I would not call a 4-6% a significant part of the POC pool. Also, I am not sure what is meant by POC here as it seems to be either referring to algae or bacteria alternatively thorough the manuscript. Please be consistent for clarity.

Fig.2b: While the patterns as present are quite clear, I find that expanding the Y axis to show a 1 per mill difference a bit misleading.

Technical comments:

P17232L21: The IO analytical Aurora 1030W does not provide d13C values, only organic and inorganic C concentrations. Please specify which instrument was used for isotopes.

P17237L4: Please correct "could have resulted"

---

Interactive comment on *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 11, 17227, 2014.