1 Low methane (CH₄) emissions downstream of a monomictic

2 subtropical hydroelectric reservoir (Nam Theun 2, Lao PDR)

3 C. Deshmukh^{1,2,3,‡}, F. Guérin^{1,4,5}, D. Labat¹, S. Pighini^{6,#}, A. Vongkhamsao⁶, P.

4 Guédant⁶, W. Rode⁶, A. Godon^{6,¥}, V. Chanudet⁷, S. Descloux⁷, D. Serça²

- 5 [1]{Université de Toulouse ; UPS GET, 14 Avenue E. Belin, F-31400 Toulouse, France}
- 6 [2]{Laboratoire d'Aérologie Université de Toulouse CNRS UMR 5560; 14 Av. Edouard
- 7 Belin, F-31400, Toulouse, France}
- 8 [3]{Centre for Regulatory and Policy Research, TERI University, New Delhi, India}
- 9 [4]{IRD ; UR 234, GET ; 14 Avenue E. Belin, F-31400, Toulouse, France}
- 10 [5]{Departamento de Geoquimica, Universidade Federal Fluminense, Niteroi-RJ, Brasil}
- 11 [6]{Nam Theun 2 Power Company Limited (NTPC), Environment & Social Division Water
- 12 Quality and Biodiversity Dept.- Gnommalath Office, PO Box 5862, Vientiane, Lao PDR}
- 13 [7]{Electricité de France, Hydro Engineering Centre, Sustainable Development Dpt, Savoie
- 14 Technolac, F-73373 Le Bourget du Lac, France}
- 15 [‡]{now at: Nam Theun 2 Power Company Limited (NTPC), Environment & Social Division –
- 16 Water Quality and Biodiversity Dept.– Gnommalath Office, PO Box 5862, Vientiane, Lao PDR}
- 17 [#]{now at: Innsbruck University, Institute of Ecology, 15 Sternwartestrasse, A-6020 Innsbruck,
- 18 Austria and Foundation Edmund Mach, FOXLAB-FEM, Via E. Mach 1, IT-38010 San Michele
- 19 all'Adige, Italy}
- 20 [¥]{now at: Arnaud Godon Company, 44 Route de Genas, Nomade Lyon, 69003 Lyon, France }
- 21 Correspondence to: F. Guérin (frederic.guerin@ird.fr)

22 Abstract

23 Methane (CH₄) emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs could represent a significant fraction of

24 global CH₄ emissions from inland waters and wetlands. Although CH₄ emissions downstream of

- 25 hydroelectric reservoirs are known to be potentially significant, these emissions are poorly
- 26 documented in recent studies. We report the first quantification of emissions downstream of a

27 subtropical monomictic reservoir. The Nam Theun 2 Reservoir (NT2R), located in Lao People's Democratic Republic, was flooded in 2008 and commissioned in April 2010. This reservoir is a 28 29 trans-basin diversion reservoir which releases water to two downstream streams: the Nam Theun 30 River below the dam and an artificial channel downstream of the powerhouse and a regulating 31 pond that diverts the water from the Nam Theun watershed to the Xe Bangfai watershed. We 32 quantified downstream emissions during the first four years after impoundment (2009-2012) on 33 the basis of a high temporal (weekly to fortnightly) and spatial (23 stations) resolution of the 34 monitoring of CH₄ concentration.

Before the commissioning of NT2R, downstream emissions were dominated by a very significant degassing at the dam site resulting from the occasional spillway discharge for controlling the water level in the reservoir. After the commissioning, downstream emissions were dominated by degassing which occurred mostly below the powerhouse. Overall, downstream emissions decreased from 10 GgCH₄ y⁻¹ after the commissioning to 2 GgCH₄ y⁻¹ four years after impoundment. The downstream emissions contributed only 10 to 30% of total CH₄ emissions from the reservoir during the study.

42 Most of the downstream emissions (80%) occurred within 2-4 months during the transition 43 between the warm dry season (WD) and the warm wet season (WW) when the CH₄ concentration in hypolimnic water is maximum (up to 1000 μ mol L⁻¹) and downstream emissions 44 are negligible for the rest of the year. Emissions downstream of NT2R are also lower than 45 46 expected because of the design of the water intake. A significant fraction of the CH₄ that should 47 have been transferred and emitted downstream of the powerhouse is emitted at the reservoir 48 surface because of the artificial turbulence generated around the water intake. The positive 49 counterpart of this artificial mixing is that it allows O₂ diffusion down to the bottom of the water 50 column enhancing aerobic methane oxidation and it subsequently lowering downstream 51 emissions by at least 40%.

52 **1.** Introduction

53 Methane (CH₄) emission from hydroelectric reservoirs at the global scale was recently revised 54 downward and it would represent only 1% of anthropogenic emissions (Barros et al., 2011). This 55 latter estimate is mostly based on CH₄ diffusion at the reservoir surface and in a lesser extent on 56 CH₄ ebullition which are the two best documented pathways to the atmosphere. However, emissions from the drawdown area (Chen et al., 2009;Chen et al., 2011) and emissions downstream of dams (Galy-Lacaux et al., 1997;Abril et al., 2005;Guérin et al., 2006;Kemenes et al., 2007;Chanudet et al., 2011;Teodoru et al., 2012;Maeck et al., 2013) were poorly studied and are not taken into account in the last global estimate (Barros et al., 2011). Some authors attempted to include these two pathways to the global estimation of greenhouse gas emissions from reservoirs (Lima et al., 2008;Li and Zhang, 2014) and it increased drastically the emission factors of reservoirs.

64 The downstream emissions include the so-called degassing which occurs just below the turbines. 65 It is attributed to the high turbulence generated by the discharge of the reservoir water into the 66 river below the dam and the large pressure drop that the water undergoes while being transported 67 from the bottom of the reservoir to the surface of the river below the dam. It also includes 68 emissions by diffusion from the river below the dam. Downstream emissions were first reported 69 at the Petit Saut Reservoir (Galy-Lacaux et al., 1997) and this pathway was later confirmed in 70 some Brazilian reservoirs (Guérin et al., 2006;Kemenes et al., 2007). When all emission 71 pathways from tropical or temperate hydroelectric reservoirs (disregarding the drawdown 72 emissions) are taken into account, downstream emissions could contribute 50 to 90% of total 73 CH₄ emissions (Abril et al., 2005;Kemenes et al., 2007;Maeck et al., 2013). At two other sites 74 located in Canada and in Lao People's Democratic Republic (Lao PDR) where this pathway was 75 studied, downstream emissions were found to contribute less than 25% when it exists (Chanudet 76 et al., 2011; Teodoru et al., 2012). According to the differences from one reservoir to the other, it appears that the factors controlling downstream emissions from reservoirs must be identified in 77 78 order to propose realistic estimations of the global emissions from reservoirs including 79 downstream emissions.

In the present study, we quantified emissions downstream of the Nam Theun 2 Reservoir (NT2R) located in Lao PDR on the basis of a high temporal (weekly to fortnightly) and spatial (23 stations) resolution monitoring of CH_4 concentration. The significance of the aerobic CH_4 oxidation in the dynamics of CH_4 in the reservoir and the downstream rivers was also evaluated. We characterized the seasonal patterns of downstream emissions and evaluated the contribution of this pathway to CH_4 emissions by ebullition (Deshmukh et al., 2014) and diffusive fluxes at the surface of the reservoir (Guérin et al., 2015). We finally discuss the contribution of downstream emissions according to the reservoir hydrodynamics and the design of the water
intake by comparing our results to previously published studies.

89 **2.** Material and methods

90 **2.1. Study area**

The NT2 hydroelectric Reservoir was built on the Nam Theun River located in the subtropical 91 92 region of Lao PDR. The NT2 hydroelectric scheme is based on a trans-basin diversion that 93 receives water from the Nam Theun River and releases it into the Xe Bangfai River through a 27 94 km long artificial downstream channel (Figure 1) (see Descloux et al. (2014) for a detailed 95 description of the study site). Below the powerhouse, the turbinated water reaches first the tailrace channel (TRC1 in Figure 1) and the water is then stored in an 8 Mm³ regulating pond 96 97 (RD in Figure 1) located around 3.5 km below the powerhouse. The regulating pond also 98 receives water inputs from the Nam Kathang River (3% of its volume annually). Daily, the water 99 discharge of Nam Kathang River that reaches the regulating pond is returned to the downstream 100 reach of the Nam Kathang River, below the regulating pond. The remaining water from the 101 regulating pond is released to the artificial downstream channel. To prevent potential problem of 102 deoxygenation in the water that passed through the turbines, an aeration weir was built at 103 midway between the turbines and the confluence to the Xe Bangfai River (AW in Figure 1). A continuous flow of 2 m³ s⁻¹ (and occasionally spillway release) is discharged from the Nakai 104 Dam (ND in Figure 1) to the Nam Theun River. Annually, the NT2 Reservoir receives around 105 7527 Mm³ of water from the Nam Theun watershed, which is more than twice the volume of the 106 reservoir (3908 Mm³), leading to a residence time of nearly six months. 107

Typical meteorological years are characterized by three seasons: warm wet (WW) (mid June-mid October), cool dry (CD) (mid October-mid February) and warm dry (WD) (mid February-mid June). During the CD season, the reservoir water column overturns and during the WW season, sporadic destratification occurs allowing oxygen to diffuse down to the bottom of the water column (Chanudet et al., 2012;Guérin et al., 2015). Daily average air temperature varies between 12°C (CD season) to 30°C (WD season). The mean annual rainfall is about 2400 mm and occurs mainly (80%) in the WW season (NTPC, 2005). The filling of the reservoir began in April 2008, the full water level was first reached in October 2009 and stayed nearly constant until the power plant was commissioned in March 2010. After the commissioning, during the studied period the reservoir surface varied seasonally and reached its maxima (489 km²) and minima (between 168 and 221 km² depending on the year) during the

119 WW and WD seasons, respectively.

120 **2.2. Sampling strategy**

A total of 23 stations were monitored weekly to fortnightly in order to determine physicochemical parameters and the CH_4 concentrations and emissions in pristine rivers, the reservoir, and all rivers and channels located downstream of the reservoir. In the reservoir, two stations were monitored (RES1 and RES9, Figure 1). The station RES1 is located 100 m upstream of the Nakai Dam and RES9 is located ~1 km upstream of the water intake which transports water to the turbines.

127 Below the powerhouse, the water was monitored at nine stations: in the tailrace channel (TRC1), 128 regulating pond (REG1), artificial downstream channel (DCH1, DCH2, DCH3 and DCH4), and 129 the Xe Bangfai River (XBF2, XBF3 and XBF4). Owing to existence of the above-listed civil 130 structures downstream of the powerhouse, three sections were defined in order to calculate 131 emissions and degassing downstream of the powerhouse, the regulating pond and the aeration 132 weir (Figure 1). The influence of the water released from the regulating pond on the Nam 133 Kathang River was evaluated by the monitoring of two pristine stations (NKT1 and NKT2) 134 upstream of the regulating pond and three stations (NKT3-NKT5) below the regulating pond 135 (Figure 1).

Below the Nakai Dam, 4 sampling stations (NTH3-NTH5 and NTH7) were used for the monitoring of the Nam Theun River. The section 4 refers to the Nam Theun River section located between the stations NTH3 and NTH4 (Figure 1).

Additionally, we monitored the pristine Xe Bangfai River (XBF1) upstream of the confluence with the artificial channel and one of its pristine tributaries (Nam Gnom River: NGM1) and a pristine tributary of the Nam Theun River (Nam Phao River: NPH1) downstream of the Nakai Dam. During various field campaigns (March 2010, June 2010, March 2011, June 2011 and June
2013), aerobic methane oxidation rates (AMO) were determined at three stations in the reservoir
(RES1, RES3 and RES7, Figure 1). Additionally, AMO was also determined in the reservoir at

146 the water intake (RES9) in June 2013,

147 **2.3. Experimental methods**

148 **2.3.1. In situ water quality parameter**

Oxygen and temperature were measured in situ at all sampling stations with a multi-parameter probe Quanta® (Hydrolab, Austin, Texas) since January 2009. In the reservoir, the vertical resolution of the vertical profiles was 0.5 m above the oxic–anoxic limit and 1-5 m in the hypolimnion, whereas it was only measured in surface waters (0.2 m) in the tailrace channel, downstream channel and rivers.

154

2.3.2. Methane concentration in water

155 The CH₄ concentrations at all stations have been monitored between May 2009 and December 156 2012 on a fortnightly basis. Surface and deep-water samples for CH₄ concentration were taken 157 with a surface water sampler (Abril et al., 2007) and a Uwitec water sampler, respectively. Water 158 samples were stored in serum glass vials, capped with butyl stoppers, sealed with aluminium 159 crimps and poisoned (Guérin and Abril, 2007). A N₂ headspace was created and the vials were 160 vigorously shaken to ensure an equilibration between the liquid and gas phases prior to CH₄ 161 concentration gas chromatography (GC) analysis. The concentration in the water was calculated 162 using the solubility coefficient of Yamamoto et al. (1976).

163

2.3.3. Aerobic methane oxidation

In the reservoir, water samples for AMO rate measurements were collected in the epilimnion and in the metalimnion (at the oxicline). At RES9, the samples were taken in the middle of the water column since the water column was well mixed. AMO was also performed at TRC1 (immediately downstream of the powerhouse). The water was collected in 1L HDPE bottles, homogenized, oxygenated and redistributed to twelve serum vials (160 mL). Each vial contained 60 mL of water and 100 mL of air. Vials were covered with aluminium foil to avoid effect of

170 light on any bacterial activity and incubated in the dark (Dumestre et al., 1999;Murase and 171 Sugimoto, 2005) at 20°C to 30°C, depending on in situ temperatures. According to in situ 172 concentration of CH₄ in the water, different amounts of CH₄ were added by syringe while 173 withdrawing an equal volume of air from the headspace with a second syringe in order to obtain 174 concentrations of dissolved CH₄ in the incubated water ranging from in situ to four times in situ. 175 Incubations were performed with agitation to ensure continuous equilibrium between gas and 176 water phases. Total CH₄ concentrations in the vials were measured 5-times in a row at a 12 h 177 interval, and oxidation rates were calculated as the total loss of CH₄ in the vial (Guérin and 178 Abril, 2007). The oxidation rate for each concentration was the average value of the triplicates 179 with standard deviation (\pm SD).

180 The kinetics parameters of aerobic methane oxidation obtained from the experiment were 181 combined to the in situ CH₄ concentration profiles in order to calculate the integrated aerobic 182 methane oxidation in the oxic water column. As the aerobic methane oxidation rates we obtained 183 were potential, CH_{4-ox} were corrected for two limiting factors, the oxygen availability and the 184 light inhibition as described in Guerin and Abril (2007). The final equation to compute in situ 185 oxidation rates (CH_{4-ox} , mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) is:

186
$$CH_{4-ox} = C_{CH4} \cdot S_{CH4-ox} \cdot C_{O2} / (C_{O2} + K_{m (O2)}) \cdot d \cdot I(z)$$

with C_{CH4} , the CH₄ concentration; S_{CH4-ox} , the specific CH_{4-ox}; C_{O2} , the oxygen concentration; K_{m(O2)}, the K_m of O₂ for CH₄ oxidation, d, depth of the water layer and I(z), the inhibition of methanotrophic activity by light as defined by Dumestre et al. (1999) at the Petit Saut Reservoir. Finally, the CH₄ oxidation rates were integrated in the oxic water column, from the water surface to the limit of penetration of oxygen.

- 192
- 193

2.3.4. Gas chromatography

Analysis of CH_4 concentrations were performed by gas chromatography (SRI 8610C gas chromatograph, Torrance, CA, USA) equipped with a flame ionization detector. A subsample of 0.5 mL from the headspace of water sample vials was injected. Commercial gas standards (10, 100 and 1010 ppmv, Air Liquid "crystal" standards and mixture of N₂ with 100% CH₄) were injected after analysis of every 10 samples for calibration. The detection limit is 0.3 ppmv in the headspace and the accuracy is around 4% allowing the determination of nanomolar concentrations in water samples, depending of the volume of the vials and headspace. Duplicate injection of samples showed reproducibility better than 5%.

202 **2.4. Calculations**

203 **2.4.1. Estimation of diffusive fluxes from surface concentrations**

The diffusive CH_4 fluxes downstream of the powerhouse (section 1-3 in Figure 1), and downstream of the Nakai Dam (NTH3-7, Figure 1) were calculated with the thin boundary layer (TBL) equation (Liss and Slater, 1974) from the difference between the water surface CH_4 concentrations and the average CH_4 concentration in air (1.9 ppmv) obtained during eddy covariance deployments (1.9 ppmv) (Deshmukh et al., 2014) combined with a gas transfer velocity (k_{600}) as follow:

$$F = k_{\rm T} \times \Delta C \tag{1}$$

where F, the diffusive flux at water-air interface; k_T , the gas transfer velocity at a given temperature (T); $\Delta C = C_w - C_a$, the concentration gradient between the water (C_w) and the concentration at equilibrium with the overlying atmosphere (C_a). Afterward, the k_T was computed from k_{600} with the following equation:

215
$$k_{\rm T} = k_{600} \times (600/{\rm Sc_T})^n$$
 (2)

with Sc_T , the Schmidt number of CH_4 at a given temperature (T) (Wanninkhof, 1992) and n = 1/2 for turbulent water (Borges et al., 2004;Guerin et al., 2007).

The artificial channel and the Nam Theun River downstream of the dam are closed for navigation because of the potential high water level changes due to reservoir management and because of the presence of zone of very high turbulence immediately downstream of the powerhouse and downstream of the regulation pond. In the artificial channel, water current velocity never exceeds 1 m s⁻¹ and averaged 0.5 m s⁻¹. Floating chamber measurement was not possible for the accurate determination of the k₆₀₀. In a handful occasions, k₆₀₀ was calculated from floating chamber measurements (Deshmukh et al., 2014) and concomitant CH₄ water 225 surface concentrations in the turbulent waters downstream of the powerhouse (section 1 at 226 stations TRC1 and REG1), in the Xe Bangfai River downstream of its confluence with the 227 artificial channel (XBF2) and in pristine rivers (XBF1, Nam On River and Nam Noy River). The gas transfer velocity reached up to 45 cm h^{-1} and averaged 10.5±12.1 cm h^{-1} (data not showed). 228 229 This is very similar to the average k_{600} value obtained using the formulation k_{600} -wind speed 230 relationships from Guerin et al. (2007) obtained downstream of the Petit Saut Reservoir and in 231 small estuaries of the same size with similar water currents like the Scheldt by Borges et al. (2004). We therefore kept 10 cm h^{-1} as a conservative estimate of the k_{600} in the artificial channel 232 downstream of the NT2R. The gas transfer velocity for the artificial channel, the Xe Bangfai 233 234 River and downstream of the Nakai Dam (NTH3-7) was kept constant over the whole period of 235 monitoring since the average of the results obtained by the formulations of Borges et al (2004) and Guerin et al (2007) was 10.06 ± 1.48 cm h⁻¹ according to the limited variation of the monthly 236 237 average wind speed (1.8 \pm 0.46 m s⁻¹).

238 **2.4.2. Degassing**

Although the so-called "degassing" usually occurs only below dams (Galy-Lacaux et al., 1997;Abril et al., 2005;Kemenes et al., 2007;Maeck et al., 2013), degassing occur at 4 sites at NT2R: (1) the Nakai Dam, (2) the turbine release in the tailrace channel, (3) the regulating dam and (4) the aeration weir using the following equation:

243 Degassing =
$$(C_{upstream} - C_{downstream}) \times water discharge$$

where $C_{upstream}$ is the CH₄ upstream of the site where degassing might occur and $C_{downstream}$ is the CH₄ concentration in the water downstream of the degassing site. On each of these structures, the degassing was calculated using the water discharges and the difference of CH₄ concentration between the stations: (1) NTH3 located below the Nakai Dam and RES1, (2) TRC1 located below the turbines and RES9, (3) NKT3 below the Regulating Dam and REG1, and (4) DCH3 below the Aeration Weir and DCH2 (Figure 1). In addition, degassing was calculated for the occasional spillway releases from the Nakai Dam.

The estimation of the concentration upstream of the degassing sites was different for the four sites. For the degassing below the turbines and below the regulating dam, the average of the 253 vertical profile of CH_4 concentrations at RES9 and REG1 were considered as concentrations 254 before degassing, respectively. Surface concentration at DCH2 was considered for the degassing 255 at the aeration weir. For the degassing below the Nakai Dam, since the continuous flow of 2 m³ s⁻ 256 ¹ was released from the surface water layer, we considered the average CH_4 concentration in the 257 upper 3 m water layer at RES1 located ~100 m upstream of dam. For the spillway release of the 258 Nakai Dam, as the spillway gate is located at 12 m below the maximum reservoir water level, the 259 degassing due to spillway release was calculated using the average CH₄ concentration in the 260 upper 15 m water layer at RES1.

261 **3. Results**

3.1. Temperature, O₂ and CH₄ concentrations in the reservoir (RES1 and RES9)

263 Before the commissioning of the power plant, the vertical profiles of temperature and oxygen 264 and CH₄ concentrations at the stations RES1 located at the Nakai Dam and RES9 located at the 265 water intake were similar (Figure 2). As already shown in Chanudet et al. (2015) and Guérin et 266 al. (2015), the reservoir was thermally stratified with higher temperature at the surface than at the 267 bottom during the WD (surface: 26.8±2.7°C and bottom: 18.9±1.6°C) and WW (surface: 268 28.0 ± 1.6 °C and bottom: 21.5 ± 1.7 °C) seasons and it overturns during the CD season (Average = 269 23.2±3.9°C) (Figure 2). During the WD and WW season, the epilimnion was always oxygenated with surface O_2 concentrations ranging from 14 to 354 μ mol L⁻¹ (5 to 137% saturation) and the 270 271 hypolimnion was anoxic. In the CD season, the reservoir water column was poorly but entirely 272 oxygenated during a few weeks/month (127 \pm 93 μ mol L⁻¹). In the WD and WW seasons, the CH₄ 273 concentrations ranged between 0.02 and 201.7 μ mol L⁻¹ in the epilimnion and 0.02 to 1000 μ mol 274 L^{-1} in the hypolimnion. In the CD season, the CH₄ concentrations are only slightly higher in the 275 hypolimnion than in the epilimnion. After the starting of turbines, the hydrodynamics of the 276 water column at RES1 followed the same seasonal pattern as described before whereas the CH_4 277 vertical profiles of concentration at RES9 located upstream of the water intake were 278 homogeneous from the surface to the bottom. At RES9 during the years 2010 to 2012, the 279 temperature was constant from the bottom to the surface whatever the season and the water 280 column was always oxygenated ($O_2 = 166 \ \mu \text{mol} \ \text{L}^{-1}$) (Figure 2). During this period, CH_4 concentration peaked up to 215 μ mol L⁻¹ with averages of 39.8 ± 48.8, 29.9 ± 55.4 and 1.9 ± 4.3 281

 μ mol L⁻¹ during the WD, WW and CD seasons, respectively. For the two stations, the average CH₄ concentrations over the water column were always the highest in the WD season, intermediate in the WW season and the lowest in the CD season. At the two stations, the average concentrations were significantly higher in 2009 and 2010 than they were in 2011 and 2012. The average CH₄ concentrations at NT2R were in the range reported for tropical reservoir flooded 10-20 years ago (Abril et al., 2005;Guérin et al., 2006;Kemenes et al., 2007).

288 **3.2. Emissions downstream of the Nakai Dam**

289

3.2.1. CH₄ and O₂ concentrations below the Nakai Dam

290 Downstream of the Nakai Dam (NTH3) after the commissioning, the average O₂ concentration was 224 μ mol L⁻¹ that is 87% saturation and the concentration increased further downstream. 291 When excluding the periods of spillway releases, the CH₄ concentration at NTH3 ranged from 292 293 0.03 to 6 μ mol L⁻¹ (average: 0.94 ± 1.2 μ mol L⁻¹) with the highest CH₄ concentrations in the WW 294 season and the lowest in the CD season (Figure 3a). High CH₄ concentrations (up to 69 μ mol L⁻¹) 295 were occasionally observed when CH₄-rich water was released from the spillway, especially in 296 2009. Ten kilometers downstream of the Nakai Dam, CH4 concentration decreased down to 297 $0.41\pm0.32 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹ at NTH4 and NTH5 without any clear seasonal pattern (Fig. 3 a).

The concentrations observed below the Nakai Dam at the stations NTH4 and NTH5 were similar to the CH_4 concentrations found in the pristine Nam Phao River (NPH1) in the watershed and 40% lower than the CH_4 concentrations at the station NTH7 located 50 km downstream of the dam. They were 2 orders of magnitude lower than the concentrations observed downstream of 10-20 years old-reservoirs in Brazil and in French Guiana (Guérin et al., 2006;Kemenes et al., 2007).

304 **3.2.2. Diffusive fluxes below the Nakai Dam**

The average diffusive flux downstream of the Nakai Dam was $3.3 \pm 3.9 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ for the year 2010 and fluxes decreased down to 1.9 ± 2.5 and $1.4 \pm 0.9 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ for the years 2011 and 2012, respectively (Figure 3b). Ten kilometres downstream from the Nakai Dam at NTH4 and at NTH5 downstream of the confluence of the Nam Phao River, the CH₄ fluxes decreased down to $1.14\pm0.92 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ on average (Fig 3b). As for the concentrations, no seasonal or interannual trends were found. Downstream the station NTH4 located 10 kilometres downstream of the dam, the CH_4 emission was similar to what found in pristine river of the watershed and it was 2 orders of magnitude lower than the emissions observed downstream of 10-20 years-old reservoirs (Guérin et al., 2006;Kemenes et al., 2007).

Considering that the CH_4 emissions from the Nam Theun River below the dam can be attributed to the reservoir over a maximum length of 10 km and a constant width of 30 m, annual emissions below the Nakai Dam decreased from 20 to 1 Mg- CH_4 month⁻¹ between 2009 and 2012, respectively (Figure 3c). The very high emissions in 2009 were due to spillway releases (see below).

319 **3.2.3. Degassing below the Nakai Dam**

Due to the low water discharge at the Nakai Dam $(2 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1})$, CH₄ emissions by degassing reached 320 a maximum of 0.1 MgC-CH₄ d⁻¹ at NTH3 (Figure 3e). The occasional spillway releases occurred 321 322 mostly in 2009 before the commissioning of the power plant and in the CD after the 323 commissioning. They led to very intense degassing (up to 72 Mg-CH₄ d⁻¹, August 2009, Figure 324 3d). In total, 99% of the degassing below the Nakai Dam is due to the spillway releases in 2009 325 which represent 32% of total emissions downstream of the Nakai Dam during the study (2009-326 2012). Total degassing below the Nakai Dam was very significant in 2009 due to the spillway releases and it dropped below 3 Mg-CH₄ month⁻¹ when only 2 m³ s⁻¹ were released for the years 327 2010 to 2012. 328

329 **3.3. Emissions downstream of the powerhouse**

330 **3.3.1. CH₄ and O₂ concentrations below the powerhouse**

331 Downstream of the turbines at the station TRC1 after the commissioning, the average O_2 332 concentration was $174 \pm 58 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹ that is $67 \pm 20\%$ saturation. After the commissioning of the 333 power plant, the O_2 saturation downstream of the station DCH4 located 30 km below the turbines 334 was always around 100% saturation in the artificial downstream channel. Just below the 335 regulating dam, in the Nam Kathang River (NKT3), the average O_2 concentration was 237 μ mol 336 L⁻¹ that is 93% saturation. There was no marked inter-annual change in the O_2 concentration. 337 Surface CH₄ concentration at the station TRC1, which is located below the turbines and receives 338 water from the homogenized water column in the reservoir (RES9), varied by four orders of 339 magnitude; from 0.01 μ mol L⁻¹ (August-February, WW and CD seasons) to 221 μ mol L⁻¹ (June, 340 end of the WD and beginning of the WW season) (Figure 4a). The seasonal pattern of the CH₄ 341 concentrations at TRC1 mimicked the concentrations at RES9. In 2010, the surface CH₄ concentration decreased from $117 \pm 71 \mu \text{mol } \text{L}^{-1}$ at TRC1 to $1.55 \pm 1.15 \mu \text{mol } \text{L}^{-1}$ at DCH4 in the 342 WD season and from 88 ± 84 to $1.26 \pm 1.59 \mu$ mol L⁻¹ in the WW season. In 2011 and 2012, the 343 344 average CH₄ concentrations just below the turbines at TRC1 were fourfold $(33.4 \pm 32.0 \,\mu \text{mol L}^{-1})$ ¹) and ninefold (9.8 \pm 29.6 μ mol L⁻¹) lower than in 2010 for the WD and WW seasons, 345 respectively. At DCH4, the surface CH₄ concentration drops to $1.1 \pm 2.4 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹ (WD) and 0.3 346 $\pm 0.5 \ \mu$ mol L⁻¹ (WW) in the years 2011 and 2012 that is similar to what was observed in 2010. 347 348 Whatever the years, in the CD season, surface CH_4 concentrations was lower than 14.5 μ mol L⁻¹ along the 30 km long watercourse $(0.02 - 14.5 \,\mu \text{mol } \text{L}^{-1})$. 349

350 On average, at the station DCH4 (30 km below the turbines) and at the station XBF4 located 90 351 km below the confluence of the downstream channel and the Xe Bangfai River, the CH₄ 352 concentrations were 0.54 ± 0.95 and $0.3 \pm 0.4 \mu$ mol L⁻¹, respectively. These concentrations are 353 the same as those found in the pristine Xe Bangfai River ($0.78 \pm 0.86 \mu$ mol L⁻¹ at XBF1 station).

At the station NKT3 located in the Nam Kathang River just below the regulating dam, the average surface CH_4 concentration was $0.87 \pm 0.77 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹. At the station NKT5 located 15 km downstream of the regulating dam, the average CH_4 concentration was $1.34 \pm 2.09 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹. These concentrations are not statistically different from the concentrations found in the pristine Nam Kathang Noy River ($0.42 \pm 0.49 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹ at NKT1 station), the pristine Nam Kathang Gnai River ($1.01 \pm 1.73 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹ at NKT2 station) and the pristine Nam Gnom River ($1.08 \pm 1.45 \,\mu$ mol L⁻¹ at NGM1) all located in the same watershed.

361

3.3.2. Diffusive fluxes below the Powerhouse

In 2010, in the section 1, the flux was $198 \pm 230 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, which was two times higher than in section 2 (94 ± 102 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) (Figure 4c). In the section 3 (below the aeration weir), fluxes were fifteen times lower than the fluxes in section 1 (12.7 ± 18.6 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹). After the confluence with the Xe Bangfai River, CH₄ fluxes dropped down to 0.95 ± 0.76 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ for the next 30 km. For the years 2011 and 2012, the average diffusive fluxes below the powerhouse decreased by a factor of four as compare to 2010. In 2010, most of the diffusive fluxes occurred from the middle of the WD season until the late WW season ($155 \pm 127 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) whereas diffusive fluxes in the CD season were 100 times lower ($1.4 \pm 1.1 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$). In 2011 and 2012, most of the emissions occurred during the WD season ($61.9 \pm 50 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) whereas emissions were twentyfold lower during both the WW and the CD seasons ($3.7 \pm 3.9 \text{ mmol m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$).

As observed for the concentrations, emissions downstream of DCH4 in the downstream channel (1.5 \pm 2.7 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) and at NKT3 downstream of the regulating dam in the Nam Kathang River (2.03 \pm 2.23 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) (Figure 4b) were not significantly different from those calculated for the pristine Xe Bangfai River (2.2 \pm 2.6 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹ at XBF1 station), Nam Kathang Noy River (NKT1 station) and Nam Kathang Gnai River (NKT2 station) (1.98 \pm 4.01 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹).

The average diffusive flux for the sections 1 to 3 during the monitoring was $12 \pm 22 \text{ mmol m}^2 \text{ d}^-$ ¹, which is 7 times lower than the diffusive flux along the 40 km reach below the Petit Saut Dam (90 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) (Guérin and Abril, 2007) 10 years after impoundment and twelve times lower than the diffusive flux along the 30 km reach downstream of the Balbina Dam (140 mmol m⁻² d⁻¹) (Kemenes et al., 2007) 18 years after impoundment.

The sum of the CH_4 emissions by diffusion from the sections 1, 2 and 3 (Figure 1) peaked at 333 Mg- CH_4 month⁻¹, 156 Mg- CH_4 month⁻¹ and 104 Mg- CH_4 month⁻¹ at the end of the WD-beginning of the WW season in 2010, 2011 and 2012, respectively (Figure 4c). Diffusion was negligible for more than half of the year. The results clearly show that emissions decrease with time within the first four years after flooding.

389 **3.3.3. Degassing below the Powerhouse**

The degassing mainly occurred within 3 to 5 months around the transition between the WD and the WW seasons (Figure 4d). Below the powerhouse (TRC1), the degassing reached up to 385 Mg-CH₄ month⁻¹ at the end of the WD season and beginning of the WW season in 2010, just after the turbines were operated (Figure 4d). Below the regulating dam, the degassing was almost three times higher (1240 Mg-CH₄ month⁻¹) than below the turbines, and the degassing from the release to the Nam Kathang River was 55 Mg-CH₄ month⁻¹ in the WD season. Even if CH₄ concentrations at DCH2 were 50% lower than at TRC1, still up to 756 Mg-CH₄ month⁻¹ were emitted at the aerating weir. This shows the very high degassing efficiency of the aeration weir (up to 99%), especially in the WD season (Descloux et al., 2015). Therefore, most of the degassing emissions occurred below the regulating dam and at the aerating weir.

In 2010, most of the degassing occurred from April to August whereas it occurred only from
March to June in 2011 and 2012. The annual degassing emissions almost deceased by a factor of
four in 2011 and 2012 compare to 2010 (Figure 4e).

403 3.4. Aerobic CH₄ oxidation in the reservoir and downstream of the powerhouse 404 and the Nakai Dam

405 In the reservoir, the potential AMO rates increased linearly with the CH₄ concentration (Figure 406 5a,b,c) in both epilimnetic and metalimnic waters at the stations RES1, RES3 and RES7. The 407 AMO rates in the middle of the well-mixed water column at the station RES9 were not 408 statistically different from the AMO rates in the metalimnion at the other stations of the 409 reservoirs. Therefore, the AMO rates from RES9 were plotted versus the initial CH₄ 410 concentration together with AMO rates from the metalimnion. The slope of the linear correlation, or the so-called specific oxidation rate (SOR, d⁻¹) in the metalimnion was similar for 411 the CD and WD seasons (SOR = $0.88 \pm 0.03 \text{ d}^{-1}$) (Figure 5a). In the epilimnion the SOR was 412 twice higher in the WD season $(5.28 \pm 0.43 \text{ d}^{-1})$ than in the CD season $(2.24\pm0.41 \text{ d}^{-1})$ (Figure 413 414 5b,c). Overall, the SOR in the epilimnion was two to fourfold higher than the SOR in the metalimnion. Downstream of the powerhouse, the SOR was $1.47 \pm 0.07 d^{-1}$, that is intermediate 415 416 between the observation in the epilimnion and the metalimnion (data not show). The values of 417 SOR observed at the NT2R are in same range as those reported at the Petit Saut Reservoir (2.64-418 4.13 d⁻¹) (Dumestre et al., 1999;Guérin and Abril, 2007) and boreal experimental reservoirs 419 during the summer period $(0.36 - 2.4 d^{-1})$ (Venkiteswaran and Schiff, 2005).

420 The depth-integrated oxidation rates ranged from 0.16 to 931 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ at RES9 and from 421 0.13 to 310 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ at RES1 upstream of the Nakai Dam. Overall, for the years 2010, 2011 422 and 2012, the average integrated oxidation rate at RES9 is 122 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ that is more than 423 three times higher than the average integrated oxidation rate at RES1 (35 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$). Since 424 oxidation occurs from the surface to the bottom of the water column at RES9 and mostly around 425 the oxicline at RES1, the depth-integrated oxidation rates were 5-20 times higher at RES9 than at 426 RES1 during the WD season and no clear tendency can be drawn for the WW and CD seasons 427 (Table 1). At RES9, the total amount of oxidized CH_4 decreased from 5 to 1 Gg(CH_4) y⁻¹ 428 between 2010 and 2012 whereas it ranged between 0.4 and 0.7 Gg(CH_4) y⁻¹ without clear trend at 429 RES1 (Table 1).

430 **4.** Discussion

431 **4.1. Spatial and temporal variations of downstream emissions**

Before the power plant was commissioned in March 2010, only a few m³ of water was 432 433 discharged at the powerhouse for testing the turbines and most of the water was discharged at the Nakai Dam. The continuous water discharge at the Nakai Dam was about 2 m³ s⁻¹ and 434 435 occasionally, water was spilled in order to prevent dam overflow. The continuous discharge at 436 the Nakai Dam mimics the lowest annual water flow in the Nam Theun River before it was dammed. Since it expels CH₄-poor water (0.95 μ mol L⁻¹) from the surface associated with a very 437 438 low discharge, subsequent degassing and diffusive emissions below the Nakai Dam were lower 439 than 4 Mg-CH₄ month⁻¹ in 2010 just after the commissioning and lower than 1 Mg-CH₄ month⁻¹ 440 in 2012 (Figure 3e). Degassing was four fold higher in 2010 than in 2012 because of the very 441 high CH₄ concentrations in the water column resulting from the long residence time of water in 442 the reservoir before the first water releases. In 2011, the concentrations were lower than in 2012 443 due to the high water discharges from the inflows that decreased the CH₄ concentrations by dilution (Guérin et al., 2015). The spillway releases reached up to 5309 m³ s⁻¹ and water from the 444 top 15 m of the water column having an average concentration around 100 μ mol L⁻¹ at RES1 445 446 were released at these occasions. During these short releases, up to 3000 Mg-CH₄ month⁻¹ were 447 released in 2009 (Figure 3d). After the commissioning, the spillways were used only twice in 448 October 2010 and September 2011. The diffusive fluxes in the Nam Theun River below the 449 Nakai Dam were only highly significant during the spillway releases when it reached up to 20 Mg month⁻¹ in 2009. After the commissioning, the diffusion ranged between 0.2 and 1.5 Mg-CH₄ 450 451 month⁻¹ (Figure 3c) and contributed to only a few percent of total downstream emissions below 452 the Nakai Dam (Figure 3f). Emissions below the Nakai Dam are low compare to emissions

below the powerhouse because, except during spillway releases, only a small amount of water is discharged downstream and this water has a low CH_4 concentration since surface water is released. However, we show here that short spillway releases with high water discharge and moderate CH_4 concentrations could contribute up to 30% of downstream emissions in 4 years.

457 Downstream of the powerhouse, maximum yearly emissions were dominated by degassing (Figure 4e). They ranged between 1 and 3 Gg month⁻¹ and had a clear seasonal pattern. Emissions 458 459 below the powerhouse peaked during the WD season until the beginning of the WW season 460 when the CH₄ concentration in the hypolimnion of the reservoir is up to 1000 μ mol L⁻¹ (Guérin et 461 al., 2015) and concentration at RES9 higher than 100 μ mol L⁻¹. Emissions were negligible in the 462 late WW and during the CD seasons when hypolimnic concentration in the reservoir and 463 concentration at RES9 decreased down to 5 μ mol L⁻¹ (Guérin et al., 2015). Due to the 464 accumulation of CH₄ in the reservoir in absence of turbining until commissioning, emissions 465 downstream of the powerhouse in 2010 were higher than in 2011 and 2012 and lasted from the 466 commissioning to the beginning of the next CD season in 2010. After the commissioning, the 467 high emissions downstream of the powerhouse occurred within 3-5 month in the WD season and 468 the very beginning of the WW season. During the wet 2011-year, emissions became negligible 469 after the first rainfalls. For all years, downstream emissions were negligible in the CD season. 470 These results show the very high seasonal variations over 3-4 orders of magnitude for downstream emissions as already observed in tropical reservoirs flooding primary forest (Abril et 471 472 al., 2005;Kemenes et al., 2007). However, we show in this monomictic reservoir that 473 downstream emissions are negligible most of the year and this is mostly due to the seasonal 474 overturn in the CD and some sporadic destratification events and dilution of the hypolimnoion in 475 the WW season. Overall, these results highlight the fact that the precise determination of 476 downstream emissions cannot be done on the basis of discrete sampling one to four times in a 477 year. It requires weekly to monthly monitoring in order to (1) capture the hot moment(s) of 478 emissions and (2) determine their duration. For instance, downstream emissions reported for the 479 Nam Ngum and Nam Leuk Reservoirs located in the same region were obtained at the beginning 480 of the WD season when downstream emissions are moderate and during the CD and WW season 481 when no emission occur (Chanudet et al., 2011). Therefore, emissions were probably 482 underestimated since the peak of downstream emissions at the end of the WD season-beginning 483 of the WW season was missed.

484 4.2. Contribution of downstream emissions to CH₄ gross emissions

485 Table 2 reports CH₄ emissions by ebullition and diffusion at the surface of the reservoir from the 486 Deshmukh et al. (2014) and Guérin et al. (2015), respectively. These estimates take into account 487 the seasonal variations of the reservoir water surface and the variations of depth. Between June 488 and December 2009, the spillway releases contributed to 30% of total gross emissions from the 489 NT2R. In 2010, downstream emissions (degassing + diffusive fluxes) contributed to more than 490 30% of total gross emissions (disregarding drawdown emissions). In 2011 and 2012, downstream 491 emissions contributed to about 10% of total gross emissions. This contribution of downstream 492 emissions to total emissions is low compare to tropical reservoirs located in South America 493 (Abril et al., 2005;Kemenes et al., 2007). Disregarding the first two years of monitoring (2009 494 and 2010) during which the quantification highly depends on the management of the reservoir, 495 the contribution of downstream emissions to total emissions is even lower than in boreal 496 reservoirs (Teodoru et al., 2012). The low downstream emissions arise from the fact that the 497 reservoir is monomictic. Each time the reservoir overturns in the CD season, 1-3 Gg of CH₄ are 498 emitted to the atmosphere within a few days and up to a month which purge the reservoir water 499 column (Guérin et al., 2015). As a consequence, bottom concentrations decrease from 500 to less than 5 μ mol L⁻¹ during these events and the amount of CH₄ transferred from the reservoir to the 500 501 downstream reaches decrease by two orders of magnitude and stays low during 8 to 9 months, 502 before the CH_4 concentration in the reservoir increases again. Monomictic reservoirs like Nam 503 Theun 2, Nam Leuk, Nam Ngum in Lao PDR (Chanudet et al., 2011), the Three Gorges Dam in 504 China (Li et al., 2014) and the Cointzio Reservoir in Mexico (N. Gratiot, Pers. Com.) are 505 common in the subtropics and especially in Asia where 60% of the worldwide hydroelectric 506 reservoirs are. Although CH₄ emissions below amictic reservoirs like Petit Saut and Balbina are 507 high and very significant in the total emissions (Abril et al., 2005;Kemenes et al., 2007), low 508 emission downstream of monomictic/dimictic/polymictic reservoirs is likely to be a general 509 feature. The thermal stratification of hydroelectric reservoirs has to be taken into account for the 510 estimation of global downstream emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs. Therefore, global 511 estimates of CH₄ emissions from hydroelectric reservoirs that include downstream emissions 512 (Lima et al., 2008;Li and Zhang, 2014) calculated on the basis of the results from Amazonian 513 reservoirs (Abril et al., 2005;Guérin et al., 2006;Kemenes et al., 2007) must be considered with 514 caution.

4.3. Consequence of outgassing and aerobic CH₄ oxidation at the water intake for the emissions below the powerhouse

517 In addition to the dynamic of the thermal stratification of the NT2R, the design of the water 518 intake contributes to lower the emissions downstream of the powerhouse. After the power plant 519 was commissioned, the water column at the station RES9 was always completely mixed from the 520 top to the bottom as revealed by the vertical profiles of temperature. Consequently, O₂ penetrated down to the bottom of the water column and CH_4 concentration were higher than 100 μ mol L⁻¹ 521 522 from the top to the bottom of the water column in the WD season and at the beginning of the 523 WW season. The overturn of the water column at RES9 results from the artificial mixing due to 524 the advection of water caused by the water current generated by the water intake localized 525 around 11-20 m under the water surface depending on the water level. The water intake is responsible for the mixing of the whole water column over an area of 3 km² according to the 526 527 hydrodynamic model of Chanudet et al. (2012). This mixing has a strong effect on both the 528 outgassing (Guérin et al., 2015) and the aerobic oxidation of CH₄ around the water intake and on 529 the oxidation of CH_4 below the powerhouse.

530 In the area of influence of the water intake where RES9 is, large amount of CH_4 (up to 600 mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$) are emitted by diffusive fluxes at the end of the WD season-beginning of the WW 531 532 (Guérin et al., 2015). The artificial mixing at RES9 generated a hotspot of CH₄ emissions where 533 diffusive fluxes are 15 to 150 times higher than at other stations in the reservoir for the years 534 2010 to 2012 (Guérin et al., 2015). The emissions at RES9 correspond to 20 to 40% of the total 535 downstream emissions (Table 2). Therefore, a very significant amount of CH₄ that could be 536 emitted downstream is emitted at the reservoir surface and this contributes to lower downstream 537 emissions.

However, the mixing at the water intake has a strong impact on aerobic CH_4 oxidation. The vertical mixing allows O_2 to penetrate down to the bottom in the vicinity the water intake and enhances both oxidation at the water intake and downstream of the powerhouse. On average, depth-integrated CH_4 oxidation at RES9 upstream of the water intake is one order of magnitude higher than at the station RES1 upstream of the Nakai Dam where the water column is thermally stratified. Over the 3-km²-area representative for RES9 between 2010 and 2012, aerobic CH_4 oxidation consumed an amount of CH_4 that is equivalent to 50% of total CH_4 downstream 545 emissions (Table 1 and 2). In absence of artificial mixing, aerobic CH₄ oxidation would only 546 remove an amount of CH_4 that is equivalent to the amount of CH_4 removed by oxidation at RES1 547 that is on average, that is 11% of total downstream emissions over the three years of monitoring 548 (Table 1 and 2). Total downstream emissions were therefore lowered by 20% due to the 549 enhancement of aerobic CH₄ oxidation at RES9 if we compare total downstream emissions to 550 total downstream emissions plus the amount of CH4 that would not be oxidized in absence of 551 mixing (oxidation at RES9 minus oxidation at RES1). In addition, aerobic methane oxidation in 552 the downstream channel might be enhanced too since water from RES9 being transferred to the 553 artificial downstream channel is better oxygenated that it would be in absence of artificial 554 mixing.

Overall, the design of the water intake that mixes the whole water column decreases virtually downstream emissions since part of the CH_4 is outgassed at the reservoir surface instead of being transported and emitted downstream. The very positive counterpart of this artificial mixing at the water intake is that the mixing allows O_2 to penetrate down to the bottom of the water column enhancing aerobic methane oxidation both at the water intake and in the river/channel downstream of the powerhouse. Roughly, CH_4 emissions from NT2 Reservoir are lowered by 40% or more due to the artificial mixing of the water column at the water intake.

562 **5. Conclusion**

563 This first quantification of CH₄ emissions downstream of a subtropical monomictic hydroelectric 564 reservoir shows that emissions are negligible most of the year due to low CH₄ concentration in 565 the hypolimnion. They occurred only during 2-4 month per the year at the end of the warm 566 season-beginning of the wet season and globally contribute to 10% of total emissions as 567 observed during normal reservoir operation years (2011 and 2012). The monitoring of 568 downstream emissions before and just after the commissioning (2009 and 2010) after a period 569 with long water residence time in the reservoir (up to 5 years) with occasional use of spillways 570 stresses that reservoir management can have very significant impact on emissions by enhancing 571 diffusive emissions and downstream emissions resulting from the use of spillways.

572 Emissions downstream of the Nam Theun 2 Reservoir have a low contribution to total emissions 573 also because a very significant amount of CH_4 that could be emitted downstream of the reservoir 574 is (1) emitted upstream of the water intake and (2) is oxidized in the vicinity of the water intake 575 because of the artificial mixing it generates. This artificial mixing contributes to improve the 576 water quality downstream of the turbines since the water that passes through is well oxygenated 577 (70% saturation). The other positive counterpart is that it generates a hotspot of aerobic methane 578 oxidation that contributes to the oxidation of 20% of the CH₄ that would potentially be emitted at 579 the water intake or downstream of the turbines. This study shows that downstream emissions 580 from future or existing reservoirs could be significantly mitigated by the adoption of water 581 intake-design or the installation of devices enhancing artificial water column destratification and 582 oxygenation upstream of the turbines.

583 On the basis of these results, different from those previously published, we recommend that 584 estimates at the global scale of emissions below dams take into account the mixing status of 585 reservoirs, the water residence time and depth of the water intake and its impact on the 586 oxygenation of the water column immediately upstream of the turbines.

587 Acknowledgements

The authors thank everyone who contributed to the NT2 monitoring programme, especially the Nam Theun 2 Power Company (NTPC) and Electricité de France (EDF) for providing financial, technical and logistic support. We are also grateful to the Aquatic Environment Laboratory of the Nam Theun 2 Power Company whose Shareholders are EDF, Lao Holding State Enterprise and Electricity Generating Public Company Limited of Thailand. CD benefited from a PhD grant by EDF.

595 **References**

- 596 Abril, G., Guerin, F., Richard, S., Delmas, R., Galy-Lacaux, C., Gosse, P., Tremblay, A.,
- 597 Varfalvy, L., Dos Santos, M. A., and Matvienko, B.: Carbon dioxide and methane emissions and
- the carbon budget of a 10-year old tropical reservoir (Petit Saut, French Guiana), Glob.
- 599 Biogeochem. Cycle, 19, 10.1029/2005gb002457, 2005.
- 600 Abril, G., Commarieu, M. V., and Guérin, F.: Enhanced methane oxidation in an estuarine
- turbidity maximum, Limnology and Oceanography, 52, 470-475, 2007.
- Barros, N., Cole, J. J., Tranvik, L. J., Prairie, Y. T., Bastviken, D., Huszar, V. L. M., del Giorgio,
- 603 P., and Roland, F.: Carbon emission from hydroelectric reservoirs linked to reservoir age and
- 604 latitude, Nature Geosci, 4, 593-596, 2011.
- Borges, A. V., Delille, B., Schiettecatte, L. S., Gazeau, F., Abril, G., and Frankignoulle, M.: Gas
- transfer velocities of CO2 in three European estuaries (Randers Fjord, Scheldt, and Thames),
- 607 Limnology and Oceanography, 49, 1630-1641, 2004.
- 608 Carini, S., Weston, N., Hopkinson, C., Tucker, J., Giblin, A., and Vallino, J.: Gas exchange rates
- in the Parker River estuary, Massachusetts, Biological Bulletin, 191, 333-334, 1996.
- 610 Chanudet, V., Descloux, S., Harby, A., Sundt, H., Hansen, B. H., Brakstad, O., Serca, D., and
- 611 Guerin, F.: Gross CO2 and CH4 emissions from the Nam Ngum and Nam Leuk sub-tropical
- 612 reservoirs in Lao PDR, Science of the Total Environment, 409, 5382-5391,
- 613 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.09.018, 2011.
- 614 Chanudet, V., Fabre, V., and van der Kaaij, T.: Application of a three-dimensional
- 615 hydrodynamic model to the Nam Theun 2 Reservoir (Lao PDR), Journal of Great Lakes
- 616 Research, 38, 260-269, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2012.01.008</u>, 2012.
- 617 Chanudet, V., Guédant, P., Rode, W., Godon, A., Guérin, F., Serça, D., Deshmukh, C., and
- 618 Descloux, S.: Evolution of the physico-chemical water quality in the Nam Theun 2 Reservoir and
- 619 downstream rivers for the first 5 years after impoundment, Hydroécol. Appl., 2015.
- 620 Chen, H., Wu, Y., Yuan, X., Gao, Y., Wu, N., and Zhu, D.: Methane emissions from newly
- 621 created marshes in the drawdown area of the Three Gorges Reservoir, J. Geophys. Res., 114,
- 622 D18301, doi:10.1029/2009JD012410, 2009.
- 623 Chen, H., Yuan, X., Chen, Z., Wu, Y., Liu, X., Zhu, D., Wu, N., Zhu, Q. a., Peng, C., and Li, W.:
- 624 Methane emissions from the surface of the Three Gorges Reservoir, J. Geophys. Res., 116,
- 625 D21306, 10.1029/2011jd016244, 2011.
- 626 Descloux, S., Guedant, P., Phommachanh, D., and Luthi, R.: Main features of the Nam Theun 2
- 627 hydroelectric project (Lao PDR) and the associated environmental monitoring programmes,
- 628 Hydroécol. Appl., 10.1051/hydro/2014005 2014, 2014.
- 629 Descloux, S., Chanudet, V., Taquet, B., Rode, W., Guédant, P., Serça, D., Deshmukh, C., and
- 630 Guerin, F.: Efficiency of the Nam Theun 2 hydraulic structures on water aeration and methane
- 631 degassing, Hydroécol. Appl., 2015.
- 632 Deshmukh, C., Serca, D., Delon, C., Tardif, R., Demarty, M., Jarnot, C., Meyerfeld, Y.,
- 633 Chanudet, V., Guedant, P., Rode, W., Descloux, S., and Guerin, F.: Physical controls on CH4
- emissions from a newly flooded subtropical freshwater hydroelectric reservoir: Nam Theun 2,
- 635 Biogeosciences, 11, 4251-4269, 10.5194/bg-11-4251-2014, 2014.
- 636 Dumestre, J. F., Guezennec, J., Galy-Lacaux, C., Delmas, R., Richard, S., and Labroue, L.:
- 637 Influence of Light Intensity on Methanotrophic Bacterial Activity in Petit Saut Reservoir, French
- 638 Guiana, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 65, 534-539, 1999.

- 639 Galy-Lacaux, C., Delmas, R., Jambert, C., Dumestre, J. F., Labroue, L., Richard, S., and Gosse,
- 640 P.: Gaseous emissions and oxygen consumption in hydroelectric dams: A case study in French
- 641 Guyana, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle, 11, 471-483, 1997.
- 642 Guerin, F., Abril, G., Serca, D., Delon, C., Richard, S., Delmas, R., Tremblay, A., and Varfalvy,
- 643 L.: Gas transfer velocities of CO2 and CH4 in a tropical reservoir and its river downstream,
- 644 Journal of Marine Systems, 66, 161-172, 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.03.019, 2007.
- 645 Guérin, F., Abril, G., Richard, S., Burban, B., Reynouard, C., Seyler, P., and Delmas, R.:
- 646 Methane and carbon dioxide emissions from tropical reservoirs: Significance of downstream
- 647 rivers, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, L21407 10.1029/2006gl027929, 2006.
- 648 Guérin, F., and Abril, G.: Significance of pelagic aerobic methane oxidation in the methane and
- carbon budget of a tropical reservoir, Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 112,
 G03006 10.1029/2006jg000393, 2007.
- 651 Guérin, F., Deshmukh, C., Labat, D., Pighini, S., Vongkhamsao, A., Guédant, P., Rode, W.,
- 652 Chanudet, V., Descloux, S., Godon, A., and Serça, D.: Effect of sporadic destratification,
- 653 seasonal overturn and artificial mixing on CH4 emissions at the surface of a subtropical
- hydroelectric reservoir (Nam Theun 2 Reservoir, Lao PDR), Biogeosciences Discussion, 12,
- 655 11349-11385, 10.5194/bgd-12-11349-2015, 2015.
- Kemenes, A., Forsberg, B. R., and Melack, J. M.: Methane release below a tropical hydroelectric
 dam, Geophysical Research Letters, 34, L12809 10.1029/2007gl029479, 2007.
- Li, S., and Zhang, Q.: Carbon emission from global hydroelectric reservoirs revisited, Environ
- 659 Sci Pollut Res, 21, 13636-13641, 10.1007/s11356-014-3165-4, 2014.
- Li, Z., Zhang, Z., Xiao, Y., Guo, J., Wu, S., and Liu, J.: Spatio-temporal variations of carbon
- dioxide and its gross emission regulated by artificial operation in a typical hydropower reservoir
- in China, Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 186, 3023-3039, 10.1007/s10661-013-
- 663 3598-0, 2014.
- Lima, I., Ramos, F., Bambace, L., and Rosa, R.: Methane Emissions from Large Dams as
- Renewable Energy Resources: A Developing Nation Perspective, Mitigation and AdaptationStrategies for Global Change, 13, 193-206, 2008.
- Liss, P. S., and Slater, P. G.: Flux of Gases across the Air-Sea Interface, Nature, 247, 181-184,
 10.1038/247181a0, 1974.
- 669 Maeck, A., DelSontro, T., McGinnis, D. F., Fischer, H., Flury, S., Schmidt, M., Fietzek, P., and
- 670 Lorke, A.: Sediment Trapping by Dams Creates Methane Emission Hot Spots, Environmental
- 671 Science & Technology, 47, 8130-8137, 10.1021/es4003907, 2013.
- 672 Murase, J., and Sugimoto, A.: Inhibitory effect of light on methane oxidation in the pelagic water
- 673 column of a mesotrophic lake (Lake Biwa, Japan), Limnology and Oceanography, 50, 1339-
- 674 1343, 2005.
- 675 NTPC: Environmental Assessment and Management Plan Nam Theun 2 Hydroelectric Project.
- Nam Theun 2 Power Company, NTPC (Nam Theun 2 Power Company), Vientiane, 212, 2005.
- 677 Teodoru, C. R., Bastien, J., Bonneville, M.-C., del Giorgio, P. A., Demarty, M., Garneau, M.,
- 678 Hélie, J.-F., Pelletier, L., Prairie, Y. T., Roulet, N. T., Strachan, I. B., and Tremblay, A.: The net
- 679 carbon footprint of a newly created boreal hydroelectric reservoir, Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle, 26,
- 680 GB2016, 10.1029/2011gb004187, 2012.
- 681 Venkiteswaran, J. J., and Schiff, S. L.: Methane oxidation: isotopic enrichment factors in
- freshwater boreal reservoirs, Applied Geochemistry, 20, 683-690, 2005.
- 683 Wanninkhof, R.: Relationship between wind-speed and gas-exchange over the ocean, J.
- 684 Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 97, 7373-7382, 1992.

- Yamamoto, S., Alcauskas, J. B., and Crozier, T. E.: Solubility of methane in distilled water and seawater, Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data, 21, 78-80, 10.1021/je60068a029, 1976.

Table 1: Depth-integrated methane oxidation rates (mmol $m^{-2} d_{-1}$) and annual amount of oxidized

 CH_4 (Gg(CH₄) y⁻¹) at the stations RES9 and RES1 of the Nam Theun 2 Reservoir. The depth-

690 integrated CH_4 oxidation rates are given for each season: cold dry (CD), warm dry (WD) and

- 691 warm wet (WW) for each year.
- 692

		RES9		RES1		
Year	Season	mmol $m^{-2} d^{-1}$	$Gg(CH_4).y^{-1}$	mmol m ⁻² d ⁻¹	Gg(CH ₄).y ⁻¹	
2010	CD	11.6±5.5		2.8±1.0		
	WD	444.1±106.1	5.2±1.2	18.2±6.5	0.7±0.2	
	WW	442.3±93.6		96.3±29.8		
2011	CD	1.0±0.2		7.5±2.7		
	WD	128.2 ± 46.2	1.0±0.5	5.3±2.4	0.4±0.2	
	WW	46.9±31.8		50.2±26.3		
2012	CD	33.9±9.6		34.7±11.3		
	WD	94.1±19.4	1.2±0.3	41.9±21.8	0.6±0.2	
	WW	80.7±24.2		26.13±5.3		

693

Gg(CH ₄) year ⁻¹	2009	2010	2011	2012
Emission from reservoir				
Ebullition ¹	11.21±0.16	14.39±0.11	14.68±0.10	12.29±0.09
Diffusion at RES9 only ²	$0.02{\pm}0.01$	2.33±0.21	0.86±0.12	0.66±0.11
Diffusion at RES1 only ²	0.06±0.03	$0.09{\pm}0.07$	0.01 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00
Total diffusion ²	4.45±1.01	9.34±2.32	3.71±0.81	4.95±1.09
Total emissions from reservoir	15.66±1.02	23.73±2.32	18.39±0.82	17.25±1.09
Emissions from downstream				
Degassing (continuous release)	0.49±0.03	8.48±0.74	1.83±0.41	1.67±0.31
Degassing (Spillway release)	7.20±0.90	0.92±0.39	0.14±0.00	0.00 ± 0.00
Diffusion	0.10±0.02	1.33±0.03	0.32±0.02	0.33±0.03
Total downstream emissions	7.79±0.90	10.73±0.83	2.29±0.41	2.00±0.32
Total emissions (reservoir + downstream)	23.45±1.36	34.46±2.46	20.67±0.92	19.24±1.14

Table 2: Methane emissions from the Nam Theun 2 Reservoir between 2009 and 2012.

699 Figure captions

Figure 1. Map of the Nam Theun 2 Hydroelectric Reservoir (Lao People's Democratic Republic)

Figure 2: Vertical profiles of temperature, oxygen and methane concentrations at the stations
RES1 and RES9 in the Nam Theun 2 Reservoir during the three seasons in 2010, 2011 and 2012

Figure 3: Methane concentrations and emissions downstream of the Nakai Dam at the Nam Theun 2 Reservoir between 2009 and 2012. (a) Time series of CH_4 concentrations at the stations NTH3 and NTH4, (b) diffusive fluxes at the stations NTH3 and NTH4, (c) emissions by diffusive fluxes in the section 4 (between NTH3 and NTH4), (d) degassing due to spillway release below the Nakai Dam, (e) degassing below the Nakai Dam due to the continuous water discharge of 2 m3 s-1 and (f) Total emissions by degassing and diffusion downstream of the Nakai Dam.

Figure 4: Methane concentrations and emissions downstream of the powerhouse of the Nam Theun 2 Reservoir between 2009 and 2012. (a) Time series of CH_4 concentrations at the stations TRC1, DCH1, DCH3 and DCH4, (b) diffusive fluxes at the stations TRC1, DCH1, DCH3 and DCH4, (c) emissions by diffusive fluxes in the section 1, 2 and 3 (see Figure 1), (d) degassing downstream of the powerhouse, the regulating dam and the aeration weir, (e) Total emissions by degassing and diffusion downstream of the Nakai Dam.

Figure 5: Linear relationships between methane (CH_4) concentrations and aerobic methane oxidation in the (a) metalimnion, (b) the epilimnion in the cool dry season and (c) the epilimnion in the warm dry season at the Nam Theun 2 Reservoir.

Figure 1





125 250

Upstream of the Nakai Dam (RES1)

724

