

Dear Editor

The authors thank the editor for the opportunity to publish this manuscript, which represents a valuable contribution in terms of its attempt to improve remote sensing GPP estimates. We have made the requested changes as suggested.

L246-248 “Saturation vapour at surface temperature (i.e. Air-to-leaf vapour pressure deficit, VPD) was computed using T_c and relative humidity, which was derived from water vapor molar fraction measured with the IRGA (Perez-Priego et al., 2015).”

In addition, we have pointed out this difference as compared to the original MOD17;

L359-362 ”Please note that in this study, vapor pressure deficit of the ambient air used in MOD17 is replaced by leaf-to-air vapour pressure deficit, which is defined by plant temperature and used as a better descriptor of plant physiology”.

Looking forward to hearing from you soon

With best regards,
Oscar Pérez Priego, Ph.D.

1 **Sun-induced Chlorophyll fluorescence and PRI improve remote sensing GPP**
2 **estimates under varying nutrient availability in a typical Mediterranean savanna**
3 **ecosystem**

4
5 *Oscar Perez-Priego^{1*}, Jinhong Guan^{1,2}, Micol Rossini³, Francesco Fava³, Thomas Wutzler¹, Gerardo*
6 *Moreno⁴, Nuno Carvalhais^{1,5}, Arnaud Carrara⁶, Olaf Kolle¹, Tommaso Julitta³, Marion Schruppf^f,*
7 *Markus Reichstein¹ and Mirco Migliavacca¹*

8 ¹ Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

9 ² Chinese Academy of Sciences and Ministry of Water Resources, State Key Laboratory of
10 Soil Erosion and Dryland Farming on Loess Plateau, Institute of Soil and Water
11 Conservation, Yangling, Shaanxi, China

12 ³ Università degli Studi Milano-Bicocca, Remote Sensing of Environmental Dynamics
13 Laboratory, DISAT, Milan, Italy

14 ⁴ Universidad de Extremadura, Forest Research Group, Plasencia, 10600, Spain

15 ⁵ Departamento de Ciências e Engenharia do Ambiente, DCEA, Faculdade de Ciências e
16 Tecnologia, FCT, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal

17 ⁶ Fundación Centro de Estudios Ambientales del Mediterráneo (CEAM), Valencia, Spain

18
19 *Oscar Perez-Priego^{1*}, email: opriego@bgc-jena.mpg.de*

20 *Jinhong Guan^{1,2}, email: jguan@bgc-jena.mpg.de*

21 *Francesco Fava³, email: francesco.fava@unimib.it*

22 *Micol Rossini³, email: micol.rossini@unimib.it*

23 *Thomas Wutzler¹, email: thomas.wutzler@bgc-jena.mpg.de*

24 *Gerardo Moreno⁴, email: gmoreno@unex.es*

25 *Tommaso Julitta³, email: tommaso.julitta@gmail.com*

26 *Nuno Carvalhais^{1,5}, email: ncarval@bgc-jena.mpg.de*

27 *Arnaud Carrara⁶, email: arnaud@ceam.es*

28 *Olaf Kolle¹, email: olaf.kolle@bgc-jena.mpg.de*

29 *Marion Schruppf^f, email: mschrumpf@bgc-jena.mpg.de*

30 *Markus Reichstein¹, email: mreichstein@bgc-jena.mpg.de*

31 *Mirco Migliavacca¹, email: mmiglia@bgc-jena.mpg.de*

32

33 Running title: Remote sensing-based model of photosynthesis

34 Received: May 2015

35 Keywords: Photochemical reflectance index, sun-induced fluorescence, nutrient availability,
36 photosynthesis, LUE model, dehesa.

37

38 *Corresponding Author

39 Biosphere-Atmosphere Interactions and Experimentation group

40 Biogeochemical Integration Department

41 Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry,

42 Jena

43 Germany

44 e-mail: opriego@bgc-jena.mpg.de

45

46 **Abstract**

47 This study investigates the performances of different optical indices to estimate gross primary
48 production (GPP) of herbaceous stratum in a Mediterranean savanna with different Nitrogen
49 (N) and Phosphorous (P) availability. Sun-induced chlorophyll Fluorescence yield computed
50 at 760 nm (Fy760), scaled-photochemical reflectance index (sPRI), MERIS terrestrial-
51 chlorophyll index (MTCI) and Normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) were
52 computed from near-surface field spectroscopy measurements collected using high spectral
53 resolution spectrometers covering the visible near-infrared regions. GPP was measured using
54 canopy-chambers on the same locations sampled by the spectrometers. We tested whether
55 light-use efficiency (LUE) models driven by remote sensing quantities (RSM) can better track
56 changes in GPP caused by nutrient supplies compared to those driven exclusively by
57 meteorological data (MM). Particularly, we compared the performances of different RSM
58 formulations -relying on the use of Fy760 or sPRI as proxy for LUE and NDVI or MTCI as
59 fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (*f*APAR) - with those of classical
60 MM.

61 Results showed higher GPP in the N fertilized experimental plots during the growing period.
62 These differences in GPP disappeared in the drying period when senescence effects masked
63 out potential differences due to plant N content. Consequently, although MTCI was tightly
64 related to the mean of plant N content across treatment ($r^2=0.86$, $p<0.01$), it was poorly
65 related to GPP ($r^2=0.45$, $p<0.05$). On the contrary sPRI and Fy760 correlated well with GPP
66 during the whole measurement period. Results revealed that the relationship between GPP
67 and Fy760 is not unique across treatments but it is affected by N availability. Results from a
68 cross-validation analysis showed that MM ($AIC_{cv}=127$, $ME_{cv}= 0.879$) outperformed RSM
69 ($AIC_{cv}=140$, $ME_{cv}= 0.8737$) when soil moisture was used to constrain the seasonal dynamic
70 of LUE. However, residual analyses demonstrated that GPP predictions with MM are

71 inaccurate whenever no climatic variable explicitly reveals nutrient-related changes in the
72 LUE parameter. These results put forward that RSM is a valuable means to diagnose nutrient-
73 induced effects on the photosynthetic activity.

74 **Abbreviations:**

75 **a**, **a₀**, and **a₁** are model parameters; **b₀**, **b₁**, **b₂**, and **b₃** are fitting parameters of RSM; **EFPs**,
76 ecosystem functional properties; **f(meteo)**, limiting functions relying on meteorologically-
77 driven data; **fAPAR**, fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation; **fPAI_g**, fraction
78 of **PAI_g** in different plant forms; **Fy760**, sun-induced chlorophyll Fluorescence yield at 760
79 nm; **GPP**, gross primary productivity; **GPP_{noon}**: instantaneous gross photosynthetic rate taken
80 at solar noon (between 11:00 and 15:00 pm solar time); **GPP_{daily}**: mean value of the diurnal
81 time course of gross photosynthetic rate; **GPP₂₀₀₀**, gross primary productivity estimated at
82 2000 of PAR; **LUE**, light use-efficiency; **LUE_m** potential or maximum LUE; **MM**,
83 meteorologically driven model; **MM-VPD**, simplifier model of the original MOD17 that
84 account for VPD in **f(meteo)**; **MM(SWC-VPD)** meteorologically-driven model that account
85 for VPD and soil moisture in **f(meteo)**; **MTCI**, MERIS terrestrial-chlorophyll index; **NDVI**,
86 Normalized difference vegetation index; **NEE**, net ecosystem CO₂ exchange; **PAI_g**, Green
87 Plant Area Index; **PAR**, Photosynthetically active radiation; **ph**, physiologically-related
88 parameter of RSM referring to either sPRI or Fy760 as a proxy for LUE; **PLRC**,
89 photosynthetic light response curve; **PRI**, photochemical reflectance index; **R_{eco}**, daytime
90 ecosystem respiration; **RSM**, remote sensing based models; **SIF**, sun-induced chlorophyll
91 fluorescence; **sPRI**, scaled-photochemical reflectance index; **st**, structurally-related parameter
92 of RSM referring to either NDVI or MTCI as a proxy for **fAPAR**; **SWC**, soil water content;
93 **SWC_{max}** parameter of the **f(meteo)** term; **ALVPD**, air-to-leaf vapor pressure deficit; **VPD**,
94 vapor pressure deficit; **VPD_{max}** and **VPD_{min}** are fitting parameters of the **f(meteo)** term; **α** is a
95 parameter describing the photosynthetic quantum yield; **β** is the parameter that extrapolates to
96 GPP at saturating light condition.

97

98 **1. Introduction**

99 Human-induced nutrient imbalances are affecting essential processes that lead to
100 important changes in ecosystem structure and functioning (Peñuelas et al., 2013). In spite of
101 the crucial role of nutrients in regulating plant processes, efforts to describe and predict the
102 response of photosynthesis to such changes with remote sensing information have been
103 limited. In the framework of the classical Monteith Light Use Efficiency (LUE) model
104 (Monteith, 1972), estimates of photosynthesis (hereafter gross primary productivity, GPP) are
105 based on three key quantities: i) the fraction of photosynthetically active radiation (**fAPAR**)
106 absorbed by the vegetation, ii) potential LUE (or maximum, **LUE_m**), normally taken from

107 look-up tables and associated with plant functional types (Heinsch et al., 2006) and iii)
108 correction factors related to meteorological conditions that limit LUE_m . Although Nitrogen
109 (N) deficiencies have been recognized one of the main correction factors of LUE_m (Madani et
110 al., 2014), the predictive capability of LUE models is usually circumspect as they operate
111 based on the general assumption that plants are under non-limiting nutrient conditions.

112 Very little attention has been given to nutrient-induced effects on $fAPAR$ and LUE in
113 common formulations of LUE models. Light absorption by plant is given by chlorophyll
114 pigments that enable photosynthetic processes. Assuming a correlation between leaf
115 chlorophyll pigments and leaf N content, note that N atoms are basic components of the
116 chlorophylls molecular structure, several studies have demonstrated that leaf N content can be
117 estimated through chlorophyll-related hyperspectral vegetation indices (Baret et al., 2007;
118 Schlemmer et al., 2013). Among these indices, the MERIS Terrestrial Chlorophyll Index
119 (MTCI, Dash and Curran, 2004) has been used as a proxy for $fAPAR$ (Rossini et al., 2010;
120 Wang et al., 2012). However, leaf N content is functional trait that controls GPP not only
121 because it scales with chlorophylls but also regulates enzyme kinetic processes driving
122 photosynthesis and hence the physiological status of the plant (Huang et al., 2004; Walker et
123 al., 2014). Then, prescribing biome-specific LUE parameters and correcting LUE_m only for
124 climatic and environmental conditions may hamper the accurate prediction of GPP (Yuan et
125 al., 2014). For these reasons, recent literature has called for better physiological descriptors of
126 the dynamic behavior of LUE (Guanter et al., 2014).

127 The sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence (SIF) or physiological-related reflectance
128 indices such as the photochemical reflectance index (PRI) provide a new optical means to
129 spatially infer LUE (Damm et al., 2010; Guanter et al., 2014; Rossini et al., 2015) and can
130 provide diagnostic information regarding plant nutrient and water status (Lee et al., 2013;
131 Pérez-Priego et al., 2005; Suárez et al., 2008; Tremblay et al., 2012). From a physiological

132 perspective, the efficiency of green plants to transform absorbed light into chemical energy
133 during photosynthesis can be characterized by two main photo-protective mechanisms: i) non-
134 photochemical quenching that can be detected using the Photochemical Reflectance Index
135 (PRI), originally proposed by (Gamon et al., 1992) to track changes in the de-epoxidation
136 state of the xanthophyll cycle pigments, and ii) Chlorophyll fluorescence, the dissipation of
137 energy that exceeds photosynthetic demand (Krause and Weis, 1984). The PRI has been
138 directly correlated with LUE (Drolet et al., 2008; Gamon et al., 1997; Nichol et al., 2000;
139 Peñuelas et al., 2011; Rahman et al., 2004). However, such relation may vary because of the
140 sensitivity of the PRI to confounding factors like those associated with temporal changes in
141 the relative fraction of chlorophyll:carotenoids pigment composition (Filella et al., 2009;
142 Porcar-Castell et al., 2012), viewing angles and vegetation structure (Garbulsky et al., 2011;
143 Grace et al., 2007; Hall et al., 2008; Hilker et al., 2008).

144 Alternatively, the estimation of SIF by passive remote sensing systems has been
145 proven feasible in recent years from satellite (Frankenberg et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2013;
146 Parazoo et al., 2014) to the field (Damm et al., 2010; Guanter et al., 2013; Meroni et al.,
147 2011), and opens further possibilities to directly track the dynamics of LUE (Damm et al.,
148 2010; Guanter et al., 2014). Although SIF correlates with LUE, such relations might not be
149 conservative since chlorophyll fluorescence emission varies among species types (Campbell
150 et al., 2008) or with stress conditions such as nutrient deficiencies (Huang et al., 2004;
151 McMurtrey et al., 2003) or drought (Flexas et al., 2002; Pérez-Priego et al., 2005). Likewise
152 with the PRI, the retrieval of SIF from the apparent reflectance signal is not trivial as long as
153 it is affected by the vegetation structure or canopy background components (Zarco-Tejada et
154 al., 2013).

155 Comparable spatial and temporal resolutions of radiometric and ground-based GPP
156 measurements are essential to accurately optimize LUE model parameters, particularly in

157 heterogeneous ecosystems. Previous studies have related ecosystem-scale eddy covariance
158 fluxes to radiometric measurements taken in single points to constraint LUE models.
159 However, the explanatory power of LUE models might be greatly reduced by the spatial
160 mismatch between radiometric and eddy covariance flux footprints (Gelybó et al., 2013;
161 Porcar-Castell et al., 2015). Similar issues occur in small-scale factorial experiments where
162 comparable measurements on an intermediate scale between leaf-scale cuvette measurements
163 and ecosystem-scale eddy covariance measurements are required. Here, we tried to overcome
164 such limitations by combining ground-based radiometric and CO₂ fluxes measurements with
165 similar extension of the measurement footprint using portable spectrometers and canopy
166 chambers in a nutrient-manipulation experiment.

167 **The main objective of this study was to evaluate whether traditional LUE models driven by**
168 **meteorological and phenological data (MM) entail a limited assessment of the environmental**
169 **controls on GPP. More particularly, we evaluated if the effects of varying nutrient availability**
170 **on GPP estimates as tracked by chlorophyll fluorescence and PRI can be equally explained by**
171 **meteorology-driven models. To address the main objective we:**

172 a) assess the effect of different nutrient supplies on grassland photosynthesis and optical
173 properties and their relationships during a phenological cycle, including both growing and
174 drying periods,

175 b) evaluate the performance of different LUE modeling approaches with varying nutrient
176 availability and environmental conditions.

177 **2. Material and Methods**

178 **2.1. Site description and experimental design**

179 A Small scale nutrient Manipulation Experiment (SMANIE) was set up in a
180 Mediterranean savannah in Spain (39°56'24.68"N, 5°45'50.27"W; Majadas de Tietar, Caceres,
181 Fig. 1). The site is characterized by a mean annual temperature of 16°C, mean annual
182 precipitation of ca. 700 mm, falling mostly from November until May, and by a very dry
183 summer. Similar to most Mediterranean grassland, grazing (<0.7 cows ha⁻¹) is the main land
184 use in the site. The site is defined as a typical Mediterranean savanna ecosystem, low density
185 of oak trees (mostly *Quercus Ilex* (L.), ~20 trees ha⁻¹) dominated by a herbaceous stratum.
186 The experiment itself was restricted to an open grassland area which was not influenced by
187 tree canopy. The herbaceous stratum is dominated by species of the three main functional
188 plant forms (grasses, forbs and legumes). The fraction of the three plant forms varied
189 seasonally according to their phenological status (Table 1). Overall, leaf area measurements
190 of the herbaceous stratum characterized the growing season phenology as peaking early in
191 April and achieving senescence by the end of May (Table 1).

192 The experiment consisted of four randomized blocks of about 20 m x 20 m. Each block
193 was separated into four plots of 9 m x 9 m with a buffer of 2 m in between to avoid boundary
194 effects. In each block, four treatments were applied (see Fig. 1):

- 195 (a) control treatment (C) with no fertilization;
- 196 (b) Nitrogen addition treatment (+N) with an application of 100 kg N ha⁻¹ as potassium
197 nitrate (KNO₃) and ammonium nitrate (NH₄NO₃);
- 198 (c) Phosphorous addition treatment (+P) with an application of 50 kg P ha⁻¹ as
199 monopotassium phosphate (KH₂PO₄); and
- 200 (d) N and P addition treatment (+NP), juxtaposing treatments (b) and (c).

201 Each fertilizer was dissolved in water and sprayed on foliage early in the growing season
202 (March 21st, 2014). The same amount of water used in the fertilizer solutions (~ 2 L m⁻²) was
203 sprayed on the C treatment to avoid water imbalances among treatments.

204 Within each plot, two permanent, non-disturbed parcels (32 in total, see black squares in
205 Fig 1) were dedicated to monitor CO₂ fluxes (net ecosystem CO₂ exchange, NEE; and
206 daytime ecosystem respiration, R_{eco}). While NEE measurements were performed over the
207 course of the day (from early in the morning to late afternoon), spectral measurements were
208 conducted simultaneously with flux measurements only around noon on half of the parcels
209 (16 in total).

210 Flux and spectral measurements were carried out in four field campaigns:

- 211 • Campaign #1: before fertilization (March 20th, 2014),
- 212 • Campaign #2: three weeks after fertilization (April 15th, 2014) during the peak
213 of the growing period,
- 214 • Campaigns #3 and #4: on May 7th and 27th, 2014, respectively, concurring with
215 the drying period were performed to evaluate joint effects related to
216 physiological senescence processes.

217 Ancillary measurements were taken in every field campaign as follows: green plant area index
218 (PAI_g) and aboveground biomass were directly measured by harvest in four parcels (0.25m x
219 0.25m) within each plot in the area surrounding that where spectral and flux measurements
220 were taken. All samples were refrigerated just after collection, and transported for laboratory
221 analyses. Fresh samples were separated into functional groups, the sample was scanned and
222 green plant area was measured using image analysis (WinRHIZO, Regent Instruments Inc.,
223 Canada). Afterwards, fresh samples were dried in an oven at 65 °C for 48 hours and weighed
224 to determine dry biomass. To analyze the nutrient content in leaf mass, biomass subsamples
225 were ground in a ball mill (RETSCH MM200, Retsch, Haan, Germany) and total C and N
226 concentrations were determined with an elemental analyzer (Vario EL, Elementar, Hanau,
227 Germany). P concentrations were also measured: 100-mg biomass subsamples were diluted in
228 3 ml of HNO₃ 65%, (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and microwave digested at high pressure

229 (Multiwave, Anton Paar, Graz, Austria; Raessler et al. (2005). Afterwards, elemental analysis
230 was conducted using inductively coupled plasma - optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES,
231 Optima 3300 DV, Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, USA).

232

233 **2.2 Flux measurements and Meteorological data**

234 Net CO₂ fluxes were measured with three transparent chambers of a closed dynamic system.
235 The chambers consisted of a cubic (0.6m x0.6m x0.6 m) transparent low-density polyethylene
236 structure connected to an infrared gas analyzer (IRGA LI-840, Lincoln, NE, USA), which
237 measures CO₂ and water vapor mole fractions (W) at 1 Hz. The chambers were equipped with
238 different sensors to acquire environmental and soil variables, all installed at the chamber
239 ceiling: Photosynthetically Active Radiation (*PAR*) was measured with a quantum sensor (Li-
240 190, Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA) placed outside of the chamber to be handled and leveled; air
241 and vegetation temperatures were measured with a thermistor probe (*T_a*, type 107, Campbell
242 Scientific, Logan, Utah, USA) and an infrared thermometer (*T_c*, IRTS-P, Apogee, UT, USA);
243 atmospheric pressure (*P*) was measured inside the chamber using a barometric pressure sensor
244 (CS100, Campbell Scientific, Logan, Utah, USA). The chambers were also equipped with soil
245 temperature and humidity sensors; soil water content was determined with an impedance soil
246 moisture probe (Theta Probe ML2x, Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, UK) at 5 cm depth and soil
247 temperature (type 107, Campbell Scientific, Logan, Utah, USA) at 10 cm depth. **Saturation**
248 **vapour at surface temperature (i.e. Air-to-leaf vapour pressure deficit, VPD) was computed**
249 **using *T_c* and relative humidity, which was derived from water vapor molar fraction measured**
250 **with the IRGA (Perez-Priego et al., 2015).**

251 The chamber operated as a closed dynamic system. A small pump circulates an air flow of 1 L
252 min⁻¹ through the sample circuit: air is drawn from inside the chamber - through three porous-
253 hanging tubes spatially distributed through the chamber headspace - to the infrared gas

254 analyzer; this air flow is then returned to the chamber. The hanging tubes allowed spatially
255 distributed sampling, obviating the need to homogenize air during chamber deployment.
256 Nevertheless, one small fan (12V, 0.14A) was fixed at 0.3 m on a floor corner of the chamber
257 and angled 45° upward.

258 A 0.6x0.6m metal collar was installed in each permanent parcel of each plot. The collar
259 provided a flat surface onto which the bottom of the chamber was placed. The chamber was
260 open and ventilated during 1 min prior to measurement, so that initial air composition and
261 temperature in the confined environment of the chamber represented natural atmospheric
262 conditions (as much NEE as Reco). For the NEE measurement, the transparent chamber was
263 placed on the collar (closed position, lasted 3 minutes as a general rule), and fluxes were
264 calculated from the rate of change of the CO₂ molar fraction (referenced to dry air) within the
265 chamber. Similar procedure was carried out for R_{eco} but using an opaque blanket that covered
266 the entire chamber and kept it dark during the measurements (PAR values around 0). Fluxes
267 were calculated according to Pérez-Priego et al. (2015).

268 Shortly, the flux calculation algorithm reduces flux uncertainties (i.e. NEE and R_{eco}) by
269 including the change-point detection method to determine the stabilization time, which
270 defines the initial slope of the regressions, and a bootstrap resampling-based method to
271 improve confidence in regression parameters and to optimize the number of data points used
272 for flux calculation. In addition, a statistical analysis of residuals was performed to
273 automatically detect the best fit among alternative regressions (i.e. quadratic, hyperbolic
274 tangent saturating function, exponential, linear). These analyses were implemented in a self-
275 developed R Package (available upon authors request or at the following link [http://r-forge.r-](http://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/respchamberproc/)
276 [project.org/projects/respchamberproc/](http://r-forge.r-project.org/projects/respchamberproc/)). NEE and R_{eco} measurements were taken over the
277 course of the day (from sunrise to sunset) for each field campaign. Chamber disturbance
278 effects and correction for systematic and random errors (i.e. leakage, water dilution and gas

279 density correction, and light attenuation by the chamber wall) were applied according to
280 Perez-Priego et al., (2015).

281

282 **2.3 Field spectral measurements**

283 Midday spectral measurements at canopy level were carried out under clear sky conditions
284 using two portable spectrometers (HR4000, OceanOptics, USA) characterized by different
285 spectral resolutions. Spectrometer 1, characterized by a Full Width at Half Maximum
286 (FWHM) of 0.1 nm and a 700-800 nm spectral range was specifically designed for the
287 estimation of sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence at the O₂-A band (760 nm). Spectrometer
288 2 (FWHM = 1 nm, 400 - 1000 nm spectral range) was used for the computation of reflectance
289 and vegetation indices. Spectrometers were housed in a thermally regulated Peltier box,
290 keeping the internal temperature at 25°C in order to reduce dark current drift. The
291 spectrometers were spectrally calibrated with a source of known characteristics (CAL-2000
292 mercury argon lamp, OceanOptics, USA) while the radiometric calibration was inferred from
293 cross-calibration measurements performed with a calibrated FieldSpec FR Pro spectrometer
294 (ASD, USA). This spectrometer was calibrated by the manufacturer with yearly frequency.

295 Incident solar irradiance was measured by nadir observations of a leveled calibrated standard
296 reflectance panel (Spectralon; LabSphere, USA). Measurements were acquired using bare
297 fiber optics with an angular field of view of 25°. The average canopy plane was observed
298 from nadir at a distance of 110 cm (43 cm diameter field of view) allowing for collecting
299 measurements of 50% of the surface area covered by the chamber measurements. The manual
300 rotation of a mast mounted horizontally on the tripod allowed sequential observation of the
301 vegetated target and the white reference calibrated panel. More in detail, every acquisition
302 session consisted in the consecutive collection of the following spectra: instrument dark

303 current, radiance of the white reference panel, canopy radiance and radiance of the white
304 reference panel. The radiance of the reference panel at the time of the canopy measurement
305 was then estimated by linear interpolation.

306 For every acquisition, 3 and 10 scans (for Spectrometers 1 and 2, respectively) were averaged
307 and stored as a single file. Five measurements were collected for each plot. Spectral data were
308 acquired with dedicated software (Meroni and Colombo, 2009) and processed with a
309 specifically developed IDL (ITTVIS IDL 7.1.1) application. This application allowed the
310 basic processing steps of raw data necessary for the computation of the hemispherical conical
311 reflectance factor described by Meroni et al. (2011).

312 The following indices were selected as suitable to investigate long term nutrient-mediated
313 effects on photosynthesis. The NDVI (Rouse et al., 1974) was selected because it correlates
314 well with plant area and among traditional spectral vegetation indices is used worldwide by
315 classical LUE models as a surrogate for $fAPAR$ (Di Bella et al., 2004). The MTCI (Dash and
316 Curran, 2004) was selected because it was specifically designed for canopy chlorophyll
317 content estimation, and recently used as proxy for $fAPAR$ as well as NDVI. In this study we
318 used the PRI and SIF as surrogates for LUE. A scaled PRI (sPRI) calculated as $(PRI+1)/2$ was
319 used. SIF was estimated by exploiting the spectral fitting method described in Meroni et al.
320 (2010), assuming linear variation of the reflectance and fluorescence in the O₂-A absorption
321 band region. The spectral interval used for SIF estimation was set to 759.00 - 767.76 nm for a
322 total of 439 spectral channels used. For methodological distinction among existing
323 approaches, hereafter SIF is referred to as F760. Because F760 is affected by PAR we use the
324 apparent chlorophyll fluorescence yield ($Fy760$; Rossini et al., 2010) computed as the ratio
325 between F760 and the incident radiance in a nearby spectral region. A summary of the

326 formulation to compute the vegetation indices and their corresponding target and proxy in the
327 LUE model approach are presented in Table 2.

328 **2.4 Relationship between GPP and remote sensing data**

329 Ecosystem-level GPP was computed as the difference between NEE and daytime R_{eco} taken
330 consecutively with the chambers. To assess how GPP is modulated by light among treatments
331 and over the phenological cycle of the herbaceous stratum, we computed the parameters of
332 photosynthetic light response curve (PLRC). Specifically, the Michaelis–Menten function was
333 fitted to GPP and PAR data taken throughout the course of the day (from sunrise until sunset)
334 for each field campaign and treatment as follows:

$$335 \quad GPP_i = \frac{\alpha \times \beta \times PAR_i}{\beta + PAR_i \times \alpha}, \quad [1]$$

336 where α is a parameter describing the photosynthetic quantum yield ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \mu\text{mol photons}^{-1}$)
337 1), and β is the parameter that extrapolates to GPP at saturating light condition ($\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{m}^{-2}$
338 s^{-1}). According to Ruimy et al. (1994), we used the optimized parameters of the PLRC as
339 defined in Eq. (1) to estimate the GPP at 2000 $\mu\text{mol quantum m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ of PAR (hereafter
340 referred to GPP_{2000}).

341 We evaluated direct relationships between those GPP measurements taken around noon
342 (between 11:00 and 15:00 pm solar time) with the chamber (GPP_{noon}) and sequentially
343 measurements of Fy760 and spectral indices (NDVI, sPRI, MTCI). In addition, to avoid
344 confounding factors in the relationship between Fy760 and sPRI and photosynthesis, we also
345 used GPP_{2000} as a maximum photosynthetic capacity descriptor.

346 **2.5 Monteith's light-use efficiency modelling approaches**

347 Following Monteith's LUE framework (Eq. 2) two alternative modeling approaches were
348 used:

349
$$GPP = LUE \times fAPAR \times PAR, \quad [2]$$

350 i. **Meteo-driven methods (MM)**; based on the MOD17 formulation, $fAPAR$ is
 351 approached through the relationship with NDVI and includes limiting functions
 352 $f(meteo)$, which are based on climatic driving parameters to limit maximum LUE
 353 (LUE_{max}). Alternatively, Eq. (2) was reformulated as follows:

354
$$GPP = LUE_{max} \times f(meteo) \times (a_0 \times NDVI + a_1) \times PAR, \quad [3]$$

355 where LUE_{max} , a_0 , and a_1 are model parameters. Three different $f(meteo)$ functions
 356 were tried;

357 a) **MM-VPD**, this method is a simplification of the original MOD17, in which
 358 $f(meteo)$ includes two linear ramp functions of both maximum and minimum vapour
 359 pressure deficit (VPD) and minimum temperature (T). **Please note that in this study,**
 360 **vapor pressure deficit of the ambient air used in MOD17 is replaced by leaf-to-air**
 361 **vapour pressure deficit, which is defined by plant temperature and used as a better**
 362 **descriptor of plant physiology.** Since minimum temperature was not limiting at the
 363 site, we fixed the $f(meteo)$ parameters as suggested by Heinsch et al. (2006) but
 364 constraining only a function based on VPD as follows:

365
$$f(meteo) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{VPD - VPD_{min}}{VPD_{max} - VPD_{min}} \right) \right], \quad [4]$$

366 then, VPD_{max} and VPD_{min} are defined as the three parameters of the $f(meteo)$ term.

367 b) **MM-SWC**, where $f(meteo)$ includes a soil water content (SWC) function
 368 (Migliavacca et al., 2011) as the limiting factor of LUE_{max} :

369
$$f(meteo) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(SWC_{max} - a \times SWC)}, \quad [5]$$

370 here, SWC_{max} and a are defined as the parameters of the $f(meteo)$ term.

371 c) **MM (SWC-VPD)**, where $f(\text{meteo})$ includes both soil water content and VPD
 372 functions as limiting factors:

$$373 \quad f(\text{meteo}) = \left[1 - \left(\frac{\text{VPD} - \text{VPD}_{\min}}{\text{VPD}_{\max} - \text{VPD}_{\min}} \right) \right] \times \left[\frac{1}{1 + \exp(\text{SWC}_{\max} - a \times \text{SWC})} \right], \quad [6]$$

374 here, VPD_{\max} , VPD_{\min} , SWC_{\max} and a are defined as the parameters of the $f(\text{meteo})$
 375 term.

376 ii. **RS-based method (RSM)**; based on a solution of Eq.(1) as follows:

$$377 \quad \begin{aligned} GPP &= LUE \times fPAR \times PAR = (a_0 \times Ph + a_1) \times (a_2 \times St + a_3) \times PAR \\ &= (b_0 \times Ph + b_1 \times St + b_2 \times Ph \times St + b_3) \times PAR, \end{aligned} \quad [7]$$

378 where four alternative model formulations were obtained from the combination of the sPRI or
 379 Fy760 as the physiological related proxy (Ph) for LUE, and NDVI or MTCI as structural-
 380 related (St) proxy for $fAPAR$. In Eq. 7, b_0 , b_1 , b_2 , and b_3 are fitting parameters (Rossini et al.,
 381 2010).

382 **2.5 Statistical analysis and model performance**

383 All model formulations were optimized using GPP_{noon} and spectral measurements
 384 taken at midday. Since the means of spectral measurements per treatment could have unequal
 385 variance, a Welch's t-test was performed to evaluate significant differences between the mean
 386 values of the different vegetation indices for each treatment and over the four field campaigns.
 387 In addition, an analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was used to test whether or not there was a
 388 significant interaction by the treatment effect between GPP_{noon} and Fy760 and different
 389 spectral indices. Like vegetation indices, a t-test was performed to the daily average of GPP
 390 taken over the course of the day (GPP_{daily}).

391

392 **2.5.1 Cross-validation analyses and model evaluation**

393 Different model formulations were evaluated in leave-one-out (loo) cross-validation: from the
394 whole dataset composed by n observations, one data point at a time was removed. The model
395 was fitted against the $n-1$ remaining data points (training set) while the excluded data
396 (validation set) were used for model evaluation. The cross-validation process was then
397 repeated n times, with each of the n observations used exactly once as the validation set. For
398 each validation set of the cross-validated model, statistics were calculated.

399 Model accuracy was evaluated by means of different statistics according to Janssen and
400 Heuberger (1995): root mean square error (RMSE), relative root mean square error (rRMSE)
401 determination coefficient (r^2) and model efficiency (ME). The model performances in loo
402 cross-validation were also calculated and reported as $RMSE_{cv}$, $rRMSE_{cv}$, r^2_{cv} and ME_{cv} .

403 The Akaike Information Criterion (AIC_{cv}) was used to evaluate the trade-off between model
404 complexity (i.e. number of parameters) and explanatory power (i.e. goodness-of-fit) of the
405 different model formulations proposed. The AIC_{cv} is a method based on information theory
406 that is useful for statistical and empirical model selection purposes (Akaike, 1998). Following
407 Anderson et al. (2000), in this analysis we used the following definition of AIC_{cv} :

$$408 \quad AIC_{cv} = 2(p + 1) + n \left[\ln \left(\frac{RSS_{cv}}{n} \right) \right] \quad [8]$$

409
410 where n is the number of samples (i.e. observations), p is the number of model parameters and
411 RSS_{cv} is the residual sum of squares divided by n .

412 The LUE model formulations proposed in Section 2.4 can be ranked according to AIC_{cv} ,
413 where the model with lowest AIC_{cv} is considered the best among the different model
414 formulations.

415 All model parameters (MM, and RSM) were estimated by using a Gauss-Newton nonlinear
416 least square optimization method (Bates and Watts, 2008), and standard errors of parameters

417 were estimated by bootstrapping (number of sampling, $n = 500$; Efron and Tibshirani (1994)),
418 both implemented in the R standard package (R version 3.0.2, R Development Core Team,
419 2011).

420

421 **3. Results**

422 **3.1 Effects of fertilization on plant nutrient contents and GPP**

423 Fertilization caused strong variations in leaf N and P content among treatments, plant
424 forms and across field campaigns (Table 2); while total N content in plants ranged slightly
425 between 13.8 ± 1.2 and 15.4 ± 1.7 mg g^{-1} for the C and +P treatments over the whole
426 experiment, the largest increases in total N content were found in the peak of the growing
427 season (#2, March 20th, 2014), when +NP and +N treatments reached values of up to 23.7 ± 2.0
428 and 23.5 ± 4.1 mg g^{-1} , respectively. Although slightly lower, the differences in total N content
429 between C and +P, and +NP and +N remained high over the drying period. Total P content
430 was higher in +NP and +P treatments after fertilization, as compared to +N and C treatments.
431 Consequently, the N:P ratio at the first campaign after fertilization (#2) achieved values of up
432 to 14.2, 6.6, 6, and 3.7, in +N, C, +NP, and +P treatments, respectively. Similar differences in
433 N:P between treatments were also observed during the drying period (#3 and #4, Table 2). On
434 the other hand, PAI_g ranged from $0.4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ in campaign #4 to up to $2.5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ in campaign
435 #2. No differences were found in PAI_g among treatments since grazing apparently offset any
436 potential difference in the green aboveground production. **Regarding variations in the fraction
437 of plant forms, no significant differences were found between treatments.**

438 Fertilization caused significant differences in the $\text{GPP}_{\text{daily}}$ ($p < 0.05$) between N-addition
439 treatments (mean values of 19.62 ± 4.15 and 18.19 ± 5.67 $\mu\text{molCO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for +N and +NP,
440 respectively) and C and +P treatments (14.31 ± 5.39 and 14.40 ± 4.09 $\mu\text{molCO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$,
441 respectively) in the peak of the growing season (campaign #2); a relative difference of 37% in

442 GPP_{daily} values was found between +N and +NP and C treatments. During the drying period,
443 however, GPP was substantially down regulated (campaigns #3 and #4) and no significant
444 differences were found in GPP_{daily} , regardless of differences in plant N content observed
445 among treatments. The potential photosynthetic capacity GPP_{2000} (Fig 2) derived from PLRC
446 was similar in the four treatments in the pretreatment period (campaign #1, Fig 2a). GPP_{2000}
447 varied throughout the season and peaked in the campaign #2 (April 15th) in all treatments. At
448 this time PLRC of the +N and +NP treatments diverged clearly from no N addition treatments
449 (C and +P, Fig 2b). GPP_{2000} was higher in +N and +NP treatments (18.6 and 20.1 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2}$
450 s^{-1} , respectively) compared to C and +P treatments (14.9 and 15.4 $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$,
451 respectively). After campaign #2, when the soil layer at 5 cm depth dried out appreciably
452 (volumetric water content achieved values of 3% vol., data not shown), vegetation
453 progressively senesced and GPP_{2000} in turn was down-regulated and converged to similar
454 values in all treatments, regardless the higher N content observed in +N and +NP treatments
455 as compared with C and +P treatments (Table 1). During the drying season, GPP_{2000} decreased
456 in all treatments ranging between 5.6 and 8 $\mu\text{molCO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and no differences among
457 treatments was observed (Fig 2 c and d). These results indicate that the senescence of the
458 herbaceous stratum, which is regulated by water availability, strongly modulated the
459 photosynthetic capacity of the vegetation **over the season**.

460

461 **3.2 – Effects of fertilization on remote sensing data**

462 Optical properties of the analyzed plots were similar during campaign #1, before the
463 nutrient application. A pronounced seasonal time course was observed for both *Ph* (sPRI and
464 Fy760) and structural indices (*St*; NDVI and MTCI) with maximum values during the second
465 campaign. It is interesting to note that while for *St* indices the maximum values were reached
466 in +N plots, +NP plots showed maximum *Ph* values. Vegetation indices and Fy760 then

467 decreased in the drying period (Figure 3). As for GPP, differences between treatments were
468 more evident during campaign #2 when C plots showed statistically lower values for all the
469 indices considered, while only MTCI was able to detect significant differences between N
470 fertilized plots (+N and +NP). Furthermore significant differences in Fy760 and MTCI
471 between C and the other three treatments were found ($p<0.05$) in the drying period (campaign
472 #4.). NDVI varied significantly with changes in PAI_g with values of 0.4 in the campaign #4
473 up to 0.8 in the campaign #2 ($p<0.001$, $r^2=0.79$).

474

475 3.3 Relationship between remote sensing data and GPP

476 While *Ph* indices (Fy760 and sPRI) varied linearly with GPP_{noon} in all treatments
477 ($p<0.001$, $r^2=0.66$ for Fy760 and $p<0.001$, $r^2=0.79$ for sPRI, respectively, Fig 4 a and b,),
478 different patterns were observed for *St*: NDVI and GPP were best fitted by an exponential
479 regression ($p<0.001$, $r^2=0.77$ Fig 4 c), while a weak linear relationship between MTCI and
480 GPP_{noon} ($p<0.05$, $r^2=0.45$, Fig 4 d) was found. Although a weak relation between MTCI and
481 GPP_{noon} was found, MTCI was strongly correlated with plant N content ($y=14.17x-2.49$,
482 $p<0.001$, $r^2=0.86$). Note that these results are computed excluding data taken in the pre-
483 treatment campaign (#1) and differences in the relationship between remote sensing data and
484 GPP_{noon} among treatments can be only attributed to nutrient-induced effects. The ANCOVA
485 test did not show significant differences neither in slope nor intercept of the relationship
486 between GPP_{noon} and sPRI, and NDVI across treatments. However, barely significant
487 differences were found in the relationship between GPP_{noon} and Fy760 ($p<0.1$, Fig 4b) and
488 significant between GPP_{noon} and MTCI ($p<0.01$, Fig 4d) between N addition treatments (+N
489 and +NP) and C treatments (C and +P).

490 Similar to GPP_{noon} , GPP_{2000} was also significantly related to mean midday sPRI
491 ($r^2=0.76$, $p<0.001$, Fig. 5a) and Fy760 ($r^2=0.76$, $p<0.001$, Fig. 5b). As expected, an
492 exponential regression fitted best for NDVI, while a poor relationship with MTCI was found
493 (data not shown).

494

495 **3.4 Modeling GPP**

496 Based on the AIC_{cv} criterion, MM (VPD- SWC) outperformed MM-VPD, MM-SWC
497 and RSM models. Although MM (VPD-SWC) showed high accuracy in the predictions
498 ($ME_{cv}=0.879$, $r^2_{cv}=0.881$), this model had a tendency to underestimate observation at high
499 GPP_{noon} values (see comparison between model predictions and observations, Figures 6a-6c).
500 Note that the highest biases in modeled GPP_{noon} values among MM models belong to +N and
501 +NP treatments in field campaign #2. Since the four treatments experienced the same
502 environmental conditions (i.e. comparable values of SWC, VPD, air temperature), this bias
503 can be attributed to the higher N content (+N and +NP treatments) as compared to C and +P
504 treatments. Remarkably, residuals of the MM (VPD-SWC) taken from periods with moist soil
505 ($SWC>15$) were significantly correlated with sPRI and Fy760 ($p<0.05$, Fig. 7 a and b,
506 respectively). However, no biases between residuals and predictions were observed in RSM
507 over the span of values and treatments (Fig. 8). Results from the evaluation of model
508 performance indicated that RSM performs best when NDVI rather than MTCI, is used as St in
509 the Eq.7 and, hence, as a proxy for $fAPAR$ (Table 3). Our results indicated that RSM
510 performs best when either Ph (sPRI or Fy760) is combined with NDVI as St .

511

512 **4. Discussion**

513 **4.1 Effects of nutrients on GPP and remote sensing data and their relationships**

514 Nutrient fertilization, particularly N inputs, induced physiological changes manifested as an
515 increase in photosynthetic capacity **under high light conditions** (Fig. 2; Hirose and Werger
516 (1994). As we expected, plant N content showed to be a trait of photosynthesis that influences
517 a variety of aspects of photosynthetic physiology (Ciompi et al., 1996; Sugiharto et al., 1990).
518 These physiological changes were reflected on the optical properties, particularly on
519 fluorescence and sPRI. The increase in fluorescence with N fertilization inputs was recently
520 explained as the combined effect that a higher N content has on 1) chlorophyll content, which
521 magnifies APAR and enhances fluorescence signal, and on 2) **the increased photosynthetic**
522 **capacity that results in reduced NPQ activity and consequently increases the fluorescence**
523 **signal** (Cendrero-Mateo et al., 2015). The relationships between GPP_{noon} and Fy760 is not
524 unique and may vary from optimal to non-optimal environmental conditions (i.e. nutrient
525 deficiencies, water stress), when other regulatory mechanisms might reduce the degree of
526 coupling between fluorescence and photosynthesis (Cendrero-Mateo et al., 2015; Porcar-
527 Castell et al., 2012). Although Fy760 was positively correlated with GPP_{noon} , barely
528 significant differences in the slope of this relationship were observed between treatments (Fig.
529 4 b). Further studies are needed to fully explore the relationship between Fy760 and GPP_{noon}
530 under different stress conditions and over different ecosystems. However, if confirmed, the
531 effect of nutrient availability on the relationship between Fy760 and GPP_{noon} could have
532 important implications in GPP modeling. This result suggests that the inclusion of a
533 correction factor related to leaves N:P stoichiometry should be considered when modeling
534 GPP assuming a linear relationship with fluorescence at plant functional type level (Guanter
535 et al., 2014; Joiner et al., 2013).

536 In this study we also explored the capability of remote sensing to describe ecosystem
537 functional properties defined as those quantities that summarize and integrate ecosystem
538 processes and responses to environmental conditions and can be retrieved from ecosystem

539 level fluxes (e.g. GPP_{2000}) and structural measurements (Reichstein et al., 2014). GPP at light
540 saturation (i.e. GPP_{2000}) is one example of an ecosystem functional property, shown here to be
541 quite correlated to sPRI and Fy760 (Fig. 5). This result suggests that sPRI and Fy760 open
542 also new opportunities for remote sensing products to describe the spatiotemporal variability
543 of essential descriptors of ecosystem functioning (Musavi et al., 2015). Inferring GPP_{2000}
544 using remote-sensing has important implication both for monitoring global carbon cycle and
545 for benchmarking terrestrial biosphere models.

546 MTCI was tightly related with N content ($r^2=0.86$, $p<0.001$), independent of other structural
547 variables (i.e. PAI_g), and can be used as a good indicator of N availability. Although MTCI
548 has been proven to be very sensitive to variations in chlorophyll contents (Dash and Curran,
549 2004) and hence linkable with light absorption processes, it was weakly correlated with GPP,
550 particularly in plots added with N (+N and +NP; $r^2=0.27$, $p<0.01$, Fig 4 d). A quite wide range
551 of GPP_{noon} values were found at high values of MTCI – high GPP_{noon} values corresponding to
552 the growing season and low ones to the drying period – which can be explained by two
553 simultaneous mechanisms.

554 First, despite the high plant N content, physiological mechanisms including stomatal control
555 or reduced carboxylation efficiency down-regulate GPP (Huang et al., 2004) and ultimately
556 might break the relationship between GPP_{noon} and MTCI. Second, MTCI tracks changes in N
557 content regardless changes in canopy structure occurring during the dry season when grass
558 achieved senescence (i.e. green to dry biomass ratio, PAI_g). More studies aimed at the
559 separation of the combined effects of N and changes in green/dry biomass fractions on
560 $fAPAR$ are essential. On the other hand, although NDVI followed the seasonal dynamic of
561 PAI_g , it saturated at high GPP_{noon} values indicating the low ability of this index to detect
562 spatial variations induced by N fertilization.

563 Although optical measurements were taken at high spatial resolution ($<0.36 \text{ m}^2$), the
564 separation of confounding factors affecting sPRI or Fy760 is essential to elucidate the
565 mechanistic association between sPRI or Fy760 and GPP. Like sPRI, the retrieval of Fy760
566 from the apparent reflectance signal can be also affected by vegetation structure or canopy
567 background components (Zarco-Tejada et al., 2013). After optimization and selection of the
568 best model parameters using NDVI and sPRI (or Fy760) as driver, we analyzed the response
569 of simulated GPP to variations in NDVI and sPRI (or Fy760, Fig 9). Results indicate that at
570 high GPP levels, Fy760 and sPRI but less NDVI shaped GPP. **However, at low GPP levels,**
571 **either Fy760 or sPRI responded to GPP on a small scale (Fig 9b).** Figure 9 suggests that the
572 **relationship between NDVI and sPRI or Fy760 is not unique and NDVI may play an**
573 **important role in driving GPP in ecosystem characterized by marked seasonal variations. Our**
574 **results highlight the complementarity between NDVI and Fy760 or sPRI. Particularly, NDVI**
575 **assisted Fy760 or sPRI in predicting GPP under conditions with low biomass (i.e. low LAI),**
576 **when confounding factors may affect Fy760 or sPRI.** In semi-arid ecosystems, the lack of
577 sensitivity of sPRI or Fy760 to changes in GPP during dry conditions have been explained by
578 the soil background effect on the reflectance signal (Barton and North, 2001; Mänd et al.,
579 2010; Zarco-Tejada et al., 2013). Accordingly, Rahman et al., (2004) pointed out that
580 conditions where sPRI performs best are in dense canopies with low portion of bare soil.

581

582 **4.2 Performances of different LUE modeling approaches.**

583 Here we aim at answering the question how can we better simulate GPP using LUE modeling
584 with varying nutrient availability and environmental conditions by drawing comparisons
585 between the two model philosophies; RSM against MM approaches. There are an increasing
586 number of studies focused on the development of LUE models driven by remotely sensed
587 information to better explain spatio-temporal variations of GPP (Gitelson et al., 2014; Rossini

588 et al., 2012; Rossini et al., 2014). However, nutrient availability (and in particular N) greatly
589 influence the spatial variability of LUE even within the same plant-functional type (e.g.
590 grasslands) and further studies are essential. The slightly better performance in cross
591 validation of the MM (VPD-SWC) against all model configurations, including RSM, supports
592 the importance of a joint use of SWC and VPD as key parameters to constraint LUE in arid
593 and semi-arid ecosystems (Prince and Goward, 1995). However, residual analyses
594 demonstrated that MM (VPD-SWC) was unable to track N-induced differences in GPP during
595 the growing period, when both parameters are not limiting (Fig. 7). By contrast, accurate
596 estimates of GPP were obtained with RSM both over the drying and the growing periods.
597 These results also indicate the importance of physiological descriptors to constrain LUE,
598 which prevails over structural factors controlling $fAPAR$ (i.e. green biomass) under given
599 environmental conditions and encourage the use of hyperspectral remote sensing for
600 diagnostic upscaling of GPP.

601 With sPRI or Fy760 as a proxy for LUE, RSM is presented as a valuable means to diagnose
602 N-induced effects on physiology. Our results show the limits of MM in predicting the spatial
603 and temporal variability of GPP when LUE is not controlled by meteorological drivers alone
604 (VPD, temperature, soil moisture). Accordingly, GPP is eventually biased whenever neither
605 climatic nor structural state variables explicitly reveal spatial changes in the LUE parameter
606 associated with plant nutrient availability; residuals showed a clear tendency to underestimate
607 the highest modeled GPP values, significantly correlated to Fy760 and sPRI (Fig.7). **From a
608 practical point of view, the forcing variables of RSM approaches may show a better
609 observational coverage. In effect, the satellite-based retrievals of RSM forcing variables could
610 additionally overcome representativeness limitations and potential regional or seasonal biases
611 in meteorological fields (Dee et al., 2011). The uncertainties in forcing variables of MM (i.e.
612 temperature, VPD and soil moisture) could propagate and affects the GPP estimates.**

613

614

5. Concluding remarks

615

1. Fy760 and sPRI correlated well with GPP: both increased with N content and decreased with senescence.

616

617

2. MTCI can be used as a good descriptor of N content in plants but the relationship with GPP breaks down under drought conditions.

618

619

3. Meteo-driven models were able to describe temporal variations in GPP, and soil moisture can be a key parameter to better track the seasonal dynamics of LUE in arid environments. However, meteo-driven models were unable to describe N-induced effects on GPP. Important implication can be derived from these results and uncertainties in the prediction of global GPP still remain when meteo-driven models do not account for plant nutrient availability.

620

621

622

623

624

625

4. sPRI or Fy760 provide valuable means to diagnose nutrient-induced effects on the photosynthetic activity and, therefore, should be included in diagnostic GPP models.

626

627

628

629 **Author contribution**

630 OPP, MM, and MRo conceived the analyses, wrote the introduction, results and discussion,
631 and led the preparation and revision of the manuscript; FF, TJ made hyperspectral
632 measurements, computed spectral indices and fluorescence, and wrote part of the methods
633 section; JH, MS and OPP made chamber measurements, soil and vegetation lab analysis and
634 wrote part of the methods section; JH organized the dataset; OK provided technical assistance
635 in the design and construction of the chambers and data acquisition system and wrote part of
636 the methods section; GM and AC designed the fertilization protocol, organized sampling,
637 provided technical assistance for the managing of the experiment and contributed to data
638 interpretation; TW and OPP developed the R package for flux calculations, computed GPP
639 and flux uncertainties and contributed to statistical analyses and interpretation. NC and MRe
640 contributed to analyses and interpretation and to draft the manuscript. All authors discussed
641 the results and contributed to the manuscript.

642

643 **Acknowledgements**

644 The authors acknowledge the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and the Max Planck
645 Research Award that is funding the research activity. We acknowledge City council of
646 Majadas de Tietar for its support. The authors acknowledge Andrea Perez-Bargueno, and
647 Enrique Juarez-Alcalde from (University of Extreamadura), Ramon Lopez-Jimenez (CEAM),
648 Kathrin Henkel, and Martin Hertel from (MPI-Jena) and Marco Celesti (UNIMIB) for the
649 support in the field, lab analysis and the development of the transparent chambers; Javier
650 Pacheco Labrador and Maria Pilar Isabel Martin (CSIC) for help calibrating the radiometric
651 system. We thank Professor Andrew S. Kowalski (University of Granada, Spain) for his
652 review of the manuscript and constructive comments.

653 **Figure Captions**

654

655 **Fig 1.** Overview of the experimental site (SMANIE): the experimental blocks are drawn on an
656 image acquired with the hyperspectral AHS (Sensytech Inc., Beverly, MA, USA) sensor
657 during April 2014.

658

659 **Fig 2.** Photosynthetic light response curves derived for each growing period: (a) pretreatment
660 and (b) post-treatment and drying periods (c and d). Treatments are presented in different
661 colors. Lines represent the Michaelis–Menten function fitting gross photosynthesis
662 (GPP, $\mu\text{molCO}_2\text{m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$) and photosynthetic active radiation (PAR, $\mu\text{molm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$).
663

664 **Fig 3.** Seasonal time course of mean midday physiologically-driven vegetation indices; (a)
665 scale photochemical reflectance index, sPRI (b) apparent fluorescence yield (Fy760), and
666 structure-driven vegetation indices, (c) NDVI, and (d) MTCI among C, +N, +NP and +P
667 treatments in a Mediterranean grassland in Spain. Bars indicate standard deviation, N = 4.
668 Different letters denote significant difference between treatments (Weilch t test, P < 0.05).

669

670 **Fig 4.** Relationship between GPP_{noon} and remote sensing data: (a) scaled photochemical
671 reflectance index (sPRI), (b) apparent fluorescence yield, (c) normalized difference vegetation
672 index (NDVI), and (d) MTCI. Square symbols represent measurements taken in the pre-
673 treatment (#1) and circles after fertilization (#2–#4). Data were obtained at midday and lines
674 represent results from the regressions for each treatment excluding measurements in the pre-
675 treatment.
676

677 **Fig 5.** Relationship between GPP_{2000} and average values of sPRI and (b) apparent
678 fluorescence yield (Fy760). Lines represent results the best linear regressions fitting the data.
679

680 **Fig 6.** Comparison between measured GPP and GPP modeled with the best performing LUE
681 model for each kind of formulation: MM (VPD, panel a), MM (SWC, panel b), MM
682 (including VPD and SWC, panel c), RSM (sPRI-NDVI panel d), and RSM (Fy760-NDVI,
683 panel e). Results from the cross-validation analysis are presented in Table 3.
684

685 **Fig 7.** Correlation between residuals of the MM (VPD-SWC) model and (a) scaled
686 photochemical reflectance index (sPRI) and (b) chlorophyll fluorescence yield (Fy760) taken
687 from periods with high soil water content (SWC>15%, red circles). No correlation was
688 observed when SWC<15% (p>0.5, black circles).
689

690 **Fig 8.** Plot between residuals of both the Meteo-driven model (MM-VPD) and Remote
691 Sensing-based method (RSM) and modeled GPP values. Both lines represent the local
692 polynomial regression fitting of the residuals against predicted values.
693

694 **Fig 9.** Contour plot indicating how variation in photosynthesis (GPP, $\mu\text{mol CO}_2 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$) are
695 explained by variations in the LUE and fPAR parameters of the RSM. While (a) sPRI and (b)
696 Fy760 are indistinctly used as a proxy of LUE, the NDVI is taken as fPAR.
697

698

699 **Table Captions**

700

701 **Table 1.** Ancillary data resulting from the analysis. Green Plant Area Index (PAI_g), fraction
702 of PAI in different plant forms (fPAI), and C, N, and P plant content. The N:P ratio also is
703 shown. Data correspond to the mean value and standard deviation (SD) of the subsamples
704 taken in each plot and treatment.

705
706 **Table 2.** Spectral vegetation indices computed in this study. Vegetation indices are classified
707 into two major classes based on their suitability in inferring fAPAR (structural related
708 indices) and LUE (physiologically-related indices) parameters. R denotes the reflectance at
709 the specified wavelength (nm). NDVI: normalized difference vegetation index; MTCI:
710 MERIS terrestrial chlorophyll index; NDI: normalized difference index; sPRI: scaled
711 Photochemical Reflectance Index; Fy760: apparent fluorescence yield at 760 nm.

712
713 **Table 3.** Results from the model evaluation one leave out cross-validation analysis across
714 LUE model configurations and vegetation indices. Based on AIC_{cv}, the best performance
715 among formulation test for each method is highlighted text bold.

716
717 **Table 4. Abbreviations.**

718 **References**

- 719 Akaike, H.: Information Theory and an Extension of the Maximum Likelihood Principle. In:
720 Selected Papers of Hirotugu Akaike, Parzen, E., Tanabe, K., and Kitagawa, G. (Eds.),
721 Springer Series in Statistics, Springer New York, 1998.
- 722 Anderson, D. R., Burnham, K. P., and Thompson, W. L.: Null Hypothesis Testing: Problems,
723 Prevalence, and an Alternative, *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, 64, 912-923, 2000.
- 724 Baret, F., Houlès, V., and Guérif, M.: Quantification of plant stress using remote sensing
725 observations and crop models: The case of nitrogen management, *Journal of Experimental*
726 *Botany*, 58, 869-880, 2007.
- 727 Barton, C. V. M. and North, P. R. J.: Remote sensing of canopy light use efficiency using the
728 photochemical reflectance index: Model and sensitivity analysis, *Remote Sensing of*
729 *Environment*, 78, 264-273, 2001.
- 730 Bates, D. M. and Watts, D. G.: Frontmatter. In: *Nonlinear Regression Analysis and Its*
731 *Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2008.
- 732 Campbell, P. K. E., Middleton, E. M., Corp, L. A., and Kim, M. S.: Contribution of
733 chlorophyll fluorescence to the apparent vegetation reflectance, *Science of The Total*
734 *Environment*, 404, 433-439, 2008.
- 735 Cendrero-Mateo, M. P., Carmo-Silva, A. E., Porcar-Castell, A., Hamerlynck, E. P., Papuga,
736 S. A., and Moran, M. S.: Dynamic response of plant chlorophyll fluorescence to light, water
737 and nutrient availability, *Functional Plant Biology*, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/FP15002>,
738 2015. -, 2015.
- 739 Ciompi, S., Gentili, E., Guidi, L., and Soldatini, G. F.: The effect of nitrogen deficiency on
740 leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll fluorescence parameters in sunflower, *Plant Science*, 118,
741 177-184, 1996.
- 742 Damm, A., Elbers, J., Erler, A., Gioli, B., Hamdi, K., Hutjes, R., Kosvancova, M., Meroni,
743 M., Miglietta, F., Moersch, A., Moreno, J., Schickling, A., Sonnenschein, R., Udelhoven, T.,
744 van der Linden, S., Hostert, P., and Rascher, U.: Remote sensing of sun-induced fluorescence
745 to improve modeling of diurnal courses of gross primary production (GPP), *Global Change*
746 *Biology*, 16, 171-186, 2010.
- 747 Dash, J. and Curran, P. J.: The MERIS terrestrial chlorophyll index, *International Journal of*
748 *Remote Sensing*, 25, 5403-5413, 2004.
- 749 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U.,
750 Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L.,
751 Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L.,
752 Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M.,
753 McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J., Park, B. K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P.,
754 Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J. N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and
755 performance of the data assimilation system, *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological*
756 *Society*, 137, 553-597, 2011.
- 757 Di Bella, C. M., Paruelo, J. M., Becerra, J. E., Bacour, C., and Baret, F.: Effect of senescent
758 leaves on NDVI-based estimates of fAPAR: Experimental and modelling evidences,
759 *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 25, 5415-5427, 2004.
- 760 Drolet, G. G., Middleton, E. M., Huemmrich, K. F., Hall, F. G., Amiro, B. D., Barr, A. G.,
761 Black, T. A., McCaughey, J. H., and Margolis, H. A.: Regional mapping of gross light-use
762 efficiency using MODIS spectral indices, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112, 3064-3078,
763 2008.
- 764 Efron, B. and Tibshirani, R. J.: *An Introduction to the Bootstrap*. Chapman & Hall/CRC
765 *Monographs on Statistics & Applied Probability*, 1994.

766 Filella, I., Porcar-Castell, A., Munné-Bosch, S., Bäck, J., Garbulsky, M. F., and Peñuelas, J.:
767 PRI assessment of long-term changes in carotenoids/chlorophyll ratio and short-term changes
768 in de-epoxidation state of the xanthophyll cycle, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 30,
769 4443-4455, 2009.

770 Flexas, J., Escalona, J. M., Evain, S., Gulías, J., Moya, I., Osmond, C. B., and Medrano, H.:
771 Steady-state chlorophyll fluorescence (Fs) measurements as a tool to follow variations of net
772 CO₂ assimilation and stomatal conductance during water-stress in C₃ plants, *Physiologia*
773 *Plantarum*, 114, 231-240, 2002.

774 Frankenberg, C., O'Dell, C., Berry, J., Guanter, L., Joiner, J., Köhler, P., Pollock, R., and
775 Taylor, T. E.: Prospects for chlorophyll fluorescence remote sensing from the Orbiting
776 Carbon Observatory-2, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 147, 1-12, 2014.

777 Gamon, J. A., Peñuelas, J., and Field, C. B.: A narrow-waveband spectral index that tracks
778 diurnal changes in photosynthetic efficiency, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 41, 35-44,
779 1992.

780 Gamon, J. A., Serrano, L., and Surfus, J. S.: The photochemical reflectance index: an optical
781 indicator of photosynthetic radiation use efficiency across species, functional types, and
782 nutrient levels, *Oecologia*, 112, 492-501, 1997.

783 Garbulsky, M. F., Peñuelas, J., Gamon, J., Inoue, Y., and Filella, I.: The photochemical
784 reflectance index (PRI) and the remote sensing of leaf, canopy and ecosystem radiation use
785 efficiencies: A review and meta-analysis, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 115, 281-297,
786 2011.

787 Gelybó, G., Barcza, Z., Kern, A., and Kljun, N.: Effect of spatial heterogeneity on the
788 validation of remote sensing based GPP estimations, *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*,
789 174-175, 43-53, 2013.

790 Gitelson, A. A., Peng, Y., Arkebauer, T. J., and Schepers, J.: Relationships between gross
791 primary production, green LAI, and canopy chlorophyll content in maize: Implications for
792 remote sensing of primary production, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 144, 65-72, 2014.

793 Grace, J., Nichol, C., Disney, M., Lewis, P., Quaife, T., and Bowyer, P.: Can we measure
794 terrestrial photosynthesis from space directly, using spectral reflectance and fluorescence?,
795 *Global Change Biology*, 13, 1484-1497, 2007.

796 Guanter, L., Rossini, M., Colombo, R., Meroni, M., Frankenberg, C., Lee, J.-E., and Joiner,
797 J.: Using field spectroscopy to assess the potential of statistical approaches for the retrieval of
798 sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence from ground and space, *Remote Sensing of*
799 *Environment*, 133, 52-61, 2013.

800 Guanter, L., Zhang, Y., Jung, M., Joiner, J., Voigt, M., Berry, J. A., Frankenberg, C., Huete,
801 A. R., Zarco-Tejada, P., Lee, J.-E., Moran, M. S., Ponce-Campos, G., Beer, C., Camps-Valls,
802 G., Buchmann, N., Gianelle, D., Klumpp, K., Cescatti, A., Baker, J. M., and Griffis, T. J.:
803 Global and time-resolved monitoring of crop photosynthesis with chlorophyll fluorescence,
804 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111, E1327-E1333, 2014.

805 Hall, F. G., Hilker, T., Coops, N. C., Lyapustin, A., Huemmrich, K. F., Middleton, E.,
806 Margolis, H., Drolet, G., and Black, T. A.: Multi-angle remote sensing of forest light use
807 efficiency by observing PRI variation with canopy shadow fraction, *Remote Sensing of*
808 *Environment*, 112, 3201-3211, 2008.

809 Heinsch, F. A., Maosheng, Z., Running, S. W., Kimball, J. S., Nemani, R. R., Davis, K. J.,
810 Bolstad, P. V., Cook, B. D., Desai, A. R., Ricciuto, D. M., Law, B. E., Oechel, W. C.,
811 Hyojung, K., Hongyan, L., Wofsy, S. C., Dunn, A. L., Munger, J. W., Baldocchi, D. D.,
812 Liukang, X., Hollinger, D. Y., Richardson, A. D., Stoy, P. C., Siqueira, M. B. S., Monson, R.
813 K., Burns, S. P., and Flanagan, L. B.: Evaluation of remote sensing based terrestrial
814 productivity from MODIS using regional tower eddy flux network observations, *Geoscience*
815 *and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on*, 44, 1908-1925, 2006.

816 Hilker, T., Coops, N. C., Hall, F. G., Black, T. A., Wulder, M. A., Nesic, Z., and Krishnan, P.:
817 Separating physiologically and directionally induced changes in PRI using BRDF models,
818 *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112, 2777-2788, 2008.

819 Hirose, T. and Werger, M. J. A.: Photosynthetic capacity and nitrogen partitioning among
820 species in the canopy of a herbaceous plant community, *Oecologia*, 100, 203-212, 1994.

821 Huang, Z. A., Jiang, D. A., Yang, Y., Sun, J. W., and Jin, S. H.: Effects of Nitrogen
822 Deficiency on Gas Exchange, Chlorophyll Fluorescence, and Antioxidant Enzymes in Leaves
823 of Rice Plants, *Photosynthetica*, 42, 357-364, 2004.

824 Janssen, P. H. M. and Heuberger, P. S. C.: Calibration of process-oriented models, *Ecological*
825 *Modelling*, 83, 55-66, 1995.

826 Joiner, J., Guanter, L., Lindstrot, R., Voigt, M., Vasilkov, A. P., Middleton, E. M.,
827 Huemmrich, K. F., Yoshida, Y., and Frankenberg, C.: Global monitoring of terrestrial
828 chlorophyll fluorescence from moderate-spectral-resolution near-infrared satellite
829 measurements: methodology, simulations, and application to GOME-2, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*,
830 6, 2803-2823, 2013.

831 Krause, G. H. and Weis, E.: Chlorophyll fluorescence as a tool in plant physiology,
832 *Photosynth Res*, 5, 139-157, 1984.

833 Lee, J.-E., Frankenberg, C., van der Tol, C., Berry, J. A., Guanter, L., Boyce, C. K., Fisher, J.
834 B., Morrow, E., Worden, J. R., Asefi, S., Badgley, G., and Saatchi, S.: Forest productivity and
835 water stress in Amazonia: observations from GOSAT chlorophyll fluorescence, 2013.

836 Madani, N., Kimball, J. S., Affleck, D. L. R., Kattge, J., Graham, J., van Bodegom, P. M.,
837 Reich, P. B., and Running, S. W.: Improving ecosystem productivity modeling through
838 spatially explicit estimation of optimal light use efficiency, *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
839 *Biogeosciences*, 119, 2014JG002709, 2014.

840 Mänd, P., Hallik, L., Peñuelas, J., Nilson, T., Duce, P., Emmett, B. A., Beier, C., Estiarte, M.,
841 Garadnai, J., Kalapos, T., Schmidt, I. K., Kovács-Láng, E., Prieto, P., Tietema, A.,
842 Westerveld, J. W., and Kull, O.: Responses of the reflectance indices PRI and NDVI to
843 experimental warming and drought in European shrublands along a north–south climatic
844 gradient, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 114, 626-636, 2010.

845 McMurtrey, J. E., Middleton, E. M., Corp, L. A., Campbell, P., Butcher, L. M., and Daughtry,
846 C. S. T.: Optical reflectance and fluorescence for detecting nitrogen needs in *Zea mays* L, 21-
847 25 July 2003 2003, 4602-4604 vol.4607.

848 Meroni, M., Barducci, A., Cogliati, S., Castagnoli, F., Rossini, M., Busetto, L., Migliavacca,
849 M., Cremonese, E., Galvagno, M., Colombo, R., and di Cella, U. M.: The hyperspectral
850 irradiometer, a new instrument for long-term and unattended field spectroscopy
851 measurements, *Review of Scientific Instruments*, 82, -, 2011.

852 Meroni, M., Busetto, L., Colombo, R., Guanter, L., Moreno, J., and Verhoef, W.:
853 Performance of Spectral Fitting Methods for vegetation fluorescence quantification, *Remote*
854 *Sensing of Environment*, 114, 363-374, 2010.

855 Meroni, M. and Colombo, R.: 3S: A novel program for field spectroscopy, *Computers &*
856 *Geosciences*, 35, 1491-1496, 2009.

857 Migliavacca, M., Galvagno, M., Cremonese, E., Rossini, M., Meroni, M., Sonnentag, O.,
858 Cogliati, S., Manca, G., Diotri, F., Busetto, L., Cescatti, A., Colombo, R., Fava, F., Morra di
859 Cella, U., Pari, E., Siniscalco, C., and Richardson, A. D.: Using digital repeat photography
860 and eddy covariance data to model grassland phenology and photosynthetic CO₂ uptake,
861 *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 151, 1325-1337, 2011.

862 Monteith, J. L.: Solar Radiation and Productivity in Tropical Ecosystems, *Journal of Applied*
863 *Ecology*, 9, 747-766, 1972.

864 Musavi, T., Mahecha, M. D., Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., van de Weg, M. J., van
865 Bodegom, P. M., Bahn, M., Wirth, C., Reich, P. B., Schrod, F., and Kattge, J.: The imprint of

866 plants on ecosystem functioning: A data-driven approach, *International Journal of Applied*
867 *Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 43, 119-131, 2015.

868 Nichol, C. J., Huemmrich, K. F., Black, T. A., Jarvis, P. G., Walthall, C. L., Grace, J., and
869 Hall, F. G.: Remote sensing of photosynthetic-light-use efficiency of boreal forest,
870 *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 101, 131-142, 2000.

871 Parazoo, N. C., Bowman, K., Fisher, J. B., Frankenberg, C., Jones, D. B. A., Cescatti, A.,
872 Pérez-Priego, Ó., Wohlfahrt, G., and Montagnani, L.: Terrestrial gross primary production
873 inferred from satellite fluorescence and vegetation models, *Global Change Biology*, 20, 3103-
874 3121, 2014.

875 Peñuelas, J., Garbulsky, M. F., and Filella, I.: Photochemical reflectance index (PRI) and
876 remote sensing of plant CO₂ uptake, *New Phytologist*, 191, 596-599, 2011.

877 Peñuelas, J., Poulter, B., Sardans, J., Ciais, P., van der Velde, M., Bopp, L., Boucher, O.,
878 Godderis, Y., Hinsinger, P., Llusia, J., Nardin, E., Vicca, S., Obersteiner, M., and Janssens, I.
879 A.: Human-induced nitrogen–phosphorus imbalances alter natural and managed ecosystems
880 across the globe, *Nat Commun*, 4, 2013.

881 Pérez-Priego, O., López-Ballesteros, A., Sánchez-Cañete, E., Serrano-Ortiz, P., Kutzbach, L.,
882 Domingo, F., Eugster, W., and Kowalski, A.: Analysing uncertainties in the calculation of
883 fluxes using whole-plant chambers: random and systematic errors, *Plant and Soil*, doi:
884 10.1007/s11104-015-2481-x, 2015. 1-16, 2015.

885 Pérez-Priego, O., Zarco-Tejada, P. J., Miller, J. R., Sepulcre-Cantó, G., and Fereres, E.:
886 Detection of water stress in orchard trees with a high-resolution spectrometer through
887 chlorophyll fluorescence In-Filling of the O 2-A band, *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and*
888 *Remote Sensing*, 43, 2860-2868, 2005.

889 Porcar-Castell, A., Garcia-Plazaola, J., Nichol, C., Kolari, P., Olascoaga, B., Kuusinen, N.,
890 Fernández-Marín, B., Pulkkinen, M., Juurola, E., and Nikinmaa, E.: Physiology of the
891 seasonal relationship between the photochemical reflectance index and photosynthetic light
892 use efficiency, *Oecologia*, 170, 313-323, 2012.

893 Porcar-Castell, A., Mac Arthur, A., Rossini, M., Eklundh, L., Pacheco-Labrador, J.,
894 Anderson, K., Balzarolo, M., Martín, M. P., Jin, H., Tomelleri, E., Cerasoli, S., Sakowska, K.,
895 Hueni, A., Julitta, T., Nichol, C. J., and Vescovo, L.: EUROSPEC: at the interface between
896 remote sensing and ecosystem CO₂ flux measurements in Europe, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*,
897 12, 13069-13121, 2015.

898 Prince, S. D. and Goward, S. N.: Global Primary Production: A Remote Sensing Approach,
899 *Journal of Biogeography*, 22, 815-835, 1995.

900 Raessler, M., Rothe, J., and Hilke, I.: Accurate determination of Cd, Cr, Cu and Ni in
901 woodlice and their skins—is moulting a means of detoxification?, *Science of The Total*
902 *Environment*, 337, 83-90, 2005.

903 Rahman, A. F., Cordova, V. D., Gamon, J. A., Schmid, H. P., and Sims, D. A.: Potential of
904 MODIS ocean bands for estimating CO₂ flux from terrestrial vegetation: A novel approach,
905 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31, L10503, 2004.

906 Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Mahecha, M. D., Kattge, J., and Baldocchi, D. D.: Linking plant
907 and ecosystem functional biogeography, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*,
908 111, 13697-13702, 2014.

909 Rossini, M., Cogliati, S., Meroni, M., Migliavacca, M., Galvagno, M., Busetto, L.,
910 Cremonese, E., Julitta, T., Siniscalco, C., di Cella, U. M., and Colombo, R.: Remote sensing-
911 based estimation of gross primary production in a subalpine grassland, *Biogeosciences*, 9,
912 2565-2584, 2012.

913 Rossini, M., Meroni, M., Migliavacca, M., Manca, G., Cogliati, S., Busetto, L., Picchi, V.,
914 Cescatti, A., Seufert, G., and Colombo, R.: High resolution field spectroscopy measurements

915 for estimating gross ecosystem production in a rice field, *Agricultural and Forest*
916 *Meteorology*, 150, 1283-1296, 2010.

917 Rossini, M., Migliavacca, M., Galvagno, M., Meroni, M., Cogliati, S., Cremonese, E., Fava,
918 F., Gitelson, A., Julitta, T., Morra di Cella, U., Siniscalco, C., and Colombo, R.: Remote
919 estimation of grassland gross primary production during extreme meteorological seasons,
920 *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 29, 1-10, 2014.

921 Rossini, M., Nedbal, L., Guanter, L., Ač, A., Alonso, L., Burkart, A., Cogliati, S., Colombo,
922 R., Damm, A., Drusch, M., Hanus, J., Janoutova, R., Julitta, T., Kokkalis, P., Moreno, J.,
923 Novotny, J., Panigada, C., Pinto, F., Schickling, A., Schüttemeyer, D., Zemek, F., and
924 Rascher, U.: Red and far red Sun-induced chlorophyll fluorescence as a measure of plant
925 photosynthesis, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42, 1632-1639, 2015.

926 Rouse, J. W., Haas, R. H., Schell, J. A., Deering, D. W., and Harlan, J. C.: Monitoring the
927 vernal advancements and retro gradation of natural vegetation, Greenbelt, MD, USA., 1974.
928

929 Ruimy, A., Saugier, B., and Dedieu, G.: Methodology for the estimation of terrestrial net
930 primary production from remotely sensed data, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 99, 5263 -
931 5283, 1994.

932 Schlemmer, M., Gitelson, A., Schepers, J., Ferguson, R., Peng, Y., Shanahan, J., and
933 Rundquist, D.: Remote estimation of nitrogen and chlorophyll contents in maize at leaf and
934 canopy levels, *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 25,
935 47-54, 2013.

936 Suárez, L., Zarco-Tejada, P. J., Sepulcre-Cantó, G., Pérez-Priego, O., Miller, J. R., Jiménez-
937 Muñoz, J. C., and Sobrino, J.: Assessing canopy PRI for water stress detection with diurnal
938 airborne imagery, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 112, 560-575, 2008.

939 Sugiharto, B., Miyata, K., Nakamoto, H., Sasakawa, H., and Sugiyama, T.: Regulation of
940 Expression of Carbon-Assimilating Enzymes by Nitrogen in Maize Leaf, *Plant Physiology*,
941 92, 963-969, 1990.

942 Tremblay, N., Wang, Z., and Cerovic, Z.: Sensing crop nitrogen status with fluorescence
943 indicators. A review, *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, 32, 451-464, 2012.

944 Walker, A. P., Beckerman, A. P., Gu, L., Kattge, J., Cernusak, L. A., Domingues, T. F.,
945 Scales, J. C., Wohlfahrt, G., Wullschleger, S. D., and Woodward, F. I.: The relationship of
946 leaf photosynthetic traits – V_{max} and J_{max} – to leaf nitrogen, leaf phosphorus, and specific
947 leaf area: a meta-analysis and modeling study, *Ecology and Evolution*, 4, 3218-3235, 2014.

948 Wang, W., Yao, X., Yao, X., Tian, Y., Liu, X., Ni, J., Cao, W., and Zhu, Y.: Estimating leaf
949 nitrogen concentration with three-band vegetation indices in rice and wheat, *Field Crops*
950 *Research*, 129, 90-98, 2012.

951 Yuan, W., Cai, W., Liu, S., Dong, W., Chen, J., Arain, M. A., Blanken, P. D., Cescatti, A.,
952 Wohlfahrt, G., Georgiadis, T., Genesio, L., Gianelle, D., Grelle, A., Kiely, G., Knohl, A., Liu,
953 D., Marek, M. V., Merbold, L., Montagnani, L., Panferov, O., Peltoniemi, M., Rambal, S.,
954 Raschi, A., Varlagin, A., and Xia, J.: Vegetation-specific model parameters are not required
955 for estimating gross primary production, *Ecological Modelling*, 292, 1-10, 2014.

956 Zarco-Tejada, P. J., Suarez, L., and Gonzalez-Dugo, V.: Spatial Resolution Effects on
957 Chlorophyll Fluorescence Retrieval in a Heterogeneous Canopy Using Hyperspectral Imagery
958 and Radiative Transfer Simulation, *Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, IEEE*, 10, 937-
959 941, 2013.

960