

1 **Particulate organic matter composition and organic carbon**  
2 **flux in Arctic valley glaciers: Examples from the Bayelva**  
3 **River and adjacent Kongsfjorden**

4 **Zhuo-Yi Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Ying Wu<sup>1</sup>, Su-Mei Liu<sup>2</sup>, Fred Wenger<sup>3</sup>, Jun Hu<sup>1</sup>, Jing Zhang<sup>1</sup>,**  
5 **Rui-Feng Zhang<sup>1</sup>**

6 [1] State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal  
7 University, Shanghai, China

8 [2] Key Laboratory of Marine Chemistry Theory and Technology Ministry of Education,  
9 Ocean University of China, Qingdao, Shandong, China

10 [3] Norwegian Water resources and Energy Directorate, Oslo, Norway

11 Correspondence to: Z. Y. Zhu (zyzhu@sklec.ecnu.edu.cn)

12

13 **Abstract**

14 In the face of ongoing global warming and glacier retreat, the composition and flux of  
15 organic matter in glacier-fjord systems are key variables for updating the carbon cycle  
16 and budget, whereas the role of Arctic valley glaciers seems unimportant when  
17 compared with the huge Greenland Ice Sheet. Our field observations of the glacier-fed  
18 Bayelva River, Svalbard, and the adjacent Kongsfjorden allowed us to determine the  
19 compositions of particulate organic matter from glacier to fjord and also to estimate the  
20 flux of organic carbon, both for the river and for Svalbard in general.

21 Particulate organic carbon (POC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) in the Bayelva  
22 River averaged 56  $\mu\text{M}$  and 73  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively, in August, 2012. Amino acids (AAs)  
23 and phytoplankton carbon accounted for  $\sim 10\%$  of the bulk POC in the Bayelva River,  
24 while AAs represented  $>90\%$  of particulate nitrogen (PN) in fjord surface water,  
25 suggesting the strong in situ assimilation of organic matter. Bacteria accounted for 13%  
26 and 19% of the POC in the Bayelva River and the Kongsfjorden, respectively, while  
27 values for PN were much higher (i.e., 36% in Kongsfjorden).

1 The total discharge from the Bayelva River in 2012 was  $29 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ . Furthermore, we  
2 calculated the annual POC, DOC, and PN fluxes for the river as  $20 \pm 1.6$  tons,  $25 \pm 5.6$   
3 tons, and  $4.7 \pm 0.75$  tons, respectively. Using the POC content and DOC concentration  
4 data, we then estimated the annual POC and DOC fluxes for Svalbard glaciers.  
5 Although the estimated POC ( $0.056 \pm 0.02 \times 10^6 \text{ t/yr}$ ) and DOC ( $0.02 \pm 0.01 \times 10^6 \text{ t/yr}$ )  
6 fluxes of Svalbard glaciers are small in amount, its discharge-weighted flux of DOC  
7 was over twice higher than other pan-arctic glacier systems, suggesting its important  
8 role as an terrestrial DOC source.

9  
10

11 **1. Introduction**

12 The composition and flux of organic carbon are two key factors in the study of global  
13 climate change and material cycling. Current retreat of Arctic glaciers, as a  
14 consequence of global warming, not only contributes to sea-level rise but also serves to  
15 increase the input of terrigenous material to the ocean. This in turn impacts the  
16 composition of oceanic organic carbon and modifies the carbon flux, with potential  
17 ramifications for global climate variability and material cycles.

18 Terrigenous dissolved organic matter (DOM) in the Arctic Ocean exhibits a  
19 considerably shorter lifespan than that in the Pacific and Atlantic oceans (Opsahl et al.,  
20 1999). Furthermore, despite the depleted nature of  $^{14}\text{C}$  values of glacial DOM, which  
21 results in old apparent  $^{14}\text{C}$  ages, significant proteinaceous signals (Dubnick et al., 2010)  
22 and a high labile proportion (23% – 66%; Hood et al., 2009) were identified in the  
23 glacier meltwater DOM. This decoupling of age and stability in glacial DOM is  
24 probably due to the contribution of subglacial microbial communities (Sharp et al.,  
25 1999). The main sources of organic carbon in glacial meltwater include bed rock and

1 paleosoil at the bottom of glaciers and subglacial microbial activity (Sharp et al., 1999),  
2 the proglacial/ice margin (e.g., soils) (Hodson et al., 1998), and the cryoconite and  
3 supraglacial microbial contribution (Anesio et al., 2010; Irvine-Fynn et al., 2012).  
4 Unlike temperate glaciers (e.g., alpine glaciers), suspended sediment in high arctic  
5 glaciers becomes increasingly available to the fluvial system through the melt season  
6 (Hodson et al., 1998) and hence the particulate organic carbon (POC) output may  
7 maintain at an elevated level throughout the melt season. Indeed, the flux of POC in  
8 high arctic glacial meltwater is typically higher than that of DOC (e.g., Bhatia et al.,  
9 2013), while the labile proportion is relatively low (9%) (Lawson et al., 2014). As  
10 terrestrial DOC travels much farther away than POC does (Dittmar and Kattner, 2003),  
11 the DOC and POC impact of glacier meltwater to the ocean is different. By means of  
12 DOC, though both the total flux amount and refractory proportion are lower, glacier  
13 meltwater can exert great impact to the entire arctic ocean, whereas by means of POC,  
14 the glacier meltwater enhances the role of adjacent regional fjords and makes the fjords  
15 more important in the carbon cycle and budget (Smith et al., 2015).

16 To date, most studies of organic matter in Arctic glacial meltwater have focused on the  
17 Greenland Ice Sheet (e.g., Bhatia et al., 2013; Lawson et al., 2014), with little attention  
18 paid to smaller valley glaciers, such as those on Svalbard (Irvine-Fynn et al., 2012;  
19 Kuliński et al., 2014; Tye and Heaton, 2007). However, a comparison of Alaskan  
20 glaciers (Hood et al., 2009) and the Greenland Ice Sheet (Bhatia et al., 2013) reveals  
21 that valley glaciers exhibit higher area-weighted fluxes of organic carbon. Although  
22 regional fluxes of POC have been estimated for glaciers on Svalbard (Kuliński et al.,  
23 2014), the area-weighted and discharge-weighted fluxes of organic carbon for the entire  
24 archipelago has yet to be determined. Furthermore, to our knowledge, little or no  
25 information exists on potential labile proportions in Svalbard glacial meltwater POM,

1 or on the POM composition of glacier meltwater that enters adjacent fjords.  
2 We carried out field observations of the Bayelva River and Kongsfjorden in summer of  
3 2012. Using amino acid enantiomers and phytoplankton pigments as biomarkers, we  
4 first focused on variations in POM composition between glacial meltwater and the fjord.  
5 Subsequently, we employed 2012 discharge data for the Bayelva River to estimate the  
6 riverine flux of organic matter, and up-scaled this flux to cover the whole of Svalbard  
7 with representativeness discussion. Finally, we compared the organic carbon flux in  
8 Svalbard with that of other Arctic glaciers, including the Greenland Ice Sheet.

9

## 10 **2. Materials and Methods**

11 The Bayelva River in Ny-Ålesund, Svalbard, is the principal meltwater channel  
12 draining the Austre Brøggerbreen valley glacier into Kongsfjorden (also known as  
13 Kings Bay). Downstream of the glacier terminus, a hydrologic station collects river  
14 discharge data during the freshet. The physical and biological characteristics of  
15 Kongsfjorden have been summarized by Hop et al. (2006). Nitrogen limitation of  
16 primary production occurs during summer months (Rokkan Iversen and Seuthe, 2011),  
17 when stratification of the water column and input of nutrient-depleted glacial meltwater  
18 results in oligotrophic surface water in the inner fjord (e.g., increase proportion of  
19 cyanobacteria and cryptophytes in surface phytoplankton communities; Hop et al.,  
20 2002). Moreover, where turbid meltwater has yet to mix with clear sea water,  
21 phytoplankton growth is limited by reduced illumination (Svendsen et al., 2002). In the  
22 outer fjord, the high abundance of zooplankton exerts considerable grazing pressure on  
23 algae, resulting in a relatively low standing stock in surface water (Hop et al., 2002).  
24 The study area is shown in Figure 1a. The Bayelva River is ~4 km long and occupies a  
25 basin underlain by Permian and Carboniferous lithologies (Hjelle, 1993). In normal

1 years, river flow begins in early–mid June, while the riverbed and banks are still frozen,  
2 and for approximately 10 days the water flows clear. Subsequently, the river flow  
3 becomes turbid and remains so until the river refreezes in autumn (usually in  
4 September/October). In Kongsfjorden, which lacks a sill at its mouth, the exchange of  
5 intermediate and deep fjord water with Arctic Water and Atlantic Water is facilitated by  
6 a prominent trench that decreases in depth towards the shallow continental shelf  
7 (Svendsen et al., 2002).

8

### 9 2.1 Monitoring discharge of the Bayelva River

10 Approximately 700 m upstream from where the river enters the fjord, a monitoring  
11 station (NVE; Fig. 1b) is operated by the Norwegian Water Resources and Energy  
12 Directorate, and includes an artificial concrete flume with a so-called crump weir. Water  
13 level is measured using a system comprising a float, counterweight, and encoder, and  
14 the data are stored in a logger. Ultimately, water discharge is determined using a rating  
15 curve. In 2012, discharge data were collected between 15 June and 1 October. For the  
16 remainder of the year, data collection was not possible due to freezing.

17

### 18 2.2 Field observations and biogeochemical sampling

19 We conducted our field investigation between 6 and 19 August 2012. The area sampled  
20 covers both the Bayelva River basin and Kongsfjorden (Fig. 1b). For the terrestrial  
21 stations, we carried out our investigation on foot, collecting samples with a pre-cleaned  
22 bucket. Using a portable water quality meter (WTW<sup>®</sup>, multi 350i, Germany), which  
23 was calibrated daily, we measured salinity/conductivity, temperature, pH, and dissolved  
24 oxygen. For the marine/estuarine stations, sampling was carried out from the R/V  
25 *Tiesten* or a rubber boat. When on the R/V *Tiesten*, we obtained salinity, temperature,

1 fluorescence, and turbidity profiles using a CTD (SD204, SAIV A/S, Norway) and  
2 discrete water samples were usually collected at two layers (i.e., surface and near-  
3 bottom layer 15-20 m above seabed) via Niskin samplers. For comparison, discrete  
4 water samples were also measured with the portable water quality meter. When on  
5 rubber boat, only surface waters were collected/measured. Both terrestrial and marine  
6 samples were returned immediately to the marine laboratory for processing.  
7 Additionally, clean floating ice, without visible soil or sediment, was collected from the  
8 fjord for analysis of dissolved nutrients.

9 In the laboratory, suspended particles were concentrated onto pre-combusted glass fiber  
10 membranes (Whatman<sup>®</sup>, GF/F, pore size 0.7  $\mu\text{M}$ ) under a mild vacuum, before being  
11 analyzed for total hydrolysable particulate amino acids (THPAA), particulate nitrogen  
12 (PN), phytoplankton pigments, and particulate organic carbon and its stable isotopes  
13 (POC and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ). Filtration volume ranged from 0.09 to 5.4 L, depending on the  
14 concentration of suspended particles. During filtration, visible swimmers (Calanoida  
15 and other zooplankton) were observed in fjord samples, especially those from open  
16 western areas. Whenever possible, all swimmers were removed from the membrane  
17 prior to storage, using clean tweezers. Water samples for dissolved nutrients were  
18 filtered through acid-cleaned acetate cellulose filters (pore size 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$ ), whereas  
19 samples for DOC were filtered using nylon filters (pore size 0.45  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and a syringe.  
20 Nutrient samples were poisoned with  $\text{HgCl}_2$  and stored at 4°C in the dark. All other  
21 samples were kept frozen ( $-20^\circ\text{C}$ ) until laboratory analysis.

22

### 23 2.3 Instrumental measurements

24 Our measurement of amino acid (AA) enantiomers followed the protocol of Fitznar et  
25 al. (1999), a detailed description of which is provided by Zhu et al. (2014). Briefly,

1 GF/F filters were first freeze-dried and then hydrolyzed with HCl at 110 °C for 24 hours.  
2 Following pre-column derivatization with *o*-Phthaldialdehyde and *N*-Isobutyryl-L/D  
3 cysteine (IBLC/IBDC), AA enantiomers were measured in hydrolyzates using a High  
4 Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) system (1200 series, Agilent, USA). Asx  
5 and Glx were used for aspartic acid + asparagine and for glutamic acid + glutamine,  
6 respectively, due to the corresponding acids being formed through deamination during  
7 hydrolysis. In addition to Asx and Glx, we measured alanine (Ala), arginine (Arg),  
8 isoleucine (Ile), leucine (Leu), lysine (Lys), methionine (Met), phenylalanine (Phe),  
9 serine (Ser), threonine (Thr), tyrosine (Tyr), and valine (Val). The non-chiral AAs,  $\gamma$ -  
10 aminobutyric acid (GABA) and glycine (Gly), were also measured. The D forms of  
11 AAs (D-AAAs) generated by acid hydrolysis were calibrated according to Kaiser and  
12 Benner (2005).

13 Phytoplankton pigments were extracted with *N,N*-dimethylformamide and analyzed  
14 using an HPLC system (1100 series: Agilent, USA), following a modified version of  
15 the method of Mantoura and Llewellyn, (1983) and Van Heukelem and Thomas (2001).  
16 Solvent A was methanol and 1 mol/L ammonium acetate (80:20, v/v), and solvent B  
17 was pure methanol. A detailed description of the gradient elution procedure is given by  
18 Huang et al. (2010). We identified pigments by their retention times and absorption  
19 spectra, using a set of 21 pigment standards (chlorophyll c3, chlorophyllide a,  
20 chlorophyll c1+c2, peridinin, 19'-butanoyloxyfucoxanthin, fucoxanthin, neoxanthin,  
21 prasinoxanthin, 19'-hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin, violaxanthin, diadinoxanthin,  
22 alloxanthin, diatoxanthin, zeaxanthin, lutein, chlorophyll b, chlorophyll a,  $\beta,\epsilon$ -carotene,  
23  $\beta,\beta$ -carotene, pheophorbide a, and pheophytine a) obtained from DHI (Denmark).

24 Following the removal of inorganic carbon with HCl vapor (Wu et al., 2013), POC and  
25 PN were measured with an elemental analyzer (Vario EL III: Germany). The detection

1 limits for carbon and nitrogen were 7.5 and 8.0  $\mu\text{g}$ , respectively, with a precision better  
2 than 6%. We measured  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  samples using an isotope-ratio mass spectrometer  
3 (Deltaplus XP: Thermo Finnigan, USA) connected to a Flash EA 1112 analyzer. The  
4  $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  is expressed in per mil relative to the V-PDB standard using the conventional  $\delta$   
5 notation. DOC samples were measured with a TOC analyzer (TOC-LCPH: Shimadzu,  
6 Japan), whereas ammonium was measured manually using the sodium hypobromite  
7 oxidation method, with an analytical precision of 0.04  $\mu\text{M}$ . The other four nutrients  
8 were measured using an auto-analyzer (AA3: SEAL Analytical, USA), with the  
9 precisions of nitrate, nitrite, dissolved inorganic phosphorus (DIP,  $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ ), and silicate  
10 ( $\text{SiO}_3^{2-}$ ) being 0.01, 0.003, 0.005, and 0.02  $\mu\text{M}$ , respectively. The concentration of total  
11 suspended matter (TSM) was determined from POC samples (i.e., GF/F filters).

12

#### 13 2.4 Data processing and flux estimate

14 We applied CHEMTAX to the phytoplankton pigment data set to estimate the structure  
15 of phytoplankton communities (Mackey et al., 1996). To avoid apparent changes in  
16 diagnostic pigment ratios, we avoided riverine samples and focused solely on samples  
17 from the fjord surface (Mackey et al., 1997). Based on our observations and those of  
18 previous workers (Not et al., 2005; Piquet et al., 2014; Schulz et al., 2013), the  
19 phytoplankton groups analyzed in this study include diatoms, cryptophytes,  
20 prasinophytes, dinoflagellates, haptophytes (e.g., *Emiliana* Hay and Mohler,  
21 *Phaeocystis* Lagerheim), chlorophytes, cyanobacteria (e.g., *Synechococcus*), and  
22 chrysophytes. Initial ratios are similar to the values reported by Not et al. (2005) for a  
23 neighboring study area. Finally, we present ratio data from a single CHEMTAX run, as  
24 our attempt at ratio-iteration (Latasa, 2007) produced anomalous results.

25 We note that the taxonomic terms are operationally defined based on the composition



1 of the diagnostic pigments. Therefore, “chlorophytes” includes both chlorophytes and  
2 prasinophytes lacking prasinoxanthin. Similarly, “diatoms” may include both diatoms  
3 and some haptophytes and chrysophytes with a similar pigment composition.  
4 “Haptophytes” refers to the specific type of algae with both  
5 19’hexanoyloxyfucoxanthin and fucoxanthin; e.g., *Emiliana* and *Phaeocystis*, which  
6 have been found in previous studies of Kongsfjorden (Piquet et al., 2014).

7 The degradation index (DI) was derived from the THPAA data set developed by Dauwe  
8 et al. (1998) and later modified by Vandewiele et al. (2009):

9

$$10 \quad DI = \sum_i \left( \frac{var_i - AVG \ var_i}{STD \ var_i} \right) \times fac. \ coef. _i$$

11 where  $var_i$ ,  $AVG \ var_i$ ,  $STD \ var_i$ , and  $fac. \ coef. _i$  are the mol%, mean, standard deviation,  
12 and factor score coefficient of amino acid  $i$ , respectively. Factor score coefficients were  
13 calculated using principal component analysis and were directly cited from the  
14 literature (Vandewiele et al., 2009). The index ranges from +1 for  
15 phytoplankton/bacteria to -1.5 for highly degraded oxic sediments.

16 For Bayelva River materials flux estimate, it is calculated as materials concentration  
17 during our observation at NVE station (in mg/L) multiplied by the 2012 annual river  
18 discharge volume (in  $m^3$ ). At the NVE station, river water is free of tide influence and  
19 the tide effect is not considered in the flux discussion. For whole Svalbard POC flux  
20 estimate, we use our own POC content (at NVE station, in %) multiplied by the whole  
21 Svalbard TSM flux (cited from literature, in tons). For whole Svalbard DOC flux  
22 estimate, we use DOC concentration in our study (at NVE station, in mg/L) multiplied  
23 by annual runoff of the whole Svalbard (cited from literature, in  $km^3$ ). The error for  
24 flux estimate is calculated as half of the standard deviation of DOC (or POC) multiplied

1 by discharge. The area-weighted flux and discharge-weighted flux is the flux divided  
2 by glacier area (in km<sup>2</sup>) and annual runoff (in km<sup>3</sup>), respectively.

3

### 4 **3. Results**

5 Reflecting the considerable turbidity of the Bayelva River, we recorded TSM  
6 concentrations of up to 345 mg/L at the NVE station (Table 1) and as high as 740 mg/L  
7 at the BC station (Fig. 1b). Mean riverine POC at NVE station was 56 μM, while the  
8 POC content in TSM (i.e., POC%) averaged 0.35% (Table 1). Particulate AAs at the  
9 NVE station were low, with an average value of 1 μM (Table 1). Also at the NVE  
10 station, D-AAs averaged 42 nM (Table 1) and the proportion of D-AAs in THPAA was  
11 4.0%. While trace amounts of several pigments were measured in the river, chlorophyll  
12 a (Chla) was the dominant pigment, with a mean concentration at NVE station of 0.26  
13 μg/L (Table 1). In contrast, the principal diagnostic riverine pigment, fucoxanthin, gave  
14 a mean value at NVE station of 54 ng/L. Over the course of our observation, DOC  
15 concentrations at NVE station ranged from 20.8 to 97.8 μM, with a mean value of 73  
16 μM (Table 1). In 2012, the annual water discharge of the Bayelva River was  $29 \times 10^6$   
17 m<sup>3</sup> according to the hourly-averaged instrumental record.

18 In Kongsfjorden, surface concentrations of TSM, POC, and THPAA generally  
19 decreased from the eastern end, where tidewater glaciers enter the sea, to the open  
20 western end. We identified an additional area of high concentration close to the Bayelva  
21 River mouth (Fig. 2a–c). The POC% of surface water averaged 1.3% in the marine  
22 sectors (i.e.,  $S > 30$ ) of the fjord, and POC% of all the surface fjord samples averaged  
23 1.1% (i.e.,  $S > 0$ ), but it fell to 0.62% in near-bottom water (Table 1). In comparison,  
24 the mean POC% of Bayelva River (e.g., at NVE station) water was 0.35% (Table 1).  
25  $\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of samples from the Bayelva River NVE station, the fjord surface, and

1 near-bottom fjord water averaged  $-23.9\%$ ,  $-24.6\%$ , and  $-24.5\%$ , respectively (Table  
2 1). The distribution of PN was similar to that of POC, with the PN content in TSM  
3 (PN%) in near-bottom water and Bayelva River water being comparable. The mean PN%  
4 of samples from the fjord surface, near-bottom, and Bayelva River was  $0.17\%$ ,  $0.06\%$ ,  
5 and  $0.07\%$ , respectively. Not only was the DI of fjord surface water ( $0.46$ ) higher than  
6 that of river water ( $-0.14$ ) (Table 1), we also observed elevated DI values (e.g.,  $0.76$  at  
7 station 6#) in the western part of the fjord, where high concentrations of Chla and  
8 chlorophyllide a occurred (Fig. 2d&e). The DI value of near-bottom water was  $0.42$   
9 (Table 1). D-AAAs were higher in concentration in glacier meltwaters (i.e., Bayelva  
10 River) when compared to both fjord surface and near-bottom water (i.e.,  $42$  nM vs.  $16$   
11 nM and  $5.9$  nM; Table 1). The proportion of D-AAAs in the fjord surface water ( $1.6\%$ )  
12 was lower than that of the Bayelva River ( $4.7\%$ ) and of near-bottom water ( $1.8\%$ ),  
13 whereas levels of the non-protein AA, GABA, averaged  $0.92$  nM in fjord surface water  
14 and  $2.6$  nM in the Bayelva River. GABA was most depleted in the near-bottom water  
15 (mean value of  $0.49$  nM).

16 A clear difference existed in the concentration of dissolved nutrients among respective  
17 regions/sources. For example, both the river water and floating glacier-derived ice were  
18 depleted in nutrients, whereas high concentrations of nutrients occurred in the near-  
19 bottom water of Kongsfjorden, beneath the pycnocline (Table 2). Despite this disparity  
20 in concentration, nitrate was the main form of dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) in  
21 both the river water and the fjord near-bottom water (Table 2).

22 Cyanobacteria, chrysophytes, and dinoflagellates occurred only in trace amounts in the  
23 fjord surface water, where diatoms were the primary contributor to the total fjord  
24 phytoplankton biomass (i.e., Chla), followed by cryptophytes. On average, diatoms  
25 contributed half of the total phytoplankton biomass, with cryptophytes contributing

1 another 28% (Table 3). In western and middle parts of the fjord, diatoms were dominant,  
2 whereas in other regions there was a greater contribution from tiny cryptophytes. For  
3 example, at stations 14# and 15# (Fig. 1a), cryptophytes accounted for 40% and 48%  
4 of the total Chla, respectively.

5

## 6 **4. Discussion**

### 7 4.1 POM composition and implications

#### 8 4.1.1 Bacterial influence on amino acid enantiomers and its contribution to POM

9 Bacteria plays an important role in organic matter composition (Rokkan Iversen and  
10 Seuthe, 2011). In a study at two other two marine sites (BATS and HOTS) at lower  
11 latitudes, Kaiser and Benner (2008) suggested that 12%–32% of the POC and 20%–64%  
12 of the PN were derived from bacteria. In Kongsfjorden, bacterial contributions to POC  
13 and PN can also be estimated. Here, we exploited the universal distribution of D-Ala in  
14 bacteria to calculate the amounts of bacterial organic carbon and nitrogen. Additionally,  
15 considering the potential differences between riverine and marine bacterial community  
16 structures, we estimated bacterial contributions for both riverine and marine samples  
17 (Table 4). For riverine samples (i.e.,  $S = 0$ ), we used only freshwater culture data from  
18 table 2 of Kaiser and Benner (2008) for the D-Ala converting factor, whereas for marine  
19 samples (i.e.,  $S > 30$ ) the D-Ala converting factor is based solely on marine bacteria  
20 (Kaiser and Benner, 2008). Note that the D-Ala-based estimates would also include  
21 contribution of any non-living detritus that contained D-Ala.

22 In Kongsfjorden, the bacterial contribution to POC (19%; Table 4) was well within the  
23 value reported by Rokkan Iversen and Seuthe (2011) based on the cell density and  
24 conversion factor approach, and it was similar to values reported for other marine  
25 regions at lower latitudes (Kaiser and Benner, 2008). The bacterial contribution to POC

1 was slightly lower (13%) in the Bayelva River. With respect to nitrogen, the bacterial  
2 contribution accounted for 36% of PN in fjord water (Table 4).

3 Given that D-Ala occurs widely in biopolymers, whereas D-Glx is present in relatively  
4 few bacterial compounds, the overall D-Ala/D-Glx ratio would become >1 (Kaiser and  
5 Benner, 2008 and ref. therein). Both the riverine ( $r = 0.83$ ,  $p = 0.006$ ,  $n = 9$ ) and fjord  
6 ( $r = 0.95$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $n = 31$ ) D-Ala levels were strongly related to their respective D-  
7 Glx levels, exhibiting almost identical slopes (river: 1.26, fjord: 1.21; Fig. 4). The D-  
8 Ala/D-Glx slopes in both the river and the fjord (i.e., 1.26 and 1.21, respectively; Fig.  
9 4) are comparable to the reported D-Ala/D-Glx value of  $1.3 \pm 0.4$  (Kaiser and Benner,  
10 2008), which was derived from a pure bacteria culture that included both marine/fresh  
11 and heterotrophic/autotrophic bacteria. Given that Glx has a higher abiotic racemization  
12 rate than Ala (Wehmiller et al., 2012), the slightly higher D-Ala/D-Glx slope for river  
13 samples relative to fjord samples (i.e., 1.26 vs. 1.21; Fig. 4) indicates that D-AAs in  
14 riverine suspended particles likely originate from a modern contribution (e.g., bacteria)  
15 rather than abiotic racemization in the river basin. The presence of bacteria and their  
16 modification of OM in both subglacial (Sharp et al., 1999) and supraglacial (Anesio et  
17 al., 2010) regions have been confirmed in previous study. As for the Bayelva River  
18 basin, previous hydrochemical data (e.g.,  $\text{NO}_3^-/\text{Cl}^-$ ) also indicated that there is  
19 nitrification process in the soils that contributes to riverine nutrients (Hodson et al.,  
20 2002), and hence the presence of bacteria.

#### 21 4.1.2 In situ POM assimilation in Kongsfjorden

22 The contribution of AAs to the total carbon and nitrogen budgets reflects the freshness  
23 of POM (Davis et al., 2009). Using our measurements of THPAA, we calculated AA  
24 carbon and nitrogen amounts, and normalized the results against bulk POC and PN,  
25 respectively (i.e.,  $\text{POC}_{\text{AAs}}/\text{POC}$  and  $\text{PN}_{\text{AAs}}/\text{PN}$ , in %). For the turbid glacier meltwater,

1 phytoplankton pigments are depleted (Table1) and on average AAs account for 7% of  
2 the riverine POC and 11% of the riverine PN (Fig. 3a). In contrast, the  $PN_{AAs}/PN$  of  
3 Kongsfjorden is as high as 90%, with an average of 78% (Fig. 3a). With the exception  
4 of one outlier,  $Chla/POC$  values rise gradually from glacier meltwater to the fjord  
5 surface water (Fig. 3a), suggesting an increasing contribution from in situ POM  
6 production.

7 In the case that other obvious sources of protein and AAs are negligible, we attribute  
8 the increasing  $PN_{AAs}/PN$  in the fjord (i.e., samples with  $S > 0$ ) to the in situ assimilation  
9 of ambient nitrogen via autotrophs (e.g., phytoplankton) and further transfer within the  
10 food web ( $PN_{AAs}/PN$  vs.  $Chla$ :  $r = 0.49$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ,  $n = 25$ ; Fig. 3b). As glacier meltwater  
11 is rich in TSM (Fig. 2a; Table 1), the observed distribution of POM composition  
12 suggests that light is a limiting factor for organic matter (OM) assimilation in the fjord  
13 surface water (i.e.,  $PN_{AAs}/PN$  vs. TSM:  $r = -0.79$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $n = 25$ ; figure not shown).  
14 However, since the fjord is also characterized by a very low N/P ratio, as confirmed by  
15 our data (the mean N/P ratio in fjord surface water is 7.7), nitrogen could be another  
16 limiting factor for primary production (Rokkan Iversen and Seuthe, 2011). This effect  
17 is suggested by the distribution of POM composition when plotted against nitrate  
18 ( $PN_{AAs}/PN$  vs. nitrate:  $r = -0.72$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ,  $n = 25$ ; Fig. 3b). However,  $PN_{AAs}/PN$  is not  
19 related to ammonium or nitrite (figure not shown).

20 Although ammonium is typically the preferred nitrogen nutrient for phytoplankton, we  
21 found nitrate, rather than ammonium, to be coupled with POM assimilation in  
22 Kongsfjorden (Fig. 3b). As glacier meltwater is depleted in nutrients relative to fjord  
23 water (Table 2), the seaward dilution effect on nitrate is expected to play a minor role  
24 in the coupling between nitrate and POM assimilation (Fig. 3b). Instead, surface-water  
25 ammonium originates primarily from zooplankton, which exerts grazing pressure on

1 phytoplankton and also leads to increased  $PN_{AAs}/PN$  (Fig. 3b). Also, as estimated by  
2 CHEMTAX (Table 3), diatoms are the principal phytoplankton group, particularly in  
3 open-fjord environments such as western Kongsfjorden. Previous work, using cultured  
4 diatoms preconditioned for nitrate, has shown that ammonium uptake in diatoms can  
5 be inhibited by nitrate (Dortch and Conway, 1984). In Kongsfjorden, inflowing Atlantic  
6 water masses are the main source of nutrients for phytoplankton (Hegseth and Tverberg,  
7 2013). Therefore, since nitrate was the main form of DIN in Atlantic water (Table 2), it  
8 is possible that the presence of diatoms in the fjord induced (or enhanced) the nitrate  
9 limitation during OM assimilation (Fig. 3b).

10 Although the proportion of proteins and AAs in total-cell nitrogen varies (due to algae  
11 physiological status and inter-group phytoplankton differences), proteins, together with  
12 AAs, constitute the primary form of phytoplankton nitrogen and on average account for  
13 70% of total algal cellular nitrogen (Dortch et al., 1984). By employing an algal  
14 POC:Chla ratio of 50 (Hop et al., 2002) and a Redfield ratio of 6.6 (Redfield et al.,  
15 1963), it is possible to estimate the contribution of phytoplankton THPAA nitrogen to  
16 PN via observed Chla concentration. For Kongsfjorden, we estimate the phytoplankton  
17 contribution as 14%. As the calculation was based on Chla, so the term phytoplankton  
18 here includes both algae and any other detritus/matters that contains Chla.

19 On average, THPAA nitrogen accounted for 78% of the fjord PN (Fig. 3a), given that  
20 bacteria THPAA nitrogen contributed 36% of the PN (Table 4) and phytoplankton  
21 contributed another 14%, so there is 28% of the THPAA nitrogen contribution  
22 unaccounted for. We suggest that this inconsistency results from uncertainty and  
23 limitation in the above estimates and from the detritus that were free of both D-Ala and  
24 Chla. The samples with  $PN_{AAs}/PN > 70\%$ , however, were all obtained from the open  
25 western end of the fjord, where zooplankton are abundant (Hop et al., 2006), and we

1 also observed abundant zooplankton during filtration. Though zooplankton were  
2 manually removed during filtration, their detritus can hardly be avoided, which can be  
3 free of D-Ala and Chla. Due to their similar compositional distribution among different  
4 lives, amino acid composition can rarely be used to distinguish the respective  
5 contributions of phytoplankton, bacteria, and zooplankton (Cowie and Hedges, 1992).  
6 Degraded chlorophylls (e.g., chlorophyllide a), however, showed elevated  
7 concentrations at the western end of the fjord (Fig. 2e), suggesting the grazing pressure  
8 was heavier there (Hop et al., 2002). Therefore, for samples with extremely high (>70%)  
9  $PN_{AAs}/PN$  value, it is likely that the detritus, probably derived from zooplankton, played  
10 an important role in modifying the composition of POM. In doing so, the zooplankton  
11 detritus contribution to PN is comparable to that of phytoplankton and bacteria (i.e., 28%  
12 vs. 14% and 36%).

13

#### 14 4.2 Organic matter flux estimate

15 Along the Bayelva River (i.e., from the glacier terminus BC station to NVE station, Fig.  
16 1b), clear decrease of TSM and POC was identified. The TSM decreased from 741  
17 mg/L at the BC station to 214 mg/L at NVE station and correspondingly the POC  
18 decreased from around 102  $\mu\text{M}$  to 56  $\mu\text{M}$ . In the meantime, the DOC decreased from  
19 167  $\mu\text{M}$  at the BC station to 73  $\mu\text{M}$  at NVE station. The ice marginal and proglacial  
20 environments are important zones of ion acquisition in melt water and increase trend of  
21 major ion concentration in meltwater can be found downstream along the river (Hodson  
22 et al., 2002). In this work, we found the conductivity of the meltwater increased from  
23 29.8  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  (BC station) to 74.8  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$  (NVE station), which also indicates the ion  
24 acquisition in the ice marginal/proglacial region. Given the increase of conductivity, the  
25 decrease of organic matter may due to flocculation process in the water column and



1 also desorption/adsorption balance difference along the river. The decrease trend of  
2 suspended parameters along Bayelva River agreed well with the previous findings that  
3 the proglacial sandur is a major net suspended sediment sink throughout most time of  
4 the melt season (Hodson et al., 1998) and we further propose that it can also greatly  
5 impact DOC as a clear DOC decrease was observed.

6 The annual water discharge of the Bayelva River in 2012 ( $29 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ ) was relatively  
7 low compared with levels recorded between 1990 and 2001 ( $\sim 27 \times 10^6$  to more than  $40$   
8  $\times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ ) (Bogen and Bønsnes, 2003). Seasonally, some studies of glacier meltwater  
9 flux reported no clear temporal variability in the concentration of suspended particles  
10 over the course of the melt season (Bhatia et al., 2013), but other study suggests that  
11 highest TSM concentrations often occur late in the melt season, and that rain floods,  
12 instead of snowmelt, can cause the high concentrations (Bogen and Bønsnes, 2003).  
13 Inter-annually, sediment flux in the Bayelva River showed large variation, ranging from  
14 5126 to 22797 t/year (Bogen and Bønsnes, 2003) over a 12-year observation. All these  
15 previous studies indicate the complexity of TSM concentration variation in glacier  
16 meltwater. During our observation, TSM, POC and DOC concentrations at NVE station  
17 (Table 1) showed no relation with water discharge at the sampling day nor at the  
18 sampling hour (data not shown). As an estimate, we calculated the flux based on the  
19 discharge data and results from the NVE station (Table 1). Bayelva River fluxes of TSM,  
20 POC, DOC, and PN in 2012 are estimated to be  $6400 \pm 1300$ ,  $20 \pm 1.6$ ,  $25 \pm 5.6$ , and  
21  $4.7 \pm 0.75$  ton, respectively. And our estimated POC flux for the Bayelva River is very  
22 close to a previous estimate ( $22 \pm 3$  ton/yr) for the 2011 ablation season (Kuliński et al.,  
23 2014).

24 There are many meltwater rivers/creeks on Svalbard, and a comprehensive study to  
25 their organic carbon concentrations is not available. However, previous meltwater

1 organic carbon study reveals that DOC in the meltwater rivers ranged from 165 – 426  
2  $\mu\text{M}$  (Stibal et al., 2008; Tye and Heaton, 2007), while POC content in common  
3 meltwater rivers is about 0.5% (Kuliński et al., 2014). DOC concentration in our study  
4 (Table 1) is lower when compared to these values, but POC content is very comparable  
5 to previous values (i.e., 0.35% vs. 0.5%). Further, the glacier coverage in Bayelva River  
6 basin is 55% (Bogen and Bønsnes, 2003), the same as the whole Svalbard, whose  
7 glacier coverage is also 55% (Lang et al., 2015). So the Bayelva River alone can hardly  
8 represent the whole Svalbard glacier meltwater rivers in a 100% manner, but at least it  
9 enables the assessment, and the estimated flux is likely to be lower than the true value,  
10 given that its DOC and POC concentrations are lower when compared to other glacier  
11 meltwaters.

12 Given that the POC% in TSM is 0.35% (Table 1) and that the TSM flux for Svalbard is  
13  $16 \times 10^6$  t/yr (Hasholt et al., 2006), we estimate that the POC flux for all of Svalbard is  
14  $0.056 \pm 0.02 \times 10^6$  t/yr (Table 5). Moreover, by incorporating the total surface runoff  
15 ( $25 \text{ km}^3/\text{yr}$ ) from Svalbard's glaciers due to melting of snow and ice (Hagen et al., 2003)  
16 and the DOC content of glacier meltwater (Table 1), we estimate the DOC flux for  
17 Svalbard to be  $0.02 \pm 0.01 \times 10^6$  t/yr (Table 5). The POC flux of Svalbard is equivalent  
18 to only 6% of that from the Greenland Ice Sheet ( $0.9\text{--}0.94 \times 10^6$  t/yr) (Bhatia et al.,  
19 2013; Lawson et al., 2014), and is significantly smaller than the POC flux of the  
20 Mackenzie River ( $1.8\text{--}2.1 \times 10^6$  t/yr) (Dittmar and Kattner, 2003). However, in terms  
21 of DOC flux, the value from Svalbard is 13%–25% that of the Greenland Ice Sheet  
22 ( $0.08\text{--}0.15 \times 10^6$  t/yr) (Bhatia et al., 2013; Lawson et al., 2014). In comparison, DOC  
23 fluxes from glaciers in the Gulf of Alaska and from the small Arctic Yana River and the  
24 Mackenzie River are  $0.13 \times 10^6$ ,  $0.09 \times 10^6$ , and  $1.4 \times 10^6$  t/yr, respectively (Dittmar  
25 and Kattner, 2003; Holmes et al., 2012).

1 The glacier area on Svalbard is 36600 km<sup>2</sup> (Hagen et al., 2003) and the total surface  
2 runoff is 25 km<sup>3</sup>/yr (Hagen et al., 2003), resulting in area-weighted fluxes of POC and  
3 DOC of 1.5 and 0.55 t/km<sup>2</sup>/yr, respectively, and discharge-weighted fluxes of POC and  
4 DOC of 2.2 mg/L and 0.86 mg/L, respectively, (Table 5). The area-weighted fluxes of  
5 Svalbard is comparable to that of glaciers in the Gulf of Alaska (Table 5) and the  
6 Mackenzie River (0.82 t/km<sup>2</sup>/yr) (Holmes et al., 2012) and it is much higher than that  
7 of the Greenland Ice Sheet, considering its area of 1,200,000 km<sup>2</sup> (Rignot and  
8 Kanagaratnam, 2006) (e.g., for POC: 1.5 t/km<sup>2</sup>/yr vs. 0.7–0.8 t/km<sup>2</sup>/yr; for DOC: 0.55  
9 vs. 0.07–0.12 t/km<sup>2</sup>/yr Table 5). The singular Greenland Ice Sheet is considerably  
10 greater in both area and thickness (>2000 m) than small glaciers in Svalbard and Alaska  
11 (Hood et al., 2009), which comprise small, relatively thin glaciers. The vast central part  
12 of the Greenland Ice Sheet can hardly contribute to the runoff materials flux and hence  
13 the different thermal regimes may be the reason for the much lower area-weighted  
14 fluxes of the Greenland Ice Sheet, when compared to the other two Svalbard and Alaska  
15 glaciers (Table 5).

16 With respect to the DOC flux, the Svalbard glaciers becomes important among the pan-  
17 arctic glaciers especially when the discharge-weighted flux is considered (Table 5). The  
18 discharge-weighted flux of DOC of Svalbard is over twice higher than that of the  
19 Greenland Ice Sheet and glaciers in the Gulf of Alaska. As reported by Bhatia et al.  
20 (2013), DOC from Greenland Ice Sheet showed temporal variability throughout the  
21 melt season, yet DOC concentration in glacier meltwater typically remains depleted  
22 (~27 μM) during the peak melt season. In the turbid Bayelva River, however, although  
23 DOC measured at the NVE station exhibited variability (Table 1), it was maintained at  
24 a much higher level compared with values from the Greenland Ice Sheets. DOC  
25 concentration was as much as 167 μM at the glacier terminus and remained elevated

1 (73  $\mu\text{M}$ ) even as far as the NVE station (Table 1). Although we cannot assess monthly  
2 variability in DOC in this study, previous work in neighboring drainage basins suggests  
3 that DOC concentration in Svalbard glacial meltwater is maintained at high levels (250–  
4 426  $\mu\text{M}$  in glaciated basins and 165–204  $\mu\text{M}$  in non-glaciated basins) between mid-  
5 June and early September (Tye and Heaton, 2007). Such high concentrations of DOC  
6 in Svalbard glacier meltwater are an important reason for the higher discharge-weighted  
7 DOC flux when compared to the other two glaciers (Table 5). And DOC flux would be  
8 even greater had we calculated via the previous monthly DOC concentration (Tye and  
9 Heaton, 2007). Compared with glaciers in Gulf of Alaska, glaciers in Svalbard was 2.8  
10 times higher in discharge-weighted DOC flux, whereas the area-weighted DOC flux  
11 was only 42% of that in Gulf of Alaska (Table 5). This is explained by the much higher  
12 meltwater discharge per unit area that yielded by glaciers in Gulf of Alaska ( $\sim 61^\circ\text{N}$ ),  
13 relative to that yielded by glaciers in Svalbard ( $76^\circ\text{N} \sim 80^\circ\text{N}$ ). Namely, the area-  
14 weighted annual runoff for the glaciers in the Gulf of Alaska is 0.0042 km<sup>3</sup>/year (320  
15 km<sup>3</sup>/year divided by 75300 km<sup>2</sup>) (Hood et al., 2009), whereas the area-weighted annual  
16 runoff for the glaciers in Svalbard is only 0.00068 km<sup>3</sup>/year (25 km<sup>3</sup>/year divided by  
17 36600 km<sup>2</sup>). Hence, in per unit area, glaciers in Gulf of Alaska yield 6.2 times higher  
18 meltwater in discharge when compared to glaciers in Svalbard (i.e., 0.0042 vs. 0.00068),  
19 and this 6.2 times multiple relationship is very close to the multiple relationship  
20 between the area-weighted DOC flux and discharge-weighted DOC flux difference  
21 between the two glaciers, which is 6.6 times (namely  $(0.86/0.31) \cdot (1.3/0.55)$ , (Table 5)).  
22 In other words, glacier meltwater in Alaska is high-in-discharge and low-in-DOC-  
23 concentration, whereas glacier meltwater in Svalbard is in the opposite situation,  
24 namely low-in-discharge and high-in-DOC-concentration. Higher discharge-weighted  
25 DOC flux suggests that Svalbard glaciers have a higher efficiency in generating DOC

1 (or higher in DOC concentration) when compared to other pan-arctic glacier systems  
2 like the Greenland Ice Sheet and glaciers in Gulf of Alaska (Table 5).

3 Different from DOC flux, POC flux of Svalbard glaciers is not as important as other  
4 pan-arctic glaciers, and its discharge-weighted flux of POC is even smaller than that of  
5 the Greenland Ice Sheet (i.e., 2.2 mg/L vs. 3.7 mg/L; Table 5). Based on the particulate  
6 biomarker analysis, the phytoplankton carbon in the glacier meltwater can be calculated  
7 by multiplying the riverine Chla concentration with the algal-POC:Chla ratio of 50  
8 (Hop et al., 2002). Further given the AA carbon and nitrogen amount (i.e., POC<sub>AA</sub>s and  
9 PN<sub>AA</sub>s), AA and phytoplankton carbon together accounted for 9.5% of the POC flux,  
10 and nitrogen accounted for 11% of the PN flux. Assuming that AA and phytoplankton  
11 carbon represent the labile POM pool, the labile proportion in the total POM flux will  
12 be ~10% of the total POM flux (i.e., for POC flux, 9.5%; for PN flux, 11%). This  
13 proportion is comparable to that of the Greenland Ice Sheet POM, in which the labile  
14 component is estimated at 9% using a carbohydrates approach (Lawson et al., 2014).

15 Due to the rapid removal process in the estuarine and adjacent fjord, most glacier  
16 meltwater POC is expected to be buried within adjacent fjords (Dittmar and Kattner,  
17 2003).

18 The manners by which meltwater drains through the glaciers vary in Svalbard  
19 (Hodgkins, 1997) and it impacts the meltwater chemistry (Hodson et al., 2002; Wadham  
20 et al., 1998). Whether the meltwater flows through supra-, en- or sub-glacial channels  
21 would have great impact on the nutrients, TSM and further organic matter content in  
22 the glacier meltwater. Also, the ice marginal and proglacial environments play an  
23 important role in further modifying the organic carbon and nutrients content in glacier  
24 meltwater before it enters the sea (Hodson et al., 2002). The TSM in glacier meltwater  
25 is one of the few parameters that has been routinely monitored, and the Bayelva River

1 shows a very large annual TSM flux variation over a 12-year time scale, with the  
2 maximum flux being over four times higher than the minimum flux (i.e., 22797 t/year  
3 vs. 5126 t/year; Bogen and Bønsnes, 2003), indicating the complexity in TSM  
4 concentration variation (Hasholt et al., 2006). Due to both the asymmetry of the organic  
5 carbon flux in a single glacier meltwater river and the heterogeneity among different  
6 meltwater drainages, we consider our provisional estimates of Svalbard POC and DOC  
7 to be tentative. Long time monitoring data for organic carbon in Svalbard is not reported  
8 so far, and little is known about Svalbard organic carbon flux. The values in Table 5 is  
9 a preliminary estimate and hence it should be viewed with care and more work is needed  
10 to improve the estimates for glaciers flux. Furthermore, the fluxes reported here are  
11 based solely on glacier meltwater runoff data and thus exclude iceberg calving, which  
12 accounts for one-sixth of the runoff flux in Svalbard (Hagen et al., 2003). Consequently,  
13 the organic carbon flux will need to be further updated when tidewater glaciers  
14 contribution become available.

15

## 16 **5. Conclusions**

17 Using AAs and phytoplankton pigments as biomarkers, we elucidated the POM  
18 composition in the glacier-fed Bayelva River and adjacent Kongsfjorden. In the glacier  
19 meltwater, AAs represent 7% and 11% of the bulk POC and PN, respectively, whereas  
20 in the fjord, AAs nitrogen amount can exceed 90% of bulk PN, suggesting strong in  
21 situ assimilation. Furthermore, AAs indicate that bacteria accounts for 13% and 19%  
22 of the POC in the Bayelva River and Kongsfjorden, respectively. This proportion is  
23 even greater for PN, with values of 36% being determined for the fjord.

24 The annual flux of terrigenous material in the Bayelva River is estimated at  $6400 \pm$   
25  $1300$  ton for TSM,  $20 \pm 1.6$  ton for POC,  $25 \pm 5.6$  ton for DOC, and  $4.7 \pm 0.75$  ton for

1 PN. Furthermore, annual POC and DOC fluxes for all of Svalbard are estimated to be  
2  $0.056 \times 10^6$  and  $0.02 \times 10^6$  t/yr, respectively. Though lower in bulk value, the area-  
3 weighted and discharge-weighted organic carbon flux for Svalbard is comparable or  
4 even higher compared with other pan-arctic glacier systems (e.g., the Greenland ice  
5 sheet and glaciers in Gulf of Alaska). In particular, the discharge-weighted flux of DOC  
6 of Svalbard glaciers is over twice higher than other pan-arctic glacier systems and hence  
7 it is more efficient in DOC output, suggesting its important role as a terrestrial DOC  
8 source. Further work is needed to improve our understanding of organic carbon fluxes  
9 of the whole Svalbard glacier meltwaters.

10

11 **Acknowledgements**

12 This work was supported by Chinese Arctic and Antarctic Administration  
13 (2012YR11011) and SKLEC/ECNU (2011KYYW02), as well as the National Science  
14 Foundation of China (41206065). We are grateful to all the team members during our  
15 investigation in the Yellow River Station, as well as the staff in Ny-Ålesund, who  
16 provided us assistance during our field work. The authors are grateful to their German  
17 colleagues, Gerhard Kattner, Boris Koch and Kai Uwe Ludwichowskf, who kindly  
18 helped us with establishing the amino acids measurement method in the laboratory. We  
19 thank the two anonymous reviewers who provided constructive comments to improve  
20 the original manuscript.

21

22

23 References

24

25 Anesio, A. M., Sattler, B., Foreman, C., Telling, J., Hodson, A., Tranter, M., and Psenner, R.: Carbon  
26 fluxes through bacterial communities on glacier surfaces, *Annals of Glaciology*, 51, 32-40, 2010.

27 Bhatia, M. P., Das, S. B., Xu, L., Charette, M. A., Wadham, J. L., and Kujawinski, E. B.: Organic carbon

1 export from the Greenland ice sheet, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 109, 329-344, 2013.

2 Bogen, J. and Bønsnes, T. E.: Erosion and sediment transport in High Arctic rivers, Svalbard, *Polar*  
3 *Research*, 22, 175-189, 2003.

4 Cowie, G. L. and Hedges, J. I.: Sources and reactivities of amino acids in a coastal marine environment,  
5 *Limnology and Oceanography*, 37, 703-724, 1992.

6 Dauwe, B. and Middelburg, J. J.: Amino acids and hexosamines as indicators of organic matter  
7 degradation state in North Sea sediments, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 43, 782-798, 1998.

8 Davis, J., Kaiser, K., and Benner, R.: Amino acid and amino sugar yields and compositions as indicators  
9 of dissolved organic matter diagenesis, *Organic Geochemistry*, 40, 343-352, 2009.

10 Dittmar, T. and Kattner, G.: The biogeochemistry of the river and shelf ecosystem of the Arctic Ocean: a  
11 review, *Marine Chemistry*, 83, 103-120, 2003.

12 Dortch, Q., Clayton, J. R., Jr., Thoresen, S. S., and Ahmed, S. I.: Species differences in accumulation of  
13 nitrogen pools in phytoplankton, *Marine Biology*, 81, 237-250, 1984.

14 Dortch, Q. and Conway, H. L.: Interactions between nitrate and ammonium uptake: variation with growth  
15 rate, nitrogen source and species, *Marine Biology*, 79, 151-164, 1984.

16 Dubnick, A., Barker, J., Sharp, M., Wadham, J., Lis, G., Telling, J., Fitzsimons, S., and Jackson, M.:  
17 Characterization of dissolved organic matter (DOM) from glacial environments using total fluorescence  
18 spectroscopy and parallel factor analysis, *Annals of Glaciology*, 51, 111-122, 2010.

19 Fitznar, H. P., Lobbes, J. M., and Kattner, G.: Determination of enantiomeric amino acids with high-  
20 performance liquid chromatography and pre-column derivatisation with o-phthaldialdehyde and N-  
21 isobutyrylcysteine in seawater and fossil samples (mollusks), *Journal of Chromatography A*, 832, 123-  
22 132, 1999.

23 Hagen, J. O., Kohler, J., Melvold, K., and Winther, J.-G.: Glaciers in Svalbard: mass balance, runoff and  
24 freshwater flux, *Polar Research*, 22, 145-159, 2003.

25 Hasholt, B., Bobrovitskaya, N., Bogen, J., McNamara, J., Mernild, S. H., Milburn, D., and Walling, D.  
26 E.: Sediment transport to the Arctic Ocean and adjoining cold oceans, *Nordic Hydrology*, 37, 413-432,  
27 2006.

28 Hegseth, E. N. and Tverberg, V.: Effect of Atlantic water inflow on timing of the phytoplankton spring  
29 bloom in a high Arctic fjord (Kongsfjorden, Svalbard), *Journal of Marine Systems*, 113-114, 94-105,  
30 2013.



1 Hjelle, A.: Geology of Svalbard, Norwegian Polar Institute, Oslo, 1993.

2 Hodgkins, R.: Glacier hydrology in Svalbard, Norwegian high arctic, Quaternary Science Reviews, 16,  
3 957-973, 1997.

4 Hodson, A., Gurnell, A., Tranter, M., Bogen, J., Hagen, J. O., and Clark, M.: Suspended sediment yield  
5 and transfer processes in a small High-Arctic glacier basin, Svalbard, Hydrological Processes, 12, 73-86,  
6 1998.

7 Hodson, A., Tranter, M., Gurnell, A., Clark, M., and Hagen, J. O.: The hydrochemistry of Bayelva, a  
8 high Arctic proglacial stream in Svalbard, Journal of Hydrology, 257, 91-114, 2002.

9 Holmes, R. M., McClelland, J. W., Peterson, B. J., Tank, S. E., Bulygina, E., Eglinton, T., Gordeev, V. V.,  
10 Gurtovaya, T. Y., Raymond, P. A., Repeta, D. J., Staples, R., Striegl, R. G., Zhulidov, A. V., and Zimov,  
11 S. A.: Seasonal and Annual Fluxes of Nutrients and Organic Matter from Large Rivers to the Arctic  
12 Ocean and Surrounding Seas, Estuaries and Coasts, 35, 369-382, 2012.

13 Hood, E., Fellman, J., Spencer, R. G. M., Hernes, P. J., Edwards, R., D'Amore, D., and Scott, D.: Glaciers  
14 as a source of ancient and labile organic matter to the marine environment, Nature, 462, 1044-1047, 2009.

15 Hop, H., Falk-Petersen, S., Svendsen, H., Kwasniewski, S., Pavlov, V., Pavlova, O., and Søreide, J. E.:  
16 Physical and biological characteristics of the pelagic system across Fram Strait to Kongsfjorden, Progress  
17 In Oceanography, 71, 182-231, 2006.

18 Hop, H., Pearson, T., Hegseth, E. N., Kovacs, K. M., Wiencke, C., Kwasniewski, S., Eiane, K., Mehlum,  
19 F., Gulliksen, B., Wlodarska-Kowalczyk, M., Lydersen, C., Weslawski, J. M., Cochrane, S., Gabrielsen,  
20 G. W., Leakey, R. J. G., Lønne, O. J., Zajaczkowski, M., Falk-Petersen, S., Kendall, M., Wängberg, S.-  
21 Å., Bischof, K., Voronkov, A. Y., Kovaltchouk, N. A., Wiktor, J., Poltermann, M., di Prisco, G., Papucci,  
22 C., and Gerland, S.: The marine ecosystem of Kongsfjorden, Svalbard, Polar Research, 21, 167-208,  
23 2002.

24 Huang, B., Hu, J., Xu, H., Cao, Z., and Wang, D.: Phytoplankton community at warm eddies in the  
25 northern South China Sea in winter 2003/2004, Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in  
26 Oceanography, 57, 1792-1798, 2010.

27 Irvine-Fynn, T. D. L., Edwards, A., Newton, S., Langford, H., Rassner, S. M., Telling, J., Anesio, A. M.,  
28 and Hodson, A. J.: Microbial cell budgets of an Arctic glacier surface quantified using flow cytometry,  
29 Environmental Microbiology, 14, 2998-3012, 2012.

30 Kaiser, K. and Benner, R.: Hydrolysis-induced racemization of amino acids, Limnology and

1 Oceanography: Methods, 3, 318-325, 2005.

2 Kaiser, K. and Benner, R.: Major bacterial contribution to the ocean reservoir of detrital organic carbon  
3 and nitrogen, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 53, 99-112, 2008.

4 Kuliński, K., Kędra, M., Legeżyńska, J., Gluchowska, M., and Zaborska, A.: Particulate organic matter  
5 sinks and sources in high Arctic fjord, *Journal of Marine Systems*, 139, 27-37, 2014.

6 Latasa, M.: Improving estimations of phytoplankton class abundances using CHEMTAX, *Marine  
7 Ecology Progress Series*, 329, 13-21, 2007.

8 Lawson, E. C., Wadham, J. L., Tranter, M., Stibal, M., Lis, G. P., Butler, C. E. H., Laybourn-Parry, J.,  
9 Nienow, P., Chandler, D., and Dewsbury, P.: Greenland Ice Sheet exports labile organic carbon to the  
10 Arctic oceans, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 4015-4028, 2014.

11 Mackey, M. D., Higgins, H. W., Mackey, D. J., and Wright, S. W.: CHEMTAX user's manual: a program  
12 for estimating class abundances from chemical markers---application to HPLC measurements of  
13 phytoplankton pigments, CSIRO Marine Laboratories, Hobart, Australia, 47 pp., 1997.

14 Mackey, M. D., Mackey, D. J., Higgins, H. W., and Wright, S. W.: CHEMTAX---a program for estimating  
15 class abundances from chemical markers: application to HPLC measurements of phytoplankton, *Marine  
16 Ecology Progress Series*, 144, 265-283, 1996.

17 Mantoura, R. F. C. and Llewellyn, C. A.: The rapid determination of algal chlorophyll and carotenoid  
18 pigments and their breakdown products in natural waters by reverse-phase high-performance liquid  
19 chromatography, *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 152, 297-314, 1983.

20 Not, F., Massana, R., Latasa, M., Marie, D., Colson, C., Eikrem, W., Pedros-Alio, C., Vaultot, D., and  
21 Simon, N.: Late summer community composition and abundance of photosynthetic picoeukaryotes in  
22 Norwegian and Barents seas, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 50, 1677-1686, 2005.

23 Opsahl, S., Benner, R., and Amon, R. M. W.: Major flux of terrigenous dissolved organic matter through  
24 the Arctic Ocean, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 44, 2017-2023, 1999.

25 Piquet, A. M. T., van de Poll, W. H., Visser, R. J. W., Wiencke, C., Bolhuis, H., and Buma, A. G. J.:  
26 Springtime phytoplankton dynamics in Arctic Krossfjorden and Kongsfjorden (Spitsbergen) as a  
27 function of glacier proximity, *Biogeosciences*, 11, 2263-2279, 2014.

28 Redfield, A. C., Ketchum, B. H., and Richards, F. A.: The influence of organisms on the composition of  
29 seawater. In: *The Sea*, Hill, M. N. (Ed.), John Wiley, New York, 1963.

30 Rignot, E. and Kanagaratnam, P.: Changes in the Velocity Structure of the Greenland Ice Sheet, *Science*,

1 311, 986-990, 2006.

2 Rokkan Iversen, K. and Seuthe, L.: Seasonal microbial processes in a high-latitude fjord (Kongsfjorden,  
3 Svalbard): I. Heterotrophic bacteria, picoplankton and nanoflagellates, *Polar Biology*, 34, 731-749, 2011.

4 Schulz, K. G., Bellerby, R. G. J., Brussaard, C. P. D., Bűdenbender, J., Czerny, J., Engel, A., Fischer, M.,  
5 Koch-Klavsen, S., Krug, S. A., Lischka, S., Ludwig, A., Meyerhűfer, M., Nondal, G., Silyakova, A.,  
6 Stuhr, A., and Riebesell, U.: Temporal biomass dynamics of an Arctic plankton bloom in response to  
7 increasing levels of atmospheric carbon dioxide, *Biogeosciences*, 10, 161-180, 2013.

8 Sharp, M., Parkes, J., Cragg, B., Fairchild, I. J., Lamb, H., and Tranter, M.: Widespread bacterial  
9 populations at glacier beds and their relationship to rock weathering and carbon cycling, *Geology*, 27,  
10 107-110, 1999.

11 Smith, R. W., Bianchi, T. S., Allison, M., Savage, C., and Galy, V.: High rates of organic carbon burial  
12 in fjord sediments globally, *Nature Geoscience*, 8, 450-453, 2015.

13 Stibal, M., Tranter, M., Benning, L. G., and Řehák, J.: Microbial primary production on an Arctic glacier  
14 is insignificant in comparison with allochthonous organic carbon input, *Environmental Microbiology*, 10,  
15 2172-2178, 2008.

16 Svendsen, H., Beszczynska-Műller, A., Hagen, J. O., Lefauconnier, B., Tverberg, V., Gerland, S., őrboűk,  
17 J. B., Bischof, K., Papucci, C., Zajaczkowski, M., Azzolini, R., Bruland, O., Wiencke, C., Winther, J.-G.,  
18 and Dallmann, W.: The physical environment of Kongsfjorden–Krossfjorden, an Arctic fjord system in  
19 Svalbard, *Polar Research*, 21, 133-166, 2002.

20 Tye, A. M. and Heaton, T. H. E.: Chemical and isotopic characteristics of weathering and nitrogen release  
21 in non-glacial drainage waters on Arctic tundra, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 71, 4188-4205,  
22 2007.

23 van Heukelem, L. and Thomas, C. S.: Computer-assisted high-performance liquid chromatography  
24 method development with applications to the isolation and analysis of phytoplankton pigments, *Journal*  
25 *of Chromatography A*, 910, 31-49, 2001.

26 Vandewiele, S., Cowie, G., Soetaert, K., and Middelburg, J. J.: Amino acid biogeochemistry and organic  
27 matter degradation state across the Pakistan margin oxygen minimum zone, *Deep Sea Research II*, 56,  
28 318-334, 2009.

29 Wadham, J. L., Hodson, A. J., Tranter, M., and Dowdeswell, J. A.: The hydrochemistry of meltwaters  
30 draining a polythermal-based, high Arctic glacier, south Svalbard: I. The ablation season, *Hydrological*

1 Processes, 12, 1825-1849, 1998.

2 Wehmiller, J. F., Harris, W. B., Boutin, B. S., and Farrell, K. M.: Calibration of amino acid racemization  
3 (AAR) kinetics in United States mid-Atlantic Coastal Plain Quaternary mollusks using  $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$   
4 analyses: Evaluation of kinetic models and estimation of regional Late Pleistocene temperature history,  
5 Quaternary Geochronology, 7, 21-36, 2012.

6 Wu, Y., Eglinton, T., Yang, L., Deng, B., Montluçon, D., and Zhang, J.: Spatial variability in the  
7 abundance, composition, and age of organic matter in surficial sediments of the East China Sea, Journal  
8 of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 118, 2013JG002286, 2013.

9 Zhu, Z. Y., Wu, Y., Zhang, J., Dittmar, T., Li, Y., Shao, L., and Ji, Q.: Can primary production contribute  
10 non-labile organic matter in the sea: Amino acid enantiomers along the coast south of the Changjiang  
11 Estuary in May, Journal of Marine Systems, 129, 343-349, 2014.

12

13

1 Table 1. Basic parameters for the Bayelva River at NVE station and fjord waters in August, 2012.

Endmember*		TSM	POC		$\delta^{13}\text{C}$	PN	THPAA	D-AA**	DI	Chla	DOC
		mg/L	$\mu\text{M}$	%	‰	$\mu\text{M}$	$\mu\text{M}$	nM		$\mu\text{g/L}$	$\mu\text{M}$
NVE station	8 <sup>th</sup> 20:20	159	49	0.37	-23.8	13	0.8	29	-0.38	0.22	75
	12 <sup>th</sup> 10:07	115	46	0.48	-24.1	8.3	0.6	18	0.69	0.21	98
	13 <sup>th</sup> 20:15	169	58	0.41	-24.3	6.9	1.1	50	-0.51	0.21	80
	16 <sup>th</sup> 18:30	281	55	0.23	-23.5	16	1.2	54	-0.44	0.26	21
	19 <sup>th</sup> 16:25	345	70	0.25	-23.8	12	1.3	58	-0.06	0.38	92
NVE station	average	214	56	0.35	-23.9	11	1.0	42	-0.14	0.26	73
Fjord waters	average	41	23	1.1	-24.6	2.4	1.0	16	0.46	0.45	90.8
(surface)	(min.~max.)	(7.3~178)	(2~203)	(0.1~2.5)	(-26.1~-22.8)	(0.67~11)	(0.33~2.9)	(2.4~61)	(-0.18~0.76)	(0.047~1.25)	(20~204)
Fjord waters	average	10.5	5.7	0.62	-24.5	0.21	0.36	5.9	0.42	nd***	109
(near-bottom)	(min.~max.)	(5.9~18)	(2.2~12)	(0.45~0.79)	(-25.2~-23.8)	(0.19~0.27)	(0.15~1.1)	(2.7~15)	(0.20~0.74)	nd***	(72~152)

2 \*: Bayelva River: river samples with salinity = 0; surface fjord samples were all collected at 0 m (S >0); near-bottom samples were fjord samples collected at the

3 bottom layer (layer depth: 170 m to 320 m), usually 10 to 15 m above the seabed.

4 \*\*: all D-amino acids combined together

5 \*\*\*: no data

1 Table 2. Dissolved inorganic nutrients (mean (min – max)) in this study (unit:  $\mu\text{M}$ )

Endmember**	$\text{NH}_4^+$	$\text{NO}_2^-$	$\text{NO}_3^-$	$\text{SiO}_3^{2-}$	$\text{PO}_4^{3-}$
Bayelva River	0.28 (0.18 ~ 0.42)	0.05 (0.02 ~ 0.1)	2.87 (0.62 ~ 5.65)	5.68 (3.73 ~ 6.88)	0.06 (0.04 ~ 0.13)
Floating ices	0.33 (0.23 ~ 0.44)	0.003 (bdl* ~ 0.01)	0.20 (0.03 ~ 0.43)	0.08 (bdl* ~ 0.13)	0.02 (0.01 ~ 0.03)
Fjord waters (surface)	0.76 (0.18 ~ 2.2)	0.059 (bdl* ~ 0.17)	0.6 (0.01 ~ 2.32)	1.74 (0.56 ~ 6.07)	0.05 (0.01 ~ 0.26)
Fjord waters (near-bottom)	1.65 (1.07 ~ 2.45)	0.3 (0.2 ~ 0.43)	7.88 (4.95 ~ 9.44)	3.85 (1.95 ~ 5.51)	0.66 (0.49 ~ 0.76)

2 \*: below detection limit

3 \*\*: floating ices: clean floating ices in the fjord, the rest endmembers are the same as described in

4 Table 1

5

1 Table 3. Phytoplankton groups contributions to total Chla estimated via CHEMTAX.

2 (unit: %)

Group	Average	Min.	Max.
Diatoms	50	6.6	78
Cryptophytes	28	5.9	48
Prasinophytes	11	4.5	17
Chlorophytes	4.3	0	17
Haptophytes	3.8	0	9.5
Dinoflagellates	3.1	0	7.3
Chrysophytes	0.5	0	2
Cyanobacteria	0.2	0	2.2

3

4

5

6

7

1 Table 4. Bacteria-contributed POC and PN proportion relative to bulk POC and PN  
2 (in %) derived from D-Ala concentrations\*.

Region **	bacterial POC%	bacterial PN%
Bayelva R.	13 ± 3.5	
Marine	19 ± 9.5	36 ± 18

3 \* Terrestrial bacteria D-Ala content: 108 nmol/mg C, marine bacteria D-Ala content: 50.3  
4 nmol/mg C, 215 nmol/mg N. The value is derived/cited from literature (Kaiser and Benner 2008)

5 \*\* Bayelva River used all the samples with S = 0 and marine samples only used samples in the  
6 Kongsfjorden with S > 30

7

8

9

10

11

12




1 Table 5. Estimated organic carbon flux from Svalbard and its comparison with other pan-arctic glacier systems.

	total POC flux	total DOC flux	area-weighted POC flux	area-weighted DOC flux	discharge-weighted POC flux	discharge-weighted DOC flux
	10 <sup>6</sup> t/yr	10 <sup>6</sup> t/yr	t/km <sup>2</sup> /yr	t/km <sup>2</sup> /yr	mg/L	mg/L
Svalbard archipelago	0.056 ± 0.02	0.02 ± 0.01	1.5 ± 0.5	0.55 ± 0.3	2.2	0.86
Greenland ice sheet*	0.9 – 0.94	0.08 – 0.15	0.7 – 0.8	0.07 – 0.12	3.7	0.32
Gulf of Alaska**		0.10 ± 0.01		1.3 ± 0.11		0.31

2 \* Derived from Bhatia et al., (2013) and Lawson et al., (2014)


3 \*\* Derived from Hood et al., (2009)

1



2

3



4

5

6 Fig.1: Study area and sampling stations in (a) the Kongsfjorden and (b) the Bayelva River in Aug.,  
7 2012 (red star indicates the location of Ny-Ålesund; the schematic of glaciers are also shown in  
8 plot a)


9

10

11


12

13




1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

Fig. 2. Surface (a) total suspended matter (TSM), (b) particulate organic carbon (POC), (c) total hydrolysable particulate amino acids (THPAA), (d) chlorophyll a (Chla) and (e) chlorophyllide a distribution in the Kongsfjorden.




1  
2



3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9

Fig. 3. THPAA contribution to carbon and nitrogen: (a) along with salinity in the surface waters from glacier meltwater to the Kongsfjorden; (b) its relations with nitrate and Chla for fjord samples only (i.e.,  $S > 0$ ).



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

Fig. 4. D-Ala plotted against D-Glx for both river ( $S = 0$ ) and fjord ( $S > 0$ ) suspended particulate samples.