

Dr. M. Sampei
Associate Editor, *Biogeosciences*

7 March 2016

Dear Dr. Sampei:

We sincerely thank you for handling the reviewing of our manuscript and your valuable comments. We have revised the manuscript according to your suggestions. Our respective responses are shown below.

<Comment 1>

P.5 L13-17: Why authors removed all data which was over 69 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$? Maybe, those data were correct? Authors need to describe more reasonable reason to remove those data.

<Response>

We did not remove the mooring data. We corrected the mooring data to adjust to the bottle data. To avoid confusion, we added the words “for the data correction” and revised the sentences as follows (P. 5, L. 13-17 in the revised manuscript).

“The DO value obtained on 1 September 2013 from the third mooring (SCH-13) was 69 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ higher than that from the water sample collected at the nearest location and time to the mooring data acquisition. Thus, we subtracted this excess value from the SCH-13 mooring DO data, which were collected from 20 July 2013 to 19 July 2014, for the data correction.”

<Comment 2>

P.5L25: What is the quantitative definition for the BSAW (e.g., ranges for salinity and temperature)? It is better if authors could show it.

<Response>

We specified the ranges of BSAW for salinity and temperature as follows (P. 5, L. 25 in the revised manuscript).

(BSAW; $S \geq 31.8$, $T = -1.0-4^{\circ}\text{C}$)

<Comment 3>

P.5L26: Freezing temperature of what? Freshwater? Seawater? To avoid confusion, authors should show the temperature range as you have done for salinity in the same brackets.

<Response>

Freezing temperature was expressed as “freezing temperature of seawater (P.5, L. 28 in the revised manuscript)”.

The temperature range of BWW was defined to be $T \leq -1.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ (P. 5, L. 26 in the revised manuscript).

<Comment 4>

P.8L.17: March, April and May are not belong to winter.

<Response>

We described that “the BWW was generally presented in winter/spring” (P. 9, L. 1-2 in the revised manuscript).

<Comment 5>

P.8 L.17-18: The BWW did not occupy the study site in February 2013 ($T > 34.0$) and March 2014 ($T < 32.4$). Author need to follow quantitative definition you made in the MM.

<Response>

Temperature and salinity of the BWW were defined as ($S = 32.4-34.0$, $T \leq -1.6^{\circ}\text{C}$; P. 5, L. 26 in the revised manuscript). Furthermore, we defined hypersaline water (HSW; $S > 34.0$, $T \sim -1.8^{\circ}\text{C}$; P. 5, L. 28 in the revised manuscript). The water mass changes including these waters obtained from the moorings were described more detail than the previous manuscript (P. 8, L. 18 – P. 9, L.2 in the revised manuscript).

<Comment 6>

P.8L.21: ‘has’ should be ‘had’

<Response>

We changed the word (P.9, L.5 in the revised manuscript).

<Comment 7>

P.8L.23: ‘is’ should be ‘was’

<Response>

We changed the word (P.9, L.7 in the revised manuscript).

<Comment 8>

P.8 L.27-28: Again, March and April belong to spring. The lowest turbidity was observed not only in winter.

<Response>

We used the words “winter/spring” and revised the sentence as follows (P. 9, L. 11-12 in the revised manuscript).

“Turbidity (red line in Fig. 2b) was lowest in an annual cycle during winter/spring when the BWW or HSW occupied the site.”

Using “winter/spring”, we also described that “the water in winter/spring had low turbidity and high DO concentrations” (P. 17, L. 1 in the revised manuscript).

<Comment 9>

P.15 L28-29: Need references

<Response>

We referred Yamamoto-Kawai et al. (2005; P. 16, L. 13 in the revised manuscript).

<Comment 10>

P.16 L.23-25: Need references

<Response>

We referred Walsh et al. (1989; P. 17, L. 9 in the revised manuscript).

Instead of the papers of Yokoi et al. (2015) and Yun et al. (2015), we referred Yokoi et al. (2016) and Yun et al. (2016), respectively, because their discussion papers were accepted for *Biogeosciences* in 2016.

We believe that the manuscript has been improved satisfactorily and hope it will be accepted for publication in *Biogeosciences*.

Yours sincerely,
Shigeto NISHINO

Water mass characteristics and their temporal changes in a biological hotspot in the southern Chukchi Sea

S. Nishino¹, T. Kikuchi¹, A. Fujiwara¹, T. Hirawake², and M. Aoyama^{3,4}

[1]{Institute of Arctic Climate and Environment Research, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Japan}

[2]{Faculty of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University, Hakodate, Japan}

[3]{Research and Development Center for Global Change, Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology, Yokosuka, Japan}

[4]{Institute of Environmental Radioactivity, Fukushima University, Fukushima, Japan}

Correspondence to: S. Nishino (nishinos@jamstec.go.jp)

Abstract

We analysed mooring and ship-based hydrographic and biogeochemical data obtained from a Hope Valley biological hotspot in the southern Chukchi Sea. The moorings were deployed from 16 July 2012 to 19 July 2014, and data were captured during spring and autumn blooms with high chlorophyll *a* concentrations. Turbidity increased and dissolved oxygen decreased in the bottom water at the mooring site before the autumn bloom, suggesting an accumulation of particulate organic matter and its decomposition (nutrient regeneration) at the bottom. This event may have been a trigger for the autumn bloom at this site. The bloom was maintained for 1 month in 2012 and for 2 months in 2013. The maintenance mechanism for the autumn bloom was also studied by hydrographic and biogeochemical surveys in late summer to autumn 2012 and 2013. Nutrient-rich water from the Bering Sea supplied nutrients to Hope Valley, although a reduction in nutrients occurred in 2012 by the influence of lower-nutrient water that would have remained on the Chukchi Sea shelf. In addition, nutrient regeneration at the bottom of Hope Valley could have increased nutrient concentrations and explained 60% of its nutrient content in the bottom water in the autumn of 2012. The high nutrient content with the dome-like structure of the bottom water may have maintained the high primary

1 productivity via the vertical nutrient supply from the bottom water, which was likely caused
2 by wind-induced mixing during the autumn bloom. Primary productivity was $0.3 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$
3 in September 2012 and $1.6 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in September 2013. The lower productivity in 2012
4 was related to strong stratification caused by the high fraction of surface sea ice meltwater.

5

6 **1 Introduction**

7 The southern Chukchi Sea is one of the most biologically productive regions of the world's
8 oceans because of nutrients supplied by northward flow of Pacific-originating water advected
9 over the shelves from the northern Bering Sea into the Arctic Ocean (McRoy, 1993; Springer
10 and McRoy, 1993; Hunt et al., 2013). Due to high primary productivity, a large quantity of
11 organic matter descends to the sea floor as potential food for benthic communities, resulting
12 in high benthic biomass (Grebmeier et al., 1988, 2006, 2015; Grebmeier, 2012). Consequently,
13 large benthic feeders at high trophic levels, such as grey whales and walruses, also congregate
14 there (Feder et al., 2005). Such a region of high biological activity is called a biological
15 hotspot. Including this southern Chukchi Sea biological hotspot, the international Distributed
16 Biological Observatory (DBO, <http://www.arctic.noaa.gov/dbo/>) designated five locations in
17 the Pacific Arctic domain, spanning the latitudinal range from the northern Bering Sea to the
18 northern Chukchi Sea, as important locations for ecosystem monitoring. In these locations,
19 recent biological changes are evident in the ranges of phytoplankton and zooplankton, benthic
20 organisms, and fish species, as well as through loss of sea ice as habitat and platforms for
21 marine mammals (e.g., Grebmeier et al., 2010, 2015; Grebmeier, 2012).

22 The Arctic has rapidly lost its summer sea ice cover over recent decades (Stroeve et al., 2007;
23 Comiso et al., 2008; Kwok et al., 2009), which may significantly change ocean conditions and
24 marine biological activities, including primary production, a key process sustaining the base
25 of the marine food web. Overall, the primary productivity in the Arctic Ocean has been
26 estimated to have increased in recent years due to an accelerated extension of the open water
27 area and a longer ice-free season (e.g. Arrigo et al., 2008; Pabi et al., 2008). This increase
28 particularly occurs on interior shelves near shelf slopes, where sea ice retreats are
29 accompanied by the upwelling of nutrient-rich water that supports the increased production
30 (Arrigo and van Dijken, 2015; Falk-Petersen et al., 2015). In contrast, outflow shelves where
31 nutrients may already have been consumed upstream of the region exhibit either no change or
32 a significant decline in primary productivity (Arrigo and van Dijken, 2015). The loss of sea

1 ice and the accumulation of freshwater observed in the Canada Basin cause a deepening of the
2 nutricline and can have negative effects on primary productivity (McLaughlin and Carmack,
3 2010; Nishino et al., 2011; Coupel et al. 2015). On the other hand, the recent delay in autumn
4 freeze up in the East Siberian Sea results in the formation of a large-volume water mass by
5 cooling and convection, and the spreading of this water into the Makarov Basin causes
6 shoaling of the nutricline and may increase primary productivity (Nishino et al., 2013). The
7 steepest trend of increasing primary productivity was found in the eastern (Eurasian) Arctic
8 during the period 2003–2015 (Frey et al., 2015). Thus, the responses of primary production to
9 sea ice loss are quite different between regions and their biogeochemical processes remain
10 unclear.

11 In the Chukchi Sea, satellite data suggest an increase in primary productivity associated with
12 the reduced sea ice extent and a longer phytoplankton growing season (Arrigo et al., 2008;
13 Pabi et al., 2008; Arrigo and van Dijken, 2015). However, seasonal field measurements in the
14 Chukchi Sea during the ice-free season in summer/autumn indicate a substantial decrease in
15 recent primary productivity compared to estimates in the 1980s (Lee et al., 2007, 2013). Yun
16 et al. (~~2015~~2016) speculated that a plausible reason for the recent low primary productivity in
17 the Chukchi Sea could be the decreased concentrations of nutrients and chlorophyll *a* (Chl *a*).
18 It should be noted that satellite observations remain uncertain because of the limitations of
19 assessing the productivity beneath the sea surface. For example, a subsurface Chl *a* maximum
20 layer could contribute to productivity if light levels are high enough and nutrients are
21 available (Arrigo et al., 2011; Brown et al., 2015). On the other hand, samplings in
22 summer/autumn for *in situ* measurements of primary productivity can bias the results unless
23 one evaluates the maximum productivity that occurs in late spring/early summer as sea ice
24 retreats. *In situ* measurements may also reflect the large annual variation related to spatial and
25 temporal changes in biogeochemical processes (Lee et al., 2007). Therefore, long-term
26 monitoring using moorings with chemical and biological sensors is necessary along with ship-
27 based hydrographic and biogeochemical surveys to better understand the responses of primary
28 production and the associated marine ecosystem to ongoing environmental changes in the
29 Chukchi Sea. The southern Chukchi Sea is a suitable location for such long-term monitoring
30 because the site is one of the most biologically productive regions and thus is in a state of
31 significant transition, with not only environmental but also potentially economic and social
32 consequences.

1 The recent loss of Arctic sea ice may also induce a second bloom in autumn (autumn bloom)
2 because the delayed freeze-up and increased exposure of the sea surface to wind stress cause
3 significant wind-driven vertical mixing and upward supply of nutrients, resulting in increased
4 phytoplankton biomass. Ardyna et al. (2014) used satellite data to show that the frequency
5 and area of autumn blooms have increased recently throughout the Arctic. Nishino et al.
6 (2015) reported an autumn bloom during strong wind events in the northern Chukchi Sea
7 based on observational evidence. The increase in biomass during the autumn bloom could
8 accompany changes in phytoplankton and zooplankton communities and may impact higher
9 trophic levels in the ecosystem ([Yokoi et al., 2015](#); Matsuno et al., 2015; [Yokoi et al., 2016](#)).
10 However, the fate of the autumn bloom (e.g. when it begins, the trigger, how long it continues,
11 and the mechanism maintaining it) is unclear. Seasonal monitoring of phytoplankton biomass
12 and water mass characteristics may provide answers to such questions.

13 Here, we analysed mooring and ship-based data obtained from a biological hotspot in the
14 southern Chukchi Sea to understand the water mass characteristics (and temporal changes
15 thereof) that influence phytoplankton biomass and productivity. Mooring data, including
16 temperature (T), salinity (S), dissolved oxygen (DO), Chl a , and turbidity near the bottom of
17 the biological hotspot in the southern Chukchi Sea were collected from July 2012 to July
18 2014 for the first time. The data were used to examine changes in water mass characteristics
19 and phytoplankton biomass associated with spring and autumn blooms in this biological
20 hotspot. Hydrographic and biogeochemical surveys (conductivity-temperature-depth [CTD]
21 and water sampling) were conducted across the biological hotspot during late summer to
22 autumn 2012 and 2013. We focused on the biogeochemical parameters, e.g. light transmission,
23 total alkalinity, DO, nutrients, Chl a , and primary productivity, to study the biogeochemical
24 processes that maintain the biological hotspot until late summer and autumn, and their
25 differences between the 2 years. The effect of sea ice meltwater on primary productivity is
26 also discussed in association with stratification of the water column.

27

28 **2 Data and Methods**

29 **2.1 Mooring data**

30 We deployed and recovered three temporally sequenced moorings (named SCH-12, SCH-12-
31 2, and SCH-13; Table 1) from 16 July 2012 to 19 July 2014 to acquire T , S , DO, Chl a , and

1 turbidity time-series near the bottom of a biological hotspot located in Hope Valley of the
2 southern Chukchi Sea (Fig. 1). A MicroCAT C-T Recorder, SBE 37-SM (Sea-Bird
3 Electronics, Bellevue, WA, USA) was used to acquire the T and S data. Maximum drift in the
4 sensors over 1 year were 0.002°C for temperature and 0.01 for salinity in pre- and post-
5 calibration comparisons. The AROW-USB phosphorescent DO sensor was used (JFE
6 Advantech Co., Ltd., Kobe, Japan). The sensor was calibrated using oxygen-saturated and
7 anoxic water to determine the linear relationship between them with $\pm 2\%$ accuracy.
8 Fluorescence and backscatter were measured to obtain the Chl a and turbidity data,
9 respectively, using ACLW-USB sensors (JFE Advantech). Chl a nonlinearity between 0 and
10 200 mg m^{-3} was $\pm 1\%$. The turbidity sensor was calibrated by the manufacturer using
11 formazin standard solutions, and the results were expressed in formazin turbidity units (FTUs).
12 The accuracy of the turbidity sensor was ± 0.3 FTU or $\pm 2\%$. The data were recorded every
13 hour and were smoothed using a running 24 h mean after removing spike noise. ~~Because t~~The
14 DO value obtained on 1 September 2013 from the third mooring (SCH-13) was $69\ \mu\text{mol}$
15 kg^{-1} ~~much~~ higher than that from the water sample collected at the nearest location and time to
16 the mooring data acquisition. ~~Thus, we subtracted the this~~ excess value ~~of $69\ \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$~~ from
17 the SCH-13 mooring DO data, which were collected from 20 July 2013 to 19 July 2014, for
18 the data correction.

19 To analyse the mooring data, we used the definitions of water masses from previous studies.
20 The bottom waters in the Chukchi Sea that originate from the Pacific Ocean in summer and
21 winter are characterised by T and S . In summer, they can be classified into three water
22 masses: Anadyr Water ($S > 32.5$, $T = -1.0$ – -1.5°C) in the west, Bering Shelf Water ($S = 31.8$ –
23 32.5 , $T = 0$ – 4°C) in the centre, and Alaskan Coastal Water (ACW; $S < 31.8$, $T > 4^{\circ}\text{C}$) near the
24 Alaskan coast (Coachman et al., 1975; Coachman, 1987; Grebmeier et al., 1988). As the
25 Anadyr and Bering Shelf Waters are usually not distinct in the Chukchi Sea, the combined
26 water mass is called the Bering Shelf-Anadyr Water (BSAW; $S \geq 31.8$, $T = -1.0$ – -4°C). In
27 winter, the water mass called Bering Winter Water (BWW; $S = 32.4$ – 34.0 , $T \leq -1.6^{\circ}\text{C}$ ~~with~~
28 ~~near-freezing temperature~~) occupies the Chukchi Sea (Coachman and Barnes, 1961; Kinney
29 Lowry et al., 19702015). Occasionally, hypersaline water (HSW; $S > 34.0$ with freezing
30 temperature of seawater, $T \sim -1.8^{\circ}\text{C}$) is formed due to brine rejection in leads and polynyas in
31 the Chukchi Sea (Weingartner et al., 1998).

1 2.2 Ship-based data

2 Ship-based hydrographic and biogeochemical surveys were conducted in the Chukchi Sea and
3 Canada Basin from 13 September to 4 October 2012 and from 31 August to 4 October 2013
4 on board the R/V *Mirai* of the Japan Agency for Marine-Earth Science and Technology,
5 JAMSTEC (Fig. 1). Detailed descriptions of the 2012 and 2013 R/V *Mirai* cruises, including
6 the above-mentioned moorings, are provided in the cruise reports (Kikuchi 2012 and Nishino
7 2013, respectively), and the data will be open to the public via the JAMSTEC website
8 (<http://www.godac.jamstec.go.jp/cruisedata/mirai/e/index.html>). We also used data obtained
9 from cruises of the R/V *Mirai* in 2004, 2008, and 2010, which were downloaded from the
10 JAMSTEC website, to compare to the data from 2012 and 2013. The R/V *Mirai* survey
11 periods for the area north of the Bering Strait in each year are listed in Table 2.

12 A CTD (SBE9plus; Sea-Bird Electronics) and a carousel water-sampling system with 36
13 Niskin bottles (12 L) were used to collect data. In addition, DO, light transmission,
14 fluorescence, and photosynthetically active radiation sensors were attached to the CTD
15 system. Seawater samples were collected to measures *S*, DO, total alkalinity, nutrients (nitrate,
16 nitrite, phosphate, silicate, and ammonium), Chl *a*, primary productivity, and other chemical
17 and biological parameters.

18 Bottle *S* samples were analysed following the Global Ocean Ship-based Hydrographic
19 Investigations Program (GO-SHIP) Repeat Hydrography Manual using a Guideline
20 AUTOSAL salinometer and International Association for the Physical Sciences of the Oceans
21 standard seawater as reference material (Kawano, 2010). Precision values of the salinity
22 measurements in 2012 and 2013 were 0.0060 and 0.0068, respectively, for shallow-water
23 samples (≤ 200 m), and 0.0003 and 0.0002, respectively, for deep-water samples (> 200 m).

24 DO in the samples was measured by Winkler titration following World Ocean Circulation
25 Experiment Hydrographic Program operations and methods (Dickson, 1996). Precision values
26 for the 2012 and 2013 DO measurements were both $0.12 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$.

27 Total alkalinity in the samples was measured using a spectrophotometric system and the
28 scheme reported by Yao and Byrne (1998). The total alkalinity values were calibrated against
29 certified reference material provided by Dr. Dickson (Scripps Institute of Oceanography, La
30 Jolla, CA, USA). The precision for the 2012 and 2013 total alkalinity measurements was 0.57
31 and $0.80 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$, respectively.

1 Nutrient samples were analysed according to the GO-SHIP Repeat Hydrography Manual
2 (Hydes et al., 2010) using reference materials for nutrients in seawater (Aoyama and Hydes,
3 2010; Sato et al., 2010). The 2012 and 2013 precision values, expressed as coefficients of
4 variation (CVs), were 0.12% and 0.11% for nitrate, 0.21% and 0.19% for nitrite, 0.19% and
5 0.11% for phosphate, 0.11% and 0.16% for silicate, and 0.34% and 0.30% for ammonium,
6 respectively.

7 Chl *a* was measured in seawater samples using a fluorometric non-acidification method
8 (Welschmeyer, 1994) and a Turner Design fluorometer (10-AU-005; Sunnyvale, CA, USA).
9 The precision of the 2013 Chl *a* measurements (CV) was 5.3%. Precision was not estimated
10 in 2012 because multiple samples were not available for the estimate.

11 Primary phytoplankton productivity was determined using the stable ¹³C isotope method
12 (Hama et al., 1983). We sampled seawater from seven optical depths at 100%, 38%, 14%, 7%,
13 4%, 1%, and 0.6% of surface irradiance. The seawater samples were inoculated with a 200
14 μM labelled carbon substrate (NaH¹³CO₃) that represented ~10% enrichment of the total
15 inorganic carbon in ambient water. The samples were placed in an incubator for 24 h.
16 Incubator temperature was maintained with running water from the sea surface. After
17 incubation, the water samples were filtered through glass fibre filters (Whatman GF/F, 25 mm
18 in diameter; Maidstone, UK) that had been pre-combusted at 450°C for 4 h. The ¹³C
19 measurements were performed onboard using a stable-isotope analyser (ANCA-SL; SerCon
20 Ltd., Gateway, Crewe, UK). The 2012 and 2013 primary productivity precision values (CVs)
21 were 6.5 and 7.2%, respectively.

22 We used the fraction of sea ice meltwater (f_{SIM}) calculated from the relationship between
23 potential alkalinity (total alkalinity + nitrate – ammonium) and salinity for the water mass
24 analysis, based on Yamamoto-Kawai et al. (2009). They assumed that each seawater sample
25 is a mixture of three end-members, such as sea ice meltwater (SIM), meteoric water (MW;
26 river runoff + precipitation), and a saline end-member (SE). The fraction of each end-member
27 component was estimated using the following mass balance equations:

$$28 \quad f_{SIM} + f_{MW} + f_{SE} = 1, \quad (1)$$

$$29 \quad f_{SIM}S_{SIM} + f_{MW}S_{MW} + f_{SE}S_{SE} = S, \quad (2)$$

$$30 \quad f_{SIM}PA_{SIM} + f_{MW}PA_{MW} + f_{SE}PA_{SE} = PA, \quad (3)$$

1 where S and PA are observed salinity and potential alkalinity of seawater, respectively, and f ,
2 S , and PA with subscripts are the fraction, salinity, and potential alkalinity, respectively, of
3 the three SIM, MW, and SE end-members. All end-member values are listed in Table 3. An
4 end-member PA_{MW} value of $793 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ was estimated by Yamamoto-Kawai (2009), but
5 this value was obtained from samples collected in the Canada Basin, which is farther north
6 than the study area in the southern Chukchi Sea and under an apparent larger influence of
7 Eurasian rivers. Because the study area is rather influenced by North American rivers, we
8 assumed the PA_{MW} to be $1620 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$, based on the flow-weighted average of alkalinity
9 estimated from the rivers (Cooper et al., 2008). The fraction of sea ice meltwater, f_{SIM} ,
10 increases when seawater is influenced by sea ice melt in summer and decreases when
11 seawater is influenced by the formation of sea ice in winter. A negative f_{SIM} implies formation
12 of sea ice, which removes freshwater from and ejects brine into seawater and is dominant over
13 sea ice melt.

14

15 **3 Results**

16 **3.1 Mooring data**

17 **3.1.1 T and S**

18 As described in Sect. 2.1, the bottom waters in the Chukchi Sea are classified into ACW,
19 BSAW, ~~and BWW~~ and HSW. The T and S characteristics from the mooring data (Fig. 2a)
20 indicate ~~a seasonal changes in water masses similar to BSAW and BWW~~ between these waters.
21 The BSAW occupied the bottom of the mooring site ~~until during July~~ November 2012. In
22 mid-October 2012, we found water fresher than the BSAW that was likely influenced by the
23 ACW. The BSAW was replaced by the BWW in January 2013, and the HSW appeared in
24 February 2013. Then, the BWW occupied there again until May 2013. During July–October
25 2013 the BSAW was found at the bottom of the mooring site, but it was warmer and fresher
26 ~~during July–October 2013~~ compared with 2012. In November 2013, there was warm water
27 fresher than the BSAW, and thus it was thought to be the ACW that was modified by seasonal
28 cooling. The cooling eventually produced water with freezing temperature ($T \sim -1.8^\circ\text{C}$) that
29 was present at the bottom of the mooring site during February–April 2014. The freezing
30 temperature water was identified with the BWW except for the water in March that was

1 fresher than the BWW. During May–July 2014, temperature increased and salinity decreased
2 with the replacement of the BWW by the BSAW. The BWW was present during winter from
3 January to May 2013 and from February to April 2014. In summary, the BSAW (BWW) was
4 generally presented in summer/autumn (winter/spring) at the bottom of the mooring site.

5 **3.1.2 DO and turbidity**

6 DO concentration (blue line in Fig. 2b) varied in response to the change in water masses. The
7 BWW and HSW has had high DO concentrations ($>300 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) because the waters
8 undergoes cooling and convection in winter with oxygen supplied from the atmosphere. On
9 the other hand, there is was a wide range of DO concentrations in BSAW. DO concentration
10 was high ($\sim 300 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) in the beginning when the BSAW occupied the mooring site in
11 July. Then it decreased gradually over time and had minimum values ($\sim 100 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)
12 between September and November 2012 and between August and October 2013.

13 Turbidity (red line in Fig. 2b) was lowest in an annual cycle during winter/spring when the
14 BWW or HSW occupied the site. Then it increased sharply in May 2013 and 2014, when the
15 DO concentration also increased. In July 2012 and 2013, when the BSAW began to occupy
16 the site, turbidity became relatively lower (~ 5 FTU or less) and subsequently reached an
17 annual maximum (10–15 FTU) between September and November 2012 and between August
18 and October 2013. The period of annual maximum turbidity corresponded with the period of
19 annual minimum DO.

20 **3.1.3 Chl *a***

21 Chl *a* concentration (Fig. 2c) increased sharply in May, when sea ice still remained in the area,
22 and the high concentration continued until July. The sharp increase in Chl *a* in May was in
23 synchrony with the sharp increases in DO concentration and turbidity (blue and red lines in
24 Fig. 2b, respectively). In addition, relatively high Chl *a* concentrations ($>1 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$) were
25 found in September–October 2012 and August–October 2013, although the concentrations
26 were much lower than those in late spring to early summer (May–July). The time series of the
27 turbidity data showed two peaks in accordance with annual variation in Chl *a* concentration,
28 i.e. high turbidity in late spring/early summer and autumn. However, turbidity was higher in
29 autumn than in late spring/early summer, despite Chl *a* concentrations being lower in autumn.

1 **3.2 Ship-based data**

2 **3.2.1 Chl *a* and primary productivity**

3 The hydrographic and biogeochemical surveys were conducted in the Chukchi Sea and the
4 Canada Basin during September to early October 2012 and 2013, when the mooring data
5 indicated high Chl *a* and turbidity with low DO concentrations. The spatial distribution of Chl
6 *a* integrated over the water column in 2012 (Fig. 3a) showed that the quantity of Chl *a* was
7 relatively high in the Bering Strait, Hope Valley, and Barrow Canyon, where primary
8 productivity in the water column was also high compared to that in the central Chukchi Sea
9 and the Canada Basin in 2012 (Fig. 3b). The high productivity regions are thought to be the
10 biological hotspots. The quantity of Chl *a* in the water column in 2013 was higher everywhere
11 compared to 2012, and the highest quantity was detected in Hope Valley (Fig. 4a). Similarly,
12 primary productivity integrated over the water column was higher in 2013 throughout the
13 entire study area (Fig. 4b), and the value was highest in Hope Valley ($1.6 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$),
14 approximately five times higher than that in 2012 ($0.3 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$). Despite being
15 downstream from nutrient-rich water from the Bering Sea, the algal biomass and primary
16 productivity in Hope Valley were comparable to or higher than those in the Bering Strait
17 during both years.

18 **3.2.2 Hotspot sections in 2012**

19 A hydrographic section was obtained from the Bering Strait to the shelf slope of the Chukchi
20 Sea along $168^{\circ} 45' \text{W}$ across the biological hotspot of the southern Chukchi Sea at $\sim 68^{\circ} \text{N}$ on
21 13–17 September 2012 (Fig. 5). *T* and *S* (Fig. 5a) characterise the water mass distribution in
22 this section. In the shelf area (from the Bering Strait to 72°N), ACW ($S < 31.8$, $T > 4^{\circ}\text{C}$) was
23 found at ~ 67 and $69\text{--}70^{\circ}\text{N}$ in the upper layer ($< \sim 20 \text{ m}$) and BSAW occupied the lower layer.
24 Over the shelf slope (north of 72°N), BWW with near-freezing temperature was found at 73--
25 74°N below a depth of $\sim 40 \text{ m}$. The saline bottom water ($S > 33$) around 72°N was classified as
26 BSAW, but the water temperature was relatively low indicating that it was likely influenced
27 by the adjacent BWW to the north. We found a dome-like structure of bottom water
28 characterised by an uplifted isohaline (isopycnal) surface at $\sim 68^{\circ}\text{N}$ with lower *T* and higher *S*
29 than those of the surroundings. This bottom water at $\sim 68^{\circ}\text{N}$ was also characterised by the

1 lowest light transmission in this section (Fig. 5b). The light transmission was relatively low in
2 the bottom water around 72°N, but it increased sharply in the BWW (73–74°N).

3 We calculated f_{SIM} to examine whether the water was influenced by sea ice melt or brine
4 rejection (Fig. 5c). The surface water was influenced largely by sea ice melt ($f_{SIM} > 0$),
5 especially at 67–69°N and over the shelf slope. On the other hand, the bottom waters at ~68
6 and 72°N and the BWW (73–74°N) were associated with brine rejection ($f_{SIM} < 0$).

7 The DO distribution (Fig. 5d) showed a subsurface DO maximum over the shelf slope, which
8 was almost coincident with a subsurface Chl *a* maximum (not shown) and associated with
9 photosynthesis in this maximum layer as described in previous studies (e.g., Codispoti et al.,
10 2005; Martin et al., 2010). A notable feature in this section was the lowest DO in the bottom
11 water at ~68°N. Nitrate (Fig. 5e) was depleted at the surface, except for the Bering Strait, and
12 high concentrations (~20 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) were found in the bottom water of the strait and BWW.
13 The nitrate concentration in the bottom water at ~68°N was relatively low (~7 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$).
14 Ammonium (Fig. 5f) was also depleted at the surface, and in contrast to the nitrate, the
15 concentrations were low in the bottom water of the Bering Strait (~2 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) and BWW
16 (<0.5 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) and highest in the bottom water at ~68°N (~12 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$). The ammonium
17 concentration at ~68°N (~12 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) reached 60% of the total inorganic nitrogen (TIN =
18 nitrate + nitrite + ammonium) concentration (~20 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), and the TIN concentration was
19 comparable to that in the bottom water of the Bering Strait. Likewise, in the bottom water at
20 ~68°N, other nutrients, i.e. phosphate and silicate, were also comparable to those of the
21 Bering Strait.

22 We revisited the biological hotspot in the southern Chukchi Sea and conducted hydrographic
23 and biogeochemical surveys on 3–4 October 2012 (Fig. 6). Similar to the previous survey in
24 ~~mid-mid~~ September, a dome-like structure of bottom water was found at ~68°N with lower *T*,
25 higher *S* (Fig. 6a), and lower light transmission (Fig. 6b) than those of the surrounding water.
26 However, bottom water *T* was higher (~0°C vs. ~-0.4°C), *S* was lower (~33 vs. ~33.2), and
27 light transmission was lower (~12% vs. ~30%) than the values from the previous survey. The
28 bottom water also had a negative f_{SIM} value (~-0.02; Fig 6c), indicating the influence of brine
29 rejection, but its contribution was reduced from the previous survey (f_{SIM} ~-0.04).

30 The DO concentration in this bottom water decreased from ~130 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ in ~~mid-mid~~
31 September to ~110 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ in early October (Fig. 6d), while the nitrate concentration
32 increased from ~7 to ~16 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (Fig. 6e). On the other hand, the ammonium

1 | concentration remained high ($\sim 11 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) in early October (Fig. 6f), as it was in ~~mid-mid-~~
2 | September ($\sim 12 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$). Thus, the nitrate increase contributed to an increase in the TIN
3 | concentration ($\sim 28 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) from the previous survey ($\sim 20 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) in the bottom water
4 | at $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$.

5 | **3.2.3 Hotspot sections in 2013**

6 | We conducted hydrographic and biogeochemical surveys from the Bering Strait to the shelf
7 | slope of the Chukchi Sea along $168^\circ 45'\text{W}$ from 27 September to 4 October 2013 (Fig. 7).
8 | The T and S distribution (Fig. 7a) indicated that BSAW was dominant in this region, except
9 | for the upper layer ($< \sim 20 \text{ m}$) where ACW was found at around 67 and 69°N . The cold water
10 | north of 72°N below a depth of $\sim 40 \text{ m}$ was a mixture of BSAW and BWB, as was the case in
11 | 2012. Although we again found a dome-like structure of bottom water at $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$ with higher S
12 | than the surroundings, T was similar to the surroundings (Fig. 7a) and higher than that in 2012
13 | (Figs. 5a and 6a). Light transmission there was extremely low compared to the surroundings
14 | (Fig. 7b), but higher than that in 2012 (Figs. 5b and 6b).

15 | The f_{SIM} distribution (Fig. 7c) showed little influence of sea ice melt in the upper layer
16 | compared to that in 2012 (Figs. 5c and 6c). Due to the decrease in the influence of sea ice
17 | melt, surface stratification became weaker in 2013 than in 2012. On the other hand, f_{SIM} was
18 | nearly zero in the bottom waters at the Bering Strait and at $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$, indicating no effects of sea
19 | ice melt and brine rejection or a condition balancing these effects. Negative f_{SIM} values
20 | (~ -0.01) north of 72°N below a depth of $\sim 40 \text{ m}$ were consistent with water that had a
21 | component of BWB, which had undergone brine rejection.

22 | In 2013, the bottom water at $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$ was characterised by higher S (Fig. 7a) and lower light
23 | transmission (Fig. 7b) than the surroundings; however, DO there was almost the same as that
24 | of the surroundings (Fig. 7d) and higher than that in 2012 (Figs. 5d and 6d). Nitrate (Fig. 7e)
25 | was almost depleted at the surface, except for the Bering Strait and $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$. In the Bering
26 | Strait, the nitrate concentration was more than $20 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ from the surface to the bottom.
27 | Furthermore, a chimney of higher nitrate concentrations ($14\text{--}17 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) than the
28 | surroundings was found at $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$. The bottom water concentration there (68°N) was higher
29 | | than that in ~~mid-mid-~~September 2012 (Fig. 5e) and comparable to that in early October 2012
30 | (Fig. 6e). Ammonium (Fig. 7f) was also almost depleted at the surface, but the concentration

1 at 68°N reached 1.7 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$. The bottom water concentration there (68°N) was $\sim 3 \mu\text{mol}$
2 kg^{-1} and was markedly lower than that in 2012 (Figs. 5f and 6f).

3 **3.2.4 Hotspot bottom water in the previous surveys**

4 Hydrographic and biogeochemical surveys were also conducted in the Chukchi Sea along
5 168° 45'W across the biological hotspot at $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$ in late summer to autumn 2004, 2008, and
6 2010 (Table 2). To examine the general features of the bottom water around 68°N, we plotted
7 the water characteristics meridionally at a depth of 40 m (Fig. 8), which is the deepest depth
8 at which data were available at every latitude, with a 0.5° interval for 2012, 2013, and for the
9 average of the above-mentioned 3 years between the Bering Strait ($\sim 66^\circ\text{N}$) and the northern
10 end of Hope Valley ($\sim 70^\circ\text{N}$) (see Fig. 1). Although T showed the lowest value among the
11 surrounding waters at 68°N in 2012 (blue squares in Fig. 8a), it did not show such
12 significantly low values in 2013 (red triangles) and in the average of the 3 years (black dots).
13 However, S had higher values at 67.5 and 68°N than in the surroundings in the average (black
14 dots in Fig. 8b), as well as higher values at 68°N than in the surroundings in 2012 (blue
15 squares) and 2013 (red triangles). This indicates that the dome-like structure of the bottom
16 water at $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$ with higher S than the surroundings was a robust feature of the biological
17 hotspot in the southern Chukchi Sea. The significantly low T and high S at 68°N in 2012 were
18 accompanied by extremely low f_{SIM} there compared to the surroundings in 2012 (blue squares
19 in Fig. 8c). There was no such f_{SIM} minimum feature at 68°N in 2013 (red triangles) or in
20 other years (black dots).

21 DO was lowest among the surrounding waters at 68°N in 2012 (blue squares in Fig. 8d). It
22 also showed a minimum at 68°N in the average (black dots), but the value there was not
23 significantly low compared to the surroundings. A minimum at 68°N was not found in 2013
24 (red triangles). Similar to S , nitrate had higher values at 67.5 and 68°N than the surroundings
25 in the average (black dots in Fig. 8e), as well as its maximum feature at 68°N in 2013 (red
26 triangles). However, such a nitrate maximum at 68°N was not found in 2012 (blue squares),
27 and the value there in 2012 was significantly low. Ammonium had higher values at 68°N than
28 in the surroundings in the average (black dots in Fig. 8f) and in 2012 (blue squares), with a
29 significantly high value in 2012, but such an ammonium maximum at 68°N was not found in
30 2013 (red triangles). In general, the ammonium distribution was inversely related to the
31 oxygen distribution (Fig. 9), that is, as ammonium increased with latitude, DO decreased, and
32 vice versa (Fig. 8d and 8f).

1

2 **4 Discussion**

3 **4.1 Spring and autumn blooms**

4 The Chl *a* mooring data captured phytoplankton blooms, as indicated by the high Chl *a*
5 concentrations in spring to early summer and in autumn (Fig. 2c). The first bloom in May was
6 likely a spring bloom including a bloom of ice algae. At the onset of the spring bloom in May,
7 both the DO concentration and the turbidity increased sharply (blue and red lines in Fig. 2b,
8 respectively), which is consistent with the oxygen production accompanying phytoplankton
9 photosynthetic activity and the resultant increase in phytoplankton particles.

10 The second bloom (Chl *a* >1 mg m⁻³), which occurred in September–October 2012 and
11 August–October 2013, was an autumn bloom. Before the autumn bloom, the DO
12 concentration decreased and the turbidity increased from the end of July to the beginning of
13 August in 2012 and 2013. The annual DO minimum and turbidity maximum occurred during
14 the bloom. The high turbidity in autumn suggests that the turbid water contained not only
15 phytoplankton particles but also other biogenic and lithogenic particles. The DO minimum in
16 this period suggests decomposition of organic matter that was transported to the bottom with
17 the particles, the amounts of which were largest in autumn in the annual cycle. This point is
18 discussed further below.

19 **4.2 Autumn bloom and biogeochemical processes**

20 The above-mentioned mooring data revealed two novel results regarding the annual cycle of
21 water characteristics related to the autumn bloom. A large decrease in bottom water DO
22 occurred just before the autumn bloom but not at the spring bloom (Figs 2b and 2c). The
23 decrease in DO was accompanied by an increase in bottom water turbidity, and DO (turbidity)
24 had minimum (maximum) values during the autumn bloom. Yamada et al. (2015) observed
25 that the concentrations of particles and particulate organic matter (POM) are extremely high
26 at the bottom of Hope Valley in autumn, suggesting that particles including POM accumulate
27 at the bottom there in autumn with an increase in turbidity and decrease in oxygen used to
28 decompose accumulated POM. One conceivable source of such particles is an upstream
29 region of northward currents that transport the BSAW through the Bering Strait (e.g.
30 Grebmeier, 2012; Mathis et al., 2014; Grebmeier et al., 2015). This is consistent with the

1 finding that the surface sediment along the BSAW pathway has a high amount of total organic
2 carbon, including a large quantity of marine organic matter (phytoplankton and marine
3 organism detritus) available to benthic populations (Grebmeier et al., 1988, 2006). The ACW
4 could also carry Yukon River sediments (McManus et al., 1969). However, such terrestrial
5 inputs would be difficult for use in biological processes (Grebmeier et al., 1988, 2006). Moran
6 et al. (2005) suggested that part of the production is exported laterally and off the Chukchi
7 Sea shelf during the most productive season. Therefore, in addition to export production,
8 lateral transport of organic particles is important for oxygen consumption by sediment
9 communities, particularly during the autumn bloom season.

10 The DO concentration at the bottom of the mooring site in the southern Chukchi Sea did not
11 decrease significantly during the spring bloom or soon after the bloom. However, oxygen was
12 largely consumed (in June) on the bottom south of St. Lawrence Island in the Bering Sea just
13 after Chl *a* concentrations peaked in the water column (May–June) with a time lag of days to
14 weeks for organic material to become part of the surface sediment (Cooper et al., 2002). In
15 general, significant correlations are observed between spatial patterns of the standing stock of
16 Chl *a* in the water column and the oxygen consumption of the underlying sediment
17 community in the Bering and Chukchi Sea shelves (Grebmeier et al., 2006; Grebmeier, 2012).
18 However, lateral transport of organic particles along northward currents of the BSAW in the
19 southern Chukchi Sea may be important for oxygen consumption by the sediment community.
20 The minimum levels of oxygen at the bottom during the autumn bloom in an annual cycle
21 would not be due to a local spring phytoplankton bloom but rather would result from POM
22 decomposition including allochthonous organic particles that accumulate in the Hope Valley
23 topographic depression.

24 The mooring data in this study further suggest that the onset of particle accumulation and
25 POM decomposition at the bottom of Hope Valley occurred from the end of July to the
26 beginning of August in 2012 and 2013, when turbidity increased and the DO concentration
27 decreased with time (Fig. 2b). However, Chl *a* concentrations decreased during this period
28 (Fig. 2c). The increase in Chl *a* toward the autumn bloom started in mid-September in 2012
29 and in mid-August in 2013. Therefore, particle accumulation and the decomposition of POM
30 (nutrient regeneration) may have been necessary before the onset of the autumn bloom. The
31 bloom continued for 1 month (mid-September to mid-October) in 2012 and for 2 months
32 (mid-August to mid-October) in 2013. The autumn bloom has been assumed to result from

1 autumn events, such as storms, surface cooling, and formation of sea ice (Ardyna et al., 2013,
2 2014). However, our data suggest that the autumn bloom is triggered by the accumulation of
3 particles and POM decomposition that begin in summer (end of July to beginning of August),
4 at least in the Hope Valley of the southern Chukchi Sea, and that the bloom is not an event-
5 like phenomenon, but has a time scale of months with fluctuations that may be related to the
6 autumn events.

7 **4.3 Dome-like structure in the southern Chukchi Sea**

8 We found a dome-like structure of dense and turbid bottom water in the biological hotspot of
9 the southern Chukchi Sea based on hydrographic surveys during autumn blooms (Figs. 5–8).
10 The dome-like structure would have been associated with the Hope Valley topographic
11 depression where dense water may converge and particles likely accumulate. The bottom
12 water characteristics there (at $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$) depended on the influences of the BSAW and BWW.
13 The BWW, which is generally influenced by brine rejection in winter, has negative and low
14 f_{SIM} values (Yamamoto-Kawai et al., 2005). The bottom water at $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$ in 2012, which was
15 classified into BSAW from T and S , was considered to be largely modified by mixing with the
16 BWW because the bottom water had negative f_{SIM} values comparable to those of the BWW
17 (Figs. 5c and 6c). However, in 2013, the BSAW occupied the bottom of Hope Valley without
18 any contribution by the BWW because the f_{SIM} there was nearly zero (Fig. 7c). The large
19 influence of the BWW in 2012 produced a prominent core of lower temperature and higher
20 salinity (density) there compared to the surrounding area (Figs. 5a and 6a). However, the lack
21 of a contribution by the BWW in 2013 resulted in a temperature and salinity similar to the
22 surroundings (Fig. 7a). In other years, using the average of 2004, 2008, and 2010, the bottom
23 water f_{SIM} was also nearly zero at 68°N (Fig. 8c), suggesting no contribution by the BWW.
24 That is, the BSAW had likely spread from the Bering Strait to the southern Chukchi Sea
25 around 68°N without mixing with the BWW. This scenario is consistent with the higher T and
26 lower S in the bottom water at 68°N on average compared to those in 2012 (Fig. 8a and 8b).

27 Whether the mechanism maintaining the dome-like structure at Hope Valley is persistent
28 through a whole year or season is worthy of further study in the future. In winter, dense water
29 would be produced by cooling and brine rejection, and such dense water might also converge
30 to the Hope Valley topographic depression. The mooring data captured dense ~~and-hypersaline~~
31 ~~water~~HSW ($S > 34.0$, $T \sim -1.8^\circ\text{C}$; Weingartner et al., 1998) in February 2013 (Fig. 2a), and it

1 probably formed a prominent dome-like structure. In contrast to the turbid and low DO water
2 in late summer/autumn, the water in winter/spring had low turbidity and high DO
3 concentrations, which did not change anomalously even during a period when hypersaline
4 waterthe HSW appeared in February 2013 (Fig. 2b).

5 Nutrient concentrations under the dome-like structure at Hope Valley in late summer/autumn
6 were also controlled by the influences of the BSAW and BWW. In general, nutrient
7 concentrations in the BSAW increase toward the south, in regions upstream of the flow (e.g.
8 Springer and McRoy, 1993; Grebmeier et al., 2015), and nitrate concentration is $>20 \mu\text{mol}$
9 kg^{-1} in the Gulf of Anadyr, where nutrient-rich Pacific waters are first advected up onto the
10 Bering Sea shelf (Walsh et al., 1989). Similarly, the nitrate concentration in the BWW during
11 winter was $\sim 20 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ because nutrients in the Bering and Chukchi shelves undergo little
12 biological uptake during winter (Hansell et al., 1993; Cooper et al., 1997). However, if the
13 BWW remains on the Chukchi shelf until the next summer/autumn, nutrients are supplied to
14 the upper layer via vertical mixing and are used for biological production and/or are diluted
15 by mixing with nutrient-poor water (Lowry et al., 2015; Nishino et al., 2015). As a result, this
16 remnant BWW on the Chukchi shelf may have low nutrient concentrations. Therefore, the
17 contribution to Hope Valley bottom water by the remnant BWW, such as in 2012, could
18 reduce nutrient concentrations there. In fact, the nitrate concentration there (68°N), where the
19 BSAW was largely influenced by the BWW in mid-September 2012 (Fig. 5e; $\sim 7 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$),
20 was lower than that of the bottom water identified as the BSAW without influence from the
21 BWW in late September/early October 2013 (Fig. 7e; $\sim 16 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) and in the other years
22 (Fig. 8e).

23 The revisit of the biological hotspot in the southern Chukchi Sea in 2012 indicated a nitrate
24 increase ($\sim 9 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) in the bottom water from mid-September (Fig. 5e; $\sim 7 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) to
25 early October (Fig. 6e; $\sim 16 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$). This nitrate increase also would be related to the
26 reduced BWW contribution. The bottom water f_{SIM} increased from ~ -0.04 to -0.02 (Figs. 5c
27 and 6c), suggesting a decrease in BWW contribution. This is consistent with the increase in T
28 and decrease in S in the bottom water (Figs. 5a and 6a). Furthermore, the light transmission
29 (Figs. 5b and 6b) and DO (Figs. 5d and 6d) of the water decreased from mid-September to
30 early October. In general, light transmission and DO are higher in the BWW than in the
31 BSAW because of the absence of particle inputs (less turbidity) and convection
32 accompanying the oxygen input during winter (Fig. 2b). Therefore, the decreases in light

1 transmission and DO are consistent with a decrease in the contribution of BWW to this
2 bottom water. Note that part of the nitrate increase might be caused by respiration. If we
3 assume that the DO decrease from mid-September ($130 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) to early October (110
4 $\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) was fully used for respiration, the production of nitrate is estimated to be $2.3 \mu\text{mol}$
5 kg^{-1} based on the Redfield ratio ($\text{N}:\text{O}_2 = 16:-138$; Redfield et al., 1963). However, this nitrate
6 increase by respiration could only explain a maximum of 25% of the observed nitrate increase
7 ($9 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$).

8 Another important process controlling nutrient concentrations was ammonium production.
9 Except for 2013, the water at the bottom of Hope Valley in the southern Chukchi Sea (68°N)
10 had minimum DO and maximum ammonium concentrations (Fig. 8d and 8f, respectively). In
11 addition, the water there had the lowest light transmission even in 2013 (Figs. 5b, 6b, and 7b);
12 i.e. a large amount of POM accumulated at the bottom of Hope Valley and its decomposition
13 decreased oxygen and increased ammonium concentrations as a result of nutrient regeneration.
14 The decomposing POM consumed oxygen and produced ammonium, generating a linear
15 relationship between DO and ammonium concentrations in the southern Chukchi Sea (Fig. 9).
16 The TIN at the bottom of Hope Valley in the autumn of 2012 was comparable to that in the
17 Bering Strait, which is located upstream of the nutrient-rich BSAW flow. This high TIN
18 concentration ($\sim 20 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) at the bottom of Hope Valley was attributed to the high
19 concentration of ammonium (Fig. 5f; $\sim 12 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), suggesting significant nutrient
20 regeneration at the bottom, which explained 60% of the nutrient content. The ammonium
21 there in autumn 2013 was only $\sim 3 \mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$ (Fig. 7f), but this low ammonium concentration
22 does not necessarily mean that nutrient regeneration at that time was much lower than in
23 autumn 2012. The weak stratification in autumn 2013 may have diluted the ammonium levels
24 via mixing with ammonium-free water in the upper layer. The nutrient regeneration would
25 occur significantly even in autumn 2013 because turbid water was still present, suggesting the
26 accumulation of POM.

27 POM was largely carried by the BSAW during the autumn of 2012 and 2013 and accumulated
28 in the Hope Valley topographic depression. The nutrient regeneration caused by decay of
29 POM at this site would help increase bottom water nutrient concentrations. Furthermore, the
30 dome-like structure lifts up the isopycnal surface, and nutrients would be supplied to the
31 surface (euphotic zone) easier than to the surroundings. For example, nitrogenous compounds
32 are usually depleted at the sea surface, but a relatively high level of ammonium ($1.7 \mu\text{mol}$

1 kg^{-1}) was found at the surface in autumn 2013, suggesting nutrient regeneration at the bottom
2 and vertical transport of the ammonium produced via vertical mixing (Fig. 7f). Indeed, the
3 nutrient supply from the BSAW is important for the phytoplankton bloom during late spring
4 and early summer, as discussed by Springer and McRoy (1993). However, the combination of
5 nutrient regeneration at the bottom and the uplifted isopycnal surface accompanied by the
6 dome-like structure played an important role in maintaining the high productivity of the
7 biological hotspot in the southern Chukchi Sea at least during late summer and autumn.

8 **4.4 Stratification and primary productivity**

9 Surface stratification in the southern Chukchi Sea was stronger in 2012 than in 2013 due to
10 the large f_{SIM} in the surface water (compare Figs. 5c, 6c, and 7c). Sea ice remained until
11 September 2012 around Wrangel Island between the Chukchi and East Siberian seas and may
12 have resulted in the large f_{SIM} in the Chukchi Sea. The stratification isolates the bottom water
13 from the surface, but turbulent mixing associated with winds, inertial motion, and internal
14 waves can affect heat, salt, and nutrient exchanges between the surface and bottom waters in
15 the Chukchi Sea (e.g., Rainville and Woodgate, 2009; Kawaguchi et al., 2015; Nishino et al.,
16 2015). Nishino et al. (2015) suggested that the wind-induced mixing during strong wind
17 events caused a large amount of nutrient supply from the bottom water in the Chukchi Sea,
18 resulting in an increase in primary productivity in autumn. Because stable stratification
19 inhibits vertical mixing and vice versa, the vertical mixing that occurred in 2013 under the
20 weak stratification condition could easily lift the bottom water to the surface. This is evident
21 from the observed low light transmission in the surface water at $\sim 68^\circ\text{N}$, which seemed to be
22 related to mixing from the bottom (Fig. 7b). Vertical mixing could also have increased the
23 DO of the bottom water there, as detected in the mooring data from the end of August 2013
24 (Fig. 2b) and in the ship-based data showing higher bottom water DO in 2013 than in 2012
25 (compare Figs. 5d, 6d, and 7d). Thus, the weak stratification in the southern Chukchi Sea
26 enhanced vertical mixing to supply nutrients to the surface water, as observed in the nitrate
27 and ammonium profiles (Fig. 7e and 7f), resulting in the higher algal biomass and primary
28 productivity in 2013 than in 2012 (compare Figs. 3 and 4).

29 Our estimations of primary productivity at Hope Valley were 0.3 and $1.6 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ in
30 September 2012 and 2013, respectively. The 2013 productivity was consistent with that
31 estimated from *in situ* measurements during the same season from 2002 to 2004 (1.4 g C m^{-2}

1 d^{-1} ; Lee et al., 2007) and in 2007 ($1.6 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$; Lee et al., 2013). Lee et al. (2007, 2013)
2 | and Yun et al. (~~2015~~2016) suggested decreases of primary productivity in the Chukchi Sea in
3 recent years compared to that reported in the 1980s. They hypothesised that the declining
4 trend in primary productivity was associated with changes in water masses, the transport of
5 nutrients with phytoplankton and sediments, primary productivity in the Bering Sea, and the
6 large seasonal, annual, and geographical variation in primary productivity in the Chukchi Sea.
7 However, there are some uncertainties in the estimation of the primary productivity from the
8 *in situ* measurements. Most of the field samplings suggesting this declining trend in primary
9 productivity were undertaken in summer/autumn, although the core timing of highest
10 productivity is late spring/early summer. Our results suggest an anomalous influence of sea
11 ice meltwater in September 2012 on the reduction of primary productivity in the Chukchi Sea.
12 Furthermore, data obtained in September 2009 indicated that high amounts of freshwater
13 accumulated in the Chukchi Sea from Siberian coastal currents and negatively affected
14 primary productivity (Yun et al., 2014). Such freshwater distributions, which control water
15 column stratification, and thus primary productivity, are likely changed by wind- and
16 buoyancy-forced currents on synoptic and seasonal time scales (Weingartner et al., 1999).
17 Hence, synoptic and seasonal events could largely impact the estimation of *in situ*
18 productivity. In addition, the decline in productivity is contrary to the results from satellite
19 observations (e.g. Arrigo et al., 2008; Pabi et al., 2008). Thus, the trend in primary
20 productivity currently appears equivocal, depending on temporal and spatial issues. Further
21 temporal studies are needed to accurately determine a trend in primary productivity in this
22 region considering the changing Arctic environments.

23

24 **5 Summary**

25 We analysed mooring and ship-based data obtained from a biological hotspot in the southern
26 Chukchi Sea to elucidate water mass characteristics and their temporal changes, and how they
27 influence local phytoplankton biomass and productivity. The mooring data indicated a
28 seasonal change in water masses, i.e. the BSAW in summer/autumn and BWW in
29 winter/spring. The ship-based hydrographic and biogeochemical data suggested that the
30 BSAW was largely modified by the BWW in autumn 2012 but not during a typical autumn
31 (2004, 2008, 2010, and 2013). As a result, a prominent core of bottom water, which was

1 characterised by lower temperature and higher salinity (density) than the surrounding water,
2 was detected in 2012.

3 The large influence of the BWW in 2012 would have reduced nutrient concentrations because
4 nutrients in the BWW that had remained in the Chukchi Sea until summer/autumn were
5 probably used for the spring and autumn blooms, and/or were diluted by mixing with nutrient-
6 poor water. In contrast, nutrient regeneration at the bottom increased nutrient concentrations
7 and explained 60% of the nutrient levels evident in mid-September 2012. This high nutrient
8 content, which was supplied by the BSAW and nutrient regeneration in the dome-like
9 structure of the Hope Valley bottom water, maintained high primary productivity during the
10 autumn bloom. However, primary productivity was largely controlled by water column
11 stratification characterised by the distribution of freshwater from sea ice meltwater and river
12 water.

13 Although the mooring in this study was deployed only at the biological hotspot site in the
14 southern Chukchi Sea, the data show a temporal change in phytoplankton biomass and related
15 parameters for the first time. We observed spring and autumn blooms associated with high
16 Chl *a* concentrations. At the onset of the spring bloom, both DO and turbidity increased
17 sharply, which is consistent with the oxygen production accompanying phytoplankton
18 photosynthetic activity and the resultant increase in phytoplankton particles. On the other
19 hand, before the autumn bloom, turbidity increased but DO decreased, suggesting
20 accumulation and decomposition of POM (nutrient regeneration) on the bottom. This may
21 have been a trigger for the autumn bloom at this site. The mooring data further suggest that
22 the autumn bloom had a time scale of months with fluctuations that might have been related
23 to autumn events, such as storms, surface cooling, and the formation of sea ice.

24

25 **Acknowledgements**

26 We thank the captain, officers, and crew of the R/V *Mirai*, which was operated by Global
27 Ocean Development, Inc. We also thank the staff of Marine Works Japan, Ltd., for their
28 skilful work aboard the ship and for data processing. This study was supported by the Green
29 Network of Excellence (GRENE) Program/Arctic Climate Change Research Project and
30 Arctic Challenge for Sustainability (ArCS) Project, which are funded by the Ministry of
31 Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (MEXT). This work was also

1 supported by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, KAKENHI (S) No. 15H05712.
2 Maps and figures were drawn using Ocean Data View software (Schlitzer, 2015). The data
3 used to prepare this study will be released from the JAMSTEC Data Site for Research Cruises
4 (<http://www.godac.jamstec.go.jp/cruisedata/mirai/e/index.html>).
5

1 **References**

- 2 Aoyama, M. and Hydes, D. J.: How do we improve the comparability of nutrient
3 measurements? in: *Comparability of Nutrients in the World's Ocean*, edited by: Aoyama, M.,
4 Dickson, A. G., Hydes, D. J., Murata, A., Oh, J. R., Roose, P., and Woodward E. M. S.,
5 Mother Tank, Tsukuba, Japan, 1–10, 2010.
- 6 Ardyna, M., Babin, M., Gosselin, M., Devred, E., Bélanger, S., Matsuoka, A., and Tremblay,
7 J.-É.: Parameterization of vertical chlorophyll *a* in the Arctic Ocean: Impact of the subsurface
8 chlorophyll maximum on regional, seasonal, and annual primary production estimates,
9 *Biogeosciences*, 10, 4383–4404, doi:10.5194/bg-10-4383-2013, 2013.
- 10 Ardyna, M., Babin, M., Gosselin, M., Devred, E., Rainville, L., and Tremblay, J.-É.: Recent
11 Arctic Ocean sea ice loss triggers novel fall phytoplankton blooms, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 41,
12 6207–6212, doi:10.1002/2014GL061047, 2014.
- 13 Arrigo, K. R., and van Dijken, G. L.: Continued increases in Arctic Ocean primary production,
14 *Prog. Oceanogra.*, 136, 60–70, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2015.05.002, 2015.
- 15 Arrigo, K. R., van Dijken, G. L., and Pabi, S.: Impact of a shrinking Arctic ice cover on
16 marine primary production, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L19603, doi:10.1029/2008GL035028,
17 2008.
- 18 Arrigo, K. R., Matrai, P. A., and van Dijken, G. L.: Primary productivity in the Arctic Ocean:
19 Impacts of complex optical properties and subsurface chlorophyll maxima on large-scale
20 estimates, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, C11022, doi:10.1029/2011JC007273, 2011.
- 21 Brown, Z. W., Lowry, K. E., Palmer, M. A., van Dijken, G. L., Mills, M. M., Pickart, R. S.,
22 and Arrigo, K. R.: Characterizing the subsurface chlorophyll *a* maximum in the Chukchi Sea
23 and Canada Basin, *Deep-Sea Res., II*, 118, 88–104, doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.02.010, 2015.
- 24 Coachman, L. K.: Advection and mixing on the Bering-Chukchi Shelves, Component A,
25 Advection and mixing of coastal water on high latitude shelves, ISHTAR 1986 Progress
26 Report, Vol. I, Inst. Mar. Sci., Univ. Alaska, Fairbanks, 1–42, 1987.
- 27 Coachman, L. K., Aagaard, K., and Tripp, R. B.: Bering Strait: The regional physical
28 oceanography. Univ. of Washington Press, Seattle, 172 pp., 1975.
- 29 Coachman, L. K. and Barnes, C. A.: The contribution of Bering Sea water to the Arctic Ocean,
30 *Arctic*, 14, 147–161, 1961.

- 1 Codispoti, L. A., Flagg, C., Kelly, V., and Swift, J. H.: Hydrographic conditions during the
2 2002 SBI process experiments, *Deep-Sea Res., II*, 52, 3199–3226,
3 doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2005.10.007, 2005.
- 4 Comiso, J. C., Parkinson, C. L., Gersten, R., and Stock, L.: Accelerated decline in the Arctic
5 sea ice cover, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L01703, doi:10.1029/2007GL031972, 2008.
- 6 Cooper, L. W., Grebmeier, J. M., Larsen, I. L., Egorov, V. G., Theodorakis, C., Kelly, H. P.,
7 and Lovvorn, J. R.: Seasonal variation in water column processes and sedimentation of
8 organic materials in the St. Lawrence Island polynya region, Bering Sea, *Mar. Ecol. Prog.
9 Ser.*, 226, 13–26, 2002.
- 10 Cooper, L. W., McClelland, J. M., Holmes, R. M., Raymond, P. A., Gibson, J. J., Guay, C. K.,
11 and Peterson, B. J.: Flow-weighted values of runoff tracers ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$, DOC, Ba, alkalinity) from
12 the six largest Arctic rivers, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L18606, doi:10.1029/2008GL035007,
13 2008.
- 14 Cooper, L. W., Whitley, T. E., Grebmeier, J. M., and Weingartner, T.: The nutrient, salinity,
15 and stable oxygen isotope composition of Bering and Chukchi Seas waters in and near the
16 Bering Strait, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 12,563–12,573, 1997.
- 17 Coupel, P., Ruiz-Pino, D., Sicre, M. A., Chen, J. F., Lee, S. H., Schiffrine, N., Li, H. L., and
18 Gascard, J. C.: The impact of freshening on phytoplankton production in the Pacific Arctic
19 Ocean, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 131, 113–125, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2014.12.003, 2015.
- 20 Dickson, A. G.: Determination of dissolved oxygen in sea water by Winkler titration, in:
21 WOCE Operations Manual, Volume 3, Section 3.1, Part 3.1.3 WHP Operations and Methods,
22 WHP Office Report WHPO 91-1, WOCE Report No. 68/91, Nov. 1994, Revision 1, Woods
23 Hole, Mass., 13pp., 1996.
- 24 Falk-Petersen, S., Pavlov, V., Berge, J., Cottier, F., Kovacs, K. M., and Lydersen, C.: At the
25 rainbow's end: high productivity fueled by upwelling along an Arctic shelf, *Polar Biol.*, 38, 5–
26 11, doi:10.1007/s00300-014-1482-1, 2015.
- 27 Feder, H., Jewett, S., and Blanchard, A.: Southeastern Chukchi Sea (Alaska) epibenthos,
28 *Polar Biol.*, 28, 402–421, 2005.
- 29 Frey, K. E., Comiso, J. C., Cooper, L. W., Gradinger, R. R., Grebmeier, J. M., Tremblay, J. -
30 É.: Arctic Ocean Primary Productivity, in: Arctic Report Card 2015, edited by: Jeffries, M. O.,

1 Richter-Menge, J., and Overland, J. E., NOAA, Washington, D.C., USA, 44–53, available at:
2 www.arctic.noaa.gov/reportcard (last access: 31 December 2015), 2015.

3 Grebmeier, J. M.: Shifting patterns of life in the Pacific Arctic and sub-Arctic seas, *Annu.*
4 *Rev. Mar. Sci.*, 4, 63–78, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-120710-100926, 2012.

5 Grebmeier, J. M., Bluhm, B. A., Cooper, L. W., Danielson, S. L., Arrigo, K. R., Blanchard, A.
6 L., Clarke, J. T., Day, R. H., Frey, K. E., Gradinger, R. R., Kedra, M., Konar, B., Kuletz, K. J.,
7 Lee, S. H., Lovvorn, J. R., Norcross, B. L., and Okkonen, S. R.: Ecosystem characteristics and
8 processes facilitating persistent macrobenthic biomass hotspots and associated benthivory in
9 the Pacific Arctic, *Prog. Oceanogr.*, 136, 92–114, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2015.05.006, 2015.

10 Grebmeier, J. M., Cooper, L. W., Feder, H. M., and Sirenko, B. I.: Ecosystem dynamics of the
11 Pacific-influenced Northern Bering and Chukchi Seas in the Amerasian Arctic, *Prog.*
12 *Oceanogr.*, 71, 331–361, 2006.

13 Grebmeier, J. M., McRoy, C. P., and Feder, H. M.: Pelagic-benthic coupling on the shelf of
14 the northern Bering and Chukchi Seas. I. Food supply source and benthic biomass, *Mar. Ecol.*
15 *Prog. Ser.*, 48, 57–67, 1988.

16 Grebmeier, J. M., Moore, S. E., Overland, J. E., Frey, K. E., and Gradinger, R.: Biological
17 response to recent Pacific Arctic sea ice retreats, *EOS*, 91, 161–162, 2010.

18 Hama, T., Miyazaki, T., Ogawa, Y., Iwakuma, T., Takahashi, M., Otsuki, A., and Ichimura,
19 S.: Measurement of photosynthetic production of a marine phytoplankton population using a
20 stable ^{13}C isotope, *Mar. Biol.*, 73, 31–36, 1983.

21 Hansell, D. A., Whitley, T. E., and Goering, J. J.: Patterns of nitrate utilization and new
22 production over the Bering-Chukchi shelf, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 13, 601–627, 1993.

23 Hunt Jr., G. L., Blanchard, A. L., Boveng, P., Dalpadado, P., Drinkwater, K. F., Eisner, L.,
24 Hopcroft, R. R., Kovacs, K. M., Norcross, B. L., Renaud, P., Reigstad, M., Renner, M.,
25 Skjoldal, H. R., Whitehouse, A., and Woodgate, R. A.: The Barents and Chukchi Seas:
26 Comparison of two Arctic shelf ecosystems, *J. Mar. Syst.*, 109–110, 43–68,
27 doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2012.08.003, 2013.

28 Hydes, D. J., Aoyama, M., Aminot, A., Bakker, K., Becker, S., Coverly, S., Daniel, A.,
29 Dickson, A. G., Grosso, O., Kerouel, R., van Ooijen, J., Sato, K., Tanhua, T., Woodward, E.
30 M. S. and Zhang, J. Z.: Determination of dissolved nutrients (N, P, Si) in seawater with high

1 precision and inter-comparability using gas-segmented continuous flow analysers, in: The
2 GO-SHIP Repeat Hydrography Manual: A Collection of Expert Reports and Guidelines,
3 IOCCP Report Number 14, ICPO Publication Series Number 134, edited by: Hood, E. M.,
4 Sabine, C. L. and Sloyan, B. M., UNESCO-IOC, Paris, France, available at: [www.go-
5 ship.org/HydroMan.html](http://www.go-ship.org/HydroMan.html) (last access: 25 September 2015), 2010.

6 Kawaguchi, Y., Nishino, S., and Inoue, J.: Fixed-point observation of mixed layer evolution
7 in the seasonally ice-free Chukchi Sea: Turbulent mixing due to gale winds and internal
8 gravity waves, *J. Phys. Oceanogr.*, 45, 836–853, doi:10.1175/JPO-D-14-0149.1, 2015.

9 Kawano, T.: Method for salinity (conductivity ratio) measurement, in: The GO-SHIP Repeat
10 Hydrography Manual: A Collection of Expert Reports and Guidelines, IOCCP Report
11 Number 14, ICPO Publication Series Number 134, edited by: Hood, E. M., Sabine, C. L., and
12 Sloyan, B. M., UNESCO-IOC, Paris, France, available at: www.go-ship.org/HydroMan.html
13 (last access: 25 September 2015), 2010.

14 Kikuchi, T.: R/V *Mirai* Cruise Report MR12-E03. JAMSTEC, Yokosuka, Japan, available at:
15 www.godac.jamstec.go.jp/cruisedata/mirai/e/index.html (last access: 25 September 2015),
16 2012.

17 ~~Kinney, P., Arhelger, M. E., and Burrell, D. C.: Chemical characteristics of water masses in
18 the American Basin of the Arctic Ocean, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 75, 4097–4104, 1970.~~

19 Kwok, R., Cunningham, G. F., Wensnahan, M., Zwally, H. J., and Yi, D.: Thinning and
20 volume loss of the Arctic Ocean sea ice cover: 2003–2008, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, C07005,
21 doi:10.1029/2009JC005312, 2009.

22 Lee, S. H., Whitledge, T. E., and Kang, S. H.: Recent carbon and nitrogen uptake rates of
23 phytoplankton in Bering Strait and the Chukchi Sea, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 27, 2231–2249,
24 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2007.05.009, 2007.

25 Lee, S. H., Yun, M. S., Kim, B. K., Saitoh, S. I., Kang, C. K., Kang, S. H., and Whitledge, T.
26 E.: Latitudinal carbon productivity in the Bering and Chukchi seas during the summer in 2007,
27 *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 59, 28–36, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2013.04.004, 2013.

28 Lowry, K. E., Pickart, R. S., Mills, M. M., Brown, Z. W., vanDijken, G. L., Bates, N. R., and
29 Arrigo, K. R.: The influence of winter water on phytoplankton blooms in the Chukchi Sea,
30 *Deep-Sea Res.*, II, [62118, 53–72](#), doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2015.06.006, 2015.

1 Martin, J., Tremblay, J. -É., Gagnon, J., Tremblay, G., Lapoussiere, A., Jose, C., Poulin, M.,
2 Gosselin, M., Gratton, Y., and Michel, C.: Prevalence, structure and properties of subsurface
3 chlorophyll maxima in Canadian Arctic waters, *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.*, 412, 69–84,
4 doi:10.3354/meps08666, 2010.

5 Mathis, J. T., Grebmeier, J. M., Hansell, D. A., Hopcroft, R. R., Kirchman, D. L., Lee, S. H.,
6 Moran, S. B., Bates, N. R., VanLaningham, S., Cross, J. N., and Cai, W.-J.: Carbon
7 Biogeochemistry of the Western Arctic: Primary Production, Carbon Export and the Controls
8 on Ocean Acidification, in: *The Pacific Arctic region, Ecosystem Status and Trends in a*
9 *Rapidly Changing Environment*, edited by: Grebmeier, J. M. and Maslowski, W., Springer,
10 Dordrecht, Netherlands, 223–268, doi:10.1007/978-94-017-8863-2, 2014.

11 Matsuno, K., Yamaguchi, A., Nishino, S., Inoue, J., and Kikuchi, T.: Short-term changes in
12 the mesozooplankton community and copepod gut pigment in the Chukchi Sea in autumn:
13 reflections of a strong wind event, *Biogeosciences*, 12, 4005–4015, doi:10.5194/bg-12-4005-
14 2015, 2015.

15 McLaughlin, F. A., and Carmack, E. C.: Deepening of the nutricline and chlorophyll
16 maximum in the Canada Basin interior, 2003-2009, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L24602,
17 doi:10.1029/2010GL045459, 2010.

18 McManus, D. A., Kelley, J. C., and Creager, J. S.: Continental shelf sedimentation in an
19 Arctic environment, *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.*, 80, 1961–1984, 1969.

20 McRoy, C. P.: ISHTAR, the project: an overview of inner shelf transfer and recycling in the
21 Bering and Chukchi seas, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 13, 473–479, 1993.

22 Moran, S. B., Kelly, R. P., Hagstrom, K., Smith, J. N., Grebmeier, J. M., Cooper, L. W., Cota,
23 G. F., Walsh, J. J., Bates, N. R., Hansell, D. A., Maslowski, W., Nelson, R. P., and Mulsow,
24 S.: Seasonal changes in POC export flux in the Chukchi Sea and implications for water
25 column-benthic coupling in Arctic shelves, *Deep-Sea Res., II*, 52, 3324–3343, 2005.

26 Nishino, S.: R/V *Mirai* Cruise Report MR13-06. JAMSTEC, Yokosuka, Japan, available at:
27 www.godac.jamstec.go.jp/darwin/datatree/e (last access: 25 September 2015), 2013.

28 Nishino, S., Kikuchi, T., Yamamoto-Kawai, M., Kawaguchi, Y., Hirawake, T., and Itoh, M.:
29 Enhancement/reduction of biological pump depends on ocean circulation in the sea-ice
30 reduction regions of the Arctic Ocean, *J. Oceanogr.*, 67, 305–314, doi:10.1007/s10872-011-
31 0030-7, 2011.

1 Nishino, S., Itoh, M., Williams, W. J., and Semiletov, I.: Shoaling of the nutricline with an
2 increase in near-freezing temperature water in the Makarov Basin, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*,
3 118, 635–649, doi:10.1029/2012JC008234, 2013.

4 Nishino, S., Kawaguchi, Y., Inoue, J., Hirawake, T., Fujiwara, A., Futsuki, R., Onodera, J.,
5 and Aoyama, M.: Nutrient supply and biological response to wind-induced mixing, inertial
6 motion, internal waves, and currents in the northern Chukchi Sea, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*,
7 120, 1975–1992, doi:10.1002/2014JC010407, 2015.

8 Pabi, S., van Dijken, G. L., and Arrigo, K. R.: Primary production in the Arctic Ocean, 1998–
9 2006, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, C08005, doi:10.1029/2007JC004578, 2008.

10 Rainville, L., and Woodgate, R. A.: Observations of internal wave generation in the
11 seasonally ice-free Arctic, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L23604, doi:10.1029/2009GL041291,
12 2009.

13 Redfield, A. C., Ketchum, B. H., and Richards, F. A.: The influence of organisms on the
14 composition of seawater, in: *The Sea Vol. 2*, edited by: Hill, M. N., Wiley, New York, USA,
15 26–77, 1963.

16 Sato, K., Aoyama, M., and Becker, S.: Reference materials for nutrients in seawater as
17 calibration standard solution to keep comparability for several cruises in the world ocean in
18 2000s, in: *Comparability of Nutrients in the World’s Ocean*, edited by: Aoyama, M., Dickson,
19 A. G., Hydes, D. J., Murata, A., Oh, J. R., Roose, P., and Woodward E. M. S., Mother Tank,
20 Tsukuba, Japan, 43–56, 2010.

21 Schlitzer, R.: Ocean Data View. Alfred Wegener Institute, Bremerhaven, Germany, available
22 at: <http://odv.awi.de> (last access: 25 September 2015), 2015.

23 Springer, A. M. and McRoy, C. P.: The paradox of pelagic food webs in the northern Bering
24 Sea-III. Patterns of primary productivity, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 13, 575–599, 1993.

25 Stroeve, J., Holland, M. M., Meier, W., Scambos, T., and Serreze, M.: Arctic sea ice decline:
26 Faster than forecast, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L09501, doi:10.1029/2007GL029703, 2007.

27 [Walsh, J. J., McRoy, C. P., Coachman, L. K., Goering, J. J., Nihoul, J. J., Whitley, T. E.,](#)
28 [Blackburn, T. H., Parker, P. L., Wirick, C. D., Shuert, P. G., Grebmeier, J. M., Springer, A.](#)
29 [M., Tripp, R. D., Hansell, D. A., Djenidi, S., Deleersnijder, E., Henriksen, K., Lund, B. A.,](#)
30 [Anderson, P., Müller-Karger, F. E., and Dean, K.: Carbon and nitrogen cycling within the](#)

- 1 | [Bering/Chukchi Seas: Source regions for organic matter effecting AOU demands of the Arctic](#)
2 | [Ocean, Prog. Oceanogr., 22, 277-359, 1989.](#)
- 3 | Weingartner, T. J., Cavalieri, D. J., Aagaard, K., and Sasaki, Y.: Circulation, dense water
4 | formation, and outflow on the northeast Chukchi shelf, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 7647–7661,
5 | doi:10.1029/98JC00374, 1998.
- 6 | Weingartner, T. J., Danielson, S., Sasaki, Y., Pavlov, V., and Kulakov, M.: The Siberian
7 | Coastal Current: A wind- and buoyancy-forced Arctic coastal current, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104,
8 | 29,697–29,713, doi:10.1029/1999JC900161, 1999.
- 9 | Welschmeyer, N. A.: Fluorometric analysis of chlorophyll *a* in the presence of chlorophyll *b*
10 | and pheopigments, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 39, 1985–1992, 1994.
- 11 | Yamada, Y., Fukuda, H., Uchimiya, M., Motegi, C., Nishino, S., Kikuchi, T., and Nagata, T.:
12 | Localized accumulation and a shelf-basin gradient of particles in the Chukchi Sea and Canada
13 | Basin, western Arctic, *J. Geophys. Res. Oceans*, 120, 4638–4653, doi:10.1002/2015JC010794,
14 | 2015.
- 15 | [Yamamoto-Kawai, M., Tanaka, N., and Pivovarov, S.: Freshwater and brine behaviors in the](#)
16 | [Arctic Ocean deduced from historical data of \$\delta^{18}\text{O}\$ and alkalinity \(1929–2002 A.D.\), *J.*](#)
17 | [Geophys. Res., 110, C10003, doi:10.1029/2004JC002793, 2005.](#)
- 18 | Yamamoto-Kawai, M., McLaughlin, F. A., Carmack, E. C., Nishino, S., Shimada, K., and
19 | Kurita, N.: Surface freshening of the Canada Basin, 2003–2007: River runoff versus sea ice
20 | meltwater, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, C00A05, doi:10.1029/2008JC005000, 2009.
- 21 | Yao, W. and Byrne, R. H.: Simplified seawater alkalinity analysis: Use of linear array
22 | spectrometers, *Deep-Sea Res. I*, 45, 1383–1392, 1998.
- 23 | Yokoi, N., Matsuno, K., Ichinomiya, M., Yamaguchi, A., Nishino, S., Onodera, J., Inoue, J.,
24 | and Kikuchi, T.: Short-term changes in a microplankton community in the Chukchi Sea
25 | during autumn: consequences of a strong wind event, ~~*Biogeosciences*~~~~*Biogeosciences Discuss.*~~,
26 | ~~1213, 8789913–8817923~~, doi:10.5194/bg~~d-1213-8789913-2015~~~~2016~~, 2015~~2016~~.
- 27 | Yun, M. S., Whitley, T. E., Kong, M., and Lee, S. H.: Low primary production in the
28 | Chukchi Sea shelf, 2009, *Cont. Shelf Res.*, 76, 1–11, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2014.01.001, 2014.
- 29 | Yun, M. S., Whitley, T. E., Stockwell, D., ~~Son, S. H.~~, Lee, J. H., Park, J. W., Lee, D. B.,
30 | Park, J., and Lee, S. H.: [Primary production in the Chukchi Sea with potential effects of](#)

1 | ~~freshwater content~~The potential effects of fresh water content on the primary production in
2 | ~~the Chukchi Sea, Biogeosciences Discuss~~Biogeosciences, 1213, 13511737–13544749,
3 | doi:10.5194/bgd-1213-13511737-20152016, 20152016.
4 |

1 Table 1. Mooring configurations.

Mooring	Latitude	Longitude	Bottom Depth	Sensor Depth	Parameters	Period
SCH-12	67° 42.18' N	168° 50.01' W	52 m	45 m	<i>T, S, DO, Chl a, turbidity</i>	16 July 2012–2 October 2012
SCH-12-2	68° 02.00' N	168° 50.03' W	59 m	52 m	<i>T, S, DO, Chl a, turbidity</i>	3 October 2012–20 July 2013
SCH-13	68° 02.00' N	168° 50.03' W	60 m	53 m	<i>T, S, DO, Chl a, turbidity</i>	20 July 2013–19 July 2014

2 Notes: *T, S, DO, and Chl a* denote temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, and chlorophyll *a*,
 3 respectively.

4

1 Table 2. R/V *Mirai* survey periods.

Year	Period
2004	3 September–9 October
2008	28 August–6 October
2010	4 September–13 October
2012	13 September–4 October
2013	31 August–4 October

2

1 Table 3. End-member values used in this study.

	Salinity	Potential Alkalinity ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$)
SIM (sea ice meltwater)	4	263
MW (meteoric water = river runoff + precipitation)	0	1620
SE (saline end-member)	32.5	2223

2

1 **Figure legends**

2 **Figure 1.** Map showing the bathymetric features of the study area and the hydrographic
3 stations for the R/V *Mirai* cruises in 2012 (red dots) and 2013 (blue dots). Green diamonds
4 represent the SCH-12 (southern site) and SCH-12-2/SCH-13 (northern site) mooring sites
5 listed in Table 1. Data from the stations enclosed by black dotted lines were used for the
6 illustrations of vertical sections shown in Figs. 5–7. The area enclosed by the red dotted circle
7 is the southern Chukchi Sea biological hotspot, where the moorings were installed and
8 detailed hydrographic surveys were conducted.

9 **Figure 2.** Time series of (a) temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$; red) and salinity (blue), (b) dissolved oxygen,
10 DO, ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$; blue) and turbidity (in formazin turbidity units, FTUs; red), and (c)
11 chlorophyll *a*, Chl *a*, (mg m^{-3} ; green). The data were obtained from the SCH-12, SCH-12-2,
12 and SCH-13 moorings during 16 July 2012–19 July 2014. The vertical axis scale in (c) below
13 the dotted line is exaggerated where the concentration is $<3 \text{ mg m}^{-3}$. Periods when sea ice
14 concentration was $>50\%$ at the mooring site are indicated by blue bars.

15 **Figure 3.** (a) Chlorophyll *a* integrated over the water column (mg m^{-2}) and (b) daily primary
16 productivity in the water column ($\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) obtained from the 2012 R/V *Mirai* cruise.

17 **Figure 4.** (a) Chlorophyll *a* integrated over the water column (mg m^{-2}) and (b) daily primary
18 productivity in the water column ($\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$) obtained from the 2013 R/V *Mirai* cruise.

19 **Figure 5.** Vertical sections of (a) temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), (b) light transmission (%), (c) fraction of
20 sea ice meltwater, (d) dissolved oxygen ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), (e) nitrate ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), and (f)
21 ammonium ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) along the $168^{\circ} 45' \text{W}$ meridian near the U.S.–Russia border obtained
22 during the 13–17 September 2012 R/V *Mirai* cruise. The water sampling level at each station
23 is indicated by a black dot. Salinity contours are superimposed on each section with a 0.5
24 contour interval. The thick contour in each section indicates a salinity of 33.

25 **Figure 6.** Vertical sections of (a) temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), (b) light transmission (%), (c) fraction of
26 sea ice meltwater, (d) dissolved oxygen ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), (e) nitrate ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), and (f)
27 ammonium ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) along the $168^{\circ} 45' \text{W}$ meridian near the U.S.–Russia border obtained
28 during the 3–4 October 2012 R/V *Mirai* cruise. The water sampling level at each station is
29 indicated by a black dot. Salinity contours are superimposed on each section with a 0.5
30 contour interval. The thick contour in each section indicates a salinity of 33.

1 **Figure 7.** Vertical sections of (a) temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), (b) light transmission (%), (c) fraction of
2 sea ice meltwater, (d) dissolved oxygen ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), (e) nitrate ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), and (f)
3 ammonium ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) along the $168^{\circ} 45'\text{W}$ meridian near the U.S.–Russia border obtained
4 during the 27 September–4 October 2013 R/V *Mirai* cruise. The water sampling level at each
5 station is indicated by a black dot. Salinity contours are superimposed on each section with a
6 0.5 contour interval.

7 **Figure 8.** Plots of (a) temperature ($^{\circ}\text{C}$), (b) salinity, (c) fraction of sea ice meltwater, (d)
8 dissolved oxygen ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), (e) nitrate ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$), and (f) ammonium ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) at a
9 depth of 40 m along the $168^{\circ} 45'\text{W}$ meridian near the U.S.–Russia border with a 0.5°
10 latitudinal interval. Line plots depict the mean values of late summer to autumn 2004, 2008,
11 and 2010 R/V *Mirai* data with standard error bars. Squares and triangles show the data
12 obtained from the 13–17 September 2012 and 27 September–4 October 2013 R/V *Mirai*
13 cruises, respectively.

14 **Figure 9.** Diagram of dissolved oxygen ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) and ammonium ($\mu\text{mol kg}^{-1}$) in the
15 southern Chukchi Sea ($65\text{--}72^{\circ}\text{N}$, $168^{\circ} 45'\text{W}$). Colour indicates latitude. Data were obtained
16 from the late summer to autumn 2004, 2008, 2010, 2012, and 2013 R/V *Mirai* cruises.

17