Reviewer’s Comments:
Overall, this is a very nice paper, which should be published with only minor revisions. The region sampled here is VERY poorly sampled, and yet is very important because of the smelters. We have long suspected higher values of these metals in this area, and yet didn’t have good measurements. Thus this is an important study, and perhaps the authors could emphasize this a bit more (I’m not sure I have ever said that in a review previously!).

Response:
We thank the reviewer for their kind comments about the importance of our manuscript. While we agree that this region is very poorly sampled for aerosol trace metals, we are conscious that our study is still somewhat limited in terms of the number of samples collected and their temporal and spatial coverage. However we propose to add the following text to the Abstract in order to address the reviewer’s comment:
“...and we calculated dry deposition fluxes of soluble Cu approximately an order of magnitude higher than a recent model-based estimate of total Cu deposition to the region. The model did not take account of emissions from the large smelting facilities in the south of Peru and northern Chile and our results may indicate that these facilities constitute an important source of trace metals to the region.”

Reviewer’s Comments:
There was one section I didn’t quite understand: “This shows that NO concentrations were approximately 2-fold higher in the on-shore samples (TM02, TM04 and TM06) north of _13_ S than in the o-shore samples at those latitudes or in any of the samples south of 13_ S. “ Do you mean samples with onshore back trajectories, versus offshort back trajectories? I didn’t find this easy to understand, so maybe rewrite.

Response:
We agree that the text highlighted by the reviewer was potentially ambiguous and have added text to the start of Section 3 (Results and Discussion) to state exactly what we mean by ‘off-shore’ and ‘near-shore’ (rather than ‘on-shore’) samples:
“The M91 cruise track (Fig. 1) consisted of a series of transects perpendicular to the coast. This resulted in samples TM01, TM03 and TM05 being collected far from shore and samples TM02, TM04 and TM06 being collected close to the coast. We refer to these different sample types as “off-shore” and “near-shore” respectively.”

Thereafter we use the terms off-shore and near-shore as appropriate.

Reviewer’s Comments:
This manuscript reports data from aerosol samples collected offshore of Peru during 2012. Both bulk and soluble aerosol fractions are reported for Fe, Al, Mn, Ti, Zn, V, Ni, Cu, Co, Cd, Pb and Th as well as N concentrations in the forms of nitrate and ammonium. The dataset is particularly valuable because of the location which is largely undersampled and where aerosol dry deposition flux is poorly understood.
The manuscript is well prepared, well written and well conceived. The research group has vast experience in these sorts of studies and it shows. The methods are well established and adequate precautionary measures were employed to reduce contamination risks.

**Response:**
We thank the reviewer for these comments.

**Reviewer's Comments:**
I would have liked to have seen a parallel comparison of the ammonium acetate leach with another solution (UHP or seawater).

**Response:**
We assume that this comment relates to the uncertainty surrounding the results obtained using different leaching procedures to study soluble aerosol trace metals and we agree with the reviewer that this is an important issue. However, we are not able to perform comparative leaching studies on the samples reported in this manuscript. In the circumstances we do not feel that we can do more than draw the readers’ attention to the work of Morton et al. (2013), where such a direct comparison has been made.

**Reviewer's Comments:**
I would also like to see a comparison of their dry deposition flux estimates with other observations (IODP in the region?), not just models, if available.

**Response:**
We have located only one non-model-based estimate of dust flux to this region, which comes from IODP site 1237 (∼16°S 76°W). Fe accumulation rate at the site has been estimated to be ∼ 400 mg m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (Saukel, 2011), equivalent to ∼1.1 mg m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). Our estimates of soluble Fe dry deposition during M91 represent approximately 0.1-0.5% of the total Fe accumulation rate at site 1237. Two factors complicate a direct comparison of these two estimates however. We have no information concerning the fractional solubility of aerosol Fe in this region, although analysis of Saharan dust relatively close to source regions over the Atlantic suggests that fractional solubility can be as low as 0.1-4% (Baker et al., 2006; Sholkovitz et al., 2012). Also, the deposition estimates from the IODP core integrates atmospheric inputs over much longer timescales than those of our samples. Given these caveats, the comparison between the two deposition estimates does not seem unreasonable. We will add this comparison, and the caveats associated with it, to the manuscript.

**References:**
Saukel, C.: Tropical Southeast Pacific continent-ocean-atmosphere linkages since the Pliocene inferred from Eolian dust, Ph. D., Department of Earth Sciences, University of Bremen, 174 pp., 2011.
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Abstract

Bulk aerosol samples collected during cruise M91 of FS Meteor off the coast of Peru in December 2012 were analysed for their soluble trace metal (Fe, Al, Mn, Ti, Zn, V, Ni, Cu, Co, Cd, Pb, Th) and major ion (including NO$_3^-$ and NH$_4^+$) content. These data are among the first recorded for trace metals in this relatively poorly studied region of the global marine atmosphere. To the north of ~13°S, the concentrations of several elements (Fe, Ti, Zn, V, Ni, Pb) appear to be related to distance from the coast. At the south of the transect (~15-16°S), elevated concentrations of Fe, Cu, Co and Ni were observed, and we calculated dry deposition fluxes of soluble Cu approximately an order of magnitude higher than a recent model-based estimate of total Cu deposition to the region. The model did not take account of emissions from the large smelting facilities in the south of Peru and northern Chile and our results may indicate that these facilities constitute an important source of trace metals to the region. Calculated dry deposition fluxes (3370-17800 and 16-107 nmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$ for inorganic nitrogen and soluble Fe respectively) indicated that atmospheric input to the waters of the Peru upwelling system contains an excess of Fe over N, with respect to phytoplankton requirements. This may be significant as primary production in these waters has been reported to be limited by Fe availability, but atmospheric deposition is unlikely to be the dominant source of Fe to the system.
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1. Introduction

Aerosol chemical composition plays a key role in a number of processes that are important for climate regulation, including the formation of cloud condensation nuclei (Raes et al., 2000) and the supply of nutrients such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and iron (Fe) and other trace metals to the ocean (Okin et al., 2011).

Few studies of the atmosphere over the south-eastern Pacific Ocean have been conducted and, compared to other ocean regions (e.g. the north Atlantic, northwest Pacific and northern Indian Oceans), rather little is known about its aerosol chemical composition. The coastal region of Peru and northern Chile contains some strong sources of aerosols including: the mega-city of Lima with its associated urban / industrial combustion emissions (nitrogen and sulphur oxides, trace metals); industrial and informal mining (mineral dust), several large metal smelting facilities (trace metals, sulphur dioxide); arid areas (mineral dust) and active volcanoes (sulphur dioxide, mineral dust). The region is heavily influenced by the high Andes mountain chain, which forces surface winds into a strong south-easterly flow roughly parallel to the coast (Wood et al., 2011). This wind system in turn drives coastal upwelling offshore of Peru and Chile, supplying nutrients which support intense primary productivity in surface waters. Previous ship- and satellite-based observations have identified a strong gradient in aerosol concentrations in the region, with very low concentrations offshore and higher concentrations near the coast (Hawkins et al., 2010), and the surface and vertical distributions of manganese (Mn) in the waters of the Peruvian shelf have been interpreted to suggest that Mn has a significant atmospheric source here (Vedamati et al., 2015). There is however, very little data available on the chemical composition of aerosol material in this region (particularly for trace metals) or the impact that deposition of this material has on surface water nutrient dynamics.

Here we report concentrations of aerosol soluble trace metals and major ions in samples collected off the coast of Peru during December 2012. We examine the possible sources of this material and its potential impact on the waters into which it is deposited.

2. Methods

Aerosol samples were collected between 4th and 24th December 2012 during cruise M91 of the FS Meteor off the coast of Peru (Fig. 1). A volumetric-flow controlled total suspended particulate collector was used, operating at a flow rate 1.1 m$^3$ min$^{-1}$. Operation of the collector was controlled by an automated wind sector controller, which interrupted pumping if the relative wind speed was below 2 m s$^{-1}$ or the relative wind direction was within an arc 45 degrees either side of the ship’s
stern. Each sample was deployed in the collector for a period of ~48 hours, giving maximum air volumes filtered of ~3200 m³. Sample collection details are given in Table 1. Samples were collected on 20.3 x 25.4 cm Whatman 41 filters that had previously been washed using dilute (0.5M and 0.1M) HCl solutions and ultrapure water to reduce trace metal contamination (Rickli et al., 2010). Contributions from blanks were assessed by analysis of filters that had been housed in the sampling cassette for 24 hours, but not deployed in the collector, and of filters that had been deployed in the collector for 48 hours without air pumping.

After collection, aerosol filters were sealed in zip-lock plastic bags and returned frozen to the University of East Anglia (UEA). All handling of filters at UEA was done within a laminar flow hood in a trace metal clean laboratory. Filters were quartered using ceramic-bladed scissors and one quarter each was used for analysis of major ions (MI: Na⁺, NH₄⁺, Mg²⁺, K⁺, Ca²⁺, Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, SO₄²⁻, C₂O₄²⁻, Br⁻) and soluble trace metals (TM: Fe, Al, Mn, Ti, Zn, V, Cu, Ni, Co, Cd, Pb, Th). Major ions were extracted into 20 mL of ultrapure water using 60 minutes of ultrasonication, filtered (0.2 µm Sartorius) and analysed by ion chromatography (Baker et al., 2007). Soluble TMs were extracted using a batch method into 20 mL pH 4.7 ammonium acetate buffer prepared from TraceSELECT Ultra ammonium hydroxide and acetic acid solutions (Sigma-Aldrich). Extractions were terminated by filtration (0.2 µm Sartorius) and TM concentrations were determined by ICP-OES (Fe, Al, Mn, Ti, Zn, V) and ICP-MS (Mn, V, Cu, Ni, Co, Cd, Pb, Th). Instruments were calibrated using matrix-matched standard solutions prepared from single element SPEX CertiPrep 1000 mg / L standard solutions. The Certified Reference Materials TMRAIN-04, TM-27.3 and TMDA-64.2 (Environment Canada) were analysed together with TM samples. Recoveries for these CRMs were within the following limits of their certified values: TMRAIN-04 ±10% (Mn, Cu), ±15% (Ni, Co, Fe), ±20% (Ti, Zn); TM-27.3 ±5% (Cu, Ni, Co, Pb, Ti), ±10% (Mn, V), ±20% (Cd, Fe, Al, Zn); TMDA-64.2 ±5% (Mn, Cu, Co, Ti), ±10% (V, Ni, Pb, Fe, Zn), ±20% (Cd, Al).

Quantities of analytes on each filter (Qₙ) were calculated from measured concentrations in extracts (Cₙₑ), extraction volumes (Vₑ) and the fraction of filter extracted (f) (see Eq. (1)), corrected for blank contributions (Qₙᵇ) and converted into atmospheric concentrations (Cₙᵃᵗ) using the air volume for each sample (Vₐⁱʳ), Eq (2).

\[
Qₙ = Cₙₑ Vₑ / f
\]  
(1)

\[
Cₙᵃᵗ = (Qₙ - Qₙᵇ) / Vₐⁱʳ
\]  
(2)

For K⁺, Ca²⁺ and SO₄²⁻ we calculated the contribution to measured concentrations arising from sea spray using the measured aerosol Na⁺ concentrations and the ratio of each ion to Na⁺ in seawater
(C^{sw}). We then subtracted this sea spray contribution from the measured concentration to obtain the non-sea spray (nss) concentration for each ion, Eq. (3).

\[
nss \cdot C^x = C^x - (C_{Na} \cdot C^x / C_{Na}^{sw})
\]  

(3)

Dry deposition fluxes (F_d) were estimated from atmospheric concentrations using dry deposition velocities (v_d), Eq. (4). Dry deposition velocities are highly variable with particle size and wind speed and rather poorly known. Duce et al. (1991) estimated the uncertainty in dry deposition velocities to be approximately a factor of 2-3.

\[
F^d = C^x \cdot v_d
\]  

(4)

Given the lack of aerosol size distribution data available for this region of the global ocean, we used fixed values of v_d for species predominantly associated with seaspray or mineral dust aerosol (0.6 cm s^{-1}: NO_3^{--}, Fe, Al, Mn, Ti, Co, Th) or for species predominantly associated with fine mode aerosols (0.1 cm s^{-1}: NH_4^{+}, Zn, V, Cu, Ni, Cd, Pb).

Where we investigated correlations between parameters we reported these as significant using Spearman’s Rank Correlation at the 99% confidence level. We obtained 5-day air mass back trajectories at heights of 10, 500 and 1000 m above the ship’s position and ground-level 2-day forward trajectories from the sites of the Chimbote and Ilo smelters from the NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, HYSPLIT model using the GDAS dataset.

3. Results and Discussion

The M91 cruise track (Fig. 1) consisted of a series of transects perpendicular to the coast. This resulted in samples TM01, TM03 and TM05 being collected far from shore and samples TM02, TM04 and TM06 being collected close to the coast. We refer to these different sample types as “off-shore” and “near-shore” respectively. Air mass back trajectories for all the M91 aerosol samples indicated that surface flow was approximately south-south-easterly, consistent with both the average wind directions recorded aboard ship for each sample (144° – 168°) and the climatological average flow for the region (Wood et al., 2011). Example trajectories for the mid-point of samples TM02 (near-shore), TM03 (off-shore) and TM09 are shown in Fig. 2. A notable feature of these trajectories (which were run at intervals of 24 hours, i.e. twice per sample period) was that very few (for any start height) appeared to pass over South America.

Concentrations of soluble TMs and MIs determined for the aerosol samples collected during M91 are shown in Tables 2 and 3 respectively. We are aware of few previous studies of aerosol chemical composition in this region of the South Pacific. Hawkins et al. (2010) reported SO_4^{2-} concentrations in
< 1 µm diameter aerosol particles of 15±10 nmol m\(^{-3}\) in continentially-influenced samples between ~4°S and ~20°S (although further offshore than M91) during the VOCALS-REx field campaign in October – November 2008. Allen et al. (2011) reported NH\(_4^+\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) concentrations, also in < 1 µm diameter particles and during VOCALS-REx, of 16-39 nmol m\(^{-3}\) and 2-9.4 nmol m\(^{-3}\) respectively in samples collected between ~85°W and ~75°W at 20°S. Although we report concentrations for bulk aerosol, rather than the sub-micron size fraction determined in these studies, their results are similar to those for M91 (Table 3), presumably because substantial fractions of both NH\(_4^+\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\) are present in < 1 µm diameter particles. Water soluble concentrations of Fe, Al, Mn, Zn and Cu were determined in < 1 µm diameter aerosol particles near potential sources of mineral dust and anthropogenic emissions at Paposo, Northern Chile (25.007°S 70.450°W) in October – November 2008 (Chand et al., 2010). Mean concentrations were reported to be 410, 410, 25, 75 and 88 pmol m\(^{-3}\) respectively for Fe, Al, Mn, Zn and Cu. Note that the data of Chand et al. (2010) are not directly comparable with our results from M91 because they were acquired using a different extraction protocol, and a different sampling method. The trace metal fractions extracted in ammonium acetate and water may well be different (Morton et al., 2013) and the exclusion of larger aerosol particles in the Paposo samples would be expected to remove significant fractions of those elements strongly associated with mineral dust (Fe, Al and Mn). Concentrations of soluble TMs measured during M91 were generally higher (by factors of 4 to 16 for V, Ni, Co, Cd and Pb) than reported over the remote southeast Atlantic using nearly identical sampling and analysis methods to M91 (Chance et al., 2015). In the case of Cu, M91 concentrations were more than 50-fold higher than the concentrations measured by Chance et al. (2015).

In Fig. 3 we show aerosol nitrate concentrations as a function of sample number for the M91 cruise. This shows that NO\(_3^-\) concentrations were approximately 2-fold higher in the near-shore samples (TM02, TM04 and TM06) north of ~13°S than in the off-shore samples at those latitudes or in any of the samples south of 13°S. Similar patterns were exhibited by many other analytes (see Tables 2 and 3) and this is in good qualitative agreement with the distribution of aerosol optical depth (AOD) in this region reported by Hawkins et al. (2010). These patterns gave rise to a number of significant correlations between analytes (e.g. between NH\(_4^+\) and NO\(_3^-\) ) that are most likely caused by the co-location of emission sources, rather than by similar production / emission mechanisms for the analytes in question.

We observed significant correlations for several elements associated with mineral dust (Fe, Al, Mn, Ti, Co, Th: Fig. 4, Table 4). However for Co (Fig. 4a) and Fe, soluble concentrations appear to be enriched in sample TM09 relative to other dust-associated elements. Aerosol soluble V and Ni
concentrations have previously been shown to be very highly correlated due to their emission during combustion of heavy fuel oils (Becagli et al., 2012). We find a similar close relationship between s-V and s-Ni, again with the exception of sample TM09, which appears to be enriched in Ni (Fig. 5a). Although s-Cu does not appear to be related to s-V in our dataset (Fig. 5b), it is notable that the highest s-Cu concentrations were found in samples TM08 and TM09, in which most aerosol concentrations were relatively low. We consider that the most likely source of the elevated concentrations of Cu, Fe, Co and Ni we observed in sample TM09 was emissions from the metal smelting facility at Ilo in southern Peru (Fig. 1), or perhaps from smelters located in central and northern Chile (Gidhagen et al., 2002; Paytan et al., 2009). Forward air mass trajectories run from Ilo (Fig. 6b) indicate that some of the emissions from the facility may have been advected over the ocean during the collection period of sample TM09. Satellite detections using the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (NASA EOS/Aura) in 2004-5 were used to estimate SO\textsubscript{2} emissions from the Ilo facility to be \(\sim 0.3\) Tg yr\(^{-1}\), equivalent to \(\sim 25\%\) of the combined SO\textsubscript{2} emissions from the volcanoes in Ecuador and southern Colombia over the same period (Carn et al., 2007). However, improvements in sulphur capture efficiency at the smelter (Boon et al., 2001) were completed in 2007 and there is no elevation in nss-SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} concentration in sample TM09, compared to the other samples collected during the cruise (cruise range 9.5 – 26.3 nmol m\(^{-3}\), TM09 15.0 nmol m\(^{-3}\)). The copper smelter at La Oroya ceased operations in 2009 and was not active at the time of the M91 cruise. In any case, the elevation of the La Oroya site (\(\sim 3750 m\) above sea level) and its surrounding mountains makes it unlikely to influence M91 aerosol concentrations. Emissions from the iron foundry at Chimbote may have contributed to the TM concentrations observed in sample TM02 (Fig. 6a).

In Table 5 we show calculated dry deposition fluxes of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}, NO\textsubscript{3}-, s-Fe, s-Co, s-Zn, s-Cu, s-Ni, Cd, s-Pb and the ratio of total inorganic N (NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} + NO\textsubscript{3}-) to s-Fe in dry deposition for the cruise. Dry deposition fluxes of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} and NO\textsubscript{3}- for the off-shore and southerly samples were similar to average values calculated for the remote South Atlantic (NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}: 600 – 800 nmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\), NO\textsubscript{3}:- 2300 - 3400 nmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\); Baker et al., 2010), while fluxes for the northern in-shore samples were higher and similar to corresponding values for on-shore winds on the west coast of Ireland (NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}: 5100 – 9500 nmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\), NO\textsubscript{3}:- 4200 - 7500 nmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\); Spokes et al., 2000). The range of NO\textsubscript{3}- dry deposition fluxes calculated for M91 is in reasonable agreement with the results of a global modelling study for the region (Dentener et al., 2006), which indicated that the deposition of total NOy (including gas-phase and wet deposition of oxidised N species) to the region was approximately 15,000 – 20,000 nmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\). In contrast to N, dry deposition of s-Fe during M91 was one to two (for sample TM09) orders of magnitude higher than reported for the remote South Atlantic (2 - 7 nmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\); Baker et al., 2013). Our average estimate of F\textsubscript{s,Fe}\textsuperscript{d} during M91 (45 nmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\), equivalent to \(\sim 0.9\) mg m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) appears to
be somewhat higher that the total deposition flux of s-Fe (0.3 mg m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) estimated for the region by Krishnamurthy et al. (2009) (as reported by Messie and Chavez (2015)). Atmospheric dust flux has been estimated from deep sea sediment records at the south of the M91 study region (IODP site 1237 at \(~16^\circ S 76^\circ W\)) to be equivalent to \(~1.1\) mg Fe m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\) (Saukel, 2011). Our estimates of soluble Fe dry deposition during M91 represent approximately 0.1-0.5\% of the total Fe accumulation rate at this site. These two deposition estimates are not directly comparable, since one is for total Fe deposition and the other is for soluble Fe, and also because the estimate from the IODP core integrates atmospheric inputs over much longer timescales than those relevant to our aerosol samples. We have no information concerning the fractional solubility of aerosol Fe in this region, although analysis of Saharan dust over the Atlantic relatively close to source regions suggests that fractional solubility can be as low as 0.1-4\% (Baker et al., 2006; Sholkovitz et al., 2012). Given these caveats, the comparison between the two deposition estimates does not seem unreasonable.

The range of TIN : s-Fe ratios in the M91 samples compares well to values of the total N : s-Fe ratio reported for the tropical Atlantic Ocean (170 - 1300; Baker et al., 2007) and implies that atmospheric deposition to the margins of the tropical eastern Pacific, as elsewhere in the global ocean, supplies Fe in excess (with respect to N supply) of the stoichiometric requirements of the phytoplankton community (Okin et al., 2011). This excess Fe supply from the atmosphere may be significant as primary production off the coast of Peru has been suggested to be limited by Fe availability (Bruland et al., 2005; Hutchins et al., 2002; Messie and Chavez, 2015). However, recent analysis indicates that dissolved Fe in the surface waters of the Peru upwelling system is isotopically lighter than Fe in known atmospheric sources, so that atmospheric deposition is unlikely to be the dominant source of Fe in these waters (Chever et al., 2015).

Dissolved Co in the Peru upwelling system exhibits micronutrient-like behaviour and Co speciation is linked to changes in phytoplankton assemblages there (Saito et al., 2004). Saito et al. (2004) suggested that Co input to the upwelling system was dominated by a sedimentary source of \(~19.9 \times 10^6\) mol yr\(^{-1}\). Assuming an areal extent of the upwelling of 120-220 x 10\(^3\) km\(^2\) (Nixon and Thomas, 2001), this equates to a flux of \(~250-450\) nmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\), approximately three orders of magnitude greater than our estimates of the dry atmospheric flux (Table 5).

Paytan et al. (2009) estimated Cu dry deposition to the global ocean using an atmospheric chemistry transport model in an investigation of the potential toxicity of atmospheric Cu inputs towards marine primary producers. Their estimates of Cu flux to the tropical eastern Pacific margin appear to be in the range 0.02 – 0.06 mg Cu m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\) (0.8 – 2.4 nmol m\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)), roughly an order of magnitude lower than the values calculated for M91. Emissions from copper smelters on the Nasca coast, which
were not included in the model of Paytan et al. (2009), may account for the differences between the two deposition estimates.

4. Conclusions

We have reported what we believe to be the first study of aerosol soluble TM concentrations over the Peru upwelling system. Most TMs (and MIs) analysed show higher concentrations close to the coast north of 13°S than further offshore or to the south, in good agreement with the observed spatial distribution of AOD in the region (Hawkins et al., 2010).

Elevated concentrations of Cu, Fe, Co and Ni at the south of the transect are most likely related to emissions from the metal smelter at Ilo or similar facilities in northern Chile. However, neither air mass back trajectory analysis nor examination of nss-SO$_4^{2-}$ concentrations (as an indicator of smelter SO$_2$ emissions) can confirm this.

Our calculated dry deposition fluxes for s-Fe and s-Cu appear to be somewhat higher than recent modelled estimates of their deposition (Krishnamurthy et al., 2009; Paytan et al., 2009). However, it should be noted that this comparison is necessarily based on only a few observations from a single month, whereas both modelling studies reported annual average values. The ratio of N to s-Fe in dry deposition during M91 indicates that aerosol inputs constitute a source of excess Fe (relative to phytoplankton stoichiometric requirements) to the Peru upwelling system. This may be significant as primary production in these waters has been shown to be limited by Fe availability (Bruland et al., 2005; Hutchins et al., 2002), although it appears unlikely that atmospheric deposition is the dominant source of Fe to surface waters here (Chever et al., 2015). Our work does not allow us to assess whether the deposition flux of s-Cu we estimate might lead to potential problems of toxicity in the tropical south east Pacific.
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Table 1. Details of aerosol samples collected during the M91 cruise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>Start Position</th>
<th>End Date</th>
<th>End Position</th>
<th>Air Volume (m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TM01</td>
<td>4/12/2012</td>
<td>6.37°S 81.43°W</td>
<td>6/12/2012</td>
<td>8.11°S 80.07°W</td>
<td>2858.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM02</td>
<td>6/12/2012</td>
<td>8.10°S 80.06°W</td>
<td>8/12/2012</td>
<td>9.57°S 79.32°W</td>
<td>2339.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM03</td>
<td>8/12/2012</td>
<td>9.57°S 79.32°W</td>
<td>10/12/2012</td>
<td>10.84°S 78.38°W</td>
<td>3089.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM04</td>
<td>10/12/2012</td>
<td>10.84°S 78.38°W</td>
<td>12/12/2012</td>
<td>12.04°S 79.00°W</td>
<td>2563.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM05</td>
<td>12/12/2012</td>
<td>12.04°S 79.00°W</td>
<td>14/12/2012</td>
<td>12.42°S 77.81°W</td>
<td>3002.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM06</td>
<td>14/12/2012</td>
<td>12.42°S 77.81°W</td>
<td>16/12/2012</td>
<td>13.43°S 76.37°W</td>
<td>2267.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM07</td>
<td>16/12/2012</td>
<td>13.43°S 76.37°W</td>
<td>18/12/2012</td>
<td>14.56°S 77.66°W</td>
<td>3099.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM08</td>
<td>18/12/2012</td>
<td>14.57°S 77.67°W</td>
<td>20/12/2012</td>
<td>16.16°S 76.83°W</td>
<td>2795.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM09</td>
<td>20/12/2012</td>
<td>16.16°S 76.82°W</td>
<td>22/12/2012</td>
<td>15.54°S 75.62°W</td>
<td>3121.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM10</td>
<td>22/12/2012</td>
<td>15.54°S 75.62°W</td>
<td>24/12/2012</td>
<td>14.37°S 76.25°W</td>
<td>2015.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Concentrations of aerosol soluble trace metals during the M91 cruise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Fe (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Al (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Mn (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Ti (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Zn (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>V (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Cu (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Ni (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Co (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Cd (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Pb (pmol m(^{-3}))</th>
<th>Th (fmol m(^{-3}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TM01</td>
<td>41.3±0.8</td>
<td>202.2±3.2</td>
<td>12.4±0.7</td>
<td>0.09±0.02</td>
<td>8.3±2.1</td>
<td>9.9±2.5</td>
<td>113.6±0.3</td>
<td>3.04±0.03</td>
<td>0.187±0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.22</td>
<td>1.27±0.01</td>
<td>9.7±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM02</td>
<td>128.3±0.9</td>
<td>502.2±3.9</td>
<td>20.4±0.5</td>
<td>0.24±0.08</td>
<td>54.1±2.5</td>
<td>37.9±0.2</td>
<td>68.1±0.2</td>
<td>11.17±0.05</td>
<td>0.403±0.003</td>
<td>0.924±0.004</td>
<td>7.34±0.02</td>
<td>18.5±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM03</td>
<td>59.4±1.4</td>
<td>289.3±4.1</td>
<td>20.2±2.0</td>
<td>0.10±0.02</td>
<td>8.8±1.7</td>
<td>10.9±0.2</td>
<td>116.6±0.5</td>
<td>3.67±0.03</td>
<td>0.395±0.007</td>
<td>0.335±0.003</td>
<td>2.00±0.01</td>
<td>21.1±0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM04</td>
<td>78.4±0.8</td>
<td>289.1±3.6</td>
<td>17.7±0.7</td>
<td>0.20±0.05</td>
<td>46.4±2.3</td>
<td>51.2±0.2</td>
<td>80.0±0.2</td>
<td>13.64±0.05</td>
<td>0.406±0.002</td>
<td>0.432±0.002</td>
<td>3.97±0.01</td>
<td>11.3±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM05</td>
<td>58.2±0.7</td>
<td>205.1±3.0</td>
<td>18.2±1.2</td>
<td>0.14±0.02</td>
<td>18.7±2.0</td>
<td>11.0±2.4</td>
<td>97.1±0.2</td>
<td>2.94±0.02</td>
<td>0.337±0.002</td>
<td>0.178±0.002</td>
<td>1.77±0.01</td>
<td>8.4±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM06</td>
<td>154.6±0.9</td>
<td>573.5±4.0</td>
<td>30.8±0.8</td>
<td>0.31±0.06</td>
<td>51.9±2.6</td>
<td>45.0±0.2</td>
<td>233.1±0.6</td>
<td>13.19±0.06</td>
<td>0.554±0.003</td>
<td>1.056±0.004</td>
<td>6.20±0.02</td>
<td>25.9±0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM07</td>
<td>41.5±0.7</td>
<td>146.5±5.1</td>
<td>10.6±0.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.04</td>
<td>14.1±1.7</td>
<td>11.4±0.1</td>
<td>59.0±0.3</td>
<td>3.62±0.03</td>
<td>0.220±0.009</td>
<td>&lt;0.20</td>
<td>1.01±0.01</td>
<td>5.8±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM08</td>
<td>31.5±2.0</td>
<td>124.1±5.9</td>
<td>12.0±0.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>9.7±1.9</td>
<td>6.7±0.1</td>
<td>311.3±1.0</td>
<td>2.45±0.03</td>
<td>0.215±0.007</td>
<td>&lt;0.22</td>
<td>1.07±0.01</td>
<td>6.1±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM09</td>
<td>206.2±0.7</td>
<td>141±45</td>
<td>16.7±1.3</td>
<td>0.11±0.02</td>
<td>&lt;6.0</td>
<td>8.2±2.2</td>
<td>349.2±0.7</td>
<td>59.6±0.2</td>
<td>0.690±0.002</td>
<td>&lt;0.20</td>
<td>0.86±0.01</td>
<td>7.0±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM10</td>
<td>67.5±1.0</td>
<td>320±50</td>
<td>11.8±1.4</td>
<td>0.14±0.04</td>
<td>14.9±2.9</td>
<td>17.9±0.3</td>
<td>71.3±0.1</td>
<td>5.13±0.04</td>
<td>0.192±0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.31</td>
<td>2.22±0.01</td>
<td>6.9±0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Concentrations of aerosol soluble major ions during the M91 cruise.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Na$^+$</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$</th>
<th>Mg$^{2+}$</th>
<th>K$^+$</th>
<th>Ca$^{2+}$</th>
<th>Cl$^-$</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$</th>
<th>SO$_4^{2-}$</th>
<th>C$_2$O$_4^{2-}$</th>
<th>Br$^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>nmol m$^-3$</td>
<td>nmol m$^-3$</td>
<td>nmol m$^-3$</td>
<td>nmol m$^-3$</td>
<td>nmol m$^-3$</td>
<td>nmol m$^-3$</td>
<td>nmol m$^-3$</td>
<td>nmol m$^-3$</td>
<td>nmol m$^-3$</td>
<td>nmol m$^-3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM01</td>
<td>41.2±0.7</td>
<td>13.6±0.3</td>
<td>4.3±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.1</td>
<td>1.6±0.1</td>
<td>31.3±0.5</td>
<td>9.2±0.1</td>
<td>13.4±0.1</td>
<td>0.18±0.01</td>
<td>0.017±0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM02</td>
<td>81.9±3.9</td>
<td>49.0±0.9</td>
<td>6.9±0.7</td>
<td>2.7±0.2</td>
<td>3.8±0.7</td>
<td>65.2±0.6</td>
<td>26.2±0.4</td>
<td>31.3±0.3</td>
<td>0.17±0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM03</td>
<td>130.4±2.6</td>
<td>11.7±0.2</td>
<td>14.3±0.2</td>
<td>2.6±0.1</td>
<td>4.7±0.2</td>
<td>123.5±2.8</td>
<td>7.0±0.2</td>
<td>22.5±0.5</td>
<td>0.21±0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM04</td>
<td>76.3±1.3</td>
<td>34.0±0.5</td>
<td>8.1±0.2</td>
<td>2.1±0.1</td>
<td>2.8±0.2</td>
<td>75.4±0.5</td>
<td>16.0±0.1</td>
<td>19.1±0.1</td>
<td>0.22±0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM05</td>
<td>66.3±1.6</td>
<td>14.3±0.2</td>
<td>6.7±0.3</td>
<td>1.7±0.1</td>
<td>2.4±0.3</td>
<td>66.0±0.7</td>
<td>5.8±0.3</td>
<td>14.1±0.2</td>
<td>0.15±0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM06</td>
<td>49.3±2.4</td>
<td>38.8±0.9</td>
<td>4.2±0.5</td>
<td>1.6±0.1</td>
<td>2.5±0.5</td>
<td>47.6±0.6</td>
<td>21.5±0.3</td>
<td>20.8±0.2</td>
<td>0.20±0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM07</td>
<td>127.7±2.7</td>
<td>20.1±0.3</td>
<td>12.8±0.6</td>
<td>3.1±0.2</td>
<td>3.9±0.6</td>
<td>120.0±1.2</td>
<td>8.0±0.6</td>
<td>25.5±0.3</td>
<td>0.25±0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM08</td>
<td>70.7±2.2</td>
<td>8.1±0.3</td>
<td>7.7±0.3</td>
<td>1.2±0.2</td>
<td>2.6±0.2</td>
<td>65.8±2.4</td>
<td>5.2±0.3</td>
<td>13.7±0.5</td>
<td>0.16±0.03</td>
<td>&lt;0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM09</td>
<td>215.4±7.5</td>
<td>5.2±0.9</td>
<td>22.7±1.4</td>
<td>5.2±0.3</td>
<td>6.2±1.5</td>
<td>228.2±1.6</td>
<td>6.7±0.7</td>
<td>28.0±0.4</td>
<td>0.19±0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM10</td>
<td>108.0±2.4</td>
<td>8.2±0.3</td>
<td>10.9±0.5</td>
<td>2.5±0.2</td>
<td>3.5±0.5</td>
<td>110.2±1.1</td>
<td>5.4±0.5</td>
<td>20.1±0.3</td>
<td>0.10±0.04</td>
<td>&lt;0.047</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Spearman’s rank correlation matrix for selected parameters measured during M91. Upper right quadrant (bold) shows significant correlation coefficients (p < 0.01) obtained from the entire dataset, lower left quadrant (italics) show significant correlation coefficients (p < 0.01) obtained after removing sample TM09.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>nss-K⁺</th>
<th>nss-Ca²⁺</th>
<th>nss-SO₄²⁻</th>
<th>s-Fe</th>
<th>s-Al</th>
<th>s-Mn</th>
<th>s-Ti</th>
<th>s-Zn</th>
<th>s-V</th>
<th>s-Cu</th>
<th>s-Ni</th>
<th>s-Co</th>
<th>s-Cd</th>
<th>s-Pb</th>
<th>s-Th</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nss-K⁺</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nss-Ca²⁺</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nss-SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Fe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Al</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Mn</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Ti</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Zn</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-V</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Cu</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Ni</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Co</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Cd</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Pb</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Th</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Dry deposition fluxes (nmol m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) of aerosol soluble components and the ratio of total inorganic nitrogen to soluble Fe deposition (TIN : Fe) during the M91 cruise. Deposition velocities used to calculate fluxes are described in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>NH$_4^+$</th>
<th>NO$_3^-$</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Co</th>
<th>Cd</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>TIN : Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TM01</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>4750</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM02</td>
<td>4240</td>
<td>13600</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM03</td>
<td>1010</td>
<td>3650</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM04</td>
<td>2940</td>
<td>8300</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM05</td>
<td>1230</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM06</td>
<td>3350</td>
<td>11100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM07</td>
<td>1730</td>
<td>4160</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM08</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>2670</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM09</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>3460</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>&lt;0.3</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM10</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>2830</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Captions

Figure 1. Track of cruise M91, showing locations of aerosol samples TM01 – TM10 as alternating colours along the track. Also shown are the locations of the mega-city of Lima and the smelters at Chimbote, La Oroya and Ilo.

Figure 2. Example 5-day air mass back trajectories for the mid-points of samples TM02, TM03 and TM09.

Figure 3. Aerosol nitrate concentrations (nmol m$^{-3}$) during M91.

Figure 4. Plots of a) soluble cobalt (s-Co) and b) soluble thorium (s-Th) against soluble manganese (s-Mn) concentrations in M91 aerosol samples. Sample TM09 is indicated by an arrow in both panels.

Figure 5. Plots of a) soluble nickel (s-Ni) and b) soluble copper (s-Cu) against soluble vanadium (s-V) concentrations in M91 aerosol samples. Sample TM09 is indicated by an arrow in both panels. Note the change in y-axis scale in a).

Figure 6. Forward air mass trajectories for the locations of the a) Chimbote and b) Ilo metal smelting facilities. Trajectories were run for periods of 48 hours every 4 hours over the collection periods of samples a) TM02 and b) TM09.