Dear Dr. Jack Middelburg,

We submit the revised version of the manuscript:

Deep ocean mass fluxes in the coastal upwelling off Mauritania from 1988 to 2012: variability on seasonal to decadal timescales

by Fischer and co-authors for publication in BG.

Our response includes replies and comments to the reviewers' criticisms and remarks, and the explanations for the changes we made in the revised version. In addition, several smaller changes were made to the text, tables and figures. The major revised parts in the text are marked in red and comments are given (see marked-up version).

We thank the Editorial Team of BG for considering our paper for publication. We appreciate the reviewers' comments and suggestions and acknowledge their help to improve the ms. We hope that this revised version will meet your expectations and the journals' requirements and that it will find your positive consideration,

Yours sincerely,

Gerhard Fischer

Changes according to Anonymous Referee #1 (RC)

RC

Fischer et al. present 25 years of sediment trap fluxes collected off Cape Blanc in the area of permanent upwelling. This is a very impressive data set and it is quite obvious that it is dominated by interannual variations and not by a clear seasonality. It is therefore consistent to investigate the relationship between fluxes and indices of climate oscillations of multiannual or decadal scale (AMO, NAO, ENSO). The authors manage to present this complex matter in a comprehensive and concise way. The paper is well written and well organized and the evaluation of the results is critical and refrains from over-interpretation. Tables and Figures are of good quality and present the results appropriately.

I have some questions regarding the data used in the paper which need to be clarified. The authors state that fluxes in the upper and lower traps are very similar and therefore use the upper fluxes to fill in gaps in the lower record. The paper cited to show this (Fischer et al., 2009b) presents only one record of upper and lower traps. The authors should show that this can be done for the whole data set despite the vertical distance of about 2000m between the upper and lower traps.

AC

The reviewer is right in mentioning this problem and stating that the paper by Fischer et al. (2009b) presented only one annual time series (deployment CB-13, 2002-2003, see also Table 1 of the submitted ms). Fischer et al. (2009b) showed a good match between upper and lower trap fluxes regarding absolute values and timing of peaks, but also an increase in flux with depth during winter-spring due to an additional lateral source, which is located closer to coastal upwelling east of the CB trap location. Due to this process, the lower CB traps are better suited to record any long-term changes in coastal upwelling intensity.

We admit, however, that we cannot be sure that the upper traps mimic the temporal and absolute flux pattern of the lower traps in all seasons in each year in all details. For our study, the winter fluxes may be critical due to their potential relationship to the winter NAO (Figs. 6, 7, 9a). When plotting all available lower and upper trap total mass fluxes for winter, a close correspondence is observed (R^2=0.84 for N=10), with slightly higher fluxes in the deeper trap due to lateral particle advection processes (described in detail by Fischer et al., 2009b, and modelled by Karakas et al., 2006, 2009). However, considering the entire record presented here, it seems that the upper trap fluxes of the winter seasons 1998 and 2004 may be critical due to a smaller filament area (Fig. 7b). The area with elevated chlorophyll and high particle concentrations may not have reached the upper offshore trap. Because of lateral advection from the east and the larger catchment area of the deeper traps (Siegel and Deuser, 1997), particle fluxes might have been higher in the deeper water column in winter 1998 and 2004. A possible alternative is eliminating the two data points (winter 1998 and 2004) in Figure 7. This slightly improves the correlation between winter fluxes and the NAO, without significantly influencing our findings and conclusions. However, we keep the two data points in Figure 7 also in the revised version, mentioning in the caption and in the corresponding text passages that the upper trap data from winter 1998 and 2004 might have been different from the deeper traps. Additionally, we added the argumentation presented here to chapter 3.2 (mass fluxes) in the revised version.

RC

Moreover, the authors integrate their data for spring, summer, fall and winter and thus lose some of the original high resolution sampling. The authors should explain their reasons.

AC

The reason for this is that the focus of our MS is the longer-term variability on timescales of seasons and beyond (as stated by reviewer #1, page C7709, second sentence). Due to logistical reasons, we have very different time resolutions in our trap samplings (from a few days to several weeks), which may sometimes limit comparisons between specific intervals. Furthermore, represented data points from intervals with a high sampling resolution (e.g. a few days) can hardly be seen in the figures. By doing so, this would partly lead to overloaded figures and may be confusing for the reader. This is now explained in the revised version of chapter 3.2. (mass fluxes).

RC

Page 17653, line 14: incomplete sentence

AC

Sentence deleted

RC

Page 17657, lines 17-20: This part on the time delay is too short and difficult to understand. The motivation/results should be explained in more detail.

AC

This section/sentence indeed needs more explanation. We rephrased this accordingly and explained our arguments on/ideas concerning a possible time delay between NAO forcing in winter (DJFM) and flux response in the deep ocean in spring in the revised version of the discussion section 5.2 in more detail.

Comments to Anonymous Referee # 2 (RC)

RC
General Comments
The authors present a >20 yr record of mass fluxes in the North Atlantic – this is a rare and valuable data set. The authors are trying to write a synthesis of a huge data set, and this is a worthwhile, if very challenging task. Understandably, the authors look for correlations between flux data and climate metrics. However, the manuscript suffers from an apparent listing of potential hypotheses that are at best modestly supported by the data in sections where there is not space to fully develop these ideas, especially the Introduction. Given the restrictions on space, the manuscript would be better served by articulating the working hypothesis that is best supported by the data in the beginning, i.e., that the organic carbon and BSi fluxes are mostly highly correlated with dust deposition, and discuss the alternative hypotheses in the discussion section. A table of flux (including total, lithogenic, Corg, and BSi) correlations with different climate statistics, i.e., NAO, ENSO Index, sea surface temperature, sea surface pressure, might be a more coherent and easily digestible way to present this data.

AC
We understand the argumentation of reviewer #2 to make the manuscript easier to digest. Our major motivation was to investigate the variability of deep ocean mass fluxes in the NE Atlantic and link them to major climate phenomena, such as the NAO. From a statistical point of view, we see no impact of the NAO on the deep ocean mass fluxes (BSi and Corg). This is due to local episodic perturbations, e.g. by dust deposition, which is assumed to weaken the relationship between fluxes and the NAO (as pointed out in the abstract). We rephrased the introduction and focus now more on the flux correlations and the NAO index (reviewer #2: ‘the working hypotheses that is best supported by the data’). We tried to further clarify and focus the Introduction by slight modifications such that it now follows a very clear structure on the major factors influencing our study area as discussed in earlier publications and motivating us to analyze our records in the light of these earlier findings (1: upwelling trends (‘Bakun hypothesis’), 2: ENSO, 3: NAO). In the discussion section, we discussed other possible drivers (*e.g*. ENSO, AMO) as suggested by reviewer #2 (see also below). The AMO is now mainly addressed in chapter 5.5. We did not want to over-interprete the results and the number of realizations of AMO cycles in our dataset is too low for statistically robust statements.

Compiling a large matrix of correlation coefficients between all kinds of climate statistics and fluxes of all parameters will mostly show statistically insignificant values ($r^2 < 0.1$), except for the NAO and BSi/Corg. Additionally, we believe that it makes little sense to compare i.e. lithogenic fluxes (=dust: dependent on aridity, winds on land, etc.) with i.e. SST in the ocean. Instead, it makes more sense to try to relate the NAO (influence on coastal upwelling and biomass) to BSi (=diatom, primary producers) fluxes or organic carbon fluxes, which is presented in the respective figures. Another problem is the potential effect of time lags (e.g. from ENSO, say we use a 3.4. index) from the Pacific Ocean to the NE Atlantic coastal upwelling. Potential time lags of zero months up to 1-2 years are discussed in the literature. It would be difficult to capture all these timescales without enlarging the discussion and the paper significantly.

Nevertheless, we focus on the flux relationships with NAO in the revised abstract and introduction section and, as suggested by reviewer #2, move other influences more to the discussion section. We added a few sentences in the revised introduction section, which will make it more clear why we focused on specific parameters. Discussing all possible relationships is well beyond the scope of this paper. We therefore selected those parameters, which may be interpreted in the light of earlier findings in the literature and that are related, e.g. to upwelling of nutrient rich deep water and the production of biogenic silica.

RC
I also expect that the study would benefit by comparisons of their data with data collected in similar locations, i.e., the long-term study sites off the California coast, e.g., CalCOFI data sets and data sets from the San Pedro and Santa Monica Basins. There have been a number of studies of those California sites looking at relationships between productivity, water column oxygenation, winds, and upwelling. It would be interesting to see if there are similar trends observed in the Eastern Pacific and Eastern Atlantic basins.

AC
We briefly mentioned the other EBUEs in the revised manuscript and added a few papers from the California Current System (see chapters 2.3., 3.2., 5.3., and new reference list). We did not intend to

make an inter-comparison study of fluxes of the Canary Current with other Eastern Boundary Upwelling Systems such as the California Current System. An inter-comparison between both systems would have further enlarged the manuscript. Both systems are dominated by different climate forcings on the longer-term (different relative importance of NAO, ENSO etc.), making a comparison rather complicated and clear trends less obvious. Furthermore, a high and continuous dust supply is less pronounced in the California Current System compared to the CC system. An inter-comparison needs to include the Benguela and the Humboldt Current Systems as well and could be the topic of a separate study.

RC
p. 17660: How does the fact that particles analyzed in this study were < 1 mm (because of sample filtration) relate to the predominant grain size of dust particles being between 10 and 20 nm? Are those dust grains broken down in size between the surface ocean and deep traps? If the authors are invoking mineral dust as the primary driver of the sinking flux in this manuscript, but the samples exclude particles > 1 mm, is that consistent with the dominant grain size of dust being much larger than the filter size?

AC
There seems to be some misunderstanding. Dust particles of 10-20 µm may easily pass through a filter of 1 mm. The point is that these fine-grained dust particles can only sink at higher rates (10-100 meters per day) when being incorporated into larger organic-rich particles such as marine snow aggregates (in our case off Cape Blanc around 1mm or less in size, Nowald et al., 2015) or fecal pellets (several 100 µm large). Organic carbon and dust together with other particles sink to depth and form the deep ocean flux. However, within the sampling cups, those particles mostly disintegrate due to their fragile nature. Consequently, we do not exclude any particles except some large carcasses from crustaceans, larger pteropods and rare 'swimmers' which are in the size fraction > 1mm. We slightly modified the method section accordingly.

RC
Specific Comments
In abstract, the discussion of AMO is confusing – since there is no or only a weak correlation, I recommend dropping the discussion of AMO and focus on the positive relationship with dust deposition. It may worth mentioning that you looked for a relationship between BSi and AMO in the discussion, but it is distracting in the abstract. Abstract would benefit from not discussing the hypotheses that were not supported by the data – only focus on the hypothesis supported by the data, and the data that supported the hypothesis.

AC
See AC comment above. It has been deleted in the abstract and is referred to only in the revised Discussion section. In the revised abstract, we will mainly refer to the NAO, the flux relationships and the 'Bakun hypothesis'.

RC
P. 3 is confusing – a list of hypotheses that are sometimes supported by the data and sometimes not – unsure what message we are to take away from this other than that there is no statistically significant correlation w/ anything? If this is not the message, the Intro needs to be restructured around a single, coherent message. I appreciate that the authors are trying to look for correlations between their data and climate indices, but this information is better suited to the discussion.

AC
Indeed, there is no a single coherent message in the literature concerning the influence of larger scale climatic oscillations and reactions within the NW African coastal upwelling system (see ACs above). As mentioned above, we have changed the focus in the revised Introduction on the potentially primary driver, i.e. the NAO. We rephrased and slightly restructured the introduction in order to make the different motivations and hypotheses available in the literature and the main points addressed in our study more clear.

RC
Section 2.3, line 20-25: How do the authors evaluate and quantify the relative strength/magnitude of correlation between climate variables and flux metrics? Which statistics are used? Section 2.3 did not convince me that there were meaningful correlations between climate indices and upwelling and/or flux metrics at the trap location.

AC
The temporal correlations shown in Fig. 3 indicate a linear relationship of sea level pressure variations at each gridpoint with the respective climate index (NAO, ENSO, AMO). Sea level pressure variations are a direct indicator for wind variations and therefore also for wind-driven (coastal) upwelling. The patterns in Fig. 3 clearly show that wind changes in our study area are part of large-scale teleconnection patterns (also supported by the literature given in the Introduction) and that consequently, wind-driven upwelling changes may be linked to the respective phase of the climate oscillations.

However, the sediment trap fluxes will always record the superimposed influence of the teleconnection patterns. At this stage, it is difficult to disentangle the relative importance of NAO, ENSO and decadal fluctuations for the fluxes based on the very limited number of realizations of the respective combinations (e.g. El Niño coinciding with a NAO-phase etc.). Longer sediment trap records will potentially help in clarifying this issue. In this sense, our sentence on p.17650 l.25 should be taken as a cautionary note which gives a perspective for future work in this respect.

RC
p. 17652 line 1: The text says total nitrogen was measured, but it is not reported in the tables or figures. This would be a very valuable set of data to include. If the authors chose not to include the total nitrogen flux data they should not report that it was measured.

AC
For further information, the nitrogen data are now included in Tables 2 and 3. However, we did not discuss them in detail.

RC
p. 17652, line 13: Please describe the factor of 2 that the Corg is multiplied by p. 17654, Results: Please specify whether differences in the bulk fluxes are statistically significant higher in winter and summer than fall and spring.

AC
To estimate organic matter composed mainly of C, N, H and O, we used a conversion factor of two as about 50-60% of marine organic matter is constituted by organic carbon (e.g. Hedges et al., 2002). Fig. 4 does not show significant differences between seasons, therefore our focus is on the longer-term and interannual changes of fluxes.

RC
The Results section would benefit from stating the ranges of the total, Corg, BSi,CaCO3 fluxes.

AC
We presented the values und some ranges in the revised Results section.

RC
p. 17655, Results, lines 12-19: reporting the slopes together with the correlations would be valuable.

AC
We now present the slopes and show them in Table 3, together with the number of data points and the statistical significance

RC
p. 17658: Please discuss how the analysis of Corg fluxes in trap samples collected >1000 m affects interpretations relative to fluxes of BSi, CaCO3, and lithogenic fluxes, that do not experience flux attenuation with depth the way Corg fluxes do, and whether this is expected to affect a correlation with remote sensing data of sea surface chl

AC
Biological/bacterial degradation of Corg is much faster than dissolution of CaCO3, BSi and mineral/lithogenic components. Surface chlorophyll should be better related to Corg than to lithogenic and biogenic minerals – the phytoplankton pigments will also be degraded as the total Corg. Therefore, we did not compare CaCO3 and lithogenic fluxes to surface chlorophyll from satellite

imagery. If the Corg decay over time is constant (which is not precisely known), we may relate it to surface chlorophyll produced by phytoplankton.

RC
p. 17661:Doesn't an increased mass flux with La Nina conditions contradict other text where the authors state that fluxes are not correlated with the strength of upwelling?

AC
No, there is no contradiction. We provided a description of a single ENSO event 1997-1999 and the resulting consequences for the NW upwelling as known from literature. We did not argue that fluxes are not correlated with the strength of upwelling. We speculate that the reviewer possibly had in mind part of the introduction (p. 17647 ll 14-16), where we cautiously note that there does not necessarily have to be a simple link between changes in upwelling and deep ocean fluxes.

RC
Table 3: Does important mean statistically significant? If so, how significant?

AC
No, it does not mean "statistically significant". We deleted this sentence. Instead, we added the number of data points, the slopes and indicate the statistical significance (r^2, at 99.9% confidence level).

RC
Table 4: Similarly, what is meant by "important"?

AC
We meant 'important' for our study. We deleted 'important'.

RC
Figure 2: What is implied by "strong changes"? It is not clear what the reader should note happening over the past four decades. Is there something unusual? If so, unusual relative to what?

AC
We removed this sentence in the caption.

RC
Figure 5: Not clear to mean if the gray shaded area is the data from the shallower trap? If so, it appears that there is more data from the upper than lower trap, and so the figure should be about data from the upper and not lower trap.

AC
More data from the lower trap than from the upper one are available and the former is better suited to record coastal upwelling. We changed the respective figures and the captions. Light/white bars denote sampling gaps where upper trap data have been used to fill the gaps of the lower trap record.

RC
Figures 5 and 6: Not clear what the shading of El Nino/La Nina represents, since those colors don't appear elsewhere in the figures nor are they described in the figure captions

AC
This is now better described in the revised figure captions and the shading is shown in the other figures as well. Blue means the cold and red color means the warm phase of the 1997-1999 ENSO cycle.

RC
Technical Comments Comma usage and grammar are problematic throughout the manuscript

AC
A native speaker checked grammar in the revised version.

RC

Page 17653, line 14: incomplete sentence

AC
Deleted

RC
Figure 4: The font size of the y-axis labels is too small to read – you could replace with “Mass flux”, “Corg flux”, “BSi flux”, “CaCO3 flux”, and “lithogenic flux” and note in the figure caption that all are mean seasonal values.

AC
done

RC
Figure 5 caption: polynome should be polynomial?

AC
done

Deep ocean mass fluxes in the coastal upwelling off Mauritania from 1988 to 2012: variability on seasonal to decadal timescales

Fischer, G.,[1,2], Romero, O.[2], Merkel, U.[1,2], Donner, B.[2], Iversen, M.[2,3], Nowald, N.[2], Ratmeyer, V.[2], Ruhland, G.[2], Klann, M.[2] and G. Wefer[2]*

[1] Geosciences Department, University of Bremen, Klagenfurter Str, 28359 Bremen, Germany

[2] Marum Center for Marine and Environmental Sciences, Leobener Str, 28359 Bremen, Germany

[3] Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Am Handelshafen, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany

** corresponding author*

Abstract

A more than two-decadal sediment trap record from the Eastern Boundary Upwelling Ecosystem (EBUE) off Cape Blanc, Mauritania, is analysed with respect to deep ocean mass fluxes, flux components and their variability on seasonal to decadal timescales. The total mass flux revealed interannual fluctuations which were superimposed by fluctuations on decadal timescales~~ possibly linked to the Atlantic Multidedadal Oscillation (AMO)~~. High winter fluxes of biogenic silica (BSi), used as a measure of marine production (mostly by diatoms) largely correspond to a positive North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index ~~during boreal winter~~ (Dec.-March). However, this relationship is weak. The highest positive BSi anomaly was in winter 2004-2005 when the NAO was in a neutral state. More episodic BSi sedimentation events occurred in several summer seasons between 2001 and 2005, when the previous winter NAO was neutral or even negative. We suggest that distinct dust outbreaks and deposition in the surface ocean in winter and occasionally ~~but also~~ in summer/fall enhanced particle sedimentation and carbon export on ~~rather~~ short timescales via the ballasting effect. ~~, thus leading to these episodic sedimentation events.~~ Episodic perturbations of the marine carbon cycle by dust outbreaks (e.g. in 2005) might have weakened the relationships between fluxes and large~~r~~ scale climatic oscillations. As phytoplankton biomass is high throughout the year~~ in our study area~~, any dry (in winter) or wet (in summer) deposition of fine-grained dust particles is assumed to enhance the efficiency of the biological pump by ~~being~~ incorporating ~~ed~~ dust into dense and fast settling organic-rich aggregates. A good correspondence between BSi and dust fluxes was observed for the dusty year 2005, following a period of rather dry conditions in the Sahara/Sahel region. Large changes of all bulk fluxes occurred during the strongest El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in 1997-1999 where low fluxes were obtained for almost one year during the warm El Niño and high fluxes in the following cold La Niña phase. For decadal timescales, Bakun (1990) suggested an intensification of coastal upwelling due to increased winds (‘Bakun upwelling intensification hypothesis’; Cropper et al., 2014) and global climate change. We did not observe an increase of any flux component off Cape Blanc during the past two and a half decades which might support this ~~hypothesis~~. Furthermore, fluxes of mineral dust did not show any positive or negative trends over time which ~~would have~~ might suggest~~ed~~ enhanced desertification or ‘Saharan greening’ during the last few decades.

1. Introduction

Eastern Boundary Upwelling Ecosystems (EBUEs; Freon et al., 2009) cover only about 1% of the total ocean area but contribute with about 15% to total marine primary production (Carr, 2002; Behrenfeld and Falkowski, 1997). Roughly, 20% of the marine global fish catch is provided by the four major EBUEs (Pauly and Christensen, 1995), the Benguela, the Canary, the Californian and the Humboldt Current Systems. Continental margins may be responsible for ~~>~~more than 40% of the carbon sequestration in the ocean (Muller-Karger et al., 2005) and are thus, highly relevant for the global carbon cycle. In the literature, multiple factors with potential influence on upwelling systems have been mentioned. To discuss all of them, would be beyond the scope of this paper and we therefore focus on three major factors.

In the 1990s, a discussion began whether global warming may lead to intensified coastal upwelling in the EBUEs (e.g. Bakun, 1990: 'Bakun upwelling intensification hypothesis'; Cropper et al., 2014). Since then, various studies showed contradicting results, depending on the timescales regarded, the area studied and the methods applied. The longer-term time series analysis of wind stress and sea surface temperature (SST) by Narayan et al. (2014) from coastal upwelling areas seems to support the 'Bakun upwelling intensification hypothesis', but correlation analysis showed ambiguous results concerning the relationships of upwelling to the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). With some modification, the 'Bakun hypothesis' is supported for the ~~NW African~~ Canary Current (CC) coastal upwelling system by Cropper et al. (2014). ~~These authors found indications of a relationship between upwelling and NAO (mainly in winter), but no signs of teleconnections between upwelling and the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) or the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) were observed.~~ Using an upwelling index derived from SSTs and remote sensing wind stress, Marcello et al. (2011) obtained increased offshore spreading of upwelled waters off Cape Blanc from 1987 to 2006. Other authors, however, found a warming trend of the Canary Current ~~(CC)~~ System (e.g. Aristegui et al., 2009). Bode et al. (2009) observed a continuous decrease in upwelling intensity in the northern CC around the Canary Islands during the past 40 years, associated with ~~a~~ the warming of ~~the~~ surface waters, a decrease in zooplankton abundance, and, locally, in phytoplankton abundance. Studying a sediment core off Cape Ghir, Morocco, a cooling of the northern Canary Current in the 20th century was inferred (McGregor et al. 2007).

~~The~~ An influence of tropical Pacific interannual variability on EBUEs has also been ~~already~~ proposed earlier. A link between the cold La Niña period (1997-1999 ENSO cycle) and the Mauritanian upwelling via a strengthening of the north-easterly (NE) trade winds in fall and winter was described by Pradhan et al. (2006). Helmke et al. (2005) correlated these anomalous events ~~in~~ with deep-ocean carbon fluxes at the mesotrophic Cape Blanc study site. Using ocean colour data, Fischer et al. (2009) showed a large extension of the Cape Blanc filament from fall 1998 to spring 1999 when comparing it to the rest of the record ~~(spanning from~~ 1997-~~to~~ 2008). ~~Persistent La Niña conditions between summer 1998 and summer of 1999 caused nutrient-driven increases of net marine primary production (NPP) and in the Mauritanian upwelling plume as well (Behrenfeld et al., 2001).~~ Using remote sensing data, Nykjaer and Van Camp (1994) found a weak northwest NW African upwelling south of 20°N during and after the strong 1982-1983 El Niño event. (end of 1982 to early 1984).

The NW African margin and the low-latitude North Atlantic are heavily influenced by Saharan dust transport, deposition (e.g. Kaufman et al., 2005) and sedimentation (Brust et al., 2001). Dust particles influence the earth's radiation balance, and supply micro-nutrients (e.g.such as iron) and macro-nutrients to the ocean surface waters (e.g. Jickells et al., 2005; Neuer et al., 2004). Additionally, dust acts as ballast mineral both for total flux (Armstrong et al., 2002; Klaas and Archer, 2002) and for organic carbon-rich particles (e.g. Fischer et al., 2009, a, b; Bory and Newton, 2000; Iversen and Ploug, 2010; Iversen et al., 2010; Bressac et al., 2014). Dunne et al. (2007) suggested that dust may be the major carrier for organic carbon to the seafloor. A clear coupling between atmospheric dust occurrence and deep-sea lithogenic particle fluxes at 2000 m water depths was observed in the subtropical north Atlantic (33°N, 22°W; Brust et al., 2011). Fischer and Karakas (2009) proposed that high dust supply may increase particle settling rates by ballasting and result in relatively high organic carbon fluxes in the Canary Current NW African upwelling system compared to other EBUEs. Wintertime African dust transport is suggested to be affected by the NAO (Chiapello et al. 2005; Hsu et al., 2012). As dust plays a major role in the Cape Blanc area with respect to deep ocean fluxes and the intensity of coastal upwelling is affected by the NAO as well, the major focus of this long-term study will be on the relationship between deep ocean mass fluxes and NAO forcing.

From the mesotrophic Cape Blanc study site CB_{meso} located about 200 nm off the coast (Fig. 1a), we obtained an almost continuous sediment trap record of export fluxes (mostly from about 3500 m water depth) for the past 25 years (1988-2012,)only -interrupted between 1992 and 1993). Long time series of particle fluxes are rare, in particular from coastal upwelling sites with high productivity. Although SSTs and wind data analysies over longer times scales (e.g. decades) for the NW African upwelling system and other EBUEs are very important to test the 'Bakun upwelling intensification hypothesis' (Bakun, 1990; Cropper et al., 2014), any potential increase of upwelling intensity does not necessarily result in an increase of phytoplankton standing stock and/or productivity and/or deep ocean mass fluxes (e.g. Ducklow et al., 2009). Hence, for studying the potential changes of the biological pump and carbon sequestration in the deep ocean over decades and over a larger area, sediment traps are a primary and probably the best choice. As deep ocean sediment traps have a rather large catchment area for particles formed in the surface and subsurface waters (e.g. Siegel and Deuser, 1997), they integrate rather local and small-scale effects, events and processes in the highly dynamic EBUE off Mauritania.

2. Study area

2.1 Oceanographic and biological setting

The sediment trap mooring array CB_{meso} is deployed in the Canary Current (CC) System within one of the four major EBUEs (Freon et al., 2009) (Fig. 1a). Coastal upwelling is driven there by alongshore trade winds, leading to offshore advection of surface waters, which are replaced by colder and nutrient-rich subsurface waters. Around 21°N off Cape Blanc (Fig. 1a), a prominent cold filament leads to offshore streaming of cold and nutrient-rich waters from the coast to the open ocean up to about 450 km offshore (Fig. 1a). This cold tongue is named the 'giant Cape Blanc filament' (Van Camp et al., 1991), being one of the largest filaments within all EBUEs.

The relationship between the coastal winds, SST and the biological response (e.g. changes in chlorophyll) off Mauritania seems to be strong and almost immediate (Mittelstaedt, 1991; Pradhan et al., 2006). Trade winds persist throughout the year and intensify in late winter to reach their highest intensity in spring (Barton et al., 1998; Nykjaer and Van Camp, 1994; Meunier et al., 2012). According to Lathuilière et al. (2008), our study area is located within the Cape Blanc inter-gyre region (19-24°N) which is characterized by a weaker seasonality (peaks in winter-spring and fall). Following the definition by Cropper et al. (2014), our study area is situated on the southern rim of the strong and permanent coastal upwelling zone (21°-26°N) (Fig. 1a).

The cold and nutrient-rich southward flowing CC departs from the coastline south of Cape Blanc, later forming the North Equatorial Current (NEC) (Fig. 1a). South of about 20°N, a recirculation gyre drives a poleward coastal current fed by the North Equatorial Counter Current (NECC) during summer. The Mauritanian Current (MC) flows northward along the coast to about 20°N (Fig. 1a; Mittelstaedt, 1991), bringing warmer surface water masses from the equatorial realm into the study area. Where the CC departs from the coast, a NE-SW orientated salinity front in the subsurface waters is observed, the Cape Verde Frontal Zone (CVFZ, Zenk et al., 1991) (Fig. 1a), which separates the salty and nutrient-poor North Atlantic Central Water (NACW) from the nutrient-richer and cooler South Atlantic Central Water (SACW). Both water masses may be upwelled and mixed laterally and frontal eddies develop off Cape Blanc (Meunier et al., 2012) (Fig. 1a). Lathuilière et al. (2008) offered a comprehensive overview of the physical background, i.e. the ocean circulation off NW Africa.

Fig. 1.

2.2 Importance of dust supply and Sahel rain fall for the study area

Dust supply from land to the low-latitude North Atlantic Ocean is not only dependent on the strength of the transporting wind systems (NE trade winds at lower levels and Saharan Air Layer above) but also on the rainfall and dryness in the multiple source regions in West Africa (see Nicholson, 2013; Goudie and Middleton, 2001; Nicholson, 2013). During long periods of droughts (e.g. in the 1980s), dust loadings over the Sahel experienced extraordinary increases (N'Tchayi Mbourou et al., 1997). As mass fluxes and settling rates of larger marine particles (i.e. marine snow) are assumed to be influenced by mineral dust particles via the ballasting effect (Armstrong et al., 2002; Fischer et al., 20079a, 2010; Iversen and Ploug, 2010; Bressac et al., 2014; Dunne et al., 2007; Thunell et al., 2007), climatic conditions on land need to be considered. The contribution of dust to the settling particles in the deep ocean off Cape Blanc amounts to one-third on average of the total mass flux (Fischer et al., 2010), but it may be as high as 50% during particular flux events (Nowald et al., 2015). As shown by Jickells et al. (2005), modelled dust fluxes from the Saharan region and their variability may be influenced by ENSO and NAO cycles (see also Goudie and Middleton, 2001; Chiapello et al., 2005; Hsu et al., 2012; Diatta and Fink, 2014). During the time period of this study (1988-2012, Fig. 2), the wintertime (Dec-Jan-Feb-Mar = DJFM) NAO index after Hurrell (Hurrell, 1995) is characterized by switches from extremely positive (e.g. 1989, 1990) to extremely negative values (e.g. in 1996, 2010) (Fig. 2).

Climate over West Africa is also influenced by the continental Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ; also named Intertropical Front, Nicholson, 2013). This low~~-~~-pressure zone separates the warm and moist SW monsoon flow from the dry NE trade winds coming from the Sahara. The tropical rainbelt in the Atlantic realm originates from the convergence of the NE and SE trade wind systems and ~~latitudinally~~ migrates roughly between ~3°S (boreal winter) and ~15°N (boreal summer) in the course of the year (Lucio et al., 2012). On longer timescales, severe Sahel drought intervals occurred in the 1980s (Chiapello et al., 2005; Nicholson, 2013). ~~According to Shanahan et al. (2009), changing Atlantic SSTs (AMO) exert a control on the persistent droughts in West Africa in the 1980s and 1990s.~~ Recent evidence shows that Sahel rainfall may have recovered during the last two decades and that the region is now ‘greening’ (Fontaine et al., 2011; Lucio et al., 2012).

Fig. 2.

2.3 Large-scale teleconnections affecting the study area

Ocean-atmosphere dynamics at our study site is influenced by large-scale atmospheric teleconnections and climate modes. Here, such teleconnections are illustrated based on results from a long-term present-day climate control run which was performed using the Comprehensive Climate System Model version 3 (CCSM3; Collins et al., 2006; Yeager et al., 2006). Atmospheric sea-level pressure (SLP) patterns describe the near-surface air flow which affects ocean upwelling and currents as well. We therefore correlated simulated SLP with prominent teleconnection indices such as the NAO SLP index (Hurrell, 1995) and the Niño3 area-averaged (150°W-90°W, 5°S-5°N) SST index, both calculated from the model results (Fig. 3). Boreal winter is the season where the NAO is strongest and where tropical Pacific SST anomalies associated with ENSO events tend to peak.

Correlations during winter show that NAO and ENSO may have opposite effects on the NW African/eastern Atlantic realm (Fig. 3 a,b), for instance on wind fields, and consequently on upwelling with potential implications for deep ocean mass fluxes. A positive phase of the NAO is associated with anomalous high pressure in the Azores high region (Fig. 3a) and stronger northeasterly winds along the NW African coast. In contrast, a positive phase of ENSO (El Niño event) goes along with a weakening of the northeasterlies in the study area (Fig. 3 b). It should be noted, however, that the magnitude of correlation in our study area is larger for the NAO than for ENSO. This should be taken into account when disentangling the relative importance of these climate modes. Apart from seasonal-to-interannual timescales, low-frequent climate variability may impact on our study area as well and is probably linked to Atlantic SST~~sea surface temperature~~ variations on decadal-to-interdecadal timescales, e.g. the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO). The correlation of SLP with area-averaged (0°-70°N, 60°-10°W) SST fluctuations over periods above 10 years highlights a centre of action in the tropical Atlantic with SLP reductions (weaker northeasterly winds) along with higher Atlantic basin-wide SST during a positive AMO phase (Fig. 3c). This shows the potential importance of longer-term Atlantic basin-scale SST variations for alongshore winds and upwelling (trends) at our trap location

ENSO related teleconnections in the NW African upwelling system have been described by several authors (Behrenfeld et al., 2001; Pradhan et al, 2006; Zeeberg et al., 2008) and can be illustrated by the negative correlation of SLP with eastern tropical Pacific SST (Fig. 3b, chapter 3.3.). Fischer et al. (2009b) showed that the size of the Cape Blanc filament was small in winter-spring 1997-1998 and unusually high from fall 1998 to spring 1999 (Fig. 7b; Fig. 1e). This is documented by reduced (warm El Niño) and elevated (cold La Niña) deep ocean mass fluxes of all components. In certain years, the filament area was more than twice as larger in spring as than in fall (e.g. 1999 La Niña Event). Tropical Pacific variability on interannual ENSO timescales is also an important factor in driving ecosystem variability in the California Current System (for a summary see Checkley and Barth, 2009).

Fig. 3.

3. Material and Methods

3.1 Sediment traps and moorings

We used deep-moored (>1000 m), large-aperture time-series sediment traps of the Kiel and Honjo type with 20 cups and 0.5 m^2 openings, equipped with a honeycomb baffle (Kremling et al., 1996). Mooring and sampling dates are given in Table 1. As the traps were moored in deep waters (mostly > below 1000m), uncertainties with the trapping efficiency due to strong currents (e.g. undersampling, Yu et al., 2001; Buesseler et al., 2007) and/or due to the migration and activity of zooplankon migrators (‘swimmer problem’) are assumed to be minimal. Prior to the deployments, the sampling cups were poisoned with $HgCl_2$ (1 ml of conc. $HgCL_2$ per 100ml of filtered seawater) and pure NaCl was used to increase the density in the sampling cups to 40‰. Upon recovery, samples were stored at 4°C and wet-splitted in the home laboratory using a rotating McLane wet splitter system. Larger swimmers such as crustaceans were picked by hand with foreceps and were removed by filtering carefully through a 1 mm sieve and all flux data here refer to the size fraction of <1 mm. In almost all samples, the fraction of particles >1 mm was negligible, only in a few samples larger pteropods were found..

3.2 Mass fluxes

Analysis of the fraction < 1 mm, using ¼ or $^1/_5$ wet splits, was performed according to Fischer and Wefer (1991). Samples were freeze-dried and the homogenized samples were analyzed for bulk (total mass), organic carbon, total nitrogen, carbonate and biogenic opal (BSi = biogenic silica). Organic carbon, nitrogen and calcium carbonate were measured by combustion with a CHN-Analyser (HERAEUS). Organic carbon was measured after removal of carbonate with 2 N HCl. Overall analytical precision based on internal lab standards was better than 0.1% (±1σ). Carbonate was determined by subtracting organic carbon from total carbon, the latter being measured by combustion without pre-treatment with 2N HCl. BSi was determined with a sequential leaching technique with 1M NaOH at 85°C (Müller and Schneider, 1993). The precision of the overall method based on replicate analyses is mostly between ±0.2 and ±0.4%, depending on the material analyzed. For a detailed table of standard deviations for various samples we refer to Müller and Schneider (1993). Lithogenic fluxes or the non-biogenic material was estimated according to:

lithogenic material = dust = total mass - carbonate - opal – 2xC_{org} ~~*(= organic matter).*~~

We estimated organic matter by multiplying organic carbon by a factor of two as about 50-60% of marine organic matter is constituted by organic carbon (Hedges et al., 1992). Some studies have shown a clear linear relationship between lithogenic fluxes and particulate aluminum (e.g. Ratmeyer et al., 1999a), the latter being derived from clay minerals as part of the lithogenic (non-biogenic) component. Grains size studies from Ratmeyer et al. (1999a, b) and further microscopic analysis provide evidence that most of the lithogenic material in the study area was derived from quartz grains in the fine silt fraction (10-30 µm; see also Friese et al., 2016). ~~We h~~Here we attribute ~~all~~ the lithogenic flux to dust-derived material (=mineral dust flux) as no large rivers suppl~~i~~y~~es~~ suspended material to the study area off Cape Blanc.

Due to logistical reasons, we had very different time resolutions of the sediment trap collections (a few days to several weeks) which limits comparisons between specific intervals and years. Seasonal fluxes were calculated and shown to allow comparison between the seasons mainly with respect to interannual variability. Seasons were defined us~~e~~ing the dates of opening and closure of the sampling cups closest to the start of the astronomical seasons (March 21, June 21, September 23, December 21) (Table 2). Where lower trap data (around 3500 m) were not available, the upper trap data (around 1000 m) were used, which mostly match the lower trap fluxes with respect to seasonality (Fischer et al., 2009b). ~~As the deeper traps have a higher collection area due to the 'statistical funnel' (Siegel and Deuser, 1997), they might have collected slightly more material, in particular in the winter season.~~ When plotting all available lower and upper trap total mass fluxes for winter, a close correspondence is observed (r^2=0.84, N=10), with slightly higher fluxes in the deeper trap due to lateral particle advection processes (Fischer et al., 2009b, Karakas et al., 2006, 2009). However, considering the entire record presented here, it seems that the upper trap fluxes of the winter seasons 1998 and 2004 may be critical due to smaller filament areas. As a consequence, the area/filament with elevated chlorophyll and high particle concentrations may not have reached the upper offshore trap. Because of lateral particle advection from the east (Karakas et al., 2006) and the larger catchment area of the deeper traps (Siegel and Deuser, 1997), particle fluxes might have been higher in the deeper water column in winter 1998 and 2004. ~~However, t~~In general, the seasonal patterns and the composition of the particle fluxes were rather similar between the upper and lower traps (Fischer et al., 2009b). The long-term means and standard deviations were calculated using only the available deeper trap flux values. The seasonal anomalies of the bulk fluxes were calculated using the deviations from the mean values of the respective seasons.

Kommentar [g1]: Chapter on major comment of reviewer #1

3.3 Carbonate producers

To determine the major carbonate producers, the trap material was carefully wet-sieved with a 1 mm-screen and split into aliquots by a rotary liquid splitter. Generally a 1/5 split of the < 1 mm-fraction was used to pick planktonic foraminifers and pteropods from the wet solution. Foraminifers and pteropods were picked by hand with a pipette under a ZEISS Stemi 2000 microscope and rinsed with fresh water for three times and dried at 50°C overnight and counted. The mass fluxes of total carbonate producers expressed as mg m^{-2} day^{-1} are mainly constituted of planktonic foraminifera, pteropods and nannofossils/coccolithophorids. Masses of foraminifera and pteropods were determined with a Sartorius BP 211D analytical balance.

3.4 Additional web-based data:

To put our flux results from the deep ocean into a broader context~~ to the surface water properties~~, we used several observational datasets available from several the websites given below. For ocean colour, time series from the MODIS or SeaWiF~~s~~S sensors based on a 1°x1° box from 20°N-21°N and 2~~0~~1°-2~~1~~0°W (9 km resolution) slightly to the east of the study site CB have been chosen due to the generally prevailing E-W directed current system, transporting particles to the west (Helmke et al., 2005). Larger boxes, e.g. 2°x2° or 4°x4°, reveaedl similar results. For the aerosol optical thickness (AOT, 869 nm, 9 km resolution), a 1°x1° box was chosen from the SeaWiFS and MODIS data.

Ocean colour from MODIS (9 km resolution):
http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi/l3?ctg=Standard&sen=A&prd=CHL_chlor_a&per=SN&date=21Jun2002&res=9km&num=24
Ocean colour from SeaWiFS (9 km resolution):
http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi/l3/S19972641997354.L3m_SNAU_CHL_chlor_a_9km.png?sub=img
GIOVANNI-derived time series AOT (Aerosol Optical Thickness) and chlorophyll from SeaWiFS and MODIS:
http://gdata1.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/daac-bin/G3/gui.cgi?instance_id=ocean_month
AOD (Aerosol Optical Depths) and dust and rainfall pattern (animation):
http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/GlobalMaps/view.php?d1=MODAL2_M_AER_OD&d2=TRMM_3B43M
NAO (North Atlantic Oscillation) index based on station data of sea level pressure:
http://climatedataguide.ucar.edu/guidance/hurrell-north-atlantic-oscillation-nao-index-station-based
ENSO (El Niño-Southern Oscillation) Niño3.4 SST index:
http://iridl.ldeo.columbia.edu/filters/.NINO/SOURCES/.NOAA/.NCEP/.EMC/.CMB/.GLOBAL/.Reyn_SmithOIv2/.monthly/.ssta/NINO34/T
AMO (Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation) SST index:
http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/correlation/amon.us.data

Table 1.

4. Results

On the long-term, seasonal bulk fluxes were highest in boreal winter and summer and slightly lower in spring and fall (Figs. 4, 5, 6a; Table 2). Total bulk fluxes reached 23.6 and 23.1 g m^{-2} in winter and summer, respectively (Table 2). For spring and fall, total mass fluxes were as high as 19.6 and 21.1 g m^{-2}, respectively (Table 2). However, the seasonal differences in the bulk fluxes are not statistically significant. Along with the highest mass fluxes, winter and summer seasons also exhibit the highest standard deviations (Fig. 4), pointing to a high interannual variability ~~during these seasons~~. In general, this interannual variability is clearly higher than the seasonal differences in bulk fluxes. Only the lithogenic components, i.e. the mineral dust particles, did not show an increase during summer and only peaked in winter (up to 7.4 g m^{-2}) when dust plumes were most frequent (Goudie and Middleton, 2001). High summer fluxes of up to 16.9 g m^{-2} were mostly due to high carbonate sedimentation (Fig. 4), both of primary (coccolithophores~~ids~~) and secondary producers (foraminifera and pteropods). Organic carbon and BSi showed a rather similar pattern (Fig. 4, 6a) with a maximum in winter (up to 1.1 and 1.7 g m^{-2}, respectively) and a secondary maximum in summer/fall. This is reflected in the close correspondence between both flux components for these seasons (Table 3). Highest mass fluxes coinciding with highest positive flux anomalies lasting for several seasons occurred in 1988-89, 1998-99, and 2005-2006 (Fig. 5).

Following the strong ENSO cycle 1997-1999, total flux anomalies were low or negative over a longer period (fall 1999 to fall 2004), only interrupted by an episodic peak in summer 2002 (Fig. 5a, b). ~~More~~ Other episodic peaks in sedimentation were found in winter/spring 1996-1997 and in the winter seasons 2004-2005, 2006-2007 and 2009-2010 (Fig. 5a, b). Longer intervals (several seasons) of negative flux anomalies were obtained in 1997-98 and 2009-11 (Fig. 5b). Total fluxes decreased from 1988 to 1991, from spring 2007 to 2010, later increasing from 2010 to 2012 (Fig. 5).

In general, the major bulk flux components followed the total flux and were well inter-correlated, except that the relationship between organic carbon and carbonate was weak in summer (Table 3). However, the regression-based relationships (i.e. the slope) varied interannually (e.g. Fischer et al., 200~~7~~9a). The matrix in Table 3 shows the correlation coefficients between organic carbon and nitrogen, BSi~~/~~, carbonate~~/~~ and lithogenic fluxes for the four seasons (lower traps only). Organic carbon and BSi (mainly diatoms) were highly correlated during the major upwelling events in winter (R^2=0.70~~, N=16~~) and summer/fall, whereas the relationship between organic carbon and total carbonate in summer was weak (R^2=0.16, ~~N=19;~~ Table 3). Dust fluxes peaked together with organic carbon, preferentially in winter and fall (R^2=0.63 ~~(N=16)~~ and 0.67 ~~(N=18)~~, respectively Table 3). The tight coupling between organic carbon and nitrogen is not surprising as both elements constitute organic matter formed during photosynthesis, which is later degraded in the upper water column forming sinking phytodetritus. The slope is almost constant (0.13-0.11) and the reciprocal value reflects the Redfield Ratio (Redfield et al., 1963) of the sinking organic-rich particles (Table 3). The molar C:N varied seasonally between 8.9 and 10.6, typical for sinking detritus collected in deep sediment traps.

On the long-term, the composition of settling particles in the deeper traps off Cape Blanc consisted of roughly 5~~6~~7% carbonate, ca. 30% lithogenic particles, ~~and about~~ 4% ~~of~~ organic carbon, 0.5% nitrogen and 5% BSi (Fig. 4). BSi contained mostly a mixture of coastal and open-ocean diatoms (Romero et al. 1999, 2002, and unpubl. data). The BSi flux pattern (Fig. 6) was influenced by switches from a positive to a negative NAO index which were reflected in decreasing winter opal fluxes, e.g. from 1989 to 1991, 1995-1996 and 2007 to 2010. From 2001 through 2006, NAO variability was rather low and the index was around zero or slightly negative (Fig. 6c; Table 4). Nevertheless, BSi fluxes varied considerably and showed episodic peaks in the summer seasons 2001, 2002 and 2003 (Fig. 6a, b). ~~Except in spring 2005~~, BSi flux was high and showed (positive anomalies~~)~~ in ~~the entire year~~ 2005, except for spring 2005 (Fig. 6a, b; Table 4).

The general flux pattern of BSi (Fig. 6a, b) with values from almost zero to 1.91 g m^{-2} did not match the SeaWiFS ocean colour time series trend which showed an overall decrease in chlorophyll from 1997 to 2010 (Fig. 6d). The organic carbon flux pattern (not shown, values from almost zero to 1.1 g m^{-2}) did not follow the ocean colour data from MODIS/SeaWiF~~s~~S either~~as well~~. Peak chlorophyll values were observed mostly during spring, except in 1998 (fall maximum) and 2007 (summer maximum). The MODIS ocean colour values generally mimicked the SeaWiFS pattern, except for the discrepancy in summer 2010 (Fig. 6d).

Tables 2-3; Figs. 4-6.

5. Discussion

5.1 Particle transport processes in the water column

Mass fluxes and particle transport processes off Cape Blanc (Mauritania) have been described by summarizing articles of Fischer et al. (2009b) and Karakas et al. (2006). Common flux patterns were the increase of fluxes in late winter-spring and late summer of all components at both trap levels. This matched the seasonal intensification of coastal upwelling (e.g. Meunier et al., 2012) due to wind forcing and a stronger offshore streaming of the Cape Blanc filament (e.g. Fischer et al., 2009). The increase of fluxes in late summer to fall was mostly due to enhanced biogenic carbonate sedimentation (Fig. 4~~d~~e), associated with the northward flowing warm MC coming from tropical regions (Mittelstaedt, 1991). In the Canary Current~~NW African~~ upwelling system, which is dominated by carbonate producers ~~(Fischer et al., 2007)~~, particle settling rates are rather high (around 300 m d^{-1}), compared to EBUEs dominated by BSi sedimentation (Fischer and Karakas, 2009; Fischer et al., 2009a). As suggested by Fischer et al. (2009a), the relatively high organic carbon flux in the deep ocean off NW Africa may be due to the high availability of mineral ballast, i.e. from coccolithophorids and fine-grained mineral dust (Iversen et al., 2010; Iversen and Ploug, 2010; Ploug et al., 2008). Direct evidence for the influence of the deposition of dust particles on the settling rates of larger particles and the flux attenuation in the epi- and mesopelagic has been found on short time~~-~~scales, i.e. days. This was observed during a severe dust outbreak in January 2012 (Iversen, unpubl. observations) by deploying drifting traps before and after the dust outbreak (Fig. 8a, insert image).

Kommentar [g2]: Flux ranges and values are now given (reviewer #2)

5.2 Influence of the NAO on biogenic silica sedimentation

The NAO both affects coastal upwelling and productivity off Mauritania through wind forcing (upwelling) and dust/nutrient supply (Chiapello et al., 2005), mainly during winter (DJFM) (Goudie and Middleton, 2001; Cropper et al., 2014). Indeed, we observed an increase of both the winter NAO index and associated winter BSi fluxes (Fig. 6, 7a~~, R^2=0.18, N=20~~), the latter known to be indicative of coastal upwelling strength~~s~~ and productivity. When plotting winter BSi fluxes versus the Azores pressure alone (DJFM Ponta Delgada SLP, 1989-2002), the relationship improves slightly (R^2=0.19 N=11, not shown) but remains statistically insignificant. Since upwelling is wind-driven and large-scale wind patterns in the study area are positively correlated to NAO variability (Fig. 3a), a close linkage between a positive (negative) NAO and higher (lower) BSi fluxes can be expected. Organic carbon flux showed less correspondence to the winter NAO index (not shown). No clear relationship can be seen between the winter (DJFM) NAO index and ~~spring~~ BSi and organic carbon fluxes later in spring, if we consider a time delay of a few weeks between wind forcing of coastal upwelling, high chlorophyll standing stock, particle formation and ~~in spring and~~ sedimentation and, finally, the documentation of increasing fluxes in the deep traps in spring.

Kommentar [g3]: Reviewer #1

From 2001 to 2006 when the winter NAO index became close to zero (Fig. 6c), the BSi flux showed rather unusual (episodic) peaks either in summer, fall ~~and~~ or in winter 2004-2005 (Fig. 6a, b). This suggests increasing coastal upwelling in summer and fall (e.g. Cropper et al., 2014) and/or a strengthening of the northward flowing and warmer MC, combined with an enhanced supply of a nutrient- and Si-richer source water (SACW instead of NACW). We favour the latter scenario as there is evidence of unusual warm surface water conditions (SST anomalies of +3°C) related to weak trade wind intensity between 2002 and 2004 (Zeeberg et al., 2008, Alheit et al., 2014). These conditions might have led to a stronger influence of the northward flowing MC and the silicate-richer SACW which mixes into the Cape Blanc upwelling filament and, thus, contributed to higher BSi productivity and sedimentation. Such a scenario was proposed by Romero et al. (2008) to explain the extraordinary high content of BSi in Late Quaternary sediments deposited off Cape Blanc during Heinrich Event 1 and Younger Dryas following the Last Glacial Maximum.

The 2004-2005 winter BSi flux clearly falls off the regression line of winter BSi flux versus the winter NAO index (Fig. 7a). Exceptional conditions in 2005 are also indicated when plotting the area with high chlorophyll (> 1 mg Chla m^{-3}) covered by the Cape Blanc filament (Fischer et al., 2009a) versus the BSi fluxes (Fig. 7b). In general,~~A~~ a larger (smaller) Cape Blanc filament area has been associated with higher (lower) BSi fluxes (Fig. 7b) and also with higher total mass fluxes (not shown)~~and BSi fluxes~~. However, in winter of 2004-2005 (a relatively cold season with negative SST anomalies), the filament area was smaller and chlorophyll standing stock was lower (Fig. 6d, 7b). Nevertheless, BSi fluxes were the highest of the entire record. ~~It appears that the area of the filament with high chlorophyll biomass is not related linearly to deep ocean mass fluxes.~~ The seasonal variability of chlorophyll from the entire SeaWiFS record (1997-2010, Fig. 6d) ~~also~~ indicates no relationship between the chlorophyll standing stock and deep ocean BSi flux (or organic carbon flux, not shown). These observations point to additional regulators for organic carbon and BSi export to the deep sea. Ocean colour imagery even revealed a decreasing trend from 1997 to 2010 (Fig.

6d), which suggests a decrease in upwelling. This is not ~~in concert~~ consistent with the 'Bakun upwelling intensification hypothesis' (Bakun, 1990) nor with studies from Kahru and Mitchell (2008). Throughout 2005, however, the positive BSi flux anomalies corresponded well with positive dust flux anomalies (Fig. 6b, 8b). As seen from Aerosol Optical Thickness (AOT, Fig. 8c), dust availability was rather high in 2005 and corresponded to high dust sedimentation in summer and fall 2005 (Fig. 8; see chapter ~~6~~5.3). We suggest, therefore, that the linear relationship between the NAO index and BSi fluxes may be biased in years of anomalous dust input into the surface ocean.

Fig. 7.

5.3 Interaction between mineral dust and the biological pump

Fischer and Karakas (2009) stated that particle settling rates ~~off NW Africa~~ and organic carbon fluxes in the Canary Current system were unusually high compared to other EBUEs. This was mainly attributed to particle loading by dust particles ~~off NW Africa~~ (see also Fischer et al., 200~~7~~9a, b, Iversen et al., 2010). ~~In January 2012 (RV Poseidon cruise 425) when chlorophyll increased in the study area, a two-day Saharan dust storm (Fig. 8a, insert image) led to increased carbon fluxes at 100 and 400 m water depth as measured by free-drifting traps prior and after the storm event (Iversen, unpubl.).~~ BSi and lithogenic (mineral dust) fluxes point to a close linear relationship (R^2=0.78 ~~(linear) or 0.9 (power law;~~ N=~~19~~21, Fig. 9a) mainly in winter where dust availability and deposition is high (Goudie and Middleton, 2001), but not in summer (~~Fig. 9b;~~ R^2=0.~~31~~56, N=23, Fig. 9b). High supply of dust into the surface ocean is often associated with dry conditions in the Sahel/Sahara in the previous year (Engelstaedter et al., 2006; Prospero and Lamb, 2003; Moulin and Chiapello, 2004). Indeed, the interval 2002-2004 in particular is known to have been much warmer and drier during summer/autumn on land and in the ocean (Zeeberg et al., 2008; Alheit et al., 2014). These conditions might have allowed the later~~-~~wind-induced mobilization of larger amounts of dust particles ~~by upward moving winds~~ into the atmosphere and le~~a~~d to a dust-enriched atmosphere during the entire year 2005, combined with elevated deep ocean mass fluxes.

Typically, highest dust flux off Cape Blanc occurs in winter, whereas part of the summer dust load (Fig. 8) is transported further westward and deposited in the Caribbean Sea (Goudie and Middleton, 2001; Prospero and Lamb, 2003). However, the rainfall pattern exhibits elevated precipitation in summer and fall 2005 when the tropical rainbelt was far north; this might have led to unusual wet deposition of dust in summer over our study site (Friese et al., ~~ms~~ 2016). As shown earlier, BSi fluxes show positive anomalies in summer and fall 2005 (Fig. 6b), pointing to a stronger dust-influenced biological pump.

In contrast to BSi, winter sedimentation of mineral dust did not show any~~-~~common trend with the winter NAO index (not shown). Using satellite-derived AOT, Chiapello et al. (2005) suggested a close relationship of atmospheric dust content and the NAO index. High AOT, however, does not necessarily correspond with high dust deposition into the ocean. Moreover, dust deposition into the ocean surface does not unavoidably and directly result in particle export and transfer to the deep ocean. Dust deposition is not only controlled by wind strength and direction in the trap area but also by source region conditions and precipitation over the trap site. Consequently, considering the NAO as the only controlling factor for dust deposition and sedimentation even if the correlation between SLP (and thus winds) and NAO is strong in the study area (Fig. 3a), would be an oversimplification.

Another explanation for the missing relationship could be that fine-grained dust accumulates in surface waters until the biological pump produces sufficient organic particles to allow the formation of larger particles which then settle into the deep ocean (Bory et al., 2002; Ternon et al., 2010, Nowald et al., 2015). Cape Blanc dust particles have predominant grain sizes between 10 and 20 µm (Ratmeyer et al., 1999a, b; Friese et al., 2016) and, thus, would sink too slowly to build a deep ocean flux signal. We propose that only the close coupling between the organic carbon pump, dust particles and the formation of dense and larger particles led to elevated export and sedimentation (Bory et al., 2002; Fischer et al., 2009a, Fischer and Karakas, 2009); Iversen, unpubl.Thunell et al. (2007) found that organic carbon fluxes strongly correlated with mineral fluxes in other upwelling-dominated continental margin time series such as the Santa Barbara Basin located within the California Current System. However, the detailed processes and interaction between different groups types of phytoplankton and types of ballast minerals (e.g. quartz versus clay minerals etc.) are largely unknown and need clarification. Laboratory experiments with different ballast minerals (e.g. Iversen and Roberts, 2015) and measurements of organic carbon respiration and particle settling rates suggest a significant influence of ballast minerals on particle settling rates, carbon respiration and flux (Ploug et al., 2008; Iversen and Ploug, 2010). In a time_series study with optical measurements, addressing particle characteristics (e.g. sizes) and using fluxes at the nearby eutrophic sediment trap off Cape Blanc (CB_{eu}), Nowald et al. (2015) suggested an influence of dust outbreaks on particle sedimentation down to 1200 m. Interestingly, settling organic-rich particles off Cape Blanc were only around 1 mm in size (marine snow is >0.5 mm by definition) during the two-year deployment from 2008 to 2010 (Nowald et al., 2015). Higher fluxes were mostly attributed to higher numbers of small particles rather than to larger particle sizes during blooms in the Cape Blanc area (Nowald et al., 2015). This demonstrates the need for seasonal studies on particle characteristics and particle settling rates, together with process studies to get further insights into the links between mineral dust input into the ocean and the biological pump.

Figs. 8, 9.

5.4 Carbonate fluxes and potential ENSO teleconnections

Deep ocean total mass and carbonate fluxes (Figs. 5 and 10) showed elevated values over more than a year from summer 1998 to fall 1999 during a La Niña event, whereas BSi and dust fluxes showed positive anomalies of shorter duration (fall 1998 to spring 1999) (Fig. 6b). Investigating SeaWiFS-derived ocean colour in the Mauritanian upwelling region, Pradhan et al. (2006) obtained a link between the multivariate ENSO index, the strength of upwelling and the chlorophyll standing stock (250% increase) during the 1998-1999 La Niña. They also observed that during the mature La Niña phase in the Pacific Ocean, NW African trade winds increased in winter-spring. Coincidentally, Helmke et al. (2005) obtained a more than doubling of the deep ocean organic carbon fluxes in fall 1998 to summer 1999 during the major La Niña phase.

We obtained positive carbonate flux anomalies with a longer duration in summer 1998 to fall 1999 and summer 2005 to spring 2006 (Fig. 10b). During fall 1998 (La Niña phase), the area of the Cape Blanc filament was unusually large compared with fall 1997 (El Niño phase) (Fig. 1 d, e). The contribution of major carbonate producers to total carbonate flux varied both on seasonal and interannual timescales (Fischer et al., 200~~7~~9a). These authors observed that nannofossils contributed almost 95% to carbonate sedimentation in 1991 (a relatively cold year) but only 64% in 1989 (a relatively warm year). On the long-term, nannofossils showed a rather low seasonality. Among the calcareous microorganisms, pteropods had the strongest seasonal signal which did not quite match the pattern of carbonate flux (Fig. 10a, c). As previously observed by Kalberer et al. (1993), a possible explanation is the high pteropod flux (mostly *Limacina inflata*) in summer 1989 due to unusual high SSTs. In our record, we found distinct pulses of pteropods in the summer seasons of 1998, 2002 and 2004 (Fig. 10c). In particular, the peaks in 2002 and 2004 can be attributed to anomalously warm conditions in the study area (Zeeberg et al., 2008; Alheit et al., 2014). Here, a period of near-neutral NAO together with an almost permanent El Niño phase during 2002-2004 might have acted in concert towards weakening trade winds which allows a stronger influence of the warm and northward flowing MC, supplying high amounts of pteropods from tropical waters. In summary, ENSO may impact differently on different flux components. Whereas an increase in pteropod fluxes is found during the El Niño phase, La Niña induces an increase in total carbonate flux.

Fig. 10.

5.5 Decadal variability and potential trends in mass fluxes

Our records allow a first estimate of deep-ocean mass flux variations beyond seasonal-to-interannual timescales. The 'Bakun upwelling intensification hypothesis' (Bakun, 1990) has been supported by other studies using long-term SSTs, wind stress records or upwelling indices (e.g. Cropper et al., 2014, Narayan et al., 2010). Kahru and Mitchell (2008) applied satellite derived chlorophyll time series from SeaWiFS to conclude that chlorophyll standing stock in major upwelling regions of the world oceans had increased since September 1997. However, these records are rather short (1997-2006) and started in an unusual period with the strongest ENSO ever reported (1997-1998). In our record, no long-term trend in any mass flux component from 1988 through 2012 is seen, which indicates a long term increase or decrease in the strength of coastal upwelling off Cape Blanc. The 1997-2010 chlorophyll time series from SeaWiFS (Fig. 6d) shows a decreasing standing stock, which might indicate a decrease in the strength of coastal upwelling in the Cape Blanc area. The upwelling indices used by Cropper et al. (2014) showed a ~~downward~~ decreasing trend from 1980 to 2013 for the Mauritanian-Senegalese upwelling zone (12-19°N), while observing some interdecadal variability. All these observations together point to regional differences within the upwelling system along the NW African coast (Cropper et al., 2014) with respect to long-term trends in upwelling and chlorophyll standing stock. According to these findings, only the southernmost weak permanent upwelling zone (21-26°N) would be in concert with the 'Bakun upwelling intensification hypothesis'. Another implication is that trends detected from near-surface data/indices are not necessarily reflected in changes of deep-ocean mass fluxes and organic carbon sequestration. No evidence of decreasing dust fluxes from the Sahara/Sahel is seen in our lithogenic (dust) flux ~~-~~record (Fig. 8a), which might indicate 'Saharan greening' and reduced dust plumes during the past two decades (Zhao et al., 2010; Fontaine et al. 2011). Thus, mass flux patterns might be partly independent from chlorophyll standing stock or the size of the Cape Blanc filament.

Long-term model simulations under present-climate boundary conditions allow to study the linkages within the climate system on decadal timescales and beyond. Climate modes such as the AMO are operating in this frequency band, and a correlation between large-scale patterns of SLP and North Atlantic SST (AMO) index (both lowpass-filtered for periods above 10 years, Fig. 3c) suggests that even on these long timescales, climate modes such as the AMO might impact on climate variables such as SLP, SST and wind patterns, specifically through a weakening of the trade winds over the eastern Atlantic during the AMO warm phase (Fig. 3c). This response of the winds to low-frequent SST variations is consistent with earlier findings on interdecadal Atlantic SST variability (Kushnir, 1994; Alexander et al., 2014), and could influence the main characteristics of particle fluxes at our study site (Fig. 5). However, as current particle flux records from sediment traps only cover a few decades and cannot resolve AMO cycles with statistical robustness, continuation of trap experiments are essential to capture all relevant timescale variations. They will help to understand modern particle settling rates and the interpretation of marine sediment records used in paleoclimate reconstructions.

6. Summary and Conclusions

In our study, we presented a sediment trap record from the Eastern Boundary Upwelling Ecosystem area off Cape Blanc (Mauritania) for the period 1988-2012. Our major findings can be summarized as follows (also see Table 4):

~~We made the following major findings which are in part summarized in Table 4:~~

~~1. Fluxes from 1988 to 2012 point to a long-term decadal variability, probably related to the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO).record is~~

~~2~~1. Winter BSi fluxes showed a trend of increasing values with an increasing NAO Hurrell Index ~~(Table 4)~~ and the increasing Azores SLP as well. However, both relationship~~s~~ ~~is~~are statistically insignificant.

~~3~~2. Episodic BSi flux peaks occurred between 2000 and 2005 when the NAO was neutral or negative ~~(Table 4~~. Dust outbreaks, followed by dry (winter) and wet (summer) deposition (e.g. in 2005) into the ocean, might have modified the efficiency of the biological pump and resulted in increased downward fluxes (e.g. of BSi or organic carbon) which were not related to any large scale forcings ~~such as the NAO.~~

~~4~~3. Only the extreme 1997-2000 ENSO was documented clearly in the record, with low fluxes for almost a year during the warm El Niño phase, followed by high fluxes of almost a year during the following cold La Niña phase~~ (Table 4)~~.

~~5~~4. In addition to episodic BSi fluxes, episodic peaks of pteropods occurred in the summers 2002 and 2004 (Fig. 10c, Table 4). This occurred during ~~was due to~~ a neutral NAO phase and weakening trade winds, allowing a stronger influence from tropical surface waters from the south via the Mauritani~~e~~an Current (MC) and an entrainment of Si-richer subsurface waters~~ (SACW)~~.

~~6~~5. Teleconnections from ENSO and the NAO may have opposite effects on the NW African upwelling (Fig. 3) with potential implications for deep ocean mass fluxes. In particular, ENSO might confound the relationship between the NAO and BSi fluxes.

6. Fluxes from 1988 to 2012 point to a long-term decadal variability, probably related to the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation. However, the time series record is too short to reproduce AMO cycles with statistical robustness.

7. No long-term trend of any flux component was observed in the Mauritanian upwelling off Cape Blanc and therefore does not~~which might~~ support the 'Bakun upwelling intensification hypothesis' (Bakun, 1990; Cropper et al., 2014).

8. We found no evidence of an increasing/decreasing supply of dust and its deposition off Mauritania between 1988 and 2012.

Table 4

The long-term flux record allows insights into the influences of major climatic oscillations such as the NAO and ~~and AMO~~ on ~~the~~ particle export and transfer of particles to the deep ocean and might help to evaluate how th~~is~~e ecosystem~~ ~~ off Mauritania could develop in the future. We have some indications that the relationships between major Northern Hemisphere climate oscillations (e.g. the NAO) and deep ocean mass fluxes are weakened by short-term ecosystem perturbations, e.g. due to dust outbreaks, the latter probably leading to episodic sedimentation pulses into the deep ocean. The complex processes of the interaction of non-biogenic particles (e.g. different minerals within dust, e.g. Iversen and Roberts, 2015) with organic materials produced by photosynthesis, aggregate formation and disintegration in the epi- and mesopelagic, particle characteristics (e.g. Nowald et al., 2015), settling rates and remineralization require further process studies, combined with laboratory experiments and different modelling approaches (e.g. particle (dis-) aggregation, Karakas et al., 2009).

Additionally, our record provides information on potential long-term changes or trends of mass fluxes which point to ecosystem changes or an intensification/weakening of the NW African upwelling system in the study area. Considering the present ~~and~~ ~~limited~~ record of bulk fluxes of more than two decades, we have no indication of any long-term trend which might suggest a fundamental ecosystem change or a regime shift (step-wise change) in this important coastal upwelling ecosystem ~~off NW Africa~~.

Author contribution

G. Fischer prepared the ms with contributions from the co-authors. O. Romero investigated the diatom producers and contributed to the discussion, U. Merkel ~~performed~~ the model simulations and the analysis, B. Donner studied the carbonate producers, M. Iversen and his group did the dust experiments and provided unpublished results/observations, N. Nowald and V. Ratmeyer performed the optical observations and analysis of particles, G. Ruhland and M. Klann designed the sediment trap experiments and analysed the sediment trap samples, G. Wefer planned the entire program and contributed to the discussion.

Acknowledgements

We are greatly indebted to the masters and crews of many expeditions ~~which were listed in~~ (Table 2). Many thanks also go to the chief scientists of the expeditions for their support during the cruises and for the planning activities and cooperations. We also would like to thank the Mauritanian, Moroccan and German authorities for their help during the planning phases of the expeditions. This work was only possible because of the long-term funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft through the SFB 261 (The South Atlantic in the Late Quaternary: Reconstruction of Mass Budget and Current Systems, 1989-2001) and the Research Center Ocean Margins. During about the last decade, the study is supported by the Marum Excellence Cluster, 'The Ocean in the Earth System'. The model simulation done by U. Merkel has been performed at the supercomputer of the Norddeutscher Verbund für Hoch- und Höchstleistungsrechnen (HLRN), Hannover, Germany. We thank the two anonymous reviewers for helpful, fair and constructive comments and the associate editor for handling the manuscript.

References

Alexander, M.A., Kilbourne, K.H., and Nye, J.A.: Climate variability during warm and cold phases of the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) 1871–2008. J. Marine Syst., Vol. 133, 14-26, 2014.

Alheit, J., Licandro, P., Coombs, S., Garcia, A., Giráldez, A., Santamaría, M.T.G., Slotte, A. and Tsikliras, A.C.: Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) modulates dynamics of small pelagic fishes and ecosystem regime shifts in the eastern North and Central Atlantic. J. Marine Syst., 133, 88-102, 2014.

Arístegui, J., Barton, E. C., Álvarez-Salgado, X. A., Santos, A. M. P., Figueiras, F. G., Kifani, S., Hernández-León, S., Mason, E., Machú,E., and Demarcq, H.: : Sub-regional ecosystem variability in the Canary Current upwelling, Prog. Oceanogr., 83, 33–48., 2009.

Armstrong, R.A., Lee, C., Hedges, J.I., Honjo, S., and Wakeham, S.G.: A new mechanistic model of organic carbon fluxes in the ocean based on the quantitative association of POC with ballast minerals, Deep-Sea Res. II, 49, 219-236, 2002.

Bakun, A.: Global climate change and intensification of coastal ocean upwelling. Science 247, 198-201, 1990.

Barton, E. D., Arístegui, J., Tett, P., Cantón, M., García-Braun, J., Hernández-León, S., Nykjaer, L., Almeida, C., Almunia, J., Ballesteros, S., Basterretxea, G., Escánez, J., García-Weill, L., Hernández-Guerra, A., López-Laatzen, F., Molina, P., Montero, M.F ., Navarro-Pérez, E., Rodriguez, J. M., van Lenning, K., Vélez, H., and Wild, K.: Eastern Boundary of the North Atlantic: northwest Africa and Iberia, in: The Global Coastal Ocean, Vol. 11, edited by: Robinson, A. R. and Brink, K., John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Weinheim, Brisbane, Singapore, Toronto, 29–67, 1998.

Behrenfeld, M. J., Randerson, J. T., McClain, C. R., Feldman, G. C., Los, S. O., Tucker, C. J., Falkowski, P., Field, C. B., Frouin, R., Esaias, W. E., Kolber, D. D., and Pollack, N. H.: Biospheric primary production during an ENSO transition, Science, 291, 2594–2597, 2001.

Behrenfeld, M.J., and Falkowski, P.G.: Photosynthetic rates derived from satellite based chlorophyll concentration. Limnol. Oceanogr., 42 (1), 1–20, 1997.

Bode, A., Alvarez-Ossorio, M.T., Cabanas, J.M., Miranda, A., and Varela, M.: Recent trends in plankton and upwelling intensity off Galicia (NW Spain). Prog. Oceanogr., 83, 1-4, 342-350, 2009.

Bory, A.J.M., and Newton, P.P.: Transport of airborne lithogenic material down through the water column in two contrasting regions of the eastern subtropical North Atlantic Ocean. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 14 (1), 297–315, 2000.

Bory, A., Dulac, F., Moulin, C., Chiapello, I., Newton, P.P., Guelle, W., Lambert, C.E., and Bergametti, G.: Atmospheric and oceanic dust fluxes in the northeastern tropical Atlantic ocean: how close a coupling? Ann. Geophys., 20, 2067–2076, 2002.

Bressac, M., Gieu, C., Doraxan, D., Bourrin, F., Desboeufs, K., Leblond, N., and C. Ridame: Quantification of the lithogenic pump following a dust deposition event, Biogeosciences, 11, 1007-1020, 2014.

Brust, J., Schulz-Bull, D.E., Leipe, T., Chavagnac, V., and Waniek, J.J.: Descending particles: from the atmosphere to the deep ocean: A time series study in the subtropical NE Atlantic. Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L06603. http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2010GL045399, 2011.

Buesseler, K. O., Antia, A. A., Chen, M., Fowler, S. W., Gardner, W. D., Gustafsson, O., Harada, K., Michaels, A. F., Rutgers van der Loeff_, M., Sarin, M., Steinberg, D. K., and Trull, T.: An assessment of the use of sediment traps for estimating upper ocean particle fluxes, J. Mar. Res., 65, 345–416, 2007.

Carr, M.-E.: Estimation of potential productivity in Eastern Boundary Currents using remote sensing. Deep-Sea Res. I, 49, 59–80, 2002.

Checkley, D.M.Jr., and Barth, J.A.: Patterns and processes in the California Current Systems. Prog. Oceanogr., 83, 49-64, 2009.

Chiapello, I., Moulin, C., and Prospero, J.M.: Understanding the long-term variability of African dust transport across the Atlantic as recorded in both Barbados surface concentrations and large-scale Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) optical thickness. J. Geophys. Res., 110, D18S10, doi;10.1029/2004JD005132, 2005.

Collins, W. D., Bitz, C.M., Blackmon, M.L. Bonan, G.B., Bretherton, C.S., Carton, J.A., Chang, P., Doney, S.C., Hack, J.J., Henderson, T.B., Kiehl, J.T., Large, W.G., McKenna, D.S., Santer, B.D., and Smith, R.D.: The Community Climate System Model Version (CCSM3), J. Climate, 19, 2122-2143. 2006.

Cropper, T.E., Hanna, and E., Bigg, G.R.: Spatial and temporal seasonal trends in coastal upwelling off Northwest Africa, 1981–2012. Deep-Sea Res. II, 86, 94–111, 2014.

Diatta, S., and Fink, A. H.: Statistical relationship between remote climate indices and West African monsoon variability, Int. J. Climatol., 34, 3348–3367, doi:10.1002/joc.3912, 2014.

Ducklow, H.W., Doney, S.C., and Steinberg, D.K.: Contributions of long-term research and time-series observations to marine ecology and biogeochemistry. Annu. Rev. Mar. Sci., 1, 279-302, 2009.

Dunne, J. P., Sarmiento, J.L., and Gnanadesikan, A.: A synthesis of global particle export from the surface ocean and cycling through the ocean interior and on the seafloor, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 21, GB4006, doi:10.1029/2006GB002907, 2007.

Engelstaedter, S., Tegen I., and Washington, R.: North African dust emissions and transport. Earth Sci. Rev., 79, 73-100, 2006.

Fischer, G., and Wefer, G.: Sampling, preparation and analysis of marine particulate matter. In: The Analysis and Characterization of Marine Particles, edited by Hurd, D.C., and Spencer, D.W., Geophysical Monograph Series, No. 63, 391-397, 1991.

Fischer, G., Donner, B., Ratmeyer, V., Davenport, R., and Wefer, G.:. Distinct year-to-year particle flux variations off Cape Blanc during 1988–1991: Relation to delta $\delta^{18}O$-deduced sea-surface temperatures and trade winds. J. Marine Res., 54 (1), 73–98, 1996.

Fischer, G., Wefer, G., Romero, O., Dittert, N., Ratmeyer, V. and Donner, B.: Transfer of particles into the deep Atlantic and the global Ocean: control of nutrient supply and ballast production. In: The South Atlantic in the Late Quaternary: Reconstruction of material budget and current systems, edited by Wefer, G., Mulitza, S. and Ratmeyer, V., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 21-46, 2003.

Fischer, G., Karakas, G., Blaas, M., Ratmeyer, V., Nowald, N., Schlitzer, R., Helmke, P., Davenport, R., Donner, B., Neuer, S., and Wefer, G.: Mineral ballast and particle settling rates in the coastal upwelling system off NW Africa and the South Atlantic. 98, 281–298, doi:10.1007/s00531-007-0234-7, 2009a.

Fischer, G., Reuter, C., Karakas, G., Nowald, N., and Wefer, G.: Offshore advection of particles within the Cape Blanc filament, Mauritania: Results from observational and modelling studies. Prog. Oceanogr., 83, 322–330, 2009b.

Fischer, G., and Karakas, G.: Sinking rates and ballast composition of particles in the Atlantic Ocean: implications for the organic carbon fluxes to the deep ocean. Biogeosciences 6, 85–102, 2009~~b~~.

~~Fischer, G., Reuter, C., Karakas, G., Nowald, N., and Wefer, G.: Offshore advection of particles within the Cape Blanc filament, Mauritania: Results from observational and modelling studies. Prog. Oceanogr., 83, 322–330, 2009.~~

Fischer, G., S. Neuer, R. Davenport, O. Romero, V. Ratmeyer, B. Donner, T. Freudenthal, H. Meggers and G. Wefer. The Northwest African Margin. In: Carbon and Nutrient Fluxes in Continental Margins: A Global Synthesis, edited by Liu, K .K., Atkinson, L., Quinones, R., Talaue-McManaus, L., IGBP Book Series, Springer, Berlin, 77-103. 2010.

Fontaine, B., Roucou, P., Gaetani, M., and Marteau, R.: Recent changes in precipitation, ITCZ convection and northern tropical circulation over North Africa (1979-2007). Int. J. Climatol., 31, 633-648, 2011.

Fréon, P., Barange, M., and Aristegui, J.: Eastern Boundary Upwelling Ecosystems: integrative and comparative approaches. Prog. Oceanogr., 83, 1–14, 2009.

Friese, C., van der Does, M., Merkel, U., Iversen, M., Fischer, G., and Stuut, J.-B.: Environmental factors controlling the seasonal variation in particle size of modern Saharan dust deposited offshore Cape, Blanc. Aeolian Res., in press ~~submitted~~, 2016.

Goudie, A.S., and Middleton, N.J.: Saharan dust storms: nature and consequences. Earth Sci. Rev., 56, 179-204, 2001.

Hedges, J.I., Baldock, J.A., Gelinas, Y., Lee, C., Peterson, M.L., and Wakeham, S.G.: The biochemical and elemental compositions of marine plankton: a NMR perspective. Marine Chemistry 78 (1), 47–63, 2002.

Helmke, P., Romero, O., and Fischer, G.: Northwest African upwelling and its effect on off-shore organic carbon export to the deep sea, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB4015, doi:10.1029/2004GB002265, 2005.

Hsu, N.C., Gautam, R., Sayer, A.M., Betten~~a~~hausen, C., Li, C., Jeong, M.J., Tsay, S.-C., and Holben, B.N.: Global and regional trends of aerosol optical depth over land and ocean using SeaWiFS measurements from 1997-2010. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8037-8053, 2012.

Hurrell, J.W.: NAO Index Data provided by the Climate Analysis Section, NCAR, Boulder, USA, Updated regularly. Accessed 01 January 2012, 1995.

Iversen, M.H., and Ploug, H.: Ballast minerals and the sinking carbon flux in the ocean: carbon-specific respiration rates and sinking velocities of marine snow aggregates. Biogeosciences 7, 2613–2624. http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/bg-7-2613-2010, 2010.

Iversen, M.H., Nowald, N., Ploug, H., Jackson, G.A., and Fischer, G.: High resolution profiles of vertical particulate organic matter export off Cape Blanc, Mauritania: degradation processes and ballasting effects. Deep Sea Res. I, 57, 771–784, 2010.

Iversen, M.H, and Robert, M.L.: Ballasting effects of smectite on aggregate formation and export from a natural plankton community. Marine Chem., 175, 18-27, 2015.

Jickells, T.D., An, Z.S., Andersen, K.K., Baker, A.R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Cao, J.J., Boyd, P.W., Duce, R.A., Hunter, K.A., Kawahata, H., Kubilay, N., laRoche, J., Liss, P.S., Mahowald, N., Prospero, J.M., Ridgwell, A.J., Tegen, I., and Torres, R.: Global iron connections between desert dust, ocean biogeochemistry, and climate. Science, 308 (5718), 67–71, 2005.

Kahru, M., and Mitchell, B. G.: Ocean colour reveals increased blooms in various parts of the world ocean. EOS 89, 170, 2008.

Kalberer, M., G. Fischer, J. Pätzold, B. Donner, M. Segl and Wefer, G.: Seasonal sedimentation and stable isotope records of pteropods off Cape Blanc. Marine Geol., 113, 305-320, 1993.

Karakas, G., Nowald, N., Blaas, M., Marchesiello, P., Frickenhaus, S., and Schlitzer, R.: High-resolution modeling of sediment erosion and particle transport across the northwest African shelf. J. Geophys. Res., 111. C06025, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2005JC003296, 2006.

Karakas, G., Nowald, N., Schäfer-Neth, C., Iversen, M.H., Barkmann, W., Fischer, G., Marchesiello, P., and Schlitzer, R.: Impact of particle aggregation on vertical fluxes of organic matter. Prog. Oceanogr. 83, 331–341, 2009.

Kaufman, Y. J., Koren, I., Remer, L. A., Tanré,D., Ginoux, P., and Fan, S.: Dust transport and deposition from the Terra-Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) spacecraft over the Atlantic Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D10S12, doi:10.1029/2003JD004436, 2005.

Klaas, C., and Archer, D.E.: Association of sinking organic matter with various types of ballast in the deep sea: Implications for the rain ratio, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 16(4), 1116, doi:10.1029/2001GB001765, 2002.

Kremling, K., Lentz, U., Zeitzschell, B., Schulz-Bull, D.E., and Duinker, J.C.: New type of time-series sediment trap for the reliable collection of inorganic and organic trace chemical substances. Rev. Scient. Instr., 67, 4360–4363, 1996.

Kushnir, Y.: Interdecadal variations in North Atlantic sea surface temperature and associated atmospheric conditions. J. of Climate, 7(1), 141-157, 1994.

Lathuilière, C., Echevin, V., and Levy, M.: Seasonal and intraseasonal surface chlorophyll-a variability along the northwest African coast. J. Geophys. Res., Oceans, 13 (C5), C05007, doi:10.1029/2007/JC004433, 2008.

Lucio, P.S., Baldicero Molion, L.C., de Avial-Valadão, C.E., Conde, F.C., Malheiro Ramos, A., and Dias de Melo, M.L.: Dynamical outlines of the rainfall variability and the ITCZ role over the West Sahel., Atmospheric Climate Sci., 2, 337-350, 2012.

Mahowald, N., Kohfeld, K., Hansson, M., Balkanski, Y., Harrison, S.P., Prentice, I.C., Schulz, M., and Rodhe, H.: Dust sources and deposition during the last glacial maximum and current climate: a comparison of model results with paleodata from ice cores and marine sediments. J. Geophys. Res., Atmospheres, 104 (D13), 15895–15916, 1999.

Marcello, J., Hernandez-Guerra, A., Eugenio, F., and Fonte, A.,: Seasonal and temporal study of the northwest African upwelling system. Internat. J. Remote Sens., 32, 7, 1843-1859, 2011.

McGregor, H.V., Dima, M., Fischer, H.W., and Mulitza, S.: Rapid 20^{th} century increase in coastal upwelling off Northwest Africa. Science, 315, 637-639, 2007.

Meunier, T., Barton, E.D., Barreiro, B., and Torres, R.: Upwelling filaments off Cape Blanc: interaction of the NW African upwelling current and the Cape Verde frontal zone eddy field? J. Geophys. Res., Oceans, 117 (C8), C08031, doi:10.1029/2012JC007905, 2012.

Mittelstaedt, E.,: The ocean boundary along the northwest African coast: Circulation and oceanographic properties at the sea surface: Prog. Oceanogr., 26, 307–355, 1991.

Moulin, C. and Chiapello, I.: Evidence of the control of summer atmospheric tansport of African dust over the Atlantic by Sahel sources from TOMS satellites (1979-2000). Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L02107, doi:10.1029/2003GL019031, 2004.

Müller P.J. and Schneider, R.: An automated leaching method for the determination of opal in sediments and particulate matter. Deep Sea Res. I, 40, 425-444, 1993.

Muller-Karger, F. E., Varela, R., Thunell, R., Luerssen, R., Hu, C., and Walsh, J. J.: The importance of continental margins in the global carbon cycle, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L01602, doi:10.1029/2004GL021346, 2005.

Narajan, N., Paul, A., and Schulz, M.: Trends in coastal upwelling intensity during the late 20th century. Ocean Sci., 6, 815-823, 2014.

Neuer, S., Torres-Padron, M.E., Gelado-Caballeo, M.D., Rueda, M.J., Hernandez-Brito, J., Davenport, R., and Wefer, G.: Dust deposition to the eastern subtropical North Atlantic gyre: Does ocean's biogeochemistry respond? Global Biogeochem. Cy., 18, GB4020, http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2004GB002228, 2004.

Nicholson, S.E.: The West African Sahel: A review of recent studies on the rainfall regime and its interannual variability. ISRN Meteorology, 2013, 453521, doi:10.1155/2013/453521, 2013.

Nowald, N., Iversen, M.H., Fischer, G., Ratmeyer, V., and Wefer, G.: Time series of in-situ particle properties and sediment trap fluxes in the coastal upwelling filament off Cape Blanc, Mauritania. Prog. Oceanogr., 137, Part A, 1–11, 2015, doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2014.12.015, 2015.

N'Tchayi Mbourou, G., Berrand, J.J., and Nicholson, S.E.: The diurnal and seasonal cycles of wind-borne dust over Africa north of the equator. J. Appl. Meteorology, 36, 868-882, 1997.

Nykjaer, L., and Van Camp, L.: Seasonal and interannual variability of coastal upwelling along northwest Africa and Portugal from, 1981to 1991. J. Geophys. Res., 99, 197–207, 1994.

Pauly, D., and Christensen, V.: Primary production required to sustain global fisheries. Nature, 374, 255-257, 1995.

Ploug, H., Iversen, M.H., and Fischer, G.: Ballast, sinking velocity, and apparent diffusivity within marine snow and zooplankton fecal pellets: implications for substrate turnover by attached bacteria. Limnol. Oceanogr., 53 (5), 1878–1886, 2008.

Pradhan, Y., Lavender, S.J., Hardman-Mountford, N.J., and Aiken, J.: Seasonal and inter-annual variability of chlorophyll-a concentration in the Mauritanian upwelling: observation of an anomalous event during 1998–1999. Deep Sea Res. II, 53, 1548–1559, 2006.

Prospero, J.M.: Mineral-aerosol transport to the North Atlantic and North Pacific: The impact of African and Asian sources. In: The long range atmospheric transport of natural and contaminant substances, edited by Knap, A.H., Dordrecht, Mathematical and Physical Sciences. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 19–52, 1990.

Prospero, J.M., and Lamb, P.J.: African droughts and dust transport to the Caribbean: climate change implications. Science, 302, 1024-1027, 2003.

Ratmeyer, V., Fischer, G. and Wefer, G.: Lithogenic particle fluxes and grain size distributions in the deep ocean off northwest Africa: Implications for seasonal changes of aeolian dust input and downward transport, Deep-Sea Res. II, 46, 1289-1337, 1999a.

Ratmeyer, V., Balzer, W., Bergametti, G., Chiapello, I., Fischer, G., and Wyputta, U.: Seasonal impact of mineral dust on deep-ocean particle flux in the eastern subtropical Atlantic Ocean, Mar. Geol., 159, 241–252, 1999b.

Redfield, A. C., Ketchum, B.H., and Richards, F.A.: The influence of organisms on the composition of seawater. In: The Sea, edited by Hill, M.N., Vol. 2, Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 26-77, 1963.

Romero, O.E., Lange, C.B., Swap, R.J., and Wefer, G.: Eolian-transported freshwater diatoms and phytoliths across the equatorial Atlantic record temporal changes in Saharan dust transport patterns. J. Geophys. Res., 104, 3211-3222, 1999.

Romero, O.E., Lange, C.B., and Wefer, G.: Interannual variability (1988-1991) of siliceous phytoplankton fluxes off northwest Africa. J. Plank. Res., 24 (10), 1035-1046, doi:1010.1093/plankt/1024.1010.1035, 2002.

Romero, O. E., Kim, J.-H. , and Donner, B.: Submillennial-to-millennial variability of diatom production off Mauritania, NW Africa, during the last glacial cycle, Paleoceanography, 23, PA3218, doi:10.1029/2008PA001601, 2008.

Shanahan, T. M., Overpeck, J. T., and Anchukaitis, K. J., Beck, J. W., Cole, J. E., Dettman, D. L., Peck, J. A., Scholz, C. A., and King, J. W.: Atlantic Forcing of persistent drought in West Africa, Science, 324, 377–380, 2009.

Siegel, D.A., and Deuser, W.G.: Trajectories of sinking particles in the Sargasso Sea: modeling of statistical funnels above deep-ocean sediment traps. Deep-Sea Res. I, 44 (9–10), 1519–1541, 1997.

Ternon, E., Guieu, C., Loye-Pilot, M.-D., Leblond, N., Bosc, E., Gasser, B., Miquel, J.C., and Martin, J.: The impact of Saharan dust on the particulate export in the water column of the North Western Mediterranean Sea. Biogeosciences 7, 809–826, 2010.

Thunell, R., Benitez-Nelson, C., Varela, R., Astor, Y., and Muller-Karger, F.: Particulate organic carbon fluxes along upwelling-dominated continental margins: rates and mechanisms. Glob. Biogeochem. Cy., 21, GB1022, doi:10.1029/2006GB002793, 2007.

Van Camp, L., Nykjær, L., Mittelstaedt, E., and Schlittenhardt, P.: Upwelling and boundary circulation off Northwest Africa as depicted by infrared and visible satellite observations. Prog. Oceanogr., 26, 357–402, 1991.

Yeager, S. G., Shields, C.A., Large, W.G., and Hack, J.J. : The Low-Resolution CCSM3, J. Climate, 19, 2545-2566, 2006.

Yu E. F., Francois R., Honjo S., Fleer A.P., Manganini S.J., Rutgers van der Loeff, M.M., and Ittekkot V.: Trapping efficiency of bottom-tethered sediment traps estimated from the intercepted fluxes of ^{230}Th and ^{231}Pa. Deep-Sea Res. I, 48, 865-889, 2001.

Zeeberg, J., Corten, A., Tjoe-Awie, P., Coca, J., and Hamady, B.: Climate modulates the effects of *Sardinella aurita* fisheries o_ Northwest Africa, Fish. Res., 89, 65–75, 2008.

Zenk, W., Klein, B., and Schroder, M.: Cape Verde Frontal Zone. Deep Sea Res. I, 38, 505–530, 1991.

Zhao, T. X.-P., Laszlo, I., Guo, W., Heidinger, A., Cao, C., Jelenak, A., Tarpley, D., and Sullivan, J.: Study of long-term trend in aerosol optical thickness observed from operational AVHRR satellite instrument, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D07201, doi:10.1029/2007JD009061, 2008.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. General setting of the study area: a: Oceanographic setting in the area of the long-term mooring site Cape Blanc (CB_{meso}) within the Cape Blanc filament (green arrow), dissolving into eddies (indicated as circles with arrows) further offshore. The Cape Verde Frontal Zone (CVFZ) separating the subsurface water masses of the NACW and the SACW (Zenk et al., 1991) is shown. Upwelling zones are marked according to Cropper et al. (2014). Ocean colour map (chlorophyll, 9 km resolution) from MODIS is shown for two extreme years, winter 2006-2007 (b: NAO+) and winter 2009-2010 (c: NAO-). SeaWiFS ocean colour during two contrasting situations for the strongest ENSO cycle 1997-1999: fall 1997 during the warm El Niño phase (d), and fall 1998 during the cold La Niña event (e). The study site CB_{meso} is indicated by a square box in the ocean colour pictures, green arrow indicates the Cape Blanc filament, yellow arrows the major dust transport. *MC=Mauritanian Current, CC=Canary Current, NEC=North Equatorial Current.*

Fig. 2. The NAO Hurrell index (DJFM, station-based, Lisbon-Rejkjavik; Hurrell, 1995) plotted from 1864 to 201~~2~~4. ~~Note the strong changes during the last four decades.~~ Grey shading indicates the time period covered by the long-term flux record off Cape Blanc, Mauritania. A 5-point running mean is shown by the thick line.

Fig. 3: Teleconnections affecting the study site off Cape Blanc. Correlation of simulated sea-level pressure (SLP) with a: the NAO SLP index after Hurrell (Hurrell, 1995; boreal winter season), b: the Nino3 SST index (boreal winter season), and c: North Atlantic SST (low pass-filter applied considering periods above 10 years). Analysis based on the last 100 model years of a present-day control simulation using the CCSM3 model.

Fig. 4. ~~Long-term~~ ~~s~~Seasonal means of major bulk fluxes of the lower traps only (a: total, b: organic carbon, c: nitrogen, d: biogenic silica (=BSi), e: carbonate, and f: lithogenic=mineral dust) and the respective standard deviations (1 s.d.), which reflect interannual variability. Relative contributions (%) of BSi, organic carbon, nitrogen, carbonate and lithogenic materials to total mass in the respective seasons are indicated by numbers below the bars.

Fig. 5. a: Total mass fluxes of the lower traps (grey-shaded). Gaps were filled with upper trap data (light grey bars~~light stippled~~). Deviations of the seasonal total mass fluxes from the long-term seasonal means (anomalies), fitted with a 9-order polynom~~e~~ial (b). c: Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) Index based on monthly SST fitted with a 9th-order polynomial fit (dashed blue line). The strong ENSO cycle 1997-1999 with a warm El Niño and a cold La Niña phase is indicated.

Fig. 6. a: Seasonal flux of biogenic silica (BSi, green) with gaps filled from the upper trap data (light green bars~~light green~~). Deviations of the long-term seasonal means (anomalies, b). c: The NAO Hurrell index (DJFM). d: Seasonal chlorophyll concentration both from the MODIS (light green) and the SeaWiFS (dark green) sensors at 9 km resolution. Note that high chlorophyll biomass is generally occurring in spring but

sometimes in summer/fall as well (e.g. in 1998, 2007). SeaWiFS chlorophyll reveals a downward trend from 1997 to 2010, not mimicked in any flux data. The strong ENSO cycle 1997-1999 with a warm El Niño and a cold La Niña phase is indicated.

Fig. 7. a: The NAO Hurrell index (DJFM, Hurrell, 1995) plotted against winter BSi fluxes from Fig. 6. Note the increase of BSi with increasing NAO index. However, the relationship is weak~~correlation coefficient is low~~ due to unusual sedimentation events in the years 1998-99, 2002, 2004, and, in particular in 2005. When omitting the data point from 2005, the correlation coefficient increases, but remains low (R^2=0.14, N=20). Upper trap flux data from winter 1998 and 2004 may be too low as the filament with elevated chlorophyll was small and the particles did not reach the upper trap (see text). Omitting these two data point would slightly improve the relationship.

Kommentar [g4]: See cmment reviewer #1

b: The size of the Cape Blanc filament (Fischer et al., 2009) during winter months (DJFM) versus winter BSi fluxes shows higher fluxes with larger filament size. When omitting the BSi flux from winter 2005~~BSi flux from 2005~~, a statistically significant relationship between filament size and fluxes is obtained (R^2=0.63, N=10). Years given in the figure denote the respective winter seasons (e.g. 1999 = Dec 1998 – Mar 1999).

Fig. 8. a: Seasonal flux of lithogenic ~~particles~~ (=mineral dust) particles (orange) with gaps filled from the upper trap data (light orange bars~~light orange~~). Deviations from the long-term seasonal means (anomalies, b). Note the large positive anomalies with longer duration in 1988-89, 1997-2000 and 2005-2006. From about 2000 to 2004-2005, lithogenic fluxes remain rather low. In 2005, dust sedimentation and BSi flux (Fig. 6b) were high throughout the year. c: The AOT from the SeaWiFS (brown) and MODIS (light brown) sensors shows repeatedly high values in summer, but not in winter when dust sedimentation is highest in the study area. A typical short-term (2-day) dust storm in January 2012 ~~with a duration of about 2 days~~ is shown as insert in the upper right. The strong ENSO cycle 1997-1999 with a warm El Niño and a cold La Niña phase is indicated.

Fig. 9. Relationships between BSi and lithogenic (= mineral dust) fluxes for the winter (a) and summer (b) seasons. Note the high correspondence in winter (~~linear:~~ R^2=0.78, N=21); a ~~much~~ lower coefficient is found for the summer season. During the outstanding year of 2005 (see Fig. 7), both points for winter and summer are close to the linear regression line.

Fig. 10. a: Seasonal flux of total carbonate (blue) with gaps filled from the upper trap data (light blue bars). Deviations from the long-term seasonal means (anomalies, b). c: Seasonal flux of pteropods. During the strongest ENSO cycle 1997-2000, longer periods of low and high carbonate fluxes occurred. Note the epidsodic sedimentation pattern of pteropods with maxima e.g. in summer 1998, 2002 and 2004. The strong ENSO cycle 1997-1999 with a warm El Niño and a cold La Niña phase is indicated.

Table 1. Deployment data of the moorings and traps at the mesotrophic sediment trap site CB, Cape Blanc, Mauritania. Associated ships' cruises and references to earlier publications on fluxes are indicated.

Trap name	**LAT N**	**LONG W**	**water depth m**	**trap depth m**	**sampling start**	**end**	**no of samples**	**remark,** ***reference to fluxes***	**relevant cruise recovery/ GeoB no.**
CB-1 lower	20°45.3'	19°44.5'	3646	2195	22.03.88	08.03.89	13	*Fischer et al. 1996, 2003*	Meteor 9/4/ GeoB 1121-4
CB-2 lower	21°08.7'	20°41.2'	4092	3502	15.03.89	24.03.90	22	*Fischer et al. 1996, 2003*	Meteor 12/1/ GeoB 1230-1
CB-3 lower	21°08.3'	20°40.3'	4094	3557	29.04.90	08.04.91	17	*Fischer et al. 1996, 2003, 2010*	Polarstern ANT IX/4
CB-4 lower	21°08.7'	20°41.2'	4108	3562	03.03.91	19.11.91	13	*Fischer et al. 1996, 2003, 2010*	Meteor 20/1/ GeoB 1602-1
CB-5 lower	21°08.6'	20°40.9'	4119	3587	06.06.94	27.08.94	19		Meteor 29/3/ GeoB 2912-1
CB-6 upper	21°15.0'	20°41.8'	4137	771	02.09.94	25.10.95	20	*Fischer et al. 2010*	Polarstern ANT XIII/1
CB-7 lower	21°15.4'	20°41.8'	4152	3586	20.11.95	29.01.97	20		Meteor 38/1/ GeoB 4302-7
CB-8 upper	21°16.3'	20°41.5'	4120	745	30.01.97	04.06.98	20	*Fischer et al. 2010*	Meteor 41/4/ GeoB 5210-2
CB-9 lower	21°15.2'	20°42.4'	4121	3580	11.06.98	07.11.99	20	*Helmke et al. 2005*	Metero 46/1/ GeoB 6103-3
CB-10 lower	21°17.2'	20°44.1'	4125	3586	10.11.99	10-10-00	3	mostly no seasonal sampling	Polarstern ANT XVIII/1
CB-11 upper	21°16.8'	20°43.0'	4113	1003	11.10.00	30.03.01	20		Poseidon 272/ GeoB 7401-1
CB-12 lower	21°16.0'	20°46.5	4145	3610	05.04.01	22.04.02	14		Meteor 53/1c/ GeoB 7917-1
CB-13 lower	21°16.8'	20°46.7'	4131	3606	23.04.02	08.05.03	20	*Fischer et al., 2009* *Fischer and Karkas, 2009*	Meteor 58/2b/ GeoB 8628-1
CB-14 upper	21°17.2'	20°47.6'	4162	1246	31.05.03	05.04.04	20		Poseidon 310/ no number
CB-15 lower	21°17.9'	20°47.8'	4162	3624	17.04.04	21.07.05	20		Meteor 65/2/ no number
CB-16 lower	21°16.8'	20°47.8'	4160	3633	25.07.05	28.09.06	20		Poseidon 344/1/ GeoB 11401-1
CB-17 lower	21°16.4'	20.48.2'	4152	3614	24.10.06	25.03.07	20		Merian 04/b/ GeoB 11833-1
CB-18 lower	21°16.9'	20°48.1'	4168	3629	25.03.07	05.04.08	20		Poseidon 365/2/ GeoB 12907-1
CB-19 lower	21°16.2'	20°48.7'	4155	3617	22.04.08	22.03.09	20		Merian 11/2/ GeoB 13616-4
CB-20 upper	21°15.6'	20°50.7'	4170	1224	03.04.09	26.02.10	19		Poseidon 396/ GeoB 14201-3
CB-21 lower	21°15.6'	20°50.9'	4155	3617	28.02.10	04.04.11	20		Merian 18/1/ GeoB 15709-1
CB-22 lower	21°16.1'	20°50.9'	4160	3622	05.05.11	11.01.12	15		Poseidon 425/ GeoB 16101-1
CB-23 lower	21°15.8'	20°52.4'	4160	3622	20.01.12	22.01.13	18		Poseidon 445/ GeoB 17102-5

Table 2. Seasonal flux data and percentages of major bulk components of total flux at the mesotrophic sediment trap site CB from 1988 to 2012.

CB meso	interval start	end	sample no. of trap	season	year	duration days	remark	TTL mass g m^{-2}	BSi ~~biog. opal~~ g m^{-2}	org. carbon g m^{-2}	nitrogen g m^{-2}	carbonate g m^{-2}	lithogenic g m^{-2}	BSi %	org. carbon %	nitrogen %	carb. %	lith. %
CB-1 lower	22.03.88	11.06.88	#1-3	spring	1988	81		15,64	1,91	0,59	0,069	4,89	7,66	12,23	3,77	0,44	31,25	48,96
	11.06.88	27.09.88	#4-7	summer		108		23,01	1,57	1,07	0,135	10,83	8,47	6,81	4,66	0,59	47,07	36,81
	27.09.88	17.12.88	#8-10	fall		81		14,12	0,86	0,51	0,056	6,78	5,46	6,11	3,60	0,40	48,00	38,70
	17.12.88	08.03.89	#11-13	winter	1989	81		11,50	0,89	0,45	0,055	5,09	4,63	7,70	3,92	0,48	44,21	40,23
CB-2 lower	15.03.89	25.06.89	#1-6	spring		102		12,91	0,52	0,40	0,051	6,92	4,68	4,03	3,10	0,40	53,60	36,25
	25.06.89	18.09.89	#7-11	summer		85		13,62	0,49	0,39	0,046	7,48	4,87	3,60	2,86	0,34	54,92	35,76
	18.09.89	29.12.89	#12-17	fall		102		16,29	0,67	0,48	0,056	8,21	6,45	4,11	2,95	0,34	50,40	39,59
	29.12.89	24.03.90	#18-22	winter	1990	85		14,06	0,75	0,46	0,055	6,81	5,58	5,33	3,27	0,39	48,44	39,69
CB-3 lower	29.04.90	03.07.90	#2-4	spring		64,5		12,68	0,49	0,39	0,047	6,78	4,64	3,87	3,04	0,37	53,49	36,55
	03.07.90	27.09.90	#5-8	summer		86		13,20	0,40	0,39	0,044	7,35	4,67	3,05	2,98	0,33	55,64	35,35
	27.09.90	22.12.90	#9-12	fall		86		9,76	0,40	0,44	0,052	4,02	4,46	4,08	4,52	0,53	41,21	45,66
	22.12.90	18.03.91	#13-16	winter	1991	86		10,89	0,58	0,73	0,091	4,50	4,34	5,33	6,73	0,84	41,35	39,87
CB-4 lower	18.03.91	22.06.91	#17 + #1-5	spring		71,5	gap	4,87	0,24	0,38	0,053	2,09	1,77	4,89	7,83	1,09	43,02	36,36
	22.06.91	20.09.91	# 6-14	summer		90		9,06	0,48	0,83	0,110	3,66	3,25	5,30	9,16	1,21	40,40	35,87
	20.09.91	19.11.91	# 15-20	fall		60		2,67	0,13	0,17	0,023	1,31	0,89	4,87	6,37	0,86	49,06	33,33
					no sampling													
CB-5 lower	06.06.94	23.06.94	#1-4	spring	1994	17		1,76	0,05	0,05	0,007	1,27	0,35	2,84	2,84	0,40	72,16	19,89
	23.06.94	27.08.94	#5-19	summer		65		7,30	0,16	0,14	0,023	5,97	0,89	2,19	1,92	0,32	81,78	12,19
CB-6 upper	*24.09.94*	*21.12.94*	*# 2-5*	*fall*		*88*		*11,58*	*0,26*	*0,70*	*0,104*	*6,82*	*3,10*	*2,27*	*6,02*	*0,90*	*58,92*	*26,74*
	21.12.94	*19.03.95*	*# 6-9*	*winter*	*1995*	*88*		*12,44*	*0,96*	*0,74*	*0,113*	*5,89*	*4,12*	*7,69*	*5,91*	*0,91*	*47,33*	*33,14*
	19.03.95	*15.06.95*	*# 10-13*	*spring*		*88*		*3,50*	*0,22*	*0,24*	*0,042*	*1,59*	*1,20*	*6,29*	*6,86*	*1,20*	*45,43*	*34,29*
	15.06.95	*11.09.95*	*# 14-17*	*summer*		*88*		*0,24*	*0,00*	*0,00*	*0,001*	*0,00*	*0,00*	*0,00*	*0,00*	*0,42*	*0,00*	*0,00*
CB-7 lower	20.11.95	19.12.95	#1	fall		29		2,91	0,18	0,12	0,015	1,22	1,26	6,26	4,26	0,52	42,06	43,33
	19.12.95	16.03.96	#2-5	winter	1996	88		8,02	0,37	0,34	0,044	3,80	3,16	4,55	4,28	0,55	47,40	39,48
	16.03.96	12.06.96	#6-9	spring		88		9,55	0,63	0,61	0,080	4,76	2,94	6,58	6,38	0,84	49,83	30,82
	12.06.96	30.09.96	#10-14	summer		110		7,44	0,20	0,29	0,036	4,72	1,95	2,66	3,90	0,48	63,39	26,15
	30.09.96	27.12.96	#15-18	fall		88		8,59	0,38	0,40	0,049	3,73	3,69	4,40	4,64	0,57	43,38	42,91

Table 2. continued

CB meso	**interval start**	**end**	**sample no. of trap**	**season**	**year**	**duration days**	**remark**	**TTL mass g m^{-2}**	**BSi~~biog. opal~~ g m^{-2}**	**org. carbon g m^{-2}**	**nitrogen g m^{-2}**	**carbonate g m^{-2}**	**lithogenic g m^{-2}**	**BSi %**	**org. carbon %**	**nitrogen %**	**carb. %**	**lith. %**
CB-7/8 lower	27.12.96	20.03.97	#19-20 + #1-2	winter	1997	82		14,24	0,78	0,77	0,097	5,37	6,55	5,46	5,41	0,68	37,70	46,00
CB-8 upper	*20.03.97*	*20.06.97*	*# 3-6*	*spring*		*98*		*17,72*	*0,62*	*1,05*	*0,131*	*9,69*	*5,30*	*3,50*	*5,94*	*0,74*	*54,68*	*29,92*
	20.06.97	*02.10.97*	*# 7-10*	*summer*		*98*		*4,25*	*0,04*	*0,20*	*0,026*	*2,91*	*0,90*	*0,92*	*4,66*	*0,61*	*68,50*	*21,20*
	02.10.97	*14.12.97*	*# 11-13*	*fall*		*73,5*		*0,49*	*0,01*	*0,04*	*0,006*	*0,25*	*0,12*	*2,86*	*7,14*	*1,22*	*51,84*	*24,08*
	14.12.97	*22.03.98*	*# 14-17*	*winter*	*1998*	*98*		*1,68*	*0,05*	*0,15*	*0,024*	*0,84*	*0,45*	*3,21*	*8,87*	*1,43*	*50,12*	*26,49*
	22.03.98	*18.06.98*	*#18-20 + #1*	*spring*		*81*	*gap*	*1,57*	*0,01*	*0,06*	*0,008*	*1,21*	*0,20*	*0,45*	*3,70*	*0,51*	*76,80*	*12,62*
CB-9 lower	18.06.98	09.09.98	# 2-4	summer		82,5		17,67	0,61	0,58	0,074	12,57	3,34	3,45	3,29	0,42	71,11	18,87
	09.09.98	28.12.98	# 5-8	fall		110		17,06	1,07	0,77	0,086	9,31	5,15	6,25	4,48	0,50	54,59	30,19
	28.12.98	20.03.99	# 9-11	winter	1999	82,5		16,33	1,19	0,62	0,073	7,18	6,71	7,27	3,81	0,45	43,99	41,11
	20.03.99	11.06.99	# 12-14	spring		82,5		19,55	1,08	0,65	0,083	11,77	5,40	5,53	3,33	0,42	60,17	27,61
	11.06.99	29.09.99	# 15-18	summer		110		16,88	0,51	0,57	0,068	11,80	3,43	3,02	3,35	0,40	69,92	20,35
CB-9/10 lower	29.09.99	16.12.99	#19-20+ #1-2	fall		75	gap	2,20	0,09	0,09	0,010	1,11	0,75	4,26	3,90	0,45	50,36	34,07
	16.12.99	21.03.00	#3	winter	2000	94		8,92	0,14	0,45	0,076	7,26	0,93	1,59	5,04	0,85	81,39	10,46
	21.03.00	21.06.00	#3	spring		92		8,74	0,14	0,44	0,075	7,11	0,91	1,59	5,05	0,86	81,33	10,45
	21.06.00	21.09.00	#3	summer		92		8,74	0,14	0,44	0,075	7,11	0,91	1,59	5,05	0,86	81,33	10,45
CB-11 upper	*11.10.00*	*18.12.00*	*#3 + # 1-8*	*fall*		*87*		*8,32*	*0,41*	*0,56*	*0,087*	*5,13*	*1,68*	*4,93*	*6,73*	*1,05*	*61,66*	*20,19*
	18.12.00	*22.03.01*	*#9-19*	*winter*	*2001*	*93,5*		*6,51*	*0,39*	*0,60*	*0,093*	*3,57*	*1,34*	*6,01*	*9,25*	*1,43*	*54,84*	*20,58*
CB-12 lower	05.04.01	27.06.01	# 1-4	spring		83		6,50	0,32	0,25	0,034	2,91	2,76	4,92	3,85	0,52	44,77	42,46
	27.06.01	01.10.01	# 5-9	summer		96,25		12,49	1,03	0,63	0,091	6,47	3,75	8,25	5,04	0,73	51,80	30,02
	01.10.01	17.12.01	# 10-13	fall		77		7,90	0,53	0,43	0,050	3,72	2,79	6,71	5,44	0,63	47,09	35,32
	17.12.01	21.03.02	# 14 sammel	winter	2002	94,25		0,88	0,05	0,04	0,009	0,75	0,02	5,68	4,20	1,02	85,23	2,27
CB-13 lower	23.04.02	19.06.02	#1-3	spring		57		6,03	0,27	0,23	0,029	3,53	1,78	4,46	3,78	0,48	58,42	29,55
	19.06.02	22.09.02	#4-8	summer		95		23,10	1,03	0,62	0,085	16,85	3,98	4,44	2,69	0,37	72,94	17,23
	22.09.02	26.12.02	#9-13	fall		95		9,51	0,42	0,32	0,042	5,53	2,92	4,42	3,36	0,44	58,16	30,66
	26.12.02	31.03.03	#14-18	winter	2003	95		11,41	0,55	0,35	0,050	6,78	3,39	4,78	3,07	0,44	59,37	29,72
	31.03.03	08.05.03	#19-20	spring		38		7,71	0,69	0,27	0,036	3,68	2,79	8,92	3,54	0,47	47,73	36,23

Table 2. continued

CB meso	interval start	end	sample no. of trap	season	year	duration days	remark	TTL mass g m^{-2}	BSi ~~biog. opal~~ g m^{-2}	org. carbon g m^{-2}	nitrogen g m^{-2}	carbonate g m^{-2}	lithogenic g m^{-2}	BSi %	org. carbon %	nitrogen %	carb. %	lith. %
CB-14 upper	*15.06.03*	*16.09.03*	*#2-7*	*summer*		*93*		*11,35*	*1,26*	*0,83*	*0,104*	*5,77*	*2,67*	*11,06*	*7,32*	*0,92*	*50,80*	*23,52*
	16.09.03	*18.12.03*	*#8-13*	*fall*		*93*		*8,28*	*0,84*	*0,45*	*0,061*	*3,99*	*2,56*	*10,16*	*5,48*	*0,74*	*48,14*	*30,87*
	18.12.03	*20.03.04*	*#14-19*	*winter*	*2004*	*93*		*0,58*	*0,03*	*0,03*	*0,005*	*0,29*	*0,16*	*5,39*	*5,22*	*0,87*	*49,91*	*27,48*
CB-15 lower	17.04.04	25.06.04	#1-3	spring		69		12,49	0,66	0,45	0,059	7,58	3,36	5,30	3,58	0,47	60,64	26,90
	25.06.04	25.09.04	#4-7	summer		92		15,21	0,43	0,39	0,053	10,75	3,25	2,80	2,54	0,35	70,64	21,34
	25.09.04	26.12.04	#8-11	fall		92		8,34	0,48	0,36	0,043	4,22	2,93	5,72	4,25	0,52	50,60	35,14
	26.12.04	28.03.05	#12-15	winter	2005	92		23,56	1,69	1,12	0,152	12,18	7,44	7,18	4,76	0,65	51,69	31,59
	28.03.05	28.06.05	#16-19	spring		92		7,72	0,24	0,28	0,041	5,00	1,93	3,04	3,65	0,53	64,72	24,94
CB-16 lower	28.06.05	27.09.05	#20 + 1-3	summer		87,5	gap	18,23	1,12	0,63	0,078	10,46	5,40	6,13	3,43	0,43	57,38	29,62
	27.09.05	22.12.05	#4-7	fall		86		15,87	1,19	0,63	0,074	6,98	6,45	7,51	3,94	0,47	43,97	40,63
	22.12.05	18.03.06	#8-11	winter	2006	86		14,90	0,72	0,46	0,056	8,71	4,54	4,82	3,11	0,38	58,46	30,48
	18.03.06	12.06.06	#12-15	spring		86		15,16	0,92	0,66	0,085	9,04	3,87	6,09	4,37	0,56	59,64	25,51
	12.06.06	28.09.06	#16-20	summer		107,5		6,07	0,45	0,24	0,031	3,55	1,58	7,38	3,97	0,51	58,51	26,11
CB-17 lower	24.10.06	23.12.06	#1-8	fall		60		4,34	0,14	0,14	0,021	2,81	1,09	3,28	3,30	0,48	64,87	25,24
	23.12.06	23.03.07	#9-20	winter	2007	90		19,89	1,00	0,84	0,112	12,42	4,78	5,03	4,23	0,56	62,47	24,03
CB-18 lower	25.03.07	25.06.07	#1-5	spring		92		11,22	0,38	0,48	0,061	7,05	2,83	3,40	4,24	0,54	62,87	25,24
	25.06.07	28.09.07	#6-10	summer		95		8,57	0,29	0,33	0,040	4,79	2,83	3,43	3,83	0,47	55,94	32,96
	28.09.07	13.12.07	#11-14	fall		76		7,19	0,39	0,28	0,033	4,05	2,20	5,38	3,87	0,46	56,31	30,56
	13.12.07	17.03.08	#15-19	winter	2008	95		10,58	0,64	0,50	0,061	5,43	3,51	6,03	4,69	0,58	51,37	33,22
CB-19 lower	17.03.08	23.06.08	#20 + 1-4	spring		81	gap	5,49	0,24	0,22	0,029	4,04	0,76	4,43	4,03	0,53	73,67	13,92
	23.06.08	16.09.08	#5-9	summer		85		12,59	0,82	0,63	0,072	8,95	1,58	6,51	4,99	0,57	71,12	12,58
	16.09.08	27.12.08	#10-15	fall		102		9,01	0,47	0,44	0,045	4,64	3,03	5,17	4,87	0,50	51,45	33,60
	27.12.08	22.03.09	#16-20	winter	2009	85		9,51	0,63	0,42	0,050	6,56	1,47	6,60	4,44	0,53	69,04	15,47

Table 2.continued

CB meso	interval start	end	sample no. of trap	season	year	duration days	remark	TTL mass g m^{-2}	BSi~~biog. opal~~ g m^{-2}	org. carbon g m^{-2}	nitrogen g m^{-2}	carbonate g m^{-2}	lithogenic g m^{-2}	BSi %	org. carbon %	nitrogen %	carb. %	lith. %
CB-20 upper	*03.04.09*	*30.06.09*	*#1-5*	*spring*		*88*		*9,74*	*0,23*	*0,44*	*0,060*	*8,63*	*0,07*	*2,36*	*4,56*	*0,62*	*88,59*	*0,67*
	30.06.09	*28.09.09*	*#6-10*	*summer*		*90*		*3,25*	*0,09*	*0,16*	*0,021*	*2,63*	*0,21*	*2,74*	*4,95*	*0,65*	*80,90*	*6,43*
	28.09.09	*21.12.11*	*#11-(15)*	*fall*		*84*		*0,26*	*0,01*	*0,02*	*0,002*	*0,16*	*0,06*	*2,31*	*6,92*	*0,77*	*61,54*	*22,31*
	21.12.11	*26.02.10*	*#(11)- 19*	*winter*	*2010*	*67,5*		*18,77*	*0,66*	*0,95*	*0,086*	*10,78*	*5,42*	*3,54*	*5,07*	*0,46*	*57,45*	*28,85*
CB-21 lower	20.03.10	28.06.10	#2-6	spring		100		7,34	0,24	0,31	0,047	4,78	1,33	3,27	4,26	0,64	65,12	18,12
	28.06.10	16.09.10	#7-10	summer		80		7,72	0,27	0,27	0,040	6,01	0,69	3,50	3,48	0,52	77,85	8,94
	16.09.10	25.12.10	#11-15	fall		100		9,81	0,26	0,50	0,041	6,00	2,55	2,65	5,13	0,42	61,16	25,99
	25.12.10	15.03.11	#16-19	winter	2011	80		4,94	0,20	0,20	0,029	3,44	0,89	4,05	4,13	0,59	69,64	18,02
CB-21/22 lower	15.03.11	21.06.11	#20 + 1-3	spring		67	gap	4,90	0,18	0,21	0,028	3,93	0,46	3,61	4,23	0,57	80,22	9,39
	21.06.11	14.09.11	#4-8	summer		85		10,45	0,28	0,54	0,048	7,86	1,23	2,63	5,16	0,46	75,22	11,77
	14.09.11	25.12.11	#9-14	fall		102		12,52	0,46	0,56	0,057	8,92	2,02	3,65	4,43	0,46	71,25	16,13
CB-22/23 lower	25.12.11	24.03.12	#15 +1+3	winter	2012	81,5 (90,5)	gap	17,91	0,87	0,60	0,086	10,08	5,74	4,86	3,35	0,48	56,28	32,05
	24.03.12	18.06.12	#4-7	spring		86		13,54	0,51	0,56	0,064	5,93	5,97	3,77	4,17	0,47	43,80	44,09
	18.06.12	12.09.12	#8-11	summer		86		12,90	0,27	0,31	0,046	8,67	3,35	2,09	2,37	0,36	67,21	25,97
	12.09.12	29.12.12	#12-16	fall		107,5		21,10	0,98	0,73	0,097	10,96	7,71	4,62	3,45	0,46	51,94	36,54

Table 3. Correlation coefficients between organic carbon flux and major bulk flux components for the four different seasons (lower trap data only). Number of data points (N) and the slopes (s) for the regression lines are given as well. Statistically significant values for R^2 at a 99.9% confidence level are indicated in bold.

organic carbon	*winter*	*spring*	*summer*	*fall*
nitrogen	**0.96**	**0.93**	**0.92**	**0.93**
	N=16	N=19	N=19	N=18
	s=0.13	s=0.12	0.12	0.11
BSi	**0.70**	**0.46**	**0.63**	**0.75**
	N=16	N=19	N=19	N=18
	s=1.3	s=1.7	s=1.4	s=1.4
carbonate	**0.56**	**0.56**	0.16	**0.82**
	N=15	N=19	N=19	N=18
	s=8.9	s=10.9	s=6.0	s=13.2
lithogenic (=mineral dust)	**0.63**	**0.53**	0.43	**0.67**
	N=16	N=19	N=19	N=18
	s=6.9	s=8.5	s=5.6	s=8.6

Table 4. Summary of important flux changes between 1988 and 2012 ~~at site CB$_{meso}$~~ which are related to large scale climate modes such as NAO and ENSO. The record is divided into six major periods, including the outstanding year 2005 (see text).

Period/years	**1988-1991**	**1997-1999 El Niño - La Niña**	**2001-2005/6**	**2005**	**2007-2010**	**2010-2012**
FORCING:						
NAO	decreasing	increasing	negative or neutral	neutral	decreasing	increasing
ENSO		strongest ENSO	weak ENSOs	neutral		
FLUX RESPONSE:						
BSi	decreasing	first decreasing, then increasing	episodic peaks	High throughout, except spring	decreasing	increasing
Carbonate	decreasing	generally high, pteropod peaks	major episodic pteropod peaks			
Lithogenic (dust)	decreasing	first decreasing, then increasing		high throughout, except spring	decreasing	increasing



-25°
-20°
-15°
30°
25°
20°
15°
10°
Weak Permanent Annual Upwelling Zone
Canary Islands
CC
Permanent Annual Upwelling Zone
CB meso
Cape Blanc
NEC
Cape Verde FZ
Mauritania
Cape Verde I.
MC
Mauritania-Senegalese Upwelling Zone
0 m
200 m
4000 m
a
MODIS winter 2006-2007 (NAO+)
b
MODIS winter 2009-2010 (NAO-)
c
Chlorophyll a concentration (mg / m³)
0.01
0.03
0.1
0.3
1
3
10
SeaWiFs fall 1997 (El Nino)
d
SeaWiFs fall 1998 (La Nina)
e

Fig. 1


NAO Hurrell Index
(1864 - 2014)
NAO Hurrell Index (DJFM), station-based
years
1989-90
1995
2007-
2008
2000
1996
2010
2 SD
6
4
2
0
-2
-4
-6
1900
1950
2000
sediment
trap records
(1988-2012)

Fig. 2.



Fig. 3.


CB 1-23
lower traps
(1988-2012)
total mass flux g m-2
winter
spring
summer
fall
a
Corg flux g m-2
winter
4.2
4.0
3.8
4.1
%
b
N total flux g m-2
winter
0.56
0.53
0.49
0.47
%
c
BSi flux g m-2
winter
5.6
5.3
4.3
5.1
%
d
carbonate flux g m-2
summer
54.7
55.0
63.8
52.2
%
e
lithogenic flux g m-2
winter
32.3
30.6
24.4
34.4
%
f

Fig. 4.


CB-1 to CB-23
upper and lower traps
a
seasonal total flux (g m-2)
25
20
15
10
5
0
no
sampling
1988
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010
b
El Niño/
La Niña
seasonal flux anomalies (g m-2)
15
10
5
0
-5
-10
-15
1988
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010
c
AMO Index (unsmoothed)
0.4
0
-0.4
1988
1990
1995
2000
2005
2010

968
969 Fig. 5.



970

971

972 Fig. 6.

973


CB 1-23
winter BSi flux (g m-2)
NAO Hurrell Index (DJFM)
R²=0.14
N=20
a
2005
1999
2007
1995
2012
1989
1997
1990
2006
2010
2009
2008
2003
1991
1996
2001
2011
2000
2004
b
winter BSi flux (g m-2)
winter (DJFM) filament size (x 10⁵ km²)
R²=0.63
N=10
2005
1999
2007
2006
2008
2003
2001
2000
2002
1998
2004

Fig. 7.

978



979

980

981 Fig. 8.

982


CB 1-23
winter lithogenic flux (g m-2)
winter BSi flux (g m-2)
R²=0.78
N=21
2005
a
summer lithogenic flux (g m-2)
summer BSi flux (g m-2)
R²=0.56
N=23
2005
b
0
4
8
12
16
20
0
0.4
0.8
1.2
1.6
2

Fig. 9.

987



988

989

990 Fig. 10.