Response to Reviewer No 2

Although the dataset is interesting, there is a lack of focus in the manuscript through which the reader gets lost in all the details and has difficulties to follow the reasoning of the authors and to understand what's the actual outcome of the study. We agree about the overloading of the mansucript by details. This is mainly due to large geographical coverage and significant number of major and trace elements (~ 50) considered in the same work. We would like to point out that the general objective and specific tasks, the working hypothesis and specific objectives are presented in L1-26 p. 17862 (now L 114-136), whereas the synthehtic outcome of the work is illustrated in Fig. 13 (now Fig. 12) and explained in Conclusions on p.17886 (L 757-773 of revised version).

In addition, the English is overall average to poor, which does not contribute to a clear presentation of results and interpretation. We agree with this comment and edited the English of the manuscript. We would like to point out that the paper was English proof read by BGD office (payed service).

As the title suggests, the focus should be more on the permafrost gradient. The authors refer frequently to latitude patterns. If they use latitude pattern as a synonym for permafrost gradient, then I would suggest to use the latter. In the end we are interested in the effect of the permafrost gradient on the elemental chemistry and not the change in latitude of the watershed. We totally agree and replaced the term "latitudidnal gradient" by "permafrost gradient" throughout the text. However, we have to use the latitude for data presentation because it bears quantitative meaning. Note that, in accord with other reviewer request, we added the treatment of the data that included the percentage of permafrost coverage of the watershed (see reply to Reviewer No 3).

Another general comment, the authors should be more clear why we are interested in the distribution of certain elements such as Mo, V, Ba,: : : Also on what basis did the authors decide to show the distribution of Mo in the manuscript while for other elements (e.g. Ti) it has been included in the supplementary information? In other words, why are certain elements considered to be more interesting/important than others and therefore merit to be in the manuscript and not in the supplementary information? Following the first round of review of this ms, we had to place significant part of figures in the Supplement. Therefore, we decided to keep representative elements (metals, micronutrients, toxicants in the main text). The distinction between different groups is primarily based on the element behavior as a function of latitude or permafrost coverage: only the elements exhibiting clear and statistically significant trends are discussed in details in the manuscript and presented in the main figures. These are elements most senstive to the permafrost presence. As it is stated in L7-18 (p. 17868),

"In the results presentation below, we will focus on few distinct groups of similar elements according to their chemical properties (i.e., alkalis, alkaline-earths, divalent metals, tri- and tetravalent hydrolysates, oxyanions and neutral molecules), following the similarity of element behavior in surface waters of western Siberia (e.g., Manasypov et al., 2014, 2015; Vorobyev et al., 2015). Special attention will be given to Fe and Al, the major colloidal carriers whose concentration and transport essentially control the migration of all other trivalent and tetravalent hydrolysates in surface waters of western Siberia (Pokrovsky et al., 2011, 2013; Shirokova et al., 2013). Besides, we analyzed in details the behavior of Sr, Mo and U because these elements are most affected by the permafrost abundance, or the latitudinal position of the watershed, the central question of this study." (L290-299 of revised version)

Abstract Lines 25-32 : this section needs restructuring. Three trends (categories) are suggested but in the end there are so many exceptions that it becomes very unclear what the actually trend is for each element. Maybe the authors could first subdivide the

elements into two groups : (1) elements that show the same trend throughout the year and (2) elements that show seasonal differences. Then discuss the variability per season, e.g. in spring elements Fe, Al, REEs, Pb, Zr, Hf, Mn, Co, Zn and Ba show a northward increase while elements Ni, Cu , Zr, Rb show a southward decrease. We agree and revised the Abstract significantly (see below).
Note that the authors contradict themselves, they mention that Zr both increases (line 27) and decreases (line 35) northward during spring. Agree and corrected this contardiction: Zr decreases northward during spring and increases during winter.

Line 25 : specify the meaning of TE. Trace elements, fixed.

Line 31 : Ti does already appear in category 1 (line 27). Do the authors mean that Ti does not show any distinct trend in spring and autumn? This needs to be made more clear. Yes, we corrected the text accordingly: Ti does not show any distinct trend in spring and autumn.

Line 32 : Very confusing, category 1 does already describe the metals which show a northward increase in spring (line 26) so why is this trend discussed again in this line? We completely revised this part of the Abstract as following:

"Two groups of elements were distinguished: (1) elements that show the same trend throughout the year and (2) elements that show seasonal differences. The first group included decreasing northward during all seasons (Sr, Mo, U, As, Sb) marking the underground water influence of river feeding. The elements of second group exhibited variable behavior in the course of the year. A northward increase during spring period was mostly pronounced for Fe, Al, Mn, Co, Zn and Ba and may stem from a combination of enhanced leaching from the topsoil and vegetation and bottom waters of the lakes (spring overturn). The increase of element concentration northward only in winter was observed for Ti, Ga, Zr and Th whereas Fe, Al, REEs, Pb, Zr, Hf, increased northward both in spring and winter, which could be linked to leaching from peat and/or redox processes and transport in the form of Fe-rich colloids. A spring time northward decrease was observed for Ni, Cu, Zr and Rb. The southward increase in summer was strongly visible for Fe, Ni, Ba, Rb and V, probably due to peat/moss release (Ni, Ba, Rb) or groundwater feeding (Fe, V). Finally, B, Li, Cr, V, Mn, Zn, Cd, Cs did not show any distinct trend from S to N whose variations within each latitude range were higher than the difference between latitudinal ranges." (L25-37 of revised version).

Introduction The introduction lacks discussion of the elements discussed in the paper. Why are we interested in the distribution of the discussed trace elements (e.g. REE, Mo, V, Ga, Be…)? How is their distribution in other similar regions e.g. Alaska, Canada. What parameters control their distribution in those regions? All measured trace elements (ca. 40 elements) are discussed in our manuscript. We are afraid that discussing them in the Introduction will make the reading very difficult and greatly enhance this already long paper. Note that the available information on trace elements in other boreal and subarctic rivers is discussed in sections 4.1 (L 436-461, L516-524), 4.2 (L529-544, 573-579, 589-597) and 4.3 (L 636-644**;** 649-651, 663-667, and L 689-697). To our knowledge, no information on TE in rivers of different size over full hydrological cycle of the year, covering large gradient of the permafrost are available for Alaska and Canada.

Line 68 : What do the authors mean by geochemical traces ? We corrected as following: "biogeochemical cycles of essential micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Ni, Mn, Mo), geochemical traces (Sr, REE) and contaminants (Cd, Pb, As…) at the Earth surface." (L63-65)

Study site and methods line 186 : After storage, were the samples dried down before analysis on the Agilent ? No, filtered river water samples were processed directly on the ICP MS.

Line 221: Did the authors apply any transformations to the dataset before PCA? Concentrations are a closed system as everything is calculated relative to 100% to get out of this system, which is essential for PCA, generally log transformations are applied. Yes, we did applied the log transformation. Both rang-transformed and non-transformed data were used for analyses. We revised the text accordingly (L 229).

Results line 234 : Can the authors explain why they chose Fe and Al as tracers. As it is stated in the text (L 18-22 p. 17866), Fe and Al were chosen as main tracers of TE mobilization from surface and underground reservoirs and TE colloidal carriers in Siberian rivers and lakes, whose presence may limit the transport of heavy metals and hydrolysates in the form of high molecular weight organic and organo-mineral colloids, see Pokrovsky et al., 2006, 2012).

line 237 : On the other hand: : : Can the authors explain why and/or add a reference ? We added: Voronkov, 1966; Beaulieu et al., 2012; Tank et al., 2012:

Voronkov, P. P., Sokolova, O. K., Zubareva, V. I., and Naidenova, V. I.: Hydrochemical features of local discharge during spring flood from the soil coverage of European territory of the USSR, Trudy GGI (Proceedings of State Hydrological Institute), 137, 3–57, 1966 (in Russian).

Tank, S. E., Raymond, P. A., Striegl, R. G., McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Fiske, G. J., and Peterson, B. J.: A land-to-ocean perspective on the magnitude, source and implication of DIC flux from major Arctic rivers to the Arctic Ocean, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 26, GB4018, doi:10.1029/2011GB004192, 2012.

Line 256: I don't really see how the first factor is marked by DOC and UV_{280nm}, both are located within the cloud of data points. The negative trend seems to be controlled by DIC on the one hand: the distribution of Ca, Sr and Mg, defined by DIC and thus ground-water feeding of rivers and water-rock interactions in the basement (line 238). The other end of the negative trend is marked by REE.

Among major components, the DOC exhibited the highest PCA value (0.70), and thus it is tentatively considered as first factor. Based on novel information on watershed bog/forest/lake coverage, requested by the 3rd reviewer, we completely revised the description of PCA results, as presented in revised version of the paper attached to our response to reviewer No 3. (See L 260-287 of revised version)

The correlation/ or lack of correlation with DOC and Al, Ti, … could be verified with correlation plots. We agree with this remark but we believe that the matrix correlation table now given in the Supplement (Tables S1 and S2) with significant ($p < 0.05$) correlations indicated in bold allows compact representataion of all correlations. Addding requested plots will enormously increase the length of the paper.

As a result I am not convinced that the PCA results really contribute to the interpretation of the data. Also both factors explain less than 50% of the variability in the data. It would be nice to see the fractionation of communalities. We believe that simultaneous consideration of 50 element concentration and physico-geographical parameterss of the watershed (size, latitude, forest/bog/lake coverage) requires a PCA treatment. We tried to condense our PCA results presentation as much as possible and we removed the PCA plots from the main text to the Supplement.

Line 268: seems an over-interpretation of the data; The focus should not be on what explains the 5% variability in the dataset but the 70% which is not discussed in the paper. We agree and removed this paragraph accordingly.

line 276 : this sentence should be moved to line 234. Agree.

line 278 : Is this a general statement our specific to this project? If it is specific to the project then this sentence belongs in the discussion section otherwise add a reference. We removed this general sentence from the presentation of results. The groups of elements according to their chemical properties and affinity to DOM are discussed in section 4.2.

line 294 : Mn seems to be rather constant for all three seasons especially compared to Zn and Pb. I don't think you can say that Mn increases northward in spring. We agree and corrected the text and Abstract accordingly.

line 329 : which elements ? Trivalent hydrolysates such as Al, Ga, Y, REEs.

lines 380-384 : What is the interest of calculating fluxes across latitudinal gradients for all elements when clearly from figs. 4-11 there are elements which are not affected by latitudinal changes ? The calculating of TE annual fluxes in WSL rivers can be averaged over full latitudinal range for those TE which are not strongly affected by the latitude (or permafrost). These values can be compared with available fluxes in other boreal rivers of the world. Such a comparison is crucial for discussion of weathering and TE export. The elements strongly affected by latitudinal changes cannot be used for such a flux calculation. See L 413-417 of revised version.

Discussion Overall, references and/or a more detailed explanation are missing for made statements. We greatly revised the Discussion and provided necessary explanations following the recommendations of both reviewers.

Line 428 in contradiction with line 427: If mobile element concentrations decrease northwards regardless season then this can not be due to change in chemical weathering with temperature as temperatures change with seasons. A decrease of concentration of mobile element such as alkalis and alkaline-earths, oxyanions northward in the WSL may be due to decrease of chemical weathering intensity with the temperature as it is known from both temperate and boreal catchment (Oliva et al., 2003; Beaulieu et al., 2012). The temperature changes with season both in the south and in the north. It is essentially the open-water period (spring to fall) which determines the intensity of chemical weathering, and during this period the temperature of soils in the south is higher than that in the north.

Line 434 in contradiction with line 430 and 428: if the distribution of elements is not dependant on the river size (line 428) than their distribution cannot be explained by a decrease in degree of groundwater feeding (430) as the river size impacts the impact of groundwater input (line 434). We agree with this and above given comment of the reviewer and removed the term "regardless of the season and the river size" from the revised text. (L 441-445)

Line 472: "clearly" is not appropriate here, see previous comments. Agree and modified as " The PCA results revealed two possible factors…" (L 498 of revised version)

Line 533: What does the latter refer to? Unclear transition in text, please clarify. Revised as "The decrease of Sr, Mo and U concentration northward is detectable in all four main compartments…" (L561-562 of revised version)

Line 569:What does "re-increase" refer to? Revised as "An increase of element concentration in rivers north of 66°N compared to permafrost-free zone, especially visible for…" (L600-601)

Line 574: add reference. There doesn't exist any geochemical profiles of peatlands in Siberia to have an idea of how much of these metals are stored in these bogs? If these bogs are ombrotrophic then they are only fed by atmospheric deposition. Accordingly, it would seem rather unlikely that large amounts of metals would be leached from these bogs. We agree and removed second explanation. The revised text states now "We can hypothesize the influence of marine sediments underlying frozen peat in the 50-100 km vicinity of the shoreline (see section 4.3 below for surface profile). Indeed, the ground vegetation may be enriched in seawater aerosols transported from unfrozen coastal waters in the form of rain and fog. An increase of B, Sr, Mo, Rb, U and also Na, Mg, K, Ca of marine origin in large thermokarst lakes north of 68°N relative to discontinuous permafrost zone was reported for the northern part of the WSL (Manasypov et al., 2014)." L602-604 of revised version

Line 599: add reference. We added "(Tyrtikov, 1973; Khrenov, 2011)."

Line 614: Can the location of active layer not be included in the PCA? Unfortunately, we do not have the information of the average thickness of active layer (ALT) for all individual rivers of our data set. This remark is very pertinent, and PCA treatment of the river chemistry together with permafrost coverage and ALT will be a subject of future research.

Line 620: add reference. We added Kremenetsky et al., 2003

Lines 507 and 626: Does this mean that melt in Spring is minimal? Yes, the spring melt does not contribute very much in the total annual flux in the northern, permafrost-affected regions. However, the surface-frozen peat in early spring in the south does prevent the infiltration of surface waters to deep mineral horizons in the southern, permafrost-affected zones.

Line 695: How were these factors calculated? The PCA analyses of full dataset identified two possible factors. We revised the text accoridngly.

Line 720: latitude should be replaced by permafrost gradient. Changes with latitude, is not really what is interesting. As the title indicates, changes with permafrost is what matters. We fully agree and corrected the text accordingly.

Technical corrections:
Title: Please replace "trace elements transport" by "Trace element transport". Fixed.

Line 25 : three categories We revised as "Two groups of elements were distinguished:.." in accord with previous remarks of this reviewer

Line 32 : a northward increase - Fixed.
Line 67 : rephrase "Transport of trace element" into "Trace element transport" - Fixed
Line 68 : Earth's surface -Fixed
Line 89 : colloidal form - Fixed
Line 92 : major and trace elements - Fixed
Line 93 : of these regions to climate change - Fixed
Line 116 : was first the assessment of TE concentrations and fluxes across significant gradients of permafrost – Corrected
Line 123 : major element transport - Fixed
Line 137 : this study aims at - Fixed
Line 147 : and are represented - Fixed
Line 148 : WSL, carbonate concretions and shells - Fixed

Line 212 : trace element concentrations in rivers - Fixed
Line 228 : major and trace element concentrations - Fixed
Line 252 : trace element carriers - Fixed
Line 312: TE concentration variations - Fixed
Line 325 : pronounced than those - Fixed
Line 488: not allow to explain - Fixed
Line 511: by the TE concentration trend observed in the WSL rivers (Figs. 9-11 and Figs. S7-S8 and section 3.2). - Fixed
Line 702: On the other hand - Fixed
Line 739: On the other hand - Fixed

We thank reviewer No 2 for his/her very constructive and insightful comments.

Anonymous Referee #3

General comments:

The reviewer correctly pointed out that “To get full use of a PCA landscape data is needed to get the bigger picture more complete (with this mean I the proportion forest, wetland, lakes etc)..”.

In response to this comment, we performed a GIS work on most studied watersheds of western Siberia (Table 1 of revised version). We determined the proportion of lakes, bogs and forest on river watersheds and we performed PCA with all available parameters (see **Figure 1** below and Fig S1 of the Supplement in revised version). Although detailed analysis of the role of lakes, bogs and forest on element concentrations and fluxes in WSL Rivers is beyond the scope of this (already long) paper, this first assessment allowed to conclude that forest play the major role of DOC and insoluble elements mobilization from the soil to the river and that bogs and lakes retain TE and diminish their export from the watershed. The role of lakes, forest and bogs is quantified using correlation analysis (Table S2 of the Supplement) and now thoroughly discussed in L 38-41, 116-117, 169-174, 260-272, 281-287, 486-497, 504-506, 597-599, 760-761, 765-766 of revised manuscript. Our main conclusions on the role of bogs and lakes are consistent with recent observations of researchers (group of H Laudon) in Krycklan watershed.



Figure 1. PCA analysis of 55 variables in ~ 70 rivers sampled during open-water period (A) and in winter (B). The first factor (19% Var.) comprises DOC and insoluble trivalent and tetravalent hydrolysates. The second factor (10% Var.) is latitude which is inversely correlated with soluble major and trace elements, alkali and alkaline earth metals, oxyanions and U whose concentration decreases with increasing latitude. During open water periods, the forest increase the mobile element export. The presence of bogs and lakes enhances the insoluble lithogneic element transport in winter. The impact of the latitude is strongly pronounced during all seasons.

Especially the proportion of wetlands and concepts like hydraulic load (see Behrendt 2000, Behrendt H, Opitz D. Retention of nutrients in river systems: dependence on specific runoff and hydraulic load. Hydrobiologica. 2000, 410:111–22) can give information about TE transport.

This is very pertinent remark and we thank the reviewer for pointing out this useful reference. In fact, for the range of typical annual runoff of the WSL (200-300 mm), up to 75% of N and P exported to the river from the watershed can be retained by the river. In this work, we did not address N and P behavior but Si retention can be important. To which degree the concepts developed for N, P and metal pollutants in western European rivers (Behrendt and Opitz, 2000;

Vink et al., 1999) can be applied for biotically inert TE transport in pristine, half-year-frozen WSL rivers is uncertain. At quite low annual runoff of the WSL, significant retention of dissolved Fe, Mn, Al as oxyhydroxides and Si as coastal grass and diatoms in the river may occur. However, given that the size of the river and thus, water residence time in the channel) have insignificant effect on concentration of these and other TE (see section 3.3.1), we argue on negligible impact of TE retention on element transport in WSL rivers. We added this in the Discussion (L689-L697).

The English in the ms is variable, from good to poor, especially in the introduction it is poor. This makes it hard to understand sometimes what the authors mean and I think some of the sentences should be rephrased. Presumably, the referee used our first version of the ms, submitted to BGD. The revised version of this ms posted on the website is significantly different from the first one, and some comments of the referee were addressed during first round of review. This is especially true for English proofread (done at the BG office) and careful reference correction. We performed careful English editing of the revised version.

Line 132-134, 'However, it remains unknown, to which degree retaining of downward migrating DOC (and thus, organic complexes of TE) on mineral horizons in the south may be overweighed by enhanced TE mobilization from mineral horizons and waterrock interaction at the depth.', what this means I don't know, I may guess, but it is better if the authors clarify what they mean.

We removed this sentence and completely revised this part of the text (see L 122-136)

<u>Specific comments of reviewer No 3</u>

Line 72 Clarify what you mean by that the size of catchment determines the amount of groundwater feeding. I cannot see that this is mentioned in the Beaulieu text, is this motivated by the critical zone concept or has it to do with the fact that permafrost is in the region, please clarify.

We revised this sentence as: "Because in the permafrost zone the size of the watershed determines the degree of groundwater feeding, river specific discharge and water residence time (i.e., Nikitin and Zemtsov, 1986; Novikov et al., 2009), the effect of the river size on TE transport becomes an issue of high academic and practical importance. This may become especially relevant for testing various models of chemical weathering and element migration in the Critical Zone of the Arctic and sub-arctic (i.e., Beaulieu et al., 2012)." L72-74

Line 151 What is meant by the 40-30, I guess that is the variation of some sort (standard deviation, range, confidence interval). Please specify. See also line 152.

This is s.d. Added in the text accordingly.

Line 189 Do you have any idea why the contamination from Zn was so high? Can this have an effect on other elements as well?

Zn is one of the major contaminant during sampling and filtration. This is especially true for winter period in WSL, given that the snow water concentration exceeds river water concentration by a factor of 10 to 100 (<u>see Figure 2</u> below)



Figure 2. Winter snow soluble concentration of Zn (blue asterisk) compared with actual concentrations in rivers during spring flood (May-June) of different size of the watershed (diamonds, squares, triangles and circles correspond to < 100, 100-1000, 1000-10,000 and > 10,000 km² surface area, respectively) in western Siberia along the latitudinal gradient (Shevchenko et al., 2016 in preparation).

Line 200 Did you recalibrate when the certified standard was too far away or did you drift correct using another standard?
We applied drift correction using another standard (highly diluted digested BCR-482 lichen or EPOND in-house standard). Added in L208-209

Line 201 What is meant by intrinsic uncertainty?
Analytical (instrumental) uncertainty. Corrected in L 207

Line 216 Kraskal-wallis test is new to me, I guess that the authors mean Kruskal-Wallis test. Please check the spelling throughout the ms, different variants exists (like kryckalwallis in line 339) in the text.
We corrected as “Kruskal-Wallis” throughout the text

Line 248 Should be R>0.55, p<0.05.
Agree and corrected.

Line 253 Figure 2 is rather hard to read, is it possible to to do a loadings plot instead with lines ending with the component names?
We revised this figure and clearly identified variables and factors. We also generated a loading plot as requested (Figure 3). However, a F1 x F2 diagram allows better judge the factorial structure of the data and see the relative role of first or 2nd factor on each component.



Figure 3. PCA results of WSL rivers in spring, summer and autumn and in winter presented as loadings plots.

Line 279, 280, 292, 294, 384 Please provide statistical test for significant/significantly or rephrase (for example much lower, higher). I think the word significant only should be used when referring to statistical tests.

We replaced the terms as recommended or provided the statistical tests.

Line 350 Trend were statistically significant for Sr, Mo and U, why do you think it is so, two redox elements and one that is not?

This finding is also intriguing for us. We do not think that strongly anoxic conditions capable to immobilize Mo and U are encountered in WSL shallow soils and river watersheds. Rather, we hypothesize concomitant increase of Sr and uranyl (as carbonate complexes) from carbonate-bearing mineral soils (L 561-566 of revised text) and pH control of Mo and other oxy-anions (L 567-579)

Line 402 Please provide what 30% means, confidence interval, standard deviation, range.

Corrected as “from 10 to 70%”, L 615

Line 430 How common is it to find clay minerals in soils in the northern river catchments?

Clay minerals are quite common in WSL (Vasil’evskaya et aal., 1986; Tyrtikov, 1973).

Line 462 Watershed area and discharge does not have an effect on the TE transport, as postulated in the introduction. DIC and DOC seems to be the most important factors controlling TE. This was also evident from analysis of major cations. I think it is surprise that discharge does not have any effect since this control the amount of DIC and DOC (depending where the water comes from in the soil). I think that this needs a comment.

In this § we state that “the watershed size (and thus discharge) do not control element concentration”. We have no doubt that the discharge has primary effect on DOC, DIC, and all TE fluxes in rivers. DOC does control the element mobilization from the soil to the river as we stated in the revised version (L507-515).

Line 556, 559 Give an explanation for the ±5 and ±30.

Revised as range of %.

Line 567 Intrinsic uncertainty, explain what you mean.
The uncertainties on the flux evaluation, revised accordingly (L 623)

Line 568-575 In view of this information it would be interesting to also have information on bedrock and soil. These data can then also be used, by for example performing a PCA to give statistical information on the control of element fluxes. Is this information available?
Quantification of different rock lithology on watersheds of 60 rivers of WSL was beyond the goal of this study. Some information on sand, loam and clay coverage is now given in table 1 of the revised version. However, this work is in progress and a PCA of lithological/landscape control of element concentration in WSL rivers will make a subject of separate publication.

If not please give information, with for example a reference, about the extension of carbonate and silicate rocks in the Dvina area.
We added a pertinent reference as requested, L628.

References Should be sorted by author and then chronologically. Revised.
Pokrovsky et al, 2002, missing in reference list. Removed from the text.
Huh et al 1998 is 1998b in the list. Corrected.
Frey and Smith (2007) is not in the list, only 2005 not 2007. Added Frey and Smith (2007)
Pokrovsky and Schott (2002) is missing in the list. Removed from the text.
Huh et al (1998) in the text but in the list Huh et al (1998b) Corrected
Dahlqvist et al (2007) but in the list 2005. Corrected.
Frey and McClellan (2009) is in the list but not in the text. Corrected.

We thank Referee No 3 for very helpful suggestions and remarks.

Trace element transport in western Siberia rivers across a permafrost gradient

O.S. Pokrovsky[1,2,3*], R.M. Manasypov[2,3], S.V. Loiko[2], I.A. Krickov[2],

S.G. Kopysov[2,4], L.G. Kolesnichenko[2], S.N. Vorobyev[2], S.N. Kirpotin[2]

[1] GET UMR 5563 CNRS University of Toulouse (France), 14 Avenue Edouard Belin, 31400 Toulouse, France, oleg@get.obs-mip.fr
[2] BIO-GEO-CLIM Laboratory, Tomsk State University, Lenina av., 36, Tomsk, Russia
[3] Institute of Ecological Problem of the North, 23 Nab Severnoi Dviny, Arkhangelsk, Russia
[4] Institute of Monitoring of Climatic and Ecological Systems, SB RAS, Tomsk, Russia

Keywords: *metals, trace elements, permafrost, peat, groundwater, fluxes*

ABSTRACT

Towards a better understanding of trace element transport in permafrost-affected Earth surface environments, we sampled ~60 large and small rivers (< 100 to ≤ 150,000 km² watershed area) of Western Siberia Lowland (WSL) during spring flood and summer and winter base-flow across a 1500 km latitudinal gradient covering continuous, discontinuous, sporadic and permafrost-free zones. Analysis of ~40 major and trace elements in dissolved (< 0.45 µm) fraction allowed establishing main environmental factors controlling the transport of metals and trace elements in rivers of this environmentally important region. No statistically significant effect of the basin size on most trace elements (TE) concentration was evidenced. Two groups of elements were distinguished: (1) elements that show the same trend throughout the year and (2) elements that show seasonal differences. The first group included elements decreasing northward during all seasons (Sr, Mo, U, As, Sb) marking the underground water influence of river feeding. The elements of second group exhibited variable behavior in the course of the year. A northward increase during spring period was mostly pronounced for Fe, Al, Co, Zn and Ba and may stem from a combination of enhanced leaching from the topsoil and vegetation and

bottom waters of the lakes (spring overturn). A spring time northward decrease was observed for Ni, Cu, Zr and Rb. The increase of element concentration northward only in winter was observed for Ti, Ga, Zr and Th whereas Fe, Al, REEs, Pb, Zr, Hf, increased northward both in spring and winter, which could be linked to leaching from peat and transport in the form of Fe-rich colloids. A southward increase in summer was strongly visible for Fe, Ni, Ba, Rb and V, probably due to peat/moss release (Ni, Ba, Rb) or groundwater feeding (Fe, V). Finally, B, Li, Cr, V, Mn, Zn, Cd, Cs did not show any distinct trend from S to N.

The order of landscape component impact on TE concentration in rivers was lakes > bogs > forest. The lakes decreased export of Mn and Co in summer, Ni, Cu, and Rb in spring, presumably due to biotic processes. The lakes enriched the rivers in insoluble lithogenic elements in summer and winter, likely due to TE mobilization from unfrozen mineral sediments. The rank of environmental factors on TE concentration in western Siberian rivers was latitude (3 permafrost zones) > season > watershed size. The effect of the latitude was minimal in spring for most TE but highly visible for Sr, Mo, Sb and U. The main factors controlling the shift of river feeding from surface and subsurface flow to deep underground flow in the permafrost-bearing zone were the depth of the active (unfrozen) seasonal layer and its position in organic or mineral horizons of the soil profile. In the permafrost-free zone, the relative role of carbonate mineral-bearing base rock feeding versus bog water feeding determined the pattern of trace element concentration and fluxes in rivers of various sizes as a function of season.

Comparison of obtained TE fluxes in WSL rivers with those of other subarctic rivers demonstrated reasonable agreement for most trace elements; the lithology of base rocks was the major factor controlling the magnitude of TE fluxes. The climate change in western Siberia and permafrost boundary migration will affect essentially the elements controlled by underground water feeding (DIC, alkaline-earth elements (Ca, Sr), oxyanions (Mo, Sb, As) and U). The thickening of the active layer may increase the export of trivalent and tetravalent hydrolysates in the form of organo-ferric colloids. Plant litter-originated divalent metals present as organic complexes may be retained via adsorption on mineral horizon. However, due to various counterbalanced processes controlling element source and sinks in plants – peat – mineral soil – river systems, the overall impact of the permafrost thaw on TE export from the land to the ocean may be smaller than that foreseen by merely active layer thickening and permafrost boundary shift.

1. Introduction

Trace element (TE) transport by rivers is the main factor controlling biogeochemical cycles of essential micronutrients (Fe, Zn, Ni, Mn, Mo), geochemical traces (Sr, REE) and contaminants (Cd, Pb, As…) at the Earth's surface. Whereas the majority of large rivers are systematically lakes(Cooper et al., 2008; McClelland et al., 2015) or occasionally (Gordeev et al., 1996; Seyler et al., 2003; Pokrovsky et al., 2010; Gaillardet et al., 2014) monitored for some TE concentration and fluxes, this is not the case for smaller rivers, unless these rivers are affected by anthropogenic activity or local pollution. Because in the permafrost zone the size of the watershed determines the degree of groundwater feeding, river specific discharge and water residence time (i.e., Nikitin and Zemtsov, 1986; Novikov et al., 2009), the effect of the river size on TE transport becomes an issue of high academic and practical importance. This may become especially relevant for testing various models of chemical weathering and element migration in the Critical Zone of the Arctic and sub-arctic (i.e., Beaulieu et al., 2012). However, straightforward comparison of element concentrations and fluxes in watersheds of various sizes is possible only in pristine regions of homogeneous runoff and landscape types (equatorial forest, tundra, or boreal biome of the platforms), where the seasonal aspect is often hard to resolve due to the difficulty of year-round access to the river or the lack of hydrological background.

In this regard, orographically flat, lithologically homogeneous, peat-covered western Siberia Lowland (WSL) offers a unique chance of testing various aspects of riverine element transport on relatively pristine territory with reasonably good knowledge of hydrology and runoff across a very large gradient of climate and vegetation. A very important aspect of western Siberian rivers is the dominance of peat soils, producing high concentration of Dissolved Organic Matter (DOM) of allochthonous (humic and fulvic) character. In the presence of dissolved organics, many typically insoluble, low mobile elements, notably trivalent and tetravalent hydrolyzates and some divalent metals, become highly labile being present as organic or organo-mineral colloids, i.e., entities between 1 kDa (~ 1 nm) and 0.45 µm (Stolpe et al., 2013; Porcelli et al., 1997). This colloidal form of migration greatly enhances the fluxes of TE from the soil to the river and finally, to the ocean. As a result, even small rivers of this region may turn out to be very important vectors of TE fluxes.

At present, the interest to aqueous geochemistry of major and trace elements in permafrost-affected regions is rising due to high vulnerability of these regions to climate change and the possibility of release of solutes previously stored in frozen soils and ice (see Anticibor et al., 2014; MacMillan., 2015; Vonk et al., 2015). This is particularly true for WSL exhibiting (i) highly unstable permafrost, mostly sporadic and discontinuous, and (ii) large stock of frozen organic matter (peat horizons), potentially containing elevated concentrations of many metals (Cu, Zn, Ni, Pb, Cd, Ba) accumulated in peat. In this regard, WSL allows studying the mobilization of organic-bound metals from frozen soil to the river across more than 1500 km gradient of permafrost coverage (absent, sporadic, isolated, discontinuous and continuous), vegetation (southern and middle taiga to tundra) and climate (0 to -9°C MAAT) while remaining within relatively homogeneous nature of underlining lithology (sands and clays), soils (peat and podzols) and runoff (200 to 300 mm y^{-1}). Note that, in contrast to extensive studies of TE in rivers and streams of boreal regions of Scandinavia (Ingri et al., 2000, 2005; Wallstedt et al., 2010; Huser et al., 2011, 2012; Oni et al., 2013; Tarvainen et al., 1997; Lidman et al., 2011, 2012, 2014; Temnerud et al., 2013), Alaska (Rember and Trefry, 2004), Canada (Wadleigh et al., 1985; Gaillardet et al., 2003; Millot et al., 2003); Central Siberia (Pokrovsky et al., 2006; Bagard et al., 2011, 2013) and European Russia (Pokrovsky et al., 2002, 2010; Vasyukova et al., 2010), even punctual measurements of TE in watersheds of large western

Siberia rivers (Ob, Nadym, Taz and Pur basin) with the exceptions of the Ob and Irtush river (Moran and Woods, 1997; Alexeeva et al., 2001; Gordeev et al., 2004) are lacking. Moreover, similar to other Siberian rivers (Pokrovsky et al., 2006; Huh and Edmond, 1999; Huh et al., 1998; Dessert et al., 2012) seasonally-resolved measurements of trace elements in WSL rivers are absent. At the same time, monthly monitoring of large Arctic rivers at the terminal gauging stations (Holmes et al., 2000, 2012, 2013) provide neither sufficient number of TE measurements nor the information on smaller tributaries located within various climate and permafrost context.

Therefore, the general objective of this study was first the assessment of TE concentrations and fluxes across significant gradients of permafrost in the WSL. Specific tasks were the following: (i) quantifying the effect of the watershed area (or river discharge) and landscape components (bogs, lakes and forest) on TE concentration; (ii) assessing the difference of element concentration during main hydrological seasons (spring flood, summer and winter baseflow); (iii) revealing annual TE fluxes in rivers as a function of watershed latitude, and (iv) evaluating the degree of flux modification under climate warming scenario comprising active layer thickness increase and northward migration of the permafrost boundary.

As a working hypothesis, and following the concepts developed for major element transport in WSL rivers (Frey et al., 2007a, b; Frey and Smith, 2005; Pokrovsky et al., 2015) we expect that northward decrease of riverine fluxes and concentrations of elements is due to decrease of the groundwater bearing the signature of water-rock interaction below soil active layer. At the same time, the elements bound to organic colloids can be preferentially mobilized from surface (organic-rich) horizons in permafrost-affected regions compared to permafrost-free regions. The increase of TE fluxes in the permafrost zone relative to the permafrost-free zone may be linked to limited downward migration of TE-DOM complexes and their low retention on frozen mineral horizon in the northern part of WSL, as it is reported for DOC (Kawahigashi et al., 2004; Pokrovsky et al., 2015). On the other hand, the presence of unfrozen mineral horizon in the south may enhance lithogenic element mobilization from the soil to the river.

Therefore, one expects three distinct families of TE in terms of latitudinal pattern of their concentration and fluxes: *i*) increasing northward, *ii*) decreasing northward and *iii*) indifferent to the latitude. This study aims at verifying the existence of these patterns and characterizing possible mechanisms of element mobilization using rigorous statistics for a large number of rivers sampled during main hydrological periods.

2. Study site and Methods

2.1. Physico-Geographical setting

Western Siberia Lowland (WSL) includes the watersheds of rivers Ob, Pur, Nadym, Taz and left tributaries of the Yenisei River draining Pliocene sands and clays. These sedimentary deposits are covered by thick (1 to 3 m) peat and enclose boreal taiga, forest-tundra and tundra biomes. The thickness of Quaternary clays, sands, and silts ranges from several meters to 200-250 m. The Paleogene and Neogene deposits are rarely exposed on the earth surface and are represented by sands, alevrolites and clays. In the southern part of WSL, carbonate concretions and shells are present within the claystone and siltstones (Geological Composition, 1958). The mean annual temperature (MAT) ranges from -0.5°C in the south (Tomsk region) to -9.5°C in the north (Yamburg) with annual precipitation of 400±30(s.d.) mm over 1500 km latitudinal and permafrost gradient. The river runoff gradually increases northward, from 190±30(s.d.) mm y^{-1} in the permafrost-free Tomskaya region to 300±20(s.d.) mm y^{-1} in the discontinuous to continuous permafrost zone (Nikitin and Zemtsov, 1986). Further physico-geographical description, hydrology, lithology and soils can be found in Botch et al. (1995); Smith et al. (2004); Frey and Smith (2007); Beilman et al. (2009) and more recent studies of Shirokova et al. (2013), Manasypov et al. (2014, 2015), and Stepanova et al. (2015). A map of studied region together with main permafrost provenances, bedrock lithology, active (seasonally unfrozen) layer depth, and river runoff in WSP is given in **Fig. 1.** More detailed river description and localization of watersheds are presented in Pokrovsky et al. (2015). **Table 1** presents the list of sampled rivers with the main physico-geographical parameters of the watersheds.

The mean multi-annual monthly discharges of WSL rivers are available from systematic surveys of Russian Hydrological Survey (Hydrological Yearbooks of RHS), generalized in Nikitin and Zemtsov (1986) and also compiled in R-AcricNET database (www.r-arcticnet.sr.unh.edu). In this study, due to limited number of observation over the year, the river discharge for each river was averaged for each 3 seasons of sampling (May to June, July to September, and October to April). In addition, systematic hydrological study of State Hydrological Institute in 1973-1992 in the northern part of western Siberia allowed reliable evaluation of small and medium rivers discharges (Novikov et al., 2009). Details of small WSL rivers discharge calculation are presented in previous publication (Pokrovsky et al., 2015).

The proportion of bogs, lakes and forest coverage of the river watersheds was numerically assessed via digitalizing GIS-based landscape maps of western Siberia (1:200,000 scale). For large and medium rivers having gauging stations of RHS, the information on the watershed coverage was collected from Zhil and Alushkinskaya (1972). The evaluation of the degree of permafrost distribution on river watersheds was possible thanks to available geocryological maps of western Siberia (1:500,000, see Ershov, 1989; Ystrebov and Ivanov, 2008).

2.2. Sampling and analyses

We sampled 70 rivers in early June 2013 and 2014 (spring flood), 67 rivers in August 2013 and 2014 (summer baseflow), 13 rivers in October 2013 (autumn) and 55 rivers in February 2014 (winter baseflow), see Table S1. The sampling points were located some 100-200 m upstream the river where it was crossing the regional road. The traffic on WSL roads is quite low and thus the pollution from the road is expected to be minimal. Several tests were made during summer baseflow on the same rivers sampled at different distances from the road bridge. Regardless of the size of the river, from few to 10,000 km² watershed, this test did not yield any statistically significant difference ($p > 0.05$) in the concentration of all TE. The watershed area of sampled rivers ranged from 2 to 150,000 km², excluding Ob in its medium course zone. The waters were collected from the middle of the stream for small rivers or at 0.5 m depth 1-2 m offshore on the large rivers using vinyl gloves and pre-washed polypropylene (PP) jars. Collected waters were immediately filtered in cleaned 30-mL PP Nalgene® flacons through single-use pre-washed filter units Minisart (Sartorius, acetate cellulose filter) having a diameter of 33 mm and a pore size of 0.45 µm. The first 20 to 50 mL of filtrate was discarded. Filtered solutions for trace analyses were acidified (pH ~ 2) with ultrapure double-distilled HNO_3 and stored in the refrigerator. The preparation of bottles for sample storage was performed in a clean bench room (ISO A 10,000). Blanks of MilliQ water were processed in the field in parallel to samples in order to control the level of pollution induced by sampling and filtration. For most trace elements except Zn, these blanks were less than 10% of the element concentration in the sample. For several rivers in winter, the Zn blanks were 30 to 50% of their sample concentration and these data were not used in the discussion. Analyses of DOC, pH, major cations and anions and their uncertainties are described in details in previous publication (Pokrovsky et al., 2015). Note that in February, all rivers north of 66°N, in the continuous permafrost zone, except the largest Khadutte watershed (4933 km²) were completely frozen: under 1.5-2 m ice thick, no water was found down to 20 cm of the frozen sand sediments at the river bed.

Trace elements were determined with an ICP-MS Agilent ce 7500 with In and Re as internal standards and 3 various external standards, placed each 10 samples in a series of river water. The SLRS-5 (Riverine Water Reference Material for Trace Metals certified by the National Research Council of Canada) was measured each 20 samples to check the accuracy and reproducibility of the analysis (Yeghicheyan et al., 2013). The typical agreement with certified values was better than 10% except for some elements (Ga, Y, W, Th) that yielded 20% to 30% agreement. However, the analytical uncertainty on these element analyses was at least 20%, so the agreement was considered as acceptable. We also applied drift correction using in-house EPOND standard or highly diluted BCR-482 digested lichen. Further details of TE analysis in boreal organic-rich surface waters, uncertainties and detection limits are presented in previous publications of our group (Pokrovsky et al., 2010, 2013; Shirokova et al., 2013; Manasypov et al., 2014, 2015).

2.3. Statistical treatment of the data and element speciation in the river water

The concentration of carbon and major elements in rivers were treated using the least squares method, Pearson correlation and one-way ANOVA (SigmaPlot version 11.0/Systat Software, Inc). Regressions and power functions were used to examine the relationships between TE concentration and the watershed area, latitude, and seasons. Trace element concentrations in rivers of (1) three main permafrost zones (continuous, discontinuous and permafrost-free regions), (2) 6 latitudinal classes of the watershed (56-58, 58-60, 60-62, 62-64, 64-66 and 66-68°N), (3) during three main seasons and (4) 4 watershed size classes (< 100, 100-1000, 1000-10000, and > 10,000 km²) were processed using non-parametric H-criterium Kruskal-Wallis test. This test is suitable for evaluation of difference of each TE among several samplings simultaneously. It is considered statistically significant at $p < 0.05$. However, we found that a p level of < 0.0001 corresponding to H > 30 indicated more significant differences and thus it was also used in assessing the relative effect of season, latitude and the watershed size.

Principal component analysis (PCA) was used to compute and interpret the spatial structures of TE in rivers using the STATISTICA package (http://www.statsoft.com). This treatment was used both for the full set of sampled rivers for all seasons simultaneously and for each season individually. Both log-transformed and non-transformed data were used for analyses. Here, we considered the average latitude of the watershed and its watershed area, pH, and all major and trace element concentration as numerical variables.

Metal speciation and complexation with DOM in the river water was modeled using visual Minteq code (version 3.1, Gustafsson, 2014). For vMinteq calculation, season-averaged major and TE concentrations of permafrost-free, discontinuous and continuous permafrost zone were used.

3. Results

3.1. Pearson correlation coefficient and impact of lakes, bogs and forest on TE in rivers

Full dataset of TE concentration in sampled rivers is available from the corresponding author upon request**.** The variability of TE within each latitudinal range was the highest for small-size catchments (< 100 km²). Pearson correlation coefficients of TE with organic and inorganic carbon, Fe and Al are listed in **Table S1** of the Supplement. For these correlations, dissolved organic and inorganic carbon (DOC and DIC, respectively), Fe and Al were chosen as main tracers of TE mobilization from surface and underground reservoirs and TE colloidal carriers in Siberian rivers and lakes, whose presence may limit the transport of heavy metals and hydrolysates in the form of high molecular weight organic and organo-mineral colloids, see Pokrovsky et al., 2006, 2012). On the other hand, DIC is most efficient tracer of ground-water feeding of rivers and it reflects the water-rock interaction in the basement (Beaulieu et al., 2012; Tank et al., 2012a, b). It can be seen from **Table S1** that during open-water period (spring, summer and autumn), the DOC is statistically significantly ($p < 0.05$) correlated with Be, Al, Ti, V, Cr, Ni, Cu, Ga, Zr, Nb, REEs (in summer and autumn), Hf and Th with the highest correlations always observed during summer. Several elements (Li, B, As, Sr, Mo, Sb, U) were more significantly correlated with DIC rather than DOC. In winter, only Sr (R=0.82) and U R=(0.80) were linked to DIC and none of TE was strongly ($R > 0.60$) correlated with DOC.

All insoluble, low-mobile trace elements were highly correlated with Al. This was mostly pronounced during summer ($0.8 \leq R \leq 0.98$) for Be, Ti, Cr, Co, Ga, Zr, Cd, REEs and Th. The correlation of these elements with Al was also significant ($R > 0.55$ at $p < 0.05$) in spring and autumn. The correlation of TE with Fe was not statistically significant during all seasons except winter, when Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Ga, As and Zr were correlated ($R > 0.5$, $p < 0.05$)

with Fe, although the correlation coefficient of Ti, V, Cr and Zr was higher with Al than with Fe.

A correlation matrix between major and TE concentration and the percentage of lakes, bogs and forest on the watershed is given in Table S2 of the Supplement. In spring, the bogs exhibited weak but significant anti-correlation ($-0.34 \leq R \leq -0.45$) with specific conductivity (S.C.), pH, DIC, Mg, Si, K, Ca, Ni, Sr and Mo. During this period, the lakes decrease pH, Si, Ni, Cu, Rb and Th concentrations in rivers ($-0.32 \leq R \leq -0.42$) whereas the presence of forest increased the concentrations of Si, Mn and Co ($0.3 \leq R \leq 0.43$). In summer, the lakes exhibited negative correlation with pH, S.C., DIC, B, Na, Mg, Si, K, Mn and Co, but positive correlation with Al, Cu, Cd, LREEs and Pb ($0.42 \leq R \leq 0.57$). Finally, in winter, the bogs exhibited positive correlation with Al, Ti, Cr, Zr, Pb and Th ($0.38 \leq R \leq 0.43$), the lakes enhanced the concentrations of Al, Ti, V, Cr, Fe, Ga, Zr, REEs, Pb and Th, whereas the proportion of forest negatively correlated with concentration of these insoluble lithogenic elements. Overall, although the impact of landscape components is not greatly pronounced (significant correlation coefficients are between ±0.30 and ±0.45), it can be ranked in the order "lakes > bogs > forest".

These preliminary links between trace element carriers (DOC, Fe, Al) or proxies (DIC) were further examined using PCA (**Fig. S1 of the Supplement**). The Principal Component Analysis demonstrated two main factors potentially controlling the ensemble of TE concentration variation. The first factor, responsible for 19-20% of overall variation, included Al, all trivalent and tetravalent hydrolysates, Cr, V, Cd, and DOC and presumably reflected the presence of organo-mineral colloids, being positively affected by the proportion of forest on the watershed. The 2nd factor (8-10% variation) was linked to the latitude of the watershed and acted on elements affected by the groundwater feeding (DIC, Sr, Mo, As, Sb, W, U), whose concentration decreased significantly northward during all seasons. During open water periods, the forest increase the mobile element export. The presence of bogs and lakes enhances the insoluble lithogneic element transport in winter. The impact of the latitude was strongly pronounced during all seasons. One may notice high stability of general F1 x F2 structure during different seasons, although the effect of landscape units was much less visible during the winter when the latitude impacted the low-soluble elements TE^{3+}, TE^{4+} hydrolysates (**Fig. S1 of Supplement**). Note however that a straightforward discrimination of lakes, bogs and forest versus permafrost effects on element concentration in WSL rivers was not possible, because the proportion of lakes and bogs is much higher in the tundra and forest-tundra zone relative to the permafrost-free middle taiga zone.

In the results presentation below, we will focus on few distinct groups of similar elements according to their chemical properties (i.e., alkalis, alkaline-earths, divalent metals, tri- and tetravalent hydrolysates, oxyanions and neutral molecules), following the similarity of element behavior in surface waters of western Siberia (e.g., Manasypov et al., 2014, 2015; Vorobyev et al., 2015). Special attention will be given to Fe and Al, the major colloidal carriers whose concentration and transport essentially control the migration of all other trivalent and tetravalent hydrolysates in surface waters of western Siberia (Pokrovsky et al., 2011, 2013; Shirokova et al., 2013). Besides, we analyzed in details the behavior of Sr, Mo and U because these elements are most affected by the permafrost abundance, or the latitudinal position of the watershed, which was the central question of this study.

3.2. TE concentration dependence on the average latitude of the watershed

Concentration of TE as a function of the watershed latitude is shown in **Figs 2-10** and **S2 to S13** in the Supplement for three main hydrological seasons. Trivalent hydrolysates such as Al, Ga, Y, REEs demonstrate no link between concentration and latitude in spring and summer and a much higher, a factor of 10 to 100, increase northward during winter (**Fig. 3 and Fig. S2**). Fe and tetravalent hydrolysates Ti, Zr and Th also demonstrated significant ($p < 0.05$) northward increase in winter, the lack of visible latitudinal trend in spring and a decrease of concentration northward in summer (**Fig. 2** for Fe and **Fig. S3** for Ti as an example, respectively). The divalent metals (Mn, Zn, Co, Ni, Cu, Cd and Pb) yielded high variability of element concentration for the same latitudinal range, without distinct latitudinal trend in summer and winter (Mn, Ni, Co, Cu, Zn, Pb, Cd), an increase northward of concentration in spring (Co, Zn), and a decrease in spring (Ni, Cu). This is illustrated for Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb in **Figs. 4-7** and for Ni, Co, and Cd in **Figs. S4-S6**. Cr showed significant northward decrease in spring and increase in winter, without distinct latitudinal trend in summer (Fig. **S7**).

A number of elements exhibited very strong latitudinal trends regardless of the season and the watershed size. These are Sr (**Fig. 8**), Mo (**Fig. 9**) and U (**Fig. 10**). In a lesser degree, seasonally-persistent trend of northward concentration decrease is observed for B (summer and winter only, **Fig. S8**), As (**Fig. S9**) and Sb (not shown). Significant ($p < 0.05$) decrease of Li and Rb concentration in spring and V concentration in spring and summer was also visible for all watershed sizes (not shown). In contrast to Sr, Ba concentration increased northward in spring while greatly decreased during summer (**Fig. S10**).

Statistical treatment of these trends is described in the next section.

3.3. Statistical treatment of trace element concentration in WSL rivers

All sampled watershed were separated into four main classes of area: < 100 km², 100 to 1000 km², 1000 to 10,000 km² and > 10,000 km². Six latitude ranges were considered during 3 main hydrological seasons (56 to 58°N, 58 to 60°N, 60 to 62°N, 62 to 64°N, 64 to 66°N and 66 to 68°N). The significance of TE concentrations variation of each watershed size as a function of each latitudinal class was tested separately for each season and for the full period of observation.

3.3.1. Effect of the watershed size and season

Based on *H* criterion of Kruskal-Wallis, the differences between watershed of different sizes

were found quite low. In spring, only Ti, Ni, Cu, Ga, Zr, REEs, Pb, Th and U yielded slight effect ($H < 10\text{-}15$ and $p > 0.001$) of the size whereas concentration of all other elements were statistically insensitive to the watershed area. In summer, weak effect ($H \sim 10$, $p > 0.01$) was seen for Al, V, Ni, Cu, Rb, Mo and U with only Mn and Co showing clear link to the size of the river (*H* = 18.5, *p* = 0.0003; *H* = 16.4, *p* = 0.0009, respectively). In winter, only Al showed significant effect of watershed area (*H* = 21.8, *p* = 0.0001) whereas Ti, V, Cr, Fe, Sr, Zr, Ba, REEs and Pb yielded weak effect (*H* < 15, *p* < 0.0001). Finally, considering all seasons together, only U yielded significant impact of the watershed size (*H* = 30.2, *p* < 0.0001) whereas all other elements had *H* < 20 at *p* > 0.001. The correlation matrix analysis demonstrated significant (at p < 0.05) positive correlation of watershed area with Mn in spring, V in summer and Cs in winter (R = 0.39, 0.32 and 0.35, respectively). A negative correlation of watershed area with Mn and Co occurred in summer (R = -0.38 and -0.36, respectively).

The seasonal effects were tested for all river size and latitudes simultaneously. Generally, the seasonal TE concentration variations were more significantly pronounced than those of the watershed size. Considering all river sizes across the full latitudinal profile, the effect of seasons was highly pronounced (*H* > 25, *p* < 0.0001) for Al, Ti, Mn, Fe, Co, Ga, Rb, As, Sr, Mo, Cd, Cs, Ba, HREEs, Hf, W, U). It was less important although statistically significant (8 < *H* < 30, *p* ≥ 0.0001) for Li, B, V, Ni, Cu, Zn, Sb, LREEs, Pb and Th) and not visible for other elements.

3.3.2 Three permafrost regions and latitudinal trends

An assessment of the permafrost effect on TE concentration in river water is possible via distinguishing three categories of permafrost distribution in the WSL: permafrost-free, discontinuous and continuous permafrost zones. For these plots, we consider all seasons and river watershed sizes simultaneously. In terms of global permafrost influence, only Li, Sr, Mo and U depicted significant, 2 to 3 orders of magnitude decrease of concentrations northward (**Fig. S11**), consistent with statistical treatments (see below). Fe, Al and other trivalent hydrolysates such as Ga, Y, and REEs demonstrated more than an order of magnitude increase in concentration in discontinuous and continuous permafrost zone relative to southern, permafrost-free zones (**Fig. S12**). This increase was most likely linked to significant rise in TE^{3+} concentration in winter in northern watersheds (see sections 3.2).

Considering all seasons simultaneously, for 3 permafrost zones, statistical Kruskal-Wallis test yielded significant impact of permafrost ($40 < H < 110$, $p < 0.0001$) for Li, B, Ni, Cu, As, Rb, Sr, Mo, Sb, Hf, W and U. In a much lesser degree ($8 < H < 30$, but typically from 10 to 15 at $p < 0.01$) the permafrost impacted Ti, V, Fe, Cs, Ba, and all REEs. All other elements including divalent metal micronutrients (Zn, Mn, Co) and pollutants (Cd, Pb) exhibited non-significant differences between different permafrost zones as illustrated in **Fig. S13**.

The Kruckal-Wallis test of 6 latitudinal classes in spring yielded highly pronounced effect of latitude on Li, V, Cr, Ni, Cu, As, Rb, Sr, Zr, Mo, Sb and U ($H > 30$, $p < 0.0001$). During this period, the latitude effect was less visible for Mn, Fe, Co, Zr, Nb, Cs, REEs, Hf, W, Pb and Th ($10 < H < 30$, $0.001 < p < 0.05$). In winter, 6 latitudinal classes were highly pronounced for Ca, DIC, Sr and U ($H > 30$, $p < 0.0001$) and less visible for B, Al, Ti, Cr, Mn, Fe, Co, Ga, As, Rb, Mo, Sb, Ba, REEs, Pb ($10 < H \leq 20$, $p < 0.05$). In summer, the latitudinal classes were distinct for B, Cu, As, Rb, Sr, Mo, Ba and U ($H > 30$, $p < 0.0001$), and less pronounced for Be, Ti, V, Cr, Fe, Ni, Zr, Cs, REE, Pb, Hf, W ($10 < H < 30$, $0.001 < p < 0.05$). Considering all seasons together, six latitudinal classes were strongly pronounced ($H > 30$, $p \leq 0.0001$) for DIC, DOC, major cations and anions, Li, Be, B, V, Fe, Ni, Cu, As, Rb, Sr, Mo, Sb, Ba, Cs, Hf, W and U. The impact of the latitude was significant for Co, Zr, Nb, REEs, Pb and Th ($11 < H \leq 25$, $0.0001 < p < 0.05$), and not significant for Al, Mn, Zn, Ga and Cd. In accord with the trends shown in **Figs. 8-10**, the latitude effect is most strongly pronounced for Sr, Mo, and U (H = 122, 110, and 123, respectively).

3.4. Trace element fluxes in western Siberia rivers across the permafrost gradient

Trace element fluxes were computed based on mean multi-annual monthly average discharge of sampled rivers and measured concentrations during three main hydrological seasons (spring flood, summer and winter baseflow including October), normalized to the watershed area at the point of river sampling. Considering high variability of concentrations among individual rivers during a given season, the typical uncertainties of the average of several rivers in each latitudinal class (56-58, 58-60, 60-62, 62-64, 64-66 and 66-68°N) are between 20 and 30%. Note that TE flux calculation may be biased by insufficient number of observations over the year, namely during long winter baseflow, and one single measurement during hydrologically important spring flood period. As such, the overall uncertainty of the annual fluxes of TE in each latitudinal range ranged between ±20 and ±50% of the mean value. This uncertainty was calculated as the sum of uncertainties of each season. The uncertainty of each season flux was proportional to the contribution of this season to the annual flux. We consider this as reasonable evaluation given large variations of chemical composition of small rivers over the year. Besides, significant number of rivers in each latitudinal class, integrating all sizes of the watersheds, greatly enforces the validity of our flux calculations.

Taking into account the abovementioned uncertainties, most trace elements did not demonstrate statistically significant (at $p < 0.05$) latitudinal trend of export fluxes which was the case for some typical hydrolysates (Al, Ti, La, Zr, Th), oxyanions (B, As, Sb), and metals (Cr, Mn, Co, Ba, Rb, Cu, Pb). At the same time, many elements (V, Cr, Mn, Cu, Co, Ni, As, Zr, REEs, Th) demonstrated elevated flux in the northernmost latitudinal range, without clear trend in rivers south of 66°N. This single latitude range was not considered significant as it marked the elevated concentration of elements in only one river in winter and 4 rivers in summer and thus could be biased by the low number of sampled rivers. Because all rivers north of 66°N except the largest Khadutte (67.41°N, 4933 km^2) were completely frozen, the river fluxes in winter in this latitudinal range can be considered as zero. Neglecting winter-time fluxes in the latitudinal range 66-68°N removed anomalously high annual values for Cr, Mn, Fe, Cu, Zn, Co, As, Rb, Zr, REEs, Cd and Th rendering the northernmost fluxes of continuous permafrost zone for these elements similar to those of permafrost-free and discontinuous permafrost regions without statistically significant ($p > 0.05$) trend across the 1500-km latitudinal transect. Fe, Zn, and Cd demonstrated clear increase ($p < 0.05$) of fluxes northward (**Fig. S14**). This increase was more significant (at $p < 0.05$) than the individual uncertainties in each latitudinal range.

The TE annual fluxes in WSL rivers can be averaged over full latitudinal range and listed in **Table 2**. A few elements (Sr, Mo, U) yielded distinct decrease of annual fluxes northward, with some re-increase in continuous permafrost zone, persistent even after removal of anomalously high winter-time concentrations of r. Khadutte (**Table 2**, **Fig. S15**). For these elements, no definite value of WSL river flux could be recommended.

3.5. Trace element speciation in western Siberia rivers

Element speciation in dissolved (< 0.45 µm) phase calculated using Stockholm Humic Model (vMinteq) is illustrated as stack diagram in **Fig. 11**. This calculation was performed based on seasonal-averaged concentrations of major and trace elements in three distinct geographical zones of WSL: permafrost-free, discontinuous and continuous permafrost. Trivalent hydrolyzates including Fe, Pb^{2+} and Cu^{2+} were present as > 90% organic complexes, regardless of the type of permafrost abundance. Alkaline-earth metals and Mn^{2+} were essentially in the form of free ions having < 15% of organic complexes. Transition metals exhibited variable proportion of organic complexes (from 20 to 60%), without any trend related to the type of permafrost abundance. Considering all divalent metals, the following order of organic complexation was observed: $Co < Cd \sim Zn < Ni << Pb < Cu$. Uranium exhibited most contrasting speciation between permafrost-free, DIC-rich rivers (from 10 to 70% of organic complexes) and permafrost-bearing zones (> 90%). This contrast was linked to elevated concentrations of HCO_3^- ions in southern rivers, where inorganic U(VI)-carbonate species were prevailing.

4. Discussion

4.1. General features of TE migration across the permafrost gradient and trace elements correlations with DOC, DIC, Fe and Al and landscape components

From general knowledge of environmental control on trace element fluxes in rivers of the Russian and Siberian subarctic (Pokrovsky and Schott, 2002; Pokrovsky et al., 2006; 2012) and other boreal and subartic regions (Huh et al., 1998; Millot et al., 2003; Rember and Trefry, 2004; Huser et al., 2011), the element concentration evolution over the latitudinal profile of variable permafrost coverage and vegetation at otherwise similar bedrock lithology and physico-geographical settings will be governed by several counter-balanced processes. A decrease of mobile element (alkali and alkaline-earths, oxyanions) concentration northward in the WSL may be due to (1) decrease of chemical weathering intensity with the temperature (Oliva et al., 2003; Beaulieu et al., 2012); (2) decrease of the thickness of the active (unfrozen) soil layer (Beilman et al., 2009); and (3) decrease of the degree of groundwater feeding (Frey et al., 2007b). These factors will mostly act on elements whose transport is not limited by dissolved organic matter. The river size is expected to act essentially on the 3rd factor, via decreasing the degree of river feeding by underground taliks with the decrease of the watershed area: it is fairly well known that the larger the river, the stronger the impact of underground input, notably in the permafrost zone of western Siberia (Fotiev, 1989, 1991).

The factors capable to enhance element concentration and export flux in northern (permafrost-bearing) rivers relative to southern (permafrost-free) rivers are those controlling the export of DOM and related metal complexes: (1) the increase of DOC and related element leaching from plant litter and topsoil (Pokrovsky et al., 2012; Giesler et al., 2006; Fraysse et al., 2010) during more pronounced massive freshet event or summer high flow (Michel and Vaneverdingen, 1994; Rember and Trefry, 2004; McClelland et al., 2006; White et al., 2007); (2) enhanced mobility of low soluble TE during the spring acid pulse (well established in other permafrost-free boreal regions, Buffam et al., 2007), which is pronounced only in permafrost-affected rivers of western Siberia (Pokrovsky et al., 2015); and (3) the decrease of adsorption of DOM-metal complexes on mineral soil horizon because clay horizon is typically frozen in the north (Kawahigashi et al., 2004). These enhancing factors will be tightly linked to the nature of colloidal carriers of TE (organic, organo-ferric or organo-aluminium species) and the efficiency of metal leaching from the organic topsoil and plant litter. A comprehensive database of rivers of various size across the full gradient of permafrost investigated during main hydrological seasons in this study allows testing the abovementioned environmental factors.

The DOC and Fe are not correlated in rivers ($R < 0.40$; $p > 0.05$) and this is consistent with decoupling of Fe and DOC during size separation procedure as two independent colloidal pools, already demonstrated for European boreal rivers (Lyvén et al., 2003; Neubauer et al., 2013; Vasyukova et al., 2010) and other Siberian rivers and WSL thermokarst lakes (Pokrovsky et al., 2006; Pokrovsky et al., 2011). The highest correlation coefficients between DOC and divalent metals and hydrolysates observed in summer may indicate on the importance of DOM in these elements mobilization from vegetation pool or from soil mineral horizons. The latter pool is poorly pronounced in spring. Significant correlation of Al with insoluble low mobile elements such as Be, Ti, Cr, Ga, Zr, Cd, REEs, Hf and Th was mostly pronounced during open-water period. A likely cause of this coupled transport is concomitant release of these elements from soil peat or mineral horizon. Most likely, organo-Al colloids, also highly abundant in western Siberia thermokarst lakes (Pokrovsky et al., 2011) act as carriers of insoluble hydrolyzates from the organic or mineral (clay) soil constituents to the river. A decoupling of total dissolved Fe concentration from these correlations during all seasons is due to Fe vulnerability to redox processes. As a result, although organo-ferric colloids may still be important carriers of TE, significant fraction of dissolved Fe in Fe-rich streams, especially in winter, can be in Fe(II) form. Reductive dissolution of Fe and Mn oxy(hydr)oxides in temperate soils is known to provoke the release of Ba, Cd, Cu, Co, Cr, Ni and V (Abgottspon et al., 2015; Hindersmann and Mansfeldt, 2014; Weber et al., 2009). Additional source of some low mobile metals can be underground water influx, reflected in 1-2 orders of magnitude higher Fe and Mn concentrations in winter (**Figs. 2C** and **4C**) and in statistically significant correlation coefficient with Fe of Ti, V, Cr, Mn, Ga, As, and Zr (**Table S1**, section 3.1)

Although the impact of main landscape components of the river watershed (bogs, lakes and forest) is statistically significant at $p < 0.05$, the correlation coefficients are rather low (typically from ±0.30 to ±0.45, see **Table S2** of Supplement). Nevertheless, analysis of correlation matrix revealed that lakes remove Mn, Co and Si in summer and Ni, Cu, Rb in spring which can be related to both phototrophic (Mn^{2+} oxidation) and biotic (plankton, periphyton and macrophytes uptake) mechanisms. The enrichment of rivers having high lake proportion at the watershed in insoluble elements such as Al, Cu, Cd, REEs, Pb in summer and in trivalent and tetravalent hydrolysates in winter may be linked to TE mobilization from lake sediments. Unlike the major part of the peat soil profile, the clays and sand sediments of lakes may remain unfrozen (i.e., Manasypov et al., 2015) thus releasing these lithogenic elements. Note that bogs enriched the rivers in insoluble elements mainly in winter, which can be due to enhanced mobilization of TE^{3+}, TE^{4+} in the form of organic-rich colloids.

The PCA results revealed two possible factors controlling element distribution in rivers during all seasons, across the latitudinal and permafrost gradient: F1 is presumably linked to organic and organo-mineral colloids, acting on insoluble, low mobile element hydrolysates (Be, Al, Ti, Zr, Nb, REEs, Hf, Th) and associated to the presence of forest on the watershed and F2 being directly linked to the negative latitude which controls specific conductivity, DIC, Ca, Mg, K, Li, V, As, Rb, Sr, Mo, Sb, W and U whose concentrations greatly decrease northward during all seasons (see Fig. **S1 A** and **B** of Supplement). The importance of this factor increases with the decrease of the proportion of lakes and bogs on the watershed because wetlands are known to limit element export in the boreal zone (Lidman et al., 2011, 2014).

The lack of watershed area and discharge effect on F1× F2 structure revealed during PCA treatment suggests that the watershed size does not control element concentration in rivers across the permafrost gradient during various seasons (see results of Kruckal Wallis test in section 3.3.1). An important result is the persistence of F1 x F2 factorial structure with relatively similar eigenvalues over all four hydrological seasons, including winter baseflow. This suggests the dominance of two main processes controlling element mobilization from the soil to the river: organo-colloidal DOC-rich surface flow and deep underground or subsurface feeding by DIC-rich, DOC-poor waters, as also evidenced in during analyses of major cations (Ca, Mg) of the WSL rivers (Pokrovsky et al., 2015).

Despite significant latitudinal and geographical coverage of western Siberia rivers, the PCA analysis does not allow to explain the observed variability of solute composition in western Siberia due to its highly homogeneous environmental context (Pokrovsky et al., 2015), unlike that of the Mackenzie River drainage basin (Reeder et al., 1972). In the latter, however, contrasting lithological and physico-geographical factors (carbonate, gypsum, clays, halite deposits, hot springs) create distinct component structure. Another reason of relatively low efficiency of PCA to explain TE concentration variability (only 33%) is that a fair number of TE, such as divalent metals (Mn, Zn, Bi, Co, Cu, Cd, Pb) are linked neither to latitude (groundwater feeding) nor to Al/Fe-rich organic colloids. As a result, not all the variables respond to the observed PCA F1 x F2 structure.

4.2. Effect of latitude on TE concentration and export from the soil profile and groundwater to the river

The decrease of concentration of elements originated from water-rock interaction whose transport is not limited by the availability of DOM (Ca, Mg, DIC, Li, B, V, Cr, Sr, Rb, As, Sb, Mo, U) is expected to be directly related to the concentration of these elements in underground waters contacting basement rocks. In winter, when the contribution of the groundwater relative to the surface runoff is maximal (i.e., Walvoord et al., 2012; Walvoord and Striegl, 2007), one can expect most significant effect of the latitude on these element concentration in rivers. In addition, in the permafrost-bearing zone during winter baseflow, significant difference in element concentration in winter between small rivers (not affected or weakly affected by taliks) and large rivers (essentially fed by taliks) should occur. In contrast, in spring, when the majority of the soil column is frozen, the export from the watershed is dominated by surface flux over the frozen organic horizon and thus the difference in groundwater-related element concentration between small and large rivers or between north and south should be minimal. The groundwater feeding of WSL rivers ranges significantly from the southern permafrost-free zone (56 to 58°N) where it varies between 30 and 80% (Frey et al., 2007b) to 20-30% in the discontinuous and sporadic/isolated part of WSL and decreases down to 3-6% in the northern, continuous permafrost zone (Novikov et al., 2009). This decrease of groundwater feeding is capable to partially explain an order of magnitude decrease of Sr, Mo and U across the studied gradient (**Figs. 8-10** and **S11**). However, the latitudinal trend of soluble TE (Sr, Mo, As, Sb, and U) concentration achieves 2 orders of magnitude and persists regardless of the seasons and the watershed size thus implying more than one single source of soluble elements in the rivers.

We hypothesize, therefore, that, in addition to deep underground feeding, there is element leaching from the main constituents of the soil profile – peat and mineral horizons. This leaching essentially controls the gradual decrease of soluble element concentration in rivers northward, visible during all seasons. The capacity of soil substrate to release TE to the river can be evaluated based on available elemental composition of WSL peat (Stepanova et al., 2014). At present, this is the only source of information on TE concentration in moss cover, peat and mineral horizons of WSL soils over more than 1500 km latitudinal transect similar to that investigated in the present work. Among 50 major and trace element analyzed in main soil reservoirs of the WSL, only several TE demonstrated statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) latitudinal concentration trend. For example, an order of magnitude decrease of Sr, Mo, and U northward in peat and mosses of the WSL between 55 and 66°N (**Fig. S16**) may reflect the latitudinal evolution of the geographic background across the WSL. Tentatively, it corresponds to a decrease of the content of carbonate concretions in the clayey horizons. The decrease of Sr, Mo and U concentration northward is detectable in all four main compartments feeding the river: (1) soluble products of rock weathering in the underground reservoirs; (2) deep soil/subsurface fluids interacting with mineral part of the soil profile; (3) interstitial soil solutions of the peat horizons, and 4) plant litter/moss layer leachates transported to the river via surface runoff in the permafrost free zone and suprapermafrost flow in the permafrost-bearing zone.

Additional factor of enhanced Sr, Mo and U mobility in the southern rivers relative to northern rivers is the difference of the pH regime between permafrost-free and permafrost-bearing zones of WSL. The pH values of 7 to 7.5 in the southern rivers observed both in winter and spring are indicative of carbonate/silicate rock weathering in the underground reservoirs. The spring acid pulse, reported for other permafrost-free boreal regions (Buffam et al., 2007), is not pronounced in the south of WSL but becomes clearly visible in the permafrost-affected, northern regions where the spring-time pH decreases to 5.5±0.5 (Pokrovsky et al., 2015). A decreased mobility of Mo and other oxyanions in more acidic solutions may be directly linked to their adsorption on mineral and organic surfaces, whereas enhanced U concentrations in DIC-rich, circum-neutral solutions may be due to strong carbonate and hydroxycarbonate complexes replacing organic colloids (**Fig. 11**) as it is also known for European subarctic rivers (Porcelli et al., 1997; Pokrovsky et al., 2010). Finally, high sensitivity of Sr to the latitudinal trend is likely to reflect its co-mobilization together with Ca and DIC from both surface and subsurface sources.

Winter-time increase of Fe concentration in permafrost-affected rivers relative to permafrost-free region (**Fig. 2C**) may reflect enhanced Fe(II) mobilization from anoxic underground reservoirs and Fe oxy(hydr)oxide dissolution in river sediments. This input is visible mostly during winter, when thick ice cover created partially anoxic conditions suitable for Fe(II) maintenance in solution. These conditions were most pronounced in northern, permafrost-affected regions, where the ice thickness was higher and some rivers even froze solid in February. At the same time, the lack of Mn increase northward in winter (Fig. **4C**) suggests relatively weak control of solely anoxic conditions on metal transport. Alternatively, these anoxic conditions suitable for enhanced Mn mobilization remain similar across the latitudinal profile, as Mn concentration remains quasi-constant and systematically, 1 to 2 order of magnitude higher in all rivers in winter relative to spring and summer (Fig. 4). Note that enhanced Mn transport during winter period linked to its redox – driven mobilization from lake and river sediments is fairly well established for small Scandinavian rivers (Pontér et al., 1990, 1992). Concerning trivalent and tetravalent hydrolysates, we hypothesize mobilization of TE^{3+}, TE^{4+} by Fe(III) colloids in the riverwater. These colloids are produced in the hyporheic zone of the river, fed by taliks from underground reservoirs. Very strong association of these elements with Fe(III) colloids stabilized by DOM is fairly well established in WSL thermokarst lakes and small rivers of the discontinuous permafrost zone (Pokrovsky et al., 2011; Shirokova et al., 2013). A positive correlation between Fe and other hydrolysates and the proportion of lakes and bogs at the watershed (**Table S2**) also confirms the importance of wetlands in providing organic carriers for these low-mobile elements.

An increase of element concentration in rivers north of 66°N compared to permafrost-free zone, especially visible for B, V, Ni, Rb, Sr, Mo, As, U during summer time (**Figs 8b, 9b, 10b, S4, S8 and S9**) does not have a straightforward explanation. We can hypothesize the influence of marine sediments underlying frozen peat in the 50-100 km vicinity of the shoreline (see section 4.3 below for surface profile). Indeed, the ground vegetation may be enriched in seawater aerosols transported from unfrozen coastal waters in the form of rain and fog. An increase of B, Sr, Mo, Rb, U and also Na, Mg, K, Ca of marine origin in large thermokarst lakes north of 68°N relative to discontinuous permafrost zone was reported for the northern part of the WSL (Manasypov et al., 2014).

Despite contrasting hydrochemistry of WSL rivers in permafrost-free, discontinuous and continuous permafrost regions in terms of pH and DOC concentration (Frey and Smith, 2005; Pokrovsky et al., 2015), the percentage of organic complexes of TE remained quite similar among all three permafrost zones. Among metals available in the vMinteq database, Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba, and Mn are complexed to DOM at 5 to 15%; Co, Cd and Zn are complexed from 20 to 40%, Ni is complexed at 55-60%, and Al, Pb, Cu, Fe(III), La, Ce and other REEs are bound to DOM by 90 to 98% (Fig. 12). Only U(VI) exhibited contrasting speciation between permafrost-free and permafrost-bearing zones. From 10 to 70% of U is present as organic complexes in HCO_3^--

rich, circum-neutral solutions of southern rivers but U(VI) remained >90% DOM-complexed in acidic, DIC-poor northern rivers.

The annual TE fluxes of WSL rivers averaged over full latitudinal profile (Table 2) can be compared with available data of TE fluxes in other subarctic rivers. Such a comparison is possible for the Severnaya Dvina River, the largest European subarctic river whose watershed lay on the same latitudinal range (58-64°N) as most WSL rivers but in the permafrost-free zone (Pokrovsky et al., 2010). The ratio of annual element fluxes in the Severnaya Dvina River measured in 2007-2009 to mean fluxes of the WSL rivers is plotted in Fig. **S17**. Given the uncertainties on the flux evaluation in each region ranging between ±30 and ±50%, the agreement within a factor of 1.5 to 2 is within the uncertainty. The elevated flux of Sr and U in the Severnaya Dvina River relative to the WSL rivers is due to the dominance of carbonate rocks whereas the elevated fluxes of lithogenic elements (Zr, Th, REEs, Al, Ti) are due to silicate rock (granites and their moraine) on the watershed of Severnaya Dvina (see Pokrovsky et al. (2010) for lithological description). The reasons for more than an order of magnitude higher fluxes of Ni, Cu, and Cd in the Severnaya Dvina River relative to the WSL rivers are multiple and may include (i) the presence of sedimentary sulfides in the former; (ii) enhanced uptake of these metals by peat mosses in the WSL and finally (iii) anthropogenic local pollution by these metals in the Severnaya Dvina River.

4.3. Mechanisms of TE mobilization from the soil to the river

Together with a comprehensive database on concentration, colloidal status and fluxes of trace elements in the Kalix River (i.e., Ingri et al., 2000, 2005; Andersson et al., 2001; Dahlqvist et al., 2005), the Kryckland watershed (Björkvald et al., 2008; Laudon et al., 2013), Alaskan rivers (Sugai and Burrell, 1984; Rember and Trefry, 2004), the present study contributes to our understanding of the nature and magnitude of element transport in boreal rivers. The main peculiarities of WSL territory is the presence of permafrost on almost half of its territory. This permafrost likely acts as a very strong barrier between surface organic and underlying mineral soil horizon thus regulating the degree of mineral vs. peat leaching depending on latitude and season as it is known for other subarctic environments (Bagard et al., 2011, 2013; Keller et al., 2007, 2010).

A tentative cartoon of WSL soil profiles in the permafrost-free, discontinuous and continuous permafrost zone presenting TE mobilization pathways from the soil to the river is illustrated in **Fig. 12**. The main difference of WSL permafrost-bearing regions from other, Scandinavian, Alaskan, and Central Siberian soils is location of active (seasonally unfrozen) layer within the organic rather than mineral horizon (Tyrtikov, 1973; Khrenov, 2011). As a result, unlike that of the non-peatland permafrost environments (i.e., Keller et al., 2007; Barker et al., 2014), element mobilization to the river over full duration of open-water season occurs essentially from the organic horizon.

We hypothesize 3 main sources of TE in rivers from the soil profile shown in **Fig. 12a**: (I) surface flow (water travelling on the top surface and leaching TE from plant litter and moss/lichen cover); (II) interstitial soil water of the peat horizons (up to 3 m thick, Kremenetsky et al., 2003), travelling to the river via less permeable clay interface and (III) subsurface water, interacted with mineral (sand, clays) horizons. Supplementary to these three main surface water source is (IV) deep underground water feeding the river during baseflow then the hydraulic pressure of surface waters in the river bed is low (Nikitin and Zemtsov, 1986; Anisimova, 1981; Roux et al., 2015). In the permafrost-free region, all four TE input fluxes are operating during the year. Note that in this zone, the frozen peat prevents infiltration only during spring melt (Laudon et al., 2007). In the permafrost-bearing regions, the third, shallow subsurface flux from mineral horizons, is absent during all seasons and the 1st and 2nd pathways are realized via suprapermafrost flow (Fig. 13 b and c). The soil column is frozen below organic peat layer and the downward penetrating surface fluids transport DOM and DOM-TE complexes leached from upper soil horizons and litter layer, without DOM sorption onto underlying minerals. This mechanism is evidenced for DOC transport in WSL rivers (Frey and Smith, 2005; Pokrovsky et al., 2015) and the Yenisey basin (Kawahigashi et al., 2004). It is consistent with frozen peat context of most western Siberia peat soil profiles.

Indeed, given 1 to 3 m thickness of the peat even in the northern part of the WSL (Vasil'evskaya et al., 1986; Kremenetsky et al., 2003) and the typical active layer thickness of 50±30 cm (Tyrtikov, 1973; Khrenov, 2011; Novikov et al., 2009), in the region of permafrost development, downward migrating peat soil interstitial solutions will not likely contact the underlying mineral horizon. The consequences of this reduced pathway are double. On the one hand, organic complexes of TE will not adsorb on clay minerals during DOM-TE migration from the litter horizons through the soil column and further to the river along the permafrost impermeable layer. As a result, the concentration and fluxes of TE controlled by leaching from moss and lichen cover and topsoil horizons and often originated from atmospheric depositions (Mn, Zn, Co, Ni, Cu, Pb, Cd) will not significantly decrease in the permafrost region relative to the permafrost-free zones. Given rather uniform distribution of divalent metals in moss and peat of the WSL latitudinal transect (Stepanova et al., 2015), this produces low variation of metal fluxes from 56 to 66°N (Table 1).

On the other hand, the lack of fluid contact with mineral layer may impede Fe and other insoluble elements to be leached from silicate minerals. The lack of mineral dissolution brings about a decrease of element concentration northward during active (summer) period, as it is seen for Fe (Fig. 2 B), Ti (Fig. S3 B) and Zr (not shown). Elements correlated with Al (see section 3.1) are less affected by watershed latitude possibly because dissolved Al is stabilized by organic complexes, equally abundant during top soil / litter leaching in the south and in the north. Here, the coprecipitation step is less pronounced than that for Fe; rather, concomitant mobilization of Al-DOM and TE-DOM complexes may explain positive correlation between mainly insoluble, low mobile TE^{3+}, TE^{4+} and Al (Table S1).

Concurrent to element mobilization from the soil to the river, a retention of nutrients (Behrendt and Opitz, 2000) or metal pollutants (Vink et al., 1999) in river systems may occur. The degree to which the concepts developed by these authors for western European rivers can be applied to TE transport in low productive, pristine and half-a-year frozen WSL rivers is uncertain. At quite low annual runoff of the WSL rivers, significant retention of dissolved Fe, Mn, Al by oxyhydroxides and Si by coastal grass and diatoms in the river may occur. However, given that the size of the river (and thus, water residence time in the channel) have insignificant effect on concentration of these and other TE (see section 3.3.1), we argue on negligible impact of TE retention on element transport in WSL rivers.

4.4. Evolution of TE concentration and fluxes in western Siberia rivers under climate change scenario.

There are four main sources of TE in the river – surface flow, shallow and deep subsurface flux and underground water input (Fig. 12). In response to permafrost thaw and active layer depth thickening, the relative role of organic soil vs. mineral subsoil fluxes may change. Specifically, the switch of river feeding from essentially peat (No II) to peat + mineral (No III+II, see Fig. 12) horizon may increase the export of elements whose concentration is much higher in mineral compared to peat horizons. These are Fe, Al, all trivalent and tetravalent hydrolysates, Ba, V and Cr. At the same time, the surface flux of Mn, Zn, Co, Ni, Cu, Cd, Pb, and in a lesser degree, Ba and Rb, essentially controlled by litter and moss leaching which is mostly pronounced during spring flood, will remain unaffected. In addition to the change of element source induced by active layer migration, the shift of the permafrost boundary to the north will expose more amount of organic peat to infiltrating waters. This will further attenuate the increase of the export flux for TE whose concentration in the peat decreases northward (B, Sr, Mo, U). As a result, the subsoil and shallow groundwater influx of highly soluble B, Li, Sr, Mo, As, Sb, W and U may remain unchanged as the concentrations of these elements in soil mineral horizons progressively decrease northward (see examples in Fig. S16), consistent with the trend in the river water concentration.

Under climate change scenario, the thickening of the active layer will increase the delivery of insoluble hydrolysates (in the form of organic complexes and organo-ferric colloids) while possibly decreasing the input of divalent metal micronutrients. The downward migrating organic complexes of the latter may be retained on mineral surfaces and in within the clay interlayers (Kaiser et al., 2007; Oosterwoud et al., 2010; Mergelov and Targulian, 2011; Gentsch et al., 2015), similar to that of DOC (Kawahigashi et al., 2004; Pokrovsky et al., 2015). Note however that the lack of TE analyses in the permanently frozen peat below the active layer in the northern region of WSL does not allow to foresee the consequences of permafrost thaw on TE leaching from previously frozen peat horizons.

Most elements did not yield any statistically significant dependence of annual export fluxes on the latitude. Very few elements demonstrated systematic and significant (more than a factor of 2) latitudinal trend of fluxes: Fe, Zn and Cd showed a northward increase and Sr, Mo and U showed a northward decrease. Therefore, the shift of the permafrost boundary northward may decrease the annual fluxes of Fe and some divalent metals originated from topsoil and mineral horizons while increasing the annual riverine export of Sr, Mo and U.

The change of the hydrological regime in the WSL (Karlsson et al., 2015), in particular the increase of the winter baseflow (Yang et al., 2004; Ye et al., 2009; Serreze et al., 2000) due to the increase of the groundwater feeding (Frey et al., 2007a,b) is likely to increase the export of Fe during winter period. Transport of TE, linked to Fe during winter baseflow (Al, Ga, REEs, V, Zr, Th) whose concentration increases northward, may also increase; however, the low share of winter flux in the annual transport for these elements will not allow significant (i.e., > 50%) annual flux modification. In contrast, export of Mn, depicting an order of magnitude higher concentration in winter compared to other seasons, may turn out to be significantly, by a factor of 2 to 3, affected by the rise of winter flow, equally in the northern and southern regions of the WSL.

The last and most uncertain factor capable modifying TE fluxes in WSL rivers is the increase of the vegetation productivity reported for Arctic river basins (Sturm et al., 2001, Tape et al., 2006; Kirdyanov et al., 2012). On the one hand, this should rise the short-term release of micronutrients (Zn, Mn, Co, Ba) from plant litter, notably during spring flood. A spring-time increase of these element concentration northward illustrates the importance of organic matter leaching in the topsoil horizon and transport to the river via suprapermafrost flow. On the other hand, the increase of the plant biomass stock will lead to transient uptake of micronutrients from organic soil horizons and their storage in the aboveground vegetation. As a result, overall modification of TE fluxes in discontinuous/continuous permafrost zone may be smaller than those projected by simple latitudinal shift.

CONCLUSIONS

Seasonal analysis of dissolved (< 0.45 µm) trace elements in ~60 rivers of Western Siberia Lowland sampled over 1500 km gradient of permafrost, climate and vegetation during three main hydrological seasons, demonstrated rather low sensitivity of element concentration and fluxes to the size of the watershed. The season also played a secondary role in determining element concentration pattern and variations among the rivers. The Principal Component and correlation analyses of full dataset identified two possible factors contributing to the observed variability of TE in rivers and persisting during all sampled seasons. The first is the DOM controlling TE^{3+}, TE^{4+} migration in the form of organic and organo-mineral colloids. The presence of lakes and bogs on the watershed enhanced the export of insoluble lithogenic trace elements, especially during summer and winter. This factor can be linked to the proportion of forest on the watershed. The second is the latitude of the watershed translated to the effect of underground water-rock interaction and river feeding via groundwater influx or taliks. This factor was most visible for labile soluble elements such as Li, B, Ca, Mg, DIC, Sr, Mo, As, Sb, W and U. The effect of this factor decreased with the increase of lakes and bogs proportion on the watershed. Overall, the major environmental parameters controlling trace elements concentration in western Siberian rivers can be ranked as following: watershed size < seasons < permafrost gradient. Mn was an exception demonstrating an order of magnitude increase in rivers during winter regardless of the latitude, which was presumably linked to the change of redox conditions. Insoluble elements however (Fe, Al, and other trivalent hydrolysates) demonstrated significant, up to an order of magnitude, increase of concentration northward during winter, which was probably linked to their DOM-promoted leaching (Al) from silicate minerals followed by organo-mineral colloid formation.

Within the soil – bedrock profile, the four main reservoirs supplying trace elements to the river are the following: (I) plant litter, soil O_e horizons, moss and lichen cover, releasing metal micronutrients (Mn, Zn, Cu, Co, Ni, Ba, Rb) and atmospherically-deposited toxicants (Cd, Pb) mostly in the form of organic complexes via surface flow, especially visible during spring flood; soil horizon leaching including both (II) peat organic layer and (III) underlying mineral (clay) layer, providing Fe, Al, TE^{3+}, TE^{4+}, V, Cr, mostly as organic complexes and organo-ferric colloids; and finally (IV) underground water reservoirs bearing the signature of water-rock interaction at depth, mostly visible during winter baseflow and connected to the river through taliks (in the permafrost-bearing region) and supplying Li, B, Sr, Mo, V, As, Sb, W, U. Significant, > a factor of 10, decrease of Sr, Mo and U concentration northward, detectable during all seasons, stems from the decrease of these element concentration in both peat and underlying mineral horizons as well as the decrease of the underground feeding along the 1500-km latitudinal profile of WSL. Under climate warming scenarios, comprising active layer thickening and permafrost boundary shift northward, the surface (I) and underground (IV) contributions to the river are unlikely to be modified. On the other hand, the change of the relative degree of the peat (II) and mineral (III) soil leaching to the river may cause the decrease of divalent metal organic complexes and increase of organo-ferric colloids of TE^{3+}, TE^{4+} delivery to the river via suprapermafrost flow and hyporheic influx.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge support from a BIO-GEO-CLIM grant No 14.B25.31.000 from the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation and Tomsk State University. OP and RM were also supported (50%) from an RSF grant No 15-17-10009 “Evolution of thermokarst ecosystems in the context of climate change”.

Authors’ contribution: O.S. Pokrovsky designed the study, performed analyses and wrote the paper; R.M. Manasypov and I.A. Krickov performed sampling and their interpretation; S.N. Vorobyev and S.N. Kirpotin were responsible for the choice of sampling objects and statistical treatment; S. Loiko provided conceptual scheme of element mobilization from the soil to the river; L.G. Kolesnichenko supplied the background information on landscape components and permafrost; S.G. Kopysov provided hydrological information and water and element flux calculation, analysis and interpretation. All authors participated in field expeditions. Each co-author have seen and approved the final paper and contributed to writing the manuscript.

References

Abgottspon, F.; Bigalke, M., and Wilcke, W.: Mobilization of trace elements in a carbonatic soil
after experimental flooding, Geoderma, 259-260, 156-163, 2015.

Alexeeva, L.B., Strachan, W.M.J., Shluchkova, V.V., Nazarova, A.A., Nikanorov, A.M., Korotova, L.G., Koreneva, V.I.: Organochlorine pesticide and trace metal monitoring of Russian rivers flowing to the Arctic Ocean: 1990-1996, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 43, 71–85, 2001.

Andersson, P.S., Dahlqvist, R., Ingri, J., and Gustafsson, Ö.: The isotopic composition of Nd in a boreal river: A reflection of selective weathering and colloidal transport, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 65(4), 521-527, 2001.

Anisimova, N.P.: Cryohydrochemical Features of Permafrost Zone. (Kriogidrokhimicheskie osobennosti merzloi zony). Nauka (in Russian), 1981.

Antcibor, I., Eschenbach, A., Zubrzycki, S., Kutzbach, L., Bolshiyanov, D., and Pfeiffer, E.-M.: Trace metal distribution in pristine permafrost-affected soils of the Lena River delta and its hinterland, northern Siberia, Russia, Biogeosciences, 11, 1-15, 2014.

Bagard, M. L., Chabaux, F., Pokrovsky, O. S., Prokushkin, A. S., Viers, J., Dupré, B., and Stille, P. Seasonal variability of element fluxes in two Central Siberian rivers draining high latitude permafrost dominated areas, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 75, 3335–3357, 2011.

Bagard, M. L., Schmitt, A. D., Chabaux, F., Pokrovsky, O. S., Viers, J., Stille, P., Labolle, F., and Prokushkin, A. S.: Biogeochemistry of stable Ca and radiogenic Sr isotopes in larchcovered permafrost-dominated watersheds of Central Siberia, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 114, 169–187, 2013.

Barker, A.J., Douglas, T.A., Jacobson, A.D., McClelland, J.W., Ilgen A.G., Khosh, M.S., Lehn, G.O., and Trainor, T.P.: Late season mobilization of trace metals in two small Alaskan arctic watersheds as a proxy for landscape scale permafrost active layer dynamics, Chemical Geology, 381, 180-193, 2014.

Beaulieu, E., Godderis, Y., Donnadieu, Y., Labat, D., and Roelandt, C.: High sensitivity of the continental-weathering carbon dioxide sink to future climate change, Nature Climate Change, 2, 346–349, 2012.

Behrendt, H., and Opitz, D.: Retention of nutrients in river systems: dependence on specific runoff and hydraulic load, Hydrobiologia, 410, 111-122, 2000.

Beilman, D. W., MacDonald, G. M., Smith, L. C., and Reimer, P. J.: Carbon accumulation in peatlands of West Siberia over the last 2000 years, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 23, GB1012, doi:10.1029/2007GB003112, 2009.

Björkvald, L., Buffam, I., Laudon, H., Mörth, C.-M.: Hydrogeochemistry of Fe and Mn in small boreal streams: The role of seasonality, landscape type and scale, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 72, 2789-2804, 2008.

Botch, M. S., Kobak, K. I., Vinson, T. S., and Kolchugina, T. P.: Carbon pools and accumulation in peatlands of the former Soviet Union, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 9, 37–46, doi: 10.1029/94GB03156, 1995.

Buffam, I., Laudon, H., Temnerud, J., Mörth, C.-M., and Bishop, K.: Landscape-scale variability of acidity and dissolved organic carbon during spring flood in a boreal stream network, J. Geophys. Res., 112, G01022, doi:10.1029/2006JG000218, 2007.

Cooper, L. W., McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Raymond, P. A., Gibson, J. J., Guay, C. K., and Peterson, B. J.: Flow-weighted values of runoff tracers (δ^{18}O, DOC, Ba, alkalinity) from the six largest Arctic rivers, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L18606, doi:10.1029/2008GL035007, 2008.

Dahlqvist, R., Andersson, K., Ingri, J., Larsson, T., Stolpe, B., and Turner, D.: Temporal variations of colloidal carrier phases and associated trace elements in a boreal river, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 71, 5339-5354, 2007.

Dessert, C., Dupré, B., Gaillardet, J., Francois, L. M., and Allégre, C. J.: basalt weathering laws and the impact of basalt weathering on the global carbon cycle, Chem. Geol., 202, 257–273, 2003.

Ershov, E.D. : Geocryology of the USSR. Western Siberia. Nedra, Moscow, 454 pp, 1989.

FAO, Guidelines for soil description. 4th edition. Rome. FAO, 2006.

Fotiev, C. M.: Taliks and their formations (Taliki i zakonomernosti ix formirovanija), In : Geocryology of the USSR, Western Siberia, E.D. Ershov, Nedra, Moscow, pp. 72-84, 1989 (in Russian)

Fotiev, C. M.: Formation of taliks of Western Siberia, In : Permanently frozen rocks and cryogenic processes, Moscow, Nauka, pp. 71-78, 1991. (in Russian).

Fraysse, F., Pokrovsky, O. S., and Meunier, J.-D.: Experimental study of terrestrial plant litter interaction with aqueous solutions, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 74, 70–84, 2010.

Frey, K. E. and Smith, L.C.: Amplified carbon release from vast West Siberian peatlands by 2100, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L09401, doi:10.1029/2004GL022025, 2005.

Frey, K. E. and Smith, L. C.: How well do we know northern land cover? Comparison of four global vegetation and wetland products with a new ground-truth database for West Siberia, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, GB1016, doi:10.1029/2006GB002706, 2007.

Frey, K. E., McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., and Smith, L. C.: Impacts of climate warming and permafrost thaw on the riverine transport of nitrogen and phosphorus to the Kara Sea, J. Geophys. Res., 112, G04S58, doi: 10.1029/2006JG000369, 2007a.

Frey, K. E., Siegel, D. I., and Smith, L. C.: Geochemistry of west Siberian streams and their potential response to permafrost degradation, Water Resour. Res., 43, W03406, doi:10.1029/2006WR004902, 2007b.

Frey, K. E. and McClelland, J. W.: Impacts of permafrost degradation on arctic river biogeochemistry, Hydrol. Process., 23, 169–182, 2009.

Gaillardet, J., Millot, R., and Dupré, B.: Chemical denudation rates of the western Canadian orogenic belt: the Stikine terrane, Chem. Geol., 201, 257–279, 2003.

Gaillardet J., Viers J. and Dupré B. (2014) Trace Elements in River Waters. In: Holland H.D. and Turekian K.K. (eds.) Treatise on Geochemistry, Second Edition, vol. 7, pp. 195-235. Oxford: Elsevier.

Gentsch, N., Mikutta, R., Alves, R. J. E., Barta, J., Capek, P., Gitte, A., Hugelius, G., Kuhry, P., Lashchinskiy, N., Palmtag, J., Richter, A., Santrucková, H., Schnecker, J., Shibistova, O., Urich, T., Wild, B., and Guggenberger, G.: Storage and transformation of organic matter fractions in cryoturbated permafrost soils across the Siberian Arctic, Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, 2697–2743, 2015.

Geological composition of the USSR, v. 1, Stratigraphy, Moscow, Gostoptekhizdat, 588 pp., 1958 (in Russian).

Giesler, R., Högberg, M. N., Strobel, B. W., Richter, A., Nordgren, A., and Högberg, P.: Production of dissolved organic carbon and low-molecular weight organic acids in soil solution driven by recent tree photosynthate, Biogeochemistry, 84, 1–12, 2006.

Gordeev, V. V., Martin, J.-M., Sidorov, I. S., and Sidorova, M. V.: A reassessment of the Eurasian river input of water, sediment, major elements, and nutrients to the Arctic Ocean, Am. J. Sci., 296, 664–691, 1996.

Gordeev, V. V., Rachold, V., and Vlasova, I. E.: Geochemical behavior of major and trace elements in suspended particulate material of the Irtysh river, the main tributary of the Ob river, Siberia, Appl. Geochem., 19, 593–610, 2004.

Gustafsson, J.: Visual MINTEQ ver. 3.1. http://vminteq.lwr.kth.se, 2014, assessed 8.08.2015.

Hindersmann, I., Mansfeldt, T.: Trace element solubility in a multimetal-contaminated soil as affected by redox conditions, Water Air Soil Pollut., 225, 2158, 2014.

Holmes, R. M., Peterson, B. J., Gordeev, V. V., Zhulidov, A. V., Meybeck, M., Lammers, R. B., and Vörösmarty, C. J.: Flux of nutrients from Russian rivers to the Arctic Ocean: Can we establish a baseline against which to judge future changes? Water Resour. Res., 36, 2309–2320, 2000.

Holmes, R. M., McClelland, J. W., Peterson, B. J., Tank, S. E., Bulygina, E., Eglinton, T. I., Gordeev, V. V., Gurtovaya, T. Y., Raymond, P. A., Repeta, D. J., Staples, R., Striegl, R. G., Zhulidov, A. V., and Zimov, S. A.: Seasonal and annual fluxes of nutrients and organic matter from large rivers to the Arctic Ocean and surrounding seas, Estuar. Coast., 35, 369–382, doi:10.1007/s12237-011-9386-6, 2012.

Holmes, R.M., Coe, M.T., Fiske, G.J., Gurtovaya, T., McClelland, J.W., Shiklomanov, A.I., Spencer, R.G.M., Tank, S.E., and Zhulidov, A.V.: Climate change impacts on the hydrology and biogeochemistry of Arctic Rivers, In: Climatic Changes and Global warming of Inland Waters: Impacts and Mitigation for Ecosystems and Societies, Eds. C.R. Goldman, M. Kumagi, and R.D. Robarts, John Wiley and Sons, p. 1-26, 2013.

Huh, Y., Panteleyev, G., Babich, D., Zaitsev, A., and Edmond, J.M.: The fluvial geochemistry of the rivers of Eastern Siberia: II. Tributaries of the Lena, Omoloy, Yana, Indigirka, Kolyma, and Anadyr draining collisional/accretionary zone of the Verkhoyansk and Cherskiy ranges, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 62, 2053-2075, 1998.

Huh, Y., Edmond, J.M.: The fluvial geochemistry of the rivers of Eastern Siberia: III. Tributaries of the Lena and Anabar draining the basement terrain of the Siberian Craton and the Trans-Baikal Highlands, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 63, 967-987, 1999.

Huser, B. J., Köhler, S. J., Wilander, A., Johansson, K., and Fölster, J.: Temporal and spatial trends for trace metals in streams and rivers across Sweden (1996–2009), Biogeosciences, 8, 1813-1823, 2011.

Huser, B.J., Fölster, J., and Köhler, S.J.: Lead, zinc, and chromium concentrations in acidic headwater streams in Sweden explained by chemical, climatic, and land-use variations, Biogeosciences 9, 4323–4335, 2012.

Ingri, J., Widerlund, A., Land, M., Gustafsson, Ö., Andersson, P.S., and Öhlander, B.: Temporal variations in the fractionation of the rare earth elements in a boreal river, the role of colloidal particles, Chem. Geol., 166, 23-45, 2000.

Ingri, J., Widerlund, A., and Land, M.: Geochemistry of major elements in a pristine boreal river system, Hydrological compartments and flow paths, Aquat. Geochem., 11, 57–88, 2005.

Kaiser, C., Meyer, H., Biasi, C., Rusalimova, O., Barsukov, P., and Richter, A.: Conservation of soil organic matter through cryoturbation in arctic soils in Siberia, J. Geophys. Res., 112, 9–17, 2007.

Karlsson, J. M., Jaramillo, F., and Destouni, G.: Hydro-climatic and lake change patterns in Arctic permafrost and non-permafrost areas, J. Hydrol., 529, 134-145, 2015.

Kawahigashi, M., Kaiser, K., Kalbitz, K., Rodionov, A., and Guggenberger, G.: Dissolved organic matter in small streams along a gradient from discontinuous to continuous permafrost, Glob. Change Biol., 10, 1576–1586, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00827.x, 2004.

Keller, K., Blum, J.D., and Kling, G.W.: Geochemistry of soils and streams on surfaces of varying ages in arctic Alaska, Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res., 39, 84–98, 2007.

Keller, K., Blum, J. D., and Kling, G. W.: Stream geochemistry as an indicator of increasing permafrost thaw depth in an arctic watershed, Chem. Geol., 273, 76–81, 2010.

Kirdyanov, A. V., Hagedorn, F., Knorre, A. A., Fedotova, E. V., Vaganov, E. A., Naurzbaev, M. M., Moiseev, P. A., and Rigling, A.: 20th century tree-line advance and vegetation changes along an altitudinal transect in Putorana Mountains, northern Siberia, Boreas, 41, 56–67, 2012.

Khrenov V. Ya.: Soils of cryolithozone of western Siberia: Morphology, physico-chemical properties and geochemistry, Nauka, Moscow, 214 pp., 2011 (in Russian).

Kremenetsky, K. V., Velichko, A. A., Borisova, O. K., MacDonald, G. M., Smith, L. C., Frey, K. E., and Orlova, L. A.: Peatlands of the West Siberian Lowlands: Current knowledge on zonation, carbon content, and Late Quaternary history, Quaternary Sci. Rev., 22, 703–723, 2003.

Laudon, H., Sjoblom, V., Buffam, I., Seibert, J., and Morth, M.: The role of catchment scale and landscape characteristics for runoff generation of boreal streams, J. Hydrol., 344, 198-209, 2007.

Laudon, H., Taberman, I., Agren, A., Futter, M., Ottosson-Lofvenius, M., and Bishop, K.: The Kryckland catchment study – a flagship infrastructure for hydrology, biogeochemistry, and climate research in the boreal landscape. Water Resour. Res., 49, 7154-7158, 2013.

Lidman, F., Morth, C.M., Bjorkvald, L., and Laudon, H.: Selenium dynamics in boreal streams: The role of wetlands and changing groundwater tables. Environ. Sci. Technol., 45(7), 2677-2683, 2011.

Lidman, F., Morth, C.M., and Laudon, H.: Landscape control of uranium and thorium in boreal streams – spatiotemporal variability and the role of wetlands. Biogeosciences, 9, 4773-4785, 2012.

Lidman, F., Kohler, S.J., Morth, C.-M., and Laudon, H.: Metal transport in the boreal landscape – the role of wetlands and the affinity for organic matter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 3783-3790, 2014.

Lyvén, B., Hassellöv, M., Turner, D.R., Haraldsson, C., Andersson, K.: Competition between iron- and carbon-based colloidal carriers for trace metals in a freshwater assessed using flow field-flow fractionation coupled to ICPMS, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 67, 3791-3802, 2003.

MacMillan, G.A., Girard, C., Chételat, J., Laurion, I., Amyot M.: High methylmercury in arctic and subarctic ponds is related to nutrient Levels in the warming Eastern Canadian Arctic, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49 (13), 7743–7753, doi : 10.1021/acs.est.5b00763, 2015.

Manasypov, R. M., Pokrovsky, O. S., Kirpotin, S. N., and Shirokova, L. S.: Thermokarst lake waters across the permafrost zones of western Siberia, Cryosphere, 8, 1177–1193, 2014.

Manasypov, R. M., Vorobyev, S. N., Loiko, S. V., Kritzkov, I. V., Shirokova, L. S., Shevchenko, V. P., Kirpotin, S. N., Kulizhsky, S. P., Kolesnichenko, L. G., Zemtzov, V. A., Sinkinov, V. V., and Pokrovsky, O. S.: Seasonal dynamics of organic carbon and metals in thermokarst lakes from the discontinuous permafrost zone of western Siberia, Biogeosciences, 12, 3009–3028, 2015.

McClelland, J.W., Tank, S.E., Spencer, R.G.M., Shiklomanov, A.I.: Coordination and sustainability of river observing activities in the Arctic. Arctic 68, http://dx.doi.org/10.14430/arctic4448, 2015.

McClelland, J. W., Déry, S. J., Peterson, B. J., Holmes, R. M., and Wood, E. F.: A pan-Arctic evaluation of changes in river discharge during the latter half of the 20th century, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L06715, 2006.

Mergelov, N. and Targulian, V.: Accumulation of organic matter in the mineral layers of permafrost-affected soils of coastal lowlands in East Siberia, Eurasian Soil Sci., 44, 249–260, 2011.

Michel, F. A. and Vaneverdingen, R. O.: Changes in hydrologic regimes in permafrost regions due to climate-change, Permafrost Periglac., 5, 191–195, 1994.

Millot, R., Gaillardet, J., Dupré, B., and Allègre, C. J.: Northern latitude chemical weathering rates: Clues from the Mackenzie River Basin, Canada, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 67, 1305–1329, 2003.

Moran, S. B. and Woods, W. L.: Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni and Pb in the water column and sediments of the Ob-Irtysh Rivers, Russia, Mar. Pollut. Bull., 35, 270–279, 1997.

Neubauer, E., Kohler, S.J., von der Kammer, F., Laudon, H., and Hofmann, T.: Effect of pH and stream order on iron and arsenic speciation in boreal catchments, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 7120-7128, 2013.

Nikitin, S. P. and Zemtsov, V. A.: The variability of hydrological parameters of western Siberia, Nauka, Novosibirsk, 204 pp., 1986 (in Russian).

Novikov, S. M., Moskvin, Y. P., Trofimov, S. A., Usova, L. I., Batuev, V. I., Tumanovskaya, S. M., Smirnova, V. P., Markov, M. L., Korotkevicth, A. E., and Potapova, T. M.: Hydrology of bog territories of the permafrost zone of western Siberia, BBM publ. House, St. Petersbourg, 535 pp., 2009 (in Russian).

Oliva, P., Viers, J., and Dupré, B.: Chemical weathering in granitic environments, Chem. Geol., 202, 225–256, 2003.

Oni, S. K., Futter, M. N., Bishop, K., Köhler, S. J., Ottosson-Löfvenius, M., and Laudon, H.: Long-term patterns in dissolved organic carbon, major elements and trace metals in boreal headwater catchments: trends, mechanisms and heterogeneity, Biogeosciences, 10, 2315-2330, doi:10.5194/bg-10-2315-2013, 2013.

Oosterwoud, M. R., Temminghoff, E. J. M., and van der Zee, S. E. A. T. M.: Quantification of DOC concentrations in relation with soil properties of soils in tundra and taiga of Northern European Russia, Biogeosciences Discuss., 7, 3189–3226, 2010.

Pokrovsky, O. S., Schott, J., and Dupre, B.: Trace element fractionation and transport in boreal rivers and soil porewaters of permafrost-dominated basaltic terrain in Central Siberia, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 70, 3239–3260, 2006.

Pokrovsky, O. S., Viers, J., Shirokova, L. S., Shevchenko, V. P., Filipov, A. S., and Dupré, B.: Dissolved, suspended, and colloidal fluxes of organic carbon, major and trace elements in Severnaya Dvina River and its tributary, Chem. Geol., 273, 136–149, 2010.

Pokrovsky, O.S., Shirokova, L.S., Kirpotin, S.N., Audry, S., Viers, J., and Dupré, B.: Effect of permafrost thawing on the organic carbon and metal speciation in thermokarst lakes of western Siberia. Biogeosciences, 8, 565-583, 2011.

Pokrovsky, O. S., Viers, J., Dupré, B., Chabaux, F., Gaillardet, J., Audry, S., Prokushkin, A. S., Shirokova, L. S., Kirpotin, S. N., Lapitsky, S. A., and Shevchenko, V. P.: Biogeochemistry of carbon, major and trace elements in watersheds of Northern Eurasia drained to the Arctic Ocean: The change of fluxes, sources and mechanisms under the climate warming prospective, C.R. Geosci., 344, 663–677, 2012.

Pokrovsky, O. S., Shirokova, L. S., Kirpotin, S. N., Kulizhsky, S. P., and Vorobiev, S. N.: Impact of western Siberia heat wave 2012 on greenhouse gases and trace metal concentration in thaw lakes of discontinuous permafrost zone, Biogeosciences, 10, 5349–5365, 2013.

Pokrovsky, O.S., Manasypov, R.M., Shirokova, L.S., Loiko, S., Kritzkov, I., Kopysov, S., Kolesnichenko, L.G., Zemtsov, V.A., Kulizhsky, S.P., Vorobyev, S.N., and Kirpotin, S.N.: Permafrost coverage, watershed area and season control of dissolved carbon and major elements in western Siberia rivers, Biogeosciences, 12, 6301-6320, doi:10.5194/bg-12-6301-2015, 2015.

Pontér, C., Ingri, J., Burmann, J., and Boström, K.: Temporal variations in dissolved and suspended iron and manganese in the Kalix River, northern Sweden, Chem. Geol. 81, 121-131, 1990.

Pontér, C., Ingri, J., and Boström, K., 1992. Geochemistry of manganese in the Kalix River, northern Sweden, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 56, 1485-1494, 1992.

Porcelli, D., Andersson, P. S., Wasserburg, G. J., Ingri, J., and Baskaran, M.: The importance of colloids and mires for the transport of uranium isotopes through the Kalix River watershed and Baltic Sea. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 61(19), 4095-4113, 1997.

Reeder, S. W., Hitchon, B., and Levinson, A. A.: Hydrogeochemistry of the surface waters of the Mackenzie River drainage basin, Canada – I. Factors controlling inorganic composition, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 36, 826–865, 1972.

Rember, R. D. and Trefry, J. H.: Increased concentrations of dissolved trace metals and organic carbon during snowmelt in rivers of the Alaskan Arctic, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 68, 477–489, 2004.

Roux, N., Grenier Ch., and Costard, F.: Experimental and numerical simulations of heat transfers between flowing water and a frozen porous medium. Geophysical Research Abstracts

17, EGU2015-8860, 2015

Serreze, M. C., Walsh, J. E., Chapin, E., Osterkamp, T., Dyugerov, M., Romanovsky, V., Oechel, W. C., Morison, J., Zhang, T., and Barry, R. G.: Observation evidence of recent change in the northern high-latitude environment, Climatic Change, 46, 159–207, 2000.

Seyler, P., Pinelli, M., Boaventura, G.R.: A first quantitative estimate of trace metal fluxes from Amazon river and its main tributaries, Journal Physique IV (Proceedings), 107, 1213-1218, doi: 10.1051/jp4:20030519, 2003.

Shirokova, L. S., Pokrovsky, O. S., Kirpotin, S. N., Desmukh, C., Pokrovsky, B. G., Audry, S., and Viers, J.: Biogeochemistry of organic carbon, CO_2, CH_4, and trace elements in thermokarst water bodies in discontinuous permafrost zones of Western Siberia, Biogeochemistry, 113, 573–593, 2013.

Smith, L. C., Macdonald, G. M., Velichko, A. A., Beilman, D. W., Borisova, O. K., Frey, K. E., Kremenetsky, K. V., and Sheng, Y.: Siberian peatlands as a net carbon sink and global methane source since the early Holocene, Science, 303, 353–356, 2004.

Stepanova, V. M., Pokrovsky, O. S., Viers, J., Mironycheva-Tokareva, N. P. Kosykh, N. P., and Vishnyakova, E. K.: Major and trace elements in peat profiles in Western Siberia: impact of the landscape context, latitude and permafrost coverage, Appl. Geochem., 53, 53–70, 2015.

Stolpe, B., Guo, L., Shiller, A.M., and Aiken, G.R.: Abundance, size distribution and trace-element binding of organic and iron-rich nanocolloids in Alaskan rivers, as revealed by field-flow fractionation and ICP-MS, Geochim Cosmochim Acta 105, 221-239, 2013.

Sturm, M., Racine, C., and Tape, K.: Increasing shrub abundance in the Arctic, Nature, 411, 546–547, 2001.

Sugai, S.F. and Burrell, D.C.: Transport of Dissolved Organic Carbon, Nutrients, and Trace Metals from the Wilson and Blossom Rivers to Smeaton Bay, Southeast Alaska, Canadian J Fisheries and Aquatic Sci., 41(1), 180-190, (doi: 10.1139/f84-019), 1984.

Tank, S. E., Raymond, P. A., Striegl, R. G., McClelland, J. W., Holmes, R. M., Fiske, G. J., and Peterson, B. J.: A land-to-ocean perspective on the magnitude, source and implication of DIC flux from major Arctic rivers to the Arctic Ocean, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 26, GB4018, doi:10.1029/2011GB004192, 2012.

Tape, K., Sturm, M., and Racine, C.: The evidence for shrub expansion in Northern Alaska and the Pan-Arctic, Glob. Change Biol., 12, 686–702, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01128.x., 2006.

Tarvainen, T., Lahermo P., Mannio J., Sources of trace metals in streams and headwater lakes in Finland, Water Air Soil Pollution, 94, 1-32, 1997.

Temnerud, J., Duker, A., Karlsson, S., Allard, B., Bishop, K., Folster, J., and Kohler, S.: Spatial patterns of some trace elements in four Swedish stream networks, Biogeosciences, 10, 1407-1423, 2013.

Tyrtikov, A. P.: Thawing of soils in tundra of western Siberia, in: Popov A.I. (Ed.) Natural environment of western Siberia, Issue 3, Izd-vo MG, Moscow, 160–169, 1973 (in Russian).

Vasil'evskaya, V.D., Ivanov, V.V., and Bogatyrev, L.G.: Soils of North of western Siberia, Moscow University Publ. House, Moscow, 228 pp, 1986 (in Russian).

Vasyukova, E.V., Pokrovsky, O.S., Viers, J., Oliva, P., Dupré, B., Martin, F., and Candadaup, F.: Trace elements in organic- and iron-rich surficial fluids of the boreal zone: Assessing colloidal forms via dialysis and ultrafiltration, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 74, 449-468, 2010.

Vink, R. J., Behrendt, H., and Salomons, W.: Point and diffuse source analysis of heavy metals in the Elbe drainage area: Comparing heavy metal emissions with transported river loads, Hydrobiologia, 410, 307-314, 1999.

Vonk, J. E., Tank, S. E., Bowden, W. B., Laurion, I., Vincent, W. F., Alekseychik, P., Amyot, M., Billet, M. F., Canário, J., Cory, R. M., Deshpande, B. N., Helbig, M., Jammet, M., Karlsson, J., Larouche, J., MacMillan, G., Rautio, M., Walter Anthony, K. M., and Wickland, K. P.: Reviews and Syntheses: Effects of permafrost thaw on arctic aquatic ecosystems, Biogeosciences, 12, 7129-7167, 2015.

Vorobyev, S. N., Pokrovsky, O. S., Kirpotin, S. N., Kolesnichenko, L. G., Shirokova, L. S., Manasypov, R. M.: Flood zone biogeochemistry of the Ob' River middle course, Appl. Geochem., 63, 133-145, 2015.

Voronkov, P. P., Sokolova, O. K., Zubareva, V. I., and Naidenova, V. I.: Hydrochemical features of local discharge during spring flood from the soil coverage of European territory of the USSR, Trudy GGI (Proceedings of State Hydrological Institute), 137, 3–57, 1966 (in Russian).

Wadleigh, M.A., Veizer, J., and Brooks, C.: Strontium and its isotopes in Canadian Rivers – fluxes and global implications, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 49(8), 1727-1736, 1985.

Walvoord, M. A., Voss, C. I., and Wellman, T. P.: Influence of permafrost distribution on groundwater flow in the context of climate-driven permafrost thaw: Example from Yukon Flats Basin, Alaska, United States, Water Res. Research, 48, W07524, doi: 10.1029/2011WR011595, 2012.

Walvoord, M. A. and Striegl, R. G.: Increased groundwater to stream discharge from permafrost thawing in the Yukon River basin: Potential impacts on lateral export of carbon and nitrogen, J. Geophys. Res., 34, L12402, doi:10.1029/2007GL030216, 2007.

Wällstedt, T., Björkvald, L., and Gustafsson, J.P.: Increasing concentrations of arsenic and vanadium in (southern) Swedish streams, Appl. Geochem., 25, 1162-1175, 2010.

Weber, F. A., Voegelin, A., and Kretzschmar, R.: Multi-metal contaminant dynamics in temporarily flooded soil under sulfate limitation, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 73(19), 5513-5527, 2009.

White, D., Hinzman, L., Alessa, L., Cassano, J., Chambers, M., Falkner, K., Francis, J., Gutowski Jr., W. J., Holland, M., Holmes, R. M., Huntington, H., Kane, D., Kliskey, A., Lee, C., McClelland, J., Peterson, B., Rupp, T. S., Straneo, F., Steele, M., Woodgate, R., Yang, D., Yoshikawa, K., and Zhang T.: The arctic freshwater system: Changes and impacts, J. Geophys. Res., 112, G04S54, doi:10.1029/2006JG000353, 2007.

Yang, D., Ye, B., and Shiklomanov, A.: Discharge characteristics and changes over the Ob River watershed in Siberia, J. Hydrometeorol., 5, 595–610, 2004.

Yastrebov, A.A., and Ivanov, Yu.K.: Fresh groundwater regional dynamics of the Yamal-Nenets autonomous region, Lithosphere (Litosfere), No 5, 99-112, 2008 (in Russian).

Ye, B., Yang, D., Zhang, Z., and Kane, D. L.: Variation of hydrological regime with permafrost coverage over Lena basin in Siberia, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D07102, 2009.

Yeghicheyan, D., Bossy, C., Bouhnik Le Coz, M., Douchet, Ch., Granier, G., Heimburger, A., Lacan, F., Lanzanova, A., Rousseau, T. C. C., Seidel, J.-L., Tharaud, M., Candaudap, F., Chmeleff, J., Cloquet, C., Delpoux, S., Labatut, M., Losno, R., Pradoux, C., Sivry, Y., and Sonke, J. E.: A Compilation of Silicon, Rare Earth Element and Twenty-One other Trace Element Concentrations in the Natural River Water Reference Material SLRS-5 (NRC-CNRC), Geostand. Geoanal. Res., 37, 449–467, doi:10.1111/j.1751-908X.2013.00232.x, 2013.

Zhil, I. M. and Alushkinskaya, N. M.: Resources of Surface Waters USSR (eds.). Vol. III, Northern regions. Gidrometeoizdat, Leningrad, 633 pp., 1972.

Table 1. List of sampled rivers, their watershed area, annual runoff, landscape parameters of the watershed (% of bogs, lakes and forest coverage), lithology (% of sand, loam and clay; the rest is peat) and permafrost coverage. The numbers in the first column represent the rivers in the map (Fig. 1). The rivers No 27 (Medvedka) and 13 (Tatarkin istok) are influenced by oil industry (22 and 52% of watershed, respectively). The rivers No 3 (Chubyr'), No 14 (Istok) and No 30 (Alenkin Egan) are affected by agricultural activity (70, 49 and 20% of watershed, respectively). The lithology of watersheds No 92 (Malokha Yakha), No 93 (Nuny-Yakha) and No 95 (Khadutte) is represented by the interlayer mixture of sand, loam and clays (66, 76 and 84%, respectively). ND stands for non-determined.

River	**N**	**E**	**watersheds, km^2**	**Annual runoff, mm/y**	**S bogs, %**	**S forest, %**	**S lakes, %**	**S sand, %**	**S loam, %**	**S permafrost, %**
27. Medvedka	60°44'10,9"	77°22'55,9"	7	173	36.2	45.3	0	67	0	0
38. Kottym'egan	61˚27'17.3"	74˚40'23.3"	7.18	192	77.6	12.4	10.4	12	0	4
81. Tydylyakha	65˚06'48.8"	77˚47'58.8"	7.46	185	49.4	37.7	12.7	51	0	49
3. Chubyr'	56˚43'15.0"	83˚55'35.1"	8.14	44.8	0.94	28.4	1.01	0	99	0
35. Er-Yakh	61°12'19,5"	75°23'06,5"	9.35	173	57.6	37.0	0,0	42	0	0
42. Vachinguriyagun	61˚50'28.6"	70˚50'28.2"	9.52	192	78.7	9.5	11.9	21	0	0
47. Pertriyagun	62˚37'08.4"	74˚10'15.9"	9.65	192	57.2	6.73	36.1	43	0	11
60. Goensapur	63°12'43.38"	76°21'27.66"	11	194	53.2	40.2	6.59	47	0	25
82. Tydyotta	65˚12'17.6"	77˚43'49.8"	12.0	309	53.0	43.0	4.0	47	0	25
14. Istok	58˚24'38.0"	82˚08'46.0"	12.3	127	29.0	20.0	1.77	0	71	0
61. Denna	63°12'45.96"	76°24'1.32"	15	194	69.2	18.8	11.9	31	0	35
78. Seryareyakha	64˚32'07.9"	76˚54'21.3"	15.2	186	49.8	34.8	15.5	50	0	50
73. Apoku-Yakha	64˚09'06.4"	75˚22'18.1"	18.8	186	75.5	12.8	11.7	24	0	38
54. Ponto-Yakha	63°9'31.38"	75°3'2.58"	19	194	66.3	29.9	3.77	34	0	33
43. Lyukh-Yagun	61˚58'05.1"	73˚47'03.4"	21.6	192	62.2	17.9	19.5	38	0	0
49. Ai-Kirill-Vys'yagun	62˚43'09.9"	74˚13'45.9"	24.0	192	52.3	36.7	10.1	48	0	10
28. Saim	60°45'58,5"	77°26'12,6"	26	173	49.7	48.4	3.2	50	0	0
31. Kaima	60°50'43,6"	77°05'03,0"	31	173	55.2	43.5	0.0	0	45	0
6. Cherniy Klyuch	56°54'39,1"	82°33'33,3"	32	168	40.6	59.4	0.002	0	59	0
29. Mishkin Saim	60°47'29,3"	77°19'13,5"	32	173	25.5	13.4	0.59	47	0	0
67. Nyudya-Itu-Yakha	63°8'34.02"	74°54'29.1"	32	194	35.7	55.9	8.42	64	0	11
46. Pintyr'yagun	62˚33'39.8"	74˚00'29.5"	33.5	192	61	0	39.04	39	0	8
64. Khatytayakha	63˚36'48.2"	74˚35'28.6"	34.6	194	75.3	13.2	10.8	25	0	38

River	N	E	watersheds, km^2	Annual runoff, mm/y	S bogs, %	S forest, %	S lakes, %	S sand, %	S loam, %	S permafrost, %
88. Tadym-Yakha	65˚59'05.7"	77˚40'52.6"	39.9	185	58.5	3.74	19.03	24	0	30
87. Yude-Yakha	65˚58'54"	77˚34'05"	42.4	185	55.5	2.27	30.1	45	0	28
57. Tlyatsayakha	63°13'25.2"	76°5'23.04"	43	194	52.8	38	9.18	47	0	27
30. Alenkin Egan	60°49'32,3"	77°13 46,3"	44	173	45.3	35.2	0.014	65	0	0
77. Kharv'-Yakha	64˚26'05.2"	76˚24'37.0"	46.4	186	33.5	57.4	7.41	67	0	17
76. Khaloku-Yakha	64°23'30,6"	76°19'50,1"	53	186	15.6	83.3	0.48	84	0	15
13. Tatarkin Istok	58˚23'16.8"	82˚11'39.0"	58.6	33.4	21.1	23.4	2.94	0	78	0
71. Ngarka-Tyde-Yakha	64˚12'08.4"	75˚24'28.4"	59.9	186	5.5	94.4	2.2	95	0	5
2. Prud	56˚46'19.5"	83˚57'35.7"	61.5	44.8	37.9	45.5	0.0	0	62	0
72. Ngarka-Varka-Yakha	64˚06'50.7"	75˚14'17.3"	67.1	186	52.1	32.6	15.2	53	0	26
74. Etu-Yakha	64˚17'31.9"	75˚44'33.4"	71.6	186	23.4	71.5	1.96	77	0	23
66. Khanupiyakha	63°49'58,0"	74°39'02,5"	74	194	21.4	66.4	0.91	79	0	10
83. Ponie-Yakha	65˚23'34.1"	77˚45'46.7"	78.9	185	66	17.7	16.3	34	0	70
53.Nyudya-Pidya-Yakha	63°10'4.68"	76°28'19.08"	79.5	194	61.3	38.1	0.62	39	0	30
50. Pyrya-Yakha	63°11'19,3"	74°36'25,5"	82	194	36.3	61.5	2.16	64	0	18
56. Yangayakha	63°13'12.06"	75°38'52.26"	88	194	67.1	19.7	13.2	33	0	19
41. Vach-Yagun	61˚29'11.1"	74˚09'42.9"	98.9	192	77,9	17,2	1,7	22	0	0
40. Segut-Yagun	61˚29'46.6"	74˚15'30.3"	3.37	192	110,1	81,1	7,2	19	0	0
52. Nekhtyn-Pryn	63°10'3.48"	74°45'16.32"	96	194	82	15.8	2.23	18	0	41
75. Varka-Yakha	64˚19'10.1"	76˚08'26.7"	105	186	47.3	50.5	2.2	53	0	23
86. Almayakha	65˚47'48.6"	78˚10'09.0"	106	185	76.3	4.2	19.8	24	0	76
69. Lymbyd'yakha	63˚47'04.5"	75˚37'06.8"	115	194	59.3	6.18	34.6	41	0	30
20. Vyalovka	58˚40'46.5"	84˚27'56.6"	117	127	37	48.4	0.19	0	63	0
58. Chukusamal	63°13'3.66"	76°15'24.6"	121	194	49.3	42.2	8.55	51	0	49
92. Malokha Yakha	66°59'20,9"	79°22'30,5"	157	208	34.0	64.2	1.9	66		98
55. Velykh-Pelykh-Yakha	63°9'39.84"	75°09'10.86"	170	194	28.6	69.7	1.78	71	0	15
63. Kamgayakha	63˚22'01.6"	74˚31'53.2"	175	194	23.7	76.3	0.1	76	0	12
10. Chemondaevka	57˚52'26.8"	83˚11'29.9"	177	63.4	10.4	49.6	0.037	0	60	0
85. Khiroyakha	65°46'34,5"	78°08'25,8"	183	185	59.8	10.7	28.6	40	0	60
22. Kornilovskaya	59˚41'01,6"	77˚44'33,9"	190	133	18.2	81.1	0.75	0	82	0

River	N	E	watersheds, km^2	Annual runoff, mm/y	S bogs, %	S forest, %	S lakes, %	S sand, %	S loam, %	S permafrost, %
24. Koltogorka	60˚08'43"	77˚16'53"	220	155.4	9.66	90.3	0.75	0	90	0
51. Itu-Yakha	63°11'40.68"	74°38'16.92"	250	194	55.1	31.4	13.5	45	0	0
23. Levyi Il’yas	59˚44'09,2"	77˚26'06"	253	133	33.9	65.1	1.02	0	66	0
11. Sugotka	57˚58'45.7"	82˚58'32.2"	275	63.4	6.99	62.6	0.0001	0	93	0
65. Pulpuyakha	63˚40'41.8"	74˚35'20.7"	281	194	27.8	61.8	9.28	72	0	15
8. Malyi Tatosh	57˚36'43.3"	83˚37'02.1"	302	63.4	7.89	66.9	0.085	0	92	0
5. Brovka	57˚19'20.7"	83˚55'53.8"	320	63.4	1.48	67.8	0.22	0	99	0
36. Ur’evskii Egan	61˚19'41.2"	75˚04'0.3"	359	272	60.7	36.3	2.9	39	0	0
17. Karza	58°32'05,8"	80°51'26,8"	473	148	30.1	65.6	0.13	0	70	0
18. Sochiga	58°37'29,9"	81°06'09,0"	510	148	26.4	72.3	0.0	0	74	0
90. Mal. Khadyr-Yakha	65˚59'14.7"	78˚32'25.2"	512	278	14.8	42.5	0.34	31	53	15
48. Kirill-Vys’yagun	63°38'23,4"	74°10'52"	598	225	62.1	11.8	26.1	38	0	12
93. Nuny-Yakha	67°10'54,8"	78°51'04,5"	656	312	24.3	37	3.05	76		24
16. Chigas	58˚33'03.1"	81˚48'44.3"	689	180	39.4	46.2	1.58	0	61	0
25. Sosninskii Yegan	60˚30'19"	76˚58'57"	732	199	19.5	80.4	0.063	0	81	0
67. Kharucheiyakha	63˚51'23.4"	75˚08'05.6"	820	292	44.6	54	1.48	55	0	44
9. Bolshoy Tatosh	57˚37'17.3"	83˚31'53.3"	1020	74.6	35.4	64.6	0.0	0	65	0
33. Mokhovaya	61˚34'27.4"	77˚46'35.4"	1260	192.3	32.2	64.0	1.9	68	0	0
70. Chuchi-Yakha	63°43'37,9"	75°59'04,1"	1396	292	52.8	62.9	3.0	47	0	26
44. Limpas	61˚59'39"	73˚47'39"	1648	320	59.5	11.2	29.3	40	0	12
91. Ngarka Khadyta-Yakha	66˚17'10.8"	79˚15'06.1"	1970	277	22.0	76.0	2.0	78	0	50
59. Vyngapur	63°46'22.92"	76°25'28.86"	1979	324	57.0	40.0	3.0	43	0	28
34. Vatinsky Egan	61˚11'52.7"	75˚25'20.2"	3190	287	67.3	27.6	5.2	31	18	0
7. Bakchar	57°02'23,75"	82°04'02,44"	3197	96.1	39.3	27.6	2.0	0	61	0
15. Shudelka	58˚26'06.9"	82˚05'43.6"	3460	211	68.2	31.9	0.0	0	32	0
84. Yamsovey	65˚41'51.1"	78˚01'05.0"	4030	309	53.7	38.7	7.5	46	0	54
79. Purpe	64˚40'14.0"	77˚05'27.2"	5110	309	48.0	34.0	15.0	52	0	48
95. Khadutte	67˚24'39"	76˚21'12"	5190	346	16.0	73.5	10.5	84		90
68. Pyakupur	63°49'54,2"	75°22'47,1"	9880	324	65.5	30.0	2.5	35	0	45
45. Tromyegan	62°07'50,0"	73°44'05,6"	10770	263	51.85	35.54	12.6	48	0	10

River	**N**	**E**	**watersheds, km^2**	**Annual runoff, mm/y**	**S bogs, %**	**S forest, %**	**S lakes, %**	**S sand, %**	**S loam, %**	**S permafrost, %**
4. Shegarka	57˚06'39.2"	83˚54'41.1"	12000	58.3	19.7	41.4	1.1	0	80	0
19. Parabel	58˚42'34.5"	81˚22'22.0"	25500	131	69.4	28.8	0.8	0	31	0
80. Aivasedapur	64˚55'55.1"	77˚56'08.2 "	26100	309	40.1	45.5	14.4	56	4	20
12. Chaya	58˚04'20.8"	82˚49'19.7"	27200	96	59.3	39.5	1.2	0	41	0
37. Agan	61°26'13,6"	74°47'39,7"	27600	291	46.9	40.5	10.6	35	10	5
21. Vasyugan	58˚59'37"	80˚34'00"	63780	177	66.9	29.4	1.7	5	95	0
32. Vakh	60°55'41,0"	76°53'49,3"	75090	298	35.0	61.0	4.0	46	18	5
89. Pur	65˚57'05.5"	78˚18'59.1"	112000	298	55.4	34.4	8.7	45	0	34
94. Taz	67˚22'13.28"	79˚00'25,9"	150000	330	38.0	58.5	3.0	62	0	40
26. Ob’	60˚40'28.8"	77˚31'29.4"	773200	216	10.0	ND	ND	ND	ND	0
1. Ob’	56˚31'48"	84˚09'44"	423100	207	0.6	ND	ND	ND	ND	0

Table 2. Latitude-averaged (56-67°N) export fluxes (± 2 s.d.) of TE by rivers of the WSL.

Element	Flux, kg/km^2/y	Flux, kg/km^2/y*
B	4.3±1.9	4.1±1.8
Al	8.5±2.2	8.1±2.3
Ti	0.20±0.06	0.19±0.06
V	0.12±0.07	0.12±0.05
Cr	0.083±0.022	0.077±0.014
Mn	49.2±30.0	33.8±8.7
Fe	211±124	165±84
Cu	0.12±0.07	0.108±0.046
Zn	4.2±2.6	3.2±1.7
Co	0.17±0.24	0.074±0.029
Ni	0.26±0.17	0.23±0.10
Rb	0.14±0.06	0.12±0.05
Sr**	26-3.6**	14.0±9.8
Zr	0.033±0.014	0.030±0.009
Mo**	0.034-0.0025**	0.012±0.012
Cd	0.0028±0.0012	0.0023±0.0009
Sb	0.0067±0.0017	0.0062±0.0014
As	0.19±0.12	0.173±0.097
Ba	10.2±5.2	9.2±5.6
La	0.025±0.014	0.020±0.004
Ce	0.055±0.031	0.044±0.008
Nd	0.029±0.017	0.024±0.006
Pb	0.033±0.012	0.032±0.014
Th	0.0036±0.0014	0.0031±0.0009
U**	0.017-0.0011**	0.0057±0.0062

*** 56-66°N, neglecting r. Khadutte in winter,**
**** average value cannot be recommended**



Figure 1. Scheme of sampled rivers in the Western Siberia Lowland (WSL) together with lithological information and thawing soil depth.



Fig. 2. Variation of river water dissolved Fe with the increase of the latitude during spring (A), summer (B) and winter (C). The variability among different watershed size is smaller than that between the seasons and within the latitude gradient. Diamonds, squares, triangles and circles represent watershed of size < 100 km^2, 100 to 1000 km^2, 1000 to 10,000 km^2, and > 10,000 km^2, respectively.



Fig. 3. Variation of Al concentration on the latitude during spring (A) and summer (B) and an increase of Al concentration northward in winter (C). The latitudinal trend in winter is significant at $p < 0.05$. Considering all seasons together, the differences between different watershed sizes are not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$). The symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.



Fig. 4. The variation of Mn concentration with latitude during spring (A), summer (B) and winter (C) for watershed of different size. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 2. Note a factor of 10 higher Mn concentrations in winter compared to spring and summer, presumably linked to Mn reduction in anoxic waters. The latitudinal trends and the differences between different watershed size are not statistically significant ($p > 0.05$).



Fig. 5. The variation of Cu concentration with latitude during spring (A), summer (B) and winter (C) for watershed of different size. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.



Fig. 6. The variation of Zn concentration with latitude during spring (A), summer (B) and winter (C) for watershed of different size. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.



Fig. 7. The variation of Pb concentration with latitude during spring (A), summer (B) and winter (C) for watershed of different size. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 2.



Fig. 8. The variation of Sr concentration with latitude during spring (A), summer (B) and winter (C) for watershed of different size. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 2. Clear groundwater effect consists in gradual decrease of concentration northwards, most visible during winter baseflow.



Fig. 9. The variation of Mo concentration with latitude during spring (A), summer (B) and winter (C) for watershed of different size. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 2. Clear groundwater effect consists in gradual decrease of concentration northwards, most visible during winter baseflow.



Fig. 10. The variation of U concentration with latitude during spring (A), summer (B) and winter (C) for watershed of different size. The symbols are the same as in Fig. 2. Clear groundwater effect consists in gradual decrease of concentration northwards, isible during all seasons.



Fig. 11. Percentage of organic complexes in western Siberian rivers (< 0.45 µm fraction) calculated using Stockholm Humic Model (vMinteq, version 3.1, Gustafsson, 2014). The values of major and trace elements measured in 66 rivers in permafrost-free zone, 110 rivers in discontinuous permafrost and 39 rivers in continuous permafrost zone averaged over all seasons were used.



Fig. 12. Scheme of TE pathways within the soil profile and to the river, (**A, Left**): in forest watershed of the south, permafrost-free zone (57°N) and (**B, Right**), discontinuous permafrost forest-tundra zone. Soil horizons (FAO, 2006): 1, O (Mor, forest litter); 2, Medium-decomposed peat (He) transforming into strongly decomposed peat (Ha) in the bottom layer; 3, Mollic humic horizon (A); 4, ABg surface horizons with stagnic properties; 5, Bg middle stagnic horizon; 6, Cgk carbonate-bearing clays and clay loam.



Fig. 12, continued. (**C**): TE pathways in frozen bog peatlands of continuous permafrost (67°N). Soil horizons (FAO, 2006): 7, weakly decomposed peat (Hi); 8, partially decomposed peat (He); 9, humic horizons (AH); 10, cryoturbated frozen stagnic horizon (Bgf@); 11, frozen stagnic horizon (BCgf); 12 sedimentary deposits (Cf).

In the south, Me^{2+}-DOM complexes is retained by clay and deep in the soil profile, by clay loam with carbonates. In the north, the active layer depth does not exceed the overall thickness of the peat and thus the leachate of ground vegetation and peat layer do not meet mineral horizons during their transit to the river.