1	Foraging segregation of two congeneric diving seabird species
2	breeding on St. George Island, Bering Sea
3	
4	N. Kokubun ^{1, 2} , T, Yamamoto ^{1, 3} , N. Sato ² , Y. Watanuki ³ , A. Will ⁴ , A. S. Kitaysky ⁴ ,
5	and A. Takahashi ^{1, 2}
6	
7	¹ National Institute of Polar Research, Japan, 10-3 Midori-cho, Tachikawa, Tokyo 190-
8	8518, Japan.
9	² Department of Polar Science, School of Multidisciplinary Sciences, SOKENDAI (The
10	Graduate University for Advanced Studies), 10-3 Midori-cho, Tachikawa, Tokyo 190-
11	8518, Japan.
12	³ Graduate School of Fisheries Sciences, Hokkaido University, 3-1-1 Minato-cho,
13	Hakodate, Hokkaido, 040-0821, Japan.
14	⁴ Institute of Arctic Biology, Department of Biology and Wildlife, University of Alaska
15	Fairbanks, Irving 311, Alaska 99503, USA.
16	
17	Correspondence to: N. Kokubun (kokubun@nipr.ac.jp)

19 Abstract

Sub-arctic environmental changes are expected to affect the foraging ecology of marine 20top predators, but the response to such changes may vary among species if they use food 2122resources differently. We examined the characteristics of foraging behavior of two sympatric congeneric diving seabirds, common (Uria aalge: hereafter COMU) and thick-2324billed (U. lomvia: hereafter TBMU) murres breeding on St. George Island located in the seasonal sea-ice region of the Bering Sea. We investigated their foraging trip and flight 2526durations, diel patterns of dive depth, and underwater wing strokes, along with wing 27morphology and blood stable isotope signatures and stress hormones. Acceleration-28temperature-depth loggers were attached to chick-guarding birds, and data were obtained from 7 COMU and 12 TBMU. Both species showed similar mean trip duration (13.2 h 2930 for COMU and 10.5 h for TBMU) and similar diurnal patterns of diving (frequent dives to various depths in the daytime and less frequent dives to shallow depths in the nighttime). 3132During the daytime, the dive depths of COMU had two peaks in shallow (18.1 m) and deep (74.2 m) depths, while those of TBMU were 20.2 m and 59.7 m. COMU showed 33 more frequent wing strokes during the bottom phase of dives (1.90 s⁻¹) than TBMU (1.66 3435s⁻¹). Fish occurred more frequently in the bill-loads of COMU (85%) than those of TBMU (56%). δ^{15} N value of blood was significantly higher in COMU (14.5 ‰) than in TBMU 36

37	(13.1 ‰). The relatively small wing area (0.053 m^2) of COMU compared to TBMU
38	(0.067 m ²) may facilitate their increased agility while foraging and allow them to capture
39	more mobile prey such as larger fishes that inhabit deeper depths. These differences in
40	food resource use may lead to the differential responses of the two murre species to
41	marine environmental changes in the Bering Sea.
42	
43	Keywords:
44	Inter-specific competition, walleye pollock, acceleration, prey distribution, murre
45	
46	
47	

1 Introduction

49	The southeastern Bering Sea has one of the most productive continental shelf
50	areas in the world's ocean, and hosts large colonies of seabirds (Sowls et al., 1978; Hunt
51	et al., 1981b; Dragoo et al., 2015). During recent decades, the area has experienced a
52	series of warm and cold regimes which result in contrasting responses of the plankton and
53	nekton communities (Coyle et al., 2011; Hunt et al., 2011), and their predators (Barger
54	and Kitaysky, 2012). Common murres (Uria aalge: hereafter COMU) and thick-billed
55	murres (U. lomvia: hereafter TBMU) are abundant and closely related diving seabirds and
56	often breed sympatrically in sub-arctic regions (Gaston and Jones, 1998). A range-wide
57	comparison of COMU and TBMU population trends demonstrated that they have
58	different favorable oceanographic temperature regimes for population growth reflecting
59	importance of bottom-up effects of climate variability on their populations (Irons et al.,
60	2008). However, how these two species respond to local variation in the environment,
61	where their ranges overlap, is still not well understood (but see Barger et al., 2016, and
62	references therein). Comparisons of foraging characteristics would provide insight into
63	the respective ecological niche of these diving seabirds. A more narrow niche would be
64	indicative of a species with specialist strategy which is predicted to show more sensitive
65	responses to environmental changes than a species characterized as a generalist (Clavel

66 et al., 2011; Gallagher et al., 2015).

Ecological segregation is a common mechanism that enables closely related 67 species to coexist sympatrically (Pianka, 1981). In diving seabirds, segregation in 68 69 foraging behavior has been found in horizontal, vertical and temporal dimensions (Kokubun et al., 2010a; Masello et al., 2010; Navarro et al., 2013), or in the use of prey 70 species (Croxall et al., 1997; Hunt et al., 1981a). Both intrinsic and extrinsic factors affect 7172the patterns of segregation. Intrinsic factors include physiology, morphology or energy 73requirement in relation to breeding stages, and can influence diving depth (Mori and Boyd, 742004), flight distance (Thaxter et al., 2010) or foraging habitat use (Linnebjerg et al., 752013; Barger et al., 2016). Extrinsic factors include oceanographic conditions and prey availability, and may affect the degree of inter-specific competition for food resources 76 77(Lynnes et al., 2002; Barger and Kitaysky, 2012). In addition, microhabitats for nesting are often segregated (Squibb and Hunt, 1983; Linnebjerg et al., 2015), which may affect 78allocation of time to the nest attendance vs foraging. Potential effects of climate or 79human-induced environmental changes may manifest differently among species with 80 different foraging characteristics (Kitaysky and Golubova, 2000; Trivelpiece et al., 2011). 81 Therefore, it is important to understand the mechanisms of foraging segregation and 82 underlying processes in marine predators. 83

84	Foraging segregation between COMU and TBMU has been studied mostly by
85	the observation of chick diet. Several studies have pointed out that COMU use fish almost
86	exclusively, whereas TBMU use a variety of prey (Hunt et al., 1981a; Barrett et al., 1997;
87	Bryant et al., 1998; Barger et al., 2016). Whether/how, their foraging behavior contributes
88	to these prey differences is, however, not well known. A few studies have revealed inter-
89	or intra-specific differences in the foraging behavior of COMU and TBMU from the
90	aspects of morphology (Paredes et al., 2015) and breeding ecology (Barger et al., 2016).
91	Paredes et al. (2015) showed that, within TBMU colonies, smaller individuals tended to
92	fly longer distances and dive shallower whereas the opposite pattern was observed in
93	larger individuals, likely reflecting their body mass and wing loading. TBMU have also
94	shown inter-sexual differences in the diel patterns of diving behavior (Jones et al., 2002;
95	Paredes et al., 2008), however the presence of such habitat partitioning appear to vary by
96	geographical region (Elliott et al., 2010). Between COMU and TBMU the overlap in
97	horizontal and vertical foraging habitats and/or in prey species is greater during
98	incubation than chick-rearing, possibly to enhance resource partitioning between the
99	species during the energy-demanding chick-rearing period (Barger et al., 2016). In this
100	context, a fine-scale study of murre diving and flight behavior combined with dietary and
101	morphological analyses is needed to better understanding the differences in the ecological

102	niches of these closely related species. We anticipate that fine-scale studies on foraging
103	segregation between COMU and TBMU will provide insight into whether/how their
104	responses to environmental change in the Bering Sea ecosystem may differ.
105	Here we investigated the differences in the foraging behavior between COMU
106	and TBMU with depth-temperature-acceleration data loggers. Stable isotope analyses,
107	observation of prey delivered to chicks, and stress hormone analyses were used to
108	examine inter-specific differences in diet and consequent nutritional stress. Based on
109	results of previous studies, we predicted that COMU would consume higher trophic level
110	prey and show more specialized foraging behavior on fish prey compared to TBMU,
111	which might be also associated with inter-specific differences in wing morphology. We
112	combine detailed foraging behavior, diet, and morphology to discuss how inter-specific
113	differences in the foraging behavior may affect the responses of two murre species to
114	environmental change in the southeastern Bering Sea.
115	
116	2 Materials and methods
117	2.1 Study site
118	We conducted fieldwork on St. George Island, southeastern Bering Sea, home to

one of the largest murre colonies in the world (Sowls et al. 1978: 190,000 COMU and

120	1,500,000 TBMU). Birds were captured at High Bluffs (56°36' N 169°39'W) on the
121	northern side of the island. At our study colony, where avian predators are nearly absent,
122	COMU and TBMU form mixed colonies on narrow open ledges and adults spent most of
123	their non-foraging time at the nest brooding the chick. Instruments (see below) were
124	deployed on chick-rearing birds from 30th July to 13th August 2014. During the study
125	period, sunrise and sunset ranged between 07:17-07:44 and 23:33-23:02 LT. The start and
126	end of nautical twilight (when the sun is less than 12° below the horizon) ranged between
127	05:07-05:57 and 01:45-0:52 LT. We defined the time between sunrise and sunset as
128	"daytime", and the time between sunset and the next sunrise as "nighttime" which
129	includes dusk (sunset to end of nautical twilight), dark night (end of nautical twilight to
130	start of next nautical twilight) and dawn (start of nautical twilight to sunrise).

132 **2.2 Deployment of data loggers**

We used depth-temperature-acceleration data loggers to record behavioral and environmental data during the foraging trips of adult birds. The loggers (ORI-380 D3GT: housed in a cylindrical container, 12 mm diameter, 45 mm length, mass 10 g, Little Leonardo, Tokyo, Japan) were deployed on 13 COMU and 15 TBMU. The weight of the logger corresponds to 1.1 ± 0.1 % and 1.0 ± 0.1 % of body mass for COMU and TBMU,

138	respectively. We captured chick-rearing birds with a 5 m noose pole, weighed them to the
139	nearest 5 g by a Pesola® balance, and then attached a logger alongside their keel with
140	strips of Tesa® tape, and cyanoacrylate glue (Loctite ®401) to secure the end of the tape.
141	Handling time for each bird was less than 9 min. The loggers were set to record tri-axial
142	acceleration (heave, surge and sway) at a rate of 20 Hz (every 0.05 s), as well as depth (at
143	a resolution of 0.1 m) and temperature (at a resolution of 0.1°C) every second.
144	The birds were recaptured between 1 to 6 days after deployment. The loggers
145	were removed and the data were downloaded to a laptop computer. Upon logger retrieval,
146	blood samples were taken for stable isotope and stress hormone analyses, and body size
147	(body mass and wing area) were measured. The wing area of each bird was analyzed
148	following Pennycuick (2008). We put the bird's right wing extended on a white flat board
149	with a black colored 5 cm x 5 cm square as reference, and took pictures of the wing from
150	above. The wings were then traced in the digital picture and the pixels of the wing trace
151	were counted using IGOR Pro (WaveMetrics Inc., Lake Oswego, OR, USA). The pixel
152	number was converted to area (m ²) using the reference square with known area, and the
153	total wing area was calculated by doubling the area for one wing including 'root chord'
154	(Pennycuick, 2008). Wing loading (N m ⁻²) was calculated from body mass (kg) \times g
155	(gravity acceleration: 9.8 m s ^{-2}) divided by wing area (m ²).

157

7 **2.3 Foraging trip and dive parameters**

During the chick-rearing period, parent murres alternate foraging at sea with 158159brooding their chicks at the colony. We defined the duration of foraging trips (to the nearest second) as the time between departure and return to the colony. This transition 160 161 was clearly marked by a rapid change in bird's body angle associated with a shift in 162temperature (Takahashi et al., 2008). We classified the behavior of the birds during 163foraging trips into diving, flight, or sitting on the water, using acceleration, depth, and 164 temperature (Watanuki et al., 2006). The timing and duration of flight events was 165determined from the heaving acceleration. Foraging trips consisted of several series of dives separated by flight events (Falk et al., 2000). Because the birds move among the 166 foraging locations by flying, we defined the series of dives as 'dive bouts' (Takahashi et 167 al., 2008). We also estimated the potential maximum distance from the colony by 168169 calculating total flight duration during foraging trips. We used a regression between time spent in flight (h) and maximum distance from the colony (km) during foraging trips, 170 obtained from GPS-tracked TBMU with time-depth recorders attached to their leg (n =17117217 foraging trips: maximum distance from the colony (km) = 27.284 (regression coefficient) \times total flight duration (h): $R^2 = 0.787$). The GPS-tracked birds did not carry 173

accelerometers, the GPS data were collected concurrently to this study, and the detailedresults are reported in Yamamoto et al. (2015).

For each dive we determined dive depth, dive duration, bottom time (the time 176177between the start and end of the period when birds showed no change in the diving depth), descent and ascent time (the time between the start of the dive and the start of the bottom 178phase, and the time between the end of the bottom phase and the end of the dive, 179respectively). A dive was considered to occur when dive depth exceeded 0.5 m (Watanuki 180 et al., 2001; Takahashi et al., 2008). We calculated the number of wing strokes per unit 181 182time during the descent, bottom and ascent phases using the heaving (dorso-ventral) 183acceleration, as an index of their underwater activity (Watanuki et al., 2003; Watanuki et 184al., 2006). We applied a high-pass filter 1 Hz to heaving acceleration such that active body 185movements induced by wing strokes were highlighted. Peaks in the filtered acceleration exceeding a threshold amplitude (0.2 \times 9.8 ms⁻²) were counted within a 1.0 s time 186 187 window, and summed during diving descent, bottom and ascent phases of each dive, then divided by descent, bottom and ascent duration to calculate the wing stroke frequency in 188 each phase. The analyses on wing strokes were made with the analysis software Igor Pro 189 190 version 6.0 (Wave Metrics Inc., Lake Oswego, OR, USA).

192 **2.4 Environmental parameters**

193 We calculated four parameters from temperature data obtained from bird-borne data loggers to characterize the thermal environment of murre's foraging locations: sea 194 195surface temperature (SST), thermocline depth, thermocline intensity and water temperature at depth >40 m (Kokubun et al., 2010b). These parameters are known to vary 196 197 spatially in the southeastern Bering Sea continental shelf (Coachman, 1986). In the 198 vicinity of the Pribilof Islands, the areas close to the islands are expected to have lower 199sea surface temperature, higher temperature at depth and less intense or no thermoclines 200due to tidal mixing, whereas areas far from the islands are expected to show the reversed 201pattern: higher SST, lower temperature at depth and a more intense thermocline due to heating of the sea surface (Kinder et al., 1983; Takahashi et al., 2008). Vertical 202 203temperature profiles were determined for each dive bout, using the temperature data from the deepest dive of the bouts (only dives > 20 m were used). Because the temperature 204 205sensor had a slow response time, we corrected the temperature data for the response time 206following Daunt et al. (2003) and Takahashi et al. (2008). We defined thermocline depth 207as the depth where dT/dD (T: temperature, D: depth) was the maximum and >0.25°C 208(Takahashi et al., 2008). We defined thermocline intensity as the difference between averaged temperatures above and below the thermocline (Kokubun et al., 2010b). The 209

210	averaged water temperature below 40 m was assumed as water temperature at depth
211	because the thermocline depth was shallower than 40 m for most dives in the study area
212	(Kokubun et al., 2010b).
213	
214	2.5 Diet
215	Chick diet was recorded from direct observation of adult birds (both with and
216	without data loggers) carrying prey items to their nest. Prey items were visually identified
217	to their lowest taxonomic level possible during observation or later from photographs.
218	We collected blood samples ($n = 14$ COMUs and 18 TBMUs, including 7
219	COMUs and 7 TBMUs with successful recordings of acceleration-temperature-depth
220	data) upon retrieval of data loggers to analyze carbon and nitrogen stable isotope ratios
221	to investigate inter-specific differences in trophic levels between COMU and TBMU
222	(Hobson et al., 2002). We followed Barger and Kitaysky (2012) for the sampling and
223	analyses procedures. Blood samples were collected by heparinized syringes, transferred
224	to 1.5 ml microtubes, and stored cool until centrifugation (usually no more than 8 h after
225	collection). Whole blood samples were centrifuged for 5 min to separate plasma and red
226	blood cells. The red blood cells were stored frozen until stable isotope analysis in the
227	laboratory for ¹³ C and ¹⁵ N. A small portion of freeze-dried samples (0.100-0.400 mg)

228	were placed in a tin capsule, sealed and deposited in an EA autosampler. The stable
229	isotope data was obtained using continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry
230	(CFIRMS). The instrumentation used was a Delta+XP interfaced with a Costech ESC
231	4010 elemental analyzer. Stable isotope ratios are reported in δ (Delta) notation as parts
232	per thousand (‰) deviation from the international standards $\delta^{13}C_{PDB}$ and $\delta^{15}N_{air}$ according
233	to $\delta X = [(R_{sample}/R_{standard})-1] \times 1,000$, where X is ¹³ C or ¹⁵ N, R _{sample} and R _{standard} are the
234	corresponding ratio ¹³ C/ ¹² C or ¹⁴ N/ ¹⁵ N of samples and international standards. Replicate
235	measurement of an internal laboratory standard (Peptone) indicated measurement errors
236	to be $\pm 0.16\%$ for N and $\pm 0.13\%$ for C. Samples were analyzed at the University of
237	Alaska Fairbanks Stable Isotope Facility.

A Bayesian Mixing Model approach was used to infer murre diet compositions 238based on the stable isotope signatures of bird red blood cells and those of their potential 239prey, following Parnell et al. (2010) and Barger et al. (2016). This approach allows for 240simultaneous analysis of δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N and quantifies the uncertainty of the contributions 241of multiple sources to the diet of the birds. The model combines the likelihoods for the 242observed δ^{13} C and δ^{15} N data from the sources (N = 7 potential prey species) caught in the 243244vicinity of the colony (<100 km). In this process, we had two constraints. First, we did not sample the sources in the study year, and so used source samples caught in 2009 245

246	instead (methods of SI analyses of prey previously reported in Barger and Kitaysky 2012).
247	Second, there were no available source samples of age-1 walleye pollock (Gadus
248	chalcogrammus) within 100 km from the colony, a distance in which birds are more likely
249	to forage (Yamamoto et al., 2015). Because both murres are known to deliver walleye
250	pollock to their offspring (and thus may consume them as well) we used data from outside
251	the 100 km range (133 to 161 km distant, $n = 6$ source samples, located on the shelf,
252	northwest of the study colony). The enrichment factors were set to -0.19‰ and 2.25‰
253	for $\delta^{13}C$ and $\delta^{15}N$ respectively following Barger et al. (2016). We recognize that prey
254	stable isotope signatures may vary spatially (Jones et al., 2014: between on-shelf and off-
255	shelf) and/or temporally (among years). However, we are primarily interested in
256	comparing COMU and TBMU diets within the same season at the same breeding location,
257	and thus use these source values as a proxy to compare the relative trophic position and
258	obtain insights on potential inter-specific differences in prey composition (e.g. Fig. 6).
259	The enrichment factors were subtracted from the isotope values of red blood cells prior
260	to the analysis. The analyses were conducted using the "SIAR" package (Parnell et al.,
261	2010) in R® 3.1.1 software (R Develop Core Team, 2014).
262	

2.6 Stress hormone and sexing

We measured circulating levels of baseline corticosterone (CORT) in the plasma samples to infer the level of nutritional stress parents experiences as a result of foraging 265conditions (n = 11 COMUs and 22 TBMUs). All birds were sampled according to a 266standardized technique (Benowitz-Fredericks et al., 2008), with a blood sample was 267collected within three minutes of capture. 268

269We used DNA extracted from red blood cells to genetically sex our study birds 270using (see Griffiths et al., 1998). However, in some cases, we did not collect blood 271samples from the instrumented birds (n = 5 TBMU). In these cases we employed a linear 272discriminant analysis (LDA: cf. Niizuma et al. 1999) using external traits (bill length, bill 273depth, head-bill length, tarsus length and wing length) with known sex (n = 53 TBMU), previously collected at the study colony (N. Kokubun, unpublished data). The efficiency 274275of the discriminant function is 80%. We considered that the morphologically determined sex in three TBMUs was reliable, because their posterior classification probability was 276277larger than 80%, but we could not determine sex of the other two individuals with posterior classification probability lower than 80%. We used "MASS" package in R® 2783.1.1 software (R Development Core Team, 2014) for LDA analysis. 279280

2.7 Statistics 281

282	Morphology (body mass, wing area and wing loading), foraging trip parameters
283	(trip duration, total flight duration, number of dive bouts per trip and bout duration),
284	baseline CORT and stable isotopic values were compared between the species by one-
285	way ANOVA. The proportion of different prey types was compared between the species
286	by a χ^2 test. The proportion of daytime/nighttime dives, or deep/shallow dives were
287	compared between the species by generalized linear models (GLM). A binomial error
288	distribution was used for GLMs. Sea surface temperature (SST), temperature at depth
289	(>40 m), thermocline depth and thermocline intensity where dive bouts occurred were
290	compared between the species by generalized linear mixed models (GLMM). Also, dive
291	depth and number of wing strokes were compared between the species by GLMMs. In
292	the GLMMs, species was set as a fixed factor, and bird identity was included as a random
293	factor. In the analyses of number of wing strokes, we included the dive depth as a fixed
294	factor, as dive depth can affect buoyancy and wing stroke frequency (Watanuki et al.,
295	2006). In the GLMMs, a Gamma error distribution was used, and the models with and
296	without the effect of fixed factors (species) were compared using a Likelihood Ratio Test
297	(LRT). We compared the foraging parameters between the sexes if applicable. We used
298	Minitab® v. 14 for one-way ANOVA and χ^2 tests, and the "lme4" package in R® 3.1.1

software (R Development Core Team, 2014) for GLMs and GLMMs. Data are presented 299300 as mean values \pm standard deviation (SD), with significance set at the 0.05 level. 301

302 **3 Results**

303 **3.1 Data recovery**

We recaptured 11 of 13 instrumented COMUs and all of 15 instrumented 304 305 Among the retrieved data loggers, 4 from COMU and 3 from TBMU did not record data 306 307 properly due to memory malfunctions. Overall, we analyzed behavioral data from 7 COMU (consisting of 4 males and 3 females) and 12 TBMU (consisting of 3 males, 7 308 females and 2 unknown sexes). These data covered 14 and 21 foraging trips that included 309 64 and 79 dive bouts, for COMU and TBMU, respectively (Table 1). 310 COMU had smaller body mass (COMU: 946 ± 45 g, TBMU: 1023 ± 64 g, one-311way ANOVA, $F_{1,17} = 7.8$, P = 0.013), smaller wing area (COMU: $0.053 \pm 0.007 \text{ m}^2$, 312TBMU: $0.067 \pm 0.007 \text{ m}^2$, one-way ANOVA, $F_{1,17} = 16.4$, P = 0.001), and greater wing 313loading than TBMU (COMU: 176 ± 26 N m⁻², TBMU: 151 ± 20 N m⁻², one-way ANOVA, 314315 $F_{1,17} = 5.6$, P = 0.031). There were no significant differences in these morphological parameters between the sexes in either COMU or TBMU (one-way ANOVA, P> 0.05). 316

TBMUs. The remaining 2 COMUs were not observed after the planned timing of retrieval.

318 **3.2 Trip parameters**

Foraging trip duration, total flight duration and dive bout duration did not differ 319 between COMU and TBMU (Table 1). There was no significant difference in trip and 320 bout duration between the sexes in COMU and TBMU (one-way ANOVA, P>0.05). The 321total flight duration of male COMU were longer than those of females $(2.1 \pm 0.7 \text{ h for})$ 322323males and 1.0 ± 0.3 h for females: one-way ANOVA, $F_{1,12} = 13.7$, P = 0.003). There was no significant difference between the sexes in TBMU total flight duration (one-way 324325ANOVA, P > 0.05). The maximum distance from the colony during foraging trips estimated by total flight duration was 42.6 ± 21.1 km (ranging 12.8 - 81.2 km) for COMU 326and 38.1 ± 21.9 km (ranging 4.4 - 76.4 km) for TBMU, respectively. With these small 327 foraging ranges, both COMU and TBMU probably foraged on the continental shelf 328 (bottom depth <200m: Yamamoto et al., 2015). 329

330

331 **3.3 Environmental use**

The sea surface temperature (SST), where the dive bouts occurred, did not differ between COMU and TBMU (Fig. 1 A, B: COMU: 11.9 \pm 0.4°C, TBMU: 11.8 \pm 0.7°C, GLMM with LRT, $\chi^2 = 0.01$, P = 0.91). The temperature at depth (>40 m) did not differ between COMU and TBMU (Fig. 1 C, D: COMU: $4.8 \pm 0.9^{\circ}$ C, TBMU: $4.9 \pm 0.7^{\circ}$ C, GLMM with LRT, $\chi^2 = 0.02$, P = 0.90). The thermocline depth (19.6 ± 2.2 m for COMU and 21.1 ± 4.3 m for TBMU) and thermocline intensity ($5.4 \pm 1.1^{\circ}$ C for COMU and $5.3 \pm 1.1^{\circ}$ C for TBMU) did not differ between the species (GLMM with LRT, P > 0.05). There were no significant differences between the sexes in either the COMU or TBMU and environmental use data (GLMM with LRT, P > 0.05).

341

342 **3.4 Dive parameters**

343 Both COMU and TBMU showed a diel diving pattern that indicated more dives 344with divergent depths in the daytime and fewer dives with shallow depths in the nighttime (Fig. 1). Proportion of the daytime and nighttime dives did not differ between the species 345 $(62.0 \pm 21.5\%$ and $63.1 \pm 28.7\%$ for daytime, and $38.0 \pm 21.5\%$ and $37.0 \pm 28.7\%$ for 346 nighttime, for COMU and TBMU respectively, GLM, t = 0.528, P = 0.605). During the 347348 daytime, birds dove to both shallow (<40 m) and deep (>40 m) depths in regard to the maximum thermocline depth (Fig. 3 A, B, C, D: 58.0 \pm 25.7% and 42.4 \pm 16.4% for 349shallow dives, $42.0 \pm 25.7\%$ and $57.6 \pm 16.4\%$ for deep dives, for COMU and TBMU 350351respectively: GLM, t = 1.952, P = 0.068). In the nighttime, both COMU and TBMU dove almost exclusively to shallow (<40 m) depths (Fig. 3 G, H: 88.9 \pm 8.5% and 86.5 \pm 8.8% 352

for shallow dives, $11.1 \pm 8.5\%$ and $13.5 \pm 8.8\%$ for deep dives, for COMU and TBMU respectively: GLM, t = 1.193, P = 0.254). There were no significant differences in the proportion of daytime and nighttime dives or shallow and deep dives between the sexes in either COMU or TBMU (GLM, P> 0.05).

During the daytime, the shallow diving depth (<40 m) did not differ between the 357species (Fig. 3 C, D: 18.1 ± 6.0 m for COMU and 20.2 ± 7.4 m for TBMU: GLMM with 358LRT, $\chi^2 = 0.30$, P = 0.581). However, the deep diving depth (>40 m) was deeper for 359 COMU (74.2 \pm 8.7 m) compared to TBMU (59.7 \pm 7.9 m: Fig. 3 C, D: GLMM with LRT, 360 $\chi^2 = 7.04$, P = 0.008). In the nighttime, the depth of shallow dives (<40 m) did not differ 361between the species (Fig. 3 G, H: 15.4 ± 4.0 m for COMU and 19.1 ± 6.2 m for TBMU: 362GLMM with LRT, $\chi^2 = 1.12$, P = 0.289). There were no significant differences between 363 the sexes in either COMU or TBMU dive depths (GLMM with LRT, P > 0.05). 364The number of wing strokes during the bottom phase of day and night dives was 365higher in COMU than in TBMU (Daytime: Fig. 3 E, F: $1.95 \pm 0.16 \text{ s}^{-1}$ for COMU and 366

367 1.68 ± 0.20 s⁻¹ for TBMU: GLMM with LRT, $\chi^2 = 5.978$, P = 0.014 and Nighttime: Fig.

368 3 I, J: 1.84 ± 0.07 s⁻¹ for COMU and 1.57 ± 0.21 s⁻¹ for TBMU: GLMM with LRT, $\chi^2 =$

6.545, P = 0.011). The number of wing strokes during the bottom phase of the dive was

370 slightly higher during the daytime for both COMU (GLMM with LRT, $\chi^2 = 8.551$, P =

371	0.003) and TBMU (GLMM with LRT, $\chi^2 = 20.052$, $P < 0.001$). The number of wing
372	strokes during the dive descent phase did not differ between the species either in the
373	daytime (2.29 \pm 0.07 s ⁻¹ for COMU and 2.18 \pm 0.21 s ⁻¹ for TBMU: GLMM with LRT, χ^2
374	= 3.301, $P = 0.069$) or the nighttime (2.23 ± 0.11 s ⁻¹ for COMU and 2.19 ± 0.16 s ⁻¹ for
375	TBMU: GLMM with LRT, $\chi^2 = 1.387$, $P = 0.239$). There were no significant differences
376	between the sexes in the number of wing strokes in either species (GLMM with LRT, P>
377	0.05).

379 **3.5 Diet**

We observed 20 and 39 prey items delivered by parent COMU and TBMU to 380feed their chicks, respectively. The proportion of fishes (consisting of 6 walleye pollock 381(Gadus chalcogrammus), 1 sculpin (Cottoidae), 1 flatfish (Pleuronectidae) and 9 382unidentified fish for COMU, and 9 walleye pollock, 2 sculpins, 1 prickleback 383 (Stichaeidae) and 10 unidentified fish for TBMU) was higher for COMU compared to 384 TBMU (χ^2 test, $\chi^2 = 6.108$, P = 0.047). Conversely, the proportion of invertebrates 385(consisting of 1 squid (Gonatidae) for COMU, 12 squids and 1 unidentified crustacean 386 387 for TBMU) was higher for TBMU compared to COMU.

388

The stable isotope analysis for red blood cells showed differences in the potential

389	adult diet between the species. δ^{15} N was higher in COMU than in TBMU (Fig. 4: 14.5 ±
390	0.3 ‰ for COMU and 13.1 ± 0.4 ‰ for TBMU: one-way ANOVA, $F_{1,30} = 134.84$, P
391	<0.001). $\delta^{13}C$ was also slightly higher for COMU compared to TBMU (Fig. 4: -19.4 \pm
392	0.2 ‰ for COMU and -19.8 \pm 0.2‰ for TBMU: one-way ANOVA, $F_{1,30} = 37.71$, P
393	<0.001). There were no significant differences among the sexes in COMU stable isotope
394	data (one-way ANOVA, P> 0.05). Because of an inequality in number of male and
395	females ($n = 2$ males and 16 females) in TBMU, the effect of sex could not be analyzed,
396	but males generally showed higher $\delta^{15}N$ value (13.7‰ for both males) compared to those
397	of females (13.1 ± 0.3‰, ranging 12.4 to 13.8‰), while δ^{13} C value of males (-19.7‰ and
398	-19.8‰) was similar to those of females (-19.8 \pm 0.2‰, ranging -20.0 to -19.4‰).
399	Based on the Bayesian Mixing Analysis for estimating potential food sources,
400	COMU were inferred to have fed on more fishes such as age-1 walleye pollock and age-
401	0 flounder, whereas TBMU were inferred to have fed on more invertebrates such as

402 euphausiids and squids (Figs. 6 and 7).

403

404 **3.6 Stress hormone**

405 The baseline CORT did not differ between the species (log transformed mean = 406 0.43 ± 0.25 ng ml⁻¹ for COMU and 0.37 ± 0.27 ng ml⁻¹ for TBMU: one-way ANOVA,

407 $F_{1,31} = 0.35, P = 0.559$). There was no significant difference between the sexes in COMU 408 baseline CORT (one-way ANOVA, P> 0.05). Baseline CORT of males (log transformed 409 mean = 0.17 ± 0.31 ng ml⁻¹) was slightly lower than that of females (0.44 ± 0.23 ng ml⁻¹) 410 in TBMU (one-way ANOVA, $F_{1,20} = 4.92, P = 0.038$).

411

```
412 4 Discussion
```

413This study investigated the fine-scale differences in foraging behavior between two closely related seabirds, common and thick-billed murres. Both species showed 414 415similar foraging ranges and diel patterns of diving (Table 1, Fig. 2). Both species used 416 similar thermal environments at sea, with no significant inter-specific differences in SST, temperature at depth, thermocline depth and intensity (Fig. 1). Thus the two species 417 418 appeared to forage in similar stratified water masses, presumably in the middle- or outer shelf domains around St. George Is. (Kinder et al., 1983; Takahashi et al., 2008). However, 419 420 despite similarities in geographic location, COMU dove to deeper depths in the daytime and showed more frequent underwater wing strokes during dive bottom time, compared 421422to TBMU (Fig. 3). In addition, COMU used higher trophic level prey, presumably 423consisting of larger fishes such as age-1 walleye pollock, as estimated from SIAR models, whereas TBMU used lower trophic level prey, which possibly includes squids and meso-424

zooplankton (Figs. 4, 5, 6 and 7). Red blood cells reflect adult diet during incubation and 425426early chick-rearing (half-life ~4 weeks: Barger et al., 2016; Hobson and Clark 1993). A 427recent study suggested that, under good foraging conditions, the dietary differences 428 between sympatrically breeding COMU and TBMU becomes greater during the chickrearing period compared to the incubation or pre-laying period (Barger et al. 2016). 429430Therefore, it is likely that in this study the differences in the trophic levels between chick-431rearing COMU and TBMU were even greater than suggested from our results based on 432stable isotope analysis of red blood cells.

433Several studies have shown horizontal segregation of foraging habitat between 434sympatric, closely related, diving seabirds (e.g. Lynnes et al., 2002; Barger et al., 2016), whereas few studies have reported vertical segregation in spatially overlapped foraging 435436 areas (but see Mori and Boyd, 2004). Mori and Boyd (2004) found that smaller macaroni penguins dove to shallower depths than larger gentoo penguins, and suggested that 437438differences in diving capacity based on body mass contributed to the observed vertical segregation. The effect of body mass on vertical segregation is not clear in our study, 439440 because the smaller COMU dove to deeper depths below the thermocline (>40 m) in the 441 daytime than the larger TBMU (Fig. 3). Contrary to expected relatively poor diving capacity of COMU compared to TBMU, COMU foraged at deeper depths in the daytime 442

443 probably to capture larger fishes.

Larger fast-swimming fishes, including age-1 walleye pollock, are distributed at 444deeper depths in the daytime compared to smaller age-0 pollock, and migrate up to 445446 thermocline depths at night (Lang et al., 2000; Schabetsberger et al., 2000; Hurst, 2007). Diving seabirds are considered to feed mostly during the diving bottom phase (Elliott et 447448 al., 2008). Accordingly, we observed the deeper diving depths in the daytime and more 449 frequent wing strokes during the bottom phase of COMU dives. Combined with higher trophic levels of their prey, these data suggests that COMU tended to forage on more 450451mobile prey such as large fishes, compared to TBMU. There are several possible factors 452affecting the inter-specific differences/ similarities in foraging behavior between closely 453related COMU and TBMU, such as 1) physiology and morphology, 2) breeding stages 454and nest attendance, and 3) prey availability.

455 Croll and McLaren (1993) suggested that resting or diving metabolic rates are 456 expected to be similar between COMU and TBMU. On the other hand, TBMU at our 457 study colony had larger body mass, larger wing area and smaller wing loading than 458 COMU. According to previous studies (Thaxter et al., 2010; Linnebjerg et al., 2013), 459 alcid species with larger body mass are expected to dive deeper, and that with smaller 460 wing loading are expected to fly farther. However, these predictions were not supported in our study. A morphological study pointed out that the smaller wings of COMU enables
them to swim more agilely than TBMU (Spring, 1971). COMU's more frequent wing
strokes during the dive bottom phase (Fig. 3 E, F, I, J), are possibly due to pursuing larger
fishes, and may support the observation by Spring (1971) that their small wing-size
enables them to chase down large fast-moving juvenile fish.

466 This study was conducted during the chick-rearing period of both species when 467 the energy demands of parents are highest (Ricklefs, 1983). High energy demands may 468 force both COMU and TBMU to forage closer to the colony, compared to during 469 incubation (Barger et al., 2016) and post- or pre-breeding periods (Linnebjerg et al., 2013). 470In addition, one member of a pair of COMU consistently guarded their chicks like during incubation on the narrow open ledges at the study colony. This aspect was different from 471472COMU at other locations where nests are more protected, and parents can leave their chicks alone and spend more time foraging (Linnebjerg et al., 2015). Potential foraging 473range and the diel patterns of diving were similar between COMU and TBMU at the study 474colony (Table 1, Fig. 2), which may reflect the necessity to guard chicks, along with the 475similar nest attendance patterns. 476

There are few available data on local food availability during the study period.In terms of nutritional stress, both COMU and TBMU showed lower concentrations of

479	stress hormone in the study year, compared to those reported in other years on St. George
480	Island (Harding et al., 2013; Paredes et al., 2015) and elsewhere (Barger and Kitaysky,
481	2012), suggesting that the food conditions of the study year were favorable for both
482	species (Kitaysky et al. 2007; Kitaysky et al. 2010; Barrett et al. 2015). In addition, the
483	abundance of age-0 pollock in the eastern Bering Sea (in the 150 km radius around
484	Pribilof Islands) measured within the upper 15 m of the water column was high in 2014
485	compared to other years since 2003 (W. Strasburger, Ted Stevens Marine Research
486	Institute Juneau, Alaska, personal communications, 2015). Although seabirds breeding
487	on St. George Island may be prone to experience food shortage due to high bird density
488	(Hunt et al., 1986), murres were not food-limited during the study period, and the inter-
489	specific foraging niche partitioning occurred under favorable foraging condition. Barger
490	et al. (2016) suggested that the resource partitioning proactively increases during this
491	period of elevated energetic needs without apparent food limitations. Our study provides
492	further support that chick-rearing COMU and TBMU breeding on St. George Island
493	proactively partition resources when food conditions are relatively good.
494	Overall, at the study colony, chick-rearing COMU and TBMU foraged in similar
495	foraging ranges with a similar diel pattern of diving frequency. Inter-sexual foraging

496 differences were not clear compared to other colonies (cf. Paredes et al., 2008; Linnebjerg

497	et al., 2015). Segregation in prey species with different vertical distribution and mobility
498	may allow the use of similar foraging ranges of these closely related species, and may
499	possibly reflect inter-specific morphological differences. Other studies have found prey
500	segregation in other regions, however horizontal and/or vertical foraging segregation
501	have also been reported between chick-rearing COMU and TBMU (e.g. Barger et al.,
502	2016). Barger et al. (2016) reported chick-rearing COMU and TBMU used different
503	foraging habitats, as reflected in travel distances to foraging areas and sea-surface
504	temperature distributions of their foraging dives. TBMU performed shorter foraging trips,
505	deeper dives and fed their chicks squid, while COMU foraged farther from the colony,
506	performed shallower dives, and delivered fish to feed their chicks. Such a spatial
507	segregation by distance was not observed in our study (Table 1). TBMU populations
508	exhibit various behavioral patterns, which may be due to inter-regional differences in
509	morphology (Paredes et al. 2015). TBMU from St. Paul Island with larger body mass and
510	wing loading performed shorter foraging trips and deeper dives, whereas TBMU from St.
511	George Island with smaller body mass and wing loading performed longer foraging trips
512	and shallower dives (Orben et al., 2015; Paredes et al., 2015). Thus segregation patterns
513	between COMU and TBMU may differ among regions partly because their morphology
514	differs at a regional scale.

515	It has been reported that, in other regions, COMU prefer larger, more mobile fish
516	including walleye pollock and capelin (Mallotus villosus), whereas TBMU use more
517	various prey including benthic fishes, cephalopods and meso-zooplankton (Hunt et al.
518	1981a; Barrett et al., 1997; Bryant et al., 1998; Barger et al., 2016). Spring (1971) and
519	Ogi (1979) suggested that COMU's more slender bill and palate, along with their
520	corneous tongue, reflects their more piscivorous tendencies, whereas the wider bill and
521	palate, and less corneous tongue of the TBMU reflects their invertebrate feeding habits.
522	In the Bering Sea, the recruitment of age-1 walleye pollock remained high during cold
523	regimes whereas it fell during warm regimes (Ianelli et al., 2009; Coyle et al., 2011).
524	During warm regimes, distribution of age-0 walleye pollock shifts northwards, their
525	abundance increases over the southeastern Bering Sea shelf and their lipid content
526	decreases (Wyllie-Echeverria and Wooster, 1998; Hunt et al., 2011). A recent study
527	suggests that breeding success of TBMU was higher in years when parents fed more on
528	on-shelf fish species including walleye pollock, rather than oceanic fish (myctophids) or
529	invertebrates (Renner et al., 2014). Reproductive success was similar between the species
530	at the study colony in 2014 (0.61 for COMU and 0.55 for TBMU) and it was higher than
531	long-term averages (Mudge et al., 2015). This is supported by the relatively low level of
532	stress hormones measured in our study birds which suggest that the behavioral data shown

in this study represent a year with favorable feeding conditions for both COMU and TBMU. In order for a clear prediction to be made regarding how these two species will respond to environmental change it would be necessary to determine whether the segregation patterns observed in this study persist in years with relatively unfavorable foraging conditions.

In conclusion, inter-specific comparison of foraging behavior between closely 538related common and thick-billed murres in the Bering Sea showed that both species 539foraged in similar foraging ranges with a similar diel pattern of diving frequency. 540541However, common murres dove to deeper depths below the thermocline (>40 m) in the daytime, showed more frequent underwater wing strokes during the bottom phase of dives 542and used higher trophic level prey, compared to thick-billed murres. Common murres 543544have smaller wings which potentially enables the pursuit of more mobile prey. These results suggest that common and thick-billed murres segregated prey species in relation 545546to differences in their morphology. These differences in food resource use may lead to the differential responses of the two murre species to marine environmental changes in the 547Bering Sea. 548

549

550 Author contributions.

551	N. Kokubun, A. Takahashi, A. S. Kitaysky and Y. Watanuki designed and coordinated
552	the research project. N. Kokubun, T. Yamamoto and N. Sato conducted the field study on
553	St. George Island, Alaska. A. Will and A. S. Kitaysky performed stable isotope and stress
554	hormone analyses in the laboratory. N. Kokubun, T. Yamamoto and N. Sato analyzed the
555	behavioral data. N. Kokubun wrote the manuscript with contributions from all of the co-
556	authors.

558 Acknowledgements.

559We would like to thank Marc Romano and the staff of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for logistical support during fieldwork. The St. George Traditional Council and St. George 560Island Institute also provided logistical support to the field team. We are grateful to 561562Professor George L. Hunt Jr. and Dr. David G. Ainley for providing helpful comments 563and suggestions to revise the original manuscript. This study was funded by the Green 564Network of Excellence Program (GRENE), Arctic Climate Change Research Project: 'Rapid Change of the Arctic Climate System and its Global Influences'. The production 565of this paper was supported by an NIPR publication subsidy. This study was conducted 566567under all required federal, state, and special use permits, and in accordance with the University of Alaska Fairbanks IACUC (assurance # 471022-2). All live-capture and 568

- tagging works were conducted following the Federal Fish and Wildlife Permit issued by
- 570 the U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service (permit # MB70337A-3) and the Scientific Permit
- 571 issued by the State of Alaska (permit # 14-109).
- 572

573 References

- 574 Barger, C. P., and Kitaysky, A. S.: Isotopic segregation between sympatric seabird species
- increases with nutritional stress, Biol. Lett., 8, 442-445, 2012.
- 576 Barger, C. P., Young, R. C., Cable, J. M., Ito, M., and Kitaysky, A. S.: Resource
- 577 partitioning between sympatric seabird species increases during chick-rearing.
 578 Ecosphere, in press, 2016.
- 579 Barrett, R. T., Asheim, M., and Bakken, V.: Ecological relationships between two
- 580 sympatric congeneric species, common murres and thick-billed murres, Uria aalge
- and U. lomvia, breeding in the Barents Sea, Can. J. Zool, 75, 618-631, 1997.
- 582 Barrett, R. T., Erikstad, K. E., Sandvik, H., Myksvoll, M., Jenni-Eierlmann, S., Kristensen,
- 583 D. L., Moum, T., Reiertsen, T. K., and Vikebø, F.: The stress hormone corticosterone
- in a marine top predator reflects short-term changes in food availability, Ecol. Evol.,
- 585 5, 1306-1317, 2015.
- 586 Benowitz-Fredericks, Z. M., Shultz, M. T., and Kitaysky, A. S.: Stress hormones suggest
- 587 opposite trends of food availability for planktivorous and piscivorous seabirds in 2

- 588 years. Deep Sea Res. Pt. II, 55, 1868–1876, 2008.
- 589 Bryant, R., Jones, I. L., and Hipfner, J. M.: Responses to changes in prey availability by
- 590 common murres and thick-billed murres at the Gannet Islands, Labrador, Can. J.
- 591 Zool., 77, 1278-1287, 1998.
- 592 Clavel, J., Julliard, R., and Devictor, V.: Worldwide decline of specialist species: toward
- a global functional homogenization? Front. Ecol. Environ., 9, 222-228, 2011.
- 594 Coachman, L. K.: Circulation, water masses and fluxes on the southeastern Bering Sea
- 595 Shelf, Cont. Shelf Res., 5, 23-108, 1986.
- 596 Croll, D. A., and McLaren, E.: Diving metabolism and thermoregulation in common and
- thick-billed murres, Comp. Physiol., 163, 160-166, 1993.
- 598 Croxall, J. P., Prince, P. A., and Reid, K.: Dietary segregation of krill-eating South
- 599 Georgia seabirds, J. Zool., 242, 531–556, 1997.
- 600 Coyle, K. O., Eisner, L. B., Mueter, F. J., Pinchuk, A. I., Janout, M. A., Cieciel, K. D.,
- 601 Farley, E. V., and Andrews, A. G.: Climate change in the southeastern Bering Sea:
- 602 impacts on pollock stocks and implications for the oscillating control hypothesis,
- 603 Fisher. Oceanogr., 20, 139-156, 2011.
- Daunt, F., Peters, G., Scott, B., Gremillet, D., and Wanless, S.: Rapid-response
- recorders reveal interplay between marine physics and seabird behaviour, Mar. Ecol.

- 606 Prog. Ser., 255, 283–288, 2003.
- 607 Dragoo, D. E., Renner, H. M., and Irons, D. B.: Breeding status and population trends of
- seabirds in Alaska, 2014, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Report AMNWR 2015/03,
- Homer, Alaska, 2015.
- Elliott, K. H., Woo, K., Gaston, A. J., Benvenuti, S., Dall'Antonia, L., and Davoren, G.
- K.: Seabird foraging behaviour indicates prey type. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 233, 89-103,
 2008.
- Elliott, K. H., Gaston, A. J., and Crump, D.: Sex-specific behavior by a monomorphic
- seabird represents risk partitioning, Behav. Ecol., 21, 1024-1032, 2010.
- Falk, K., Benvenuti, S., Dall'Antonia, L., Kampp, K., and Ribolini, A.: Time allocation
- and foraging behaviour of chick rearing Brünnich's guillemots Uria lomvia in high-
- 617 arctic Greenland, Ibis, 143, 82-92, 2000.
- Gallagher, A. J., Hammerschlag, N., Cooke, S. J., Costa, D. P., and Irschick, D. J.:
- 619 Evolutionary theory as a tool for predicting extinction risk. Trends Ecol. Evol. 30, 61-
- 620 65*,* 2015*.*
- 621 Gaston, A. J., and Jones, I. L.: The Auks: Alcidae. Oxford University Press, Oxford,
- 622 London, 1998.
- Griffiths, R., Double, M. C., Orr, K., and Dawson, R. J. G.: A DNA test to sex most birds.

624 Mol. Ecol., 7, 1071–1075, 1998.

625	Harding, A., Paredes, R., Suryan, R., Roby, D., Irons, D., Orben, R., Renner, H., Young,
626	R., Barger, C., Dorresteijn, I., and Kitaysky, A.: Does location really matter? An inter-
627	colony comparison of seabirds breeding at varying distances from productive
628	oceanographic features in the Bering Sea 2013. Deep Sea Res. Pt. II, 94, 178-191,
629	2013.
630	Hobson., K. A., and Clark, R. G.: Turnover of 13 C in cellular and plasma fractions of
631	blood: implications for nondestructive sampling in avian dietary studies. Auk, 110,
632	638-641, 1993.
633	Hobson, K. A., Fisk, A., Karnovsky, N., Holst, M., Gagnon, J-M., and Fortier, M.: A
634	stable isotope (δ^{13} C, δ^{15} N) model for the North Water food web: implications for
635	evaluating trophodynamics and the flow of energy and contaminants, Deep Sea Res.
636	Pt. II, 49, 5131-5150, 2002.

637 Hunt, G. L. Jr., Burgeson, B., and Sanger, G. A.: Feeding ecology of seabirds of the

- 638 eastern Bering Sea, In: Hood, D., and Calder, J., A. (Eds.) The Eastern Bering Sea
- 639 shelf: oceanography and resources. Juneau, AK: Office of Marine Pollution
- 640 Assessment, National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, pp. 629-648,
- 641 1981a.

642	Hunt, G. L. Jr., Eppley, Z., and Drury, W. H.: Breeding distribution and reproductive
643	biology of marine birds in the eastern Bering Sea, In: Hood, D., and Calder, J. A.
644	(Eds.) The Eastern Bering Sea shelf: oceanography and resources. Juneau, AK: Office
645	of Marine Pollution Assessment, National Oceanographic and Atmospheric
646	Administration, pp. 649-687, 1981b.

- 647 Hunt, G. L. Jr., Eppley, Z. A., and Schneider, D. C.: Reproductive performance of
- seabirds: the importance of population and colony size, Auk, 103, 306-317, 1986.
- Hunt, G. L. Jr., Coyle, K. O., Eisner, L. B., Farley, E. V., Heintz, R., A., Mueter, F., Napp,
- 50 J. M., Overland, J. E., Ressler, P. H., Salo, S., and Stabeno. J.: Climate impacts on
- eastern Bering Sea foodwebs: a synthesis of new data and an assessment of the
- Oscillating Control Hypothesis, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 68, 1230-1243, 2011.
- Hurst, T. P.: Thermal effects on behavior of juvenile walleye pollock (*Theragra chalcogramma*): implications for energetics and food web models, Can. J. Fish. Aquat.
 Sci., 64, 449-457, 2007.
- Ianelli, J. N., Barbeaux, S., Honkalehto, T., Kotwicki, S., Aydin, K., and Williamson, N.:
- Assessment of the walleye pollock stock in the Eastern Bering Sea. In: Stock
 Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report of the Groundfish Resources of the Bering
- 659 Sea / Aleutian Islands Regions. Anchorage: North Pacific Fisheries Management

660 Council, section 1, 49–148, 2009.

- Irons, D. B., Anker-Nilssen, T., Gaston, A. J., Byrd, G. V., Falk, K., Gilchrist, G., Hario,
- 662 M., Hjernquist, M., Krasnov, Y. V., Mosvech, A., Olsen, B., Peterson, A., Reid, J. B.,
- 663 Robertson, G. J., Strøm, H., and Wohl, K. D.: Fluctuations in circumpolar seabird
- populations linked to climate oscillations, Glob. Change Biol, 14, 1455-1463, 2008.
- Jones, I. L., Rowe, S., Carr, S. M., Frazer, G., and Taylor, P.: Different patterns of parental
- effort during chick-rearing by female and male thick-billed murres (*Uria lomvia*) at a
- low-arctic colony, Auk, 119, 1064-1074, 2002.
- Jones, N. M., Hoover, B. A., Heppel, S. A., and Kuletz, K.: A cross-shelf gradient in δ^{15} N
- stable isotope values of krill and pollock indicates seabird foraging patterns in the
- 670 Bering Sea, Deep Sea Res. II, 109, 241-250, 2014.
- Kinder, T. H., Hunt, G. L. Jr., Schneider, D., and Schumacher, J. D.: Correlations between
- seabirds and oceanic fronts around the Pribilof Islands, Alaska, Estuar. Coast. Shelf
- 673 Sci., 16, 309-319, 1983.
- 674 Kitaysky, A. S., and Golubova, E. G.: Climate change causes contrasting trends in
- reproductive performance of planktivorous and piscivorous alcids, J. Anim. Ecol., 69,
- 676 248–262, 2000.
- 677 Kitaysky, A. S., Piatt. J. F., and Wingfield, J.C.: Stress hormones link food availability

and population processes in seabirds, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 352, 245-258, 2007.

- Kitaysky, A. S., Piatt, J. F., Hatch, S. A., Kitaiskaiya, E. V., Benowitz-Frederics, Z. M.,
- 680 Shultz, M. T., and Wingfield, J. C.: Food availability and population processes:
- 681 severity of nutritional stress during reproduction predicts survival of long-lived 682 seabirds, Func. Ecol., 24, 625-637, 2010.
- 683 Kokubun, N., Takahashi, A., Mori, Y., Watanabe, S., and H-C., Shin.; Comparison of
- diving behavior and habitat use between chinstrap and gentoo penguins breeding in
- the South Shetland Islands, Antarctica, Mar. Biol., 157, 811-825, 2010a.
- 686 Kokubun, N., Takahashi, A., Ito, M., Matsumoto, K., Kitaysky, A. S., and Watanuki, Y.:
- 687 Annual variation in the foraging behaviour of thick-billed murres in relation to
- 688 upper-ocean thermal structure around St. George Island, Bering Sea, Aquat. Biol., 8,
- 689 289-298, 2010b.
- 690 Lang, G. M., Brodeur, R. D., Napp, J., M., and Schabetsberger, R.: Variation in
- groundfish predation on juvenile walleye Pollock relative to hydrographic structure
- near the Pribilof Islands, Alaska, ICES J. Mar. Sci., 57, 265-271, 2000.
- Linnebjerg J. F., Guilford, T., Reuleaux, A., Mosbech, A, and Frediriksen, M.: Sympatric
- breeding auks shift between dietary and spatial resource partitioning across the annual
- 695 cycle, Plos One, 8, e72987, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0072987, 2013.

- 696 Linnebjerg, J. F., Reuleaux, A., Mouritsen, K. N., and Frederiksen, M.: Foraging ecology
- 697 of three sympatric breeding alcids in a declining colony in southwest Greenland,
- 698 Waterbirds, 38, 143-152, 2015.
- 699 Lynnes, A. S., Reid, K., Croxall, J. P., and Trathan, P. N.: Conflict or coexistence?
- Foraging distribution and competition for prey between Adélie and chinstrap penguins, Mar. Biol., 141, 1165-1174, 2002.
- Masello, J. F., Hundry, R., Poisbleau, M., Demongin, L., Voigt, C. C., Wiekelski, M., and
- Quillfeldt, P.: Ecosphere Diving seabirds share foraging space and time withinand among species, Ecosphere, 1, 1-28, 2010.
- Mori, Y., and Boyd, I.: Segregation of foraging between two sympatric penguin species:
- does rate maximization make the difference? Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 275, 241–249,
 2004.
- Mudge, M. L., Pietrzak, K. W., Drummond, B. A., and Romano, M. D.: Biological
- monitoring at St. George Island, Alaska, in 2014, U. S. Fish and Wildl. Serv. Rep.,
- 710 AMNWR 2015/02. Homer, Alaska, 2015.
- 711 Navarro, J., Votier, S. C., Aguzzi, J., Chiesa, J. J., Forero, M. G., and Phillips, R. A.:
- Ecological segregation in space, time and trophic niche of sympatric planktivorous
- 713 petrels, Plos One, 8, e62897, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0062897, 2013.

- Niizuma, Y., Takahashi, A., Kuroki, M., and Watanuki, Y.: Sexing by external
 measurements of adult rhinoceros auklets breeding on Teuri island, Jpn. J. Ornithol.,
 48, 145-150, 1999.
- 717 Ogi, H.: Ecology of murres in subarctic Pacific regions. Aquabiology, 2, 19-26, (in
- Japanese with English abstract), 1979.
- 719 Orben, R. A., Paredes, R., Roby, D. D., Irons, D. D., and Shaffer, S. A.: Body size
- affects individual winter foraging strategies of thick-billed murres in the Bering Sea,
- 721 J. Anim. Ecol., 84, 1589-1599, 2015.
- 722 Paredes, R., Jones, I. L., Boness, D. J., Trembley, Y., and Renner, M.: Sex-specific
- differences in diving behaviour of two sympatric Alcini species: thick-billed murres
- and razorbills, Can. J. Zool., 86, 610-622, 2008.
- 725 Paredes, R., Orben, R. A., Orben, Roby, D. D., Irons, D. B., Young, R., Renner, H.,
- Tremblay, Y., Will, A., Harding, A. M. A., and Kitaysky, A. S.: Foraging ecology
- during nesting influences body size in a pursuit-diving seabird, Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.,
- 533, 261-276, 2015.
- Parnell, A. C., Inger, R., Bearhop, S., and Jackson, A. L.: Source partitioning using stable
- isotopes: coping with too much variation. PloS One, 5, e9672, 2010.
- 731 Pennycuick, C. J.: Modelling the flying bird. Academic Press, Amsterdam. 2008.

732 Pianka, E.: Competition and niche theory. In: May, R, M. (Ed.) Theoretical ecology:

- Principles and applications. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, London. pp.
 167-196., 1981.
- R Develop Core Team, R: A language and environment for statistical computing, Vienna:
 R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2014.
- 737 Renner, H. M., Drummond, B. A., Benson, A-M., and Paredes, R.: Reproductive success
- of kittiwakes and murres in sequential stages of the nesting period: Relationship with
- 739 diet and oceanography, Deep Sea Res. II, 109, 251-265, 2014.
- Ricklefs, R. E: Some considerations on the reproductive energetics of pelagic seabirds,
- 741 Studies in Avian Biol., 8, 84-94, 1983.
- Schabetsberger, R., Brodeur, R. D., Cianelli, L., Napp, J. M., and Swartzman, G. L.: Diel
- vertical migration and interaction of zooplankton and juvenile walleye pollock
- (*Theragra chalcogramma*) at a frontal region near the Pribilof Islands, Bering Sea,
- 745 ICES J. Mar. Sci., 57, 1283-1295, 2000.
- Sowls, A. L., Hatch, S. A., Lensink, C. J.: Catalog of Alaskan Seabird Colonies, U. S.
- Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, DC., 1978.
- 748 Spring, L.: A comparison of functional and morphological adaptations in the common
- murre (*Uria aalge*) and thick-billed murre (*U. lomvia*), Condor, 74, 1-27, 1971.

- 750 Squibb, R. C., and Hunt, G. L. Jr.: A comparison of nesting-ledges used by seabirds on
- 751 St. George Island, Ecology, 64, 727-734, 1983.
- 752 Takahashi, A., Matsumoto, K., Hunt, G. L. Jr., Shultz, M. T., and Watanuki, Y.: Thick-
- billed murres use different diving behaviors in mixed and stratified waters, Deep
- 754 Sea Res. II, 55, 1837-1845, 2008.
- 755 Thaxter, C. B., Wanless, S., Daunt, F., Harris, M. P., Benvenuti, S., Watanuki, Y.,
- Grémillet, D., and Hamer, K. C.: Influence of wing loading on the trade-off
- between pursuit-diving and flight in common guillemots and razorbills, J. Exp.
- 758 Biol., 213, 1018-1025, 2010.
- 759 Trivelpiece, W. Z., Hinke, J. T., Miller, A. K., Reiss, C. S., Trivelpiece, S. Z., and Watters,
- G. M.: Variability in krill biomass links harvesting and climate warming to penguin
- population changes in Antarctica, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA., 108, 7625-7628, 2011.
- 762 Watanuki, Y., Mehlum, F., and Takakashi, A.: Water temperature sampling by Brunnich's
- guillemots with bird-borne data loggers, J. Avian Biol., 32, 189–193, 2001.
- 764 Watanuki, Y., Niizuma, Y., Gabrielsen, G. W., Sato, K., and Naito, Y.: Stroke and glide of
- wing-propelled divers: deep diving seabirds adjust surge frequency to buoyancy
- change with depth, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B., 270, 483-388, 2003.
- 767 Watanuki, Y., Wanless, S., Harris, M., Lovvorn, J. R., Miyazaki, M., Tanaka, H., and Sato,

768	K.: Swim speeds and stroke patterns in wing-propelled divers: a comparison among
769	alcids and a penguin, J. Exp. Biol., 209, 1217-1230, 2006.
770	Wyllie-Echeverria, T., and Wooster, W. S.: Year-to-year variations in Bering Sea ice cover
771	and some consequences for fish distribution, Fish. Oceanogr., 7, 159-170, 1998.
772	Yamamoto, T., Kokubun, N., Kikuchi, D. M., Sato, N., Takahashi, A., Will, A., Kitaysky,
773	A. S., and Watanuki, Y.: Differential responses of seabirds to inter-annual
774	environmental changes in the continental shelf and oceanic habitats of southeastern
775	Bering Sea, Biogeosciences Discuss, 2015.
776	

778 Tables

779

780Table 1. Trip parameters of common murres (COMU) and thick-billed murres (TBMU)

781 breeding on St. George Island, Bering Sea.

	No. of	No. of	No. of	No. of dive		Duration (h)	
Species	birds	trips	dive bouts	bouts per trip	Trip	Total flight	Dive bouts
Common murre (COMU)	7	14	64	4.57 ± 2.71	13.21 ± 4.79	1.56 ± 0.77	1.79 ± 3.74
Thick-billed murre (TBMU)	12	21	79	3.76 ± 2.86	10.45 ± 7.09	1.40 ± 0.80	1.87 ± 3.42
One-way ANOVA, F and P				$F_{1,33} = 0.70$	<i>F</i> _{1,33} = 1.62	$F_{1,33} = 0.36$	$F_{1,157} = 0.02$
values				<i>P</i> = 0.409	<i>P</i> = 0.212	<i>P</i> = 0.552	<i>P</i> = 0.892

782

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Frequency distribution of dive bouts in relation to (A, C) sea surface temperature
(SST) and (B, D) mean temperature at depth (>40 m) in the water column. Upper panels
represent data for common murres (COMU) and lower panels represent data for thickbilled murres (TBMU).

Fig. 2. (A, C) Frequency distribution and (B, D) depth distribution pattern of dives in
relation to time of day. Left panels represent data for common murres (COMU) and right
panels represent data for thick-billed murres (TBMU). Means ± standard deviation (SD)
are shown in B, D, calculated by individual bird data. The timing of sunrise and sunset is
shown by marks on the top horizontal axis.



Means \pm standard deviation (SD) are shown excepting for A and B, are calculated from individual bird data. Sample number of birds (*N*) and dives (*n*) are shown in C, D, G, H.

- Fig. 4. Diet composition of (A) common murres (COMU) and (B) thick-billed murres
 (TBMU) based on direct observations of prey delivered to nests.
- 807

Fig. 5. Carbon (δ^{13} C) and nitrogen (δ^{15} N) stable isotopic ratio values of common murres

809 (COMU: open circles) and thick-billed murres (TBMU: closed circles) measured in red

810 blood cells. Smaller circles show individual data, and larger circles with error bars show

- 811 Means \pm standard deviation (SD).
- 812

Fig. 6. Carbon (δ^{13} C) and nitrogen (δ^{15} N) stable isotopic ratio values of potential food samples caught around the vicinity of the study colony. Different symbols represent each potential food item. **The enrichment factors -0.19‰ for δ^{13} C and 2.25‰ for δ^{15} N were preliminarily applied to the bird data (open circles for common murres and closed circles for thick-billed murres). Note that the potential food samples were collected in 2009, as no data were available in 2014.

820	Fig. 7. Diet compositions of common (COMU: open boxes) and thick-billed murres
821	(TBMU: closed boxes) as estimated by Bayesian Mixing Analysis of stable isotope values
822	of birds (red blood cells) and those of their potential prey items (whole body tissues).
823	Means \pm 95% credible intervals of the fractional contribution (<i>p</i>) of seven different prey
824	items are shown. Note that the potential food samples were collected in 2009.
825	

827 Figures



Kokubun et al. Fig. 1.







Kokubun et al. Fig. 3.





856 Kokubun et al. Fig. 5.





861 Kokubun et al. Fig. 6.

