Dear Dr. Leticia Cotrim da Cunha,

We submit the revised version of the manuscript:

Bathypelagic particle flux signatures from a suboxic eddy in the oligotrophic tropical North Atlantic: production, sedimentation and preservation

by Fischer and co-authors for publication in BG (Special issue).

Our response includes replies and comments to the reviewers' criticisms and remarks, and the explanations for the changes we made in the revised version. We tried to deal with all of these issues throughout the paper. In addition, several smaller changes were made to the text. The revised major parts in the text are marked in red and comments are given (see marked-up version). In addition, we provide a point-to-point response to major remarks done by 2 reviewers and the comment of S. Schouten.

We thank the Editorial Team of BG Special Issue for considering our paper for publication. We appreciate the reviewers' comments and suggestions and acknowledge their help to improve the ms. We hope that this revised version will meet your expectations and the journals' requirements.

Yours sincerely,

Gerhard Fischer

Changes in the revised version according to comments by S. Schouten

We were careful to state that we did not detect these compounds using the methods that were described (Discussion paper page 18270, lines 16-20). This does not mean that they were completely absent; however, they certainly did not contribute substantial quantities to the total lipid pool. This lead us to deduce that the respective bacterial communities were not present in high enough quantities to lead to a detectable signal. The comment illustrates that in order to be clearer, we explicitly stated that the analytical tools applied here did not allow the detection. Therefore, in the revised paper, the respective paragraphs were modified to read:

Unique membrane lipids of anammox bacteria, so-called ladderanes (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2002) nor biomarkers related to a pigment of the photosynthetic green sulphur bacteria Chlorobiaceae, isorenieratene and its derivatives, all indicative of photic zone anoxia, could not be detected **using the analytical tools described above**.

Screening of the samples #1–8 of the upper trap for the presence of unique membrane lipids of anammox bacteria, so-called ladderanes (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2002) did not provide evidence for the presence of such compounds during the ACME passage. Further, **using the analytical protocol described above** we could not detect biomarkers related to a pigment of the photosynthetic green sulphur bacteria Chlorobiaceae, isorenieratene and its derivatives, all indicative of photic zone anoxia (e.g. Koopmans et al., 1996). **Thus, evidence for b**acterial communities detected in OMZs including green sulfur bacteria and anammox bacteria (see summary in Löscher et al. (2015a) **could not be found with our methods**. However, detection of these compounds requires the respective bacterial stocks to be present in concentrations above a certain detection threshold and/or an effective export mechanism for them leading to incorporation into sinking particles.

Changes in the revised version according to comments of C. Panagiotopoulos, Reviewer #1

RC: General Comments
However, I found the paper too long (maybe this is also due to the numerous parameters measured in this study which is not necessarily bad), especially the discussion section, which I had to read slowly to understand the information the authors were trying to deliver. I believe that this section should be reorganized and shorten along the lines given in summary (page 18284). In fact, I got most of the information by reading the summary and then I went back and read again the discussion. Finally, I believe that although the major outputs of this study are well summarized in Fig.10, the latter figure is only discussed in the summary section. This was really unfortunate as it hampers the possibility to the reader to have an overview of this study along with the discussion of the results. Moreover, I believe that the conclusions and outlook should also be moved to discussion section and elaborated along with the author's results. Please consider my following comments while revising your MS.

AC
We agree with Dr. Panagiotopoulos that the Discussion is rather long and might be confusing for a reader less familiar with the issues discussed. This is partly due to the comprehensive, multi-parameter study and the discussion of ACME's effect on deep ocean fluxes. Following his suggestions, the Discussion is now shortened and reorganized. In addition, Fig. 10 (summarizing sketch) was moved from the Summary to the Discussion section as suggested. Other sections were shortened as well (introduction, see below).

RC: Minor comments with short answers from authors
(1) Abstract: As a general rule it is good idea to give in the abstract the values of the measured parameters so that the reader is not obliged to look for them inside the paper. line 7, page 18255 : give the values of the mass fluxes line 8, page 18255: same for BSi and organic carbon line 17, page 18255 : same for ïA˛d'15N page 18256, line 6: Give the values of the carbonate fluxes

The values are now given

(2) Abstract : line 24, page 18255.Give an example to support your statement. What kind indication do you mean ?

e.g. from diatom association, this is now explained

(3) Abstract: line 26 page 18255- line 2 page 18256. Please rephrase this sentence, it is not clear.

It has been rephrased

(4) Abstract: lines 10-12, page 18256. The abstract lacks of an overall conclusion of your study. Is this statement your final conclusion ?

Yes, this has been rephrased

(5) Introduction, C9200 page 18256, line 15: There is no need to indicate the name of the stations (BATS, HOT etc) because you indicate Atlantic & Pacific ocean by giving the references as well. Please also delete "e.g." and do not provide an overwhelming amount of references (max 3-4; the most recent by preference).

This has been changed.

(6) Introduction page 18256, line 17. Delete "e.g"

done

(7) Introduction page 18256 line 21. Delete the coordinates of the EUMELI site, too much info.

done

(8) Introduction page 18257 line 25. We used monthly catches. (Since the experiment is over now use past tense).

done

(9) Introduction page 18257, line 28.Same us above (use past tense). “allowed us”

done

(10) Introduction page 18258 lines 4-9. Please delete. This repeats what is said before in the same paragraph (18257 page, lines 24-).

done

(11) Introduction page 18258, lines 10-15.Does this experiment/project has a name? If so, just mention quickly the name of the project without giving references. Example: Our work is a contribution to the “ X” project that aims to explore ecology, physical processes etc in low oxygen eddies. As general rule please shorten your sentences. There is no need for such detail info unless you feel that this is crucial for the readers to understand your context. The above info may alternatively be included in the acknowledgement section.

We removed this part and shortened this section considerably.

(12) Oceanographic, biological and atmospheric setting at the CVOO. This section should merged and shorten if possible with in the Materials & Methods (3.1).

We shortened this section 2 but did not merge it with M&M. This section 2 is a description (oceanography, atmospheric setting etc.) of the study area. The section 3.1. (the CVOO) is a description of the methods applied at CVOO-3.

(13) Page 18258, line 26: See comment 8. Showed mostly . . ..

done

(14) Page 18258, line 27: . . ...was observed. . ...

done

(15) Page 18259, line 1: . . .were found. . ..

done

(16) page 18259, line 8: Do you mean “exhibit” here instead of develop ?

Yes, done

(17) page 18259, line 20. Delete ballast Theory and give only the Armstrong reference.

done

(18) page 18259, line 25. Delete the coordinates of the EUMELI site because you back it up with the reference Bory et al., 2001.

done

(19) Page 18261, line 10: Delete “eg” and for a review.

done

(20) Page 18261, lines 10-12: Please delete this sentence and include the references Boyd and Trull 2007; Berelson. . . after Buesseler et al., 2007.

changed

(21) Next sentence. We used samples collected on roughly….. and March 2011 (Table 1). Delete the next sentence C9201 (detailed sampling analysis is given. . ..). Please try to make lighter your sentences and avoid repetition.

Changed and shortened

(22) Page 18261, line 19: Large swimmers were removed manually and /or by filtering carefully…

done

(23) Page 18261, line 22: Delete subsequently. (24) Next sentence. Additional methods information is given elsewhere (Fischer and Wefer 1991).

done

(25) Page 18262, lines 14, 16. Use past tense here. (showed, were)

done

(26) 3.4 Coccolithophores studies. -Studies splits ranged from 1/250 to 1/2500 and were filtered. . ... -delete “Schleicher and Schuell 47 mm. Only provide the pore size (0.45 mm). -delete the brand of the electron microscope (too much info).

done

(27) 3.5 calcareous zoo studies - delete the brand of the balance and that of the microscope -fluxes mg m-2 d-1

done

(28) 3.6 Stable isotope ratios. I believe that it was a missed opportunity to perform delta-13C measurements as well. As far as I know Carlo Erba mass spectrometers provide measurements of both isotopes. Was there a reason that such measurement were not performed ? In this section you do not need to give all of these details because it is a common place technique. 1-2 references will do the job along with the analytical error of technique. The purity of gases, the different generated gases by the combustion all this info is unnecessary.

This info was shortened. The delta-13C-ratios were not measured simultaneously.

(29) 3.7 Biomarker studies. Same as 3.6. There is no need for such info. Please state very briefly your analytical protocol including the analysis procedure by giving the appropriate references.

It has been shortened

(30) Page 18268, line 4. In the head title you indicate “Diatom fluxes” and you start the paragraph : Biogenic silica flux showed . . .. (You already provided this info in the mass fluxes section; second paragraph). Please advise.

Sentence was removed

(31) Page 18271 line 1. The “Giant Cape Blanc filament” and is characterized

changed

(32) Page 18276 line 4. Use past tense (showed) (33) Page 18277 line 12. 200 m d-1

done

(34) Page 18279 line 4. What is CC ?? (35) Page 18281 line 20. 5.1 km d-1. Use everywhere d-1 .C9202

CC=Canary Current, has been explained before, but fully written here again

changed

RC 35:
Conclusions and outlook. Although I enjoyed this part of the paper I believe it is out place and should be moved to discussion section and discussed along with your results.

AC :
We left this section (Conclusions and Outlook) separated from the Discussion section. The “Summary and Conclusion and Outlooks” sections provide an overview of main findings and perspectives for future work. In our opinion, the Discussion would be even more complex and difficult to organize and to read when including this quite long part ‘Conclusions and Outlook’ in the discussion part.

Changes in the revised version according to comments by D. Archer, reviewer #2

RC:
The sediment trap data here is interpreted vertically, but I wonder if that's appropriate. The authors argue that given a sinking velocity of 100 meters per day and some typical horizontal flow velocities, particles wouldn't travel very far in the horizontal. The problems with a vertical explanation for the fluxes found here are (1) the deep trap received more material than the shallower one. (2) The fluxes of dust also spiked during the eddy's passage, although there is no strong evidence for a particular focusing of dust deposition fluxes at the sea surface associated with the eddy.

The oxygen depletion grew in place, and there are higher concentrations of chlorophyll in surface waters than outside of the eddy, so some component of the excess organic carbon fluxes measured must have been grown locally. But the dust fluxes imply that there must be some horizontal focusing mechanism, or a buildup in time of depositional fluxes, in addition to any biological signals in the sediment trap. In fact, the unusually tight correlation between the dust and the organic carbon makes me think that the focusing mechanism must dominate over the local biological fluxes.

Could the upwelling jet in the eddy be acting as a particle trap, actually inhibiting sinking by carrying small particles upward? Particles would build up in the water column like snowflakes in a blizzard. When it passes by the sediment trap mooring, material settles into the traps and is recorded. It would explain the dust, the synchronicity of the spike between the two depths, and the higher fluxes in the deeper trap.

AC:
D. Archer exactly raised the major problem with the upper and deeper flux record of the eddy. We discussed his problem in our author group of oceanographers, biogeochemists, paleontologists and geologists. With respect to the increase of fluxes collected with the deeper trap, we considered potential scenarios for horizontal transport processes in our old version (chapter 5.4, now 5.5.). It is evident that the upper and lower trap fluxes are temporally coupled in some way (e.g. bulk flux patterns, organisms, C/N-ratios). There must be a vertical transport component as well.

The reviewer is right in stating that we cannot explain the data set in a satisfactory way by only interpreting it in a strictly vertical sense. Indeed, we considered several scenarios (e.g. 'particle focussing') within the eddy to explain the critical points mentioned by D. Archer. However, at the time of writing, we found these scenarios too speculative to be integrated and discussed in a reasonable way. One possible explanation of the 3-fold increase in fluxes with depths might indeed be related with a certain degree of particle focussing/concentration of the particles within the eddy, which may operate as a twisted funnel with some downward movement of particles at the eddy's boundaries. However, we cannot prove this with the present data set and it remains speculative. There is still a clear lack of knowledge on how different types of eddies behave in the upper and deeper water column (oceanography), in particular with respect to particle transport processes. Fine particles (dust) may indeed be carried upwards at the edge of the eddy in the water column, and might interact with organic-rich particles to build marine snow aggregates. This might result in a close relationship between the organic carbon and dust particles.

We have rephrased the former chapter 5.4. ('Differences of fluxes in the water column') accordingly. This chapter is now 5.5. and named 'Increase of mass fluxes with depth and flux focussing' and includes the suggestions and thoughts of D. Archer as discussed above.

Bathypelagic particle flux signatures from a suboxic eddy in the oligotrophic tropical North Atlantic: production, sedimentation and preservation

by

G. Fischer[1,2*], J. Karstensen[3], O. Romero[2], K.-H. Baumann[1,2], B. Donner[2], J. Hefter[2,4],
G. Mollenhauer[2,4], M. Iversen[2,4], B. Fiedler[3] , I. Monteiro[5] and A. Körtzinger[3]

[1] Geosciences Department, University of Bremen, Klagenfurter Str., 28359 Bremen, Germany

[2] Marum Centre for Marine Environmental Sciences, Leobener Str., University of Bremen, 28359 Bremen, Germany

[3]. GEOMAR Helmholtz Center for Ocean Research Kiel, Düsternbrooker Weg 20, 24105 Kiel, Germany

[4]. Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Center for Polar and Marine Sciences, 27570 Bremerhaven, Germany

[5] Instituto Nacional de Desenvolvimento das Pescas (INDP), Cova da Inglesa, CP132, Mindelo, São Vicente, Cabo Verde

** corresponding author: gerhard.fischer@uni-bremen.de*

Abstract

Particle fluxes at the Cape Verde Ocean Observatory (CVOO) in the eastern tropical North Atlantic for the period December 2009 until May 2011 are discussed based on bathypelagic sediment trap time series data collected at 1290 m and 3439 m water depth. The typically oligotrophic particle flux pattern with weak seasonality is modified by the appearance of a highly productive and low oxygen (minimum concentration below 2 µmol kg^{-1} at 40 m depth) anticyclonic modewater eddy (ACME) in winter 2010. The eddy passage was accompanied by unusually high mass fluxes of up to 151 mg m^{-2} d^{-1}, lasting from December 2009 to May 2010. Distinct biogenic silica (BSi) and organic carbon flux peaks of ~15 and 13.3 mg m^{-2} d^{-1}, respectively, were observed in February-March 2010 when the eddy approached the CVOO. The flux of the lithogenic component, mostly mineral dust, was well correlated to that of organic carbon in particular in the deep trap samples, suggesting a tight coupling. The lithogenic ballasting obviously resulted in high particle settling rates and, thus, a fast transfer of epi-/mesopelagic signatures to the bathypelagic traps. We suspect that the 2- to 3-fold increase of particle fluxes with depths as well as the tight coupling of mineral dust and organic carbon in the deep trap samples might be explained by particle focusing processes within the deeper part of the eddy. Molar C:N ratios of organic matter during the ACME passage were around 18 and 25 for the upper and lower trap samples, respectively. This suggests that some productivity under nutrient (nitrate) limitation occurred in the euphotic zone of the eddy in the beginning of 2010 or a local nitrogen recycling took place. The δ^{15}N record showed a decrease from 5.21 to 3.11‰ ~~-~~from January to March 2010, while the organic carbon and nitrogen fluxes increased. The causes of enhanced sedimentation from the eddy in February/March 2010 remain elusive, but nutrient depletion and/or an increased availability of dust as ballast mineral for organic-rich aggregates might have contributed~~ to the elevated fluxes during the eddy passage~~. Rapid ~~R~~remineralization of sinking organic-rich particles could have contributed to ~~the formation of a suboxic~~ oxygen depletion ~~zone~~at shallow depth. Although the eddy has been formed in the West African coastal area in summer 2009, no indication of coastal flux signatures (e.g. from diatoms) were found in the sediment trap~~s~~ samples~~, suggesting an alteration of the eddy since its formation. This~~ confirm~~s~~ing the assumption that the suboxia developed within the eddy en-route. However, we could not detect biomarkers indicative of the presence of anammox (anaerobic ammonia oxidation) bacteria or green sulfur bacteria thriving in photic zone suboxia/hypoxia, i.e., ladderane fatty acids and isorenieratene derivatives, respectively.~~Screening of the biomarker fractions for the occurrence of ladderane fatty acids that could indicate the presence of anammox (anaeobic ammonia oxidation) bacteria, and isorenieratene derivatives, indicative for the presence of green sulfur bacteria and, thus for photic zone suboxia/anoxia was negative.~~ This could indicate that suboxic conditions in the eddy had recently developed and/or the respective bacterial stocks had not yet reached detection thresholds. Another explanation is that the fast sinking organic-rich particles produced in the surface layer did not interact with bacteria from the suboxic zone below. Carbonate fluxes dropped from ~52 to 21.4 mg m^{-2} d^{-1} from January to February 2010, respectively,

mainly due to reduced contribution of shallow dwelling planktonic foraminifera and pteropods. The deep-dwelling foraminifera *Globorotalia menardii*, however, showed a major flux peak in February 2010, most probably due to the suboxia/hypoxia. The low oxygen conditions forced at least some zooplankton to ~~stop~~ reduce diel vertical migration. Reduced ‘flux feeding’ by zooplankton in the epipelagic could have contributed to the enhanced fluxes of organic materials to the bathypelagic traps during the eddy passage~~.~~. Further studies are required on eddy-induced particle production and preservation processes and particle focusing.

1 Introduction

Time-series particle flux studies have been performed in many ocean areas including typical oligotrophic settings in the Atlantic and the Pacific (Karl et al. 1996; Neuer et al., 2007; Lampitt and Antia, 1997; Honjo et al., 2008) and in Eastern Boundary Upwelling Ecosystems (EBUE) (Fischer et al. 2010; Romero et al., 2002). In general, seasonality is low in areas with low primary production while it increases towards coastal and open ocean high production (equatorial, polar) settings (Berger and Wefer, 1990; Romero and Armand, 2010). Mass fluxes at the French oligotrophic EUMELI site located NW of the CVOO study site were rather low (mostly below 60 mg m^{-2} d^{-1}) with a low to moderate seasonality (Bory et al., 2001).

In near coastal areas, particle fluxes can vary dramatically due to productivity events triggered by upwelling and submesoscale frontal processes such as filaments (Fischer et al., 2009). In the open ocean outside of frontal regions, productivity events are mostly related to the occurrence of mesoscale eddies (Benitez-Nelson and McGullicuddy, 2008). However, a flux signature from an eddy in the deep ocean has not yet been described using sediment traps or radionuclides (e.g. Buesseler et al., 2007). This might be due to undersampling and the episodic nature of pulses of organic matter from mesoscale eddies. In the quiescent shadow zone region of the eastern tropical North Atlantic (Luyten et al. 1983), mesoscale eddies originate mostly from energetic flow in the coastal/open ocean transition zone of the West African coast. After formation, the eddies propagate westward into the open North Atlantic, typically at certain latitudes which may be considered as eddy corridors (Schütte et al. 2015). The CVOO mooring site (Fig. 1), about 100 km north of the Cape Verde Island São Vicente, is located in such an eddy corridor. Considering rotation as well as the vertical structure of eddies, three types may be distinguished (Schütte et al., 2015): cyclonic, anticyclonic, and anticyclonic modewater eddies (ACME). In particular, ACMEs have been reported in the past to support high productivity and chlorophyll standing stock, primarily related to a very shallow mixed layer base in the eddy and the efficiency in vertical transport of nutrients into the euphotic zone (McGuillicuddy et al. 2007; Karstensen et al. 2016). A comprehensive overview to mesoscale eddies including ACMEs and their physical and biogeochemical linkages is given by Benitez-Nelson and McGullicuddy (2008). Multi-year oxygen time series data from CVOO show frequent drops in oxygen concentration associated with the passage of ACMEs (Karstensen et al. 2015). One particularly strong event lasted the entire February 2010 with lowest oxygen concentrations of only 1-2 µmol kg^{-1} at about 40 m depth (Karstensen et al. 2015). Using satellite data, the propagation path of this particular ACME has been reconstructed and found to have formed in summer 2009, at about 18°N at the West African coast (Fig. 1).

Here we describe particle flux signatures of the passage of this ACME crossing CVOO in February 2010. We used monthly catches (29 day intervals) from bathypelagic sediment traps for the period from December 2009 to March 2011 (Table 1). The total length of the sediment trap data time series of about 16 months allowed us to compare the winter 2009-2010 with an ACME passage to the winter 2010-2011 without an ACME passage in the vicinity of the mooring site.

Kommentar [g1]: This section is significantly shortened, rev. #1

2 Oceanographic, biological and atmospheric setting at CVOO

The Cape Verde Ocean Observatory (CVOO) is located in the oligotrophic North Atlantic, far west of the coastal upwelling of the Canary Current System (Barton et al., 1998), one of the major Eastern Boundary Upwelling Ecosystems (~~EBUE;~~ Freon et al, 2009). A distinct hydrographic boundary exists northwest of CVOO, the Cape Verde Frontal Zone (CVFZ, Zenk et al., 1991), separating the eastern boundary shadow zone with sluggish flow, low oxygen and high nutrient waters from the well-ventilated, high oxygen and nutrient-poorer waters to the west. The different coastal upwelling systems within the Canary Current (CC) have recently be described by Cropper et al. (2014) with respect to production~~/~~, phytoplankton standing stock and seasonality.

Monthly maps of surface chlorophyll concentrations derived from ocean color data in the CVOO area showed mostly concentrations below 0.25 mg m^{-3} (Fig. 1). A slight increase o~~n~~f surface chlorophyll was observed during boreal winter months where concentrations of up to 0.5 mg m^{-3} were found. The high cloud coverage partly prohibits detailed analysis of the surface chlorophyll concentrations. From the few high resolution daily maps available during the CVOO-3 period (Fig. 1), locally enhanced surface chlorophyll can be identified that coincides with a westward propagation of mesoscale eddies, a phenomenon that has been reported before (e.g. Benitez-Nelson and McGullicuddy, 2008). The eddies form in spring and summer at the African coast, in the area between Cape Blanc and Cape Vert, Senegal, and propagate westward with about 5 km per day (Schütte et al., 2015~~a~~). Some of the eddies, in particular the ACMEs, exhibit low dissolved oxygen (DO) concentrations at very shallow depth ($<$ 40 m; Karstensen et al. 2015). During CVOO-3, one particular high productive/low oxygen ACME passed the CVOO site over a period of about one month, in February 2010 (Figs. 1, 3).

The ocean area off West Africa receives the highest supply of dust of the world (Schütz et al., 1981; Goudie and Middleton, 2001; Kaufman et al., 2005; Schepanski et al., 2009). Dust is not only relevant for the climate system (e.g. Ansmann et la. 2011; Moulin et al., 1997) and the addition of nitrate, phosphate and iron to the surface ocean (e.g. Jickells et al., 1998), but also for the ballasting of organic-rich particles (Ittekkot, 1993; Armstrong et al., 2002; Iversen and Ploug, 2010; Ploug et al., 2008; Fischer and Karakas, 2009; Bressac et al., 2014) formed in the surface ocean. Lithogenic material attributed to mineral dust has been shown to contribute between 1/3 and ½ to the total deep ocean mass flux off Cape Blanc and south of the Cape Verdes (CV-1-2 trap, ca. 11°30'N,/21°W; Ratmeyer et al., 1999), respectively. Typically, mineral dust flux correlates with the satellite-based annual aerosol optical index (Fischer et al., 2010). High dust fluxes have been found at the oligotrophic EUMELI site far north of CVOO (Bory et al., 2001). Fischer et al. (2009a) obtained a mean annual lithogenic (dust) flux of 14 g m^{-2} yr^{-1} for the eastern North Atlantic off West Africa. Seasonality, mass concentrations and long-term chemical characterization of Saharan dust/aerosols over the Cape Verde Islands based on the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (CVAO) were described by Fomba et al. (2014).

Kommentar [g2]: Section (last part) significantly shortened, see rev #1

3 Material and Methods

3.1 The Cape Verde Ocean Observatory (CVOO)

The *in situ* observations used in this study have been acquired at the ~~Cape Verde Ocean Observatory (~~CVOO~~)~~, located in the eastern tropical North Atlantic (17°35'N, 24°15'W, Fig. 1) ca. 800 km west of the African coast and about 80 km north of the Cape Verde Islands. The site consists of a mooring (3600 m water depth) that was first deployed in September 2006 and is operational since then. The sediment trap data ~~discussed here~~ were acquired at two depths during the deployment period October 2009 to May 2011 (CVOO-3). The mooring is equipped with a set of core sensors for hydrography (temperature, salinity sensors at different depth), currents (profiling in upper 100 m and single RCM-8 instruments at approximately 600 m, 1300 m, and 3400 m depth), and oxygen (typically 2 single sensors at 50 and 180 m depth). For analysis of the currents, we considered data from one current meter at 588 m, one at 1320 m (30 m below the upper trap), and the deepest at 3473 m (46 m below the lower trap). For the 588 m and the upper trap RCM, complete time series of speed and direction are available. For the lower trap RCM, because of a rotor failure, only current direction but no current speed is available after mid December 2009. RCM-8 current meters have a speed threshold <2 cm s^{-1} and measure speed with ±1cm s^{-1} or 2% of measured speed (whatever is larger). Speed data <1.1 cm s^{-1} has been set to the threshold of 1.1 cm s^{-1}. Compass accuracy is ±7.5° for speed <5 cm s^{-1} and 5° above that threshold.

3.2 Sediment traps and bulk particle flux analyses

Kommentar [g3]: All method chapters have been shortened according to rev #1

Particle fluxes were acquired using two classical cone-shaped and large-aperture sediment traps (0.5 m^2; Kiel type, Kremling et al., 1996) in 1290 and 3439 m, respectively. We collected sinking material with bathypelagic traps to circumvent flux biases such as undersampling due to strong ocean currents and/or zooplankton activities (Buesseler et al., 2007, Boyd and Trull, 2007; Berelson, 2002; Yu et al., 2001). We used samples collected on roughly monthly intervals (each 29 days, ~~) during the sampling~~

~~period (~~Table 1). The traps were equipped with 20 cups, which were poisoned with $HgCl_2$ before and after deployment by addition of 1 mL of a saturated $HgCl_2$ solution in distilled water at 20°C per 100 mL. Pure NaCl was used to increase the density in the cups prior to the deployments (final salinity was 40‰). Large swimmers were removed manually and/or by filtering carefully through a 1 mm sieve. Thus, all fluxes refer to the size fraction of < 1 mm. Flux of the size fraction of particles >1 mm was negligible. Samples were wet-split in the home laboratory using a rotating McLANE wet splitter and freeze-dried. Additional methods information is given elsewhere (Fischer and Wefer, 1991).

Sediment trap samples were analyzed using freeze-dried homogenized material of 1/5 wet splits. It was weighed for total mass and analysed for organic carbon, total nitrogen, carbonate and biogenic silica. Particulate organic carbon, total nitrogen and calcium carbonate were measured by combustion with a Vario EL III Elemental Analyzer in the CN mode. Organic carbon was measured after removal of carbonate with 2 N HCl. Overall analytical precision based on internal lab standards was 2.8033%±0.0337 for organic carbon and 0.3187%±0.0082 for nitrogen, respectively. Carbonate was determined by subtracting organic carbon from total carbon, the latter being measured by combustion without pre-treatment with 2N HCl. Biogenic opal was determined with a sequential 1M NaOH-leaching method according to Müller and Schneider (1993). The precision of the overall method based on replicate analyses is between ±0.2 and ±0.4%. Lithogenic fluxes were calculated from total mass flux by subtracting the flux of carbonate, biogenic opal and two times the flux of TOC to approximate organic matter. As there is no river input in the study area, we assume that all non-biogenic (= lithogenic) material was supplied via atmospheric transport.

Deep ocean sediment traps collect material from a rather large catchment area, typically around 100 km in diameter or wider, depending on particle settling rates and ocean currents (Siegel and Deuser, 1997). Making use of current meter data records from the upper water column (600 and 1300 m), the progressive vector diagrams (PVD) ~~(Fig. 2)~~ showed that the collected material before the eddy passage was under the impact of a current from the NE, while after the eddy passage the material was transported more from the southwest (Fig. 2). In general, the currents were about twice as strong in 600 m compared to the 1300 m depth and remained mostly below 10 cm s^{-1}.

3.3 Siliceous phytoplankton studies

For this study, 1/125 splits of the original samples were used. Samples were rinsed with distilled water and prepared for siliceous plankton studies following the method proposed by Schrader and Gersonde (1978). Qualitative and quantitative analyses were done at x1000 magnifications using a *Zeiss*®Axioscop with phase-contrast illumination (MARUM, Bremen, Germany). Counts were carried out on permanent slides of acid cleaned material (*Mountex*® mounting medium). Depending on diatom valve abundances in each sample, several traverses across each slide were examined. The total number of counted valves ranged between 300 and 600. At least two cover slips per sample were scanned in this way. Diatom counting of replicate slides indicates that the analytical error of the concentration estimates is ≤15 % (Schrader and Gersonde, 1978).

The resulting counts yielded abundance of individual diatom taxa as well as fluxes of diatom valves per m^{-2} d^{-1} calculated according to Sancetta and Calvert (1988), as follows:

$$F = \frac{[N] \text{ x } [A/a] \text{ x } [V] \text{ x } [Split]}{[days] \text{ x } [D]}$$

where, [N] number of valves, in an area [a], as a fraction of the total area of a petri dish [A] and the dilution volume [V] in ml. This value is multiplied by the sample split [Split], representing the fraction of total material in the trap, and then divided by the number of [days] of sample deployment and the trap collection area [D].

3.4 Coccolithophores studies

For coccolith counts, wet split aliquots of each sample (1/25 of the < 1 mm fraction) were further split by means of a rotary sample divider (Fritsch, Laborette 27) using buffered tap water as the split medium. Studied splits ranged between 1/250 and 1/2500, which were filtered onto polycarbonate membrane filters of 0.45 µm pore size. The filters were dried at 40°C at least for 12 hours before a randomly chosen small section was cut out and fixed on an aluminium stub, sputtered with gold/palladium. The coccolith analysis was carried out using a ZEISS scanning electron microscope at 10 kV accelerating voltage. In general more than 500 coccoliths were counted on measured transects at a magnification of 3000x.

3.5 Calcareous zooplankton studies

The mass flux of carbonate is mainly constituted of planktonic foraminifera, pteropods and nanofossils/coccolithophores. To determine the proportion of calcareous zooplankton, a 1/5 split of the < 1 mm-fraction was used to pick planktonic foraminifera and pteropods from the wet solution. The picking was done by hand with a pipette under a ZEISS Stemi 2000 microscope. Picked shells were rinsed three times with fresh water and dried at 50°C overnight. Total mass fluxes of pteropods and planktonic foraminifera were determined with an analytical balance and mass fluxes (mg m^{-2} day^{-1}) were calculated. The foraminiferal species composition was determined under a ZEISS V8 microscope. The fluxes of all species were given as individuals m^{-2} day^{-1}.

3.6 Stable nitrogen isotope ratios

For the determination of the $\delta^{15}N$ of organic material, about 5 mg of freeze-dried and homogenized material was used. The $\delta^{15}N$ was measured at the ZMT (Leibniz Center of Tropical Marine Ecology, Bremen). The Delta plus mass spectrometer is connected to a Carlo Erba Flash EA 1112 (Thermo Finnigan) elemental analyzer via a Finnigan ConFloII interface. All of the data are expressed in the conventional delta (δ)-notation, where the isotopic ratio of $^{15}N/^{14}N$ is expressed relative to air, which is defined as zero. The N_2 reference gas was research grade and has been calibrated to air using IAEA-N1 and IAEA-N2. The internal standard used was pepton with a $\delta^{15}N$ value of 5.73±0.07% (1σ).

Kommentar [g4]: Chapter significantly shortened, rev. #1

3.7 Biomarker studies

Kommentar [g5]: Has been shortened significantly

70-200 mg of freeze-dried and homogenized samples were extracted three times with dichloromethane (DCM): methanol (MeOH) 9:1 (v/v) in an ultrasonic bath for 10 min. Internal standards (squalane, 500ng / nonadecanone, 499.5ng / C_{46}-GDGT, 500ng / erucic acid, 500.5 ng) were added prior extraction. After centrifugation, solvents were decanted, combined and dried.and saponified (2h, 80°C, 1mL 0.1M KOH in methanol:water (9:1)). Neutral lipids (NL) were extracted with 4 x 0.5 mL *n*-hexane. After acidification to pH <2 (HCl), fatty acids were recovered with 4 x 0.5 mL DCM and esterified with methanolic HCl (12h, 80°C). Silica-gel chromatography was used to separate NL into hydrocarbons (eluted with *n*-hexane), aromatic hydrocarbons (*n*-hexane:DCM, 2:1), ketones (DCM:n-hexane, 2:1) and polar compounds (DCM:MeOH, 1:1).

Alkenones were analyzed using a 7890A gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies) with cold on-column (COC) injector, a DB-5MS fused silica capillary column (60 m, ID 250 µm, 0.25 µm film) and a flame ionisation detector (FID). Helium was used as carrier gas (constant flow, 1.5mL/min.) and the GC was heated as follows: 60°C for 1 min., 20°C/min. to 150°C, 6°C/min. to 320°C, final hold time 35 min. Alkenone concentrations were calculated using the response factor of the internal standard (nonadecanone).

$U^{k'}_{37}$ was calculated as defined by Prahl and Wakeham (1987):

$$U^{k'}_{37} = \frac{C_{37:2}}{(C_{37:2} + C_{37:3})}$$

and converted to SSTs using the calibration of Conte et al. (2006).

$$T\,(°C) = -0.957 + 54.293(U^{k'}_{37}) - 52.894(U^{k'}_{37})^2 + 28.321(U^{k'}_{37})^3$$

The aromatic as well as the fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) fractions were analyzed by gas chromatography/mass spectrometry for the presence of isorenieratene and its derivatives and ladderrane fatty acids.

4 Results

4.1 Mass fluxes

Mass fluxes increased in winter-spring 2009-2010 in both trap depths during the passage of the ACME at CVOO-3 but were rather low in winter-spring 2010-2011 (Fig. 3; Table 1). Fluxes were well correlated between both traps (r^2=0.6, N=20), suggesting a fast transfer of the flux signature from the upper water column to bathypelagic depths. The lower trap fluxes were about twice as high as in the upper trap during the period of elevated fluxes in winter-spring 2009-10. During winter 2010-2011, when no large eddy passed the study site CVOO, fluxes showed only a small seasonal increase and the flux to the lower trap was lower in magnitude compared to winter-spring 2009-2010 (Fig. 3). We consider this as the 'normal conditions'.

The flux pattern of biogenic silica (BSi) showed a more discrete peak than total mass with maxima in February-March 2010 (Fig. 4a). BSi fluxes were highest in March for both traps and not in February when the ACME passed the study site. The high BSi fluxes arrived simultaneously at both trap depths without a time/cup lag~~ between sampling depths~~. BSi fluxes were more than 3-fold higher in the lower than in the upper trap during February-March 2010 (Fig. 4a). Very low BSi fluxes were measured in winter-spring 2011 and they were slightly higher in the upper trap. On an annual basis, the contribution of BSi to total flux mass was 2.8% (upper) and 5.75% (lower trap), respectively. However, during the ACME passage, the contribution increased significantly to 4.5-7.8% (upper) and 8.3-12.3% (lower trap) (Table 1). The opal fraction was mainly composed of marine diatoms. Organic carbon fluxes revealed a slightly different pattern from BSi with one distinct flux peak in February 2010 (Fig. 5a). Organic carbon fluxes in the deep trap were almost twice as high as those collected in the upper trap during February 2010. In contrast, during the 'normal conditions' in winter-spring 2011, organic carbon fluxes showed only minor differences between the upper and lower traps.

Lithogenic (= mineral dust) ~~mass~~ fluxes were more than twice higher in the deep trap during the period influenced by the ACME passage (Fig. 6) and followed organic carbon flux with a distinct peak in February 2010. In particular the deeper trap samples provided an almost perfect correlation between lithogenic material and organic carbon fluxes (r^2=0.97, N=17). This correlation was less pronounced but still statistically significant for the upper trap samples (r^2=0.63, N=18). ~~As there is no river input in the study area, we assume that all non-biogenic (= lithogenic) material was supplied via atmospheric transport.~~

Total carbonate mass fluxes showed less seasonality than BSi and organic carbon with broad maxima in winter-spring 2009-10, largely following total mass (Figs. 3, 4, 5, 7). However, carbonate fluxes showed a decrease in February 2010 during the passage of the ACME, in particular in the deep trap. Fluxes of the major carbonate producers revealed a decrease in pteropod fluxes at both depths during February-March 2010. Planktonic foraminifera, however, showed a clear flux peak in the deep trap during February 2010 and a rather broad increase in the entire winter-spring 2009/2010 in~~at~~ the upper trap (Fig. 7b). Total carbonate mass flux in winter-spring 2011 during 'normal, non-eddy conditions' was much lower than in 2010 and decreased between the upper and lower trap, which is typical for years without eddy passage.

4.2 C/N- and δ^{15}N-ratios

The molar C:N ratios of the organic material in both traps is rather high for deep ocean material compared to previous findings (Fischer et al., 2003, 2010). In February 2010, C:N ratios were unusually high with values around 18 and 25 in the upper and lower trap, respectively (Fig. 5b). The δ^{15}N-ratios of the lower trap samples varied between 6.99 and 3.11‰ (Fig. 5c). The lowest value (3.11‰) was measured following the passage of the ACME in February 2010, while the highest value with almost 7‰ was recorded in December 2010. Distinct decreases were found from January to March 2010 (ACME passage), as well as from December 2010 to March 2011. The mean value was 4.16‰, the flux-weighted mean was with 3.98‰ slightly lower. The δ^{15}N-ratios were not related to the C:N-ratios nor to the fluxes of nitrogen and carbon in general.

4.3 Diatom fluxes

The total diatom flux ~~in the upper trap~~ ranged from 2.3 x 10^3 to 1.7 x 10^5 valves m^{-2} d^{-1} in the upper trap (Fig. 4b; Table 2). One major diatom flux maximum (>1.4 x 10^5 valves m^{-2} d^{-1}) occurred in mid-spring 2010. The opal fraction was mainly composed of marine diatoms. In addition, silicoflagellates, radiolarians, freshwater diatoms, phytoliths and the dinoflagellate *Actiniscus pentasterias* occurred sporadically. In terms of number of individuals, diatoms dominated the opal fraction throughout the year: their flux was always one to four orders of magnitude higher than the~~at~~ flux of the other siliceous organisms encountered (not shown here). The diverse diatom community was composed of ca. 100 marine species. The most important contributors to the diatom community were species typical of open-ocean, oligo-to-mesotrophic waters of the low and mid-latitude oceans: *Nitzschia sicula*, *N. bicapitata*, *N.interruptestriata*, *N. capuluspalae*, and *Thalassionema nitzschioides* var. *parva*. Resting spores of several coastal species of *Chaetoceros*, and tycoplanktonic/benthic *Delphineis surirella*, *Neodelphineis indica* and *Pseudotriceratium punctatum* are secondary contributors.

4.4 Coccolith fluxes

In general, both traps revealed coccolith fluxes that were high during the interval December 2009 to May 2010, whereas fluxes were considerably lower (ca. 2-10 times) during the rest of the studied period (Fig. 8a; Table 2). Maximum total coccolith fluxes were recorded in February 2010 for both traps, reaching values of 1300 x10^6 coccoliths m^{-2} d^{-1} (upper trap, Fig. 8a) and 2880 x10^6 coccoliths m^{-2} d^{-1} (lower trap, not shown), respectively. Total coccolith fluxes in the lower trap were generally 2-3 ~~twice to three~~ times higher than in the upper trap. In total, 56 coccolithophore species were identified. The coccolithophores were generally dominated by lower photic zone (LPZ) species, such as *Florisphaera profunda* and *Gladiolithus flabellatus*, together with more omnipresent species such as *Emiliania huxleyi* and *Gephyrocapsa* spp.. *Florisphaera profunda* constituted between 21.7 and 49.2% of the total assemblage and cosmopolitan *E. huxleyi* ranged between 13.4 and 29.4 %. Coccolith fluxes as well as %-abundances of *F. profunda* slightly decreased in January-March 2010, although this species shows a distinct flux peak in February (Fig. 8a). In contrast, fluxes of *E. huxleyi* as well as their relative proportion clearly increased during the interval February-March 2010 (Fig. 8a). Other taxa that considerably contributed to the assemblage are *Gephyrocapsa ericsonii* (2.3 - 16.7%), *G. oceanica* (0.9 – 6.7%), *G. muellerae* (0.3 – 14.0%) and *Umbilicosphaera sibogae* (1.1 - 6.7%), which all show a pattern generally similar to that of *E. huxleyi*. In contrast, deep-dwelling *G. flabellatus* (1.3 – 7.3%) and upper zone species *Umbellosphaera tenuis* (1.3 - 5.3%) tend to show less prominent fluxes in February 2010 during ACME passage. Other, more oligotrophic species (*U. irregularis, R. clavigera*) display a similar pattern.

4.5 Flux of planktonic foraminifera

Planktonic foraminifera showed a clear flux peak in February 2010 in the deep trap (not shown) and a rather broad increase over the entire winter-spring season in 2010 at the upper trap level (Fig. 7b; Table 2). The surface dwellers and warm water species *Globigerinoides ruber* white and pink and *Globigerinoides sacculifer* were the three dominant species to the total foraminifer flux in both the upper and the deeper trap throughout (Fig. 8 b, c). In February 2010, during the passage of the ACME, however, all three species exhibit a decrease in occurrence. During this interval, they were replaced by the subsurface dweller *Globorotalia menardii*, dominating the foraminiferal flux at both trap levels (Fig. 8d, only upper trap shown). The deep dwellers were generally rare at the CVOO-3 site, either they were missing almost completely (*Globorotalia truncatulinoides*), or they were present in low numbers. *Globorotalia crassaformis,* for instance, showed a flux pattern with a maximum in April-May in both trap levels, following the ACME passage in February 2010.

4.6 Lipid biomarkers

A reduced sample set from the upper trap, covering the sample period from December 2009 to July 2010 (samples #1-8), was used for investigation of the organic biomarker composition and the characterization of the ACME passage. Alkenone-derived $U^{k'}_{37}$ values, a biomarker based proxy for SSTs, varied from 0.82 to 0.98 with the minimum value occurring in March, following the ACME passage (Table 3). Translation of the index into absolute temperatures by using the Conte et al. (2006) global calibration for surface particulate matter resulted in SSTs from 23.6 to 28.0°C (Fig. 9a). From December 2009 to end of March 2010, SSTs decreased from 26.5°C to 23.6°C. After the ACME passage, starting in April 2010, SSTs shifted back to around 28.0°C. Alkenone fluxes (Fig. 9a) showed a distinct 6 to 8-fold increase during ACME passage and correlate with organic carbon flux (Fig. 5a) and the molar C:N ratios of organic matter (Fig. 9b, r^2=0.77, n=8). The relationship between alkenone and total coccolith fluxes, however, is weak (Figs. 8a, 9). Unique membrane lipids of anammox bacteria, so-called ladderanes (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2002) nor biomarkers related to a pigment of the photosynthetic green sulphur bacteria *Chlorobiaceae*, isorenieratene and its derivatives, all indicative of photic zone anoxia, could not be detected using the analytical tools described above.

Kommentar [g6]: Changed, comment by S. Schouten

5 Discussion

5.1 Production and export within the surface layer of the eddy

The upper CVOO-3 trap revealed a rather unusual high BSi flux in winter-spring (around 4 mg m^{-2} d^{-1}; Fig. 4a) which was partly higher than at the more coastal and mesotrophic Cape Blanc site CB (Fischer et al., 2003). The latter site is located within the 'Giant Cape Blanc filament' and is characterized by high chlorophyll streaming offshore (Van Camp et al., 1991; Helmke et al. 2005). We argue that the unusual high BSi flux during the eddy passage was due to diatom production within the surface waters of the ACME. The diatom flux pattern revealed a distinct increase in February 2010 with a major peak later in early spring (Fig. 4b). The base of the mixed layer, that coincides with the nutricline (Karstensen et al. 2016) shoaled, from about 50-60 m before (and after) the eddy passage to about 20 m during the eddy passage (Karstensen et al. 2015). Elevated chlorophyll ~~and primary production~~ within the eddy is seen (Fig. 1) and has been discussed in the context of upward nutrient fluxes into the euphotic zone, particularly associated with ACMEs (e.g. Karstensen et al., 2015; Benitez-Nelson and McGullicuddy, 2008). Considering the timing of the distinct BSi and diatom flux signals, this may indicate that the organic carbon is primarily fixed on the western side of the eddy where an intense bloom is expected (Chelton et al. 2011). Sargasso Sea ACMEs, for instance, contain significant numbers of diatoms, regardless of the age of the eddy (McNeil et al., 1999; Sweeney et al., 2003; Ewart et al., 2008).

~~The upwelling of nutrients within the eddy is driven by submesoscale processes, which are highly variable in space and time. As such, pulsed nutrient/silicate injections from subsurface waters probably combined with a high regeneration of nutrients within the upper layer are likely to occur. The BSi flux data support these findings and further suggest some vertical transport of nutrients (from the silicate-richer water of the shadow zone region east of the CVFZ) into the photic zone of the eddy in the beginning of 2010. In addition, protection of the organic materials in the diatom valves while sinking through the low-oxygen zone of the eddy may have contributed to elevated BSi fluxes in the deep ocean due to reduced BSi dissolution (Ragueneau et al., 2000)~~.

The molar C:N ratios of organic matter were unusually high in February 2010 for both trap depths (Fig. 5b). They clearly fall far off the range of deep-ocean sediment trap samples or surface sediments with partly degraded organic marine material (C:N around 8-10; Fischer et al., 2003, 2010; C:N=5-10 Tyson, 1995; Wagner and Dupont, 1999). ~~The area of Cape Verde is influenced by wind-transported material which also contains some terrestrial organic matter with elevated C:N values (global mean=24; Romankevich, 1984), clearly above the marine signal (e.g. Müller, 1977; Wagner and Dupont, 1999). This terrestrial organic matter is mixed with the debris of major marine primary producers (e.g. diatoms, coccolithophores) whose C:N values are around the Redfield Ratio (Redfield et al., 1963; Martiny et al., 2013).~~ The exceptionally high ratios in February 2010 (C:N=18 (upper) and 25 (lower trap) (Fig. 5b), however, cannot be explained by mixing processes of marine (C:N around Redfield Ratio, Redfield et al., 1963; Martiny et al., 2013), and terrestrial organic materials (C:N global mean=24, Romankevich, 1984), because this would imply a preferential contribution of terrestrial organic matter. On the one side, nitrogen (nitrate) limitation in the surface water north of the Cape Verde Islands combined with low growth rates of the primary producers (both diatoms and coccolithophores) would explain the elevated C:N ratios of organic matter (e.g. Laws and Bannister, 1980; Martiny et al., 2013; Löscher et al., 2015a). However, since oxygen: nitrate ratios are about twice as high in the eddy compared to the surrounding waters, enhanced nitrogen recycling could explain the extraordinarily high C:N ratios as well (Karstensen et al., 2016).

Nitrogen limitation is also known to increase the C:N ratios of the alkenone producers (e.g. Löbl et al., 2010), and might result in an increase in the production and storage of alkenones (e.g., Eltgroth et al., 2005; Prahl et al., 2003). Alkenone temperature records from the Subtropical Front at the Chatham Rise, SW Pacific Ocean (Sikes et al., 2005) showed that biases occurred during times of highest lipid fluxes and low nutrient conditions in the surface mixed-layer. When plotting the C:N ratios versus the alkenone fluxes of the upper trap samples, we indeed obtain a relationship (Fig. 9, r^2=0.77, *n*=8) which points to nutrient limitation during or shortly before the ACME passage. The CVOO-3 temperature record derived from the unsaturation index of the alkenones revealed a stepwise decrease in SST by about 2°C (Fig. 9a) from December 2009 to March 2010 (Fig. 10). However, these changes do not much differ from the general seasonal SST variability derived from satellite observations (Fig. 9a).

The scenario of nutrient limitation within the surface water of the eddy is supported by elevated fluxes of BSi and organic carbon in February-March 2010 and agrees with chlorophyll decreases between November/December 2009 and between January/February 2010 (Fig. 1). However, since the sampling resolution ~~of the sampling cups~~ is rather low (29 days),~~ so that~~ the traps cannot truly capture the high~~ly~~ temporal dynamic biogeochemistry within the eddy and the resulting export fluxes, which may fluctuate within days and weeks. Secondly, variable settling rates of different ~~larger~~ sized particles produced in the surface and subsurface waters of the eddy makes it difficult to estimate the variable time lags between the responsible processes in the upper water column and the arrival of the flux signature in the bathypelagic traps. ~~and the responsible processes in the surface and subsurface waters~~.

The $\delta^{15}N$ ratios of the deep trap samples revealed a stepwise decrease (5.21 to 3.11‰) in winter 2009/10 ~~(5.21 to 3.11‰)~~ during the passage of the ACME as well as ~~nd during~~ in winter 2010-11~~ as well~~ (6.99 to 3.45‰) (Fig. 5c). The general pattern of $\delta^{15}N$ did not reveal any overall relationship between $\delta^{15}N$ ratios and nitrogen or organic carbon fluxes (Fig. 5a, c), as for example described for the oligotrophic Sargasso Sea (BATS, Altabet and Deuser, 1985). These authors found low $\delta^{15}N$ ratios (ca. ~~around~~ 0‰) during times of highest organic carbon fluxes and elevated ratios (up to 3‰) ~~at~~ with low fluxes. ~~For the coastal upwelling in the Benguela Current, Holmes et al. (2002) observed a similar relationship between fluxes and $\delta^{15}N$ ratios.~~ At CVOO-3, nitrogen and carbon fluxes increased and the $\delta^{15}N$ ratios decreased (Fig. 5) when the eddy passed the CVOO site. During the following non-eddy winter, $\delta^{15}N$ ratios were high ~~at the end of 2010~~ (almost 7‰) at slightly elevated ~~N~~ nitrogen flux, later decreasing with decreasing fluxes. Thus, there is no exceptional δ^{15}N pattern during the approach and passage of the ACME in 2010.

~~δ^{15}N ratios of settling particles are mainly dependent on the source water δ^{15}N of nitrogen species utilized by the primary producers , in most cases, nitrate (Ryabenko et al., 2012; Altabet and Deuser, 1985). More specifically, the isotope ratio reflects the degree of nitrate utilization (Mariotti et al., 1982), rather than absolute nitrate concentrations. Values of DIN in the OMZs of the Atlantic were 5.4‰ on average, those from AAIW around 5.5‰ (Ryabenko et al., 2012), both close to global averages (Liu and Kaplan, 1989). Phytoplankton preferentially takes up the lighter isotope during photosynthesis (e.g. Altabet et al., 1991), leaving the remaining nitrate pool enriched in ^{15}N.~~ In general, δ^{15}N is high in temperate oceans after nitrate is depleted due to phytoplankton growth and low in more stable, oligotrophic seas (Saino and Hattori, 1987). Our δ^{15}N record in winter-spring 2009-10 may reflect episodic nutrient injection into the euphotic zone of the ACME (Karstensen et al., 2015b), leading to increased particle formation and fluxes documented in February-March 2010 in the lower trap (Fig. 5c, Fig. 10). This nutrient injection from below can be deduced from a stepwise cooling starting in January 2010 and ending in March, as seen in the $U_{37}^{K'}$ record (Fig. 9a) The higher ~~N~~ nitrogen fluxes were associated with a lowering of δ^{15}N as expected from other studies. ~~The relatively high δ^{15}N value of 5.21‰ in January 2010 (Fig 5c) shortly before the ACME passage could document some depletion in nitrate which may also explain the unusually high molar C:N ratios (18-25) one month later (Fig. 5b).~~

Under low oxygen conditions, denitrification by nitrate-reducing bacteria can affect the isotopic signature of the nitrate pool, leading to a significant enrichment of ^{15}N in the residual nitrate pool relative to a deep water value of around 6‰ (Liu and Kaplan, 1989; Libes and Deuser, 1988). Our generally higher δ^{15}N ratios compared to the oligotrophic Sargasso Sea (Altabet and Deuser, 1985) may be partly explained by the injection of ^{15}N-enriched source waters within the ACME. From high resolution nitrate/oxygen survey in low oxygen eddies, Karstensen et al. (2016) have clear indications for local nutrient recycling in the upper 200 m. A rapid remineralization of the sinking material releases dissolved nitrate at shallow depth while, in turn, part of this nitrate is returned to the surface layer by upwelling at the edge of the eddy. The upwelled nitrate is then incorporated into the sinking material produced in the surface layer of the eddy. As a consequence, one nitrate molecule can be used several times in the remineralization process, which will not only lower the oxygen in the eddy core (underneath the productive zone) but potentially also enriches carbon. The single depth oxygen observations show minimal values below 2 µmol kg^{-1} in the eddy (Karstensen et al. 2015) and it is not unlikely that denitrification in the eddy also alters the δ^{15}N to more positive values in the nitrate source waters (Liu and Kaplan, 1989). Löscher et al. (2015b) found transcription of the key gene for denitrification in a low oxygen ACME in the Cape Verde region studied in 2014.

The vertical distribution of many coccolithophore species is often controlled by upper photic-zone temperature and water stratification (e.g. Jordan and Chamberlain, 1997; Hagino et al., 2000). In particular, *E. huxleyi* is known to preferentially thrive in more turbulent and nutrient-enriched waters as found in upwelling areas or coastal regions (e.g., Haidar and Thierstein, 2001; Hagino and Okada, 2006; Boeckel and Baumann, 2008). Thus, the increasing fluxes during February-March 2010 (Fig. 8a) correspond well to nutrient-enriched conditions during this time interval or somewhat before. Alkenones, synthesized by planktonic algae such as coccolithophorids show a peak in flux during this time interval (Fig. 9). These observations correspond to nutrient measurements conducted in the low oxygen ACME in 2014 (Fiedler et al., 2016). The coccolithophore flora in the upper photic zone (UPZ) down to about 40-60 m is often composed of *Umbellosphaera tenuis, U. irregularis,* and *Discosphaera tubifera*, adapted to warm temperatures and low nutrient levels (e.g., Honjo and Okada, 1974; Hagino *et al.*, 2000; Malinverno *et al.*, 2003; Boeckel and Baumann, 2008). The same pattern is displayed by *Rhabdosphaera clavigera*, *R. stylifer* and *Syracosphaera pulchra*, all of which are non-placoliths known to prefer stable stratified waters (Hagino *et al.*, 2000). All these latter three species show a rather similar pattern with slightly increased fluxes in February-March 2010 when the ACME passed. The species *F. profunda*, *G. flabellatus* are well established as species belonging to the lower-photic zone community (e.g., Honjo and Okada, 1974; Takahashi and Okada, 2000; Andruleit et al., 2003). In particular, *F. profunda* is known to occur exclusively in the deep photic zone (ca. 40-200 m), typically occurring at maximum abundances below the deep chlorophyll maximum in relatively high abundances (Haidar and Thierstein, 2001). During the ACME passage, we observed an increase in coccolith fluxes in February-March 2010 (Fig. 8a) and slightly less contribution of deeper dwelling species such as *F. profunda and G. flabellatus,* probably due to the suboxia/hypoxia in the deeper water where these species thrive. However, a clear impact of the low oxygen conditons in the ACME on the photosynthetic coccolithophore community cannot be observed.

By comparing the fluxes in winter-early spring 2009-2010 under the influence of the ACME and the suboxia/hypoxia with winter-early spring 2011, when no larger eddy passed the CVOO site, the contribution of the ACME to annual mass flux can be estimated. This estimation does not consider interannual variability of absolute mass fluxes nor changes in seasonality/timing of maxima from year-to-year and therefore has to be regarded as a first approximation. When comparing the organic carbon fluxes of the upper trap for the first four months of both years, we roughly obtain a three-fold increase of organic carbon flux when the eddy passed over the CVOO site compared to an eddy-free year (Fig. 5a). These estimates match rather well with data determined in the low oxygen ACME in 2014 (Löscher et al., 2015b). The latter authors obtained chlorophyll concentrations and carbon uptake rates within the eddy of up to three times as high as in the surrounding waters.

Kommentar [g7]: Shifted from end of chapter 532 to 5.1.

5.2 Origin of hypoxia/suboxia and organic matter preservation within the eddy

Neither the diatom nor the coccolithophore communities showed any significant ~~coastal~~ influence of coastal waters in the collected materials. Given the surface ocean currents to the southwest at the

CVOO site and the proximity to the NW African coast, it is ~~not un~~reasonable to suspect that diatom blooms ~~above the CVOO mooring~~ may have been due to a seed population from coastal waters. The diatom assemblage, however, shows no signature of coastal upwelling and benthic diatoms, as indicators of entrained coastal waters. Low relative contributions of coastal upwelling-related resting spores of *Chaetoceros* (Romero et al., 2002) and a few benthic species, which thrive in near-shore waters above 50 m water depth (Round et al., 1990; Romero et al., 2015), suggests weak transport of plankton communities from near-shore/coastal waters into the pelagial north of the Cape Verde Islands. This east-to-west seaward transport ~~did not~~ neither carr~~y~~ied substantial amounts of microorganisms nor~~ did it~~ vastly contributed to the pool of nutrients in waters overlying the CVOO site. Further evidence is provided by the coastal:pelagic ratio of the diatom assemblage of the upper trap (Fig. 4c). Compared to the values recorded at 200 nm off Cape Blanc (Mauritania, CB trap site), the coastal:pelagic ratio of 20 to 25 at CVOO-3 is lower than values recorded at the CB site. At all times, the dominance of oceanic species at the CVOO-3 site reveals *in situ* diatom production with minor transport from the coastal realm. This indicates that the eddy at the time of its passage at CVOO-3 had significantly altered since its origin at the African coast at around 18°N in summer 2009 (Karstensen et al., 2015). At the origin of the ACME in summer 2009 off the West African coast, suboxia had not existed and oxygen was between 40 and 70µmol kg^{-1} in the depth range of the later suboxic/hypoxic zone (40-170 m) in February 2010 (Karstensen et al., 2015). The severe suboxia/hypoxia in February 2010 therefore developed en-route between summer 2009 and winter 2010. From satellite chlorophyll imagery (Karstensen et al., 2015) and high resolution MODIS data, the ACME approaching the CVOO site showed a decrease in chlorophyll between November/December 2009 and January and again between January and February 2010 (Fig. 1). In February 2010~~, only~~ ~~an unclear and~~ a ring-like structure of ~~slightly elevated but still~~ rather low chlorophyll of approximately the size of the ACME remained within the oligotrophic surrounding area (Fig. 1a). However, a general high cloud cover renders satellite-based estimates difficult.

The elevated C:N ratios in February 2010 found at both trap depths may be explained by nutrient limitation and slow growth rates of phytoplankton (e.g. Laws and Bannister, 1980; diatoms and coccolithophores) in the ~~productive~~ surface layer ~~at the beginning of 2010~~ or by nitrogen recycling in the vicinity of the eddy (see chapter 5.1.). This could indicate that sedimentation of biogenic detritus started around the transition 2009-2010, matching the maxima of fluxes in February-March (Figs. 3-5, 10). Using conservative estimates of particle settling rates of 200 m d^{-1}, about 1-3 weeks are needed for sinking particles to travel down to the bathypelagic traps. The sinking detritus from the surface waters ~~production was likely to have~~ contributed to a lowering of the oxygen content in the upper layer of the eddy (“open ocean dead zone”; Karstensen et al. 2015). Consumption rates in these eddies and~~ for~~ in the upper layer, where oxygen minima are created, have found to be 3-5 times higher than in surrounding waters (Karstensen et al. 2015; Schütte et al. 2016; Fiedler et al. 2016). Considering the chlorophyll decrease at the transition 2009-10 (Fig. 1), we assume that the severe suboxia within the eddy was reached at the end of 2009/beginning 2010 due to particle remineralization (Fig. 10). ~~During the westward passage of eddy, high chlorophyll standing stocks could be noticed until the beginning of January 2010 which should lead to the formation of larger settling particles. These organic-rich particles could have reduced oxygen concentrations within the westward moving eddy until the turn of 2009-2010.~~

Screening of the samples #1–8 of the upper trap for the presence of unique membrane lipids of anammox bacteria, so-called ladderanes (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2002) did not provide evidence for the presence of such compounds during the ACME passage. Using the analytical protocol described above we could not detect biomarkers related to a pigment of the photosynthetic green sulphur bacteria *Chlorobiaceae*, isorenieratene and its derivatives, all indicative of photic zone anoxia (e.g. Koopmans et al., 1996). Thus, evidence for bacterial communities detected in Oxygen Minimum Zones (OMZs) including green sulfur bacteria and anammox bacteria (see summary in Löscher et al. (2015a) could not be found with our methods. However, detection of these compounds requires the respective bacterial stocks to be present in concentrations above a certain detection threshold and/or an effective export mechanism for them leading to incorporation into sinking particles. Although one might expect these compounds to be present during the ACME passage when low oxygen conditions prevailed in the subsurface waters (Löscher et al., 2015a), it is likely the populations ~~of the organisms~~ have not reached significant levels, because suboxic conditions just recently developed within the eddy. Another possible explanation is that the bacteria were present in the suboxic zone of the eddy, but did not interact and were not attached to the fast sinking organic-rich particles, which originated in the surface layer and later constituted the mass flux.

Kommentar [g8]: Changes, S. Schouten

No signs of dissolution in sinking calcareous particles i.e. coccolithophores or foraminifera are seen, which might have occurred due to reduced pH within the suboxic/hypoxic parts of the eddy. The low oxygen ACME waters surveyed in 2014 had a pH of about 7.6 (Fiedler et al., 2016). No clear signs of carbonate dissolution could either point to a rapid transport of sinking carbonate particles through the suboxic/hypoxic water column of the ACME as outlined above or to some protection of carbonate particles by periotrophic membranes of fecal pellets or both.

5.3 Mineral dust and particle settling rates

In general, both traps revealed similar flux signals with maxima around February to March 2010 (Figs. 3-5, 10), matching ~~and coinciding with~~ the ACME passage~~ of the ACME~~, ~~with elevated biomass in the surface ocean over the CVOO-3 site (Fig. 1).~~ Considering the synchronicity of peaks in BSi and other bulk components, a fast vertical transport of the surface particle flux signature into the meso- and bathypelagic is expected within the eddy. Given the 29 day sampling interval of the traps, the particle settling rate for the bathypelagic water column should at least reach 150 m d^{-1}, applying the methods described in Fischer and Karakas (2009) and Berelson (2002). Fischer and Karakas (2009) provided a compilation of particle settling rates with a mean of 235±71 m d^{-1}, using several particle flux patterns from the mesotrophic Cape Blanc moorings, located ~~directly~~ in the EBUEs and hence, ~~much~~ closer to the coast. For the sediment trap mooring sites south of the Cape Verdes (CV 1-2; Ratmeyer et al., 1999), an even higher mean sinking speed of 416 m d^{-1} was estimated (Fischer and Karakas 2009). The latter authors argued that high organic carbon fluxes in the Canary Current compared to other EBUEs are at least partly due to high particle settling rates, which result in low carbon respiration rates (Iversen and Ploug, 2010), most probably favored by a high ballast content ~~such as mineral dust~~.

Deep trap organic carbon fluxes plotted versus the fluxes of lithogenic material (=mineral dust) provided an exceptionally good empirical relationship (r^2=0.97; N=17, Fig. 7)~~, which we~~ never observed before off NW Africa (e.g. Fischer et al., 2010). For the upper trap samples, the correlation coefficient was lower (r^2=0.63, N=18) but still statistically significant. This relationship, however, does not explain the complex processes involved in the formation of larger and fast sinking settling particles in the surface and subsurface waters and the interaction of biogenic with non-biogenic particles. Le Moigne et al. (2014) pointed out that mineral ballast is not equally important for export in the ocean, but may be dependent on local ecology as well. Lab experiments with roller tanks and ballast minerals, however, clearly indicate the importance of mineral ballast for increasing sinking rates and lower carbon degradation within marine snow aggregates off NW Africa (Ploug et al., 2008; Iversen and Ploug, 2010). Additional evidence is provided by observations gained during a field campaign in winter 2012 off Cape Blanc (eutrophic site CBi).~~:~~ ~~h~~Higher organic carbon fluxes at 100 and 400 m water depths using drifting traps were recorded, matching faster particle settling rates after a 1-2 days~~,~~ low~~-~~-altitude dust storm event (Iversen et al., unpubl. data~~in prep.~~). A simulated dust-deposition event in a large mesocosm showed increased organic carbon fluxes as well (Bressac et al., 2014).

Besides the question of the development of suboxia/hypoxia within the eddy discussed above, the causes of enhanced sedimentation of biogenic detritus in February-March are unclear. From our field studies in the Cape Blanc area (e.g. Fischer and Karakas, 2009) and lab studies with *in situ* chlorophyll and mineral dust (e.g. Iversen, unpubl.; van der Jagt, unpubl.), we speculate that Saharan mineral dust ~~which~~ preferentially settl~~es~~ing in winter in the Cape Blanc and Cape Verde ocean area (e.g. Gama et al., 2015) ~~could~~ might have contributed or even initiated particle settling via ballasting of organic-rich aggregates (Ploug et al., 2008; Iversen and Ploug, 2010; Iversen and Robert, 2015) produced within the chlorophyll enriched eddy. Some effect on particle production and fluxes by fertilization due to the input of macro-nutrients by dust (e.g. nitrogen; Fomba et al., 2014) via dust cannot be excluded.

The co-variation of ~~F~~fluxes of organic carbon and mineral dust ~~co-varied~~ (Fig. 6) suggests ~~which means~~ that both components settled in close association into the bathypelagial~~e~~. In the high dust region south of the Cape Verdes, Ratmeyer et al. (1999) obtained correlation coefficients of ca. 0.6 between lithogenic material (=dust) and organic carbon in the deep trap ~~s~~samples.. Time-series of aerosol optical thickness (AOT, 869 nm, 9 km resolution) from MODIS did show unexpectedly high values for dust concentration in the atmosphere above a 1° or 4° grid over the CVOO site in early 2010. However, the AOT did not provide a true dust deposition rate at the ocean surface in winter 2010 at the study site. At the Cape Verde Islands, Fomba et al. (2014) and Gama et al. (2015) found highest aerosol/dust concentrations during winter with distinct peaks between January and March when the eddy ~~with increased chlorophyll and primary production~~ approached and passed the CVOO site. However, extraordinarily high dust concentrations early in 2010 were not recorded (Fomba et al., 2014). ~~We assume that some dust deposition at the ocean surface combined with elevated chlorophyll within the eddy, could have resulted in the particle flux signature in February-March 2010.~~

~~By comparing the fluxes in winter-early spring 2009-2010 under the influence of the ACME and the suboxia/hypoxia with winter-early spring 2011, when no larger eddy passed the CVOO site, the contribution of the ACME to annual mass flux can be estimated. This estimation does not consider interannual variability of absolute mass fluxes nor changes in seasonality/timing of maxima from year-to-year and therefore has to be regarded as a first estimation. When comparing the organic carbon fluxes of the upper trap for the first four months of both years, we roughly obtain a three-fold increase in carbon flux when the eddy passed over the CVOO site compared to an eddy-free year (Fig. 5a). These estimates match rather well with data determined in the low oxygen ACME in 2014 (Löscher et al., 2015b). These authors obtained chlorophyll concentrations and carbon uptake rates within the eddy of up to three times as high as in the surrounding waters.~~

Kommentar [g9]: Shifted to end of 5.1.

5.4 Zooplankton within the eddy and organic carbon degradation

Acoustic backscatter data suggest that at least some zooplankters reduced their diel vertical migration behavior in the low oxygen eddies (Karstensen et al. 2015, Hauss et al. 2016) but also in large scale open ocean OMZs (e.g. Ayon et al., 2008). Mobile zooplankton such as certain copepods may escape from the low oxygen eddy core (e.g. the ACME 2010), while certain less mobile protozoa such as planktonic foraminifera, may be encountered by the suboxia, die and settle down. In a low oxygen eddy observed in spring 2014 at CVOO, acoustic backscatter data and multinet sampling indicated a compression of zooplankters in the surface waters with a high abundance of calanoid copepods and euphausiids (Hauss et al., 2016). This suggests a high grazing pressure on these organisms in the surface layer during eddy passage.

The flux patterns of planktonic foraminifera revealed a clear peak flux in February 2010 in the lower trap, matching the passage of the suboxic eddy. The subsurface (50-100 m water depth) dweller *Globorotalia menardii* largely responsible for this flux peak in the upper trap in February 2010 (Fig. 8d), is a tropical to subtropical, non-spinose species with changing depths habitats (Hemleben et al. 1989). We assume that the oxygen within the ACME became too low in early 2010 and the more or less immobile *G. menardii* died, resulting in sedimentation and elevated fluxes in both trap levels. Foraminifera are generally assumed to settle with high rates of several hundreds to a few thousand meters per day (Kucera, 2007), thus, a clear flux signal without time delay is expected in the two bathypelagic traps. The near-surface dwellers *Globigerinoides ruber pink* and *white* and *Globgerinoides sacculifer,* on the other side, showed a clear decline in flux in February 2010 in both trap samples (Fig. 8b, c), contributing to reduced total carbonate fluxes (Fig. 7). This pattern might be due to the shoaling of the mixed layer base from 50-60 m to about 20 m (Karstensen et al., 2015) and a decrease in SST (Fig. 9) during ACME passage (Fig. 10). Foraminifera trapped in the uppermost water layer might have suffered from a high grazing pressure because of the low oxygen eddy core below. The foraminiferal peaks in the deeper trap in April-June 2010 were mostly due to high fluxes of *G. sacculifer* that followed the eddy passage. The increase of foraminiferal flux at both depths in April-June may represent a return to regular (non-eddy) conditions and a recovery/deepening of the mixed layer (Fig. 10). The actively migrating pteropods (Chang and Yen, 2012) show some decrease in the fluxes in February-March 2010 at both bathypelagic depths (Fig. 7b). This can be explained by the escape from the low oxygen zone of the approaching eddy and some sedimentation elsewhere.

Missing diel migration of a number of zooplankton groups due to the passage of the suboxic eddy (Karstensen et al., 2015; Hauss et al., 2016) could have resulted in less organic matter degradation of sinking particles due to reduced ‘flux feeding’ within the suboxic/hypoxic zone (around 40-170 m). This depth range is the most active zone in terms of organic carbon turnover under normal conditions with sufficient oxygen (e.g. Iversen et al., 2010; Hedges, 1992). ‘Flux feeding’ may account for a large part of organic carbon degradation in the uppermost few hundred meters of the water column and determine the shape of the carbon attenuation curve (Iversen et al., 2010), although quantitative estimates are lacking. Under oxic conditions, overall carbon-specific respiration due to microbial degradation is estimated to be 0.13 d^{-1} in the uppermost ocean (Iversen and Ploug, 2010, 2013; Iversen et al., 2010~~; Iversen and Ploug, 2013~~), independent of particle size and type. It is likely that the severe hypoxia/suboxia reduced both oxic microbial respiration and zooplankton ‘flux feeding’. As a result, the organic carbon flux to greater depths might have increased.

5.5- *Increase of mass fluxes with depths and flux focusing*

Kommentar [g10]: Chapter has been moved to the end (rev #1) and renamed (rev #2). Flux focusing at the end! Rev #2

There is a significant increase in all bulk flux components ~~mass fluxes~~ with depth from December 2009 to May 2010.~~, a common feature of many ocean areas, in particular at near-continental margins sites (e.g. Neuer et al., 2002; Honjo et al., 2008; Fischer et al. 2009b). At the open ocean site CVOO-3, however,~~ ~~t~~The organic carbon fluxes were ~~more than~~ twice~~ as~~ higher in the deep ~~trap compared to~~ than in the upper trap and well correlated ~~with~~ (r²=0.70). BSi flux was more than three-fold higher at greater depth (correlated coefficient r²=0.91) during the eddy passage. The flux of coccoliths increased with depth by three-fold was well. For organic carbon, an overall decrease in flux with depth has to be expected (when excluding lateral advection), following an exponential equation in classical oceanic settings with sufficient oxygen in the water column (see summary in Boyd and Trull, 2007). Depending on the vertical shear and the predominant velocity direction at different depth, it is not unlikely that deeper sample more material than shallower traps (Siegel and Deuser 1997). ~~Assuming a rather conservative settling rate of 200 m d^{-1} for particles with high ballast content (see Fischer and~~

~~Karakas, 2009), we obtain catchment areas with a length scale of around 300 km for the upper trap and 400 km for the lower one when using particle trajectories from the Sargasso Sea (Siegel and Deuser, 1997).~~

The mean currents at the CVOO site were sluggish with monthly mean velocities between 2 to 6 cm s^{-1} (equivalent to 1.5 to 5.1 km d^{-1}) for the RCM at thermocline depth (588 m) as well as for the upper trap, and thus being in the rage of the propagation speed of the eddies (Schütte et al. 2016). For the lower trap, values were below 2 cm s^{-1} (1.7 km d^{-1}), also considering velocity data from previous deployments (March 2008 to October 2009, not shown here). Assuming a particle settling rate of 100 m d^{-1} and sluggish lateral flux (2 km d^{-1}), the setting of a particle through a 3500 m water column will take about 35 days and the material is displaced by less than 100 km. However, not only the speed but the flow direction is of particular importance if the particle generation sites are characterized by spatial heterogeneity. We assume two primary source regions, the coastal upwelling region off West Africa approximately 300 to 700 km to the east of CVOO (Fig. 1) and the local productivity oasis related to the eddy. Comparing the progressive vector diagrams (PVD) from three depths at CVOO-3 for the period Dec 2009 to May 2010, it is evident that the RCM in the thermocline (Fig. 2a) and the RCM close to the upper trap (Fig. 2b) are under the impact of a meridional transport from the south before and also after the eddy crossed the CVOO. In contrast, the lower trap with its higher catchment area was impacted by a more zonal transport from the east (Fig. 2c) and, thus from where the eddy approached. Unfortunately, because of the rotor failure of the lower trap RCM, it is unclear how far the catchment area extended.

Kommentar [g11]: Chapter partly rewritten

During the eddy passage, all three RCMs show varying currents, dominated by the local circulation associated with the eddy (Fig. 2). The nearest and most probable additional particle source area for the deep trap to the east and northeast is the approaching ACME. The flux within the eddy could therefore be laterally transported by the prevailing current system towards the deep trap (Fig. 2c), whereas the upper trap received material from the south (Fig. 2a, b). If this differential transport can account for a 2-3 fold increase in bulk mass fluxes cannot be proven from the existing data. Considering the tight coupling of mineral dust fluxes with organic carbon fluxes for the deep trap samples (Fig. 6), it seems reasonable to assume that a particle focusing (concentration) mechanism contributed to the observed increase of fluxes with depth. Fine dust particles may move upwards within the eddy and interact with organic–rich particles to form marine snow aggregates. On the other hand, the eddy may operate as a twisted funnel with some downward movement of larger particles e.g. at the eddy's boundary in its deeper part. There is a clear need for detailed studies on particle transport processes within different types of eddies and particle trajectories of approaching eddies (e.g. via Lagrangian particle experiments in numerical model velocity fields).

Kommentar [g12]: Additonal chapter on particle focussing, rev #2

6 Summary

The impact of the passage of an anticyclonic modewater eddy (ACME) on the particle fluxes at the CVOO mooring site has been investigated from time series sediment trap data from December 2009 to May 2011. The eddy passage over site CVOO was recorded in February 2010 and characterized by very low (<2 µmol l^{-1}) dissolved oxygen concentrations observed at very shallow depth (about 40 m, Karstensen et al., 2015). From the reconstructed propagation path of the eddy (Karstensen et al. 2015), satellite derived chlorophyll maps reveal surface signatures of high chlorophyll standing stocks within the eddy (Fig. 1). With respect to the particle flux signatures, we identified a number of important processes and associated responses in the bathypelagic ocean (Fig. 10):

- compared to a non-eddy year 2011, BSi, diatoms and organic carbon fluxes increased and seasonality was unusually high in winter-spring 2010 when the ACME passed, ~~compared to 2011 during a non-eddy year~~,
- organic carbon flux corresponded well to the lithogenic (mineral dust) flux, mainly in the deep trap. This suggests a close coupling between the eolian input and the biological pump,
- bulk mass fluxes were 2-3 fold higher in the deep compared to the upper trap. The predominant flow direction at the two depths may in part explain the discrepancy, but other mechanisms, e.g. particle focusing processes have to be considered as well,
- diatoms showed no signature from coastal environments~~but were mostly of pelagic origin~~, suggesting a pronounced alteration since the eddy formation at the West African coast in summer 2009,
- molar C:N ratios of organic matter were unusually high (18-25) in February 2010. Nutrient (nitrate) limitation might have occurred in the euphotic zone in the beginning of 2010. However, there is some evidence for local nitrogen recycling (Karstensen et al. 2016) which may increase the C:N ratios as well. Moreover, local denitrification in the low oxygen eddy core (e.g. Löscher et al., 2015a) could contribute to increasing C:N ratios,
- biomarker~~ studie~~s provided no evidence of green sulfur bacteria nor bacteria characteristic for anammox, both of which might be present under suboxic conditions or in OMZs,
- δ^{15}N ratios declined from 5.2‰ to 3.1‰ from January to March 2010 during the approaching and passing ACME, combined with a peak in nitrogen flux in February-March 2010,
- carbonate fluxes decreased in February 2010, mainly due to declining fluxes of pteropods ~~fluxes~~ and ~~fluxes of~~ near-surface dwelling planktonic foraminifera. Flux peaks of the subsurface ~~Subsurface~~ dwellers~~such as~~ *G. menardii*~~, however, showed distinct flux peaks in both traps~~ in February 2010 ~~(Fig. 10),~~ was -most probably due to the development of low oxygen conditions in the subsurface waters of the ACME,
- coccolithophores as major primary carbonate producers appear to be less affected by the ACME passage. Surface-near *E. huxleyi* and other species showed ~~an~~ increased~~ in~~ fluxes in February 2010, ~~the deeper living *F. produnda* reduced their contribution slightly,~~
- particle sedimentation out of the ACME might have occurred due to nutrient exhaustion and/or deposition of mineral dust in December 2009 and January 2010,

- ~~we have~~ no indication of ~~any~~ carbonate dissolution due to a reduced pH (~7.6, Fiedler et al., 2015) within the suboxic/hypoxic parts of the ACME is observed~~through which the particles have to sink~~,
- sinking detritus and organic matter degradation might have contributed to the severe suboxia/hypoxia in February 2010 in the subsurface waters. We assume that the severe suboxia began early 2010,
- reduced daily migrating zooplankton ~~is reduced~~ in low oxygen eddies (Karstensen et al., 2015, Hauss et al. 2016) ~~which should~~ might have resulted in less organic matter degradation due to missing 'flux feeding'. This could have ~~resulted~~ caused ~~in~~ less organic carbon flux attenuation and, thus, a higher bathypelagic organic carbon flux.

7 Conclusions and outlook

The passage of a suboxic ACME across the CVOO site may be considered as a natural experiment or open-ocean 'mesocosm' with respect to particle sedimentation. ~~Oceanic o~~Oxygen levels in the future oceans might decrease significantly and develop into OMZs due to increasing global warming and ~~increased~~ water column stratification (e.g. Stramma et al., 2008, 2010; Codispoti, 2010; Löscher et al., 2015a). These potential changes may in turn influence the nitrogen cycle and the operation of the biological pump, e.g. via a better preservation of organic materials due to reduced or non-existing microbial respiration (Iversen and Ploug, 2010) combined with reduced zooplankton activities (reduced 'flux feeding') within ~~the~~ developing OMZs. Such processes could enhance marine CO$_2$ sequestration and operate as a negative feedback on global warming.

More intense studies on suboxic eddies could help to better understand warm periods in the Earth's history (e.g. the Upper Cretaceous) when OMZs were widely expanded and black shales ~~with high organic carbon contents have been~~ deposited (e.g. Takashima et al., 2006; Schönfeld et al., 2015). This study ~~may~~ contributes to the unsolved question of production versus preservation of organic debris when trying to explain the origin of black shales and oil source rocks in the Earth's history (e.g. Calvert, 1987). In addition, sedimentation signals with erratic character such as peaks in large diatoms in pelagic sediments below oligotrophic areas of the world ocean (e.g. *Ethmodiscus* rex in South Atlantic, Romero and Schmieder, 2006) might be explained by processes occurring within recurring eddies. ~~For instance, the Agulhas current system with its retroflection zone releases continuously large numbers of different types of eddies both into the northwest into the South Atlantic and the Southern Ocean.~~ For instance, eddies released from the Agulhas current system may serve as productive oasis within generally oligotrophic ocean deserts and become suboxic/hypoxic at some time. This oxygen depletion may depend on the amount and type of organic-rich marine snow particles being remineralized in the subsurface waters (e.g. Löscher et al., 2015b; Karstensen et al., 2015) and on specific eddy physics as well.

~~mongo~~Other~~s,~~ remaining questions concerning the processes within suboxic/hypoxic eddies are:

(1) which processes trigger sedimentation? Are eddies characterized by pulsed sedimentation or quasi-continuous particle rain ?

(2) how frequent are these eddies on a global scale and which processes contribute to the development of hypoxia/suboxia in the subsurface waters ?

(3) what is the impact of the different groups of zooplankton (tolerating different oxygen levels) on particle flux and export within the eddies ?

(4) what is the contribution of different types of eddies (e.g. on an annual/seasonal basis) to carbon export and sedimentation at certain key locations and on a global scale ?

Further studies are required for a better knowledge of eddy-induced processes in the surface (production) and subsurface waters (preservation), e.g. the observation and study of eddies when developing to suboxia/hypoxia, the changing N-cycle, combined with measurements including the export into the epipelagic and the upper mesopelagic. The latter could be achieved for instance with free-drifting sediment traps equipped with optical instruments and/or neutrally buoyant sediment traps (e.g. Buesseler et al., 2007). In doing so, we can study organic carbon production versus degradation processes with oxygen minima in more detail.

Author contribution

G. Fischer wrote the ms, together with the co-authors, J. Karstensen designed the mooring, analyzed the current meter data and contributed to writing as well, O. Romero studied the diatoms and contributed to the discussion, K.-H. Baumann studied the coccolithophores, B. Donner the planktonic foraminifera, J. Hefter and G. Mollenhauer measured and interpreted the lipid biomarkers, M. Iversen investigated the zooplankton, B. Fielder the nitrogen cycle, I. Monteiro did the biogeochemistry at CVOO. A. Körtzinger is coordinating the entire program and contributed to the discussion.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to G. Niehus and U. Papenburg (GEOMAR) for their help and the deployment of the mooring arrays at CVOO. We would like to thank the crews, masters and chief scientist Peter Brandt of l'Atalante 2008, MSM Merian 18/2 and RV Meteor 80/1 (all GEOMAR). At Marum, G. Ruhland and M. Klann were responsible for the preparation of the sediment traps and laboratory work. At the ZMT (Leibniz Center of Tropical Marine Ecology, Bremen), Dorothee Dasbach measured the stable nitrogen ratios, thanks also go to Birgit Mayer-Schack (marum) for sample preparation. This work was funded through the DFG-Research Centers/Clusters of Excellence „The Ocean in the Earth System" at the Marum Centre of Marine Environmental Research, Bremen University and „The Future Ocean" at the CAU, Kiel. Additional support was provided by the DFG Collaborate Research Centre 754 (www.sfb754.de). Satellite data was made available from MODIS website (http://oceancolor.gsfc.nasa.gov/). CVOO is part of the OceanSITES network. We thank F. LeMoigne for detailed comments. Finally, we thank the reviewers C. Panagiotopoulos and D. Archer for constructive comments, suggestions and ideas to improve the manuscript. Thanks also to the associate editor of BG Special Issue (L. Cotrim da Cunha) for handling the manuscript.

References

Altabet M., and Deuser, W.G.: Seasonal variations in natural abundance of ^{15}N in particles sinking to the deep Sargasso Sea. Nature, 315, 218-219, 1985.

Andruleit, H., Stäger, S.a and Rogalle, U.: Living coccolithophores in the northern Arabian Sea: ecological tolerances and environmental control. Marine Micropal., 49, 157-181, 2003.

Ansmann, A., Petzold, A., Kandler, K., Tegen, I., Wendisch, M., Müller, D., Weinzierl, B., Müller, T., and Heintzenberg, J.: Saharan Mineral dust experiments SAMUM-1 and SAMUM-2: what have we learned? Tellus, 63B, 403-429, 2011.

Armstrong, R.A., Lee, C., Hedges, J.I., Honjo, S., and Wakeham, S.G.: A new, mechanistic model of organic carbon fluxes in the ocean based on the quantitative association of POC with ballast minerals, Deep-Sea Res. II, 49, 219-236., 2002.

Ayon, P., Criales-Hernandez, M.I., Schwamborn, R., and Hirche, H.-J.: Zooplankton research off Peru: a review. Prog. Oceanog., 79, 238-255, 2008.

Barton, E.D., Arístegui, J., Tett, P., Cantón, M., García-Braun, J., Hernández-León, S., Nykjaer, L., Almeida, C., Almunia, J., Ballesteros, S., Basterretxea, G., Escánez, J., García-Weill, L., Hernández-Guerra, A., López-Laatzen, F., Molina, P., Montero, M.F., Navarro-Pérez, E., Rodriguez, J.M., van Lenning, K., Vélez, H., and Wild, K.: Eastern Boundary of the North Atlantic: Northwest Africa and Iberia. In: The Global Coastal Ocean, edited by Robinson, A.R., and Brink, K. John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Weinheim, Brisbane, Singapore, Toronto, Vol. 11, 29–67, 1998.

Boeckel, B., and Baumann, K.-H.: Vertical and lateral variations in coccolithophore community structure across the subtropical frontal zone in the South Atlantic Ocean. Marine Micropal., 67, 255-273, 2008.

Benitez-Nelson, C.R., and McGullicuddy, D.J.: Mesoscale physical-biological-biogeochemical linkages in the open ocean: An introduction to the results of the E-Flux and EDDIES programs. Deep Sea Res. I, 55, 1133-1138, 2008.

Berelson, W.M.: Particle settling rates increase with depth in the ocean. Deep Sea Res. II, Topical Studies in Oceanography, 49, 237-251, 2002.

Berger, W.H., and Wefer, G.: Export production: seasonality and intermittency, and paleoceanographic implications, Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol., 89, 245-254, 1990.

Bory, A., Jeandel, C., Leblond, N., Vangriesheim, A., Khripounoff, A., Beaufort, L., Rabouille, C., Nicolas, E., Tachikawa, K., Etcheber, H., and Buat-Menard, P.: Particle flux within different productivity regimes off the Mauritanian upwelling zone (EUMELI program), Deep-Sea Res. II, 48, 2251-2282, 2001.

Boyd, P.W., and Trull, T.W.: Understanding the export of biogenic particles in oceanic waters: is there a consensus? Prog. Oceanogr., 72, 4, 276-312, 2007.

Bressac, M., Gieu, C., Doraxan, D., Bourrin, F., Desboeufs, K., Leblond, N. and C. Ridame: Quantification of the lithogenic pump following a dust deposition event, Biogeosciences, 11, 1007-1020, 2014.

Buesseler, K.O., Antia, A.A., Chen, M., Fowler, S.W., Gardner, W.D., Gustafsson, O., Harada, K., Michaels, A.F., Rutgers van der Loeff, M., Sarin, M., Steinberg, D.K., and Trull, T.: An assessment of the use of sediment traps for estimating upper ocean particle fluxes. J. Marine Res., 65, 345-416, 2007.

Calvert, S. E.: Oceanographic controls on the accumulation of organic matter in marine sediments, Geol. Soc. S. P., 26, 137–151, doi:10.1144/GSL.SP.1987.026.01.08, 1987.

Chang Y, and Yen, J.: Swimming in the Intermediate Reynolds Range: Kinematics of the Pteropod *Limacina helicina*. Integr. Comp. Biol. (2012) 52 (5), 597-615. doi: 10.1093/icb/ics113, 2012.

Chelton, D.B., Gaube, P., Schlax, M.G., Early, J.J., and Samelson, R.M.: The influence of nonlinear mesoscale eddies on near-surface chlorophyll, Science, 334, 328-332, doi:10.1126/science.1208897, 2011.

Codispoti, L. A.: Interesting times for marine N_2O, Science, 327, 1339–1340, doi:10.1126/science.1184945, 2010.

Conte, M. H., Sicre, M.-A., Rühlemann, C., Weber, J.C., Schulte, S., Schulz-Bull, D., and Blanz, T.: Global temperature calibration of the alkenone unsaturation index ($U_{37}^{K'}$) in surface waters and comparison with surface sediments, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 7, Q02005, doi:10.1029/2005GC001054, 2006.

Cropper, T.E., Hanna, E., and Bigg, G.R.: Spatial and temporal seasonal trends in coastal upwelling off Northwest Africa, 1981–2012. Deep-Sea Res. II, 86, 94–111, 2014.

Eltgroth, M.L., Watwood, R.L., and Wolfe, G.V.: Production and cellular localization of neutral long-chain lipids in the haptophyte algae *Isochrysis galbana* and *Emiliania huxleyi*. J. Phycol., 41, 1000–1009, 2005.

Ewart, C.S., Meyers, M.K., Wallner, E.R., McGillicuddy, Jr., D.J., and Carlson, C.A.: Microéial Dynamics in Cyclonic and Anticyclonic Mode-Water Eddies in the Northwestern Sargasso Sea. Deep-Sea Res.II, 55, 1334-1347, 2008.

Fiedler, B., Grundle, D., Schütte, F., Karstensen, J., Löscher, C. R., Hauss, H., Wagner, H., Loginova, A., Kiko, R., Silva, P., and Körtzinger, A.: Oxygen Utilization and Downward Carbon Flux in an Oxygen-Depleted Eddy in the Eastern Tropical North Atlantic, Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2016-23, in review, 2016.

Fischer, G., and Wefer, G.: Sampling, preparation and analysis of marine particulate matter. In. Marine particles: Analysis and Characterization, edited by Hurd, D.C, and Spencer, D.W., AGU Monograph Series, 63, Washington, DC, 391-397, 1991.

Fischer, G., G. Wefer, O. Romero, N. Dittert, V. Ratmeyer and Donner, B.: Transfer of particles into the deep Atlantic and the global Ocean: control of nutrient supply and ballast production. In: The South Atlantic in the Late Quaternary: Reconstruction of material budget and current systems, edited by Wefer, G., S. Mulitza and V. Ratmeyer, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 21-46, 2003.

Fischer, G., Karakas, G., Blaas, M. Ratmeyer, V., Nowald, N., Schlitzer, R., Helmke, P., Davenport, R., Donner, B., Neuer, S., and Wefer. G.: Mineral ballast and particle settling rates in the coastal upwelling system off NW Africa and the South Atlantic. Int. J. Earth Sci., 98, 281-298, doi 10.1007/s00531-007-0234-7, 2009a.

Fischer, G., Reuter, C., Karakas, G., Nowald, N. and Wefer. G.: Offshore advection of particles within the Cape Blanc filament, Mauritania: results from observational and modelling studies. Prog. Oceanogr., 83, 322-330, 2009b.

Fischer, G., and Karakas, G.: Sinking rates and ballast composition of particles in the Atlantic Ocean: implications for the organic carbon fluxes to the deep ocean. Biogeosciences, 6, 85-702, 2009.

Fischer, G., Neuer, S., Davenport, R., Romero, O., Ratmeyer, V., Donner, B., Freudenthal, T., Meggers, H. and Wefer, G.: The Northwest African Margin. In: Carbon and Nutrient Fluxes in Continental Margins: A Global Synthesis, edited by Liu, K .K., Atkinson, L., Quinones, R., and Talaue-McManaus, L., IGBP Book Series, Springer, Berlin, 77-103, 2010.

Fomba, K.W., Müller, K., van Pinxteren, D., Poulain, L., van Pinxteren, M. and Hermann, H.: Long-term chemical characterization of tropical and marine aerosols at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory (CVAO) from 2007 to 2011. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 8883-8904, 2014.

Fréon, P., Arístegui, J., Bertrand, A., Crawford, R.J.M., Field, J.C., Gibbons, M.J., Tam, J., Hutchings, L., Masski, H., Mullon, C., Ramdani, M., Seret, B., and Simier, M.: Functional group biodiversity in Eastern Boundary Upwelling Ecosystems questions the wasp-waist trophic structure. Prog. Oceanogr., 83, 97-106, 2009.

Gama, C., Tchepel, O., Baldasano, J.M., Basart, S., Ferreira, J., Pio, C., Cardoso, J., and Borrego, C.: Seasonal patterns of Saharan dust over the Cape Verde – a combined approach using observations and modelling. Tellus B, 67, 24410, http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v67.24410. 2015.

Goudie, A.S., and Middleton, N.J.: Saharan dust storms: nature and consequences. Earth Sci. Rev., 56, 179-204, 2001.

Hagino, K., Okada, H., and Matsuoka, H.: Spatial dynamics of coccolithophore assemblages in the equatorial western-central Pacific Ocean. Marine Micropal., 39, 53-57, 2000.

Hagino, K., and Okada, H.: Intra- and infra-specific morphological variation in selected coccolithophore species in the equatorial and subequatorial Pacific Ocean. Marine Micropal., 58, 184-206, 2006.

Haidar, A.T., and Thierstein, H.R.: Coccolithophore dynamics off Bermuda (N. Atlantic). Deep-Sea Res. II, 48, 1925-1956, 2001.

Hauss, H., Christiansen, S., Schütte, F., Kiko, R., Edvam Lima, M., Rodrigues, E., Karstensen, J., Löscher, C. R., Körtzinger, A., and Fiedler, B.: Dead zone or oasis in the open ocean? Zooplankton distribution and migration in low-oxygen modewater eddies, Biogeosciences, 13, 1977-1989, doi:10.5194/bg-13-1977-2016, 2016.

Hedges, J.I.: Global biogeochemical cycles: Progress and problems. Marine Chem., 39, 67-93, 1992.

Helmke, P., Romero, O., and Fischer, G.: Northwest African upwelling and its effect on off-shore organic carbon export to the deep sea, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 19, GB4015, doi:10.1029/2004GB002265, 2005.

Hemleben, Ch., Spindler, M. and Anderson, O.R.: Modern Planktonic Foraminifera. Springer Verlag, Heidelberg, New York, 363 p., 1989.

Honjo, S., and Okada, H.: Community structure of coccolithophores in the photic layer of the mid-Pacific. Micropal., 29, 209-230, 1974.

Honjo, S., Manganini, S.J., Krishfield, R.A., and Francois, R.: Particulate organic carbon fluxes to the ocean interior and factors controlling the biological pump. A synthesis of global sediment trap programs since 1983. Prog. Oceanogr., 76 (3), 217-285, 2008.

Ittekkot, V.: The abiotically driven biological pump in the ocean and short-term fluctuations in atmospheric CO_2 contents, Global Planet. Change, 8, 17-25, 1993.

Iversen, M.H., Nowald, N., Ploug, H., Jackson, G.A., Fischer, G.: High resolution profiles of vertical particulate organic matter export off Cape Blanc, Mauritania: Degradation processes and ballasting effects. Deep Sea Res. I, 57 (6), 771-784, 2010.

Iversen, M.H., and Ploug, H.: Ballast minerals and the sinking carbon flux in the ocean: carbon-specific respiration rates and sinking velocity of marine snow aggregates. Biogeosciences 7, 2613-2624, 2010.

Iversen, M.H., and Ploug, H.: Temperature effects on carbon-specific respiration rate and sinking velocity of diatom aggregates - potential implications for deep ocean export processes. Biogeosciences 10 (6), 4073-4085, 2013.

Iversen, M.H, and Robert, M.L.: Ballasting effects of smectite on aggregate formation and export from a natural plankton community. Marine Chem., 175, 18-27, 2015.

Jickells, T.D., Dorling, S., Deuser, W.G., Church, T.M., Armoto, R., amd Prospero, J.M.: Air-borne dust fluxes to a deep water sediment trap in the Sargasso Sea, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 12, 311-320, 1998.

Jickells, T.D., An, Z.N., Andersen, K.K., Baker, A.R., Bergametti, G., Brooks, N., Cao, J.J., Boyd, P.W., Duce, R.A., Hunter, K.A., Kawahata, H., Kubilay, N., laRoche, J., Liss, P.S., Mahowald, N., Prospero, J.M., Ridgwell, A.J., Tegen I., and Torres, R.: Global iron connections between desert dust, ocean biogeochemistry, and climate. Science, 308, 67-71, 2005.

Jordan, R.W., and Chamberlain, A.H.L.: Biodiversity among haptophyte algae. Biodiversity and Conservation, 6, 131-152, 1997.

Karl, D., Christian, J.R., Dore, J.E., Hebel, D.V., Letelier, R.M., Tupas, L.M., Winn, C.D.: Seasonal and interannual variability in primary production and particle flux at Station Aloha. Deep Sea Research II, 43, 539–568, 1996.

Karstensen, J., Fiedler, B., Schütte, F.,Brandt, P., Körtzinger, A., Fischer, G., Zantopp, R., Hahn, J. Visbeck, M., and Wallace, D.: Open ocean dead zones in the tropical North Atlantic Ocean. Biogeosciences, 12, 2597-2605, 2015.

Karstensen, J., Schütte, F., Pietri, A., Krahmann, G., Fiedler, B., Grundle, D., Hauss, H., Körtzinger, A., Löscher, C. R., Testor, P., Vieira, N., and Visbeck, M.: Upwelling and isolation in oxygen-depleted anticyclonic modewater eddies and implications for nitrate cycling, Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2016-34, in review, 2016.

Kaufman, Y.J., Koren, I., Remer, L.A., Tanre´,D., Ginoux, P. and Fan, S.: Dust transport and deposition from the Terra-Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) spacecraft over the Atlantic Ocean. J. Geophys. Res., 110, D10S12, doi:10.1029/2003JD004436, 2005.

Koopmans, M.P:, Koster, J., Van Kaam-Peters, H.M.E., Kenig, F., Schouten, S., Hartgers, W.M., De Leeuw, J.W., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S.: Diagenetic and catagenetic products of isorenieratene: Molecular indicators for photic zone anoxia. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 60 (22), 4467-4496, 1996.

Kremling, K., Lentz, U., Zeitzschell, B., Schulz-Bull, D.E., and Duinker, J.C.: New type of time-series sediment trap for the reliable collection of inorganic and organic trace chemical substances. Rev. Scient. Instr., 67, 4360–4363, 1996.

Kucera, M.: Planktonic Foraminifera as Tracers of Past Oceanic Environments. In: Proxies in Late Cenozoic Paleoceanography, edited by Hillaire-Marcel, C., and de Verna, A., Elsevier, Amsterdam, Vol. 1, 213-262, 2007.

Lampitt, R.S. and Antia, A.N.: Particle flux in deep seas: regional characteristics and temporal variability, Deep-Sea Res. I, 44(8), 1377-1403, 1997.

Laws,E.E., and Bannister, T.T.: Nutrient- and light-limited growth of *Thalassiosira fluviatilis* in continuous culture, with implications for phytoplankton growth in the ocean. Limnol. Oceanogr. 25: 457–473, 1980.

Le Moigne, F.A.C., Pabortsava, K., Marcinko, C.L.J., Martin, P., and R.J. Sanders: Where is mineral ballast important for surface export of particulate organic carbon in the ocean?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 41, doi: doi:101002/2014GL061678, 2014.

Libes S.M., and Deuser, W.G.: The isotopic geochemistry of particulate nitrogen in the Peru Upwelling Area and the Gulf of Maine. Deep-Sea Res. I, 35, 517-533, 1988.

Liu K.K., and Kaplan I.R.: The eastern tropical Pacific as a source of ^{15}N-enriched nitrate in seawater off southern California. Limnol. Oceanogr., 34, 820-830, 1989.

Loebl, M., Cockshutt, A. M., Campbell, D. A., and Finkel, Z. V.: Physiological basis for high resistance to photoinhibition under nitrogen depletion in *Emiliania huxleyi*. Limnol. Oceanogr., 55(5), 2150–2160. doi:10.4319/lo.2010.55.5.2150, 2010.

Löscher, C. R., Bange, H.W., Schmitz, R.A., Callbeck, C.M., Engel, A., Hauss, H., Kanzow, T., Kiko, R., Lavik, G., Loginova, A., Melzner, F., Neulinger, S.C., Pahlow, M., Riebesell, U., Schunck, H., Thomson, S., and Wagner, H.: Water column biogeochemistry of oxygen minimum zones in the eastern tropical North Atlantic and eastern tropical South Pacific Oceans. Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, 4495-4556, 2015a.

Löscher, C. R., Fischer, M. A., Neulinger, S. C., Fiedler, B., Philippi, M., Schütte, F., Singh, A., Hauss, H., Karstensen, J., Körtzinger, A., Künzel, S., and Schmitz, R. A.: Hidden biosphere in an oxygen-deficient Atlantic open-ocean eddy: future implications of ocean deoxygenation on primary production in the eastern tropical North Atlantic, Biogeosciences, 12, 7467-7482, doi:10.5194/bg-12-7467-2015, 2015b.

Luyten, J.R., Pedlowski, J., and Stommel, H.: The ventilated thermocline. J. Phys. Oceanogr., 13, 292-309, 1983.

Malinverno, E., Ziveri, P., and Corselli, C.: Coccolithophorid distribution in the Ionian Sea and its relationship to eastern Mediterranean circulation during late fall to early winter 1997. J. Geophys. Res., 108 (C9), 8115, doi:10.1029/2002JC001346, 2003.

Martiny, A.C., Pham, C.T.A., Primeau, F.W., Vrugt, J.A., Moore,J.K., Levin, S.A., and Lomas, M.W.: Strong latitudinal patterns in the elemental ratios of marine plankton and organic matter. Nature Geoscience, 6, 279-283, 2013.

McGillicuddy, D. J., Anderson, L. A., Bates, N. R., Bibby, T., Buesseler, K. O., Carlson, C. A., Davis, C. S., Ewart, C., Falkowski, P. G., Goldthwait, S. A., Hansell, D. A., Jenkins, W. J., Johnson, R., Kosnyrev, V. K., Ledwell, J. R., Li, Q. P., Siegel, D. A., and Steinberg, D. K.: Eddy/wind interactions stimulate extraordinary mid-ocean plankton blooms, Science, 316, 1021–1026, doi:10.1126/science.1136256, 2007.

McNeil, J.D., Jannasch, H.W., Dickey, T., McGillicuddy, D., Brzezinski, M., and Sakamoto, C.M.: New chemical, bio-optical and physical observations of upper ocean response to the passage of a mesoscale eddy off Bermuda. J.Geophys. Res., Oceans, 104(C7), 15,537–15,548, 1999.

Müller, P.J., and Schneider, R. An automated leaching method for the determination of opal in sediments and particulate matter. Deep Sea Res. I, 40 (3), 425-444, 1993.

Moulin, C., Lambert, C.E., Dulac, F. and Dayan, U.: Control of atmospheric export of dust from North Africa by the North Atlantic Oscillation, Nature, 387(12), 691-694, 1997.

Neuer, S., Cianca, A., Helmke, P., Freudenthal, T., Davenport, R., Meggers, H., Knoll, M., Santana-Casiano, J. M., González-Davila, M., Rueda, M.-J., and Llinás, O.: Biogeochemistry and hydrography in the eastern subtropical North Atlantic gyre. Results from the European time-series station ESTOC, Prog. Oceanogr., 72, 1–29, 2007.

Okada, H., and Honjo, S.: The distribution of oceanic coccolithophorids in the Pacific. Deep-Sea Res. I, 20, 355-374, 1973.

Ploug, H., Iversen, M.H., and Fischer, G.: Ballast, sinking velocity, and apparent diffusivity within marine snow and fecal pellets: Implications for substrate turnover by attached bacteria. Limnol. Oceanogr., 53 (5), 1878-1886, 2008.

Prahl, F. G., and Wakeham, S. G.: Calibration of unsaturation patterns in long-chain ketone compositions for palaeotemperature assessment. Nature, 330, 367–369, 1987.

Prahl, F.G., Wolfe, G.V., and Sparrow, M.A.: Physiological impacts on alkenone paleothermometry. Paleoceanography, Vol. 18, No. 2, 1025, doi:10.1029/2002PA000803, 2003.

Ratmeyer, V., Balzer, W., Bergametti, G., Chiapello, I., Fischer, G., and Wyputta, U.: Seasonal impact of mineral dust on deep-ocean particle flux in the eastern subtropical Atlantic Ocean, Marine Geol., 159, 241-252, 1999.

Redfield, A. C., Ketchum, B.H., and Richards, F.A.: The influence of organisms on the composition of seawater. In: The Sea, edited by Hill, M.N., Vol. 2, Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 26-77, 1963.

Romankevich, E.A.: Geochemistry of OM in the Ocean. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 334 p., 1984.

Romero OE, Lange CB, and Wefer G.: Interannual variability (1988-1991) of siliceous phytoplankton fluxes off northwest Africa. J. Plankton Res., 24,1035-1046, 2002.

Romero, O.E., and Schmieder. F.: Occurrence of thick *Ethmodiscus* oozes associated with a terminal Mid-Pleistocene Transition event in the oligotrophic subtropical South Atlantic. Palaeogeogr., Palaeoclimatol., Palaeoecol., 235, 321– 329, 2006.

Romero, O. E. and Armand, L.K.:Marine diatoms as indicators of modern changes in oceanographic conditions. In: The Diatoms, Applications for the Environmental and Earth Sciences (Second Edition), eidted by Smol, J.P. and Stoermer, E.F., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 373-400, 2010.

Romero, O. Crosta, E.X., Kim, J.-H.,Pichevin, L., and Crespin, J.: Rapid longitudinal migrations of the filament front off Namibia (SE Atlantic) during the past 70 kyr. Global Planet. Change, 125, 1-12., 2015.

Round, F. E., Crawford, R.M., and Mann, D.G.: "The diatoms." Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 747p., 1990.

Saino, T., and Hattori, A.: Geographical variation of the water column distribution of suspended particulate organic nitrogen and its $_{15}$N natural abundance in the Pacific and its marginal seas. Deep-Sea Res. I, 34, 807–827, 1987.

Sancetta C., and Calvert, S.E.: The annual cycle of sedimentation in Saanich Inlet, British Columbia: implications for the interpretation of diatom fossil assemblages. Deep-Sea Res. I., 35,71-90, 1988.

Schäfer, P., and Ittekkot, V.: Seasonal variability in δ^{15}N in settling particles in the Arabian Sea and its paleogeochemical significance. Naturwissenschaften, 80, 511-513, 1983.

Schepanski, K., Tegen, I., and Macke, A.: Saharan dust transport and deposition towards the tropical northern Atlantic. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1173–1189, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1173-2009, 2009.

Schönfeld, J., Kuhnt, W., Erdem, Z., Flögel, S., Glock, N., Aquit, M., Frank, M., and Holbourn, A.: Records of past mid-depth ventilation: Cretaceous ocean anoxic event 2 vs recent oxygen minimum zones. Biogeosciences, 12, 1169-1189, 2015.

Schrader, H.-J., and Gersonde. R.: Diatoms and silicoflagellates. Utrecht Micropaleontological Bulletin. In: Micropaleontological counting methods and techniques - an exercise on an eight meter section of the Lower Pliocene of Capo Rosello, Sicily. edited by Zachariasse, W.J., Riedel, W.R., Sanfilippo, A., Schmidt, R.R., Brolsma, M.J., Schrader, H., Gersonde, R., Drooger, M.M., and Broekman, J.A., C.W. Drooger, Utrecht, 17, 129-176, 1978.

Schütte, F., Brandt, P., and Karstensen, J.: Occurrence and characteristics of mesoscale eddies in the tropical northeast Atlantic Ocean, Ocean Sci. Discuss., 12, 3043-3097, doi:10.5194/osd-12-3043-2015, 2015.

Schütte, F., Karstensen, J., Krahmann, G., Hauss, H., Fiedler, B., Brandt, P., Visbeck, M., and Körtzinger, A.: Characterization of “dead-zone” eddies in the tropical Northeast Atlantic Ocean, Biogeosciences Discuss., doi:10.5194/bg-2016-33, in review, 2016.

Schütz, L., Jaenicke, R., Pietreck, H.: Saharan dust transport over the North Atlantic Ocean: model calculations and measurements. In: Desert Dust, edited by Péwé, T.L., Geol. Soc. Amer. Spec. Publ., 186, 87-100, 1981.

Siegel, D.A. and Deuser, W.G.: Trajectories of sinking particles in the Sargasso Sea: modeling of statistical funnels above deep-ocean sediment traps, Deep-Sea Res. I, 44(9-10), 1519-1541, 1997.

Sikes, E. L., O’Leary, T., Nodder, S. D., and Volkman, J. K.: Alkenone temperature records and biomarker flux at the subtropical front on the Chatham Rise, SW Pacific Ocean. Deep-Sea Res., I, 52, 721–748. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2004.12.003, 2005.

Sinninghe Damste, J,S, Strous, M., Rijpstra, W.I.C., Hopmans, E.C., Geenevasen, J.A.J., Van Duin, A.C.D., Van Niftrik, L.A., and Jettenk, M.S.M.: Linearly concatenated cyclobutane lipids form a dense bacterial membrane. Nature, 419, 708-712, 2002.

Stramma, L., Johnson, G. C., Sprintall, J., and Mohrholz, V.: Expanding oxygen-minimum zones in the Tropical Oceans, Science, 320, 655–658, 2008.

Stramma, L., Schmidtko, S., Levin, L. A., and Johnson, G. C.: Ocean oxygen minima expansions and their biological impacts, Deep-Sea Res. I, 57, 587–595, doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2010.01.005, 2010.

Sweeney, E.N., McGillicuddy, D.J., and Buesseler, K.O.: Biogeochemical impacts due to mesoscale eddy activity in the Sargasso Sea as measured at the Bermuda Atlantic Time Series Study (BATS). Deep-Sea Res. II, 50 (22–26), 3017–3039, 2003.

Takahashi, K., and Okada, H.: Environmental control on the biogeography of modern coccolithophores in the southeastern Indian Ocean offshore of Western Australia. Marine Micropaleontol., 39, 73–86, 2000.

Takashima, R., Hishi, H., Huber, B., and Leckie, M.: Greenhouse world and the Mesozoic ocean. Oceanography, 19: 82–92, 2006.

Tyson, R.V.: Sedimentary OM: organic facies and palynofacies. Chapman and Hall, London, 615 p., 1995.

Van Camp, L., Nykjaer, L., Mittelstaedt, E., and Schlittenhardt, P. Upwelling and boundary circulation off Northwest Africa as depicted by infrared and visible satellite observations. Prog. Oceanogr., 26 (4), 357-402, 1991.

Wagner, T., and Dupont, L.M.: Terrestrial organic matter in marine sediments: analytical approaches and eolian-marine records in the Central Equatorial Atlantic. In: Use of proxies in Paleoceanography: Examples from the South Atlantic, edited by Fischer, G. and Wefer, G., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 547-574, 1999.

Yu E F, Francois R, Honjo S, Fleer A P, Manganini S J, Rutgers van der Loeff M M, and Ittekkot V.: Trapping efficiency of bottom-tethered sediment traps estimated from the intercepted fluxes of ^{230}Th and ^{231}Pa. Deep-Sea Res. I, 48, 865-889, 2001.

Zenk, W., Klein, B., and Schroder, M.: Cape Verde Frontal Zone. Deep Sea Res. I, 38, Supplement 1 (0), 505-530, 1991.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. a. MODIS high resolution chlorophyll picture (4 km^2, L3) with the CVOO time series site (black circle). Satellite chlorophyll within the ACME is low in February and hard to see (white circle). The pathway of the eddy from the coast to the CVOO site in summer 2009 is indicated by a white dashed line. b, c. Satellite chlorophyll for November/December 2009 and January 2010 (modified, Karstensen et al. 2015). Chlorophyll decreased between November/December 2009 and January 2010, and again between January and February 2010 within the eddy.

Fig. 2. Progressive vector diagram (PVD) of 48 hours low pass filtered current meter records at (a) 588 m, (b) 1320 m, and (c) 3473 m for the period from 1st of December 2009 (filled triangle at 0,0) to 1st of May 2010. The segment in each PVD that corresponds to the ACME passage is indicated by the magenta dots. Open triangles indicate the trap sampling intervals of 29 days. Note, for the deep trap current meter, the speed failed shortly after installment and a constant speed of 1.1 cm sec^{-1} was used throughout the record.

Fig. 3. Total mass fluxes collected with the upper and lower sediment traps at CVOO-3 (a). Oxygen time series at approx. 42 m (b) and 170 m (c) water depths (Karstensen et al., 2015); gray bar indicates the ACME passage in February 2010. Upper and lower trap fluxes are highly correlated (r^2=0.7; N=17), however, lower trap mass fluxes are roughly twice as high during winter-spring 2010 when the ACME passed the site. The common pattern can be seen in winter 2011 during the eddy-free year.

Fig. 4. a: BSi fluxes collected with the upper and lower sediment traps at CVOO-3. During ACME passage in winter 2010, BSi fluxes were more than 3 times higher in the lower trap. Fluxes in both depth levels were highly correlated (r^2=0.9, N=17). Monthly mean SST from MODIS-Terra-4 km are shown for a 1-degree box to the E of the CVOO-3 site (17-18°N, 23-24°W). b: Diatom fluxes and the coastal:pelagic diatom ration are given for the upper traps samples.

Fig. 5. Organic carbon fluxes collected with the upper and lower sediment traps at CVOO-3 (a) and the corresponding molar C:N ratios of the organic matter (b). Upper and lower trap fluxes are correlated (r^2=0.7; N=17). Note the unusually high C:N ratios in February 2010 recorded in both traps. Typical molar C:N ratios (8-10) for degraded marine organic matter off NW Africa (Fischer et al., 2003, 2010) are indicated by a green stippled horizontal bar in b. c: δ^{15}N values for organic matter sampled by the lower trap (stippled thick line) shown together with the total nitrogen fluxes. The flux-weighted mean δ^{15}N value of 3.98 is shown as well. ~~Note the stepwise decrease in winter 2009-10~~.

Fig. 6. Lithogenic (mineral dust) fluxes collected with the upper and lower sediment traps at CVOO-3. Upper and lower trap fluxes correspond well (r^2=0.83; N=17) but fluxes in the deep trap were more than twice as high compared to the upper trap during winter-spring when the ACME passed. Note the very close relationship to organic carbon (r^2=0.97, N=17) shown for the deep trap samples (insert).

Fig. 7. Carbonate fluxes collected with the upper and lower sediment trap (a) at CVOO-3 shown together with fluxes of planktonic foraminifera and pteropods (only upper trap data, b, Table 2). Correlation of fluxes between both depths is less significant here compared to the other components (r^2=0.5; n=20). Note that total carbonate fluxes decreased during eddy passage in February 2010.

Fig. 8. Upper trap fluxes of major primary and secondary carbonate producing organisms (Table 2). a. Coccolithophores (total coccolith flux, flux of *E. huxleyi* and *F. profunda*). The planktonic foraminifera b: *G. ruber* (white and pink), c: *G. sacculifer* and, d: the deep dwelling *G. menardii*, the latter showing a distinct peak in flux during ACME passage in February 2010.

Fig. 9. Alkenone fluxes together with the $U_{37}^{k'}$- derived and satellite SSTs, for the time period before and after the ACME passage. Molar C:N ratios taken from Fig. 5b, which correlate well to the alkenone fluxes, are shown in the insert (r^2=0.77, N=8). ~~Both parameters may point to nutrient limitation at some time around the passage of the ACME.~~

Fig. 10. Schematic timeline of inferred processes within the ACME (surface waters and the anoxic/hypoxic water column below), which approached and passed the CVOO site in the beginning of 2010. Important sediment trap flux signatures are indicated. We assume a rapid transmission of the surface signature from the ACME to the bathypelagic sediment traps of only 1-3 weeks, mainly due to high particle settling rates.

Table 1.Collection dates for the upper (1290 m) and lower (3439 m) traps, bulk mass fluxes and composition, molar C:N ratios of organic matter and δ^{15}N (only lower trap).

CVOO-3 upper sample #	cup cpened	cup closed	duration days	**Mass fluxes** total mass	**in mg m^{-2} d^{-1}** biogenic opal	organic carbon	nitrogen	carbonate	lithogenic	**Composition in %** biogenic opal	organic carbon	nitrogen	carbonate	lithogenic	**ratios** C/N molar
1	01.12.09	30.12.09	29	51,24	2,01	2,81	0,34	29,56	14,05	3,92	5,48	0,66	57,69	27,42	9,8
2	30.12.09	28.01.10	29	36,18	0,46	1,69	0,18	28,90	3,43	1,28	4,68	0,50	79,88	9,48	10,8
3	28.01.10	26.02.10	29	68,66	3,11	6,18	0,40	21,23	31,96	4,53	9,00	0,59	30,92	46,55	17,8
4	26.02.10	27.03.10	29	45,76	3,57	2,58	0,29	33,50	3,54	7,80	5,63	0,63	73,20	7,73	10,4
5	27.03.10	25.04.10	29	49,58	0,99	3,67	0,46	35,54	5,72	1,99	7,41	0,93	71,67	11,53	9,3
6	25.04.10	24.05.10	29	33,17	0,89	1,77	0,28	30,64	0,00	2,67	5,34	0,84	92,36	0,00	7,4
7	24.05.10	22.06.10	29	53,27	0,85	4,10	0,39	42,27	1,95	1,60	7,69	0,72	79,35	3,66	12,4
8	22.06.10	21.07.10	29	27,95	0,44	1,76	0,18	22,51	1,48	1,57	6,29	0,66	80,53	5,31	11,2
9	21.07.10	19.08.10	29	14,93	0,40	0,78	0,14	13,10	0,00	2,69	5,21	0,95	87,75	0,00	6,4
10	19.08.10	17.09.10	29	14,25	0,20	1,16	0,19	10,18	1,55	1,39	8,16	1,30	71,42	10,88	7,3
11	17.09.10	16.10.10	29	17,63	0,05	1,47	0,20	10,19	4,45	0,29	8,34	1,12	57,79	25,24	8,7
12	16.10.10	14.11.10	29	9,83	0,09	0,80	0,06	4,93	3,21	0,93	8,13	0,63	50,17	32,64	15,0
13	14.11.10	13.12.10	29	6,88	0,15	0,73	0,08	3,23	2,03	2,17	10,64	1,16	46,98	29,57	10,7
14	13.12.10	11.01.11	29	9,03	0,11	0,49	0,05	7,21	0,73	1,17	5,44	0,55	79,86	8,08	11,5
15	11.01.11	09.02.11	29	19,06	0,17	1,28	0,16	15,94	0,39	0,91	6,70	0,83	83,62	2,07	9,4
16	09.02.11	10.03.11	29	18,83	0,22	1,29	0,15	15,35	0,68	1,18	6,84	0,79	81,53	3,60	10,1
17	10.03.11	08.04.11	29	17,53	0,74	1,49	0,17	10,83	2,98	4,24	8,49	0,97	61,77	17,02	10,2
18	08.04.11	07.05.11	29	10,34	0,34	0,71	0,10	8,93	0,00	3,25	6,89	1,00	86,37	0,00	8,1
g/m²/522 days			***522***	***15,26***	***0,43***	***1,01***	***0,11***	***9,98***	***2,27***	***2,81***	***6,61***	***0,73***	***65,38***	***14,85***	***10,6***

Table 1. continued,

CVOO-3 lower	cup	cup	duration	**Mass fluxes**	**in mg m^{-2} d^{-1}**					**Composition in %**					**ratios**	**$\delta^{15}N$**
sample #	opened	closed	days	total mass	biogenic opal	organic carbon	nitrogen	carbonate	lithogenic	biogenic opal	organic carbon	nitrogen	carbonate	lithogenic	C/N molar	‰
1	01.12.09	30.12.09	29	124,97	4,40	5,32	0,57	62,56	47,36	3,52	4,26	0,46	50,06	37,90	10,9	4,24
2	30.12.09	28.01.10	29	94,75	3,10	3,41	0,37	51,97	32,86	3,27	3,60	0,39	54,85	34,69	10,8	5,21
3	28.01.10	26.02.10	29	151,05	12,58	13,31	0,63	21,40	90,45	8,33	8,81	0,42	14,17	59,88	24,5	3,81
4	26.02.10	27.03.10	29	121,93	14,94	4,63	0,63	61,04	36,69	12,25	3,80	0,52	50,06	30,09	8,6	3,11
5	27.03.10	25.04.10	29	76,17	3,34	4,60	0,42	35,29	28,34	4,39	6,04	0,56	46,33	37,20	12,7	3,21
6	25.04.10	24.05.10	29	56,24	2,82	2,95	0,37	31,99	15,52	5,01	5,25	0,66	56,89	27,60	9,3	3,93
7	24.05.10	22.06.10	29	26,33	0,51	1,19	0,13	19,33	4,11	1,93	4,53	0,49	73,42	15,60	10,8	3,50
8	22.06.10	21.07.10	29	19,12	0,43	0,88	0,11	13,77	3,15	2,25	4,61	0,58	72,03	16,49	9,4	3,18
9	21.07.10	19.08.10	29	13,39	0,34	0,79	0,09	8,23	3,25	2,53	5,89	0,67	61,44	24,24	10,3	5,35
10	19.08.10	17.09.10	29	22,38	0,68	1,42	0,15	11,30	7,57	3,04	6,33	0,67	50,50	33,81	11,1	3,32
11	17.09.10	16.10.10	29	9,28	0,24	0,56	0,06	5,23	2,70	2,61	6,01	0,60	56,29	29,07	11,7	4,22
12	16.10.10	14.11.10	29	17,68	0,39	1,01	0,08	10,10	5,17	2,20	5,73	0,47	57,12	29,22	14,3	3,47
13	14.11.10	13.12.10	29	19,14	0,68	1,23	0,16	10,20	5,81	3,54	6,40	0,84	53,30	30,36	8,9	6,99
14	13.12.10	11.01.11	29	7,16	0,20	0,37	0,04	4,19	2,02	2,73	5,22	0,58	58,60	28,24	10,5	4,82
15	11.01.11	09.02.11	29	10,50	0,11	0,64	0,08	7,35	1,75	1,02	6,13	0,73	70,01	16,72	9,8	4,16
16	09.02.11	10.03.11	29	6,78	0,11	0,34	0,04	5,68	0,30	1,57	5,09	0,52	83,84	4,42	11,4	3,42
17-19	10.03.11	11.05.11	62,6	4,12	0,16	0,36	0,04	2,32	0,93	3,84	8,66	0,91	56,28	22,55	11,1	4,79
g/m^2/527 days			***526,6***	***22,79***	***1,31***	***1,26***	***0,12***	***10,58***	***8,33***	***5,75***	***5,53***	***0,51***	***46,41***	***36,55***	***12,7***	

Table 2. Fluxes of major primary and secondary producers/organisms (diatoms, diatom coastal:pelagic ratio, coccolithophores and planktonic foraminifera) for the upper trap samples.

CVOO-3 upper sample #	cup opened	cup closed	duration days	**Diatom flux** valves m^{-2} d^{-1}	coastal:pelagic ratio of diatoms	**Coccolith flux** no. $*10^6$ m^{-2} d^{-1}	*E. huxleyi*	*F. profunda*	**Foram. flux** ind. m^{-2} d^{-1}	*G. ruber* w+p	*G. sacculifer*	*G. menardii*	**Foram. mass flux** mg carbonate m^{-2} d^{-1}	**Pteropod mass flux** mg carbonate m^{-2} d^{-1}
1	01.12.09	30.12.09	29	104104	0,16	938	171	296		129	38	31	6,3	2,5
2	30.12.09	28.01.10	29	36139	0,04	667	89	213		147	117	23	10,5	2,2
3	28.01.10	26.02.10	29	97753	0,08	1298	305	420		33	40	164	11,1	0,9
4	26.02.10	27.03.10	29	117544	0,07	612	112	184		115	204	80	10,6	1,2
5	27.03.10	25.04.10	29	171167	0,09	952	155	418		108	131	0	14,8	3,5
6	25.04.10	24.05.10	29	38330	0,08	819	121	343		77	105	33	11,4	3,6
7	24.05.10	22.06.10	29	55195	0,09	731	100	360		90	244	93	21,5	1,3
8	22.06.10	21.07.10	29	22341	0,14	793	120	358		57	42	31	5,1	1,3
9	21.07.10	19.08.10	29	13142	0,03	431	84	155		14	8	7	0,9	4,2
10	19.08.10	17.09.10	29	16865	0,04	403	47	130		34	20	20	2,5	2,0
11	17.09.10	16.10.10	29	6388	0,07	182	19	87		34	22	32	3,5	3,7
12	16.10.10	14.11.10	29	n.d.	n.d.	190	24	93		13	11	18	2,1	1,9
13	14.11.10	13.12.10	29	2300	0,00	77	16	29		3	3	2	0,4	0,8
14	13.12.10	11.01.11	29	n.d.	n.d.	178	26	79		19	20	53	5,5	2,2
15	11.01.11	09.02.11	29	n.d.	n.d.	387	57	155		22	40	71	9,2	1,9
16	09.02.11	10.03.11	29	30357	0,08	179	28	72		30	65	34	10,8	1,0
17	10.03.11	08.04.11	29	44616	0,35	289	59	98		18	16	32	3,7	2,3
18	08.04.11	07.05.11	29	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.		6	9	20	1,9	0,4

n.d. = not determined

Table 3. Fluxes of alkenones, the $U_{37}^{k'}$-index and the estimated SSTs for samples #1-8 of the upper trap.

CVOO-3 upper sample #	cup open	cup close	duration days	Alkenone flux ng m^{-2} d^{-1}	Alkenone unsaturation index UK'37	Alkenone SST (°C)
1	01.12.09	30.12.09	29	312,7	0,93	26,5
2	30.12.09	28.01.10	29	209,5	0,91	25,9
3	28.01.10	26.02.10	29	1852,5	0,85	24,3
4	26.02.10	27.03.10	29	700,0	0,82	23,6
5	27.03.10	25.04.10	29	464,2	0,91	26,1
6	25.04.10	24.05.10	29	227,1	0,90	25,7
7	24.05.10	22.06.10	29	1537,2	0,96	27,4
8	22.06.10	21.07.10	29	791,2	0,98	28,0
9-18				n.d.	n.d.	n.d.

n.d. = not determined


a: February 2010
25°W
20°W
Cape Blanc
20°N
CVOO-3
Cape Verde I.
15°N
9. Jan. – 16. Jan. 2010
b
CVOO
22°N
20°N
18°N
16°N
14°N
26°W
24°W
22°W
20°W
18°W
0.6
0.5
0.4
0.3
0.2
0.15
Chl-a (mg m^{-3})
25. Nov. – 1. Dec. 2009
c
CVOO

Fig. 1.



1208

1209

1210 Fig. 2.

1211


pre-
ACME
post - ACME
ACME passage
CVOO - 3
Total mass flux (mg m-2 d-1)
150
100
50
0
3439 m
'normal year'
(winter-spring)
without passage
of a suboxic eddy
1290 m
a
february
february
2009
2010
2011
Oxygen [µmol l-1]
300
200
100
0
b
01.Jan
01.Apr
01.Jul
01.Oct
01.Jan
01.Apr
2010
2011
Oxygen [µmol l-1]
200
150
100
50
0
c
01.Jan
01.Apr
01.Jul
01.Oct
01.Jan
01.Apr
2010
2011

Fig. 3.

1214

1215



1216

1217

1218 Fig. 4.

1219


a
CVOO - 3
3439 m
ACME passage
1290 m
Organic carbon flux (mg m-2 d-1)
15
10
5
0
february
2009
2010
february
2011
b
3439 m
C:N molar ratio
25
20
15
10
5
1290 m
ACME passage
c
δ15N
3439 m
δ15N (flux-weighted)
δ15NPON (‰) lower trap
7
6
5
4
3
2
nitrogen flux, lower trap (mg m-2 d-1)
1
0.8
0.6
0.4
0.2
0
february
2009
2010
february
2011

Fig. 5.


CVOO - 3
Lithogenic (= dust) flux (mg m-2 d-1)
100
75
50
25
0
ACME passage
3439 m
1290 m
february
february
2009
2010
2011
Organic carbon flux (mg m-2 d-1)
15
10
5
0
0
20
40
60
80
100
Dust flux (mg m-2 d-1)
R²=0.97
N=17

Fig. 6.


a
CVOO - 3
ACME passage
3439 m
1290 m
Carbonate flux (mg m-2 d-1)
75
50
25
0
february
2009
2010
february
2011
b
CVOO - 3
upper
1290 m
ACME passage
Foraminifera
Pteropods
Carbonate flux (mg m-2 d-1)
50
40
30
20
10
0
february
2009
2010
february
2011

Fig. 7.


a
CVOO - 3
upper trap
Coccolith Flux
Coccolith fluxes (no. m-2 d-1)
1500
1000
500
0
ACME passage
F. profunda
E. huxleyi
february
2009
2010
february
2011
b
Foraminiferal Flux
G. ruber , w+p (no. m-2 d-1)
250
200
150
100
50
0
ACME passage
c
G. sacculifer (no. m-2 d-1)
250
200
150
100
50
0
ACME passage
d
G. menardii (no. m-2 d-1)
250
200
150
100
50
0
february
2009
2010
february
2011

Fig. 8.

1235



1236
1237

1238 Fig. 9.

1239


pre-ACME
(Dec. 2009 – January 2010)
ACME with suboxia
(February 2010)
post-ACME
(March - April – May – June 2010)
shallowing thermocline
stepwise SST decrease (~2°C)
nutrient injections
decrease in chlorophyll
major flux peaks (Feb-Mar)
increase of diatom flux
organic carbon and dust flux maximum
strong oxygen depletion
Suboxia/Hypoxia
deep-living foraminifera influenced by suboxia
increase/recovery of shallow living foraminifera

Fig. 10.