REVISED
Title Page

Date of submission	July 20, 2015
Type of contribution	Regular paper
Number of text pages	20
Number of Tables	05
Number of Figures	03

Title: **Mineralization of soluble P fertilizers and insoluble rock phosphate in response to phosphate solubilizing bacteria and poultry manure and their effect on the growth and P utilization efficiency of Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.)**

Names of the Authors: **M. Kaleem Abbasi*, Nighat Musa, and M. Manzoor**

Postal addresses of the authors: Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, The University of Poonch, Rawalakot Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan

Short Title: Efficiency of Rock Phosphate with PSB

*Correspondence address   M. Kaleem Abbasi
Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture, The University of Poonch, Rawalakot Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan
Tel.: +92 (0) 5824 960041
Fax: +92 (0) 5824 960004
E-mail: *mkaleemabbasi@gmail.com*

1 # Mineralization of soluble P fertilizers and insoluble rock phosphate in response
2 # to phosphate solubilizing bacteria and poultry manure and their effect on the
3 # growth and P utilization efficiency of Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.)

4

5 ## Abstract

6 The ability of soil microorganisms and organic manures to convert insoluble phosphorus (P) to an accessible

7 form offers a biological rescue system for improving P utilization efficiency in soil-plant systems. Our objective

8 was to examine the P mineralization potential of two soluble P fertilizers (SPF) i.e. single super phosphate

9 (SSP) and di-ammonium phosphate (DAP) and insoluble rock phosphate (RP) with and without phosphate

10 solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and poultry manure (PM) and their subsequent effect on the growth, yield and P-

11 utilization efficiency (PUE) of chill (*Capsicum annuum* L.). An incubation study was carried-out on a loam

12 (slightly alkaline) soil with 12 treatments including T_0: control; T_1: RP; T_2: SSP; T_3: DAP; T_4: PM; T_5:

13 ½RP+½SSP; T_6 : ½RP+½DAP; T_7 : ½RP+½PM; T_8 : RP+PSB; T_9 : ½RP+½SSP+PSB; T_{10} :

14 ½RP+½DAP+PSB; T_{11} : ½RP+½PM+PSB. Phosphorus mineralization was measured by analyzing

15 extractable P from the amended soil incubated under controlled condition at 25 ^{0}C for 0, 5, 15, 25, 35, 60 days

16 period. A complementary greenhouse experiment was conducted in pots with chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) as a

17 test crop. Growth, yield, P-uptake and PUE of the chilli was determined during the study. Results indicated

18 that P mineralization in soil amended with RP was 6.0–11.5 mg kg⁻¹, while both soluble P fertilizers resulted

19 in 68-73 mg P kg⁻¹ at day 0, which decreased by 79-82% at the end of incubation. Integrated use of PSB and

20 PM with RP in T_{11} stimulated P mineralization by releasing a maximum of 25 mg P kg⁻¹ that was maintained

21 at high levels without any loss. Use of PSB decreased soil pH. In the greenhouse experiment, RP alone or

22 RP+PSB did not have a significant impact on plant growth. However, combined use of RP, PM and PSB in

23 T_{11} resulted in similar growth, yield and P-uptake of chilli as DAP. The PUE of applied P varied from 4 to

24 29% and was higher in the treatments that included PSB. We conclude that use of PSB and PM with insoluble

25 RP or with soluble P fertilizers could be a promising approach to enhance P availability from both low-grade

26 RP and SPF for crop production in intensive cropping systems.

27

28

1   Introduction

Phosphorus (P) is the second important key plant nutrient which affects the overall growth of plants by influencing the key metabolic processes such as cell division and development, energy transport (ATP, ADP), signal transduction, macromolecular biosynthesis, photosynthesis and respiration (Shenoy and Kalagudi, 2005; Khan et al., 2009, Khan et al., 2014). Soils contain very little total P of 0.02–0.5% (w/w) (Fernandez et al., 2007), of which only 0.1% is available to plants (Zou et al., 1992). Thus, P needs to be applied to soils as soluble P fertilizers, a smaller part (1%) is utilized by plants and remainder (~99%) is rapidly converted into insoluble complexes (Mehta et al., 2014) due to precipitation reactions with Al^{3+} and Fe^{3+} in acidic, and Ca^{2+} in calcareous soils (Khan et al., 2009). These metal ion complexes precipitate about 80% of added P fertilizer. Hence, the recovery efficiency of P is not more than 20% of applied P in the world soils (Qureshi et al., 2012). Considering the low recovery of applied and native P and the high cost of chemical phosphatic fertilizers besides increasing concern of environmental degradation (Aziz et al., 2006; Khan et al., 2014), it is important to find viable solutions to increase P fertilizer use efficiency. Two management options can be effective: i) increase the recovery and solubility of applied P fertilizers and ii) to replace the expensive chemical P fertilizers with novel, cheaper, more ecologically friendly but nevertheless efficient P sources, such as use of indigenous rock phosphates (RPs).

Interest in the use of RPs as alternative P sources has been increased in recent times due to their relative low cost and utilization potentials (Zapata and Zaharah, 2002; Akande et al., 2010). It has been suggested that the production of P fertilizer from RP is estimated to peak within the next 30 years because of the rising costs of synthetic fertilizers presently available in the market (Cordell et al., 2009; Beardsley, 2011; Ekelöf et al., 2014). Application of RPs directly to the soils has yielded some positive results in acidic soils but the efficacy of such material is almost negligible in neutral and alkaline soils (Begum et al., 2004). However, there are reports that Syrian RP was an effective P fertilizer for rape plants (*Brassica napus* L.) in alkaline soil (pH=7.72; Habib et al., 1999) and for maize grown in an acidic Lilysoil (pH=3.95; Alloush and Clark, 2001). Therefore, efforts have been made to find suitable ways to improve the solubility and efficiency of indigenous RPs.

Numerous studies have been conducted to evaluate the efficiency of different amendments to increase the availability and solubility of P from native and applied sources including RP. Among these, organic

57 amendments including animal manures, plant residues, and green manures (Alloush, 2003; Toor, 2009; Aria et

58 al., 2010; Adesanwo et al., 2012), composts (Nishanth and Biswas, 2008; Wickramatilake et al. 2010; Saleem et

59 al., 2013), and bacterial inoculation (Panhwar et al., 2011; Gupta et al. 2011) are considered beneficial for

60 improving the P efficiency. In addition, combined application of water soluble P fertilizers with RP is another

61 option to increase the efficiency of RP-P. Mashori et al. (2013) used maize as a test crop in a pot experiment to

62 examine the relative performance of RP, SSP, RP+SSP with and without FYM. They reported that RP+SSP

63 (25+75%) with FM (10 t ha^{-1}) (RP+SSP+FYM) increased maize growth, dry matter, leaf P content and P

64 uptake followed by the treatment receiving RP+SSP (50+50%).

65   Soil microorganisms have generally been found effective in making P available to the plants from both

66 inorganic and organic sources by solubilizing and mineralizing complex P compounds (Wani et al., 2007; Khan

67 et al., 2014). In particular, P-solubilizing bacteria (PSB) are reported to play a significant role in increasing P

68 efficiency of both native and applied P and improving the growth and yield of various crops (Khan et al., 2009).

69 It is generally accepted that the mechanism of P solubilization by PSB is associated with the release of low

70 molecular weight organic acids (Goldstein, 1995; Kim et al., 1997), which through their hydroxyl and carboxyl

71 groups chelate the cations bound to phosphate, thereby converting it into soluble forms (Kpomblekou and

72 Tabatabai, 2003; Chen et al., 2006).

73   Similarly, application of organic manures with phosphatic fertilizers is considered another possible

74 means of mobilizing P because of the acidic environment generated during decomposition of the manures

75 (Nishanth and Biswas, 2008). These organic manures increase the microorganisms, release acids in the root

76 rhizosphere and may help to solubilize P and to increase P availability to the plants (Fankem et al. 2006; Hu

77 et al., 2006). In addition, combined use of RP, soluble P fertilizers and bacterial inoculation is also considered

78 an option that may increase the efficiency of both RP and soluble P fertilizers. Experimentations on this option

79 are not common however, recently it has been reported that 50% of triple super phosphate (TSP) could be

80 substituted with RP when P-solubilizing bacterial inoculants *Enterobactor gegovie*, *Bacillus pumilus*, and *Bacillus*

81 *subtilis* were applied with RP to wetland rice both under pot and field conditions (Rajapaksha et al., 2011).

82   Keeping in view the considerable expense involved in importing raw material for manufacturing P

83 fertilizers or P fertilizers directly imported, it is imperative to explore the possibility of the utilization of

84 indigenous RPs and the ways to increase the efficiency of other P fertilizers. Effects of PSB or organic manures

on the efficiency of both soluble and insoluble P fertilizers with regard to plant growth and yield have been studied and are a topic of interest these days. However, the effect of these combinations on P release capacity (mineralization) of both soluble and insoluble P sources especially RPs has been given little attention. Therefore, the present study was conducted to examine the effect of poultry manure (PM) and PSB with soluble P fertilizers (SSP and di-ammonium phosphate, DAP) and insoluble rock phosphate (RP) on P mineralization capacity and their subsequent effect on growth, yields, P-uptake and P utilization efficiency (PUE) of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) grown in greenhouse.

2 Materials and methods

2.1. Soil sampling/collection

Surface bulk soil (0–15 cm) from a field under long-term wheat – maize management system in the Faculty of Agriculture, The University of Poonch, Rawalakot Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan was collected during spring 2013. The soil used in the experiment was classified as a Humic Lithic Eutrudepts (Inceptosols). The field fresh soil was passed through a 2 mm sieve to eliminate coarse rock and plant material, thoroughly mixed to ensure uniformity and stored at 4^oC prior to use (not more than two weeks' time). A sub–sample of about 500 g was taken, air dried and passed through 2-mm sieve and used for the determination of physical and chemical characteristics (Table 1). Soil texture was determined by the hydrometer method. Soil pH was determined in a 1:2.5 (w/v) soil/water suspension. Soil organic carbon was determined by oxidizing organic matter in soil samples with $K_2Cr_2O_7$ in concentrated sulphuric acid followed by titration with ferrous-ammonium sulphate (Nelson and Sommers, 1982). Total N was determined by Kjeldahl distillation and titration method (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982). Available P from soil samples was determined according to Soil and Plant Analysis Laboratory Manual (Ryan et al., 2001) using AB-DTPA method modified by Soltanpour and Workman (1979). Exchangeable K was determined using a flame photometer following soil extraction with 1 N ammonium acetate ($COOCH_3NH_4$) (Simard, 1993). The bulk density (BD) was determined from undisturbed soil cores taken from the upper horizon (0–15 cm) at about five locations from the field. Bulk density of the soil was calculated on a volume basis (Blake and Hartge, 1986).

2.2 Collection of added amendments/materials

The different amendments used in this study were rock phosphate (RP), single super phosphate (SSP), di-ammonium phosphate (DAP), poultry manure (PM) and P-solubilizing bacteria (PSB). Rock phosphate was

113   collected from the Land Resources Research Institute (LRRI), NARC Islamabad, Pakistan. Major reserves of

114   this RP are found in lagarban region of Hazara division positioned in the North East of Pakistan (Mashori et

115   al., 2013). According to Memon (2005), the RP contains an average of 25.8% P_2O_5 along with 6% MgO. Di

116   ammonium phosphate and SSP were purchased from the local market, while PM was collected from local farms

117   located near by the university campus. A composite sample of well-dried PM was taken, crushed into smaller

118   particles by hand pressing, homogenized, and passed through a 1–mm sieve before use. Total N in PM was

119   determined by the Kjeldahl method of digestion and distillation (Bremner and Mulvaney, 1982). The P content

120   was determined by the vanadomolybdate yellow color using spectrophotometer. Total N and P in PM were

121   2.53% and 1.64%, respectively. The bio-power inoculant of PSB was provided by the National Institute of

122   Biology and Genetic Engineering (NIBGE), Faisalabad, Pakistan. The inoculant used in this study was a

123   commercialized product containing K-1 (*Pseudomonas stutzeri*) as nitrogen fixer, ER-20 (*Azospirillum brasilense*)

124   as IAA producer and Ca-18 (*Agrobacterium tumefaciens*) as phosphate solubilizer.

125   **2.3   Experimental Procedures and details–incubation study**

126   The fresh soil samples stored in the refrigerator were taken and transferred into a glass jar. Soil samples were

127   pre-incubated at 25 ^{o}C for 1-week prior to actual incubation to stabilize the microbial activity. A known weight

128   of soil (30 g oven dry weight basis) was taken and transferred into 100 mL capacity jars. Moisture content of

129   soil was adjusted to 60% of iwater holding capacity (WHC) by adding deionized water. There were 12

130   treatments including control i.e. (unfertilized control); RP, SSP; DAP; PM; ½RP+½SSP; ½RP+½DAP;

131   ½RP+½PM; RP+PSB; ½RP+½SSP+PSB; ½RP+½DAP+PSB; ½RP+½PM+PSB, six incubation periods: 0, 5,

132   15, 25, 35, 60 days (after adding amendments) and three replications. A total of 216 treatment combinations

133   (experimental units) were used at the start of the experiment.

134   Phosphorus from all the treatments/sources was applied on an equivalent basis i.e. at the rate of 90 mg P

135   kg^{-1} soil as this is a recommended optimum P application rate for chilli production in the region. Nitrogen was

136   also added to each jar (including control) at the rate of 100 mg N kg^{-1} as urea. The amount of N added as urea

137   was adjusted after taking into account the amount supplied by DAP and PM. Following the addition of all

138   amendments, soil was thoroughly mixed and the weight of each jar was recorded. Jars were covered with

139   parafilm which was perforated with a needle to ensure natural gas exchange. All the amended jars were kept

140   in an incubator at 25± 2 ^{o}C for a total of 60 days. Jars in the incubator were arranged according to the

141 completely randomized design. Soil moisture was checked/adjusted after every 2 days by weighing the jars

142 the required amount of distilled water was added when the loss was greater than 0.05 g. During this process,

143 care was taken not to disturb the soil either through stirring or shaking.

144 **2.4   Soil extraction and analysis**

145 Samples of all treatments incubated for different time intervals were analyzed for changes in soil available P

146 and pH. Triplicate samples from each treatment was taken from the incubator at 0, 5, 15, 25, 35, 60 days and

147 analyzed for available P by AB–DTP extraction method (Soltanpour and Workman, 1979). Soil (20 g) was

148 weighed in 125 mL Erlenmeyer flasks and 40 mL of extraction solution was added (1:2). The soil available P was

149 measured by ammonium molybdate (Murphy and Riley, 1962) using spectrophotometer. At each sampling

150 time, the remaining 10 g soil from each jar was taken and used for measuring the changes in pH in response

151 to different amendments. The soil pH was determined using a glass electrode in 1:2.5 (v/v) soil/water

152 suspension.

153 **2.5   Experimental procedures and details – greenhouse experiment**

154   To complement the incubation study, a greenhouse experiment was conducted in pots with chilli

155 (*Capsicum annuum* L.) as a test crop. Seedling nursery of chilli was grown by making nursery beds in the

156 greenhouse during the last week of April 2013. Chilli seeds of variety 'Pusa Jawla' were sown separately on

157 ridges. All the necessary culture practices were carried-out when needed. About 12 kg soil (passed through a

158 4-mm sieve) was placed in the cleaned earthen pots of 38 cm height and 18 cm width. There were 12 treatments

159 including a control i.e. (unfertilized control); RP, SSP; DAP; PM; ½RP+½SSP; ½RP+½DAP; ½RP+½PM;

160 RP+PSB; ½RP+½SSP+PSB; ½ RP+½DAP+PSB; ½ RP+½PM+PSB (same as used in incubation study) with

161 four replications to form a total of 48 treatment combinations. Pots were arranged according to a completely

162 randomized design. Addition of different amendments was made according to the methods/procedures

163 followed in the incubation study. However, PSB was grown in LB broth to lag phase, containing about 10^8

164 CFUml^{-1}, applied to respective treatments by dipping roots of chilli plants in inoculum up to 20 minutes. On

165 attaining 5-8 leave stage, four healthy and vigorous plants from the nursery were transplanted into each pot.

166 All pots were equally irrigated when needed. The soil was moistened with water and maintained at 58% water-

167 filled pore space throughout the study.

168   Plant sampling was done at two stages of development i.e. one at vegetative stage (just before

169   flowering) for measuring growth traits of plant including shoot length, root length, shoot dry weight, root dry

170   weight, and shoot P contents, the second one at physiological maturity stage for measuring growth, shoot P

171   contents and yield traits i.e. fruit length, number of fruits per plant, number of seeds per fruit, fruit yield and

172   fruit P contents.

173   For the determination plant P content, vegetative tissue of a plant (shoot + leaves) and fruits were

174   washed, cleaned, and then oven dried at 70 °C for 48 hours. The oven-dried samples were ground to pass

175   through a 1-mesh sieve in a Micro Wiley Mill. The total P was determined after digestion in a triple acid

176   mixture ($HClO_4$, H_2SO_4, and HNO_3 in the ratio of 1:3:9). Total P in the acid digest was determined by the

177   vanadomolybdate phosphoric yellow color method (Olsen and Sommers, 1982). The P uptake and P utilization

178   efficiency was computed according to the methods reported earlier (Abbasi et al., 2010).

179   At the end of the experiment i.e., after the final crop harvest, soil samples from each pot were taken to

180   examine changes in soil properties. A composite sample from each pot was collected and air dried for 2 to 3

181   days. The samples were ground and sieved to pass through a 2-mm mesh to remove rocks and large organic

182   residues if present. Soil organic matter, total N, available P, K and pH of soil from each treatment were

183   determined according to methods described earlier in Table 1.

184   **2.6   Statistical analysis**

185   All data from incubation experiment were statistically analyzed by multifactorial analysis of variance

186   (ANOVA) using the software package Statistix 8.1. Least significant differences (LSD) are given to indicate

187   significant variations between means of either treatments or time intervals. Confidence values (P) are given in

188   the text for the significance between treatments, time interval and their interactions. Data from the greenhouse

189   experiment was analyzed by one way analysis of variance and LSD is given to indicate significance variations

190   among different treatments. A probability level of $P \leq 0.05$ was considered significant for both experiments.

191   **3   Results**

192   **3.1   P release capacity (mineralization) of added amendments**

193   Phosphorus release capacity (mineralization) of soil amended with RP varied between 6.0–11.5 mg kg⁻¹

194   significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher than the control but lower than the remaining treatments (Table 2). Application

195   of PSB with RP in RP+PSB did not show any remarkable effect on P mineralization except that a significant

196 increase was noticed on 25 and 60 days of incubation. Soil amended with the soluble P fertilizers i.e. SSP and

197 DAP displayed the highest P mineralization of 73.3 and 68.5 mg kg⁻¹ immediately after application (day 0).

198 However, initial P released significant ($P \leq 0.05$) decreased with subsequent incubations and at the end only 14

199 mg P kg⁻¹ was left in the mineral P pool. The P released from the PM amended soil was progressively increased

200 with time and the highest P concentration of 20.2 mg kg⁻¹ was recorded at day 35 compared to 10.4 mg kg⁻¹ at

201 day 0. However, this increasing trend changed at day 60 where P contents declined to a background level i.e.

202 9.6 mg kg⁻¹. Rock phosphate when combined with soluble P fertilizers (SSP, DAP) did not show any significant

203 impact on P mineralization. However, P mineralization of the ½RP+½DAP+PSB and ½RP+½SSP+PSB

204 treatments was significantly higher than their sole application throughout the incubation. Similarly, the P

205 mineralization under ½RP+½PM+PSB exhibited an increasing trend with subsequent incubation periods

206 (showing no losses), a trend normally not common for phosphatic fertilizers.

207 The overall effect of different amendments on P mineralization (averaged across incubation timings)

208 is presented in Figure 1. Results indicated that by applying 90 mg P kg⁻¹ from different P sources, RP was able

209 to release only about 8 mg kg⁻¹ compared to 5 mg P kg⁻¹ in the control. Both soluble P fertilizers i.e. SSP and

210 DAP displayed the highest P release capacity of about 30 mg kg⁻¹. The P mineralization tendency of soil

211 amended with soluble P fertilizers+insoluble RP did not show any increasing effect. However, RP when

212 combined with PM in ½RP+½PM released significantly higher P compared to RP treatment (80%) and

213 equivalent to that recorded under PM treatment. Effect of PSB on P release capacity of different P amendments

214 was significant ($P \leq 0.05$). The efficiency of RP was increased by 17% when PSB was applied with RP (RP+PSB,

215 T_8), 12% increase with ½RP+½SSP+PSB (T_9) compared to ½RP+½SSP (T_5), 18% increase with

216 ½RP+½DAP+PSB (T_{10}) compared to ½RP+½DAP (T_6), and 28% increase with ½RP+½PM+PSB (T_{11})

217 compared to ½RP+½PM(T_7).

218 **3.2   Effect of different amendments on changes in soil pH**

219 Effect of different P amendments and their combinations on changes in soil pH over 60 days incubation is

220 presented in Table 3. Soil amended with DAP, PM and SSP alone or with different combinations showed the

221 maximum pH and among all, PM and DAP had the highest pH. However, except RP+PSB, pH of all the added

222 amendments tended to decline with time. The pH of both DAP and PM significantly decreased at the end (day

223 60) and the reduction in pH compared to day 0 was 8%. Among different amendments RP showed the lowest

224 pH.

225 Averaged across different amendments, the data presented in Figure 2 indicated that a combination of

226 SSP, DAP and PM with RP significantly increased RP pH from 7.62 to 7.89, 7.88 and 7.83, respectively.

227 However, application of PSB decreased soil pH. Average pH under the treatments RP, ½RP+½SSP,

228 ½RP+½DAP, ½RP+½PM was 7.80 while application of PSB with these four amendments tended to result in

229 a decline of pH to 7.72. The maximum reduction in pH of about 15 units was recorded in the treatment where

230 PSB was applied with PM.

231 **3.3 Growth and yield characteristics of chilli**

232 Different P treatments when applied alone or used in different combinations significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) increased

233 chilli growth characteristics compared to the control i.e. shoot length (7-53%), root length (22-113%), shoot

234 dry weight (SDW, 8-156%), and root dry weight (RDW, 12-108%) (Table 4). Among different P amendments,

235 growth characteristics were maximum in the treatments under full DAP or DAP, SSP and PM with PSB. RP

236 alone had little effect on plant growth but the response of RP+PSB over RP was: no effect on shoot length,

237 54% increase in root length, 50% increase in SDW and 8% increase in RDW. Application of PSB with DAP,

238 SSP and PM displayed a significant increase in growth characteristics over treatments without PSB. The

239 relative increase in shoot length, root length, SDW and RDW due to PSB over the treatments without PSB

240 (as a group) was 20, 14, 51 and 32%, respectively.

241 Yield and yield components responses of chilli to applied P treatments are presented in Table 4.

242 Significant differences in fruit length (18-56%), number of fruits per plant (45-226%) fruit yield per plant (10-

243 194%) and number of seeds per fruit (13-50%) were observed between the control (no-P) and the rest of the P

244 treatments. Significant differences in yield components were also recorded among the sources of P, with DAP

245 (full) and ½RP+½PM+PSB producing the largest yields. Application of RP alone induced a significant increase

246 in yields (over the control). However, the magnitude of increase was remarkably higher when PSB was

247 combined with RP. The relative increase in fruit length, no. of fruits, fruit yield and number of seed due to

248 RP+PSB was 18, 34, 14 and 16%, respectively compared to the RP alone. Between the two synthetic P

249 fertilizers used (SSP, DAP), DAP showed superiority over SSP while PM also exhibited a comparative yields

250 to DAP and SSP.

251   Integrated use of RP with SSP, DAP and PM (50:50) was not comparative to their full dose. However,

252   combined used of these amendments with PSB resulted in yields significantly higher than their application

253   without PSB and equivalent to or higher than the yields recorded under full P fertilizer treatments. For

254   example, fruit length, no. of fruits, and fruit yield from the ½RP+½SSP+PSB, ½RP+½DAP+PSB,

255   ½RP+½PM+PSB treatments (as a group) was significantly higher (16, 44, and 40%, respectively) than their

256   application without PSB. The highest fruit yields (10.4 g plant-1) and the highest no. of fruits per plant (21.2)

257   were recorded from the ½RP+½PM+PSB treatment equivalent to that recorded from the full DAP (10.0 g

258   and 19.9) but significantly higher than that from full SSP (7.2 g and 15.3).

259   **3.4   P content, P-uptake and P utilization efficiency**

260   The P content of plant biomass and fruits of chilli treated with different P sources and combinations was

261   significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher compared to P content of the control (Table 5). Soil amended with DAP resulted

262   in the highest P content of shoot (1.33 mg plant-1) and fruit (1.57 mg plant-1) as compared to SSP and other P

263   amendments. However, fruit P content recorded from the PM and the ½RP+½PM+PSB treatment (1.54 and

264   1.51 mg plant-1) were statistically equivalent (at par) to that recorded under DAP. P content of shoot and fruit

265   under RP was significantly higher than the control (6 and 77%) and application of PSB with RP (RP+PSB)

266   further increased shoot and fruit P by 6 and 5%, respectively compared to RP alone.

267   Application of phosphatic fertilizers had a significant effect ($P \leq 0.05$) on P-uptake of plant biomass and

268   fruit of chilli compared to the control treatment (Table 5). The values ranged between 4.3–15.3 mg plant⁻¹ for

269   shoot and 4.4–15.7 mg plant⁻¹ for fruit compared to 3.7 and 1.8 mg plant⁻¹ in the control, respectively. Among

270   different P sources and combinations, DAP exhibited the highest P-uptake while the PM and

271   ½RP+½PM+PSB treatments showed values (for fruit P-uptake) at par (statistically equivalent) with DAP.

272   The total P-uptake (shoot + fruit) in the control was 5.5 mg plant-1 that significantly increased to 8.7–

273   31.3 mg plant⁻¹ following the application of different P sources. The DAP and ½RP+½PM+PSB treatments

274   exhibited the highest total P-uptake and the difference between the two was non-significant. The RP treatment

275   alone resulted in a significant increase in P-uptake (8.7 mg plant-1) compared to the control (5.5 mg plant-1).

276   The effectiveness of P fertilizers with regard to plant P-uptake was in the order: DAP > PM > SSP > RP. The

277   total P-uptake under PM was significantly higher than the SSP (31%) but lower than the DAP (20%).

278   Application of PSB with different P sources resulted in a significant ($P \leq 0.05$) increase in P-uptake i.e. 20%

279   with RP, 29% with ½RP+½SSP, 56% with ½RP+½DAP and 132% with ½RP+½PM.

280   The P-utilization efficiency (PUE) of added P sources and their combinations ranged from 4% by RP

281   to a maximum of 29% with DAP (Fig. 3). The PUE of SSP and PM was 14 and 23%, respectively showing

282   higher PUE by PM compared to SSP. The PUE of RP was only 4% that increased to 6–8% when RP was

283   combined with either PSB or SSP, DAP or PM. Results indicated a significant improvement in PUE when

284   PSB was combined with P amendments. For example, the PUE of the ½RP+½SSP+PSB, ½RP+½DAP+PSB,

285   ½RP+½PM+PSB treatments was 14, 19 and 27% compared to 8, 7 and 7% from the ½RP+½SSP,

286   ½RP+½DAP, and ½RP+½PM treatments, respectively showing about 2-4fold increase in PUE due to PSB.

287   The response of PUE to PSB was more prominent when PSB was combined with PM compared to its

288   combination with DAP or SSP.

289   **4   Discussion**

290   **4.1   P release capacity of added amendments**

291   In order to determine the P release capacity (mineralization) of soluble and insoluble P fertilizers and their

292   response to PM and PSB, an incubation study of 60 days was conducted under controlled laboratory conditions.

293   The P release capacity of different amendments and their combinations varied with source and timings. Soluble

294   P fertilizers i.e. SSP and DAP displayed the highest mineralization compared to the insoluble RP and organic

295   PM. In most of the cases (except PM and combined treatment of ½RP+½PM+PSB), there was a general trend

296   of a rapid mineralization in the first few days of incubation followed by a gradual decrease and a sharp decline

297   thereafter. The P mineralization trend (over time) observed in this study was in accordance with the previous

298   studies where P mineralization of different P sources significantly decreased/declined with time (Begum et al.,

299   2004, Toor, 2009; Toor and Haggard, 2009). This decreasing trend may be ascribed due to rapid conversion

300   of available P into insoluble complexes (Mehta et al., 2014) by entering into the immobile pools through

301   precipitation reaction with highly reactive Ca^{2+} ions (Khan et al.,2009). The soil under investigation was

302   slightly alkaline (pH 7.57), non-calcareous ($CaCO_3$ 0.68%) and having Ca content of 58.7 mg kg^{-1}. The soil Ca

303   concentration was low but still its presence may contributed towards P fixation or precipitation. In addition,

304   soil belong to Chinasi soil series and parent material is residum-colluvium from shales. Therefore, it is likely

305   that kaolinite may be a dominant clay mineral present in soil composition that adsorb high $H_2PO_4^-$. The other

306 possibility may be the fixation of some of the applied or native P on the surface of the clay particles in the

307 presence of 24% clay content of the soil used in the study. As organic matter plays an important role in P

308 solubilization through the acidifying and chelation mechanisms. The low organic matter in our soil may also

309 be an important factor for overall low mineralization trend of P observed in this study.

310 Addition of RP alone released a maximum of 6% of the total P applied showing that mineralization

311 capacity of RP-P even under favorable environmental conditions is low and the fertilizer value of this RP

312 (alone) is quite negligible. These values were substantially lower than those reported for North Carolina and

313 Syrian RP applied to an acid Lily soil showing P dissolutions of about 27% after 126 days of incubation.

314 However, the observed values are in the range reported for Indian RP i.e. 6-8 mg kg^{-1} applied under alkaline

315 conditions (pH 8.5) (Begum et al., 2004). Similarly, application of RP alone to slightly alkaline soil (pH 7.9) at

316 Faisalabad Pakistan did not show any significant effect on bioavailable P contents of the soil (Saleem et al.,

317 2013). These reports suggested that RP works best in acidic soils while showed poor efficiency in neutral and

318 alkaline soils. Under acidic conditions, organic acid anions with oxygen containing OH$^-$and COOH$^-$groups,

319 have the ability to form stable complexes with cations such as Ca_2^+, Fe_2^+, Fe_3^+ and Al_3^+, that are commonly

320 bound with phosphate (Jones, 1998). By complexing with cations on the mineral surface, organic acid anions

321 loosen cation-oxygen bonds of the mineral structure and catalyze the release of cations to solution

322 (Kpomblekou and Tabatabai, 1994). This is the major reason that why RP is more effective under acidic

323 conditions.

324 Effect of PSB on P release capacity of different P amendments was significant ($P \leq 0.05$). Application of

325 PSB with RP in RP+PSB (T_8) exhibited overall 17% higher mineralization than RP alone showing a

326 solubilizing effect of PSB on RP. Jha et al. (2013) isolated ten PSB strains and tested them for mineral

327 phosphate solubilization activity of RP and stated that all these strains could solubilize only 0.02–2.6% of the

328 total RP-P applied. In addition, *Aspergillus nige*r (a fungus), used in the industrial production of citric acid, has

329 been recognized as one of the most effective organisms for RP solubilization (Abd-Alla and Omar, 2001).

330 These results suggested that i) PSB increased P solubilization of added P fertilizers either from soluble or

331 insoluble source and ii) the relative efficiency of PSB for releasing P was higher with PM compared to soluble

332 or insoluble P fertilizers. Khan and Sharif (2012) conducted an incubation study in soil amended with PM,

333 PM+RP and PM+RP+EM (EM, effective microorganisms) and reported that the extractable P was

334 significantly higher in the treatments PL+RP+EM, and PL+RP compared to PL only. Reddy et al. (2002)

335 compared the efficiency of three isolates on the solubilization of RP and reported that all the isolates increased

336 RP-P release efficiency by solubilize the tested RPs. Similar effects of bio- and organic fertilizers on RP

337 availability and P fertilizers efficiency had also been reported in soils incubated for different incubation periods

338 (Aria et al., 2010; Alzoubi and Gaibore, 2012). The mechanisms involved in the potential of PSB to solubilize

339 P complexes or insoluble phosphates are well known and has been attributed to the processes of acidification,

340 chelation, exchange reactions, and the production of organic acids (Chen et al., 2006; Ekin, 2010).

341 The mineralization of RP-P was unaffected when RP was combined with soluble P fertilizers (SSP,

342 DAP) demonstrating that soluble P fertilizers had no solubilizing effect on RP. In contrast to our results,

343 Begum et al. (2004) found a substantial improvement in extractable-P status when RP was combined with SSP

344 and MAP (mono-ammonium phosphate). However, RP when combined with PM in ½RP+½PM released

345 significantly higher P compared to the RP alone (80%) and was equivalent to that recorded under full PM

346 treatment showing that the additional P released from RP was associated with PM. Toor (2009) found a

347 substantial increase in soil solution P following the application of PM with P fertilizers because of the release

348 of organic acids during decomposition of the manure and production of carbon dioxide during organic matter

349 decomposition that may increase the solubility of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} phosphates.

350 The PSB tented to decline soil pH showing acidifying effect. The maximum reduction in pH of about

351 15 units was recorded in the treatment where PSB was applied with PM. Effect of PSB on soil pH at different

352 time intervals indicated that in some cases, addition of PSB temporarily increased soil pH more than other

353 treatments. The general decrease in pH during the experiment could have arisen from a "move back to

354 equilibrium" as well as due to increase in microbial activity. Our results were in accordance with the previous

355 observations of Aira et al. (2010) and Khan and Sharif (2012) who reported a significant decrease in soil pH

356 after applying PSB.

357 **4.2   Growth, yield, P-uptake and P utilization of chilli**

358 RP alone had little effect on plant growth but the response of RP+PSB over RP was: no effect on shoot length,

359 54% increase in root length, 50% increase in SDW and 8% increase in RDW. The difference between the two

360 treatments is attributed to the effect of PSB on releasing P either from RP or from native soil P thereby

361 increased plant growth. Among four main P sources used (SSP, DAP, RP and PM), DAP showed superiority

362 over SSP and PM because of the highest P release capacity shown in the incubation study. However, the

363 efficiency of SSP for growth and yield characteristics of chilli was significantly lower than the DAP and PM

364 for most of the parameters studied. The P release capacity of SSP was higher than the PM throughout the

365 incubation while the growth and yield attributes was lower. The possible reasons for this discrepancy is not

366 understood however, in addition to supply P to plants the additional beneficial effects of PM on soil

367 physiochemical characteristics, root proliferation and plant nutrient uptake may affect the growth and yield of

368 plants grown in PM amended soil. The results of the present study indicated that application of RPs directly

369 to soils had shown positive effects on root dry weight and yield components of chilli but the efficacy of RP for

370 most of the growth characteristics was almost negligible.

371   Application of PSB with RP, SSP, DAP and PM or their combinations displayed a remarkable

372 improvement in the growth and yield of chilli. The treatment which received ½RP+½PM+PSB produced

373 growth and yield comparable to that recorded from the full DAP showing that this mixed treatment may able

374 to save almost 50% of chemical P fertilizer. The higher response of plant growth to PSB might be due to

375 mobilization of available P by the native soil microflora, or increased PSB activity in the rhizosphere following

376 PSB application and consequently by enhanced P solubilization which enhanced growth and yield of plants

377 (Ekin, 2010). Combined application of PSB and PM with P fertilizers is considered an important management

378 strategy for mobilizing P where inert P is expected to be converted into plant available forms because of the

379 acidic environment prevailing during decomposition of organic manures (Nishanth and Biswas, 2008) and then

380 additional beneficial effects of PSB to the processes of acidification, chelation, exchange reactions, and the

381 production of organic acids (Chen et al., 2006; Ekin, 2010). These combined effects increased the efficiency of

382 applied materials thereby increased the growth and yield of the plant as observed in the present study. Our

383 results are in accordance to the previous studies conducted on the use of organic materials and PSB for

384 increasing the efficiency of applied P fertilizers and their subsequent effect on the growth and yields of plants

385 (Biswas and Narayanasamy, 2006; Nishanth and Biswas, 2008; Abbasi et al., 2013).

386   The effectiveness of P fertilizers with regard to plant P-uptake was in the order: DAP > PM > SSP >

387 RP. The total P-uptake under PM was significantly higher than the SSP (31%) but lower than the DAP (20%).

388 Application of PSB with different P sources resulted in a significant ($P \leq 0.05$) increase in P-uptake i.e. 20%

389 with RP, 29% with ½RP+½SSP, 56% with ½RP+½DAP and 132% with ½RP+½PM. These results indicated

390 that use of PSB with PM had a dominating effect on increasing plant P-uptake compared to the application of

391 other P sources. The overall PSB effect (group effect) displayed that total P-uptake under the treatments

392 supplemented with PSB was 23.4 mg plant^{-1} compared to 13.2 mg plant^{-1} under the treatments without PSB

393 showing a relative increase of 77% compared to the treatments without PSB.

394 Results of our incubation and pot experiment indicated that the total P uptake by the plants in response

395 to the different amendments was significantly correlated with P mineralization ($r^2 = 0.64$) (determined at the

396 end of the experiment at day 60) showing that P-uptake by plants is associated with the mineralization capacity

397 of added P amendments. Similarly, the effect of added amendments on increasing root mass may also have

398 affected the P-uptake as significant correlations were found between these two parameters ($r^2 = 0.71$). The

399 increasing effect of P mineralization and plant root mass/density on P-uptake due to PSB and organic

400 amendments had also been reported earlier (Lorion, 2004; Nishanth and Biswas, 2008; Abbasi et al., 2013).

401 Wickramatilake et al. (2010) investigated P release capacity of RP treated with compost prepared from PM,

402 cattle manure (CM), sewage sludge (SS), or P-adjusted sawdust (PSD) and reported that the uptake of P from

403 RP by plants is enhanced by compost, especially PM or CM compost, the increase was four- to five-fold

404 compared with no compost addition.

405 Results of this study showed that PUE of chemical P fertilizers commonly used in most parts of the

406 world i.e. SSP and DAP was low i.e. 14 and 29%, respectively. However, this recovery of applied P is in

407 accordance with the recovery efficiency of P generally reported (20–25%) (Qureshi et al., 2012). The organic

408 P sources i.e. PM displayed higher PUE (23%) compared to SSP (14%) although the P mineralization capacity

409 of SSP was significantly higher than PM. This favorable effect may be attributed to i) the increased P uptake

410 by plants through enlarged proliferation of roots as the root mass of plants under PM was 17% higher than

411 the root mass recorded under SSP ii) reduction in the activity of Ca^{2+}, Al^{3+} and Fe^{3+} ions by root exuded

412 organic anions as reported earlier (Toor, 2009).

413 The PUE of RP and RP+PSB was just 4 and 7%, respectively indicating that RP alone was not able

414 to generate any positive impact as a P fertilizer. However, the PUE of RP, SSP and DAP was remarkably

415 increased when these sources were combined either with PM or PSB. Among different combinations,

416 ½RP+½PM+PSB showed the significant contribution by increasing PUE to 27% equivalent to that recorded

417 under full DAP treatment. This finding highlighted the importance of RP as a P source when combined with

418 organic and microbial amendments. The increased PUE may have resulted in increased dry matter yield

419 (DMY), fruit yield and greater P accumulation as significant correlations existed between PUE and DMY ($r^2=$

420 0.93), fruit yield ($r^2 = 0.97$), PUE and shoot and fruit P concentrations ($r^2= 0.86. r^2= 0.93$), and PUE and shoot

421 and fruit P uptake ($r^2= 0.97$).

422 The role of organic amendments or PSB in improving P utilization from applied P fertilizers has been

423 reported earlier by several researchers (Begum et al., 2004; Toor, 2009, Abbasi et al., 2013). This positive effect

424 is attributed to the fact that release of organic acids from these amendments in the root rhizosphere can reduce

425 fixation of applied P, induce greater P availability in the soil, and form phosphor-humic complexes that are

426 easily assimilated by plants (Toor, 2009). These mechanisms can result in greater amounts of applied P in

427 available forms to be used by plants.

428 **5   Conclusions**

429 Results of our incubation experiment indicate that chemical P fertilizers used in the study i.e. SSP and DAP

430 released the highest P at the start of the experiment but this mineral P significantly decreased with subsequent

431 incubation periods. At the end of the experiment (at day 60) about 80% of P initially present had disappeared

432 from the system showing that the P recovery in the soil mineral pool was 20% of the total P applied from P

433 fertilizers. Rock phosphate (RP) alone or RP+PSB released a maximum of 12 mg P kg^{-1} demonstrating that

434 application of RP directly to the soil having slightly alkaline pH did not show any positive effect on overall P

435 mineralization or P availability. However, use of PSB and PM with RP in the combined treatment

436 (½RP+½PM+PSB) released a substantial amount of P (25 mg kg^{-1}) that remained at high levels (without any

437 loss) until the end of incubation (day 60) showing that combination of PSB and PM with RP may be a feasible

438 option for releasing P from insoluble RP for a longer period. When these amendments were applied to chilli

439 under greenhouse conditions, DAP exhibited the highest growth, yield and P-uptake. RP alone was able to

440 increase yield compared to the control but was not as effective as SSP, DAP and PM. Combinations of RP with

441 either SSP or DAP in 50:50 proportion did not show any significant effect on P mineralization and subsequent

442 plant growth and P-uptake. However, application of PM and PSB with RP in ½RP+½PM+PSB showed a

443 remarkable effect and induced growth, yields, P-uptake comparable to that recorded under the DAP treatment.

444 The P utilization efficiency of chilli supplemented with ½RP+½PM+PSB was not statistically different from

445 that recorded from full DAP treatment (27% and 29%). The combination of PM and PSB with RP

446 (½RP+½PM+PSB) therefore, hold a lot of promise as an efficient alternative to conventional P fertilizers

447 especially its effectiveness for the utilization of RP. However, the results need to be confirmed under field

448 conditions and the economic feasibility of its application needs to be quantified.

References

450 Abbasi, M. K., Mansha, S., Rahim, N., and Ali, A.: Agronomic effectiveness and phosphorus utilization
451   efficiency of rock phosphate applied to winter wheat, Agron. J., 105, 1606–1612, 2013.

452 Abbasi, M. K., Manzoor, M., and Tahir, M. M.: Efficiency of *Rhizobium* inoculation and P fertilization in
453   enhancing nodulation, seed yield and P use efficiency by field grown soybean under hilly region of
454   Rawalakot Azad Jammu and Kashmir, Pakistan, J. Plant Nutr., 33, 1080–1102, 2010.

455 Abd-Alla, M. H., and Omar, S. A.: Survival of rhizobia/bradyrhizobia and a rock-phosphate-solubilizing
456   fungus *Aspergillus niger* on various carriers from some agro-industrial wastes and their effects on
457   nodulation and growth of faba bean and soybean, J. Plant Nutr., 24, 261-272, 2001.

458 Adesanwo, O. O., Adetunji, M. T., and Diatta, S.: Effect of legume incorporation on solubilization of Ogun
459   phosphate rock on slightly acidic soils in SW Nigeria, J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci., 175, 377–384, 2012.

460 Akande, M. O., Makinde, E. A., Oluwatoyinbo, F. I., and Adetunji, M. T.: Effects of phosphate rock application
461   on dry matter yield and phosphorus recovery of maize and cowpea grown in sequence, Afr. J. Environ.
462   Sci. Technol., 4, 293–303, 2010.

463 Alloush, G. A.: Dissolution and effectiveness of phosphate rock in acidic soil amended with cattle manure,
464   Plant Soil, 251, 37–46, 2003.

465 Alloush, G.A., and Clark, R.B.: Maize response to phosphate rock and arbuscular mycorhizal fungi in acidic
466   soil, Commun Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 32, 231–254, 2001.

467 Alzoubi, M. M., and Gaibore, M.: The effect of phosphate solubilizing bacteria and organic fertilization on
468   availability of Syrian rock phosphate and increase of triple superphosphate efficiency, World J. Agric.
469   Sci., 8, 473–478, 2012.

470 Aria, M. M., Lakzian, A., Haghnia, G. H., Berenji, A. R., Besharati, H., and Fotovat, A.: Effect of *Thiobacillus*,
471   sulfur, and vermicompost on the water-soluble phosphorus of hard rock phosphate, Bioresour.
472   Technol., 10, 551–554, 2010.

473 Aziz, T., Rahmatullah, Maqsood, M. A., Tahir, M. A., Ahmad, I., and Cheema, M. A.: Phosphorus utilization
474   by six brassica cultivars (*Brassica juncea* L.) from tri-calcium phosphate; a relatively insoluble p
475   compound, Pak. J. Bot., 38, 1529–1538, 2006.

476 Beardsley, T. M.: Peak phosphorus, BioSci., 61, 91, 2011.

477 Begum, M., Narayanasamy, G., and Biswas, D. R.: Phosphorus supplying capacity of phosphate rocks as
478   influenced by compaction with water-soluble P fertilizers, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst., 68, 73–84, 2004.

479 Biswas, D. R., and Narayanasamy, G.: Rock phosphate enriched compost: an approach to improve low-grade
480   Indian rock phosphate, Bioresour. Technol., 97, 2243–2251, 2006.

481 Blake, G. R., and Hartge, K. H.: Bulk density, in: Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and
482   Microbiological Properties, edited by Page, A. L., Miller, R. H., and Keeney, D. R., SSSA, Madison,
483   WI,. 363–375, 1986.

484 Bremner, J. M., and Mulvaney, C. S.: Nitrogen − total, in: Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 2. Chemical and
485   Microbiological Properties, edited by Page, A. L., Miller, R. H., and Keeney, D. R., SSSA, Madison,
486   WI, 595−624, 1982.

487 Chen, Y. P., Rekha, P. O., Arun, A. B., Shen, F. T., Lai, W. A., and Young, C.C.: Phosphate solublizing bacteria
488   from subtropical soils and their tricalcium solublizing abilities, Appl. Soil Ecol., 34, 33−41, 2006.

489 Cordell, D., Drangert, J. O., and White, S.:The story of phosphorus: Global food security and food for
490   thought, Global Environ. Change, 19, 292−305, 2009.

491 Ekelöf, J. E., Lundell, J., Asp, H., and Jensen, E. S.: Recovery of phosphorus fertilizer in potato as affected by
492   application strategy and soil type, J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci., 177, 369−377, 2014.

493 Ekin, Z.: Performance of phosphate solublizing bacteria for improving growth and yield of sunflower
494   (*Helianthus annus* L.) in the presence of phosphorous fertilizer, Afr. J. Biotecnol., 9, 3794−3800, 2010.

495 Fankem, H., Nwaga, D., Deubel, A., Dieng, L., Merbach, W., and Etoa, F.X.: Occurrence and functioning of
496   phosphate solubilizing microorganisms from oil palm tree (*Elaeis guineensis*) rhizosphere in Cameroon,
497   Afr. J. Biotechnol., 5, 2450−2460, 2006.

498 Fernandez, L. A., Zalba, P., Gomez, M. A., Sagardoy, M. A.:Phosphate-solubilization activity of bacterial
499   strains in soil and their effect on soyabean growth under green house conditions, Biol. Fertil. Soils, 43,
500   803−805, 2007.

501 Goldstein, A.H.: Recent progress in understanding the molecular genetics and biochemistry of calcium
502   phosphate solubilization by Gram negative bacteria, Biol. Agric. Hortic., 12, 185−193, 1995.

503 Gupta, M., Bisht, S., Singh, B., Gulati, A., and Tewari, R.: Enhanced biomass and steviol glycosides in *Stevia*
504   *rebaudiana* treated with phosphate-solubilizing bacteria and rock phosphate, Plant growth Regul., 65,
505   449−457, 2011.

506 Habib, L., Chien, S. H., Carmona, G., and Henao, J.: Rape response to a Syrian phosphate rock and its
507   mixture with triple superphosphate on a limed alkaline soil, Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 30, 449−
508   456, 1999.

509 Hu, X., Chen, J., and Guo, J.:Two phosphate- and potassium-solubilizing bacteria isolated from Tianmu
510   Mountain, Zhejiang, China, World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 22, 983−990, 2006.

511 Jha, A., Saxena, J., and Sharma, V.: Investigation on phosphate solubilization potential of agricultural soil
512   bacteria as affected different phosphorus sources, temperature, salt, and pH, Commun. Soil Sci. Plant
513   Anal., 44, 2443-2458, 2013.

514 Jones, D. L.: Organic acids in the rhizosphere − a critical review, Plant Soil, 205, 25-44, 1998.

515 Khan A. A., Jilani, G., Akhter, M. S., Naqvi, S. M. S., and Rasheed, M.: Phosphorous solubilizing Bacteria;
516   occurrence, Mechanisms and their role in crop production, J. Agric. Biol. Sci., 1, 48−58, 2009.

517 Khan, M., and Sharif, M.: Solubility enhancement of phosphorus from rock phosphate through composting
518   with poultry litter, Sarhad J. Agric., 28, 415-420, 2012.

519 Khan, M.S., Zaidi, A., and Ahmad, E.: Mechanism of phosphate solubilization and physiological functions of
520   phosphate-solubilizing microorganisms. In: Khan, M.S., Zaidi, A., Musarrat, J. (Eds.), Phosphate
521   Solubilizing Microorganisms: Principles and application of microphos technology, Springer
522   International Publishing Switzerland, pp. 31−62, 2014.

523   Kim, K.Y., Jordan, D., and Krishnan, H.B.: Rahnella aqualitis, a bacterim isolated from soybean rhizosphere,
524   can solubilize hydroxyapatite, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 153, 273–277, 1997.

525   Kpomblekou, K., and Tabatabai, M. A.: Effect of organic acids on release of phosphorus from phosphate
526   rocks. Soil Sci., 158, 442–453, 1994.

527   Kpomblekou, K., and Tabatabai, M.A.: Effect of low-molecular weight organic acids on phosphorus release and
528   phytoavailability of phosphorus in phosphate rocks added to soils, Agri. Ecosyst. Environ., 100, 275–
529   284, 2003.

530   Lorion, R.M.: Rock phosphate, manure and compost use in garlic and potato systems in a high intermontane
531   valley in Bolivia. A thesis submitted for the degree of Master of Science in Soil Science Washington
532   State University Department of Crop and Soil Sciences, 2004.

533   Mashori, N.M., Memon, M., Memon, K.S., and Kakr, H.: Maize dry matter yield and P uptake as influenced by
534   rock phosphate and single super phosphate treated with farm manure, Soil Environ., 32, 130–134,
535   2013.

536   Mehta, P., Walia, A., Kulshrestha, S., Chauhan, A., and Shirkot, C.K.: Efficiency of plant growth-promoting P-
537   solubilizing *Bacillus circulans* CB7 for enhancement of tomato growth under net house conditions, J.
538   Basic Microbiol. 53, 1–12, 2014.

539   Memon, K.S.: Soil and Fertilizer phosphorus. In: Rashid, A., Memon, K.S., Bashir, E., Bantel, R. (Eds.), Soil
540   Science. National Book Foundation (NBF), Pakistan, pp. 291–338, 2005.

541   Murphy, J., and Riley, J.P.: A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural
542   waters, Anal. Chim. Acta, 27, 31–36, 1962.

543   Nelson. D. N., and Sommer, L. E.: Total carbon, organic carbon and organic matter, in: Methods of Soil
544   Analysis Part 2 Chemical and Microbiological Properties, edited by Page, A. L., Miller, R. H., and
545   Keeney, D. R., SSSA Madison, WI, 539–589, 1982.

546   Nishanth, D., and Biswas, D. R.: Kinetics of phosphorus and potassium release from rock phosphate and waste
547   mica enriched compost and their effect on yield and nutrient uptake by wheat (*Triticum aestivum*),
548   Bioresour. Technol., 99, 3342–3353, 2008.

549   Olsen, S. R., and Sommers, L. E.: Phosphorus, in: Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2 Chemical and
550   Microbiological Properties, edited by Page, A. L., Miller, R. H., and Keeney, D. R., SSSA Madison,
551   WI,. 403–430, 1982.

552   Panhwar, Q. A., Radziah, O., Zaharah, A. R., Sariah, M., and Razi, I. M.: Role of phosphate solubilizing bacteria
553   on rock phosphate solubility and growth of aerobic rice, J. Environ. Biol. 32, 607–612, 2011.

554   Qureshi, M. A., Ahmad, Z. A., Akhtar, N., and Iqbal, A.: Role of phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) in
555   enhancing P-availability and promoting cotton growth, J. Anim. Plant Sci., 22, 204–210, 2012.

556   Rajapaksha, R. M. C. P., Herath, D.,Senanayake, A. P., and Senevirathne, M. G. T. L., Mobilization of rock
557   phosphate phosphorus through bacterial inoculants to enhance growth and yield of wetland rice,
558   Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 42, 301–314, 2011.

559   Reddy, M. S., Kumar, S., Babita, K., and Reddy, M. S.: Biosolubilization of poorly soluble rock phosphates by
560   *Aspergillus tubingensis* and *Aspergillus niger*, Bioresour. Technol., 84, 187–189, 2002.

561   Ryan, J., Estefan, G., and Rashid, A.: Soil and Plant Analysis Laboratory Manual. International Center for
562   Agricultural Research in the Dry Areas (ICARDA). Aleppo Syria, pp.172, 2001.

563 Saleem, M. M., Arshad, M., and Yaseen, M.: Effectiveness of various approaches to use rock phosphate as a
564   potential source of plant available P for sustainable wheat production. Int. J. Agric. Biol., 15: 223–230,
565   2013.

566 Shenoy, V. V., and Kalagudi, G. M.: Enhancing plant phosphorus use efficiency for sustainable cropping,
567   Biotechnol. Adv. 23, 501–513, 2005.

568 Simard, R.R: Ammonium acetate-extractable elements, in: Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, edited by
569   Carter, M. R., Lewis Publishers Boca Raton FL, 39–42, 1993.

570 Soltanpour, P. N., and Workman, S.: Modification of the $NaHCO_3$ DTPA soil test to omit carbon black,
571   Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal., 10, 1411–1420, 1979.

572 Toor, G. S., and Haggard, B. E.: Phosphorus and trace metal dynamics in soils amended with poultry litter and
573   granulates, Soil use Manage., 25, 409–418, 2009.

574 Toor, G.S.: Enhancing phosphorus availability in low-phosphorus soils by using poultry manure and
575   commercial fertilizer, Soil Sci., 174, 358–364, 2009.

576 Wani, P. A., Khan, M. S., and Zaidi, A.: Synergistic effects of the inoculation with nitrogen fixing and
577   phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria on the performance of field grown chickpea, J. Plant Nutr. Soil
578   Sci. 170, 283–287, 2007.

579 Wickramatilake, A. R. P., Kouno, K., and Nagaoka, T.: Compost amendment enhances the biological properties
580   of Andosols and improves phosphorus utilization from added rock phosphate, Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 56,
581   607–616, 2010.

582 Zapata, F., and Zaharah, A.R.: Phosphorous availability from phosphate rock and sewage sludge as influenced
583   by the addition of water soluble phosphate fertilizer, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst., 63, 43–48, 2002.

584 Zou, K., Binkley, D., and Doxtadar, K. G.: New methods for estimating gross P mineralization rates in soils,
585   Plant Soil, 147, 243–250, 1992.

586
587

588 **Table 1.**   The initial physical and chemical characteristics of soil used in the study

Soil properties	values
Bulk density (g cm^{-3})	1.32
Sand (g kg^{-1})	433.9
Silt (g kg^{-1})	326.0
Clay (g kg^{-1})	240.1
Textural class	loam
Soil pH (1:2.5H$_2$O)	7.57
Organic matter (g kg^{-1})	10. 3
Organic carbon (g kg^{-1})	5.64
Total N (g kg^{-1})	0.53
NH$^+_4$-N (mg kg^{-1})	8.85
NO$^-_3$-N (mg kg^{-1})	7.21
Available P (mg kg^{-1})	4.70
Available K (mg kg^{-1})	98.5
Calcium Ca (mg kg^{-1})	58.7
Magnesium Mg (mg kg^{-1})	15.5
Iron Fe, (mg kg^{-1})	17.8
Manganese Mn (mg kg^{-1})	6.2
Zinc Zn (mg kg^{-1})	8.4
Copper Cu (mg kg^{-1})	3.79
CaCO$_3$ content (%)	0.68
Cation exchange capacity (CEC) cmol$^{(+)}$ kg^{-1}soil	11.9

589

590

591

Table 2. Mineralization potential (P release capacity) of soluble P fertilizers and insoluble rock phosphate (RP) in response to phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and poultry manure (PM) applied to a loam soil incubated under controlled laboratory conditions at 25 ^{0}C over 60 days periods.

Treatments	Days after amendments application						
	0	5	15	25	35	60	LSD (*P*≤0.05)
Extractable (available) P (mg kg^{-1} soil)							
Control	4.7	4.8	5.3	5.7	6.5	4.5	0.44
RP	6.0	7.7	9.9	6.2	11.5	6.2	1.02
SSP	73.3	30.5	21.6	18.8	21.7	13.5	2.25
DAP	68.4	29.4	23.0	19.5	20.5	14.1	2.37
PM	10.4	13.1	18.8	17.7	20.2	9.6	1.16
½RP+½SSP	42.9	21.0	14.6	21.0	5.8	6.9	2.34
½RP+½DAP	43.3	17.3	25.2	13.6	7.9	6.2	2.21
½RP+½PM	11.8	12.8	15.3	13.5	23.0	8.9	3.32
RP+PSB	6.1	6.3	10.4	11.5	11.4	9.8	1.13
½RP+½SSP+PSB	38.2	18.8	18.8	16.0	22.7	11.2	1.80
½RP+½DAP+PSB	44.6	20.9	16.9	16.0	23.1	13.0	2.30
½RP+½PM+PSB	12.7	12.4	16.8	17.5	25.2	24.2	2.21
LSD (*P*≤0.05)	1.23	2.11	1.45	1.11	1.21	1.15	

*RP =rock phosphate; SSP = single super phosphate; DAP = di-ammonium phosphate; PM = poultry manure; PSB = phosphate solubilizing bacteria; full dose of P from different sources was applied at the rate of 90 mg P kg^{-1} soil.

600

Table 3.   Changes in pH of the soil supplemented with soluble P fertilizers and insoluble rock phosphate(RP) along with phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and poultry manure (PM) and incubated under controlled laboratory conditions at 25 °C over 60 days periods.

Treatments	Days after amendments application						
	0	5	15	25	35	60	LSD ($P \leq 0.05$)
pH							
Control	7.57	7.74	7.82	7.68	7.6	7.29	0.11
RP	7.57	7.65	7.87	7.76	7.5	7.39	0.08
SSP	7.93	7.91	7.85	7.76	7.86	7.43	0.16
DAP	8.00	7.94	8.00	7.98	7.81	7.34	0.06
PM	8.10	7.93	8.07	8.10	7.96	7.49	0.13
½RP+½SSP	7.90	7.91	7.89	8.07	7.99	7.56	0.08
½RP+½DAP	7.92	7.96	8.01	8.03	7.71	7.62	0.09
½RP+½PM	7.89	7.93	7.78	8.03	7.68	7.66	0.10
RP+PSB	7.52	7.59	7.46	7.47	7.69	7.63	0.09
½RP+½SSP+PSB	7.93	7.91	7.91	7.65	7.65	7.58	0.09
½RP+½DAP+PSB	7.95	7.92	7.93	7.77	7.69	7.63	0.08
½RP+½PM+PSB	7.95	7.87	7.75	7.66	7.59	7.54	0.07
LSD ($P \leq 0.05$)	0.13	0.08	0.16	0.10	0.10	0.07	

*RP =rock phosphate; SSP = single super phosphate; DAP = di-ammonium phosphate; PM = poultry manure; PSB = phosphate solubilizing bacteria; full dose of P from different sources was applied at the rate of 90 mg P kg⁻¹ soil.

606

Table 4. Effect of soluble P fertilizers and insoluble rock phosphate (RP) applied alone or in combination with phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and poultry manure (PM) on the growth and yield characteristics of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) grown in pots under greenhouse conditions at Rawalakot Azad Jammu & Kashmir.

Treatments	Shoot length (cm)	Root length (cm)	Shoot dry wt. (g plant⁻¹)	Root dry wt. (g plant⁻¹)	Fruit length (cm)	No of seeds fruit⁻¹	No. of fruits plant⁻¹	Fruit yield (g plant⁻¹)
Control	30.0	8.8	4.8	1.13	6.1	33.7	6.7	3.5
RP	32.0	10.7	5.2	1.26	7.2	38.0	8.7	4.9
SSP	39.0	17.4	8.4	1.33	7.8	50.0	15.3	7.2
DAP	43.3	15.6	11.8	1.76	9.3	49.0	19.9	10.0
PM	31.3	14.2	10.9	1.56	8.5	42.7	13.7	9.9
½RP+½SSP	33.3	14.5	6.4	1.37	7.5	41.3	11.7	5.8
½RP+½DAP	34.2	17.5	7.9	1.42	7.7	48.7	13.0	6.6
½RP+½PM	32.2	16.2	6.9	1.36	7.6	50.0	13.3	6.4
RP+PSB	30.3	16.4	7.8	1.35	8.5	44.0	10.6	5.6
½RP+½SSP+PSB	32.3	16.7	9.5	1.40	8.4	40.3	14.9	7.2
½RP+½DAP+PSB	43.7	15.7	10.2	1.73	8.5	42.3	18.5	8.7
½RP+½PM+PSB	45.8	18.7	12.3	2.35	9.5	50.3	21.2	10.4
LSD (*P*≤0.05)	3.7	1.73	1.81	0.09	0.74	4.10	1.7	0.8

*RP =rock phosphate; SSP = single super phosphate; DAP = di-ammonium phosphate; PM = poultry manure; PSB = phosphate solubilizing bacteria; full dose of P from different sources was applied at the rate of 90 mg P kg⁻¹ soil.

Table 5. Effect of soluble P fertilizers and insoluble rock phosphate (RP) applied alone or in combination with phosphate solubilizing bacteria (PSB) and poultry manure (PM) on P content and P-uptake of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) grown in pots under greenhouse conditions at Rawalakot Azad Jammu & Kashmir.

Treatments	Shoot P (mg g^{-1})	Fruit P (mg g^{-1})	Shoot P-uptake (mg plant^{-1})	Fruit P-uptake (mg plant^{-1})	Total P-uptake (mg plant^{-1})
Control	0.78	0.50	3.7	1.8	5.5
RP	0.83	0.89	4.3	4.4	8.7
SSP	1.03	1.30	8.7	9.3	18.0
DAP	1.33	1.57	15.3	15.7	31.3
PM	1.01	1.54	11.0	15.2	26.2
½RP+½SSP	0.89	1.12	5.7	6.5	12.2
½RP+½DAP	0.92	1.08	7.3	7.1	14.4
½RP+½PM	0.90	1.06	6.2	6.8	13.0
RP+PSB	0.88	0.94	6.9	5.3	12.1
½RP+½SSP+PSB	0.98	1.17	9.3	8.4	17.7
½RP+½DAP+PSB	1.10	1.28	11.2	11.2	22.4
½RP+½PM+PSB	1.17	1.51	11.4	15.5	30.1
LSD (*P*≤0.05)	0.11	0.13	1.81	1.95	2.11

∗ RP= Rock Phosphate; SSP= Single Super Phosphate; DAP= Di ammonium Phosphate; PM= Poultry Manure; PSB= Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria; full dose of P from different sources was applied at the rate of 90 mg P kg^{-1} soil.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1. The P release capacity of different P sources applied alone or in combination with PSB and PM (average over incubation periods) to a soil incubated under controlled laboratory conditions at 25°C. The legend at x-axis represent T_0 = control; T_1 = RP; T_2 = SSP; T_3 = DAP; T_4 = PM full; T_5 = ½RP+½SSP; T_6 = ½RP+½DAP;T_7 = ½RP+½PM; T_8 = RP+PSB; T_9 = ½RP+½SSP+PSB; T_{10} = ½RP+½DAP+PSB; T_{11} = ½RP+½PM+PSB. Full dose of P from different sources was applied at the rate of 90 mg P kg^{-1} soil.

Figure 2. Changes in pH of the soil (average over incubation periods) supplemented with different P sources applied alone or in combination with PSB and PM and incubated at controlled laboratory conditions at 25°C. The legend at x-axis represent T_0 = control; T_1 = RP; T_2 = SSP; T_3 = DAP; T_4 = PM full; T_5 = ½RP+½SSP; T_6 = ½RP+½DAP;T_7 = ½RP+½PM; T_8 = RP+PSB; T_9 = ½RP+½SSP+PSB; T_{10} = ½RP+½DAP+PSB; T_{11} = ½RP+½PM+PSB. Full dose of P from different sources was applied at the rate of 90 mg P kg^{-1} soil.

Figure 3. P utilization efficiency of chilli grown under greenhouse conditions following the application of different P sources applied alone or in combination with PSB and PM. The legend at x-axis represent T_1 = RP full; T_2 = SSP; T_3 = DAP; T_4 = PM; T_5 = ½RP+½SSP; T_6 = ½RP+½DAP;T_7 = ½RP+½PM; T_8 = RP+PSB; T_9 = ½RP+½SSP+PSB; T_{10} = ½RP+½DAP+PSB; T_{11} = ½RP+½PM+PSB. Full dose of P from different sources was applied at the rate of 90 mg P kg^{-1} soil.

Figure 1. The P release capacity of different P sources applied alone or in combination with PSB and PM (average over incubation periods) to a soil incubated under controlled laboratory conditions at 25^0C. The legend at x-axis represent T_0 = control; T_1 = RP; T_2 = SSP; T_3 = DAP; T_4 = PM; T_5 = ½RP+½SSP; T_6 = ½RP+½DAP; T_7 = ½RP+½PM; T_8 = RP+PSB; T_9 = ½RP+½SSP+PSB; T_{10} = ½RP+½DAP+PSB; T_{11} = ½RP+½PM+PSB. Full dose of P from different sources was applied at the rate of 90 mg P kg^{-1} soil.

Figure 2. Changes in pH of the soil (average over incubation periods) supplemented with different P sources applied alone or in combination with PSB and PM and incubated at controlled laboratory conditions at 25°C. The legend at x-axis represent T_0 = control; T_1 = RP; T_2 = SSP; T_3 = DAP; T_4 = PM; T_5 = ½RP+½SSP; T_6 = ½RP+½DAP;T_7 = ½RP+½PM; T_8 = RP+PSB; T_9 = ½RP+½SSP+PSB; T_{10} = ½RP+½DAP+PSB; T_{11} = ½RP+½PM+PSB. Full dose of P from different sources was applied at the rate of 90 mg P kg^{-1} soil.

Figure 3. P utilization efficiency of chilli grown under greenhouse conditions following the application of different P sources applied alone or in combination with PSB and PM. The legend at x-axis represent T_1 = RP; T_2 = SSP; T_3 = DAP; T_4 = PM; T_5 = ½RP+½SSP; T_6 = ½RP+½DAP; T_7 = ½RP+½PM; T_8 = RP+PSB; T_9 = ½RP+½SSP+PSB; T_{10} = ½RP+½DAP+PSB; T_{11} = ½RP+½PM+PSB. Full dose of P from different sources was applied at the rate of 90 mg P kg^{-1} soil.