2016-02-13

Dear Editors and Reviewers, Thank you very much for your critical comments and great support! Please see the attached point-by-point answers and the manuscript with tracking system for your further evaluation.

Wish you a Happy Valentine's Day!

Sincerely yours,

Zhengqin (on behalf of all authors)

Referee #1

GENERAL COMMENTS The authors present an interesting and complete assessment on Global Warming Potential (GWP) and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) during three years in a rice-wheat rotation. The number of crop seasons, as well as the complete overview the sustainability of the agro-ecosystem (soil GHG emissions, SOC, CO2 equivalents from inputs and operations, and crop yields) are, from my point of view, the main strengths of the this study, which fits well into the scope of the journal. Conversely, the manuscript requires additional details and explanation before it can be considered for publication. Moreover, I do not understand why the authors did not set some variables (e.g. Zn fertilization -which has been reported to influence crop yields and GHG emissions- plant density, water management...). That would have simplified the discussion and maybe would have allowed obtaining some conclusions about management techniques (and not only about the overall scenarios) and the possibilities of combining scenarios. The authors should also improve the Materials and Methods section, explaining much better the GWP calculations and other issues of major interest. The conclusions are adequately presented: since each scenario is a combination of several management techniques, the authors cannot recommend any single practice, only the full scenario. Conversely, ALL the management factors that could have influence the measured variables (yields, GHG fluxes, GWP) should be briefly discussed.

A: Thank you very much for your great support and critical comments. Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as further important guidance for our researches. We have made corrections which we hope to meet with approval. Please see the following point-by-point answers.

- 1. Yes, all of these variables such as Zn fertilization, plant density, and water management affect crop yields and GHG emissions. We are sorry that we did not set them as separate variables and just integrated them to realize our goal for better yield and NUE. According to your comments, we added some information for better understanding in discussion, such as on Page 10, Lines 262-265 and 270-275 for yield, Page 12, Lines 306-308 for GHG emissions.
- 2. It is really true that we update all possible components for calculating GWP as both of you Referee suggested. So, we put them in a better way on Page 6, Lines 149-173.
- 3. In conclusion, the ISSM scenarios could be adopted for both food security and environmental protection. We discussed scenarios in detail emphasizing the main components. Revised accordingly Page 14, Lines 385-388 and Page 16, Lines 430-432.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Abstract, lines 19-23. It seems that treatments only differ in N rate, and that is not true. Please indicate briefly other parameters that were different between treatments.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 2, Lines 18-20.

Lines 82-84. Include, if available, the information on clay, silt and sand contents and soil texture.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 4, Lines 90-91.

Lines 84-86. Please indicate the annual precipitation and mean temperature in each campaign. Were "normal years" with regard to these parameters, compared to the average values (15.5 $^{\circ}$ C and 1038 mm)? In any case, I think that this information fits better in the results section.

A: Yes, thank you. Revised accordingly Page 4, Lines 88-89.

Lines 88-98. What was the N source applied? What were the dates (or phenological stages) of N application in rice -4 split applications in some treatments-? What about the wheat? When was the N applied?

A: Urea was used as N fertilizer and 20 kg N ha⁻¹ in the form of rapeseed cake fertilizer was applied as N fertilizer for N3 and N4 treatments in this study. Revised accordingly Page 5, Lines 112-119.

Please indicate that Zn and Na2SiO3 fertilization, plant density and the use of an organic amendment were also management techniques for improving rice yield and NUE.

A: Thank you very much for your comment! According to your comments, we added some information for better understanding in discussion.

Did you consider the N supplied through rapeseed cake manure for NUE calculations (you should!)? In any case, please indicate in this section the composition of this residue, at least the C and N contents and C:N ratio.

A: We are sorry for the inconvenience. We recalculated the NUE according to your comment.. Revised accordingly Page 5, Line 102, Page 8, Lines 196-199 and Fig. 1.

Lines 99-104. What amount of irrigation water was applied during the rice growing season in each campaign? Was it different between scenarios (as could be deduced from line 329)?

A: The amount of irrigation water applied during the rice growing season in each campaign was as shown in Table 4. It was different between ISSM scenarios and FP and NN.

Please describe briefly the residue management at the end of rice and wheat growing season.

A: The rice and wheat straws were removed out of the field for all the treatments in this study. Revised accordingly Page 5, Lines 119-120.

Line 116. To my knowledge, it is not possible to measure N2O with a flame ionization detector. Was not your GC equipped with an ECD detector? Please, clarify this crucial point.

A: We are sorry for the inconvenience. All of the gas samples were analyzed using a modified gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A, Shanghai, China) equipped with two detectors. N_2O was detected by an electron capture detector (ECD). Argon-methane (5%) was used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 40 ml min⁻¹ for the N_2O analysis. The temperatures of the column and the ECD were maintained at 40 $^{\circ}$ C and 300 $^{\circ}$ C, respectively. Revised accordingly Page 6, Lines 132-137.

Line 125. Can you reference this equation?

A: Yes. Revised accordingly Page 6, Line 142.

Lines 131-149. This section needs to be significantly improved. I recommend the authors to summarize the information in a DETAILED Table, indicating for each variable that is included in GWP calculations: Amount/number of labors/inputs, unit cost (kg CO2 equivalents) and a reference.

A: Thank you very much for your comment! Please see the Table 4 for the detailed information.

The information on fertilizer rates is enough, but you should indicate the number of farm operations (labor passes, seeding, fertilizing, harvesting, irrigation –and amount of water applied-) in each treatment. Were the ZnSO4 and Na2SiO3 considered for the GWP? (They should!)

A: Thank you for your comment. We added a new Table 4 for understanding the Eo and Ei components. The ZnSO₄ and Na₂SiO₃ were also considered for the GWP. There must have other uncertainties in selecting parameters. This is only preliminary evaluation within our understanding. Thank you very much for your great support!

What does the Ei involve? Only inputs application? Application + manufacture and transport?

A: Ei involve application + manufacture and transport.

What are the CO2 equivalents for N2O and CH4 emissions? Please, indicate (with a reference).

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 6, Line 152.

Lines 151-157. Were the normal distribution and variance uniformity checked? Did you use any non-parametric test for non-normally distributed data? If affirmative, please indicate how.

A: We have made the normal distribution and variance uniformity check. All data were conformed.

Line 167-168. I don't know what you meant here. According to Table 2, significant increases were observed... If this statement is referred to average wheat-rice values, please add "data not shown" in brackets.

A: Thank you for your comment. This statement was meant that significant increase was observed only in N4 scenario in wheat grain yields.

NUE: See my comment on lines 88-98 for NUE calculations in N3 and N4 scenarios (with rapeseed cake manure). Correct NUE for these cultivation patterns if the N from rapeseed was not considered.

A: We are sorry for the inconvenience. 20 kg N ha⁻¹ in the form of rapeseed cake fertilizer was applied as basal fertilizer and should be included in the total N rate. Revised accordingly Page 8, Lines 196-199 and Fig. 1.

Line 175-176. Please include this sentence "current ISSM strategy was only designed for rice production, not wheat production" in Materials and Methods.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 5, Lines 100-101.

Line 187-188. Avoid as possible the subjective statements (e.g. "due to the combined application of inorganic and organic fertilizers") in the results section. This sentence (from my point of view) fits better in the discussion.

A: Thank you for your comment. Deleted accordingly Page 9, Line 215.

Lines 189-196. The description of the N2O evolution should be improved. You should indicate how many peaks or increments (if any) were reported in each crop, and if they were reported after fertilization events. Comparing emissions (CH4 and N2O) between crops (wheat versus rice) would be interesting.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 9, Lines 216-218.

"Correlations between seasonal cumulative N2O emissions and fertilizer N application rates were also calculated": that should be indicated in Materials and Methods (Statistical Analysis section, in which you only explain that "linear relationships were determined"). About this regression, the N rate was not the only variable that could have influenced N2O emissions, since other variables were not fixed. Moreover, the N rate in N3 and N4 is not correct (you have not considered rapeseed manure). Therefore, I recommend removing this figure.

A: Thank you for your comment. Deleted accordingly.

"Relative to the FP plot, the N1 and N2 scenarios decreased the annual N2O emissions by an average of 41% and 22%, while the N4 scenario significantly increased it by 46% although there

was no significant difference between N3 and FP plots (P < 0.05)". This sentence is so confusing for me. Is this statement referred to wheat? If affirmative, please indicate and check the percentages of abatement/enhancement (looking at Table 2, average 2011-2013, I think there are some mistakes). You should describe also the results for the rice (in spite of the lack of significant differences between fertilized treatments). If these percentages are based on information of Table 2, please refer to this Table.

A: Sorry for the inconvenience. This statement referred to the annual N_2O emission rates including the results for both rice and wheat. Revised accordingly Page 9, Lines 222-323.

"With respect to the N application effect, the annual cumulative N2O emissions in all four ISSM scenarios were significantly higher than in NN (P < 0.05)". In what crop? In wheat? Please indicate, because this is not observed for rice (Table 2, Average 2011-2013 fluxes).

A: Sorry for the inconvenience. The annual cumulative N_2O emissions indicate the average rates in rice-wheat rotations of 2011–2014.

Line 202-203. "Although N fertilizer increased annual CH4 and N2O emissions, they also increased SOC sequestration in this cropping system". Please change "N fertilizer" by "fertilized treatments". The idea that N fertilization can increase SOC sequestration is speculative, particularly in the Results section.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 9, Lines 233.

Lines 206-207: "CO2 equivalents from machinery used for Ei (2449–4192 CO2-eq ha-1 yr-1) were higher than Eo (1285–1697 CO2-eq ha-1 yr-1) of the fertilized plots". The word "machinery" is confusing form me since you explain (in lines 123-133) that the Ei component accounted the CO2 emissions from agrochemical inputs.

A: Sorry for the inconvenience. Revised accordingly Page 9, Lines 238.

Lines 211-212: "Consequently, the lowest NGWP was achieved under the N1 scenario for the ISSM." The NGWP in N1, N2 and FP was statistically similar. Please remove or correct this sentence.

A: Thank you for your comment. Deleted accordingly Page 9, Lines 243.

General comments on Discussion section: the Discussion should be significantly improved. First of all, the document (and particularly this section) should be reviewed by a native speaker. As explained above, each scenario is a combination of several management techniques, and even though authors cannot recommend any single practice (only the full scenario), ALL the management factors that could have influence the measured variables (yields, GHG fluxes, GWP) should be discussed:

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly throughout the discussion. We also asked AJE for re-editing the texts. Thank you for your patience. We added references (Kabata-Pendias and Mukherjee, 2007; Hossain et al., 2008) in the text.

• Fertilization: Not only different N rates were tried, but also different P, K, silicon and Zn. This fact may have directly affected crop yields, and also N2O emissions indirectly (i.e. the more a crop grows, the more N is uptaken and the less N is likely to be lost as N2O). Particularly, Zn has been reported to influence CH4 and N2O emissions (Glass and Orphan, 2012) and yields in some crops, e.g. rice (Hossain et al., 2008). The effects of silicon in rice physiology have also been described (Kabata-Pendias and Mukherjee, 2007).

Hossain, M. A., Jahiruddin, M., Islam, M. R., & Mian, M. H. (2008). The requirement of zinc for improvement of crop yield and mineral nutrition in the maize–mungbean–rice system. Plant

and soil, 306(1-2), 13-22.

Glass, J. B., & Orphan, V. J. (2012). Trace metal requirements for microbial enzymes involved in the production and consumption of methane and nitrous oxide. Frontiers in microbiology, 3.

Kabata-Pendias, A., & Mukherjee, A. B. (2007). Trace elements from soil to human. Springer Science & Business Media.

• The rapeseed cake manure is also an important variable. This organic amendment can substantially modify CH4 and N2O fluxes thorough the release of C and N. You should indicate the composition of this residue (C and N contents) and discuss better its influence on GHG emissions (Thangarajan et al., 2013) and C sequestration. Moreover, the residues from Brassicaceae have been reported to inhibit nitrification, thus affecting N2O losses (Subbarao et al., 2015).

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly throughout the discussion. We added reference (Thangarajan et al., 2013) in the text.

Thangarajan, R., Bolan, N. S., Tian, G., Naidu, R., & Kunhikrishnan, A. (2013). Role of organic amendment application on greenhouse gas emission from soil. Science of the Total Environment, 465, 72-96.

Subbarao, G. V., Yoshihashi, T., Worthington, M., Nakahara, K., Ando, Y., Sahrawat, K. L., ... & Braun, H. J. (2015). Suppression of soil nitrification by plants. Plant Science, 233, 155-164.

• Plant density, split N application ratio and water regime were not the same in all treatments (I do not know why). Could these changes have modified GHG emissions and crop yields?

For instance, in lines 230-234, you consider that the changes in rice yields in N1 and N2 treatments as opposed to FP are due to changes in N fertilization rate. But the crop density and split ratio were different, and that could have also affected yields. In the reference that you provide (Peng et al., 2006) only the N rate is changed between treatments.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 10, Lines 262-265.

As indicated above, the N supplied thorough the manure should be taken into account for NUE calculations. Therefore, the NUE should be re-calculated and re-discussed.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 8, Lines 196-199 and Fig. 1.

Lines 239-240: "Possible explanations can be that organic fertilizer supplemented with adequate nutrients in combination with improved rice yield and efficient control of pests and diseases". As indicated above, many factors could have contributed to this higher yields and must be discussed (i.e. why the organic amendment increase yields? Maybe due to the higher supply of nutrients that you have not considered)... But what about the efficient control of pest and diseases? Were not efficiently controlled in the rest of treatments? This point needs to be clarified.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly throughout the discussion.

Lines 248-252. Please split and/or rewrite this sentence for better understanding and be careful with the variables that were not measured (e.g. leaching and volatilization).

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 11, Lines 282-285.

Line 277: add a reference for this statement.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 12, Lines 318.

Lines 278-279. Likely, the flooded conditions during rice season led to the reduction of N2O to N2 (complete denitrification).

A: Thank you for your comment.

Lines 280-282: add a reference for this statement.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 12, Lines 324.

Lines 286-287: as explained above, I recommend removing this correlation analysis.

A: Thank you for your comment. Deleted accordingly Page 12, Lines 328.

Lines 325-327: why the fertilized treatments could have increased SOC sequestration? That should be briefly discussed (maybe that could be related to residue management, which you should also explain).

A: Revised accordingly Page 14, Lines 368-369.

Line 343-344: I suggest adding a figure or table indicating the relative weight of each component in each crop. Were there any differences in Net GWP between years?

A: Thank you for your comment. The SOCSR was calculated through the long-term experiment of annual average carbon sequestration rate, not on the crop seasonal scale. We added a new Table 4 for understanding the Eo and Ei components. The GWP between years was similar.

Conclusions: you should indicate some results about wheat, not only about rice.

A: Thank you for your comment. The results include rice-wheat rotation system, not only about

Lines 382-384: I guess that you are referring to one ISSM strategy in particular (N2), please indicate. Since you propose N2 as the most appropriate management strategy, I recommend describe briefly this scenario (10% reduction of N input, no rapeseed manure, no Zn or silicon addition, higher plant density...).

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 16, Lines 428-429.

Table 2: please indicate with lowercase letters if there were significant differences between treatments in 2011, 2012 and 2013 (not only in the average).

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Table 2.

Table 4: you must add the values for both wheat and rice in each component, and discuss in the text. Additionally, see my comment on lines 343-344.

A: Thank you for your comment. We added a new Table 4 for understanding the Eo and Ei components. We use the replicates for understand the uncertainties. There must have other uncertainties in selecting parameters. This is only preliminary evaluation within our understanding. Thank you very much for your great support!

Figure 2: You should delete figure 2a (this information is given on Table 2) and c (see the comment on lines 286-287). Add the standard errors (or deviations) to the columns in fig. 2b.

A: Thank you for your sincere advice! Revised accordingly Figure 1.

Figures 3 and 4. Indicate (e.g. with arrows) the times of N fertilization and the flooding cycles.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Figures 2 and 3.

TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS

Abstract: A widespread criteria is that non-standard or uncommon abbreviations should be avoided in the abstract. Therefore, you should remove some abbreviations that you only use once, e.g. Ei, Eo and SOC.

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly Page 2, Lines 22-23.

Avoid abbreviations at the beginning of the sentence (e.g. K in line 102, CO2 in line 206, CH4 in line 263).

A: Thank you for your comment. Revised accordingly.

Line 214: The word "Conversely" does not make sense there. Please rewrite the whole sentence.

A: Revised accordingly Page 10, Line 245-246.

Line 224: at the beginning of the sentence, change "A" by "The".

A: Revised accordingly Page 10, Line 255.

Line 312: Although there were not significant differences...

A: Revised accordingly Page 13, Line 352.

Lines 314-316: In spite of producing. Change "considerable" by "similar".

A: Revised accordingly Page 13, Line 355.

Line 333: per unit OF grain produced.

A: Revised accordingly Page 14, Line 375.

Line 355: the word "technologies" is repeated twice.

A: Revised accordingly Page 15, Line 399.

Line 362: the word "increment" is repeated twice.

A: Revised accordingly Page 15, Line 404.

Caption Table 2: and yields during rice and wheat cropping seasons...

A: Revised accordingly Table 2.

Footnote Table 2: significant differenceS.

A: Revised accordingly Table 2.

Table 3: I guess that you have considered MEAN fluxes and yields during the three cropping seasons (please indicate in the caption).

A: Yes, we considered mean fluxes and yields during the three cropping seasons. Revised accordingly Table 3.

Caption Table 4: global warming potential (without "s")

A: Revised accordingly Table 5.

Be careful with the font and size in the figure captions.

A: Yes, we greatly revised the whole manuscript according to your valuable comments. We also improved our Figures for clarity. Thank you very much for your great help!

Referee #2

General Comments The authors have attempted to test agro-ecosystem dependent variables against a comprehensive set of controls related with the global perspective of GWP, and have tried to relate the study with the food security. The scope of this study is too large to detail all the measurements and their dynamics. Provided this paper is revised, it could be useful for relevant farming community, interesting to the scientific community and potentially important for the climate change studies. This paper should be published after filling up the significant gaps identified and correcting the specific and/or technical problems in the manuscript:

There are two major problems which need to be resolved before this research is published: 1. The C contents of the biomass (harvested crop=grains/paddy + straw) have gone un-accounted for in equations, although grain yield has been accounted for in equation 3 for GHGI calculation. However, in either case the crop straw is not mentioned (accounted). Crops grains as well as the wheat and rice straw accumulate a significant amount of C. As well, it is not clear how the total C balance of the agro-ecosystem was calculated. It is unclear how wheat grain and rice paddy and their straws have been accounted for in C balance and GWP calculations. The relative contributions of different GHGs on a global time scale are not even briefly mentioned. The "N" in the abbreviation "NGWP" is redundant. Instead negative GWP (cooling) and positive GWP

(warming) could be simpler to be used. 2. As the measurements were made from the same plots over years, therefore, repeated measures ANOVAs should be used, although year could also be taken as a fixed variable at the same time to see differences between years.

A: Thank you very much for your patience and your great support. We have tried our best to revise our manuscript according to your valuable comments. Please see the following point-by-point answers.

- 1. We determined the C balance by calculating the SOC changes in the integrated soil-crop system in this study as adopted by several researches (Shang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014). We may adopt an alternative approach for calculating the C balance as suggested considering the C inputs from all parts including grains, straws, root exudates, manures etc. and outputs such as heterotrophic respiration. We compared these approaches and they agreed well with each other as reported by our previous publication (Zhang et al., 2014). We added some information according to your comments. Harvests included crop grains as well as the rice and wheat straws were removed out of the field for all the treatments in this study. Revised accordingly Page 5, Lines 119-120.
- 2. We deleted the "N" and "net" according to the Referee's suggestion. Thus, we use GWP for all of our updated terminology. Thank you for your comments.
- 3. Considering the Referee's suggestion, we have made correction in Table 3. A repeated-measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) was used to test cultivation patterns, cropping years and their interaction on GHG emissions and grain yields for the three annual rice-wheat rotations. Thank you very much for your indication. We therefore corrected the corresponding description according to the new MANOVA results.

Specific Comments

1. Authors have presented the conclusion in the abstract in a clear, concise and comprehensive

A: Thank you very much for your comment!

2. 5 years field study for this experiment is appropriate as it provides larger data set for processing to conclude with less uncertainty

A: Thank you so much for your support.

3. The terms GWP and Food Security are very important and need to be defined in introduction section.

A: You are right. This is our major aim. We revised this point accordingly. Page 3, Lines 39-43 and Lines 52-55.

4. Please provide a brief rationale for this research with Food Security

A: You are right. Thank you very much! Revised accordingly Page 3, Lines 39-50.

5. The comments by the other referee are tired not be repeated here

A: Yes, thank you.

6. It could be very interesting if the GWPs be related to the annual (or seasonal) temperature and precipitation.

A: Thank you for your comment. The annual temperature and precipitation were similar over three years in this study. Further observations are essential to find out their relationship.

7. Fig. 1 may not be needed in this paper as the climate is not discussed in results section or related with other variables

A: Daily mean air temperature and precipitation were provided accordingly as Supplementary

resource 1.

8. In the title, "Net" is redundant

A: Thank you for your comment. We have deleted the "Net" in the title and the corresponding texts

Page 18885 Line 6: add "equivalent" before "emissions" Line 7, 8: putting the abbreviations in brackets could be more meaningful Line 13: ", i.e., N1, N2, N3 and N4," is redundant as these are already defined earlier Line 24: why is the word "cost" here? Page 18886 Line 4, 5, 6: Conclusion cannot be made on the basis of hypothesis, therefore, please remove this conclusion. Page 18887 Basal fertilizers- what was rate? Page 18888 Line 7: space or "." Is required after mL Line 13: why different size brackets are used when same sized could be used? Table 2. The 2ND column CH4 values could be rounded off to no decimal point while the SD could be rounded off to a single decimal point.

A: We are sorry for the inconvenience. Revised accordingly Page 2, Lines 21-23, Line 26, Page 3, Line 50, Page 4, Line 77 and Table 2. The basal fertilizers rate was presented in Table 1 and Page 5, lines 112-116.

Thank you once again for your critical comments and great support! Sincerely yours,

Zhengqin (on behalf of all authors)

Prof. Zhengqin Xiong, PhD College of Resources and Environmental Sciences Nanjing Agricultural University Weigang #1, Nanjing, 210095 PRC

zqxiong@njau.edu.cn

86-13605188915 (cell) 86-25-84395148 (O)

ORCID <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4743-7325</u>

1	Global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in rice agriculture driven by
2	high yields and nitrogen use efficiency: A 5-year field study
3	
4	Xiaoxu Zhang ^a , Xin Xu ^a , Yinglie Liu ^a , Jinyang Wang ^{a,b} , Zhengqin Xiong ^{a,*}
5	^a Jiangsu Key Laboratory of Low Carbon Agriculture and GHGs Mitigation, College of
6	Resources and Environmental Sciences, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, 210095,
7	China
8	^b State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture, Institute of Soil Science, Chinese
9	Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210008, China
10	
11	*Corresponding author (Z. Xiong):
12	Tel: +86-25-84395148,
13	Fax: +86-25-84395210,
14	E-mail: zqxiong@njau.edu.cn

删除的内容: Net g

删除的内容: Ziqiang Zhou^a,

删除的内容: Xin Xu^a, 删除的内容: Heng Zhang^a, **Abstract:** Our understanding of how global warming potential (GWP) and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) is affected by management practices aimed at food security with respect to rice agriculture remains limited. In the present study, a 5-year field experiment was conducted in China to evaluate the effects of integrated soil-crop system management (ISSM) mainly consisting of different nitrogen (N) fertilization rates and split, manure, Zn and Na₂SiO₃ fertilization and planting density on GWP and GHGI after accounting for carbon dioxide (CO2) equivalent emissions from all sources including methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions, agrochemical inputs and farm operations and sinks (i.e., soil organic carbon sequestration). For the improvement of rice yield and agronomic nitrogen use efficiency (NUE), four ISSM scenarios consisting of different N rates relative to the local farmers' practice (FP) rate were carried out, namely, N1 (25% reduction), N2 (10% reduction), N3 (FP rate) and N4 (25% increase). The results showed that compared with the FP, the four ISSM scenarios, significantly increased the rice yields by 10, 16, 28 and 41% and the agronomic NUE by 75, 67, 74 and 73%, respectively. In addition, compared with the FP, the N1 and N2 scenarios significantly reduced the GHGI by 14 and 18%, respectively, despite similar <u>GWP</u>s. The N3 and N4 scenarios remarkably increased the GWP and GHGI by an average of 67 and 37%, respectively. In conclusion, the ISSM strategies are promising for both food security and environmental protection, and the ISSM scenario of N2 is the optimal strategy to realize high yields and high NUE together with low environmental impacts for this agricultural rice field.

19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

删除的内容: net 删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容:(

删除的内容:

删除的内容:,

删除的内容:

删除的内容: (Ei)

删除的内容:,

M0164 H1 F1 127* ,

删除的内容: (Eo)

删除的内容:,

删除的内容: (SOC)

删除的内容: nitrogen (

删除的内容:)

删除的内容: fertilization

删除的内容:, i.e., N1, N2, N3 and N4,

删除的内容:

删除的内容: 86

删除的内容: 82

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: 6

1 Introduction

Rapid population growth and economic development place a growing pressure on increasing food production (Barrett, 2010). An increase in global food production of 100% is the most appropriate way to sustain the increase in human population and the consumption of animal protein (Tilman et al., 2011). Rice is the staple food for nearly 50% of the world's people, mainly in Asia. According to FAO (2010), approximately 600 million people in Asia-Pacific region are suffering from hunger and malnutrition. With the region's population projected to increase by another billion by mid-century, new approaches to increase food production are needed. Within a limited land area, the intensive agricultural regions of China are facing serious environmental problems due to large inputs of chemical fertilizers and low nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) (Ju et al., 2009; Makino, 2011). Thus, integrated soil-crop system management (ISSM), which redesigns the whole production system based on the local environment and draws on appropriate fertilizer varieties and application ratios, crop densities and advanced water regime management, has been advocated and developed to simultaneously increase crop productivity and NUE with low carbon dioxide (CO₂) equivalent emissions in China (Chen et al., 2014).

CO₂ methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are the most important greenhouse gases (GHGs) that greatly contribute to global warming (IPCC, 2013). The concept of global warming potential (GWP) was proposed based on the radiative properties of all the GHG emissions and soil organic carbon (SOC) fixation, expressed as CO₂ eq. ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Robertson and Grace, 2004; Mosier et al., 2006). Although agriculture releases significant amounts of CH₄ and N₂O into the atmosphere, the net emission of CO₂ equivalents from farming activities can be partly offset by changing agricultural management to increase the soil organic matter content and/or decrease the emissions of CH₄ and N₂O (Mosier et al., 2006; Smith et al., 2008). If global agricultural techniques are improved, the mitigation potential of agriculture (excluding fossil fuel offsets from biomass) is estimated to be approximately 5.5–6.0 Pg CO₂ eq. yr⁻¹ by 2030 (Smith et al., 2008). However, the release of CO₂ during the manufacturing and application of N fertilizer to crops and from fuel used in machines for farm operations can counteract these mitigation efforts (West and Marland, 2002). This indicates that agricultural ecosystems are not only a very important source of GHG

删除的内容: carbon (C) costs

删除的内容: Carbon dioxide (

删除的内容:)

emissions but also present substantial opportunities for mitigation. Therefore, when determining the <u>GWP of GHG (CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O)</u> emissions from agroecosystems, there is a need to account for all sources <u>including GHGs</u> emissions, agrochemical inputs (Ei) and farm operations (Eo) and sinks e.g. soil organic carbon (SOC) sequestration of CO_2 equivalents (Sainju et al., 2014).

Information on the effects of ISSM scenarios on GWP and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) is limited in China (Ma et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015). The annual rotation of summer rice-upland crop is a dominant cropping system in China. Previous studies mainly investigated the initial influences of ISSM practices but did not account for the contributions of CO₂ emissions from Ei and Eo (Ma et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014). In this study, we evaluated GWP and GHGI by taking CO₂ equivalents from all sources and sinks into account for 5 years. We hypothesized that the ISSM strategies would reduce the overall GWP and GHGI compared with local farmers' practices (FP). The specific objectives of this study were to (i) evaluate the effects of different ISSM scenarios on GWP and GHGI; (ii) determine the main sources of GWP and GHGI in a rice-wheat cropping system; and (iii) elucidate the overall performance for each ISSM scenario for different targets to increase grain yields and NUE and reduce GWP and GHGI.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental site

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116 117

118

119

120

121

122

123

A 5-year field experiment was conducted at the Changshu agro-ecological experimental station (31°32′93″N, 120°41′88″E) in Jiangsu Province, China. This is a typical, intensively managed agricultural area where the cropping regime is dominated by a flooding rice (*Oryza sativa* L.)-drained wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) rotation system. The site is characterized by a subtropical humid monsoon climate with a mean annual air temperature of 1<u>5.6</u>, 15.2 and 15.8, °C and precipitation of <u>878</u>, 1163 and 984 mm for three years, respectively. The soil of the field is classified as an *Anthrosol* with a sandy loam texture of 6% sand (1–0.05 mm), 80% silt (0.05–0.001 mm), and 14% clay (<0.001 mm), which developed from lacustrine sediment. The major properties of the soil at 0–20 cm can be described as follows: bulk density, 1.11 g cm⁻³; pH, 7.35; organic matter content, 35.0 g kg⁻¹; and total N, 2.1 g kg⁻¹. The daily mean air temperatures and precipitation during the study period from June 15,

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: net

删除的内容: global warming potential (

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: GWP)

删除的内容:(

删除的内容: ga

删除的内容: (

删除的内容:..

删除的内容:)

删除的内容: the C cost or

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容:; thus, specific ISSM scenarios can be adopted by policy makers based on specific targets, such as high yield, high NUE and GHG mitigation

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: 5.5

删除的内容: 1038

删除的内容: shown in Fig. 1

2011, to June 15, 2014, are given in the supplementary resource 1,

2.2 Experimental design and management

A completely randomized design was established in 2009 with four replicates of six treatments, including no nitrogen (NN) and FP as controls, and four ISSM scenarios at different N application rates relative to the local FP rate, namely N1 (25% reduction), N2 (10% reduction), N3 (FP rate) and N4 (25% increase). The designed ISSM (only for rice but not wheat production) including a redesign of a split N fertilizer application, a balanced fertilizer application (rapeseed cake in additional 112.5 kg N ha⁻¹, C/N=8), additional phosphorus and potassium application, and transplanting density, used as the main techniques for improving rice yield and agronomic NUE (calculated as the difference in grain yield between the plots that received N application and the NN plot, divided by the N fertilizer rate). The details of the fertilizer applications, irrigation, and field management practices of the six different treatments are presented in Table 1. Further detailed information was described previously (Zhang et al., 2014). Each plot was 6 m × 7 min size with an independent drainage/irrigation system.

One midseason drainage (about one week) and final drainage before harvest were used during the rice-growing season, whereas the plots only received precipitation during the wheat-growing season. The N fertilizer was split into a 6:2:0:2 or 5:1:2:2 ratio of basal fertilizer and topdressings for the rice crop and a 6:1:3 ratio for the wheat crop. All of phosphorous (P), silicon (Si), zinc (Zn), and rapeseed cake manure were applied as basal fertilizers for both crops. Potassium (K) was added as a split (1:1) application to the rice crop and all as basal fertilizer for the wheat crop. The basal fertilization occurred at the time of rice transplanting and wheat seeding. The topdressing was applied at the tillering and panicle stages of the rice crop and at the seedling establishment and elongation stages of the wheat crop. Harvests included crop grains as well as the rice and wheat straws were removed out of the field for all the treatments in this study.

2.3 Gas sampling and measurements

We measured the CH_4 emissions and N_2O fluxes in each plot of the field experiment over

175 five annual cycles from the 2009 rice-growing season to the 2014 wheat-growing season.

176 The initial 2-yr measurements during the 2009–2011 rice-wheat rotational systems were

删除的内容: P, Si, Zn

删除的内容: used

described in our previous study (Ma et al., 2013). Emissions were measured manually using the static-opaque chamber method. Each replicate plot was equipped with a chamber with a size of $50 \text{ cm} \times 50 \text{ cm} \times 50 \text{ cm} \times 50 \text{ cm} \times 50 \text{ cm} \times 110 \text{ cm}$, depending on the crop growth and plant height. The chamber was placed on a fixed PVC frame in each plot and wrapped with a layer of sponge and aluminum foil to minimize air temperature changes inside the chamber during the period of sampling.

The gas samples were analyzed for CH₄ and N₂O concentrations using a gas chromatograph (Agilent 7890A, Shanghai, China) equipped with two detectors. CH₄ was detected using a hydrogen flame ionization detector (FID), and N₂O was detected using an electron capture detector (ECD). Argon-methane (5%) and N₂ were used as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 40 ml min⁻¹ for N₂O and CH₄ analysis, respectively. The temperatures for the column and ECD detector were maintained at 40 °C and 300 °C, respectively. The oven and FID were operated at 50 °C and 300 °C, respectively.

193 2.4 Topsoil organic carbon sequestration measurements

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

(2)

To measure the organic carbon content of the topsoil as described by Zhang et al. (2014), soil samples were collected after the wheat harvest in 2009 and 2014 from all experimental plots at a plowing depth of 0–20 cm. The soil organic carbon sequestration rates (SOCSR) were calculated as follows (Liu et al., 2015):

SOCSR (t C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) = (SOC_t – SOC₀) / T ×
$$\gamma$$
 × (1 – δ _{2mm}/100) × 20 × 10⁻¹ (1)

In Eq. (1), SOC_t and SOC₀ are the SOC contents measured in the soils sampled after the wheat was harvested in 2014 and 2009, respectively. T refers to the experimental period (yr). γ and δ_{2mm} are the average bulk density and the gravel content (>2 mm) of the topsoil (0–20 cm), respectively.

2.5 GWP and GHGI measurements

To better understand the overall climatic effects of the ISSM strategies on rice-wheat rotation cropping system, the <u>GWP</u> and GHGI were updated using all possible components and calculated as the following equations (IPCC, 2013):

GWP (kg CO₂ eq. ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) =
$$25 \times CH_4 + 298 \times N_2O + Ei + Eo - 44/12 \times SOCSR$$

GHGI (kg CO_2 eq. kg⁻¹ grain yield yr⁻¹) = <u>GWP</u>/grain yield (3)

刷除的内容: a hydrogen flame ionization detector and an SS-2 m×2 mm Porapak Q (80/100 mesh) column. The oven temperature remained at 50 °C, and the detector was maintained at 300 °C. The carrier gas was purified N_2 with a flow rate of 35 ml min⁻¹.

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: In addition to the CHa emissions and N2O fluxes, we considered some 'hidden' CO2 equivalent emissions. including agrochemical inputs (Ei), such as the manufacture and transportation of the N, P and K fertilizers (Snyder et al., 2009), and farm operations (Eo), such as the water used for irrigation (Zhang et al., 2013) and diesel fuel (Huang et al., 2013a). The CO2 equivalent emissions of N fertilizer were calculated as the mean value of the C emissions of 1.3 kg C equivalent kg-1 (Lal, 2004). Similarly, the CO2 equivalent for irrigation was calculated from the total amount of water used during the rice-growing season; the coefficient for the C cost was 5.16 (kg C eq. $cm^{-1} ha^{-1}$) (Lal, 2004). The CO2 equivalents of other Ei (P and K fertilization, manure, herbicide, pesticide and fungicide applications) and Eo (tillage, planting, harvest, and farm machinery production) were recorded and estimated according to the methods

删除的内容: The NGWP of the cropland ecosystem equals the total CO₂ emission equivalents minus the SOC change. To better understand climatic effects of the

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: using

删除的内容: GWP(

删除的内容: GWP(

删除的内容:)

删除的内容: NGWP

281	In Eq. (2), Ei, Eo and SOCSR represent CO2 equivalent emissions from the
282	agrochemical inputs, farm operations and soil organic carbon sequestration rate, respectively.
283	The global warming potential of 1 kg CH ₄ and N ₂ O are equivalent to 25 and 298 kg CO ₂
284	based on 100-year time scale, respectively (IPCC, 2013). The 12 and 44 are the molecular
285	weight of C and CO ₂ , respectively. The grain yield is expressed as the air-dried grain yield.
286	Therefore, the GWP of the cropland ecosystem equals the total CO ₂ equivalent
287	emissions minus the SOC change. In addition to the CH_4 emissions and N_2O fluxes, we
288	considered the 'hidden' CO2 equivalent emissions, including agrochemical inputs (Ei), such
289	as the manufacture and transportation of the N, P and K fertilizers (Snyder et al., 2009), and
290	farm operations (Eo), such as the water used for irrigation (Zhang et al., 2013) and diesel
291	fuel (Huang et al., 2013a). The CO ₂ equivalent emissions of N fertilizer were calculated as
292	the mean value of the C emissions of 1.3 kg C equivalent kg ⁻¹ (Lal, 2004). Similarly, the
293	CO ₂ equivalent for irrigation was calculated from the total amount of water used during the
294	rice-growing season; the coefficient for the C cost was 5.16 (kg C eq. cm ⁻¹ ha ⁻¹) (Lal, 2004).
295	The CO ₂ equivalents of other Ei (P and K fertilization, manure, herbicide, pesticide and
296	fungicide applications) and Eo (tillage, planting, harvest, and farm machinery production)
297	were recorded and estimated according to the methods provided by Lal (2004). We collected
298	data specific to China's fertilizer manufacture and consumption, and then estimated C
299	emissions coefficients were 0.07 and 0.1 C cost (kg C eq. kg ⁻¹ active ingredient) per applied
300	Si and Zn fertilizer, respectively.
301	2.6 Statistical analysis
302	Repeated-measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) and linear relationships
303	were determined using JMP 7.0, ver. 7.0 (SAS Institute, USA, 2007). The F-test was applied
304	to determine whether there were significant effects of the practices, years and their
305	interaction at $P < 0.05$. One-way analysis of variance was conducted to determine the
306	emissions of CH_4 and N_2O , and the grain yield among the different treatments. Tukey's HSD
307	test was used to determine whether significant differences occurred between the treatments
308	at a significance level of $P < 0.05$. The results are presented as the means and standard

删除的内容: using JMP, ver. 7.0 (SAS Institute, USA, 2007)

删除的内容: Repeated-measures multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA)Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and linear relationships were determined using JMP 7.0. The F-test was applied to determine whether there were significant effects of the practices, years and their interaction at P < 0.05.

309

310

deviation (mean \pm SD, n = 4).

321 3.1 Crop production and agronomic NUE During the three cropping rotations from 2011 to 2014, the rice and wheat yields varied 322 significantly among these cultivation patterns; these results are shown in Table 2. The grain 323 删除的内容: Fig. 2a yields ranged from 5.83 to 12.11 t ha⁻¹ for rice and 1.75 to 6.14 t ha⁻¹ for wheat (Table 2). 324 On average over the three cycles, the annual rice yield of the FP was significantly lower than 325 326 that of the ISSM scenarios of N1, N2, N3 and N4. Compared with the FP, rice grain yields 327 increased by 10% and 16% for the N1 and N2 scenarios, respectively, i.e., with the lower N 328 input, by 28% for the N3 scenario with the same N input and by 41% for the N4 scenario 329 with the highest N input. However, we did not observe any significant increases in the wheat-grain yields compared with the FP except for the N4 scenario. Statistical analysis 330 indicated that rice and wheat yields from the three years were not significantly influenced by 331 the interaction of cultivation patterns and cropping year (Table 3). 332 333 The agronomic NUE for the rice and wheat of the fertilized plots ranged from 9.2 to 16.1 and 19.5 to 24.7 kg grain kg⁻¹ N, respectively (Fig. 1). The higher NUE in the wheat 删除的内容: 7 334 **删除的内容:** 2b season was mainly due to the reduced N fertilizer (40%) during this season. As expected, the 335 **删除的内容:** 86 336 rice agronomic NUE significantly increased by 75, 67, 74 and 73% for the N1, N2, N3 and 删除的内容: 82 337 N4 scenarios, respectively, compared with the FP (Fig. 1). For the wheat crop, the agronomic 删除的内容: 2b NUE merely increased by 12 and 14% for the N1 and N2 scenarios, respectively, and 338 decreased to some extent for the N3 and N4 scenarios compared with the FP, mainly because 339 340 the current ISSM strategy was only designed for rice and not wheat production. 341 3.2 CH₄ and N₂O emissions 342 All plots showed similar CH₄ emission patterns, being a source in the rice season and 343 negligible in the wheat season. During the three annual rice-wheat rotations from 2011 to 2014, the CH₄ fluxes ranged from -3.89 to 99.67 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹ (Fig. 2). The seasonal CH₄ 删除的内容: 3 344 emissions varied significantly among the treatments during the rice-growing season (Table 3, 345 删除的内容: 3 346 Fig. 2). No significant difference was found between the FP, N1 and N2 plots. Temporal variation was significant during the three cycles (Table 3, P < 0.001). Averaged across years, 347 348 the CH₄ emission was greater in the N3 and N4 plots than in the NN, FP, N1 and N2 plots (Table 2, P < 0.05). However, compared with the NN plots, the FP, N1 and N2 plots with 349

inorganic fertilizer application resulted in increased CH₄ emission rates of 59.9, 41.9 and

43.0%, respectively, averaged over the rice-growing seasons. The CH₄ emission rates were 359 360 further enhanced by 198.5% in the N3 plots and by 246.7% in the N4 plots. The annual N₂O fluxes varied from -33.1 to 647.5 µg N₂O-N m⁻² h⁻¹, most of the N₂O 361 was emitted during the wheat-growing season after fertilization events, and there were 362 several small emission peaks during the rice-growing season (Fig. 3). With respect to the N 363 application effect, the annual cumulative N2O emissions for all four ISSM scenarios were 364 365 significantly higher than in NN (P < 0.05). Relative to the FP plot, the N1 and N2 scenarios 366 decreased the annual N₂O emissions by an average of 41% and 22%, respectively (Table 2). 367 The N4 scenario significantly increased it by 46% (P < 0.05) because they received additional N via manure application compared to the FP practice, although there was no 368 significant difference between the N3 and FP plots. 369 3.3 Annual GWP and GHGI 370 371 Based on the perspective of the carbon footprint, we included the GHG emissions associated with all of the inputs (Ei and Eo), and SOC sequestration was expressed as kg CO₂ eq. ha⁻¹ 372 yr⁻¹. The emission of CO₂ equivalents for Ei and Eo are classified in Table 4. While 373 irrigation was a large proportion of farm operations, these were much less significant than 374 375 chemical inputs. The CO2 equivalents rates from N fertilizer dominated not only the 376 chemical input section (67-76% of Ei) but also the total CO₂ equivalents from agricultural management (46-51% of the sum of the Ei and Eo). The GWP ranged from 7871 to 20911 377 kg CO₂ eq. ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ for the NN and the N4 plots, respectively (Table 5). Although <u>fertilized</u> 378 379 treatments, increased the annual CH₄ and N₂O emissions, it also increased the SOC 380 sequestration in this cropping system. Of the main field GHGs that were directly emitted, CH₄ accounted for 56–75% of the GWP in all plots. An increase in the annual SOC content 381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

删除的内容: due to the combined application of inorganic and organic fertilizers

删除的内容: 4

删除的内容:,

删除的内容: while t

删除的内容: Correlations between seasonal cumulative N₂O emissions and fertilizer N application rates were also calculated and the seasonal cumulative N₂O emissions increased exponentially with an increase in the N application rate (Fig. 2c).

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: 8

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: 847

删除的内容: N fertilizer

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: machinery used

删除的内容: 192

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: Consequently, the lowest NGWPGWP was achieved under the N1 scenario for the ISSM.

led to a significant decrease in the <u>GWP</u> (contributed to 5–10% of the <u>GWP</u> except in the

NN plot). The CO₂ equivalents from agricultural management practices for Ei (2449-4256)

CO₂ eq. ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) were higher than those for Eo (1285-1697CO₂ eq. ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) in the

fertilized plots. There was no significant difference in the annual GWP observed between the

FP, N1 and N2 plots (Table 5). Across the three years, N1 and N2 slightly reduced the GWP

by 12 and 10%, respectively; however, N3 and N4 significantly increased the GWP by an

average of 52 and 81%, respectively, in comparison with the FP.

The GHGI was used to express the relationship between GWP and grain yield. The GHGIs in this study ranged from 664 to 1145 kg CO₂ eq. t⁻¹ (Table 5). The significant difference in the annual GHGI was found between the FP and the ISSM strategies. Compared with the FP, N1 and N2 significantly reduced the GHGI by 14 and 18%, respectively, mainly due to the increased grain yield and SOC sequestration as well as reduced GHG emissions for the ISSM strategies. Although N fertilizer or organic/inorganic combination fertilizer application reduced the SOC losses caused by crop cultivation and increased the grain yields, the GHGIs were generally higher for the N3 and N4 scenarios than the N1 and N2 scenarios due to further increases in CH₄ and N₂O emissions.

4 Discussion

418

419

420 421

422423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433 434

435

436

437

438

439 440

441

442

443

444

445

446

4.1 Grain yield and agronomic NUE as affected by ISSM strategies

Grain yields are directly related to fertilizer management. The MANOVA results indicated that the rice and wheat grain yields were significantly affected by the cultivation strategies (Table 3, P < 0.001), which is in agreement with previous results (Chen et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011). Compared with the FP plot, the rice yields were remarkably increased by all four ISSM scenarios (Table 2). However, the wheat grain yield decreased significantly when the N fertilizer rate was reduced by 25% (N1 scenario). It has been reported in previous studies that ISSM strategies can effectively improve the rice grain yield (Ma et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2015). First, the adjusted transplanting density for the N1, N2, and N3 scenarios would produce a positive effect on rice yield by influencing rice colony structure, which agreed with Wu et al. (2005). Second, reasonable N split for the N1, N2, N3 and N4 scenarios would significantly increase rice yield and agronomic NUE which had been confirmed by Liu et al. (2009). In the present study, N1 and N2 significantly increased annual rice production by 10 and 16%, respectively, in comparison with the FP (Table 2). The finding is consistent with the result of Peng et al. (2006), who reported that a 30% reduction in the total N rate during the early vegetative stage did not reduce the yield but slightly increased it when combined with the modified farmers' fertilizer practice. Third, integrated management of three macronutrients: N, P and K as well as the two micronutrients: Si and Zn were considered as essential for sustainable high crop yields. Additional Si and Zn fertilizers for 删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: 3

删除的内容: A

删除的内容: two-way

the N3 and N4 scenarios would support better seedling establishment and reduce both biotic and abiotic stress, thus produce higher yields (Wang et al., 2005; Slaton et al., 2005; Kabata-Pendias and Mukherjee,

2007; Hossain et al., 2008). As expected, when the total N rate was at the FP rate and increased by 25% and applied with rapeseed cake manure, the rice yield in these N3 and N4 plots remarkably increased by 28 and 41%, respectively. Based on a long-term fertilizer experiment, Shang et al. (2011) reported that organic fertilizer incorporation significantly increased the early rice grain yield. This may have resulted from the organic fertilizer applied in combination with adequate nutrients, which improved the rice yield.

It has been suggested that N losses vary depending on the timing, rate, and method of N application, as well as the source of N fertilizer (Zhu, 1997). In spite of the high proportion and improper timing of N application, rapid N losses (via ammonia volatilization, denitrification, surface runoff, and leaching) are important factors that cause low agronomic NUE of irrigated rice in China (Peng et al., 2006). Compared with the FP plot, the rice agronomic NUE was significantly increased by 75, 67, 74 and 73% under the N1, N2, N3 and N4 scenarios, respectively (Fig. 1). The higher rice agronomic NUE in our study over the experimental period was primarily due to the greatly reduced N losses by leaching and volatilization as well as the improvement of N bioavailability in the rice crop season. Organic/inorganic combination fertilizer application also increases uptake by crops compared with the traditional farmers' practice (Peng et al., 2006). These findings suggest that the ISSM strategy is an effective method for improving grain yield and agronomic NUE for future sustainable rice agriculture in China.

4.2 CH₄ and N₂O emissions as affected by ISSM strategies

During the three years, the annual cumulative CH_4 emissions, on average, varied from 133 to 469 kg C ha⁻¹yr⁻¹ (Table 2), and these values fell within the range of 4.1 to 1015.6 kg CH_4 ha⁻¹ observed previously in a rice field (Huang et al., 2004). The MANOVA results indicated that obvious effects of cultivation patterns and years on CH_4 emissions were found during the rice-wheat rotations (Table 3, P < 0.001). The CH_4 emissions were not significantly affected by the cycles but affected by crop season (Table 5, Fig. 2). In this study, no significant difference in CH_4 emission was observed between the FP, N1 and N2 plots.

删除的内容: and the efficient control of pests and diseases (Slaton et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2005)

删除的内容: The higher yields in N3 and N4 scenarios would have also attributed to the addition of 15 kg Zn ha⁻¹ for better seedling establishment and vigor according to Slaton et al. (2005) and the application of silicon to reduce both biotic and abiotic stress according to Wang et al. (2005).

删除的内容: In general, rapid N losses (via ammonia volatilization, denitrification, surface runoff, and leaching), the high application rate of fertilizer N and improper timing of N application are three important factors that cause low agronomic NUE of irrigated rice in China

删除的内容: 86

删除的内容: 82

删除的内容: 2b

删除的内容: two-wav

删除的内容: 3

However, compared with the FP plot, the N3 and N4 scenarios emitted 87 and 118% more CH₄ emissions, respectively (Table 5), which is probably due to the incorporation of the organic rapeseed cake manure. Previous reports support the observations that CH₄ emissions were significantly increased with the application of organic amendments (Ma et al., 2009; Thangarajan et al., 2013; Zou et al., 2005). Additional application of Si and Zn fertilizers had no significant effect on CH₄ and N₂O fluxes, which was consistent with the result of Xie et al. (2015). Moreover, rice growth was found to be significantly increased under the N3 and N4 scenarios. In this case, the organic matter inputs such as root litter and rhizodeposits in the N3 and N4 scenarios were probably also higher than in the other plots, and thus soil C input, which served as an additional source of substrates for the methanogens in the rice paddies, likely contributed to the increase in CH₄ emissions (Ma et al., 2009). Finally, because the rice plants acted as the main pathway for CH₄ transports from the soil to the atmosphere, the higher biomass the more CH₄ emissions (Yan et al., 2005). The results obtained in the present study revealed that both inorganic and organic fertilizer application significantly increased the CH₄ emissions in the rice season (Table 2), which was probably associated with the increase in the SOC content and crop biomass (Ma et al., 2013).

503

504 505

506

507 508

509

510

511

512

513

514515

516

517518

519

520

521522

523

524

525

526

527528

529

530

531

532

Denitrification and nitrification are the main processes that produce N₂O in the soil (Paul et al., 1993). Changes in the soil water content strongly affected the soil N₂O emissions and resulted in negligible N₂O emissions when the rice field was flooded (Fig. 3), which is consistent with previous reports (Akiyama et al., 2005; Murdiyarso et al., 2010). A relatively high N₂O peak was observed in the first two weeks of the wheat-growing season (Fig. 3), possibly because soil changes from flooded to drained condition may have enhanced N₂O release (Deng et al., 2012). Alternation of drainage and flooding may induce large amounts of N₂O emissions, particularly in fertilized systems; this has commonly been proved in earlier studies (Wang et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2007; Zou et al., 2005). The seasonal and annual rates of N₂O emission were significantly affected by the cultivation practice patterns and years (Table 3). Compared with the FP plot, the N2 scenario greatly decreased the seasonal N₂O emissions in this study, which may have resulted from a reduction in the N fertilizer rate (Table 1, Table 2). The total N₂O emissions decreased by 7–38% and 26–42% in the rice and wheat seasons, respectively, when the conventional N

删除的内容: 4

删除的内容: 4

删除的内容: Our results showed that the seasonal cumulative N₂O emissions increased exponentially with an increase in the N application rate (Fig. 2c).

539	management (300 kg N ha ⁻¹ for rice and 180 kg N ha ⁻¹ per crop for wheat) changed to	
540	optimum N management (225-270 kg N ha ⁻¹ for rice and 135-162 kg N ha ⁻¹ per crop for	
541	wheat). It is likely that more N_2O was emitted (Mosier et al., 2006) as a result of the	
542	additional N made available to the soil microbes through N fertilizer application, which	
543	probably increased the CH ₄ emissions (Banger et al., 2013). Strategies that can reduce N	
544	fertilization rates without influencing crop yields can inevitably lower GHG emissions	
545	(Mosier et al., 2006).	
546	4.3 GWP and GHGI as affected by ISSM strategies	删除的内容: NGWP
547	The <u>GWP</u> in our study (10104–20 <u>911</u> kg CO ₂ eq. ha ⁻¹) with the ISSM strategies was higher	删除的内容: NGWP
548	than that in a double-cropping cereal rotation (1346–4684 kg CO_2 eq. ha^{-1}) and a rice-wheat	删除的内容: 847
549	annual rotation (290–4580 kg $\rm CO_2$ eq. $\rm ha^{-1}$) reported by Huang et al. (2013b) and Yang et al.	
550	(2015), respectively. Dominant CH ₄ emissions as well as additional CO ₂ emitted by the	
551	machinery/equipment used for irrigation and farm operations under the ISSM strategies may	
552	increase the <u>GWP</u> more than in other cropping systems. However, the current <u>GWP</u> was still	删除的内容: NGWP
553	much lower than that of a double-rice cropping system (13407–26066 kg CO ₂ eq. ha ⁻¹)	删除的内容: NGWP
554	(Shang et al., 2011).The GHGIs, which ranged from 0.66 to 1.15 kg CO2 eq. kg ⁻¹ grain in	删除的内容: 4
555	this study, were slightly higher than previous estimates of $0.24-0.74~kg~CO_2~eq.~kg^{-1}$ grain	
556	from rice paddies with midseason drainage and organic manure incorporation (Qin et al.,	
557	2010; Li et al., 2006) but were lower than the DNDC model estimates for continuous	
558	waterlogged paddies (3.22 kg CO ₂ eq. kg ⁻¹ grain) (Li et al., 2006). Differences in <u>GWP</u> or	删除的内容: NGWP
559	GHGI were found in the cultivation patterns over the three rice-wheat rotations (Table 5).	
560	Although there were <u>not</u> significant differences among the FP, N1 and N2 plots, the N1 and	删除的内容: no
561	N2 scenarios with optimized ISSM strategies led to a lower <u>GWP</u> than the FP (Table 5).	删除的内容: NGWP
562	Compared with the FP, the N1 and N2 scenarios dramatically reduced the GHGI, which was	
563	mainly due to higher yields. In spite of the similar, GWP compared with the FP plot, the	删除的内容: considerable
564	lowest GHGI (0.66 kg CO_2 eq. kg^{-1} grain) was obtained under the N2 scenario. This finding	删除的内容: NGWP
565	is consistent with the suggestion made by Burney et al. (2010), i.e., that the net effect of	
566	higher yields offsets emissions. It is well known that CH ₄ emissions dominate the GWP in	删除的内容: NGWP
567	rice paddies (Ma et al., 2013; Shang et al., 2011). In comparison to the GWP (11545 kg CO ₂	删除的内容: NGWP
568	eq. $ha^{-1}yr^{-1}$) and GHGI (0.81 kg CO_2 eq. kg^{-1} grain) of the FP, the N3 and N4 scenarios	

increased both the <u>GWP</u> and GHGI, mainly because these scenarios notably increased the CH₄ emissions compared with the FP, which resulted in relatively higher <u>GWP</u> (Table 5).

impact other sources/sinks and therefore change the GWP and GHGI (Mosier et al., 2006;

Agricultural management practices that change one type of GWP source/sink may also

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592 593

594

595

596 597

598

599

600

601 602

603

604

605

606 607

608

609 610

611

2005; Wang et al., 2012).

删除的内容: NGWP

Shang et al., 2011). Although the N-fertilizer plots, especially those with the incorporation of organic fertilizer, increased the annual CH₄ and N₂O emissions, they increased the SOC sequestration in this cropping system, which is agreement with previous reports (Huang and Sun, 2006). This was mainly due to the enhanced incorporation of rapeseed cake and crop residue associated with higher crop productivity (Ma et al., 2013). In the present study, the N2 scenario with ISSM decreased the CH₄ and N₂O emissions as well as the energy consumption related to irrigation and the manufacture and transport of N fertilizer (depending on coal combustion), ultimately leading to a decrease in the GWP relative to the FP plot. Moreover, despite the lower N fertilizer input, the grain yield did not decline and the GHGI of the N2 scenario was thus lower than of the FP plot, indicating less consumption of CO₂ equivalents per unit of grain produced. We demonstrate that high yield and agronomic NUE, together with low GWP, are not conflicting goals by optimizing ISSM strategies. 4.4 Main components of GWP and GHGI and implementation significance for the ISSM strategies Determining the main components of the GWP and GHGI in specific cropping systems is very important for mitigating GHG emissions in the future because the benefits of C sequestration would be negated by CH₄ and N₂O emissions and the CO₂ equivalents released with the use of high N fertilizer application rates (Schlesinger, 2010). In the current study, the five main components of the CO₂ equivalents for the GWP were ranked in decreasing order of importance as follows: CH₄ emissions > agrochemical inputs of N fertilizer > farm operations related to irrigation > SOC sequestration > N2O emissions (Table 5). CH4 emissions, the most important component of GWP in this typical rice-wheat rotation system, could be further mitigated by some other strategies, such as reasonable irrigation (Zou et al.,

Although N fertilizer application increased SOC sequestration when it was applied

basis, by the increases in CH₄ and N₂O emissions (Table 5). Similar results have been reported, i.e., GHG emissions substantially offset SOC increases (Six et al., 2004). It is possible that the realization of reducing the GWP and GHGI in China should focus on increasing the SOC and simultaneously decreasing the CO₂ equivalents from CH₄ emissions and N fertilizer inputs. Several studies reported possible methods for these types of mitigation strategies, such as optimizing the chemical fertilizer application amount and rate (Ju et al., 2011), the amount of water used for irrigation (Gao et al., 2015), and the timing and rate of N using the in-season N management approach, as well as improving the N fertilizer manufacturing technologies (Zhang et al., 2013), and using nitrification inhibitors or polymer-coated controlled-release fertilizers (Hu et al., 2013).

China is a rapidly developing country that faces the dual challenge of substantially increasing grain yields at the same time as reducing the very substantial environmental impacts of intensive agriculture (Chen et al., 2011). We used the ISSM strategies to develop a rice production system that achieved mean yields of 10.63 t ha⁻¹ (an increment of almost 24%) and an agronomic NUE of 16.33 kg grain kg⁻¹ N (an approximate doubling) in long-term field experiments compared with current farmers' practices. The ISSM redesigned the whole production system only for the rice crop based on the local environment and drawing on appropriate fertilizer varieties and application ratios, crop densities and an advanced water regime management. If the ISSM strategies were also developed for the rotated wheat crop, the overall performance of the whole rice-wheat system would be much improved, with further increases in yield and reductions in the GWP and GHGI. We conclude that the ISSM strategies are promising, particularly the ISSM-N2 scenario, which is the most favorable to realize higher yields with lower environmental impact. The proposed ISSM strategies can provide substantial benefits to intensive agricultural systems and can be applied feasibly using current technologies.

5 Conclusions

Reasonable agricultural management practices are the key to reducing GHG emissions from agricultural ecosystems. This study provided an insight into the complete GHG emission accounting of the GWP and GHGI affected by different ISSM scenarios. After a five-year field experiment, we found that the CH₄ emissions, production of N fertilizer, irrigation,

删除的内容: that

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

SOC sequestration and N2O fluxes were the main components of the GWP in a typical rice-wheat rotation system. In contrast with the FP, N1 and N2 significantly reduced the GHGI, though they resulted in similar GWPs, and N3 and N4 remarkably increased the GWP and GHGL By adopting the ISSM strategy, the conventional N application rate was reduced by 10% while the rice yield was significantly increased by 16%, the NUE was improved by 67% and the GHGI was lowered. ISSM scenarios could be adopted for both food security and environmental protection with specific targets. We propose that the ISSM-N2 scenario is the most appropriate management strategy (10% reduction of N input, no rapeseed manure and higher plant density) for realizing higher yields and NUE, together with some potential to reduce GHGI by integrated soil-crop management. For simultaneously mitigating GHG emissions, further research on integrated soil-crop system managements is required particularly for mitigating CH₄ emissions in sustainable rice agriculture. Acknowledgments We sincerely appreciate two anonymous reviewers for their critical and valuable comments to help improve this manuscript. This work was jointly supported by the National Science Foundation of China (41171238, 41471192), the Special Fund for Agro-Scientific Research in the Public Interest (201503106) and the Ministry of Science and Technology (2013BAD11B01).

653

654

655

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

664 665

666

667

668 669

670

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容:, indicating that further research is required for mitigating GHG emissions when aiming to increasing rice yield and NUE

References

678

691

692

693

694

700

701

- Akiyama, H., Yagi, K. and Yan, X. Y.: Direct N₂O emissions from rice paddy fields: summary of available data, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB002378, doi:10.1029/2004GB002378, 2005.
- Banger, K., Tian, H. and Lu, C.: Do nitrogen fertilizers stimulate or inhibit methane emissions from rice fields?, Glob. Change Biol., 18, 3259-3267, 2013.
- 683 Barrett, C. B.: Measuring food insecurity, Science., 327 (5967), 825-828, 2010.
- Burney, J. A., Davis, S. J. and Lobell, D. B.: Greenhouse gas mitigation by agricultural intensification,
 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 107, 12052-12057, 2010.
- Chen, X., Cui, Z., Vitousek, P. M., Cassman, K. G., Matson, P. A., Bai, J., Meng, Q., Hou, P., Yue, S.
 and Römheld, V.: Integrated soil-crop system management for food security, P. Natl. Acad.
 Sci. USA., 108, 6399-6404, 2011.
- Chen, X., Cui, Z., Fan, M., Vitousek, P., Zhao, M., Ma, W., Wang, Z., Zhang, W., Yan, X. and Yang, J.:
 Producing more grain with lower environmental costs, Nature, 514, 486-489, 2014.
 - Deng, J., Zhou, Z., Zheng, X., Liu, C., Yao, Z., Xie, B., Cui, F., Han, S. and Zhu, J.: Annual emissions of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide from rice-wheat rotation and vegetable fields: a case study in the Tai-Lake region, China, Plant Soil, http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-012-1223-6, 2012.
- 695 Food and Agriculture Organization Statistical Data (FAOSTAT), Available online at:
 696 http://www.fao.org/docrep/003/x6905e/x6905e04.htm, Rome, Italy, 2010.
- Gao, B., Ju, X., Meng, Q., Cui, Z., Christie, P., Chen, X. and Zhang, F.: The impact of alternative
 cropping systems on global warming potential, grain yield and groundwater use, Agr.
 Ecosyst. Environ., 203, 46-54, 2015.
 - Hossain, M. A., Jahiruddin, M., Islam, M. R., and Mian, M. H.: The requirement of zinc for improvement of crop yield and mineral nutrition in the maize-mungbean-rice system. Plant and soil., 306, 13-22, 2008.
- Hu, X., Su, F., Ju, X., Gao, B., Oenema, O., Christie, P., Huang, B., Jiang, R. and Zhang, F.:
 Greenhouse gas emissions from a wheat-maize double cropping system with different nitrogen fertilization regimes, Environ. Pollut., 176, 198-207, 2013.
- Huang, J., Chen, Y., Sui, P. and Gao, W.: Estimation of net greenhouse gas balance using crop-and soil-based approaches: Two case studies, Sci. Total Environ., 456, 299-306, 2013a.
- Huang, T., Gao, B., Christie, P. and Ju, X.: Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in a double-cropping cereal rotation as affected by nitrogen and straw management, Biogeosciences, 10, 7897-7911, 2013b.
- Huang, Y. and Sun, W.: Changes in topsoil organic carbon of croplands in mainland China over the last two decades, Chinese Sci Bull., 51, 1785-1803, 2006.
- Huang, Y., Zhang, W., Zheng, X. H., Li, J. and Yu, Y. Q.: Modeling methane emission from rice paddies with various agricultural practices, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 109, D08, doi:10.1029/2003JD004401, 2004.
- IPCC.: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis: working group I contribution to the Fifth
 Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge
 University Press, Stockholm, 2013.
- Ju, X., Xing, G., Chen, X., Zhang, S., Zhang, L., Liu, X., Cui, Z., Yin, B., Christie, P. and Zhu, Z.:
 Reducing environmental risk by improving N management in intensive Chinese agricultural
 systems, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 3041-3046, 2009.

- 722 Ju, X., Lu, X., Gao, Z., Chen, X., Su, F., Kogge, M., Römheld, V., Christie, P. and Zhang, F.:
- Processes and factors controlling N₂O production in an intensively managed low carbon
- 724 calcareous soil under sub-humid monsoon conditions, Environ. Pollut., 159, 1007-1016, 725 2011.
- Kabata-Pendias, A. and Mukherjee, A. B.: Trace elements from soil to human. Springer Science &
 Business Media, 2007.
- Lal, R.: Carbon emission from farm operations, Environ. Int., 30, 981-990, 2004.
- Li, C. S., Salas, W., DeAngelo, B. and Rose, S.: Assessing alternatives for mitigating net greenhouse
 gas emissions and increasing yields from rice production in China over the next twenty years,
 J. Environ. Qual., 35, 1554-1565, 2006.
- Liu, L., Xue Y., Sun, X., Wang, Z. and Yang, J.: Effects of water management methods on grain yield
 and fertilizer-nitrogen use efficiency in rice, Chin J Rice Sci., 23, 282-288, 2009.
- Liu, Y. L., Zhou, Z., Zhang, X., Xu, X., Chen, H. and Xiong, Z.: Net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity from the double rice system with integrated soil-crop system management: A three-year field study, Atmos. Environ., 116, 92-101, 2015.
- Ma, J., Ma, E., Xu, H., Yagi, K. and Cai, Z.: Wheat straw management affects CH₄ and N₂O emissions
 from rice fields, Soil Biol. Biochem., 41, 1022-1028, 2009.
- Ma, Y., Kong, X., Yang, B., Zhang, X., Yan, X., Yang, J. and Xiong, Z.: Net global warming potential
 and greenhouse gas intensity of annual rice-wheat rotations with integrated soil-crop system
 management, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 164, 209-219, 2013.
- Makino, A.: Photosynthesis, grain yield, and nitrogen utilization in rice and wheat, Plant physiol., 155, 125-129, 2011.
- Mosier, A. R., Halvorson, A. D., Reule, C. A. and Liu, X. J.: Net global warming potential and
 greenhouse gas intensity in irrigated cropping systems in northeastern Colorado, J. Environ.
 Qual., 35, 1584-1598, 2006.
- Murdiyarso, D., Hergoualc'h, K. and Verchot, L. V.: Opportunities for reducing greenhouse gas
 emissions in tropical peatlands, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 107, 19655-19660, 2010.
- Paul, J.W., Beauchamp, E.G. and Zhang, X.: Nitrous and nitric oxide emissions during nitrification
 and denitrification from manure-amended soil in the laboratory, Can. J. Soil. Sci., 73,
 539-553, 1993.
- Peng, S., Buresh, R. J., Huang, J., Yang, J., Zou, Y., Zhong, X., Wang, G. and Zhang, E.: Strategies for
 overcoming low agronomic nitrogen use efficiency in irrigated rice systems in China, Field
 Crop. Res., 96, 37-47, 2006.
- Qin, Y. M., Liu, S.W., Guo, Y. Q., Liu, Q. and Zou, J. W.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from
 organic and conventional rice cropping systems in Southeast China, Biol. Fertil. Soils., 46,
 825-834, 2010.

758

759

- Robertson, G. P. and Grace, P. R.: Greenhouse gas fluxes in tropical and temperate agriculture: The need for a full-cost accounting of global warming potentials, Environment Development and Sustainability, 6, 51-63, 2004.
- Sainju, U. M., Stevens, W. B., Caesar-TonThat, T., Liebig, M. A. and Wang, J.: Net global warming
 potential and greenhouse gas intensity influenced by irrigation, tillage, crop rotation, and
 nitrogen fertilization, J. Environ. Qual., 43, 777-788, 2014.
- Schlesinger, W. H.: On fertilizer-induced soil carbon sequestration in China's croplands, Glob. Change
 Biol., 16, 849-850, 2010.

- Shang, Q., Yang, X., Gao, C., Wu, P., Liu, J., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., Zou, J. and Guo, S.: Net annual global
 warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in Chinese double rice-cropping systems: a
 3-year field measurement in long-term fertilizer experiments, Glob. Change Biol., 17,
 2196-2210, 2011.
- Six, J., Ogle, S. M., Conant, R. T., Mosier, A. R. and Paustian, K.: The potential to mitigate global
 warming with no-tillage management is only realized when practised in the long term, Glob.
 Change Biol., 10, 155-160, 2004.
- Slaton, N. A., Norman, R. J. and Wilson, C. E.: Effect of zinc source and application time on zinc uptake and grain yield of flood-irrigated rice, Agron. J., 97, 272-278, 2005.
- Smith, P., Martino, D., Cai, Z., Gwary, D., Janzen, H., Kumar, P., McCarl, B., Ogle, S., O'Mara, F. and
 Rice, C.: Greenhouse gas mitigation in agriculture, Philos. T. R. Soc. B., 363, 789-813, 2008.
- Snyder, C., Bruulsema, T., Jensen, T. and Fixen, P.: Review of greenhouse gas emissions from crop
 production systems and fertilizer management effects, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 133, 247-266,
 2009.
- Thangarajan, R., Bolan, N. S., Tian, G., Naidu, R. and Kunhikrishnan, A.: Role of organic amendment
 application on greenhouse gas emission from soil, Science of the Total Environment., 465,
 72-96, 2013.
- Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J., Befort, B. L. and Affiliations, A.: Global food demand and the sustainable intensification of agriculture, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 108, 20260-20264, 2011.

785

786

787

788

789

790

794

795 796

797

- Wang, J., Zhang, X., Xiong, Z., Khalil, M.A.K., Zhao, X., Xie, Y. and Xing, G.: Methane emissions from a rice agroecosystem in South China: Effects of water regime, straw incorporation and nitrogen fertilizer, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst., 93,103-112, 2012.
- Wang, L.J., Nie, Q., Li, M., Zhang, F.S., Zhuang, J.Q., Yang, W.S., Li, T.J. and Wang, Y.H.: Biosilicified structures for cooling plant leaves: a mechanism of highly_efficient midinfrared thermal emission, Appl. Phys. Lett., 87, 194105, doi:10.1063/1.2126115, 2005.
- West, T. O. and Marland, G.:_A synthesis of carbon sequestration, carbon emissions, and net carbon flux in agriculture: comparing tillage practices in the United States, Agr_ Ecosyst_ Environ., 91, 217-232, 2002.
 - Wu, C., Ye, D., Lin, H., Ni, R., Lai L. and Lin, H.: Effects of transplanting density on rice yield and its quality, Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin., 21, 190-205, 2005.
 - Xie, Y., Zhang, J., Jiang, H., Yang, J., Deng, S., Li, X., Guo, J., Li, L., Liu, X. and Zhou, G.: Effects of different fertilization practices on greenhouse gas emissions from paddy soil, Journal of Agro-Environment Science., 3, 578-584, 2015.
- 799 Xiong, Z. Q., Xing, G. X. and Zhu, Z. L.: Nitrous oxide and methane emissions as affected by water, soil and nitrogen, Pedosphere, 17, 146-155, 2007.
- Yan, X. Y., Yagi, K., Akiyama, H. and Akimoto, H.: Statistical analysis of the major_variables controlling methane emission from rice fields, Glob. Change Biol., 11,1131-1141, 2005.
- Yang, B., Xiong, Z., Wang, J., Xu, X., Huang, Q. and Shen, Q.: Mitigating net global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensities by substituting chemical nitrogen fertilizers with organic fertilization strategies in rice-wheat annual rotation systems in China: A 3-year field experiment, Ecol. Eng., 81, 289-297, 2015.
- Zhang, F., Cui, Z., Fan, M., Zhang, W., Chen, X. and Jiang, R.: Integrated soil-crop system management: reducing environmental risk while increasing crop productivity and improving nutrient use efficiency in China, J. Environ Qual., 40, 1051-1057, 2011.

810	Zhang, W., Dou, Z., He, P., Ju, X., Powlson, D., Chadwick, D., Norse, D., Lu, Y., Zhang, Y. and Wu,
811	L.:_New technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China,
812	P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 8375-8380, 2013.
813	Zhang, X., Fan, C., Ma, Y., Liu, Y., Li, L., Zhou, Q. and Xiong, Z.: Two approaches for net ecosystem
814	carbon budgets and soil carbon sequestration in a rice-wheat rotation system in China, Nutr.
815	Cycl. Agroecosys., 100, 301-313, 2014.
816	Zhu, Z.: Fate and management of fertilizer nitrogen in agro-ecosystems. Nitrogen in Soils of China,
817	Springer_Netherlands, 239-279, 1997.
818	Zou, J. W., Huang, Y., Jiang, J. Y., Zheng, X. H. and Sass, R.L.: A 3-year field measurement of
819	methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in China: effects of water regime,
820	crop residue, and fertilizer application, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 19, GB002401,
821	doi:10.1029/2004GB002401, 2005.

Table 1The establishment of different treatments for the annual rice-wheat rotations during the 2011–2014 cycle.

Scenario	NN ^a	FP	ISSM-N1	ISSM-N2	ISSM-N3	ISSM-N4
			Rice	e-growing season		
Chemical fertilizer application rate	0:90:120:0:0	300:90:120:0:0	225:90:120:0:0	270:90:120:0:0	300:108:144:225:15	375:126:180:225:15
$(N:P_2O_5:K_2O:Na_2SiO_3:ZnSO_4, kg ha^{-1})$	0:90:120:0:0	300:90:120:0:0	225:90:120:0:0	270:90:120:0:0	300:108:144:223:13	3/3:120:180:223:13
Split N application ratio		6:2:0:2	5:1:2:2	5:1:2:2	5:1:2:2	5:1:2:2
Rapeseed cake manure (t ha ⁻¹)	0	0	0	0	2.25	2.25
Water regime	F-D-F-M ^b	F-D-F-M	F-D-F-M	F-D-F-M	F-D-F-M	F-D-F-M
Planting density (cm)	20×20	20×20	20×15	20×15	20×15	20×20
			Whe	at-growing season	ı	
Chemical fertilizer application rate	0.00.190	190.00.190	125.00.180	162.00.100	100.100.217	225.126.270
$(N:P_2O_5:K_2O, kg ha^{-1})$	0:90:180	180:90:180	135:90:180	162:90:180	180:108:216	225:126:270
Split N application ratio		6:1:3	6:1:3	6:1:3	6:1:3	6:1:3
Seed sowing density (kg ha ⁻¹)	180	180	180	180	180	180

^aNN, no N application; FP, farmers' practice; The four integrated soil-crop system management (ISSM) practices at different nitrogen application rates relative to the FP rate of 300 kg N ha⁻¹ for the rice crop and 180 kg N ha⁻¹ for the wheat crop, namely, N1 (25% reduction), N2 (10% reduction), N3 (FP rate) and N4 (25% increase). Urea, calcium biphosphate and potassium chloride were used as N, P and K fertilizer respectively.

 $^{{}^{\}mathrm{b}}\mathrm{F\text{-}D\text{-}F\text{-}M},$ flooding-midseason drainage-re-flooding-moist irrigation.

Table 2 Seasonal CH_4 and N_2O emissions, and vields during rice and wheat cropping seasons in the three cycles of 2011–2014.

		Rice season			Wheat season					
Treatment	CH ₄	N_2O	Yield	CH ₄	N ₂ O	Yield				
	(kg C ha ⁻¹)	(kg N ha ⁻¹)	(t ha ⁻¹)	(kg C ha ⁻¹)	(kg N ha ⁻¹)	(t ha ⁻¹)				
2011										
NN	153 <u>±10.8c</u>	0.03±0.05 <u>c</u>	5.85±0.08 <u>f</u>	- 0.48±0.63 <u>a</u>	0.45 ±0.09 <u>d</u>	1.74±0.18 <u>d</u>				
FP	26 <u>6</u> ±25. <u>3b</u>	0.11±0.08 <u>c</u>	8.38±0.35 <u>e</u>	- 0.48±1.86 <u>a</u>	1.43±0.19 <u>b</u>	5.67 ±0.20 <u>b</u>				
ISSM-N1	212 <u>+</u> 30. <u>3bc</u>	0.08±0.03 <u>c</u>	9.27±0.26 <u>d</u>	0.78±0.97 <u>a</u>	0.65 ±0.11 <u>cd</u>	5.05±0.16 <u>c</u>				
ISSM-N2	2 <u>20</u> ±32.5 <u>bc</u>	0.17±0.11 <u>bc</u>	9.79±0.44 <u>c</u>	2.25±2.07 <u>a</u>	0.80±0.06 <u>c</u>	5.71±0.18 <u>b</u>				
ISSM-N3	518±58.9 <u>a</u>	0.38±0.15 <u>ab</u>	10.81±0.26 <u>b</u>	0.04±3.23 <u>a</u>	1.40±0.10 <u>b</u>	5.31 ±0.26 <u>bc</u>				
ISSM-N4	56 <u>1</u> ±50. <u>9a</u>	0.37±0.07 <u>a</u>	11.76±0.24 <u>a</u>	- 0.09 ±1.40 <u>a</u>	1.93±0.09 <u>a</u>	6.15±0.15 <u>a</u>				
2012										
NN	149 <u>+25.8d</u>	0.13±0.10 <u>c</u>	5.80±0.22 <u>f</u>	- 4.32±7.29 <u>a</u>	0.65±0.09 <u>d</u>	1.73±0.11 <u>c</u>				
FP	239 <u>+</u> 34.5c.	0.33±0.11 <u>bc</u>	8.72±0.62 <u>e</u>	4.85±10.30 <u>a</u>	2.13±0.43 <u>ab</u>	5.64±0.34 <u>ab</u>				
ISSM-N1	22 <u>6</u> ±30.4 <u>cd</u> ,	0.27±0.07 <u>bc</u>	9.43±0.34 <u>d</u>	1.46±6.38 <u>a</u>	1.39±0.14 <u>c</u>	4.94±0.38 <u>b</u>				
ISSM-N2	228±32.6cd	0.38±0.29 <u>bc</u>	9.99±0.50 <u>c</u>	- 1.02±0.84 <u>a</u>	1.77 ±0.38 <u>bc</u>	5.78±0.59 <u>ab</u>				
ISSM-N3	431 <u>+26.8b</u>	0.52±0.16 <u>ab</u>	10.92±0.61 <u>b</u>	2.45±8.35 <u>a</u>	2.19±0.24 <u>ab</u>	5.39±0.39 <u>ab</u>				
ISSM-N4	53 <u>6</u> ±58. <u>7a</u>	0.78±0.13 <u>a</u>	12.24±0.60 <u>a</u>	5.91±6.18 <u>a</u>	2.61 ±0.42 <u>a</u>	6.10±0.49 <u>a</u>				
2013										
NN	101 <u>±</u> 39.2 <u>b</u>	0.16±0.09 <u>b</u>	5.84±0.15 <u>f</u>	- 1.45 ±1.34 <u>a</u>	0.35 ±0.06 <u>c</u>	1.80±0.03 <u>c</u>				
FP	14 <u>1+</u> 25.2 <u>b</u>	0.43±0.39 <u>ab</u>	8.67±0.26 <u>e</u>	- 3.70±1.76 <u>a</u>	0.80±0.20 <u>ab</u>	5.70±0.30 <u>ab</u>				
ISSM-N1	135 <u>±</u> 15. <u>7b</u>	0.19±0.16 <u>ab</u>	9.66±0.29 <u>d</u>	- 1.00±1.61 <u>a</u>	0.49±0.16 <u>bc</u>	5.15±0.20 <u>b</u>				
ISSM-N2	129 <u>±</u> 32.2 <u>b</u>	0.26±0.13 <u>ab</u>	10.15±0.07 <u>c</u>	- 0.79±1.60 <u>a</u>	0.69±0.24 <u>abc</u>	5.80±0.18 <u>ab</u>				
ISSM-N3	256.±45.6a	0.59±0.42 <u>ab</u>	11.14±0.10 <u>b</u>	- 0.62±1.14 <u>a</u>	0.71±0.10 <u>ab</u>	5.51 ±0.33 <u>ab</u>				
ISSM-N4	304 <u>+22.3a</u>	0.74±0.40 <u>a</u>	12.34±0.16 <u>a</u>	0.55±1.68 <u>a</u>	1.02±0.11 <u>a</u>	6.19±0.63 <u>a</u>				
Average 20	11–2013 ^a									
NN^b	13 <u>5</u> ±19.6d	0.11±0.05c	5.83±0.04f	- 2.08±1.89a	0.48±0.07d	1.75 ±0.04d				
FP₄	215 <u>±</u> 19. <u>9</u> c	0.29±0.13bc	8.59±0.25e	0.22±3.96a	1.45±0.24b	5.67±0.16b				
ISSM-N1 ^b	191 <u>+</u> 19. <u>2</u> c	0.18±0.06c	9.45±0.18d	0.42±2.77a	0.84±0.08c	5.04±0.08c				
ISSM-N2b	192±11.6c	0.27±0.12bc	9.98±0.25c	0.15±0.58a	1.08±0.12c	5.76±0.22ab				
ISSM-N3b	40 <u>2</u> +23.8b	0.50±0.16ab	10.95±0.13b	0.63±3.51a	1.43±0.05b	5.40±0.16bc				
ISSM-N4.b	467 <u>+</u> 39.2a	0.68±0.15a	12.11±0.28a	2.12±2.57a	1.85±0.16a	6.14±0.35a				

 a Mean \pm SD, different lower case letters within the same column for each item indicate significant differences at P<0.05 according to Tukey's multiple range test.

^bSee Table 1 for treatment codes.

删除的内容: ... yields during rice and ...

1	删除的内容: .1510.8c76	
+	删除的内容: 5.7825.3b28	
	删除的内容: .1830.3bc26	
4	删除的内容: 19.8832.5bc0	
1	删除的内容: .0258.9a4	
	删除的内容: 0.7550.9a88	
	删除的内容: .2725.8d78	
1	删除的内容: .3234.5c4	
1	删除的内容: 5.8230.4cd2	
1	删除的内容: ,2932.6cd1	()
\	删除的内容: .4626.8b79	
	删除的内容: 5.5558.7a69	
\ \	删除的内容: .4539.2b4	
	删除的内容: 0.5425.2b0	
	删除的内容: .0715.7b68 ─────	
	删除的内容: .3132.2b4	
	删除的内容: .2545.6a1	
	删除的内容: .0622.3a27	
	删除的内容: 4.6319.64	
/	带格式的:上标	
	删除的内容: .2119.987	
\	带格式的: 上标 删除的内容: .0219.218	
	带格式的: 上标	
	删除的内容: .4911.62	
1	带格式的: 上标	
	删除的内容: 1.9123.878	
1	带格式的: 上标	
	删除的内容: 6.7939.218	···

Table 3

Repeated-measures analysis of variance (MANOVA) for the effects of cultivation patterns (P) and cropping year (Y) on mean CH₄ and N₂O emissions, and mean rice and wheat grain yields in the 2011–2014 cycle.

Crop season	Source	<u>df</u>	<u>CH</u> ₄	<u>N₂O</u>	Yield
_	_	_	(kg C ha ⁻¹)	(kg N ha ⁻¹)	<u>(t ha⁻¹)</u>
Rice	Between subjects				
	<u>P</u>	<u>5</u>	35.3***	3.71***	123***
	Within subjects				
	<u>Y</u>	<u>2</u>	20.7***	0.88**	1.15**
	$\underline{P} \times \underline{Y}$	<u>10</u>	6.73***	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.37</u>
Wheat	Between subjects				
	<u>P</u>	<u>5</u>	0.26	14.8***	76.3***
	Within subjects				
	<u>Y</u>	2	0.55*	15.1***	<u>0.08</u>
	$\underline{P \times Y}$	<u>10</u>	<u>0.83</u>	4.39***	<u>0.05</u>
Rice-Wheat	Between subjects				
	<u>P</u>	<u>5</u>	37.2***	24.2***	153***
	Within subjects				
	<u>Y</u>	<u>2</u>	20.5***	5.83***	0.70*
	$\underline{P} \times \underline{Y}$	<u>10</u>	6.50***	<u>1.11</u>	0.17

df – degrees of freedom, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, and ***P < 0.001 represent significant at the 0.05, 0.01 and 0.001 probability level, respectively.

删除的内容: Table 3 .

Two-way ANOVA for the effects of cultivation patterns (P) and cropping year (Y) on CH $_4$ and N $_2$ O emissions, and rice and wheat grain yields for the three annual rice-wheat rotations of 2011–2014.

Crop season

(...

Table 4
Agricultural management practices for chemical inputs and farm operations and contributions to carbon dioxide equivalents (kg CO_2 eq. ha⁻¹yr⁻¹) in the annual rice-wheat rotations from 2011 to 2014 (chemical inputs and farm operations used in each year were similar except for irrigation water).

Treatment				C	hemi	cal inputs (kg	ha ⁻¹) ^a					Farm or	erations (k	g ha ⁻¹) ^c		
	N	P	K	Si	Zn	Herbicide	Insecticide	Fungicide	Irrig	gation (d	em) ^b	Tillage and	Crop	Farm	Crop	Farm machinery
									2011	2012	2013	raking	planting	manure	harvest	production
NN^d	0	180	300	0	0	2	18	4	75	80	80	37	1	0	11	135
FP	480	180	300	0	0	2	20	4.4	75	80	80	37	1	0	11	147
ISSM-N1	360	180	300	0	0	2	20	4.4	50	65	55	37	1	0	11	139
ISSM-N2	432	180	300	0	0	2	20	4.4	50	65	55	37	1	0	11	177
ISSM-N3	480	216	360	225	15	2	27	6	50	65	55	37	1	2250	11	177
ISSM-N4	600	252	450	225	15	2	41	9	50	65	55	37	1	2250	11	275
					Che	mical inputs (Ei)					Farm	operations	(Eo)		
NN	0	132	165	0	0	2	338	53	1419	1514	1514	127	12	0	37	36
FP	2288	132	165	0	0	2	375	59	1419	1514	1514	127	12	0	37	39
ISSM-N1	1716	132	165	0	0	2	375	59	946	1230	1041	127	12	0	37	37
ISSM-N2	2059	132	165	0	0	2	375	59	946	1230	1041	127	12	0	37	47
ISSM-N3	2288	158	198	58	6	2	506	79	946	1230	1041	127	12	62	37	47
ISSM-N4	2860	185	248	58	6	2	768	129	946	1230	1041	127	12	62	37	73

^aThe carbon emission coefficients were 1.3,0.2,0.15, 0.3, 5.1 and 3.9 C cost (kg C eq. kg⁻¹ active ingredient) per applied nitrogen fertilizer, phosphorus, potassium, herbicide, insecticide and fungicide, respectively, as referred to in Lal (2004). We collected data specific to China's fertilizer manufacture and consumption, and then estimated carbon emissions coefficients were 0.07 and 0.1 C cost (kg C eq. kg⁻¹ active ingredient) per applied Si and Zn fertilizer, respectively.

^bThe carbon emission coefficient for irrigation was 5.16 C cost (kg C eq. cm⁻¹ ha⁻¹) as referred to in Lal (2004).

^cThe carbon emission coefficients were 0.94, 3.2, 0.0075, 0.94 and 0.0725 C cost (kg C eq. kg⁻¹ active ingredient) for tillage and raking, crop planting, per farm manure application, harvesting, spraying and threshing, respectively, as referred to in Lal (2004).

^dSee Table 1 for treatment codes.

Table 5

Mean global warming potential (GWP) and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) over the three annual cycles of the 2011rice season–2014wheat season.

Treatment	CH ₄	N ₂ O	Ei	Eo	SOCSR	<u>GWP</u> ^a	Grain yield	GHGI ^b
			- kg CO	eq. ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹			— t ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹	kg CO ₂ eq. t ⁻¹ grain
NN ^d	4418±628d°	276±29d	690	1694	- 792±327c	7871±646d	7.58±0.04d	1038±85b
FP	7181±766c	816±55b	3021	1697	1170±396ab	11545±505c	14.26±0.36c	810±23c
ISSM-N1	6381±633c	479±62c	2449	1285	491±435b	10104±930c	14.50±0.14c	697±63d
ISSM-N2	6421±379c	633±97c	2792	1295	709±193ab	10433±516c	15.74±0.44b	664 <u>±</u> 49d
ISSM-N3	13418±744b	906±87b	32 <u>95</u>	1357	1383±503a	175 <u>93</u> ±688b	16.36±0.18b	107 <u>5</u> ±33ab
ISSM-N4	15630±1246a	1188±65a	4256	1383	1545±348a	20 <u>911</u> <u>+</u> 1289a	18.26±0.46a	114 <u>5</u> ,±84a

 a GWP (kg CO₂ eq. ha $^{-1}$ yr $^{-1}$) = $25 \times$ CH₄ + $298 \times$ N₂O + Ei +Eq. - $44/12 \times$ SOCSR, Ei (agrochemical inputs), Eo (farm operations), SOCSR (SOC sequestration rate)

^bGHGI (kg CO₂ eq. t^{-1} grain) = <u>GWP</u>/grain yields

^cDifferent lower case letters within the same column for each item indicate significant differences at P<0.05 based on Tukey's multiple range tests.

^dSee Table 1 for treatment codes.

删除的内容: net

删除的内容: s

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: s

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: 31

删除的内容: 29

删除的内容: 1

删除的内容: 192

删除的内容: 847

删除的内容:3

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: GWP(

删除的内容:)

删除的内容: GWP(

删除的内容:)

删除的内容: NGWP

删除的内容: .

Fig 1 Rice and wheat agronomic nitrogen use efficiency (NUE) in 2011–2014 in Changshu, China.

Different letters indicate a significant difference between treatments (p<0.05). See Table 1 for treatment codes.

Fig $\frac{2}{3}$ Seasonal variation of methane (CH₄) fluxes from the rice-wheat rotation cropping systems from

 $2011\ to\ 2014.$ The black and gray part in figure separates different grain growth periods. See Table 1

for treatment codes. The solid arrows indicate fertilization.

Fig $\c 3$ Seasonal variation of nitrous oxide (N₂O) fluxes from rice-wheat rotation cropping systems in three annual cycles over the period 2011–2014. The black and gray part in the figure separates

different growth periods. See Table 1 for treatment codes. The solid arrows indicate fertilization.

Supplementary resource 1 Daily mean air temperature and precipitation during the rice-wheat rotation in

2011–2014 in Changshu, China.

已下移 [1]: Fig 1 Daily mean air temperature and precipitation during the rice-wheat rotation in 2011–2014 in Changshu, China.

删除的内容: 2 (a)

删除的内容: grain yield, (b)

删除的内容: and (c) relationship between nitrous oxide (N_2O) emissions and the total N rate for rice and wheat crops

删除的内容: 3

删除的内容: 4

已移动(插入)[1]