- 1 The dynamic of the annual carbon allocation to wood in
- 2 European forests is consistent with a combined source-sink
- **3** limitation of growth: implications for modelling
- 4
- 5 J. Guillemot¹, N. K. Martin-StPaul^{1,2}, E. Dufrêne¹, C. François¹, K. Soudani¹, J. M.
- 6 Ourcival³ and N. Delpierre¹
- 7 [1]{Laboratoire Ecologie, Systématique et Evolution, Université Paris Sud, CNRS,
- 8 AgroParisTech, UMR8079, F-91405 Orsay, France}
- 9 [2]{Ecologie des Forêts Méditerranéennes, INRA, UR629, F-84914 Avignon, France}
- 10 [3]{CEFE, CNRS, Université de Montpellier, Université Paul-Valéry Montpellier, EPHE,
- 11 UMR5175, F-34293 Montpellier, France}
- 12 Correspondence to: J. Guillemot (joannes.guillemot@gmail.com)
- 13
- 14
- 15

16 Abstract

- 17 The extent to which wood growth is limited by carbon (C) supply (i.e., source control) or by
- 18 cambial activity (i.e., sink control) will strongly determine the responses of trees to global
- 19 changes. Nevertheless, the physiological processes that are responsible for limiting forest growth
- are still debated. The aim of this study was to evaluate the key determinants of the annual C
- 21 allocation to wood along large soil and climate regional gradients for five tree species
- 22 representative of the main European forest biomes (Fagus sylvatica, Quercus petraea, Quercus
- 23 *ilex, Quercus robur* and *Picea abies*).

The drivers of stand biomass growth were assessed on both inter-site and inter-annual scales. Our dataset included field measurements performed at 49 sites (931 site-years) and it was complemented with process-based simulations when possible explanatory variables could not be directly measured. Specifically, the relative influences of tree C balance (source control), direct environmental control (water and temperature controls of sink activity) and allocation adjustments related to age, past climate conditions, competition intensity and soil nutrient availability on growth were quantified.

The inter-site variability in the stand C allocation to wood was predominantly driven by agerelated decline. The direct effects of temperature and water stress on sink activity (i.e., effects independent from their effects on the C supply) exerted a strong influence on the annual stand wood growth in all of the species considered, including deciduous temperate species. The lagged effect of the past environmental conditions (e.g., the previous year's water stress and low C uptake) significantly affected the annual C allocation to wood. The C supply appeared to strongly limit growth only in temperate deciduous species.

We provide an evaluation of the spatio-temporal dynamics of the annual C allocation to wood in European forests. Our study supports the premise that European forest growth is subject to complex control processes that include both source and sink limitations. The relative influences of the growth drivers strongly vary with time and across spatial ecological gradients. We suggest a straightforward modelling framework with which to implement these combined forest growth limitations into terrestrial biosphere models.

50 1 Introduction

51 Forests play a critical role in the global carbon (C) cycle. Inventory-based estimates indicate that 52 established forests have been a persistent carbon sink for decades, sequestering almost 30% of the world's total anthropogenic C emissions between 1990 and 2007 (Pan et al., 2011). The fate of 53 54 the sequestered C is highly dependent on the C dynamic in trees, which determines the residence time of C in forest ecosystems. Despite its importance for the future terrestrial C sink (Carvalhais 55 56 et al., 2014; Friend et al., 2013), the partitioning of C among tree organs and ecosystem respiration remains poorly understood (Brüggemann et al., 2011). In particular, there has been 57 considerable amount of debate regarding the physiological mechanisms that drive the increment 58 59 of the forest woody biomass (Palacio et al., 2014; Wiley and Helliker, 2012). The fraction of 60 assimilated C stored in woody biomass can be inferred by combining biometric measurements with estimates of the C exchange between the ecosystem and atmosphere, based on the eddy-61 covariance (EC) technique (Babst et al., 2014; Litton et al., 2007; Wolf et al., 2011). Global 62 63 meta-analyses (that included data from various biomes and species) have revealed a strong correlation between the observed gross primary production (GPP) and the woody biomass 64 increment (Litton et al., 2007; Zha et al., 2013). Accordingly, growth has long been thought to be 65 66 C limited, because of the hypothesized causal link between C supply and growth (i.e., source control, Sala et al. 2012). The environmental factors that have been reported to affect growth 67 68 (soil water content, temperature, nutrient content, light and CO_2) were therefore supposed to 69 operate through their effects on photosynthesis and respiration fluxes. This C-centric paradigm underlies most of the C allocation rules formalized in the terrestrial biosphere models (TBMs) 70 71 that are currently used to evaluate the effects of global changes on forests (Clark et al., 2011; Dufrêne et al., 2005; De Kauwe et al., 2014; Krinner et al., 2005; Sitch et al., 2003). 72

Source control of wood growth is a mechanism that has been questioned by several authors, who argue that cambial activity is more sensitive than C assimilation to several environmental stressors (Fatichi et al., 2014). In particular, the decrease in cell turgor that occurs because of water stress strongly affects cell division and expansion (Woodruff and Meinzer, 2011) before there is any strong reduction in the gas exchange (Muller et al., 2011; Tardieu et al., 2011). Similarly, cell division is affected by low temperatures before it is affected by photosynthesis (Körner, 2008). The onset of cambial activity is also known to be highly

responsive to temperature (Delpierre et al., 2015; Kudo et al., 2014; Lempereur et al., 2015; Rossi 80 et al., 2011) and, in turn, may partly determine annual cell production and wood growth (Lupi et 81 al., 2010; Rossi et al., 2013). Finally, the quality and quantity of available soil nutrients, 82 particularly nitrogen (N), could affect growth independently of their impacts on C assimilation, 83 because of the relatively constrained stoichiometry of tree biomass (Leuzinger and 84 Hättenschwiler, 2013). These studies suggest that growth is limited by the direct effects of 85 86 environmental factors (i.e., sink control). However, numerous key environmental factors (e.g., nutrients, temperature and water) affect both sink and source activities, and it is thus difficult to 87 88 determine whether wood growth is more related to C supply or to the intrinsic environmental sensitivity of cambium functioning (Fatichi et al., 2014). The extent to which wood growth is 89 90 under source or sink control is of paramount importance for predicting how trees will respond to global changes and specifically how increasing atmospheric CO₂ will affect forest productivity 91 92 and the future terrestrial C sink. The implementation of the respective roles of source and sink 93 controls on growth in TBMs is therefore a substantial challenge for modellers, because it may 94 determine our ability to project future forest C sink, diebacks and distributions (Cheaib et al., 2012; Fatichi et al., 2014; Leuzinger et al., 2013). 95

The allocation of assimilated C within forest ecosystems is a complex, integrative process 96 that can be described by several non-exclusive principles (Franklin et al., 2012), including i) 97 98 allometric scaling, ii) functional balance and iii) evolution-based optimal responses. i) The 99 allometric scaling principle is based on the assumption that biophysical laws determine C partitioning among the different forest compartments. Important allocation constraints include 100 vascular network optimality (Enquist, 2002) and functional homeostasis in water transport 101 (Magnani et al., 2000). ii) The functional balance principle suggests that the organ responsible for 102 103 acquiring the limiting resource is preferentially allocated C. Consistent with this principle, higher 104 C allocation to fine roots at the expense of C allocation to wood growth has been reported for 105 poor or dry soils (Chen et al., 2013; Keyes and Grier, 1981). In addition, a possibly greater 106 allocation to root symbionts and exudates at the expense of biomass production has also been 107 reported (Vicca et al., 2012). iii) Finally, the optimal response principle postulates that allocation maximizes fitness in a fixed environment. This hypothesis agrees with the idea that a dynamic 108 109 reserve pool act as temporary storage, possibly at the expense of growth, to promote long-term 110 tree survival (Chapin et al., 1990; Sala et al., 2012). Indeed, time lags between C uptake and

growth have been reported (Gough et al., 2009; Richardson et al., 2013). The optimal response 111 112 principle is consistent with several well-known life history traits, such as preferential allocation 113 to reproduction in ageing plants, which could lead to age-related declines in woody biomass allocation (Genet et al., 2010; Thomas, 2011). The woody biomass increment therefore appears to 114 be under the control of multiple factors. The effects of these drivers are expected to strongly vary 115 in space and time. Consequently, studies have reported conflicting relationships between the C 116 117 supply and wood growth (Gielen et al., 2013; Richardson et al., 2013), ranging from no significant relationships (Mund et al., 2010; Rocha et al., 2006) to close relationships on seasonal 118 119 (Babst et al., 2014; Granier et al., 2008; Zweifel et al., 2010) or annual (Ohtsuka et al., 2009; Peichl et al., 2010; Zweifel et al., 2010) time scales. Determining the key processes that affect 120 121 wood growth on different spatio-temporal scales is necessary to explain these apparently 122 contradictory results using a common framework. Moreover, investigations should be conducted 123 at the species level, because phylogeny may strongly constrain forest functioning (Carnicer et al., 2013; Drobyshev et al., 2013) and induce different growth determinants among taxa (Genet et al., 124 125 2010).

There is a gap between the knowledge obtained from global studies of universal C 126 127 allocation rules in forests and our understanding of the cell processes that underlie cambial activity; currently, this gap appears to be the primary obstacle to a more complete understanding 128 129 of wood growth drivers. In this regard, species-specific studies that evaluate the dynamic of C 130 partitioning to annual wood growth along soil and climate gradients would be highly useful but are lacking. Unfortunately, there is a scarcity of datasets that combine EC and growth 131 measurements at the same sites (Luyssaert et al., 2007). Here, we released this constraint by 132 complementing stand and soil measurements at a permanent plot network of 49 forest sites with 133 134 process-based simulations of annual and seasonal tree C balance (Fig. 1). Simulations were 135 performed using a process-based model (CASTANEA, Dufrêne et al. 2005) that was thoroughly 136 validated using EC data from throughout Europe (Davi et al., 2005; Delpierre et al., 2009, 2012) 137 and was applied using site-specific parameters. By relating biometric measurements to variables 138 that explain the C source and sink activity, we evaluated the key drivers of the annual C allocation to stand wood growth in five species that are representative of the main European 139 140 forest biomes: Fagus sylvatica, Quercus petraea and Quercus robur for temperate deciduous 141 broadleaf forests; Picea abies, for high-latitude and high-altitude evergreen needleleaf forests;

and *Ouercus ilex*, an evergreen broadleaf species from Mediterranean forests. Specifically, the 142 143 relative influence of annual and seasonal (from one month to the year) tree C balance (source 144 control), direct environmental control (water and temperature effects on sink activity) and allocation adjustments related to age, past climate conditions, competition intensity and soil 145 nutrient availability on tree growth were considered (Fig. 1). We aimed to (1) quantify the 146 relative contributions of source and sink controls to the spatio-temporal dynamic of forest wood 147 148 growth across a wide range of environmental contexts and (2) provide information that can be used to refine the representation of forest growth causalities in TBMs. 149

150

151 2 Materials and methods

We based our analyses on three complementary data sources: field measurements, climatic variables from atmospheric reanalysis (Vidal et al., 2010) and process-based simulation data. This hybrid approach allowed us to assess and disentangle the effects of previously reported environmental and endogenous drivers of C allocation to wood growth (Fig. 1).

156

157 2.1 Study sites and field data

We gathered field measurements from 48 plots from the French Permanent Plot Network for the Monitoring of Forest Ecosystems (RENECOFOR, Ulrich, 1997) and the Puéchabon tower flux site (Martin-StPaul *et al.* 2013). The location and general climatic features of these plots are shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1. Complete site description is available in Supplement S1.

162

2.1.1 Growth measurements and historical stand growth reconstruction

Growth measurements were obtained by two methods: *i*) Dendrochronological sampling, in which 12 to 30 overstory trees per plot were cored to the pith at breast height with an incremental borer. Cores were collected in 1994 at the RENECOFOR sites and in 2008 at the Puéchabon site (Lebourgeois 1997; J.M. Ourcival, *unpublished data*). Tree circumferences at breast height (CBHs) and total heights were also measured. The average stand age was inferred from the tree
ring series. *ii*) Forest inventories, in which extensive CBH surveys were conducted in a 0.5 ha
area of every plot (Cluzeau *et al.* 1998; Gaucherel, Guiot & Misson 2008; J.M. Ourcival,
unpublished data).

172 Tree ring series were combined with the CBH surveys to reconstruct the historical CBHs of every 173 tree on the plots (over 8 to 43 years, Supplement S1). The entire stand tree CBH distribution was 174 reconstructed from the CBHs of the sampled trees using an empirical tree competition model (Deleuze et al., 2004). This model stipulates that only trees with a CBH above a given threshold 175 $(\sigma,$ the minimum circumference needed to gain direct access to sunlight), have a significant 176 growth. Overstory trees then have an annual basal area growth rate that is proportional to their 177 178 size, according to a slope coefficient, γ . Following the work of Guillemot *et al.* (2014), the model 179 was calibrated annually, beginning at year (n) of the core sampling and used iteratively to reconstruct the past stand CBH growth. The σ parameter was first defined using an empirical 180 relationship with the maximum CBH of the stand tree distribution from year (n). The γ parameter 181 was then adjusted using the tree rings measured on the sampled trees in year (n-1). The 182 parameterized model was finally used to predict the basal area increments of all the trees in the 183 distribution, and consequently the tree CBH distribution in the year (n-1). A detailed description 184 of the iterative process can be found in Supplement S2 and in Guillemot et al. (2014). 185

The inferred past trajectory of the stand CBH distribution was used to calculate the historical 186 187 number of stems (numstem, Table 2) and stand basal area, which we considered to be a proxy for within-stand competition intensity (SBA, Table 2, Kunstler et al. 2011). The historical total 188 woody stand biomass was also calculated (Supplement S3) using species-specific tree level 189 allometric functions (Bontemps et al., 2009, 2012; Dhôte and Hercé, 1994; Seynave et al., 2005; 190 191 Vallet et al., 2006) and wood density models (Bouriaud et al., 2004; Wilhelmsson et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 1993). For Q. ilex, we used the appropriate function from Rambal et al. (2004) to 192 calculate the stand woody biomass from CBHs. Past annual woody biomass increments (AWBIs) 193 were then inferred (Supplement S4). 194

195

196 **2.1.2 Measurements of stand characteristics**

The stand measurements included the soil water holding capacity (SWHC), leaf area index (LAI), leaf N content (LNC) and soil nutrient availability (SNA). The SWHC was estimated via the soil depth and texture measured at two soil pits per plot (Brêthes and Ulrich, 1997). The LAI was estimated from litter collection (Pasquet, 2002), and the sunlit LNC was determined annually for 8 trees between 1993 and 1997 (Croisé et al., 1999).

SNA was assessed as the soil's C:N biomass ratio, the absolute value of the cation-exchange capacity and the per cent base saturation (Ponette, 1997). These soil indices were measured at 3 depths (0 to 10, 10 to 20, 20 to 40 cm) and were used to categorize the soil plots into three nutrient classes, from low to high nutrient availability (Supplement S5). The SNA, SWHC and LNC were used to characterize plot fertility in the statistical analyses (Table 2).

207

208 2.2 Climate data

209 The following meteorological variables at the hourly temporal scale (with 8km spatial resolution) 210 were obtained from the SAFRAN atmospheric reanalysis (Vidal et al., 2010): global radiation, rainfall, wind speed, air humidity and air temperature. Temperature, which was related to the 211 212 average altitudes of the SAFRAN cells, was corrected using plot-specific elevation measurements (assuming a lapse rate of 0.6 °K per 100 m, Supplement S1). These variables were used for 213 214 climate forcing in the CASTANEA model (Dufrêne et al. 2005, see the following section). In 215 addition, two annual temperature indices were used as proxies of winter frost damage and low temperature stress during the growing period (*frost* and *templim_{ep}*, respectively, Table 2). 216

217

218 2.3 Process-based simulation data

We used the CASTANEA model to simulate an ensemble of diagnostic variables that are related to the C source and sink activity of forest stands. The eco-physiological process-based CASTANEA model aims to simulate C and water fluxes and stocks of a monospecific, same222 aged forest stand on a rotation time scale. The hourly stand-atmosphere C fluxes predicted by the CASTANEA model have been thoroughly validated using EC data from throughout Europe 223 (Davi et al., 2005; Delpierre et al., 2009, 2012). Importantly, the biophysical hypotheses that 224 were formalized in this model are able to reproduce the interplay of the complex mechanisms that 225 lead to inter-annual variability in the stand C balance (Delpierre et al., 2012); modelling this 226 interplay has been recognized as a substantial challenge for TBMs (Keenan et al., 2012). A 227 228 complete description of CASTANEA is provided in Dufrêne et al. (2005), and subsequent modifications are described in Davi et al. (2009) and Delpierre et al. (2012). For the purpose of 229 230 the present study, CASTANEA was parameterized with site-specific SWHC and LNC values. The measured LAI and total woody biomass were used to initialize the model simulations. The 231 232 model's ability to reproduce the annual variability in LAI and the forest growth has been recently validated (Guillemot et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the annual standing woody biomass was forced 233 234 to conform to the observed values, because the model was used for diagnostic purposes in this 235 study.

236 Several groups of variables were simulated and aggregated on an annual basis (Table 2):

237 1. The elementary components of the tree C balance. These components included the GPP, autotrophic respiration (Ra), and net balance (i.e., net primary productivity, NPP = GPP -238 Ra). For a given year y, we aggregated the hourly simulated C fluxes over different 239 seasonal time periods, with starting days that ranged from 30 to 190 and ending days that 240 241 ranged from 190 to 350, at a 2-day resolution. The C fluxes were also summed i) for the species-specific biomass growth periods reported in the literature (GPP_{gp}, Ra_{gp}) and 242 NPP_{gp} , Supplement S6) and ii) for the entire preceding year (y-1) as a proxy of the forest 243 244 C status induced by past climate conditions (lagged effect, GPP_{y-1} , Ra_{y-1} and NPP_{y-1}).

245

2. *Bioclimatic water stress indices.* These indices included the intensity and duration of
 water stress (*WS_int_{gp}* and *WS_per_{gp}*, respectively, Supplement S7) during species specific growing periods that have been reported in the literature (Supplement S6). The
 CASTANEA model simulated the daily soil water balance, based on a bucket soil sub model with 2 layers (a top soil layer and a total soil layer that includes the top soil layer,

251 Dufrêne et al., (2005)). WS_int_{gp} was then used to quantify the intensity of water stress by 252 summing the *reduc* index on a daily basis (Granier et al., 1999).

253

254
$$reduc_{t} = \max\left(0, \min\left(1, \frac{SWC_{t} - SWC_{wilt}}{0.4 \times \left(SWC_{fc} - SWC_{wilt}\right)}\right)\right)$$

255

where SWC_t is the soil water content on day t (mm), SWC_{wilt} is the soil water content at the wilting point (mm) and SWC_{fc} is the soil water content at field capacity (mm).

 $WS_per_{gp} \text{ is the number of days of the current growth period during which the soil water$ content was less than 60% of the soil water holding capacity (Table 2, modified fromMund et al., (2010)). Water stress indices were also calculated for the entire preceding $year (lagged effect of water stress, <math>WS_int_{y-1}$ and WS_per_{y-1}).

262

3. *The onset of the biomass growth (camb_onset)*. We used a new growth-onset module
(David, (2011); N. Delpierre and N. K. Martin-StPaul, unpublished results) based on a
temperature sum trigger (Supplement S8).

266

267 2.4 Statistical analyses

268 2.4.1 General overview

Statistical analyses were conducted in three complementary steps for each studied species. (1) 269 We calculated the correlation of the AWBIs and the C fluxes (GPP, NPP and Ra) aggregated 270 seasonally (from 1 month to one year) to evaluate the relationship between the C supply and 271 annual biomass growth changes. (2) The dependences of the AWBIs on the C source and the sink 272 273 activity were evaluated on an inter-site spatial scale to determine the influence of the site characteristics on biomass growth. The relationship between the age and C allocation to woody 274 biomass was also evaluated in this step. By using the age differences among sites, our 275 276 chronosequence included a large range of ages (including stands that ranged in age from 277 approximately 30 to 150 years-old, Table S1). (3) Finally, the drivers of AWBI were assessed temporally to determine the factors that were responsible for variability in the inter-annualbiomass growth.

280 Because many environmental factors affect both forest sink and source activities, there may be strong covariance among the tree C balance and proxies of environmental stress (Fatichi et al., 281 282 2014) that could hamper the inferential power of classical statistical tests (Graham, 2003). 283 However, the explanatory variables used in this study generally had correlation coefficients of 284 less than 0.7, the level above which collinearity begins to severely affect model performance (Dormann et al., 2013). One exception was the correlation of components of the tree C balance 285 (because NPP = GPP - Ra). Consequently, the tree C balance components were introduced one at 286 a time into the models. In addition, temporal growth dependencies were evaluated using the 287 288 random forest (RF) learning method (Breiman, 2001). A number of studies have empirically demonstrated the effectiveness of RF at identifying the "true" predictors among a large number 289 of correlated candidate predictors (e.g., Archer and Kimes, 2008; Cutler et al., 2007; Genuer et 290 al., 2010). The explanatory variables considered in our spatial and temporal analyses are 291 presented in Table 2 and Fig. 1. Analyses were conducted with the R software (R Development 292 Core Team 2013), using the packages lme4 (Bates et al., 2007), randomForest (Liaw and Wiener, 293 294 2002) and MuMIn (Barton and Barton, 2014). Because Quercus petraea and Quercus robur are difficult to distinguish in the field and have a high hybridization rate (Abadie et al., 2012), these 295 296 two species were grouped in the analyses and are hereafter collectively referred to as "temperate 297 oaks".

298

299 2.4.2 Correlations between growth and C fluxes

Pearson correlations between the AWBIs and simulated C fluxes in different seasonal time periods were calculated separately for each site. The highest median correlation value for each species was retained and tested against zero using Wilcoxon signed rank tests. Critical correlations (i.e., the threshold values for a significant difference with the retained maximum correlation) were determined to evaluate the sensitivity of the correlation values to changes in the C flux aggregation periods. 306

307 2.4.3 Drivers of spatial variations in biomass growth

308 The drivers of spatial variations in biomass growth were evaluated using multiple regression 309 models using an information-theoretic approach (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). The AWBIs 310 and the considered explanatory variables were averaged for each plot. The variables introduced into the linear models were centred and scaled such that their normalized coefficient estimates 311 indicated the relative influence of the predictors on the AWBI. The elementary components of 312 313 tree C balance (NPP, GPP and Ra) were introduced one at a time into the models. For each 314 species, multiple regression models that contained all possible combinations of the explanatory 315 variables were fitted. The models were compared using the second-order Akaike information 316 criterion (AIC), and all models with an Akaike weight of at least 1% of the best approximating (lowest AIC) model were considered to be plausible (Burnham and Anderson, 2002). Ultimately, 317 we retained the variables that appeared in at least 95% of the selected models. Models fitted using 318 319 P. abies data were restricted to a maximum of 3 explanatory variables because of the small sample size (n=6, Table 1). Q. ilex (n=1) was not considered in the spatial analyses. The 320 uncertainty of the simulated C fluxes was assessed in the analyses using a bootstrap procedure 321 (Chernick, 2011): all linear models were fitted 1000 times, and at each iteration, the C flux values 322 were randomly sampled within the root mean square error of the CASTANEA simulations 323 (Supplement S9) to obtain a parameter estimate distribution for each variable. We finally retained 324 325 the explanatory variables with parameter estimate distributions that excluded the zero value at a two-tailed probability level of 5%. 326

327

328 **2.4.4** Drivers of temporal variations in biomass growth

A temporal analysis was conducted on the standardized AWBI series: a double-detrending process was applied to each series based on an initial linear regression model, followed by fitting a cubic smoothing spline with a 50% frequency response cut-off (Mérian et al., 2011). For analysing the temporal variations in biomass growth we used an RF learning method (Breiman, 2001), which was possible because of the large sample size (n = 931 site-years). The RF learning 334 method is a non-parametric method that is used to rank the contribution of different explanatory variables and evaluate their marginal effects on a variable of interest without assuming an a 335 336 priori dependence. The RF method combined 500 binary decision trees that were built using bootstrap samples from the initial dataset. The decisions trees aimed to reduce the heterogeneity 337 of the explained variable in the resulting branches. For each of the 500 trees, the data that were 338 339 not involved in the tree construction were used for validation. The tree predictions and errors 340 were then averaged to provide the final RF results. The RF method does not overfit or require cross-validation (Cutler et al., 2007). A subset of explanatory variables was randomly chosen at 341 342 each node, thus reducing the effect of collinear variables on the output. The RF method was used to select variables that explained the temporal variability in biomass growth (Genuer et al., 2010). 343 344 Variable selection relied on permutation importance, i.e., the existence of an increase in the 345 global mean square error when a given variable was randomized in the validation subsamples. 346 The forms of the dependences were illustrated by partial dependence plots (graphical depiction of the marginal effect of a given variable, Cutler et al. 2007). We used this information (variable 347 348 selection and dependence forms) to test for the significance of the temporal AWBI dependences within the linear model. The uncertainty in the simulated C fluxes was considered in the linear 349 350 models, following the procedure described in the spatial analysis section.

351

352 **3 Results**

353 3.1 Relationship between woody biomass growth and C fluxes

The elementary components of the simulated seasonal tree C balance differed in terms of their 354 relationships with the inter-annual variability of the AWBI (Table 3). The simulated seasonal 355 GPP and NPP were linked to AWBIs with a comparable agreement between species. However, 356 the simulated Ra had weak and often non-significant relationships with the AWBIs across the 49 357 358 studied plots. The strongest correlations were obtained for flux aggregation periods that i) were generally consistent within a species for GPP and NPP but different for Ra and ii) strongly 359 360 differed among species (Table 3). The coefficients of variation of the simulated annual NPP, GPP and Ra across the 49 studied sites were $10.8\% \pm 3$, $7.4\% \pm 2$, and $6.8\% \pm 3$, respectively. GPP 361 362 and NPP were summed from the beginning of May to the beginning of August and September, in

temperate oaks and F. sylvatica, respectively. The longest GPP and NPP aggregation periods 363 were obtained for P. abies (from the beginning of February to mid-September), and the shortest 364 period were found for Q. ilex (from the beginning of July to mid-August). Minor (less than 20 365 days) changes in the flux aggregation period associated with the maximum simulated flux-AWBI 366 correlation usually marginally affected the correlation values (Supplement S10). Consequently, 367 aggregation periods that were less than 13 days different (either in terms of their starting or 368 369 ending dates) from the values reported in Table 3 were generally not significantly lower than the maximum values (see the critical values presented in Supplement S10). 370

371 3.2 Spatial dynamic of C allocation to woody biomass growth

The inter-site variability in biomass growth was well explained by the selected multiple 372 373 regression models ($R^2 \ge 0.6$). We highlighted that species varied in terms of their inter-site dependences (Table 4). The simulated C supply during the growth period (GPP_{gp} , Table 2) was 374 positively correlated with biomass growth in F. sylvatica and P. abies, whereas there was no 375 376 significant relationship between the average AWBI and photosynthesis among sites for temperate 377 oaks (Fig. 3A). Notably, the final models did not include NPP_{gp} or Ra_{gp} for any species. The stand age was an important driver of biomass growth in temperate oaks and F. sylvatica. The stand age 378 explained a substantial portion of the AWBI:C supply ratio in all species, although the 379 380 relationship was not significant for *P. abies* (Fig. 3B). The fraction of C sequestered in woody 381 biomass decreased with stand age (Table 4, Fig. 3B) and was reduced by half in temperate oaks and F. sylvatica stands that were between 50 and 150 years of age (from 0.3 to 0.13 and from 382 383 0.25 to 0.1, respectively). Additionally, we identified a significant and positive effect of stand basal area on both AWBI (Table 4) and the AWBI:GPP_{gp} ratio (data not shown) in temperate 384 385 oaks.

386

387 3.3 Temporal dynamic of carbon allocation to woody biomass growth

The ranking of the drivers of biomass growth obtained using the RF algorithm indicated that the temporal AWBI dependences varied among species (Fig. 4). The growth of temperate deciduous species was under a more complex environmental control than the growth of *P. abies* and *Q. ilex*,

391 with several variables explaining a substantial portion of the annual variability in AWBI (Fig. 4A, B). Simulated C supply (GPP_{gp}) was strongly related to the AWBI of temperate oaks and F. 392 sylvatica and, to a lesser extent, P. abies (Fig. 4A, B, C), with positive marginal effects (Fig. 5 a, 393 e, h). The duration of water stress during the growth period ($WS_{per_{gp}}$) was the predominant 394 driver of the AWBI variability of Q. ilex, and was also strongly related to growth in temperate 395 396 deciduous species. Low temperatures during the growth period (templim_{gp}) most substantially affected P. abies and also explained a portion of the variability in AWBI of temperate oaks. The 397 simulated water and temperature stress indices had negative and quasi-linear marginal effects on 398 399 the AWBI (Fig 5). Finally, environmental lagged effects contributed substantially to the AWBI variability in all species: the water stress intensity of the previous year ($WS_{int_{v-1}}$) affected the 400 401 growth of F. sylvatica and Q. ilex, whereas the simulated C supply of the previous year (GPP_{y-1}) affected temperate oaks and P. abies. Lagged effects generally revealed threshold in marginal 402 403 dependences, with a significant negative effect on AWBI only under high water stress or low C supply (Fig. 5). The effects of the retained variables (Fig. 4) were evaluated via multiple 404 405 regression models that used dummy variables to test for the significance of slope changes when thresholds appeared on partial plots (Fig. 5). The models explained approximately 20% of the 406 407 variability in the AWBI for temperate oaks and P. abies, and approximately 40% of the variability for F. sylvatica and Q. ilex (Table 5). All of the explanatory variables had significant 408 409 effects, but *templim* was not retained in the models for temperate oaks after the bootstrap procedure that accounted for the uncertainty of the C flux simulations. We observed significant 410 changes in the slopes of the effect of GPP_{y-1} on temperate oaks and the effect of GPP_{gp} on P. 411 abies (Table 5). The models with NPP_{gp} and NPP_{y-1} variables revealed the same AWBI 412 dependences as the models described above, but with reduced explanatory power. The models 413 414 with Ra_{gp} and Ra_{y-1} variables were not significant (*data not shown*).

415

416 **4 Discussion**

This study quantified the C that is allocated annually to the woody biomass increment for five species that are representative of the main European forest biomes. By complementing field measurements from a permanent plot network with process-based modelling, our approach circumvented the limitation of EC data scarcity and characterized the annual partitioning of C 421 into woody biomass at 49 sites (931 site-years). We were thus able to identify the species422 specific drivers of the spatiotemporal dynamics of the allocation of C to wood growth along
423 ecological gradients.

424

425 **4.1** The correlation between the tree C balance and woody biomass growth

426 Relating EC-based estimates of forest C balance and biometric measurements of woody biomass 427 growth has been the focus of an increasing number of studies. These studies can enhance our 428 understanding of ecosystem C dynamics but have so far provided conflicting conclusions. Indeed, 429 the correlation between woody biomass growth and forest C gain has been reported as both non-430 significant (Mund et al., 2010; Richardson et al., 2013; Rocha et al., 2006) and highly significant (Babst et al., 2014; Ohtsuka et al., 2009; Peichl et al., 2010; Zweifel et al., 2010). Accordingly, 431 432 the relationships between AWBI and C fluxes reported in this study strongly varied among sites 433 for each of the species studied (Table 3). Nevertheless, the annual woody biomass increment was consistently related to GPP_{gp} and NPP_{gp} , and only marginally to Ra_{gp} for the majority of sites 434 (Table 3). Babst et al. (2014) reported a similar dependence of biomass growth on C fluxes at 5 435 sites that spanned a wide range of latitude in Europe. The authors attributed this result to a 436 common sensitivity of C assimilation and biomass growth to the water balance. Our results also 437 support the view that biomass growth and tree C balance are under the control of distinct but 438 partially correlated processes (Beer et al., 2007; Fatichi et al., 2014); these processes may or may 439 440 not induce consistent annual changes, depending on the environmental conditions faced by trees. For F. sylvatica and temperate oaks, maximum correlation values corresponded to flux 441 aggregation periods that were consistent with the previously reported phenology of the woody 442 biomass increment (Table 3, Michelot et al. 2012, Supplement S10). Babst et al. (2014) and 443 Granier et al. (2008) similarly reported close relationships between the AWBI and forest C fluxes 444 that were summed until cessation of growth (August/September). The flux aggregation periods 445 446 were, however, not related to the timing of wood growth in Q. ilex or P. abies (Cuny et al., 2012; 447 Lempereur et al., 2015), which indicates that inter-annual variation in the AWBI is not always solely (or even primarily, e.g., Q. ilex and P. abies) dependent on the C derived from 448 photosynthesis. Specifically, the agreement between the observed annual growth and a short 449 450 period of C flux aggregation in early summer that was reported for Q. *ilex* corresponds to the effect of growth cessation on the annual biomass increment, which has been attributed to a drought-induced limitation of cambial activity at the Puéchabon site (Lempereur et al., 2015). The processes that underlie the relationship of the long flux aggregation period and the annual biomass increment of *P. abies* may include the effect of late winter temperature on cambium phenology (Rossi et al., 2011). Overall, our results suggest that using growth-flux correlation coefficients when investigating either source limitation of growth or the seasonality of C allocation to woody biomass can lead to misleading conclusions.

458

459 4.2 Between-site variability in the C allocation to woody biomass growth is 460 related to ontogeny and competition intensity

461 We highlighted an age-related decline in the C partitioning to woody biomass in all three species 462 (Fig. 3B). This result had previously been observed in F. sylvatica stands using measurements of 463 the main C compartments along a chronosequence (Genet et al., 2010). Several non-exclusive processes can explain this age-related trend. Increases in tree height are associated with increases 464 465 in the hydraulic resistance of xylem, which may lead to declines in the turgor of living cells and result in potentially negative consequences on cambial activity (Woodruff et al., 2004). This 466 constraint may result in a height-related sink-limitation of growth (Woodruff and Meinzer, 2011), 467 which is consistent with our results. Additionally, life-history traits, such as a greater emphasis on 468 reproduction in older stands, could also be involved. However, the interactions of growth and 469 reproductive mechanisms are still under debate (Hoch et al., 2013; Thomas, 2011) and have yet 470 471 to be properly represented in TBMs. Only the GPP component of the simulated tree C balance was retained in the final models (Table 4), thereby indicating that an increase in maintenance 472 473 respiration with greater stand biomass most likely did not contribute to the age-related decline in 474 biomass growth (Drake et al., 2011; Tang et al., 2014). Although height-related hydraulic 475 constraints on C assimilation have been suggested to be an important driver (Ryan et al., 2006; 476 Tang et al., 2014), recent studies have suggested that changes in demography and stand structure 477 may primarily explain the age-related decline observed in stand wood growth (Binkley et al., 478 2002; Xu et al., 2012). Our results suggest that changes in the C allocation should also be 479 considered, because no mortality occurred in our plots during the measurement period (data not 480 shown). We additionally identified a significantly higher C partitioning to woody biomass in 481 temperate oak stands with greater competition intensity (i.e., high stand basal area, Table 3). To 482 date, reports regarding the effect of competition on C allocation dynamics are conflicting (Litton 483 et al., 2007) and suggest no significant or consistent effect. Moreover, we found no significant effect of soil nutrient availability on the C allocation dynamics along the studied ecological 484 485 gradient whereas a recent meta-analysis reported that this factor positively affects C partitioning to forest biomass on the global scale (Vicca et al., 2012). The RENECOFOR network only 486 487 includes relatively fertile sites (Supplement S5), which could putatively explain the apparent tension between our results and the conclusions of the meta-analysis. Therefore, more studies are 488 489 required to elucidate the contributions of the various drivers to the variation in C partitioning to woody biomass on scales that range from local to global. 490

491

492 4.3 Inter-annual variability in woody biomass growth is consistent with 493 combined source-sink limitations

Water and temperature stress exerted significant direct control on the inter-annual variation of 494 495 woody biomass growth (i.e., independently from their effects on C assimilation) for every species and biome (Table 5 and Fig. 4 and 5). Cambial growth has been reported to be inhibited at lower 496 497 water stress levels than photosynthesis (Muller et al., 2011; Tardieu et al., 2011). Indeed, 498 drought-induced decrease in cell turgor strongly affects cell divisions (Woodruff and Meinzer, 499 2011) and cell wall expansion (Cosgrove, 2005; Lockhart, 1965) before gas exchange modulation 500 comes into play. Similarly, there is evidence that cell growth processes, such as cell division, are 501 more sensitive than photosynthesis to low temperatures (Körner, 2008). Although these findings documented the plausible mechanisms of sink control of biomass growth at the cellular scale, 502 there is still considerable debate regarding whether the sink or the C source actually limit the 503 504 growth of the world's forests (Palacio et al., 2014; Wiley and Helliker, 2012). The typically 505 observed large C reserve pools (Hoch et al., 2003; Würth et al., 2005) have been interpreted as a 506 consequence of an overabundant C supply and thus evidence of sink control of tree growth 507 (Körner, 2003). However, recent works have suggested that a source limitation of growth may be compatible with large C reserve pools if part of this mobile C is sequestered rather than stored 508 (Millard and Grelet, 2010) or if C storage is an active tree response to environmental stress 509 (Dietze et al., 2014; Wiley and Helliker, 2012). Using an alternative methodology (i.e. a 510

511 methodology that is not based on C storage measurement) our results suggest that sink limitation 512 has a significant effect on the annual woody biomass growth of five species that are representative of different European biomes, including deciduous temperate forests. Because sink 513 limitation implies that there are periods with significant C supply but no growth, our results also 514 corroborate recent empirical studies that reported a significant role of growth duration in the 515 516 annual variability of tree radial increment (Brzostek et al., 2014; Cuny et al., 2012; Lempereur et 517 al., 2015). Additionally, we observed that past environmental constraints significantly affected C partitioning to wood growth for each species and biome (Table 5 and Fig. 4 and 5). The lagged 518 519 effect of the previous year's low C supply (GPP_{y-1}) possibly indicates a preferential C allocation to storage at the expense of growth in trees that face C reserve pool depletion (Bansal and 520 521 Germino, 2008; Wiley et al., 2013). In support of this finding, Richardson et al. (2012) reported a strong relationship between the AWBI and the EC-based estimate of the previous year's C supply 522 523 in a mature maple stand. The detrimental effect of a previous year's low C supply on temperate oak wood growth (Fig. 4) may be related to growth phenology, because this species relies on C 524 525 reserves to achieve a large part of its annual biomass growth prior to leaf expansion in the spring (Barbaroux et al., 2003). The lagged effect of high water stress intensity on F. sylvatica and Q. 526 527 ilex (Fig. 4) may be linked to previous drought-induced mortalities of buds or fine roots (Leuschner et al., 2001; López et al., 2003). Indeed, pre-built buds are thought to strongly 528 529 regulate the following year's cambial activity (Delpierre et al., 2015; Palacio et al., 2012; Zweifel 530 et al., 2006) and a recent meta-analysis concluded that C is preferentially allocated to fine roots at the expense of wood growth in stands that face constraining environments (Chen et al., 2013). 531 Finally, our results suggest that C supply (GPP_{gp}) is an important driver of the annual woody 532 biomass growth in temperate deciduous forests (Daudet et al., 2005). GPP was the component of 533 534 the simulated tree C balance that was most closely related to the annual variability in growth; this result indicates GPP's important role in explaining the annual variability in the net ecosystem 535 productivity of European forests (Delpierre et al., 2012). Overall, our findings support the 536 premise that forest woody biomass growth is subject to complex control processes that include 537 538 both source and sink limitations, following Liebig's law: although numerous processes potentially influence wood growth, stand growth at a given site and a given year is predominantly 539 limited by the most constraining factor. C (source) limitation of growth can thus only occur when 540

541 other factors are non-limiting (Fatichi et al., 2014), a situation that is expected to be rare in 542 strongly constrained environment such as Mediterranean or mountainous areas (Fig. 4).

543

544 **4.4 Toward an integrated modelling framework**

545 Most models that are currently used to project the outcome of global changes on forests represent 546 wood growth as a fraction of the total C uptake (i.e., source control of growth, De Kauwe et al. 547 2014). This C-centric perspective overlooks the possibility of sink control of growth and thus 548 ignores results such as those presented in this study and those of earlier local studies (reviewed 549 by Fatichi et al. 2014). Consequently, this perspective possibly hampers the ability of TBMs to 550 project future forest productivity (Fatichi et al. 2014). On the basis of our analysis of the spatiotemporal dynamics of C allocation to wood growth on a regional scale, we suggest a 551 552 straightforward, combined source- and sink-driven forest growth modelling framework (Fig. 6). 553 In this framework, a potential site-specific allocation coefficient is first defined to represent the effect of soil fertility on the C allocation to wood (Vicca et al., 2012). In a second step, this 554 555 coefficient is adjusted to the physiological state of the stand by accounting for the dependences of the C allocation on ontogeny, competition intensity and lagged environmental stressors. Lagged 556 557 environmental stressors are represented by a negative effect on the previous year's water stress index and low C uptake on the allocation coefficient. Inclusion of the age-related decline in forest 558 growth has been highlighted as an important modelling goal (Magnani et al., 2000; Zaehle et al., 559 560 2006). However, this effect has thus far been incorporated in models by including a negative effect of the increasing stand respiration on the tree C balance, which contradicts current 561 knowledge (Ryan et al., 2006). The resulting annual allocation coefficient is finally modulated by 562 563 the direct water and temperature constraints on growth. In a similar fashion, Leuzinger et al. (2013) improved the Lund-Potsdam-Jena (LPJ) terrestrial biosphere model predictions for the 564 cold tree-line by accounting for the direct temperature limitation of growth. Our approach can be 565 566 seen as an intermediate step toward a more mechanistic representation of C allocation to woody 567 biomass (Hölttä et al., 2010; Schiestl-Aalto et al., 2015). It synthesizes the current knowledge 568 regarding forest growth dependences and has the potential to unify seemingly contradictory 569 observations within a single modelling framework. The simulated growth is indeed subject to the combined controls of C supply and changes in C allocation due to endogenous adjustments 570

and/or modulations of sink activity (Fig. 6). These controls result from distinct processes, which 571 572 are independently represented in the modelling framework. The relative influences of the various processes, i.e., the simulated growth causalities, are thus likely to vary both spatially and 573 temporally, depending on the environmental conditions faced by trees. Our approach has 574 therefore the potential to shed light on the contrasted results reported by correlative studies. 575 576 Although the value is comparable to those of previous studies (Lebourgeois et al., 2005; Mérian 577 et al., 2011), the proportion of the annual growth variability that was explained by our approach was moderate (Table 5). Plausible explanations of this result include: i) unreported management 578 579 interventions that may have skewed the historical stand growth reconstruction and ii) potentially important growth drivers that were not considered here, such as changes in C partitioning due to 580 581 mast seeding (Mund et al., 2010), genetic differentiation among tree populations (Vitasse et al., 2014) or allometry-mediated tree acclimation to drought (Martin-StPaul et al., 2013). A third 582 583 factor that hampered the ability of our empirical models to explain the annual growth variability is the potential disagreement between the CASTANEA outputs that were used as explanatory 584 585 variables and the corresponding actual drivers. Although we argued that i) the CASTANEA model has been thoroughly validated at many EC sites from throughout Europe and ii) the 586 587 presented growth dependences demonstrated their robustness against the reported uncertainties of the CASTANEA simulations, the quality of the simulations was limited by the idiosyncrasies of 588 589 the sites we examined in this study. In particular, a number of past disturbances such as insect 590 outbreaks, windthrow or unreported commercial thinning could have temporarily induced large 591 discrepancies between the actual and simulated C fluxes (Grote et al., 2011; Hicke et al., 2012). The error that is attributable to model performance unfortunately remains unknown because of 592 the absence of EC measurements at our study sites (except for the Puéchabon site, see Delpierre 593 594 et al., 2012). Despite this additional uncertainty, the combined use of field measurements and process-based modelling allowed us to present the first species-specific evaluation of annual C 595 allocation to growth along regional environmental gradients. Our results suggest that 596 implementing the presented C allocation dependences in TBMs will refine the projections of the 597 598 outcome of global changes on forest growth, and have implications for the predicted evolution of forest C sink, forest diebacks and tree species distributions (Cheaib et al., 2012). 599

600

601

602 Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the Office National des Forêts and the RENECOFOR network team, 603 particularly Manuel Nicolas and Marc Lanier, for providing the RENECOFOR database. The 604 605 SAFRAN database was provided by Météo-France as part of the HYMEX project. J.G. received a PhD grant from the French Ministère de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche and the 606 University of Paris-Sud. A post-doctoral research grant to N.K.M.-S. was provided by the 607 Humbolt project within the GIS Climat. As part as the ICP forests network data (icp-forests.net), 608 the material used in this article is available, free of charge, upon request (please contact M. 609 Nicolas, manuel.nicolas@onf.fr, +00331 60 74 92 28, Office National des Forêts, Fontainebleau, 610

- 611 F-77300, France).
- 612

613 **References**

Abadie, P., Roussel, G., Dencausse, B., Bonnet, C., Bertocchi, E., Louvet, J., Kremer, A. and
Garnier-Géré, P.: Strength, diversity and plasticity of postmating reproductive barriers between
two hybridizing oak species (Quercus robur L. and Quercus petraea (Matt) Liebl.), J. Evol. Biol.,
25(1), 157–173, 2012.

Archer, K. J. and Kimes, R. V: Empirical characterization of random forest variable importance measures, Comput. Stat. Data Anal., 52(4), 2249–2260, 2008.

Babst, F., Bouriaud, O., Papale, D., Gielen, B., Janssens, I. A., Nikinmaa, E., Ibrom, A., Wu, J., Bernhofer, C., Köstner, B., Grünwald, T., Seufert, G., Ciais, P. and Frank, D.: Above-ground

woody carbon sequestration measured from tree rings is coherent with net ecosystem

- productivity at five eddy-covariance sites, New Phytol., 201(4), 1289–1303,
- 624 doi:10.1111/nph.12589, 2014.

Bansal, S. and Germino, M. J.: Carbon balance of conifer seedlings at timberline: relative
changes in uptake, storage, and utilization., Oecologia, 158(2), 217–27, doi:10.1007/s00442008-1145-4, 2008.

Barbaroux, C., Breda, N. and Dufrene, E.: Distribution of above-ground and below-ground

629 carbohydrate reserves in adult trees of two contrasting broad-leaved species (Quercus petraea

and Fagus sylvatica), New Phytol., 157(3), 605–615 [online] Available from:

631 http://doi.wiley.com/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00681.x, 2003.

Barton, K. and Barton, M. K.: Package "MuMIn," Version, 1, 18, 2014.

- Bates, D., Sarkar, D., Bates, M. D. and Matrix, L.: The Ime4 package, R Packag. version, 2(1), 2007.
- Beer, C., Reichstein, M., Ciais, P., Farquhar, G. D. and Papale, D.: Mean annual GPP of Europe derived from its water balance, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(5), 2007.
- Binkley, D., Stape, J. L., Ryan, M. G., Barnard, H. R. and Fownes, J.: Age-related Decline in
 Forest Ecosystem Growth: An Individual-Tree, Stand-Structure Hypothesis, Ecosystems, 5(1),
 58–67, doi:10.1007/s10021-001-0055-7, 2002.
- 640 Bontemps, J.-D., Hervé, J.-C. and Dhôte, J.-F.: Long-term changes in forest productivity: a 641 consistent assessment in even-aged stands, For. Sci., 55(6), 549–564, 2009.
- Bontemps, J.-D., Herve, J.-C., Duplat, P. and Dhôte, J.-F.: Shifts in the height-related
 competitiveness of tree species following recent climate warming and implications for tree
 community composition: the case of common beech and sessile oak as predominant
 broadleaved species in Europe, Oikos, 121(8), 1287–1299, doi:10.1111/j.16000706 2011 20080 x 2012
- 646 0706.2011.20080.x, 2012.

Bouriaud, O., Bréda, N., Le Moguédec, G. and Nepveu, G.: Modelling variability of wood density
in beech as affected by ring age, radial growth and climate, Trees - Struct. Funct., 18(3), 264–
276, doi:10.1007/s00468-003-0303-x, 2004.

- 650 Breiman, L.: Random forests, Mach. Learn., 45(1), 5–32, 2001.
- Brêthes, A. and Ulrich, E.: RENECOFOR Caractéristiques pédologiques des 102 peuplements
 du réseau., Off. Natl. des forêts, Département des Rech. Tech., 1997.
- Brüggemann, N., Gessler, a., Kayler, Z., Keel, S. G., Badeck, F., Barthel, M., Boeckx, P.,
- Buchmann, N., Brugnoli, E., Esperschütz, J., Gavrichkova, O., Ghashghaie, J., Gomez-
- 655 Casanovas, N., Keitel, C., Knohl, a., Kuptz, D., Palacio, S., Salmon, Y., Uchida, Y. and Bahn,
- 656 M.: Carbon allocation and carbon isotope fluxes in the plant-soil-atmosphere continuum: a
- 657 review, Biogeosciences, 8(11), 3457–3489, doi:10.5194/bg-8-3457-2011, 2011.
- Brzostek, E. R., Dragoni, D., Schmid, H. P., Rahman, a F., Sims, D., Wayson, C. a, Johnson, D.
 J. and Phillips, R. P.: Chronic water stress reduces tree growth and the carbon sink of deciduous
 hardwood forests., Glob. Chang. Biol., doi: 10.1111/gcb.12528, doi:10.1111/gcb.12528, 2014.
- 661 Burnham, K. P. and Anderson, D. R.: Model selection and multi-model inference: a practical 662 information-theoretic approach, Springer., 2002.

663 Carnicer, J., Barbeta, A., Sperlich, D., Coll, M. and Peñuelas, J.: Contrasting trait syndromes in 664 angiosperms and conifers are associated with different responses of tree growth to temperature 665 on a large scale., Front. Plant Sci., 4(October), 409, doi:10.3389/fpls.2013.00409, 2013.

Carvalhais, N., Forkel, M., Khomik, M., Bellarby, J., Jung, M., Migliavacca, M., Mu, M., Saatchi,
S., Santoro, M. and Thurner, M.: Global covariation of carbon turnover times with climate in
terrestrial ecosystems, Nature, 2014.

669 Chapin, F. S., Schulze, E.-D. and Mooney, H. A.: The ecology and economics of storage in 670 plants, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 21, 423–447, 1990.

Cheaib, A., Badeau, V., Boe, J., Chuine, I., Delire, C., Dufrêne, E., François, C., Gritti, E. S.,
Legay, M., Pagé, C., Thuiller, W., Viovy, N. and Leadley, P.: Climate change impacts on tree
ranges: model intercomparison facilitates understanding and quantification of uncertainty., Ecol.
Lett., 15(6), 533–44, doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01764.x, 2012.

- 675 Chen, G., Yang, Y. and Robinson, D.: Allocation of gross primary production in forest
 676 ecosystems: allometric constraints and environmental responses, New Phytol., 200(4), 1176–
 677 1186, 2013.
- 678 Chernick, M. R.: Bootstrap methods: A guide for practitioners and researchers, Wiley., 2011.

679 Clark, D. B., Mercado, L. M., Sitch, S., Jones, C. D., Gedney, N., Best, M. J., Pryor, M., Rooney,

G. G., Essery, R. L. H., Blyth, E., Boucher, O., Harding, R. J., Huntingford, C. and Cox, P. M.:

The Joint UK Land Environment Simulator (JULES), model description – Part 2: Carbon fluxes

and vegetation dynamics, Geosci. Model Dev., 4(3), 701–722, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-701-2011,

683 2011.

684 Cluzeau, C., Ulrich, E., Lanier, M. and Garnier, F.: RENECOFOR - Interprétation des mesures
685 dendrométriques de 1991 à 1995 des 102 peuplements du réseau, Off. Natl. des forêts,
686 Département des Rech. Tech., 1998.

687 Cosgrove, D. J.: Growth of the plant cell wall., Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 6(11), 850–61, 688 doi:10.1038/nrm1746, 2005.

689 Croisé, L., Cluzeau, C., Ulrich, E., Lanier, M. and Gomez, A.: RENECOFOR - Interprétation des 690 analyses foliaires réalisées dans les 102 peuplements du réseau de 1993 a 1997 et premières 691 évaluations interdisciplinaires, Off. Natl. des forêts, Département des Rech. Tech., 1999.

692 Cuny, H. E., Rathgeber, C. B. K., Lebourgeois, F., Fortin, M. and Fournier, M.: Life strategies in 693 intra-annual dynamics of wood formation: example of three conifer species in a temperate forest 694 in north-east France, Tree Physiol., 32(5), 612–625, 2012.

695 Cutler, D. R., Edwards, T. C., Beard, K. H., Cutler, a and Hess, K. T.: Random forests for 696 classification in ecology, Ecology, 88(11), 2783–2792, 2007.

Daudet, F.-A., Améglio, T., Cochard, H., Archilla, O. and Lacointe, A.: Experimental analysis of
the role of water and carbon in tree stem diameter variations, J. Exp. Bot., 56(409), 135–144,
2005.

- Davi, H., Barbaroux, C., Francois, C. and Dufrene, E.: The fundamental role of reserves and
 hydraulic constraints in predicting LAI and carbon allocation in forests, Agric. For. Meteorol.,
 149(2), 349–361, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.08.014, 2009.
- Davi, H., Dufrêne, E., Granier, a., Le Dantec, V., Barbaroux, C., François, C. and Bréda, N.:
 Modelling carbon and water cycles in a beech forest, Ecol. Modell., 185(2-4), 387–405,
- 705 doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.01.003, 2005.

- David, A.: Modélisation de la croissance ligneuse chez le Hêtre et le Chêne sessile. Master's
 thesis dissertation, Université Paris-Sud, Orsay., 2011.
- Deleuze, C., Pain, O., Dhôte, J. F. and Hervé, J. C.: A flexible radial increment model for
 individual trees in pure even-aged stands, Ann. For. Sci., 61(4), 327–335, doi:10.1051/forest,
 2004.
- Delpierre, N., Soudani, K., François, C., Köstner, B., Pontailler, J.-Y., Nikinmaa, E., Misson, L.,
 Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, C., Granier, a., Grünwald, T., Heinesch, B., Longdoz, B., Ourcival, J.-M.,
 Rambal, S., Vesala, T. and Dufrêne, E.: Exceptional carbon uptake in European forests during
 the warm spring of 2007: a data-model analysis, Glob. Chang. Biol., 15(6), 1455–1474,
- 715 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01835.x, 2009.
- Delpierre, N., Soudani, K., François, C., Le Maire, G., Bernhofer, C., Kutsch, W., Misson, L.,
- Rambal, S., Vesala, T. and Dufrêne, E.: Quantifying the influence of climate and biological
 drivers on the interannual variability of carbon exchanges in European forests through process-
- based modelling, Agric. For. Meteorol., 154-155, 99–112, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.10.010,
- 720 2012.
- 721 Delpierre, N., Vitasse, Y., Chuine, I., Guillemot, J., Bazot, S., Rutishauser, T. and Rathgeber, C.
- B. K.: Temperate and boreal forest tree phenology: from organ-scale processes to terrestrial
- ecosystem models, Ann. For. Sci., doi:10.1007/s13595-015-0477-6, 2015.
- Dhôte, J.-F. and Hercé, É. de: Un modèle hyperbolique pour l'ajustement de faisceaux de courbes hauteur-diamètre, Can. J. For. Res., 24(9), 1782–1790, 1994.
- Dietze, M. C., Sala, A., Carbone, M. S., Czimczik, C. I., Mantooth, J. A., Richardson, A. D. and
 Vargas, R.: Nonstructural Carbon in Woody Plants, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 65(1), 667–687,
 doi:10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-040054, 2014.
- Dormann, C. F., Elith, J., Bacher, S., Buchmann, C., Carl, G., Carré, G., Marquéz, J. R. G.,
 Gruber, B., Lafourcade, B. and Leitão, P. J.: Collinearity: a review of methods to deal with it and
 a simulation study evaluating their performance, Ecography (Cop.)., 36(1), 27–46, 2013.
- Drake, J. E., Davis, S. C., Raetz, L. M. and DeLucia, E. H.: Mechanisms of age-related changes
 in forest production: the influence of physiological and successional changes, Glob. Chang.
 Biol., 17(4), 1522–1535, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02342.x, 2011.
- Drobyshev, I., Gewehr, S., Berninger, F. and Bergeron, Y.: Species specific growth responses of
 black spruce and trembling aspen may enhance resilience of boreal forest to climate change, J.
 Ecol., 101(1), 231–242, 2013.
- Dufrêne, E., Davi, H., Francois, C., Le Maire, G., Le Dantec, V. and Granier, A.: Modelling
 carbon and water cycles in a Beech forest. Part I: Model description and uncertainty analysis on
 modelled NEE, Ecol. Modell., 185(2-4), 407–436, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2005.01.004, 2005.
- 741 Enquist, B. J.: Universal scaling in tree and vascular plant allometry: toward a general
- quantitative theory linking plant form and function from cells to ecosystems, Tree Physiol., 22,
 1045–1064, 2002.

- Fatichi, S., Leuzinger, S. and Körner, C.: Moving beyond photosynthesis: from carbon source to
 sink-driven vegetation modeling, New Phytol., 201(4), 1086–1095, doi:10.1111/nph.12614,
 2014.
- Franklin, O., Johansson, J., Dewar, R. C., Dieckmann, U., McMurtrie, R. E., Brännström, Å. and
 Dybzinski, R.: Modeling carbon allocation in trees: a search for principles, Tree Physiol., 32(6),
 648–666, 2012.
- Friend, A. D., Lucht, W., Rademacher, T. T., Keribin, R., Betts, R., Cadule, P., Ciais, P., Clark,
 D. B., Dankers, R., Falloon, P. D., Ito, A., Kahana, R., Kleidon, A., Lomas, M. R., Nishina, K.,
 Ostberg, S., Pavlick, R., Peylin, P., Schaphoff, S., Vuichard, N., Warszawski, L., Wiltshire, A.
 and Woodward, F. I.: Carbon residence time dominates uncertainty in terrestrial vegetation
 responses to future climate and atmospheric CO2, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., doi:
 10.1073/pnas.1222477110, doi:10.1073/pnas.1222477110, 2013.
- Gaucherel, C., Guiot, J. and Misson, L.: Changes of the potential distribution area of French
 Mediterranean forests under global warming, Biogeosciences, 5(6), 1493–1504, 2008.
- Genet, H., Bréda, N. and Dufrêne, E.: Age-related variation in carbon allocation at tree and
 stand scales in beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) and sessile oak (Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl.) using
 a chronosequence approach., Tree Physiol., 30(2), 177–92, doi:10.1093/treephys/tpp105, 2010.
- Genuer, R., Poggi, J.-M. and Tuleau-Malot, C.: Variable selection using random forests, Pattern
 Recognit. Lett., 31(14), 2225–2236, 2010.
- Gielen, B., De Vos, B., Campioli, M., Neirynck, J., Papale, D., Verstraeten, a., Ceulemans, R.
 and Janssens, I. a.: Biometric and eddy covariance-based assessment of decadal carbon
 sequestration of a temperate Scots pine forest, Agric. For. Meteorol., 174-175, 135–143,
 doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.02.008, 2013.
- Gough, C. M., Flower, C. E., Vogel, C. S., Dragoni, D. and Curtis, P. S.: Whole-ecosystem labile
 carbon production in a north temperate deciduous forest, Agric. For. Meteorol., 149(9), 1531–
 1540, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2009.04.006, 2009.
- Graham, M. H.: Confronting multicollinearity in ecological multiple regression, Ecology, 84(11),
 2809–2815, 2003.
- Granier, A., Bréda, N., Biron, P. and Villette, S.: A lumped water balance model to evaluate
 duration and intensity of drought constraints in forest stands, Ecol. Modell., 116(2), 269–283,
 1999.
- Granier, A., Bréda, N., Longdoz, B., Gross, P. and Ngao, J.: Ten years of fluxes and stand
 growth in a young beech forest at Hesse, North-eastern France, Ann. For. Sci., 64(7), 704–704
 [online] Available from: http://www.springerlink.com/index/HX4J1005V68TK726.pdf, 2008.
- Grote, R., Kiese, R., Grünwald, T., Ourcival, J.-M. and Granier, A.: Modelling forest carbon
 balances considering tree mortality and removal, Agric. For. Meteorol., 151(2), 179–190,
 doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.10.002, 2011.

- Guillemot, J., Delpierre, N., Vallet, P., François, C., Martin-StPaul, N. K., Soudani, K., Nicolas,
- 782 M., Badeau, V. and Dufrêne, E.: Assessing the effects of management on forest growth across
- France: insights from a new functional–structural model, Ann. Bot., 114(4), 779–793,
- 784 doi:10.1093/aob/mcu059, 2014.
- Hicke, J. A., Allen, C. D., Desai, A. R., Dietze, M. C., Hall, R. J., Kashian, D. M., Moore, D.,
- Raffa, K. F., Sturrock, R. N. and Vogelmann, J.: Effects of biotic disturbances on forest carbon cycling in the United States and Canada, Glob. Chang. Biol., 18(1), 7–34, 2012.
- Hoch, G., Richter, A. and Körner, C.: Non-structural carbon compounds in temperate forest
 trees, Plant. Cell Environ., 26(7), 1067–1081, 2003.
- Hoch, G., Siegwolf, R. T. W., Keel, S. G., Körner, C. and Han, Q.: Fruit production in three
 masting tree species does not rely on stored carbon reserves., Oecologia, 171(3), 653–62,
 doi:10.1007/s00442-012-2579-2, 2013.
- Hölttä, T., Mäkinen, H., Nöjd, P., Mäkelä, A. and Nikinmaa, E.: A physiological model of
 softwood cambial growth., Tree Physiol., 30(10), 1235–52, doi:10.1093/treephys/tpq068, 2010.
- De Kauwe, M. G., Medlyn, B. E., Zaehle, S., Walker, A. P., Dietze, M. C., Wang, Y., Luo, Y.,
- Jain, A. K., El-Masri, B. and Hickler, T.: Where does the carbon go? A model-data
- intercomparison of vegetation carbon allocation and turnover processes at two temperate forest
 free-air CO2 enrichment sites, New Phytol., doi: 10.1111/nph.12847, 2014.
- Keenan, T. F., Baker, I., Barr, A., Ciais, P., Davis, K., Dietze, M., Dragoni, D., Gough, C. M.,
 Grant, R. and Hollinger, D.: Terrestrial biosphere model performance for inter-annual variability
 of land-atmosphere CO2 exchange, Glob. Chang. Biol., 18(6), 1971–1987, 2012.
- Keyes, M. R. and Grier, C. C.: Above- and below-ground net production in 40-year-old Douglasfir stands on low and high productivity sites, Can. J. For. Res., 11(3), 599–605, doi:10.1139/x81082, 1981.
- Körner, C.: Carbon limitation in trees, J. Ecol., 91(1), 4–17 [online] Available from:
 http://www.blackwell-synergy.com/links/doi/10.1046%2Fj.1365-2745.2003.00742.x, 2003.
- Körner, C.: Winter crop growth at low temperature may hold the answer for alpine treeline formation, Plant Ecol. Divers., 1(1), 3–11, doi:10.1080/17550870802273411, 2008.
- Krinner, G., Viovy, N., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Ogée, J., Polcher, J., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P.,
 Sitch, S. and Prentice, I. C.: A dynamic global vegetation model for studies of the coupled
 atmosphere biosphere outern. Clobal Piezoschem, Cycles, 19(1), 2005.
- atmosphere-biosphere system, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 19(1), 2005.
- Kudo, K., Nabeshima, E., Begum, S., Yamagishi, Y., Nakaba, S., Oribe, Y., Yasue, K. and
- 813 Funada, R.: The effects of localized heating and disbudding on cambial reactivation and
- 814 formation of earlywood vessels in seedlings of the deciduous ring-porous hardwood, Quercus
- serrata, Ann. Bot. , 113 (6), 1021–1027 [online] Available from:
- 816 http://aob.oxfordjournals.org/content/113/6/1021.abstract, 2014.
- Kunstler, G., Albert, C. H., Courbaud, B., Lavergne, S., Thuiller, W., Vieilledent, G.,
- Zimmermann, N. E. and Coomes, D. a.: Effects of competition on tree radial-growth vary in

- importance but not in intensity along climatic gradients, J. Ecol., 99(1), 300–312,
- 820 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2745.2010.01751.x, 2011.
- Lebourgeois, F.: RENECOFOR Etude dendrochronologique des 102 peuplements du réseau, 0ff. Natl. des forêts, Département des Rech. Tech., 1997.

Lebourgeois, F., Bréda, N., Ulrich, E. and Granier, A.: Climate-tree-growth relationships of European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.) in the French Permanent Plot Network (RENECOFOR), Trees, 19(4), 385–401, doi:10.1007/s00468-004-0397-9, 2005.

- Lempereur, M., Martin-StPaul, N. K., Damesin, C., Joffre, R., Ourcival, J. M., Rocheteau, A. and Rambal, S.: Growth duration is a better predictor of stem increment than carbon supply in a Mediterranean oak forest: implications for assessing forest productivity under climate change, New Phytol., In press, doi:10.1111/nph.13400, 2015.
- Leuschner, C., Backes, K., Hertel, D., Schipka, F., Schmitt, U., Terborg, O. and Runge, M.:
- Drought responses at leaf, stem and fine root levels of competitive Fagus sylvatica L. and

832 Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl. trees in dry and wet years, For. Ecol. Manage., 149(1), 33–46,

- 833 2001.
- Leuzinger, S. and Hättenschwiler, S.: Beyond global change: lessons from 25 years of CO2 research., Oecologia, 171(3), 639–51, doi:10.1007/s00442-012-2584-5, 2013.
- Leuzinger, S., Manusch, C., Bugmann, H. and Wolf, A.: A sink-limited growth model improves
 biomass estimation along boreal and alpine tree lines, Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr., 22(8), 924–932,
 doi:10.1111/geb.12047, 2013.
- Liaw, A. and Wiener, M.: The randomforest package, R News, 2(3), 18–22, 2002.
- Litton, C. M., Raich, J. W. and Ryan, M. G.: Carbon allocation in forest ecosystems, Glob.
- 841 Chang. Biol., 13(10), 2089–2109, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01420.x, 2007.
- Lockhart, J. A.: An analysis of irreversible plant cell elongation, J. Theor. Biol., 8(2), 264–275, 1965.
- López, B. C., Sabate, S. and Gracia, C. A.: Thinning effects on carbon allocation to fine roots in a Quercus ilex forest, Tree Physiol. , 23 (17), 1217–1224, doi:10.1093/treephys/23.17.1217, 2003.
- Lupi, C., Morin, H., Deslauriers, A. and Rossi, S.: Xylem phenology and wood production:
 resolving the chicken-or-egg dilemma., Plant. Cell Environ., 33(10), 1721–30,
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02176.x, 2010.
- Luyssaert, S., Inglima, I., Jung, M., Richardson, a. D., Reichstein, M., Papale, D., Piao, S. L.,
- 851 Schulze, E.-D., Wingate, L., Matteucci, G., Aragao, L., Aubinet, M., Beer, C., Bernhofer, C.,
- Black, K. G., Bonal, D., Bonnefond, J.-M., Chambers, J., Ciais, P., Cook, B., Davis, K. J.,
- Dolman, a. J., Gielen, B., Goulden, M., Grace, J., Granier, A., Grelle, A., Griffis, T., Grünwald, T.,
- Guidolotti, G., Hanson, P. J., Harding, R., Hollinger, D. Y., Hutyra, L. R., Kolari, P., Kruijt, B.,
- Kutsch, W., Lagergren, F., Laurila, T., Law, B. E., Le Maire, G., Lindroth, A., Loustau, D., Malhi,
- Y., Mateus, J., Migliavacca, M., Misson, L., Montagnani, L., Moncrieff, J., Moors, E., Munger, J.

W., Nikinmaa, E., Ollinger, S. V., Pita, G., Rebmann, C., Roupsard, O., Saigusa, N., Sanz, M. J.,
Seufert, G., Sierra, C., Smith, M.-L., Tang, J., Valentini, R., Vesala, T. and Janssens, I. A.: CO2
balance of boreal, temperate, and tropical forests derived from a global database, Glob. Chang.
Biol., 13(12), 2509–2537, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01439.x, 2007.

Magnani, F., Mencuccini, M. and Grace, J.: Age-related decline in stand productivity: the role of structural acclimation under hydraulic constraints, Plant. Cell Environ., 23(3), 251–263, 2000.

Martin-StPaul, N. K., Limousin, J.-M., Vogt-Schilb, H., Rodríguez-Calcerrada, J., Rambal, S.,
Longepierre, D. and Misson, L.: The temporal response to drought in a Mediterranean evergreen
tree: comparing a regional precipitation gradient and a throughfall exclusion experiment., Glob.
Chang. Biol., 19(8), 2413–26, doi:10.1111/gcb.12215, 2013.

Mérian, P., Bontemps, J.-D., Bergès, L. and Lebourgeois, F.: Spatial variation and temporal
instability in climate-growth relationships of sessile oak (Quercus petraea [Matt.] Liebl.) under
temperate conditions, Plant Ecol., 212(11), 1855–1871, doi:10.1007/s11258-011-9959-2, 2011.

Michelot, A., Simard, S., Rathgeber, C., Dufrêne, E. and Damesin, C.: Comparing the intraannual wood formation of three European species (Fagus sylvatica, Quercus petraea and Pinus
sylvestris) as related to leaf phenology and non-structural carbohydrate dynamics., Tree
Physiol., 32(8), 1033–45, doi:10.1093/treephys/tps052, 2012.

Millard, P. and Grelet, G.-A.: Nitrogen storage and remobilization by trees: ecophysiological
relevance in a changing world., Tree Physiol., 30(9), 1083–95, doi:10.1093/treephys/tpq042,
2010.

Muller, B., Pantin, F., Génard, M., Turc, O., Freixes, S., Piques, M. and Gibon, Y.: Water deficits
uncouple growth from photosynthesis, increase C content, and modify the relationships between
C and growth in sink organs., J. Exp. Bot., 62(6), 1715–29, doi:10.1093/jxb/erq438, 2011.

Mund, M., Kutsch, W. L., Wirth, C., Kahl, T., Knohl, a, Skomarkova, M. V and Schulze, E.-D.:
The influence of climate and fructification on the inter-annual variability of stem growth and net
primary productivity in an old-growth, mixed beech forest., Tree Physiol., 30(6), 689–704,
doi:10.1093/treephys/tpq027, 2010.

Ohtsuka, T., Saigusa, N. and Koizumi, H.: On linking multiyear biometric measurements of tree
 growth with eddy covariance-based net ecosystem production, Glob. Chang. Biol., 15(4), 1015–
 1024, 2009.

Palacio, S., Hernández, R., Maestro-Martínez, M. and Camarero, J. J.: Fast replenishment of
initial carbon stores after defoliation by the pine processionary moth and its relationship to the
re-growth ability of trees, Trees, 26(5), 1627–1640, 2012.

Palacio, S., Hoch, G., Sala, A., Körner, C. and Millard, P.: Does carbon storage limit tree
growth?, New Phytol., 201(4), 1096–1100, doi:10.1111/nph.12602, 2014.

Pan, Y., Birdsey, R. a, Fang, J., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P. E., Kurz, W. a, Phillips, O. L.,

- Shvidenko, A., Lewis, S. L., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B., Pacala, S. W., McGuire, a
- D., Piao, S., Rautiainen, A., Sitch, S. and Hayes, D.: A large and persistent carbon sink in the

Pasquet, K.: Determination des chuttes de littières sur le réseau RENECOFOR de 1995 à 2002,
Off. Natl. des forêts, Département des Rech. Tech., 2002.

Peichl, M., Brodeur, J. J., Khomik, M. and Arain, M. A.: Biometric and eddy-covariance based
estimates of carbon fluxes in an age-sequence of temperate pine forests, Agric. For. Meteorol.,
150(7-8), 952–965, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2010.03.002, 2010.

- Ponette, Q.: Chimie des sols dans les 102 peuplements du réseau, Office National des Forêts Direction Technique., 1997.
- Rambal, S., Joffre, R., Ourcival, J. M., Cavender-Bares, J. and Rocheteau, A.: The growth
 respiration component in eddy CO2 flux from a Quercus ilex mediterranean forest, Glob. Chang.
 Biol., 10(9), 1460–1469, 2004.

Richardson, A. D., Carbone, M. S., Keenan, T. F., Czimczik, C. I., Hollinger, D. Y., Murakami, P.,
Schaberg, P. G. and Xu, X.: Seasonal dynamics and age of stemwood nonstructural
carbohydrates in temperate forest trees, New Phytol., 197(3), 850–861, 2013.

- Rocha, A. V., Goulden, M. L., Dunn, A. L. and Wofsy, S. C.: On linking interannual tree ring
 variability with observations of whole-forest CO2 flux, Glob. Chang. Biol., 12(8), 1378–1389,
 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2006.01179.x, 2006.
- Rossi, S., Anfodillo, T., Cufar, K., Cuny, H. E., Deslauriers, A., Fonti, P., Frank, D., Gricar, J.,
 Gruber, A., King, G. M., Krause, C., Morin, H., Oberhuber, W., Prislan, P. and Rathgeber, C. B.
- K.: A meta-analysis of cambium phenology and growth: linear and non-linear patterns in conifers
- 915 of the northern hemisphere., Ann. Bot., 112(9), 1911–20, doi:10.1093/aob/mct243, 2013.
- Rossi, S., Morin, H., Deslauriers, A. and Plourde, P.-Y.: Predicting xylem phenology in black
 spruce under climate warming, Glob. Chang. Biol., 17(1), 614–625, 2011.
- Ryan, M. G., Phillips, N. and Bond, B. J.: The hydraulic limitation hypothesis revisited, Plant Cell
 Environ., 29(3), 367–81, doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01478.x, 2006.
- Sala, A., Woodruff, D. R. and Meinzer, F. C.: Carbon dynamics in trees: feast or famine?, Tree
 Physiol., 32(6), 1–12, doi:10.1093/treephys/tpr143, 2012.
- Schiestl-Aalto, P., Kulmala, L., Mäkinen, H., Nikinmaa, E. and Mäkelä, A.: CASSIA–a dynamic
 model for predicting intra-annual sink demand and interannual growth variation in Scots pine,
 New Phytol., doi:10.1111/nph.13275, 2015.
- Seynave, I., Gégout, J., Hervé, J., Drapier, J., Bruno, É. and Dumé, G.: Picea abies site index
 prediction by environmental factors and understorey vegetation : a two-scale approach based on
 survey databases, , 1678, 1669–1678, doi:10.1139/X05-088, 2005.
- Sitch, S., Smith, B., Prentice, I. C., Arneth, A., Bondeau, A., Cramer, W., Kaplan, J. O., Levis, S.,
 Lucht, W. and Sykes, M. T.: Evaluation of ecosystem dynamics, plant geography and terrestrial
 carbon cycling in the LPJ dynamic global vegetation model, Glob. Chang. Biol., 9(2), 161–185,
 2003.

- Tang, J., Luyssaert, S., Richardson, A. D., Kutsch, W. and Janssens, I. A.: Steeper declines in
- 933 forest photosynthesis than respiration explain age-driven decreases in forest growth. Proc. Natl.
- 934 Acad. Sci., doi: 10.1073/pnas.1320761111, 2014.
- Tardieu, F., Granier, C. and Muller, B.: Water deficit and growth. Co-ordinating processes
 without an orchestrator?, Curr. Opin. Plant Biol., 14(3), 283–289, 2011.
- 937 Thomas, S. C.: Age-related changes in tree growth and functional biology: the role of
- reproduction, in Size-and age-related changes in tree structure and function, pp. 33–64,
 Springer., 2011.
- 940 Ulrich, E.: Organization of forest system monitoring in France-the RENECOFOR network, in941 World Forestry Congress, Antalya, TR., 1997.
- Vallet, P., Dhôte, J.-F., Moguédec, G. Le, Ravart, M. and Pignard, G.: Development of total
 aboveground volume equations for seven important forest tree species in France, For. Ecol.
 Manage., 229(1-3), 98–110, doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2006.03.013, 2006.
- Vicca, S., Luyssaert, S., Peñuelas, J., Campioli, M., Chapin, F. S., Ciais, P., Heinemeyer, a,
- Högberg, P., Kutsch, W. L., Law, B. E., Malhi, Y., Papale, D., Piao, S. L., Reichstein, M.,
- Schulze, E. D. and Janssens, I. a: Fertile forests produce biomass more efficiently., Ecol. Lett.,
 15(6), 520–6, doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01775.x, 2012.
- Vidal, J.-P., Martin, E., Franchistéguy, L., Baillon, M. and Soubeyroux, J.-M.: A 50-year highresolution atmospheric reanalysis over France with the Safran system, Int. J. Climatol., 30(11),
 1627–1644, doi:10.1002/joc.2003, 2010.
- Vitasse, Y., Lenz, A., Kollas, C., Randin, C. F., Hoch, G. and Körner, C.: Genetic vs. non-genetic
 responses of leaf morphology and growth to elevation in temperate tree species, Funct. Ecol.,
 28(1), 243–252, 2014.
- Wiley, E. and Helliker, B.: A re-evaluation of carbon storage in trees lends greater support for carbon limitation to growth, New Phytol., 195(2), 285–289, 2012.
- Wiley, E., Huepenbecker, S., Casper, B. B. and Helliker, B. R.: The effects of defoliation on
 carbon allocation: can carbon limitation reduce growth in favour of storage?, Tree Physiol.,
 33(11), 1216–1228, 2013.
- Wilhelmsson, L., Arlinger, J., Spångberg, K., Lundqvist, S.-O., Grahn, T., Hedenberg, Ö. and
 Olsson, L.: Models for Predicting Wood Properties in Stems of Picea abies and Pinus sylvestris
 in Sweden, Scand. J. For. Res., 17(4), 330–350, doi:10.1080/02827580260138080, 2002.
- Wolf, A., Field, C. B. and Berry, J. A.: Allometric growth and allocation in forests: a perspective
 from FLUXNET, Ecol. Appl., 21(5), 1546–1556, 2011.
- Woodruff, D. R., Bond, B. J. and Meinzer, F. C.: Does turgor limit growth in tall trees?, Plant. Cell Environ., 27(2), 229–236, 2004.

Woodruff, D. R. and Meinzer, F. C.: Size-dependent changes in biophysical control of tree
growth: the role of turgor, in Size-and age-related changes in tree structure and function, pp.
363–384, Springer., 2011.

Würth, M. K. R., Peláez-Riedl, S., Wright, S. J. and Körner, C.: Non-structural carbohydrate pools in a tropical forest., Oecologia, 143(1), 11–24, doi:10.1007/s00442-004-1773-2, 2005.

Xu, C., Turnbull, M. H., Tissue, D. T., Lewis, J. D., Carson, R., Schuster, W. S. F., Whitehead,
D., Walcroft, A. S., Li, J. and Griffin, K. L.: Age-related decline of stand biomass accumulation is
primarily due to mortality and not to reduction in NPP associated with individual tree physiology,
tree growth or stand structure in a Quercus-dominated forest, J. Ecol., 100(2), 428–440, 2012.

Zaehle, S., Sitch, S., Prentice, I. C., Liski, J., Cramer, W., Erhard, M., Hickler, T. and Smith, B.:
The importance of age-related decline in forest NPP for modeling regional carbon balances,
Ecol. Appl., 16(4), 1555–1574, 2006.

Zha, T. S., Barr, a. G., Bernier, P.-Y., Lavigne, M. B., Trofymow, J. a., Amiro, B. D., Arain, M. a.,
Bhatti, J. S., Black, T. a., Margolis, H. a., McCaughey, J. H., Xing, Z. S., Van Rees, K. C. J. and
Coursolle, C.: Gross and aboveground net primary production at Canadian forest carbon flux
sites, Agric. For. Meteorol., 174-175, 54–64, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2013.02.004, 2013.

Zhang, S.-Y., Owoundi, R. E., Nepveu, G., Mothe, F. and Dhôte, J.-F.: Modelling wood density in
European oak (Quercuspetraea and Quercusrobur) and simulating the silvicultural influence,
Can. J. For. Res., 23(12), 2587–2593, doi:10.1139/x93-320, 1993.

2weifel, R., Eugster, W., Etzold, S., Dobbertin, M., Buchmann, N. and Häsler, R.: Link between
continuous stem radius changes and net ecosystem productivity of a subalpine Norway spruce
forest in the Swiss Alps, New Phytol., 187(3), 819–830, 2010.

Zweifel, R., Zimmermann, L., Zeugin, F. and Newbery, D. M.: Intra-annual radial growth and
water relations of trees: implications towards a growth mechanism., J. Exp. Bot., 57(6), 1445–59,
doi:10.1093/jxb/erj125, 2006.

992

Table 1. Climate of the study sites. ETP: annual Penman - Monteith potential evapotranspiration; Precip.: annual precipitation; Temp.: annual temperature. Values are site averages ± standard deviation among sites.

Species	number of plots	number of site- years	elevation (m)	ETP (mm)	Precip. (mm)	Temp. (°C)	Source
F. sylvatica	16	313	565 ± 326	1010 ± 121	1001 ± 133	10.1 ± 0.98	RENECOFOR
Q. petraea / Q. robur	26	484	193 ± 76	999 ± 71	821 ± 96	10.7 ± 0.63	RENECOFOR
P. abies	6	101	1056 ± 313	933 ± 44	1559 ± 340	7.1 ± 1.4	RENECOFOR
Q. ilex	1	43	270	1417	907	13.4	Puéchabon site

Table 2. Description of explanatory variables. The "Type" category indicates the source of the data: field measurement (M), SAFRAN climate database (C) or CASTANEA simulation (S). The "Scale" categories indicate whether the variable was considered in the spatial (S) and temporal (T) analyses.

IDs	Description	Unit	Туре	Scale
age	Stand age	years	М	S
AWBI	Annual woody biomass increment	gC.m ⁻²	М	ST
SBA	Stand basal area	m²	М	S
camb_onset	Onset of the cambial activity	day of the year	S	Т
GPP_{gp}	Gross primary production of the current (y) growth period	gC.m ⁻²	S	ST
GPP_{y-1}	Gross primary production of the previous (y-1) year	gC.m ⁻²	S	Т
frost	Sum of the average daily temperatures below -2°C during the last winter (year y-1 and y)	°C	С	ST
LNC	Leaf nitrogen content	gN.gDM ⁻¹	М	S
NPP _{gp}	Net primary production of the current (y) growth period	gC.m ⁻²	S	ST
NPP _{y-1}	Net primary production of the previous (y-1) year	gC.m ⁻²	S	Т
numstem	Stem density	number. ha⁻¹	М	S
SNA	Class of soil nutrient availability (1: low, 2: medium, 3: high)	unitless	М	S
SWHC	Soil water holding capacity	mm	М	S
templim _{gp}	Number of days of the current (y) growth period with an average temperature below $6^{\circ}C$	number of days	С	ST
Ra_{gp}	Autotrophic respiration of the current (y) growth period	gC.m ⁻²	S	ST
Ra_{y-1}	Autotrophic respiration of the previous (y-1) year	gC.m ⁻²	S	Т
WS_per _{gp}	Number of days of the current (y) growth period with soil water content below 60% of the soil water holding capacity	number of days	S	ST
WS_per _{y-1}	Number of days of the previous (y-1) year with soil water content below 60% of the soil water holding capacity	number of days	S	Т
WS_int _{gp}	Water stress intensity index over the current (y) growth period	unitless	S	ST
WS_int _{y-1}	Water stress intensity index of the previous (y-1) year	unitless	S	Т

Table 3. Relationships of annual wood growth and the components of the seasonal forest carbon balance: NPP, GPP and Ra. The *start* and *end* terms (day of the year) indicate the carbon flux period that yielded the maximum value for the median of the growth-flux correlations among sites. The r term is the maximum obtained for the median of the site-specific Pearson correlation coefficients; values that are significantly different from 0 are indicated (* indicates P < 0.05 and ** indicates P < 0.001). The σ term is the standard deviation of the Pearson correlation values among sites.

Species	GPP			Ra			NPP					
	start	end	r	σ	start	end	r	σ	start	end	r	σ
F. sylvatica	124	258	0.62^{**}	0.18	96	200	-0.29*	0.33	126	262	0.58^{**}	0.24
Q. petraea / Q. robur	136	214	0.59^{**}	0.25	98	192	0.31*	0.24	130	214	0.50^{**}	0.28
P. abies	32	262	0.52^{**}	0.38	78	348	0.11	0.52	32	200	0.49^{**}	0.29
Q. ilex	186	226	0.60		36	256	-0.26		186	226	0.58	

Table 4. Spatial dependences of annual wood growth: multiple regression estimates. The data have been centred and scaled. GPP_{gp} is the GPP of the growth period, age is the average age of the stand, and SBA is the stand basal area (Table 2). Values: estimates [F values]. All estimated values differed significantly from 0 (P < 0.001). All variables were retained in the bootstrap procedure (see main text).

Species	Estimates				
	GPP_{gp}	age	SBA	Р	adj. R ²
Q. petraea / Q. robur		-8.88×10 ⁻¹ [39.5]	4.27×10 ⁻¹ [19.5]	<10 ⁻⁴	0.69
F. sylvatica	5.07×10 ⁻¹ [59.4]	-6.96×10 ⁻¹ [61.6]		<10 ⁻⁴	0.88
P. abies	8.25×10 ⁻¹ [8.6]			0.04	0.60

Table 5. Temporal dependences of annual wood growth: multiple regression estimates. The data have been centred and scaled. GPP_{gp} is the GPP of the growth period, WS_per_{gp} is the water stress index of the growth period, WS_int_{y-1} is the water stress index of the previous year, and $templim_{gp}$ is the low temperature index of the growth period (see Table 2). D1 and D2 are dummy variables (D1 = 0 if $GPP_{gp} < 1400$ gC m⁻²; otherwise, D1 = 1. D2 = 0 if $GPP_{y-1} < 1550$ gC m⁻²; otherwise, D2 = 1; see Fig. 5). The ρ term is the parameter of the first-order autoregressive process that was used to model the temporal autocorrelation of the within-stand errors. Values: estimates [F values]. Estimated values that are significantly different from 0 are indicated (* indicates P < 0.05, ** indicates P < 0.01, and *** indicates P < 0.001). A Δ index indicates that the variable was not retained in the bootstrap procedure (see main text).

Estimates	Species			
	Q. petraea /	F. sylvatica	P. abies	Q. ilex
	Q. robur			
GPP_{gp}	3.26×10 ^{-1 ***}	4.87×10 ^{-1 ***}	2.4×10 ^{-1*} [3.5]	
WS_per_{gp}	-1.09×10 ^{-1 **}	-2.04×10 ^{-1 ***}		-5.8×10 ^{-1 ***}
$WS_{int_{y-1}}$		-2.37×10 ^{-1 ***}		-2.2×10 ^{-1*} [6.3]
GPP_{y-1}	3.82×10 ^{-1*} [3.3]		-4×10 ^{-1 **} [3.2]	
<i>templim</i> _{gp}	-9.60×10 ^{-2 **Δ}		-1.26 *** [3.5]	
D1			-2.4×10 ^{-1 ***}	
D2	-3.9×10 ^{-1 **} [0.8]			
$D1 * GPP_{gp}$			1.33 ** [8.2]	
$D2 * GPP_{y-1}$	-4×10 ^{-1 **} [6.4]			
ρ	0.61	0.68	0.52	0.44
Р	<10 ⁻⁴	<10 ⁻⁴	7.7.10 ⁻³	<10 ⁻⁴
adj. R ²	0.21	0.42	0.20	0.43

Figure captions

Figure 1. The conceptual framework and the three sources of data (field measurements, climate reanalysis, and process-based simulations) used in the analyses.

Figure 2. Locations of the study sites.

Figure 3. **Spatial dependences of annual wood growth.** A: Relationship of the AWBI and the GPP of the growth period (GPP_{gp}) averaged over sites. B: Age-related decline of the C partitioning to AWBI (AWBI / GPP_{gp}).

Figure 4. **Temporal dependences of annual wood growth: the roles of explanatory variables from RF classification**. Variable importance is expressed as the percentage of the importance of the top-ranked explanatory variable. The variable identifiers (IDs) are listed in Table 2. The coloured variables were retained in subsequent analyses.

Figure 5. Temporal dependences of annual wood growth: marginal effects of each explanatory variable on the annual wood growth. The lines represent smoothing splines with 50% frequency response cut-offs. The coloured areas indicate the 95% confidence intervals. The 5% and 95% data quantiles (grey areas) were not considered in the discussion. The marginal effect of a given variable X was obtained by fixing the value of X and averaging the RF predictions over all the combinations of observed values for the other predictors in the dataset (Cutler et al., 2007). The marginal predictions were collected over the entire range of X in the training data using a regular grid.

Figure 6. Modelling framework for a combined source- and sink-driven representation of C allocation to wood growth.

Figure 1.



Figure 2.







Figure 4.



Figure 5.



Figure 6.

