Storage and transformation of organic matter fractions in cryoturbated permafrost soils across the Siberian Arctic

Author(s): N. Gentsch et al.

MS No.: bg-2014-592

Here we summarize the responses of our manuscript review and provide a point-by-point reply on the reviewers comments. We followed the suggestion of reviewer two and sent the manuscript to a professional language check. The marked-up manuscript version is attached.

Comments on Referee #3

Thank you very much for taking time to review our manuscript. We appreciate the valuable comments and tried to consider them in our manuscript wherever meaningful. In the following, the referee comments are given in bold, followed by our response as plain text.

No basic parameters of the soils were reported. For example it is only within the text that the reader learns about the high pH values of these soils, which are likely influencing all the chemical and even biological transformations occurring. I think that this may be a problem of data allocation to different manuscripts, as another one is submitted right now. However, such basic information needs to be included in the manuscript for the different soils/horizons sampled along with their elemental content and some information on mineral parameters, i.e. different extraction results.

All basic soil parameters are already presented in the supplementary material. Figure S1 shows the soil texture while table S1 presents soil pH and exchangeable cations across all sampling sites and soil horizon classes. We decided to publish these data in the supplements because of the overall size of the manuscript.

The manuscript would have benefitted from the inclusion of the 14C data, to give at least some indication on microbial activity/decomposability. As such, the manuscript provides a lot of detailed information of SOM association to different fractions, which in the end does not lead to any additional progress. Also, it is not evident from the data presentation, why a longitudinal gradient was sampled? Is there any added value from the analyses of these well chosen samples or is it just nine separate sites? Can any longitudinal trends be indicated?

We agree that 14C data would improve the whole story. We have measured the 14C content and as well as OC mineralization in incubation experiments. However, these data are part of a second manuscript in preparation. The idea was to describe the sampling sites and soil characteristics including OC stocks in different fractions in a first publication. The benefit of this manuscript is that we, for the first time, quantified the storage of different OM fraction across a large number of permafrost soil pedons. In the second manuscript (in preparation), we will then directly refer to the OC stock data. Focus of the second manuscript will be the bioavailability of different SOM fractions and the temperature sensitivity of OC mineralization. Radiocarbon data and bioavailability from the east Siberian sampling sites are also shown in Gentsch et al. (2015), recently accepted for publication in EJSS.

We selected the study sites according to the tree main geographical regions in Siberia (East-, Central-, and West Siberia). With a longitude gradient we try to cover the dominant tundra ecosystems within the regions as shown in Table 1 (e.g. a gradient from grass tundra to forest tundra in Western Siberia). Initially, we emphasized to explore longitudinal gradients as well. However, the small scale heterogeneity of OC stocks at the sampling sites was larger than between the sampling sites across a longitudinal gradient. The only statistic significant gradient was found between main geographic regions. The small scale heterogeneity depends primarily on the abundance of cryogenic processes instead on shifts in plant communities and tundra ecosystems.

I have a problem with the sample preparation procedure. The samples were air dried. However, the authors mention in the text in some place the importance of changing redox conditions for the processes operating in these soils. What is the potential impact of airdrying on the results obtained?

The samples were dried before transport to the laboratory (also to reduce weight for helicopter flight). Surely, this is a weakness in our study and we therefore cannot draw direct conclusions about the redox conditions from the dried samples. However, the in situ redox conditions at the sampling sites were reflected by field determination of soil color, which changes in the soil profile along with the water content (determined on fresh samples). Moreover, we found high Fe_o/Fe_d ratios which are indicative for hydromorphic soils and mirror changing redox conditions (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). Air-drying, also tended to aggregate the soils. This was the reason to refuse the separation of an occluded organic matter fraction by density fractionation. Apart from that, we do not see that air-drying influenced the results of this study.

Moreover, I found curious that you used (Fep+Alp)/(Fed+Ald) as an indication of complexed OM. In my opinion the determination of C in the pyrophosphyte extract would give a much better proxy.

The (Fep+Alp)/(Fed+Ald) ratio was initially introduced by US Soil Taxonomy as index for the total amount of Fe and Al which is potentially complexed with OM (USDA, 1999). We agree that this index is not any more state of the art and have removed it from the manuscript.

As the pH values of the soils were apparently very high, I wondered why the occurrence of inorganic carbon in these soils was never discussed.

We have tested all samples for inorganic carbon. Only in the samples from the East Siberian sites we found measurable amounts of inorganic carbon (<1% dw). Prior to total OC measurements, the inorganic carbon was removed by HCl fumigation. The high soil pH in the transient layer and permafrost horizons, however, derived from high electrolyte concentrations. Mainly Na and/or K salts accumulate within the subsoil and the frozen soil layers as result of impeded drainage. Due to the higher summer evapotranspiration than drainage, large amounts of salts accumulate within the active layer and the permafrost (Lopez et al., 2007). This is evident from the increase of exchangeable base cations (especially K^+ and Na^+) towards the permafrost. Additionally, the parent material derived from shallow marine sediments and may contribute to a higher salt concentration as well. This certainly interesting phenomenon was beyond the scope our story and we did not discuss it further. Instead we refer to Lopez et al. (2007), who discussed in detail the epigenetic salt accumulation in permafrost soils.

Heavy and light fractions are compared in terms of C/N. However, this may be not advisable, considering that the heavy fraction may always contain a substantial amount of inorganic N.

We agree that the bulk of the inorganic N (Nmin) will be found within the heavy fraction and the C/N ratio have a different meaning compared to the LF. We emphasize this fact now more precisely in the manuscript. Both fractions have a different functionality in the soils. Based on the C/N ratio we can show that the HF is the principle source of inorganic nitrogen and comprised the majority of the microbial resynthesized material. The HF may also be responsible for fixation of ammonium (e.g. in the interlayer of clay minerals). The LF, however, has very wide C/N ratios and is close to the C/N of the plant source and similar to the unfractionated O horizons (see Figure 6).

We have measured the Nmin values (NH4 + NO3) only from a selected pool of samples. Thus we could not correct the whole TN data set for Nmin. The figure below is showing the TN as 100% and the proportion of organic N (ON), ammonia (NH4), and nitrate (NO3). NH4 and NO3 was measured from fresh soil, which was extract directly after sampling from two sites on Taimyr Peninsula. In general, the inorganic N was far below 1% of the TN in the bulk soil. Only in few samples Nmin exceeded 2% of TN. However, during density fractionation most of the Nmin is lost by exchange with sodium polytungstate. Taking into account that only ammonium in the interlayer of clay minerals can survive the density fractionation treatment, the proportion of Nmin to TN within the HF is definitely negligible.

References

Cornell, R. M. and Schwertmann, U.: The iron oxides: Structure, Properties, Reactions, Occurrences and Uses, WILAY-VCH., 2003.

Gentsch, N., Mikutta, R., Shibistova, O., Wild, B., Schnecker, J., Richter, A., Urich, T., Santruckova, H., Barta, J., Gittel, A., Lashchinskiy, N., Müller, C., Fuß, R. and Guggenberger, G.: Properties and bioavailability of particulate and mineral-associated organic matter in Arctic permafrost soils, Lower Kolyma Region, Russia, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 2015.

Lopez, C. M. L., Brouchkov, A., Nakayama, H., Takakai, F., Fedorov, A. N. and Fukuda, M.: Epigenetic salt accumulation and water movement in the active layer of central Yakutia in eastern Siberia, Hydrol Process, 21(1), 103–109, 2007.

USDA: Soil Taxonomy. A Basic System of Classification for Making and Interpeting Soil Surveys, 2nd ed., United States Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service., 1999.



Fig 1: Proportion of mineral N (NH4+NO3) to total N values (100%). Abbreviations: ON, organic nitrogen; NO3, nitrate; NH4, ammonia.

Comments on Referee #4

We thank the reviewer for thoughtful comments which help to improve the manuscript quality. We have reworked our manuscript accordingly, wherever possible. In the following, the referee comments are given in bold, followed by our response as plain text.

How well do current stock estimates account for cryoturbation? How should the community better sample to account for the findings in this manuscript? I agree with Reviewer 3 that greater discussion on the use of a longitudinal transect would help here – how do these results likely scale across the great continental region they span? A conceptual diagram at the end of the results or within the discussion may help here.

Indeed, the current C stock estimates did not consider a separate quantification of SOM in cryoturbated horizons. The latest SOC update for permafrost soils (Hugelius et al., 2014) gives estimates for the main types of permafrost-affected soils (Turbels, Histels, and Orthels). Therein, cryoturbation is a diagnostic level to characterize the Turbel soil order. However, no details of how much cryoturbated horizons contribute to the ecosystem C storage were reported so far. Only few studies have addressed the importance of cryoturbation, such as Bockheim (2007) and most recently (Palmtag et al.2015, and Palmtag et al.in preparation). Based on the reports in Hugelius et al. (2014), we give a rough estimate on the contribution of cryogenic processes to OC sequestration on page 2716 (line 6-20).

As mentioned in the response to reviewer #3, the mapping of cryogenic features was the main goal of our study. The small scale heterogeneity of OC stocks within and between the soil profiles based primarily on the abundance (and frequency) of cryogenic features. The more cracks, pockets involutions or tongues were present in the profile the larger was the OC storage. These features are overwhelming effects in OC stocks between the different types of tundra. Significant differences only occur between the geographic regions. The western Siberian sites clearly show least disturbance and the differences in OC stocks compared to the eastern sites were significant. As suggested, a schematic map (Fig 2) is now included in order to visualize the data.

Abstract – has too much detail, particularly for the methods, and could be significantly shortened. p. 2700, line 8 – "most important" OM fraction – but is largest OM fraction the most important? I would tend to think of the most labile as the most important, and the largest fraction as the greatest contributor to C stock.

We agree that some methodic details can be removed and reworked the abstract accordingly. We changed "most important" to "dominant" and re-worked the sentence.

p.2701, line 10, soils to soil

Done.

p. 2701, line 17 add "an" before "Ecosystem"

Done.

p. 2703, ln 13 remove "the" before "transport"

Done.

p. 2703, ln14 “triplicate” not “triplicates”; line 20 “given by” not “described by”?

Changed.

p. 2707 line 7 “so-called” in English means “erroneously called”. I think you can say just “referred to as the transient layer

Changed.

p. 2711, line 19, “relatively contained” is confusing – maybe rewrite without “relatively”? I can’t follow the logic here.

Re-written.

p. 2713, line 22, add “a” before “response”

Done**.**

p. 2714, line 12 needs a date for Palmtag paper; line 14 add “the” before “Results”, line 26, “constant” seems too strong since I don’t think it’s a truly continuous process – maybe just remove this word?

We changed constant to continuous. We wanted to pay attention that cryoturbation of OM rich pockets is a faster process, wrapping OM involutions to the subsoil probably by the course of few events. Cryohomogenization, on the other hand, is a process acting slowly and continuous over the whole formation period of the solum.

p. 2716, line 3, change “precipitating” to “precipitation”, line 19-20 remove either “in” or “within”

Done.

p. 2717, line 13 “LF fraction” is redundant – just say “LF”, line 21 change “the” to “a”and clarify the writing so that it doesn’t appear that lichens are plants

We changed the sentence.

p. 2723, line 5 remove s on “causes”; line 4 add “an” before “object”

Fig 3 caption change “occur” to “occurred”

Changed.

Fig 5 it is very difficult to read the scale bar on these microscope images, please adjust

The scale bars were adjusted.

Fig S3: capitalize “Siberian” and remove “ing” from “showing” both times

Done.

References

Bockheim, J. G.: Importance of Cryoturbation in Redistributing Organic Carbon in Permafrost-Affected Soils, Soil Sci Soc Am J, 71(4), 1335–1342, 2007.

Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C.-L., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O’Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B., Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J. and Kuhry, P.: Estimated stocks of circumpolar permafrost carbon with quantified uncertainty ranges and identified data gaps, Biogeosciences, 11(23), 6573–6593, doi:10.5194/bg-11-6573-2014, 2014.

Palmtag, J., Ramage, J., Hugelius, G., Lashchinskiy, N., Richter, A. and Kuhry, P.: Above- and below-ground carbon storage from two sites in Taymyr Peninsula, Eur. J. Soil Sci., submitted.

Palmtag, J., Hugelius, G., Lashchinskiy, N., Tamstorf, M. P., Richter, A., Elberling, B. and Kuhry, P.: Storage, Landscape Distribution, and Burial History of Soil Organic Matter in Contrasting Areas of Continuous Permafrost, Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res., 47(1), 71–88, doi:10.1657/AAAR0014-027, 2015.



Fig 2. The schematic map illustrates the distribution of OC stocks across the sampling sites and all investigated soil profiles. The bubbles give the total OC stocks (kg m-2 to one meter soil depth) by size, while the bar charts give the proportion of the OM fractions (in different colors) with respect to the total OC stocks in mineral soil horizons.

Storage and transformation of organic matter fractions in cryoturbated permafrost soils across the Siberian Arctic

N. Gentsch[1], R. Mikutta[1,2], R. J. E. Alves[3], J. Barta[4], P. Čapek[4], A. Gittel[5], G. Hugelius[6], P. Kuhry[6], N. Lashchinskiy[7], J. Palmtag[6], A. Richter[8, 9], H. Šantrůčková[4], J. Schnecker[8, 9, 10], O. Shibistova[1, 11~~0~~], T. Urich[3, 9], B. Wild[8, 9, 12], and G. Guggenberger[1, 11]

[1]{Institute of Soil Science, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Germany}

[2]{Soil Sciences, Martin Luther Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Halle, Germany}

[3]{University of Vienna, Department of Ecogenomics and Systems Biology, Vienna, Austria}

[4]{University of South Bohemia, Department of Ecosystems Biology, České Budéjovice, Czech Republic}

[5]{Aarhus University, Centre for Geomicrobiology, Aarhus, Denmark}

[6]{Stockholm University, Department of Physical Geography and Quaternary Geology, Stockholm, Sweden}

[7]{Central Siberian Botanical Garden, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, Russia}

[8]{University of Vienna, Department of Microbiology and Ecosystem Science, Vienna, Austria}

[9]{Austrian Polar Research Institute, Vienna, Austria}

[10]{University of New Hampshire, Department of Natural Resources and the Environment, Durham, NH, USA}

[11]{V.N. Sukachev Institute of Forest, Siberian Branch of Russian Academy of Sciences, Krasnoyarsk, Russia}

[12] {University of Gothenburg, Department of Earth Sciences, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Correspondence to: N. Gentsch (gentsch@ ifbk.uni-hannover.~~ifbk.~~de)

Abstract

In permafrost soils, the temperature regime and the resulting cryogenic processes are ~~decisive for~~important determinants of the storage of organic carbon (OC) and its small-scale spatial variability. For cryoturbated soils, there is a lack ~~in the assessment of~~of research assessing pedon-scale heterogeneity in OC stocks and the transformation of functionally different organic matter (OM) fractions, such as particulate and mineral-associated OM. Therefore, pedons of 28 Turbels ~~across the Siberian Arctic~~ were sampled in five-~~-~~meter-~~-~~wide soil trenches across the Siberian Arctic ~~in order to~~to calculate OC and total nitrogen (TN) stocks ~~within the active layer and the upper permafrost~~ based on digital profile mapping. Density fractionation of soil samples was performed to distinguish between particulate OM (light fraction, LF, < 1.6 g cm^{-3}), mineral associated OM (heavy fraction, HF, > 1.6 g cm^{-3}), and a mobilizable dissolved pool (mobilizable fraction, MoF). ~~Mineral-organic associations were characterized by selective extraction of pedogenic Fe and Al oxides and the clay composition was analyzed by X-ray diffraction. Organic matter transformation in bulk soil and density fractions was assessed by the stable carbon isotope ratio ($\delta^{13}C$) and element contents (C and N).~~ Across all investigated soil profiles, the total OC storage~~ocks~~ was ~~ere calculated to~~ 20.2 ± 8.0 kg m^{-2} (mean ± SD) to 100 cm soil depth. ~~Of this average, 54~~Fifty-four ~~%~~ percent of th~~e~~is OC was located in the horizons of the active layer ~~horizons~~ (annual summer thawing layer), showing evidence of cryoturbation, and another 35% was present in the upper permafrost. The HF-OC dominated the overall OC stocks (55%), followed by LF-OC (19% in mineral and 13% in organic horizons). During fractionation, ~~about 1~~approximately 13% of the OC was released as MoF, which likely represents ~~the most~~a readily bioavailable OM pool. Cryogenic activity combined with ~~an impaired biodegradation~~cold and wet conditions ~~of OM in topsoil horizons (O and A horizons)~~ were the principle mechanisms ~~to~~ through which ~~sequester~~ large OC stocks were sequestered in the subsoil (16.4 ± 8.1 kg m^{-2}; all mineral B, C, and permafrost horizons). ~~About 2~~Approximately 22% of the subsoil OC stock can be attributed to LF material subducted by cryoturbation, whereas migration of soluble OM along freezing gradients appeared ~~as~~ to be the principle source ~~for~~ of the ~~dominating~~ dominant HF (63%) in the subsoil. ~~The large proportion of MoF (15%) in the subsoil suggests an OM pool of~~ that forms only ~~weaker mineral-organic associations as result of the low acidity and presence of basic cations, reductive dissolution of Fe(III) oxides, and the frequent freezing-thawing cycles.~~ Despite the unfavourable abiotic conditions, ~~substantial microbial OM transformation in the subsoil was indicated by~~ low C/N ratios and high $\delta^{13}C$ values indicated

substantial microbial OM transformation in the subsoil, but this was not reflected in altered LF and HF pool sizes. Partial least squares regression analyses suggest that OC accumulates in the HF fraction due to coprecipitation with multivalent cations (Al, Fe) and association with poorly crystalline Fe oxides and clay minerals. Our data show that across all permafrost pedons, the mineral-associated OM represents the ~~most important~~dominant OM fraction~~ and we suppose~~ , suggesting that the HF-OC is th~~at~~e OM pool in permafrost soils ~~of OC~~ on which~~were~~ changing soil conditions~~ in the arctic~~ will have the largest impact. ~~but the reactivity of this pool under changing future environmental conditions warrants further attention.~~

1 Introduction

The storage and turnover of organic matter (OM) in arctic soils has received broad interest ~~given~~ due to the potential of permafrost environments to accelerate climate ~~forces~~ change (Schaefer et al., 2011; UNEP, 2012). Earth history records have linked past extreme warming events to permafrost thaw and the release of greenhouse gasses from decomposing, previously frozen OM (DeConto et al., 2012). Similar signals for the onset of changing environmental conditions in these regions have been recently observed and include the degradation of continuous permafrost (Smith et al., 2005), an increase ~~of~~ in active layer depth (the annual thawing layer), and rising permafrost temperatures (Fountain et al., 2012). Such changes will strongly affect all pedogenetic processes, including mineral weathering and OM cycling.

Alongside peat formation, cryoturbation is the major soil-~~-~~forming process in permafrost-affected soils~~, and is~~ and is primarily responsible for the distribution of OM within soil (Bockheim and Tarnocai, 1998). The principle mechanisms of cryopedogenic processes are based on frequent freezing-thawing cycles in combination with moisture migration along a thermal gradient (Bockheim et al., 1997). Cryoturbation leads to irregular or broken soil horizons as well as involutions and subduction of organic rich materials from near surface horizons to the subsoil. Pockets of topsoil (O and A horizons) material are incorporated into deeper mineral soil, including the upper part of the permafrost. Radiocarbon ages of several thousand years demonstrate that OM decomposition is hampered in cryoturbated materials as a result of the unfavourable abiotic conditions in deeper soil layers (Bockheim, 2007; Hugelius et al., 2010; Kaiser et al., 2007). Low and, for most of the year, sub-zero soil temperatures and frequent waterlogging during the short unfrozen period enable otherwise labile OM compounds to be preserved in the subsoil (Kaiser et al., 2007). Across the entire northern circumpolar permafrost region, approximately ~~∼~~400 Pg organic carbon (OC) and approximately ~~∼~~16 Pg nitrogen (N) is estimated to be stored in cryoturbated soil~~s~~ horizons alone (Harden et al., 2012).

Increased~~ing~~ subsoil temperatures, longer frost-~~–~~free periods, and permafrost thaw~~,~~ might enhance the degradation of this preserved OM (Schuur et al., 2008). As microbial decomposition is more temperature sensitive than primary production processes (Davidson and Janssens, 2006), this may generate a positive feedback of greenhouse gas emissions from permafrost areas to climate warming (Koven et al., 2011; Ping et al., 2014; Schuur et al., 2013; Schuur and Abbott, 2011). Recent concepts consider the persistence of soil OM ~~as~~ to be an ecosystem property, primarily controlled by physicochemical and biological conditions rather than its molecular structure (Schmidt et al., 2011). Therefore, the magnitude of greenhouse gas emissions from permafrost regions depends not only on changes in soil environmental conditions~~, but also~~ but also on the contribution of different functional OM fractions, the operating protection mechanisms, as well as inherent kinetic properties. For temperate soils, it has been shown that interaction with mineral surfaces and metal ions, as well as physical stabilization by occlusion in soil aggregates, protect OM against decomposition (Kögel-Knabner et al., 2008; Lützow et al., 2006). Only a few studies have investigated different OM fractions in permafrost soils, and those ~~mostly relied~~have relied mainly on a select~~ed~~ number of soil profiles (Dutta et al., 2006; Gentsch et al., ~~submitted~~2015; Gundelwein et al., 2007; Höfle et al., 2013). Hence, data about pool sizes of different OM fractions, such as mineral- or metal-associated OM versus particulate OM (largely plant debris) on a larger spatial scale, are still missing. Moving forward in understanding high-latitude soil OM cycling requires an integration of studies that aim to upscale OC and TN stocks to the landscape and regional levels (Hugelius et al., 2014; Kuhry et al., 2010; Palmtag et al., 2015~~in press~~; Tarnocai et al., 2009) with more process-oriented pedon-scale studies.

Consequently, ~~This~~the objectives of this study ~~therefore aims at~~were to~~,~~ ~~first,~~(1) ~~quantification of~~quantify OC and TN stocks in permafrost soils along a longitudinal gradient in the Siberian Arctic, with particular emphasis on the spatial distribution of cryoturbated topsoil material;~~.~~ ~~Second,~~(2) ~~by using~~use density fractionation in combination with stable isotope (^{13}C) analyses~~, we investigated~~ to investigate the storage and transformation of OC in three different OM classes~~,~~ (i.e., potentially mobilizable dissolved OM [~~(~~released by density solution]~~)~~, particulate, and mineral-associated OM); and~~.~~ ~~Third,~~(3) ~~we investigated~~investigate the relevance of mineral properties for the accumulation of OC in permafrost soils. ~~Therefore~~To~~ approach that~~ address these objectives, 28 soil pits located under tundra vegetation in western, central, and eastern Siberia were sampled and cryogenic features were mapped in each pedon over a distance of 5 meter within the active layer. From ~~that~~these maps, we derived precise information about pedon-scale distribution and total storage of soil OC and TN. The mineralogical assemblage of the soils (clay mineral and metal oxide composition) was characterized by X-ray diffraction and selective extractions. The importance of mineral-organic associations for the accumulation of OC in the permafrost soils was assessed using multivariate statistical analyses to relate mineralogical properties to the quantity of mineral-associated OC.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study a~~A~~rea and soil sampling

Soil samples were collected from nine sites on continuous permafrost in the Siberian Arctic (Fig. 1). The sampling sites were selected in different tundra types (Table 1 and detailed site description in supplementary material) in West (Tazowskiy; TZ), Central (Ari-Mas; AM, Logata, LG), and East Siberia (Cherskiy, CH). For comparability, all sampling sites were ~~chosen on~~located in plain areas. Soil profiles were excavated in at least ~~in~~ three field replicates (28 profiles in total), with each replicate consisting of a ~~as~~ 5 x 1 m wide trench~~es~~ extending down to the permafrost table. The large dimension of the profiles provided a representative cross section through micro-topographic features (hummocks, patterned ground) and cryoturbation patterns. Soils were described according to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010); a schematic overview of soil diagnostics and the terminology is summarized in Fig. 2.

Diagnostic horizons, including subducted topsoil material, were sampled at various positions within the soil profile. The upper p~~P~~ermafrost ~~horizons were~~layer was cored (up to 30-40 cm depth below the permafrost table) with a steel pipe at two positions in each profile: one directly underneath a hummock and the other ~~one~~ in between the hummocks. Directly after sampling, living roots and animals were removed and the samples were sieved to < 2 mm. An aliquot of the samples was then air dried for transport to the laboratory. Samples for the determination of bulk density (BD) were collected in triplicates over the 5-m profile in all diagnostic soil horizons using a 100-cm^3 core cutter. Organic horizons were cut in dimensional blocks and measured by length, width, and height. All BD samples were dried at 105°C and BD was determined gravimetrically. In thin horizons, where it was impossible to extract a proper soil core, the BD of the surrounding mineral horizon was adopted and corrected for the respective OM content using the equation ~~described~~ given by Rawls (1983).

2.2 Soil c~~C~~hemistry and m~~M~~ineralogy

Soil pH was measured in suspension with H_2O_{deion} at a soil-to-solution ratio of 1:2.5. Exchangeable cations were extracted with Mehlich 3 solution (detailed methodology see Carter and Gregorich, 2008) and measured by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES; Varian 725-ES, Palo Alto, California). The effective cation exchange capacity (CEC_{eff}) was calculated as the sum of exchangeable cations (Ca, Mg, K, Na, Al, Fe, and Mn) and the base saturation (BS) is expressed as the percentage of the basic cations (Ca, Mg, K and Na) to CEC_{eff}.

Soil texture was ~~analyzed~~analysed by the sieve-pipette method according to DIN ISO 11277 (2002) after OM oxidation with 30 wt% hydrogen peroxide and dispersion of residual soil aggregates in 0.05 M sodium pyrophosphate. Iron and Al fractions in bulk soils were ~~analyzed~~analysed using 0.2 M ammonium oxalate (pH 2) and sodium dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate (McKeague and Day, 1966). Oxalate-soluble Fe and Al (Fe_o, Al_o)~~,~~ represent poorly crystalline aluminosilicates, Fe oxides ~~like~~ such as ferrihydrite, and organically complexed Fe. Sodium dithionite dissolves all pedogenic oxides (Fe_d), ~~and~~ thus~~,~~ representing the total amount of poorly crystalline and crystalline Fe oxides such as goethite, hematite, and ferrihydrite (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). ~~According to~~As described by Eusterhues et al. (2008) and Lutwick and Dormaar (1973), sodium pyrophosphate (0.1 M; pH 10) was used to extract organically complexed Fe and Al from the heavy soil fractions (see 2.4). To avoid the mobilization of colloids (Parfitt and Childs, 1988), the extracts were ultracentrifuged at 300,000 × *g* for 6 hours. All extracts were measured for Fe and Al by ICP-OES (Varian 725-ES, Palo Alto, California). The activity index Fe_o/Fe_d represents the proportion of poorly crystalline Fe oxides (e.g., ferrihydrite) to the total free Fe (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). The proportion of well crystalline Fe oxides can be described by the term $Fe_d – Fe_o$, whereas $Fe_o – Fe_p$ exclusively comprises the proportion of less crystalline Fe forms. ~~The term~~

~~$(Fe_p+Al_p)/(Fe_d+Al_d)$ is used to estimate the proportion of Fe and Al associated with OM (Earl-Goulet et al., 1998).~~

Clay~~-~~sized minerals (< 2~~63~~ µm) were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. Organic matter and Fe oxides were removed by treatment with 6 wt% Na hypochlorite (Moore and Reynolds, 1997) and sodium dithionite-citrate-bicarbonate, respectively. The clay fraction was isolated by sedimentation in Atterberg cylinders, according to Stoke`s law, and saturated with either K^+ or Mg^{2+} (Moore and Reynolds, 1997). Oriented clay specimens were prepared by drying the clay suspension onto glass slide mounts. The samples were scanned between 1 and 32 °θ with 0.05 °2θ increment using a Kristalloflex D-500 spectrometer (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany). XRD scans were recorded for the following treatments: K saturation, K saturation with heating to 550°C, Mg saturation, and Mg saturation with ethylene glycol treatment (Moore and Reynolds, 1997).

2.3 Soil fractionation and OC and TN determination

Mineral soil horizons were fractionated by density according to Golchin et al. (1994) with some modifications. The light fraction OM (LF, < 1.6 g cm^{-3}) was separated from the heavy fraction (HF, > 1.6 g cm^{-3}) by floating the sample in sodium polytungstate. ~~and s~~Soil aggregates were destroyed by sonication (details see supplementary material). During washing of both fractions, considerable amounts of OM were mobilized. This "mobilizable fraction" (MoF) was collected separately, passed through syringe filters (PVDF, < 0.45 µm), and ~~analyzed~~analysed for dissolved OC (LiquiTOC, Elementar, Hanau, Germany). The LF was imaged by laser scanning microscope (Keyence VK-9700, Osaka, Japan) ~~,~~ and ~~additionally~~ scanning electron microscope images (FEI Quanta 200 FEG, Oregon, USA) were produced ~~from~~ for both the LF and the HF ~~additionally~~.

Organic C and TN concentrations and the ^{13}C isotope content of bulk soils, as well as of the HF and LF fractions, were measured in duplicate~~s~~ using an Elementar IsoPrime 100 IRMS (IsoPrime Ltd., Cheadle Hulme, UK) coupled to an Elementar vario MICRO cube EA C/N ~~analyzer~~analyser (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). Before measurements, samples containing traces of carbonates were exposed to acid fumigation (Harris et al., 2001). Isotope values are expressed in the delta notation relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) standard (Hut, 1987).

~~Calculation of~~ OC and TN stocks of the cryoturbated soils ~~has been done~~were calculated using the sketch-based method described in Michaelson et al. (2001). Based on photo images taken during field excursions referenced by scaled drawings, detailed digital maps of soil horizons were generated using AutoCAD~~T~~ 2010 (Autodesk, Inc., San Rafael, USA). From these maps, the horizon area (*A*) of a certain diagnostic horizon was calculated as the sum of the individual shapes (Fig. 3 and Fig. S7). Organic C and TN stocks per designated horizon were calculated by Eq. (1) down to 100 cm soil depth, where n is the number of designated horizons. Finally, the stocks were related to a 1 m^2 soil surface.

$$OC_{stock}(kg\ m^{-2}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} BD\ (g\ cm^{-3}) \times OC\ (\%) \times A\ (m^2) \times 10 \qquad (1)$$

2.4 Statistical analyse~~i~~s

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 21 (IBM, Armonk, United States). All variables were tested for a normal distribution and log transformed when required. Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to describe linear relationships between parameters. The influence of soil horizons and sampling location on individual parameters~~,~~ (e.g., element content~~s~~ or isotopic ratios), ~~were~~ was ~~analyzed~~analysed using one-way and two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Following ANOVA, p~~P~~ost hoc ~~-~~tests ~~following ANOVA~~ (Tukey's HSD) were conducted to identify subsets of sites or horizons ~~being discriminated by a probability level of~~ ($p < 0.05$). Interactions of OC with soil mineral parameters were studied with partial least squares regression (PLSR) analysis (details see supplementary material). Please ~~N~~note that ~~for statistical analyses,~~ the few Ojj horizons were combined with the Ajj horizons for statistical analyses.

3 Results

3.1 Soil characteristics and morphology

All soils were classified in the Aquiturbel ~~greatgroup~~ Great Group (Soil Survey Staff, 2010) or characterized as cryohydromorphic soils (Sokolov et al., 2002), with aquic soil conditions being present in all soil profiles. In the upper 10-20 cm of the mineral soil, redoximorphic features were indicated by redox depletion and mottling (zones of Munsell soil value ≥ 4 and chroma < 4). Toward~~s~~ the permafrost surface, the soils showed strong reducing conditions, with low Munsell soil values (≤ 4), ~~and~~ low chroma (2), and frequently~~, a~~ colour hues ~~of~~ between 5G and~~to~~ 10 BG. All soil profiles showed strong signs of cryoturbation by disrupted horizons or subducted OM-rich pockets, involutions, or tongues (Fig. 3 and Fig. S7). ~~Note, since~~Because samples from the permafrost were received by coring, the morphology of subducted topsoil materials could not be traced in the frozen parts of the profiles (~~e.g.~~ e.g., Ajjff). Nevertheless, many profiles from~~of~~ central and eastern Siberia (profiles CH D-I, AM A-C, LG D; Fig. S7) contain a zone 20 cm above the permafrost table, ~~and~~ within the upper 10 cm of the permafrost, ~~where~~ that ~~was~~is enrich~~ment~~ed ~~ofin~~with OC~~ was measured~~ (see 3.3). This zone is referred to as~~so called~~ the "transient layer" (Fig. 2). This layer~~,~~ depends on decadal climate fluctuations (French and Shur, 2010) and shows~~ed~~ pronounced signs of Fe reduction. For data evaluation, the following five horizon groups were distinguished: organic topsoil horizons (Oa, Oe, Oi,), mineral topsoil horizons (A, AB), cryoturbated OM-rich pockets in the subsoil (Ajj, Ojj, referred to as "subducted topsoil"), mineral subsoil horizons (BCg, BC, Cg, often showed signs of cryoturbation with the suffix jj), and permafrost horizons (commonly designated as Cf, Cff, but partly incorporate subducted topsoil materials).

The soils were loamy, clayey, or fine silty, with an absence of coarse materials, and were partly thixotropic. ~~Only in eastern Siberia at profile CH H,~~ R~~r~~ock fragments from the near-surface bedrock were only incorporated into the soil profile in eastern Siberia at profile CH-H. The CH soils were all dominated by silt (Fig. S2), ~~being indicative for~~suggesting an aeolian origin of the parent material. ~~On~~ At the Taimyr Peninsula (Central Siberian sites), the soils were rich in silt and clay (silty clay loam) at LG, but more sandy (sandy loam) at AM. Vertical textural~~e~~ differences (fine silty to coarse loamy) in TZ ~~were indicative for~~suggest distinct ~~changing~~ sedimentation conditions during deposition of the parent material and less cryogenic mixing in the deeper soil. Clay content increased in the order AM (12 ± 4%), TZ (20 ± 10%), CH (21 ± 8%), and LG (27 ± 6%).

The active layer depth in CH and Taymyr soils varied from 30 to 90 cm, depending on the thickness of the organic layer and position. Small scale variability in the thickness and the insulating effect of the organic layer associated with patterned ground formation (Ping et al., 2008) often caused a wavy upper boundary of the permafrost surface (Fig. 3). In contrast, the permafrost table of the TZ soil profiles was smooth and considerably deeper (100-150~~0cm~~

cm). The surface morphology and horizon boundary of these soil layers were plane and less disturbed by cryoturbation (Fig. S7). The upper permafrost (30-40~~0cm~~ cm) was recorded as dry permafrost (Cff) containing little vain ice and no massive ice bodies.

3.2 Chemical soil parameters and mineral composition

Topsoil pH ranged from strongly acidic in organic topsoil to slightly acidic in mineral topsoil horizons (Table S1). Subsoil pH increased with soil depth from slightly acidic in the upper active layer to neutral or moderately alkaline within permafrost horizons. The CEC_{eff} was larger only in the LG soils (Tukey's HSD, $p < 0.001$), with an interquartile range from 20 to 34 $cmol_c\ kg^{-1}$ across all sites (Table S1), and no difference between soil horizons was evident. The BS varied from 33 to 88% and~~ was dominated at all sites by~~the dominating cations were~~as~~ Ca^{2+} (from 17 to 64% of CEC_{eff}) and Mg^{2+} (from 8 to 33% of CEC_{eff}) at all sites. Tukey's HSD indicated increasing BS in the order CH < TZ < AM < LG and rising values towards the permafrost. Concurrently, exchangeable acid cations such as Al^{3+} (contributing from 11 to 64% to CEC_{eff}) showed significantly smaller values at AM and LG~~ as compared~~ compared with~~to~~ TZ and CH (Tukey's HSD, $p < 0.001$) and decreased with soil depth only at the latter sites.

In the CH soils~~ of the CH sites~~, the clay fraction was composed of illite, vermiculite, kaolinite, and mixed-layer clays, with an increasing abundance of smectite clays towards the permafrost table (Fig. S4). Primary minerals such as quartz and traces of feldspars were also detected in all samples. Smectite minerals clearly dominated the clay fractions in central and western Siberian soils (Fig. S3 and Fig. S4). In addition, soils from AM contained illite, vermiculite, and kaolinite. The LG and TZ samples showed somewhat higher peak intensities for illite and kaolinite~~,~~ and an abundance of chlorite instead of vermiculite. The intensity of smectite signals increased strongly in the permafrost table at TZ, whereas chlorite was enriched in the upper active layer.

Pedogenic Fe and Al in the CH soils were already presented in Gittel et al. (2014) and Gentsch et al. (~~submitted~~2015). Dithionite-extractable Fe ranged from 1.7 to 26.4 g kg^{-1} (Table S2), and all sampling sites showed significant differences to each other (two-way ANOVA, $F_{(3,127)} = 113.7$, $p < 0.001$) but no variations with soil depth ($F_{(3,127)} = 1.0$, $p = 0.38$). Oxalate-extractable Fe (0.7 to 26.4 g kg^{-1}) and Al (0.02 to 5.0 g kg^{-1}) varied significantly between sites and soil horizons (two-way ANOVA, $F_{Fe\,(9,128)} = 2.7$, $p = 0.005$, $F_{Al\,(9,128)} = 14.3$,

$p < 0.001$). The largest content~~s~~ of Fe_d, Fe_o, and Al_o ~~were~~ was found in the CH soils and decreased in the order LG, TZ, and AM. As overall trend, Tukey's HSD indicated a significant enrichment of Fe_o and Al_o in subducted topsoil materials compared with~~to~~ the surrounding horizons ($p < 0.05$).

The concentrations of Fe in well crystalline oxides ranged from 0.8 to 6.0 g kg^{-1} and were largest at CH (Table S2.). The smallest amounts were observed in subducted topsoil (1.8 ± 1.6 g kg^{-1}), but no clear differences ~~appeared~~ were detected between the topsoil, subsoil (B/C), and the permafrost horizons. Concurrently, the activity index Fe_o/Fe_d varied from 0.4 to 1.0 across soil horizons and sites with the highest values in subducted topsoils. Pyrophosphate-extractable Fe and Al ranged from 0.04 to 10.03 g kg^{-1} and 0.01 to 2.91 g kg^{-1}, respectively. The highest concentrations were found at CH and LG, and subducted topsoils were significantly enriched (up to 7-fold) compared with~~to~~ surrounding subsoils (two-way ANOVA, Tukey's HSD, $p_{Fe} < 0.001$, $p_{Al} < 0.01$; Table S2.). ~~Based on the $(Fe_p+Al_p)/(Fe_d+Al_d)$ ratios, it appears that 5-14% of the extractable forms of Fe and Al in the subsoil was complexed by OM. Due to the larger OC contents, this proportion was somewhat higher in the topsoil (8 to 25%) and the subducted topsoil horizons (17 to 33%).~~

3.3 Organic carbon and total nitrogen storage and stable ^{13}C isotopic composition of the bulk soil

The average OC and TN concentrations (Table S3) did not vary significantly across the four study areas for O and A horizons (Tukey's HSD, $p > 0.05$). ~~Note, part~~Please note that a portion of the bulk OC and TN ~~concentrations~~ data have been reported elsewhere (Gentsch et al., ~~submitted~~2015; Gittel et al., 2014; Schnecker et al., 2014; Wild et al., 2013). Subducted topsoil horizons revealed twice as much OC and TN at CH and LG when compared with~~to~~ AM and TZ (Table S3). For B/C horizons, OC concentrations were significantly larger at CH, AM, and LG, exceeding those at TZ soils by up to five times (Tukey's HSD, $p < 0.05$). This difference increased to factors of 8 to 11 in the permafrost horizons (Table S3).

The OC stocks to one meter soil depth ranged from 6.5 to 36.4 kg m^{-2}, with a mean value across all soils of 20.2 ± 8.0 kg m^{-2} (Table 2). The soils in eastern (CH: 24.0 ± 6.7 kg m^{-2}) and central Siberia (AM: 21.1 ± 5.4 kg m^{-2} and LG: 24.4 ± 7.0 kg m^{-2}) contained about twice as much OC as those sampled in western Siberia (TZ: 10.8 ± 4.3 kg m^{-2}). On average, 2.6 ± 2.4 kg OC m^{-2} or 13% of the total OC was stored in the organic topsoil. The amount of OC stored in the mineral active layer was 11.5 ± 3.8 kg m^{-2} (57%), of which 3.5 ± 2.5 kg m^{-2} (18%) was located in subducted topsoil materials. The proportion of soil OC located in active layer horizons with signs of cryoturbation ~~including~~ (i.e., B and C horizons) ranged from 33% to 83% with an average of 54%. All mineral subsoil horizons, including permafrost, stored 16.4 ± 8.1 kg OC m^{-2} (81% of the total soil OC). Within the first soil meter, the eastern and central Siberian soils stored 8.1 ± 5.5 kg OC m^{-2} (35%) in the upper permafrost. Due to the large active layer thickness in the western Siberian soils, no OC was located in the permafrost within the examined soil depth.

The $\delta^{13}C$ ratios of soil OC (Fig. 4) showed significant differences between sites and genetic horizons, representing ~~incrementing~~ soil depth categories (two-way ANOVA, $F_{(12,324)} = 4.4$, $p < 0.001$). Overall, bulk OC showed increasing $\delta^{13}C$ ratios from eastern to western Siberia, with no difference between the two central Siberian sites. The $\delta^{13}C$ values generally increased with soil depth (O < A, Ajj/Ojj < B/C < Cff, Tukey's HSD, $p < 0.05$) and no difference was observed between topsoils and subducted topsoil horizons (Tukey's HSD, $p = 0.99$). Concurrently, C/N ratios decreased with soil depth (Fig. 4; ANOVA, $F_{(4,333)} = 81.9$, $p < 0.001$), with no differences between topsoil horizons and subducted topsoils (Tukey's HSD, $p = 1$) ~~as well as~~or between B/C horizons and the upper permafrost layer (Tukey's HSD, $p = 1$).

In correspondence to OC storage, TN stocks of the bulk soil increased from 0.8 ± 1.4 kg m^{-2} in TZ to 1.3 ± 0.3 and 1.7 ± 0.3 kg m^{-2} in AM and LG, and 1.8 ± 0.4 kg m^{-2} in CH, with an average of 1.4 ± 0.5 kg TN m^{-2} across all soils (Table 2.). On average, 0.1 ± 0.1 kg TN m^{-2} (7%) was stored in the organic layer~~,~~ and 0.9 ± 0.2 kg TN m^{-2} (61%) ~~the amount of TN~~was stored in the mineral active layer, ~~was 0.9 ± 0.2 kg m−2 (61%)~~ of which 0.2 ± 0.1 kg m^{-2} (15%) was located in subducted topsoils. In the eastern and central Siberian soils, 0.5 ± 0.4 kg TN m^{-2} (32%) ~~were~~ was found in the permafrost layer.

3.4 Organic carbon and total nitrogen storage in organic matter fractions

At AM, LG, and CH, the relative proportion of LF-OC to the bulk OC increased from 24% in topsoil to 30% in subducted topsoil horizons (Table S3). The permafrost horizons stored relatively more OC in the LF than the overlying mineral subsoils (21% *versus* 16%). In contrast~~ to that~~, in soils from TZ with the permafrost table at > 100 cm soil depth, the relative storage of LF-OC ~~constantly~~ decreased progressively from the topsoil (23%) toward~~s~~ the permafrost (11%).

When considering the organic layers and the different OM fractions in the mineral soil across all study sites (Table 2), the average storage of 20.2 ± 8.0 kg OC m^{-2} within 1 m soil depth can be separated into the following fractions: organic layer 2.6 ± 2.4 kg m^{-2} (13%), LF 3.8 ± 2.3 kg m^{-2} (19%), HF 11.1 ± 5.0 kg m^{-2} (55%), and MoF 2.7 ± 1.8 kg m^{-2} (13%). ~~The~~ With the exception of the AM soils, the contribution of the individual fractions to total stocks was quite constant between ~~all~~ profiles, with no major deviation from the mean percentage of HF (ANOVA, $F_{(3,24)}$ = 0.98, p = 0.42) and MoF (ANOVA, $F_{(3,24)}$ = 1.16, p = 0.35). ~~Only the~~The AM soils ~~relatively~~ contained on average 47% more LF-OC than the other sites (ANOVA, $F_{(3,24)}$ = 6.63, p < 0.01). This larger value was primarily due to a ~~higher~~ larger LF storage in subducted topsoil (Tab. 2). All mineral subsoil horizons including permafrost stored on average 3.6 ± 2.3 kg OC m^{-2} as LF, 10.3 ± 4.9 kg OC m^{-2} as HF, and 2.6 ± 1.8 kg OC m^{-2} as MoF, corresponding to a contribution of 22%, 63%, and 15% of the total subsoil OC. Remarkably, at AM and LG up to three times more particulate OM was located in the subsoil as LF-OC than was found as LF-OC in the mineral topsoil and ~~in~~ the organic layer ~~together~~ combined. The permafrost horizons at CH, AM and LG stored on average 1.8 ± 1.9 kg OC m^{-2} as LF, 5.0 ± 3.1 kg OC m^{-2} as HF, and 1.3 ± 1.3 kg OC m^{-2} as MoF, which contributes 40%, 38%, and 41% of the individual fraction within the whole soil. The OC distribution map (Fig. 8) summarizes the principle findings of this study.

~~The distribution~~Compare~~r~~d with~~to~~ OC, relatively more ~~of~~ TN ~~between the fractions shifted slightly towards~~was located in the mineral-associated fraction. ~~Given the~~The average storage of TN in the bulk soil was 1.41 ± 0.51 kg ~~TN~~ m^{-2} ~~in the bulk soil~~, with the HF containing 1.07 ± 0.40 kg TN m^{-2} (76%). ~~o~~Only 0.10 ± 0.10 kg TN m^{-2} (7%) was stored in the organic layers~~,~~ and 0.15 ± 0.10 kg TN m^{-2} (10%) was isolated as LF.~~,~~ ~~whereas the HF contained 1.07 ± 0.40 kg TN m^{-2} (76%).~~ The mobilized TN in the rinsing solutions could not be measured directly due to detector problems, but was calculated based on mass balance. On average, 0.09 ± 0.13 kg m^{-2} (6%) of the total TN stocks was mobilized. The TN in all subsoil horizons was present as 0.14 ± 0.10 kg m^{-2} LF, 1.01 ± 0.39 kg m^{-2} HF, and 0.08 ± 0.04 kg m^{-2} MoF, which contributes 11%, 82%, and 7% of the total subsoil stocks. The permafrost horizons at CH, AM, and LG stored on average 0.08 ± 0.08 kg TN m^{-2} in the LF, 0.49 ± 0.29 kg TN m^{-2} as HF, and 0.03 ± 0.07 kg TN m^{-2} as MoF, which ~~contributes~~ represents 41%, 40%, and 29% of the individual fraction within the whole soil.

3.5 Composition of LF and HF

The LF was primarily composed of discrete debris of plants and microorganisms. Confocal laser scanning microscope images show remnants of leaves, fine roots, wood, and bark from dwarf shrubs and hyphae of fungi (Fig. 5). The particle size of these materials is not ~~necessarily~~ related to depth. Coarse plant fragments (> 1 mm) were observed in whole soil profiles including the permafrost. ~~Areas where the~~The LF ~~comprised~~ was composed of ~~rather~~ fairly well-decomposed particles (< 1 mm) ~~were either~~in organic layers and topsoils (Oa, Oe, OA) at the rim of hummocks to frost cracks or in subducted topsoils at various depths. In contrast to the heterogeneous LF particle size distribution in subducted topsoils, the LF in B and C horizons was very uniform and coarse fragments were missing. Scanning electron microscope images of the HF (Fig. S8; panel A and B) showed that soil aggregates were largely disrupted after density treatment and that the LF floated properly in the SPT. The images also indicate amorphous structures which were associated with primary mineral particles of different sizes.

Compared with~~to~~ the HF, which showed ~~closer~~ narrow C/N ratios and substantial enrichment in ^{13}C ~~by~~ (1.38 ± 0.14 ‰ in average), the C/N and δ^{13}C ratios of the LF were closer to the ranges observed in organic topsoil and the plant residues from which they derived (Fig. 6). Tukey's HSD indicated no difference in δ^{13}C values of the LF and HF between central and eastern Siberian soils ($p_{LF} = 0.17$, $p_{HF} = 0.37$), but significant differences ~~to~~ in δ^{13}C values between soils in these two regions and the western Siberian soils ($p_{LF} < 0.001$, $p_{HF} < 0.001$). Here, the δ^{13}C values of the LF and HF were on average 1.38 ± 0.14‰ and 1.04 ± 0.14‰, respectively, more positive than those in the central and eastern Siberian soils. This effect can be explained by the larger ^{13}C content of the ~~plants source~~source plants at TZ, which had more positive δ^{13}C values (Δ = 0.44 to 2.55‰) than at the central and eastern Siberian sites (Fig. S6). The δ^{13}C values of the LF increased in the order A < Ajj/Ojj < B/C and Cff, with no difference among B/C and Cff horizons (Tukey's HSD, p = 0.98). Further, Tukey's HSD grouped two subsets of δ^{13}C values for the HF. Less negative δ^{13}C values were found in the B/C ~~together with~~ and the Cff horizons (Tukey's HSD, p = 0.98) and more negative values were detected in the A and Ajj/Ojj horizons (Tukey's HSD, p = 0.49).

3.6 Organic matter in mineral-organic associations

Across all sampling sites, the concentration of HF-OC was highly correlated with the concentration of Fe_p ($r = 0.83$, $p < 0.001$) and Al_p ($r = 0.72$, $p < 0.001$), thus supporting the use of Fe_p and Al_p as indicators for organically complexed metals. ~~In order to~~To identify preferred interaction of OC with different mineral parameters (Fe_d–Fe_o, Fe_o–Fe_p, Al_o–Al_p, Fe_p, Al_p, clay- and clay+silt sized minerals), we performed PLSR analyses with HF-OC as a response variable. The cumulative r^2 of the significant components, as listed in Table 3, describe the total explanatory power of the model (Carrascal et al., 2009). ~~Except for~~With the exception of the CH subsoils, we obtained two significant latent factors (see 2.4~~5~~). These factors explained~~ing~~ between 42 and 94% of the HF-OC variance and the first factor alone explained~~ing~~ between 84 to 95% of the total variance. For this factor, the VIP values of the individual predictor variables are shown in Fig. 7. Accordingly, organically complexed Fe and Al (Fe_p and Al_p) had the highest explanatory loading for HF-OC in the topsoils and the subducted topsoils. For subsoils and permafrost horizons, the VIP values indicated strong interactions with poorly crystalline Fe and Al forms (Fe_o–Fe_p, Al_o–Al_p) in CH and LG and a strong affinity to clay-sized minerals in AM and LG. Over all sites and examined soil horizons, well crystalline Fe (Fe_d–Fe_o) appeared to have either no effect or negative effects on HF-OC.

4 Discussion

4.1 Organic carbon storage in soil horizons linked to cryogenic processes

The average OC storage of 20.2 kg m^{-2} to 100 cm soil depth across all sites~~,~~ corresponds well ~~to~~ with integrated landscape level studies (Table S4). The soil trenches from eastern Siberia described in this study correspond to the ~~classes~~ tussock tundra and grass tundra classes in~~of~~ the area investigated by Palmtag et al. (2015), which together cover 64% of this area ~~of the area in Palmtag et al. (2015in press)~~. ~~In~~ At the AM and LG sites, the soil trenches were representative ~~for~~ of wet and dry uplands, which together ~~cover~~ represent 47% and 48% of the study areas (Table S4). Hence, the results of our pedon-scaled studies ~~can be~~are considered ~~of being~~to be representative ~~for~~ of the investigated landscape classes across the Siberian Arctic.

~~About 8~~Approximately 81% of the bulk OC stocks resided in the subsoil. This demonstrates the relevance of deeper soil horizons in cryohydromorphic soils as a long term C sink and potential source of greenhouse gases (Michaelson et al., 1996). Subduction of topsoil material by cryoturbation, visible as OM-rich pockets, involutions, or tongues in the active layer, was calculated to account for 18% of the total soil OC and 22% of the subsoil OC stocks. In their landscape scale studies, ~~(~~Palmtag et al. (2015~~, in press~~) calculated ~~for the eastern Siberian study site~~ that the landscape level mean SOC storage in subducted topsoil materials (including cryoturbations in the permafrost) represented up to 30% of the total SOC in the upper first meter in the eastern Siberian study site. Apart from these most obvious patterns, cryoturbation leads to ~~constant~~ continuous mixing and rejuvenation of the whole solum, referred to as cryohomogenization (Bockheim et al., 2006; Sokolov et al., 2004). This process ~~is~~ was especially relevant for the Central and East Siberian sampling sites, and le~~a~~d to high OC contents in B and C horizons (Table S3) and a fairly ~~similar~~ homogenous mineralogical composition. In contrast~~ to that~~, the OC content in West Siberian B, C, and permafrost horizons was up to 11 times lower, reflecting the lack of OM input by cryohomogenization.

~~Besides~~In addition to the input via root biomass, cryogenic mass exchange is the principle way for LF materials to enter the deep subsoil, ~~since~~ as the studied soils did not exhibit~~it~~ any ~~charactersitics~~ characteristics of syngenetic soil formation or colluvial deposits. Subduction of LF by cryoturbation increased the total subsoil OC storage by 22%. In comparison, the amount of LF in temperate environments is often negligible in subsoil and highly vulnerable to disturbances and land management in the topsoil (see review article by Gosling et al., 2013). Cryoturbation is a unique mechanism in permafrost soils to bypass particulate OM from the access and breakdown by the soil fauna, which is restricted to the well-~~–~~drained topsoil (Van Vliet-Lanoë, 1998). Thus, coarser plant materials, ~~(~~such as seeds or woody debris~~;~~ (Fig. 5), were distributed across the ~~whole~~ entire soil profile, including the permafrost where the subsoil LF decomposition is restricted to biochemically mediated microbial processes. Therefore, the particle size of LF materials in the subsoil ~~should~~ is expected to depend on the time of subduction and the stage of detritus formation.

~~Beside cryoturbation,~~ T~~t~~he vertical transfer of dissolved and colloidal organic compounds, often not considered in permafrost soils, also appears to be ~~likewise~~ important with regard to OC storage. Preferred OC accumulation was observed in the transient layer of several profiles (profiles CH D-I, AM A-C, LG D; Fig. S7). Within these profiles, a sharp increase in~~of~~ HF-OC (from 8.2 ± 4.0 to 14.4 ± 10.0 g kg^{-1}) and MoF-OC (from 1.7 ± 1.8 to 3.6 ± 4.8 g kg^{-1}) was ~~recorded from~~observed in the upper BCgjj and Cgjj horizons toward~~s~~ the Cgjj and Cff horizons of the transient layer. On the basis of our profile maps, we calculated the area of the accumulation zone and the difference in MoF-OC and HF-OC between the upper subsoil horizons and the transient layer. This difference accounted for ~~a plus~~an increase in OC storage of 0.2 to 3.7 kg m^{-2}, which translates into 1-12% of the respective bulk soil OC stock. Enrichment of well-~~-~~decomposed, humic-rich OM in the transient layer was also reported elsewhere (Gundelwein et al., 2007; Mergelov and Targulian, 2011; Ostroumov et al., 2001). Mergelov and Targulian (2011) explained this enrichment by the concept of “cryogenic retenization”, denoting the vertical migration and subsequent precipitation~~ing~~ of mobile OM during ice segregation along freezing gradients. Because the LF can only be transferred by cryoturbation~~,~~, h~~H~~owever, only the pools of HF and MoF are affected by this process~~, since the LF can only be transferred by cryoturbation~~.

By ~~incorporating~~ considering all soil horizons with evidence of cryogenic processes (including BCgjj and Cgjj horizons), an average of 54% of the total OC storage can be attributed to re-allocation by cryogenesis in the active layer. Bockheim (2007) published an almost equal number of 55% for 21 pedons ~~from~~ in Alaska, ~~as quantified~~~~that~~which was calculated ~~with~~ using a similar approach. Cryogenic processes as a mechanism to sequester OC are often not incorporated into discussions about subsoil OM (e.g., Rumpel and Kögel-Knabner, 2011), but the global relevance of this process cannot be neglected. Gelisols cover 9.1% of the global ice-~~-~~free land area (USDA, 1999) and Turbels account for 61% of the Gelisol area (Hugelius et al., 2014). The latter calculated the amount of soil OC in circumpolar Turbels to be 207 Pg. Assuming that the upper first meter of the global soils store 1324 Pg of OC (Köchy et al., ~~2014~~2015), cryoturbated permafrost soils account for approximately 15% of ~~it~~this global value. ~~Considering~~ Based on the 54% re-allocation of~~ed~~ OC by cryogenesis, ~~still around 8~~approximately 8% or 110 Pg of the global soil OC pool within the upper first meter ~~could~~ can be attributed to the redistribution by cryogenic processes. This proportion will increase~~,~~ when cryoturbated materials ~~in~~ within the permafrost and >1~~1m~~ m ~~will be~~are taken into account (Harden et al., 2012).

4.2 Transformation of organic matter in the cryoturbated soils

We used C/N values and δ^{13}C ratios together with density fractionation to assess the OM transformation within the cryoturbated soils. Smaller C/N ratios and ~~higher~~ more positive δ^{13}C values of OM with soil depth (Fig. 4) are both indicative ~~for~~ of consecutive microbial transformation from organic topsoil towards permafrost horizons. In this study, OM in deep B and C horizons as well as in the upper permafrost underwent the strongest transformation. This ~~contrasts~~ is in contrast to the findings of Xu et al. (2009) from sites in Alaska ~~sites~~ and might indicate temporarily greater thawing depths and/or microbial OM transformation at subzero temperatures (Gittel et al., 2014; Hobbie et al., 2000). However, the subducted topsoil material did not fit to this pattern. The transformation proxies of the bulk soil OM did not resemble those of the surrounding subsoil, but rather those of the respective topsoil horizons. ~~Also~~In addition, when considering the HF, mineral-associated OM did not indicate alteration in the subducted topsoils ~~as compared~~ compared to the A horizons. The LF in the subducted topsoil material, however, was significantly enriched in ^{13}C and had smaller C/N ratios ~~in subducted topsoil as compared~~than that of ~~to~~ the topsoil. This pattern can likely be attributed to the availability of large amounts of unprotected particulate OM over a longer time period for microbial decomposition. According to Gentsch et al. (2015~~submitted~~), the LF ^{14}C signals decreased from modern values in the topsoil to 81 and 84 pMC (~1300 to 1600 years BP) in subducted topsoil~~,~~ ~~and the~~The reduced bioavailability during incubation experiments indicates depletion of energy-rich plant material.

Narrow C/N ratios in the HF ~~when compared~~relative to LF ~~fraction~~ indicate a larger proportion of microbial products (Christensen, 2001) and the HF as principle source of N in the soil (Khanna et al., 2001). The strong decline in the C/N values of the HF from the topsoil towards the permafrost (Fig. 6) mirrors the increasing contribution of microbial residues to mineral-associated OM at larger soil depth. Very narrow HF C/N ratios in the subsoil at TZ (5 ± 1) and CH (8 ± 4) likely reflect~~s~~ the fixation of NH_4^+ in the interlayer of expandable 2:1 clay minerals (Dixon et al., 2002). However, considering the general low concentrations of mineral N in the soils (< 2–%, data not shown) and the loss thereof during the density fractionation, the proportion of mineral N to the TN in the whole soil HF appear~~ed~~s ~~neglectable.~~

~~For~~ to be negligible. For LF-OM, higher C/N ratios were found in the topsoil from TZ (40 ± 3) and CH (38 ± 8) ~~compared~~ relative to Taimyr soils (26 ± 4), reflecting signals from ~~the~~ plant sources with wider C/N ratios, such as mosses or lichen ~~with wider C/N ratios~~ (Fig. 6.). Although the C/N ratio of the plant input was wider at TZ and CH than at the Taymyr sites, the ratio became narrower with depth at the former, suggesting stronger decomposition and less active cryogenic processes in TZ (discussed above). The generally less negative $\delta^{13}C$ values of OM at TZ sites were, however, ~~rather~~ the result of less strong isotope discrimination by the plant sources instead of ~~indicating~~ an advanced stage of decomposition. This can be linked to environmental forces (~~e.g.~~ e.g., the ~~lower~~ less ~~–~~pronounced continentality, see supplementary material S1)~~,~~ influencing water-nutrient use efficiency and~~,~~ water ~~vapor~~vapour pressure, which in turn affect ~~and thus~~ photosynthetic discrimination (Bowling et al., 2002; Dawson et al., 2002).

Overall, the bulk and fraction-related OM showed a strong microbial transformation with soil depth. ~~However, the~~The subducted topsoil material was an exception, however, as only the LF appeared to be more decomposed than the respective fraction in the topsoil. For the CH sample subset, Gittel et al. (2014) showed ~~for the CH sample subset~~ a relatively high abundance of bacteria (especially actinobacteria) in subducted topsoil materials, but ~~the same~~a similar, low abundance of fungi as in the surrounding subsoil. Differences in the microbial community composition, therefore, cannot explain the preferential degradation of LF material in the cryoturbated pockets, as ~~especially~~ LF materials with high C/N are ~~favored~~favoured by the fungal community (Six et al., 2006). Concurrently, Schnecker et al. (2014) suggested low adaption of the microbial community to the available substrate in subducted topsoils. These findings ~~suggest~~ imply that subsoil OM decomposition in cryohydromorphic soils largely depends on the adaption of the microbial community composition to microenvironments (abiotic conditions) instead of the availability of OC sources. Consequently, ~~T~~the retarded OM decomposition in cryoturbated permafrost soils ~~might~~may ~~thus~~ not be a matter of substrate availability (Kaiser et al., 2007) nor substrate quality (Schnecker et al., 2014; Xu et al., 2009), but instead may~~,~~ be restricted by abiotic conditions (Harden et al., 2012)~~,~~ and nitrogen limitation of enzyme production (Wild et al., 2014).

4.3 Potentially solubilizable organic matter

The concentrations of K_2SO_4-extractable dissolved OC (DOC) from fresh soil of the CH and Taimyr soils ranged from 5.2 mg g^{-1} in organic topsoil to 0.01 mg g^{-1} in subsoil, representing ~~about 2~~approximately 2.3 to 0.04% of the total OC (data not shown). Similar values were reported from water extracts by Dutta et al. (2006) for Kolyma lowland soils. In contrast, the DOC concentrations measured in the MoF were remarkably larger and accounted from 0.3 to 75% (on average 13%) of the total OC content (Table S3). The maximum proportion of the initial OC release (> 30%) was found in B/C and Cff horizons from TZ and LG where total

OC content~~s~~ ~~were~~ was small (1-8 mg g^{-1} soil) and the HF strongly dominated the OC storage. As shown in Fig. S1, ~~about 8~~approximately 80% of the MoF-OC was derived from the HF as a result of the SPT-induced desorption of OM outlined by Crow et al. (2007) and Kaiser and Guggenberger (2007). ~~But~~ However, the release of OM by SPT was found to be small in temperate, arable, and high latitude forest soils (John et al., 2005; ~~e.g.~~ e.g., Kaiser and Guggenberger, 2007; Kane et al., 2005). The data ~~of~~ from this study, however, point toward~~s~~ a relatively large pool of mineral-associated OM, which is retained in weaker, chemically exchangeable bindings. The high soil pH in the subsoil, usually pH >6 and up to pH 9 in permafrost horizons, might directly affect the binding strengths. Maximum ~~dissolved~~ OM sorption to sesquioxides occurs at pH 4-5, while OM is most soluble at pH 6-8 due to the increasing deprotonation of OM and the decreasing positive charge on metal oxide surfaces (Andersson et al., 2000; Whittinghill and Hobbie, 2012), thus, ~~overall~~ causing an overall increase in~~d~~ OM mobilization at higher pH (Kalbitz et al., 2000). The anaerobic conditions in the subsoil may promote the OM release, ~~because~~ based on research showing that anaerobic decomposition of OM leaves a high proportion of water-soluble intermediate metabolites behind (Kalbitz et al., 2000), and that the reductive dissolution of Fe oxides leads to the mobilization of the formerly sorbed OM (Fiedler and Kalbitz, 2003; Hagedorn et al., 2000). Furthermore, frequent freezing-thawing cycles have been found to increase dissolved OM loads by disrupting~~on of~~ microbial tissue and cell lysis (DeLuca et al., 1992). As water-soluble OM is the most bioavailable fraction (Marschner and Kalbitz, 2003), the MoF includes a potentially vulnerable soil OM pool.

The mobility of soluble compounds (including metal ions and dissolved OM) in the annual thawing zone is controlled by the formation of segregation ice. During crystal growth, the soluble compounds remain in the pore solution and increase electrolyte concentrations (Ostroumov et al., 2001). Zones of concentrated pore solution ~~favor~~favour colloid flocculation and the formation of metal-loaded organic precipitates (Ostroumov, 2004; Van Vliet-Lanoë, 1998). ~~Since~~ C~~c~~oprecipitation has been postulated as an important mechanism for OM preservation in soils (Gentsch et al., ~~submitted~~2015; Kalbitz and Kaiser, 2008; Scheel et al., 2007), and on this basis, freeze and thaw cycles ~~do~~ would not only increase~~s~~ the production of DOC but also~~, but at the same also~~time stimulate~~s~~ the formation of mineral-organic associations.

4.4 Mineral controls on organic matter storage

~~About 5~~Approximately 55% of the total OC in the first soil meter and 63% of the OC within subsoil horizons was associated with the mineral phase. Soil OM ~~which~~ that interacts with reactive minerals is ~~supposed~~ believed to be less available for microbial decomposition, ~~and~~ thus contributing~~es~~ to the “protected” or “stabilized” OM pool (Schmidt et al., 2011). The extent of protection thereby depends on the mineralogical assemblage and the soil environmental conditions (Baldock and Skjemstad, 2000).

The PLSR analyses (Fig. 7) highlight the site-specific significance of certain mineral phases ~~which~~ that act as potential binding partners for OM. Well crystalline Fe oxides (Fe_d–Fe_o), generally low in abundance, have no or a negative effect on HF-OC variability across all sites. The significance of well crystalline minerals for the stabilization of OM in mineral-organic associations has been addressed in several studies on temperate (Eusterhues et al., 2005; Mikutta et al., 2006) and tropical soils (Mikutta et al., 2009; Torn et al., 1997)~~, and is~~ and is generally considered low. Poorly crystalline Fe and Al phases (Fe_o–Fe_p, Al_o–Al_p,) ~~gain in importance~~are more important at CH and TZ, where weathering was found to be strongest (see supplementary material, S4).

Clay-sized minerals have a strong influence on HF-OC in the subsoils at sites dominated by highly reactive smectite clays (AM, LG). This finding is in agreement with Six et al. (2002), who ~~suggest~~showed~~ed~~ that stabilization of OC is related to the type of clay minerals (2:1 or 1:1) present in soil. The authors suggest the~~a~~ stronger adsorption capacity of 2:1 clays ~~due to~~is based on differences in CEC and surface area.

The PLSR further identified organically complexed Fe and Al (Fe_p, Al_p) as an overwhelming factor explaining the variations in HF-OC concentrations across all study sites (Fig. 7). Sorption of OM to the surfaces of phyllosilicate clays, partly complexed with Fe and Al, may reduce their specific surface area and “glue” them together under formation of tertiary OM-Fe/Al-clay complexes (Wagai and Mayer, 2007). The interplay between OM, clay minerals, and less polymeric Fe and Al species may partly reduce the explanatory power of the clay-OM relation alone during statistical analyses. ~~Besides~~In addition to the formation of ternary OM-Fe/Al-clay complexes, the presence of Fe_p and Al_p in the HF may also result from coprecipitation reactions between OM and dissolved Fe and Al (Scheel et al., 2007; Schwertmann et al., 2005). When plotting the molar concentration of HF-OC *versus* those of Fe_p+Al_p, linear correlations were observed with different regression slopes for different sites

(Fig. S5; $r = 0.63$ to 0.97; $p < 0.001$). The slopes ~~indicate~~ show molar metal/C ratios~~, which were~~ of 0.02 for CH and TZ sites and < 0.01 for the Taimyr sites. These strong relationships suggest a proportional increase of Fe/Al-OM associations with the amount of OC present in the soil. Several studies reported that the precipitation of OM with hydrolyzed Al and Fe species begins already at low metal/C ratios of < 0.05 (Nierop et al., 2002; Scheel et al., 2007). These findings support our previous conclusion, that beside clay-organic interactions, coprecipitation of OM with Fe and Al is another important process in cryohydromorphic soils (Gentsch et al., ~~submitted~~2015).

Overall, it appeared difficult to differentiate distinct mechanisms of mineral-organic interactions for cryohydromorphic soils of the Siberian arctic. Statistical evidence was found for (i) complexation of OM with metal cations, (ii) formation of Fe/Al coprecipitates, ~~as well as~~ and (iii) sorption of OM to clay minerals and poorly crystalline Fe and Al phases. Whether the formation of mineral-organic associations may retard the decomposition of OM depends, however, on the stability of these complexes (Mikutta et al., 2007). Reductive dissolution of Fe oxides may liberate the attached OM (Fiedler and Kalbitz, 2003; Knorr, 2013). The strongest mineral-organic binding,~~s~~ such as ligand exchange, occurs in acid soils (von Lützow et al., 2006), whereas in the neutral to alkaline conditions that dominat~~eing~~e the subsoil of northern Siberia, weaker outersphere complexes ~~are~~ prevail~~ing~~. In an artificial cryoturbation experiment, Klaminder et al. (2013) found ~~in an artificial cryoturbation experiment,~~ that mixing of humus into mineral soil from cryoturbated soils primed heterotrophic respiration, possibly as result of contact with mineral surfaces. Gentsch et al. (~~submitted~~2015) performed incubation experiments over 90 days using bulk soils, HF, and LF materials from the CH sites. In this study, ~~O~~only up to ~3% of the initial mineral-associated OC was respired. Jagadamma et al. (2013) reported slightly higher native OC mineralization of mineral-associated OM from a Typic Aquiturbel ~~compared~~ relative to non-permafrost soils from various environments, and no significant difference between the HF and LF was observed. Although the stability of mineral-organic associations as protecting agents against microbial OM degradation appears uncertain so far and warrants further research, our results suggest that soil minerals in cryoturbated permafrost soils are crucial factors facilitating high OC stocks in the subsoil.

5 Conclusions

This study investigated 28 cryoturbated soils on poorly drained, silty-loamy parent material with relatively flat topography in a gradient from west to east Siberia. All soils belonged to the Aquiturbel ~~g~~Great ~~g~~Group. ~~where d~~Differences of physico-chemical properties and processes depend on the heterogeneity of the parent material, the annual thawing depth, and the occurrence of cryogenic processes. Based on the average storage of 20.2 ± 8.0 kg OC m^{-2}, 54% was redistributed by cryogenic processes as principle drivers for the high subsoil OC stocks of 16.4 ± 8.1 kg OC m^{-2}. The vast majority of the subsoil OC was associated with minerals (HF: 10.3 ± 4.9 kg OC m^{-2}) and dominated by microbially resynthesized products. The size of this pool depends on the yield of dissolved compounds delivered by microbial transformation, migration along freezing gradients, and the mineral assemblage. Substantial microbial OM transformation in the subsoil was indicated by low C/N ratios and high $\delta^{13}C$ values, despite of the ~~unfavorable~~unfavourable abiotic conditions (i.e., water saturation, anaerobiosis, low temperatures). Under current soil conditions, mineral-organic associations emerge from complexation of OM with metal cations, the formation of Fe/Al-OM coprecipitates, as well as sorption of OM to poorly crystalline Fe and Al surfaces and clay minerals. In the absence of segregated ground-ice bodies, future climate scenarios predict increases in~~ing~~ active layer depth and deep drainage ~~as predicted by future climate scenarios~~ (IPCC, 2013; Schaefer et al., 2011; Sushama et al., 2007), likely resulting~~s~~ in dryer and more oxic soil conditions. Drainage and oxygen availability give rise to proceeding soil development (acidification) as well as mineral alteration under the release of Fe and Al to the soil solution, formation of Fe and Al oxides, reduction of exchangeable basic cations, and clay mineral transformation. This, in turn, may ~~even~~ increase the relevance of mineral-organic associations to mitigate the permafrost carbon feedback to climate change by reducing the microbial excess to the OC source. However, ~~it still remains an object of~~ further studies are needed to understand the ~~to focus on~~ specific mechanisms that cause~~s~~ the enrichment of OC on mineral surfaces (adsorption *versus* coprecipitation reactions) ~~as well as~~and the role of minerals in permafrost soils as a substantial protection factor for OM.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (03F0616A) within the ERANET EUROPOLAR project CryoCARB. NG appreciates financial support by the Evangelisches Studienwerk Villigst, and OS and GG acknowledge funding by the Russian Ministry of Education and Science (№ 14.B25.31.0031). Contributions from PK, GH and JP were supported by the Swedish Research Council within the ERANET EUROPOLAR project CryoCARB. Special thanks~~ are~~ go~~ing~~ to Claudia Borchers for in-depth statistical discussions, Charles Tarnocai for helpful comments on soil descriptions, and all members of the CryoCARB project for the incredible team spirit. We acknowledge support ~~by~~from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Open Access Publishing Fund of Leibniz Universität Hannover.

References

Andersson, S., Nilsson, S. I. and Saetre, P.: Leaching of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) in mor humus as affected by temperature and pH, Soil Biol. Biochem., 32(1), 1–10, 2000.

Baldock, J. A. and Skjemstad, J. O.: Role of the soil matrix and minerals in protecting natural organic materials against biological attack, Org. Geochem., 31(7–8), 697–710, 2000.

Bockheim, J. G.: Importance of Cryoturbation in Redistributing Organic Carbon in Permafrost-Affected Soils, Soil Sci .Soc. Am. J., 71(4), 1335–1342, 2007.

Bockheim, J. G., Mazhitova, G., Kimble, J. M. and Tarnocai, C.: Controversies on the genesis and classification of permafrost-affected soils, Geoderma, 137(1-2), 33–39, 2006.

Bockheim, J. G., Tarnocai, C., Kimble, J. M. and Smith, C. A. S.: The Concept of Gelic Materials in the New Gelisol Order for Permafrost-Affected Soils, Soil Sci., 162(12), 927–939, 1997.

Bockheim, J. . and Tarnocai, C.: Recognition of cryoturbation for classifying permafrost-affected soils, Geoderma, 81, 281–293, 1998.

Bowling, D. R., McDowell, N. G., Bond, B. J., Law, B. E. and Ehleringer, J. R.: ^{13}C content of ecosystem respiration is linked to precipitation and vapor pressure deficit, Oecologia, 131(1), 113–124, 2002.

Carrascal, L. M., Galván, I. and Gordo, O.: Partial least squares regression as an alternative to current regression methods used in ecology, Oikos, 118(5), 681–690, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2008.16881.x, 2009.

Carter, M. and Gregorich, E., Eds.: Soil Sampling and Methods of Analysis, Second Edition, CRC Press., 2008.

Christensen, B. T.: Physical fractionation of soil and structural and functional complexity in organic matter turnover, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 52(3), 345–353, 2001.

Cornell, R. M. and Schwertmann, U.: The iron oxides: Structure, Properties, Reactions, Occurrences and Uses, WILAY-VCH., 2003.

Crow, S., Swanston, C., Lajtha, K., Brooks, J. and Keirstead, H.: Density fractionation of forest soils: methodological questions and interpretation of incubation results and turnover time in an ecosystem context, Biogeochemistry, 85(1), 69–90, 2007.

Davidson, E. A. and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change, Nature, 440(7081), 165–173, 2006.

Dawson, T. E., Mambelli, S., Plamboeck, A. H., Templer, P. H. and Tu, K. P.: Stable Isotopes in Plant Ecology, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst., 33(1), 507–559, 2002.

DeConto, R. M., Galeotti, S., Pagani, M., Tracy, D., Schaefer, K., Zhang, T., Pollard, D. and Beerling, D. J.: Past extreme warming events linked to massive carbon release from thawing permafrost, Nature, 484(7392), 87–91, 2012.

DeLuca, T. H., Keeney, D. R. and McCarty, G. W.: Effect of freeze-thaw events on mineralization of soil nitrogen, Biol. Fertil. Soils, 14(2), 116–120, doi:10.1007/BF00336260, 1992.

DIN ISO 11277: Soil quality - Determination of particle size distribution in mineral soil material - Method by sieving and sedimentation~~.~~, 2002.

Dixon, J. B., Schulze, D. G. and others: Soil mineralogy with environmental applications~~.~~, Soil Science Society of America Inc., 2002.

Dutta, K., Schuur, E. A. G., Neff, J. C. and Zimov, S. A.: Potential carbon release from permafrost soils of Northeastern Siberia, Glob. Change Biol., 12(12), 2336–2351, 2006.

~~Earl-Goulet, J. R., Mahaney, W. C., Sanmugadas, K., Kalm, V. and Hancock, R. G. V.: Middle-Holocene timberline fuctuation: influence on the genesis of Podzols (Spodosols), Norra Storfjället Massif, northern Sweden, The Holocene, 8(6), 705–718, 1998.~~

Eusterhues, K., Rumpel, C. and Kögel-Knabner, I.: Organo-mineral associations in sandy acid forest soils: importance of specific surface area, iron oxides and micropores, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 56(6), 753–763, 2005.

Eusterhues, K., Wagner, F. E., Häusler, W., Hanzlik, M., Knicker, H., Totsche, K. U., Kögel-Knabner, I. and Schwertmann, U.: Characterization of ~~Ferrihydrite~~ferrihydrite-~~Soil~~ soil

~~Organic~~ organic ~~Matter~~ matter ~~Coprecipitates~~ coprecipitates by X-ray Diffraction and Mössbauer Spectroscopy, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42(21), 7891–7897, 2008.

Fiedler, S. and Kalbitz, K.: Concentrations and properties of dissolved organic matter in forest soils as affected by the redox regime, Soil Sci.~~ Novemb. 2003~~, 168(11), 793–801, 2003.

Fountain, A. G., Campbell, J. L., Schuur, E. A. G., Stammerjohn, S. E., Williams, M. W. and Ducklow, H. W.: The Disappearing Cryosphere: Impacts and Ecosystem Responses to Rapid Cryosphere Loss, Bios~~S~~cience, 62(4), 405–415, 2012.

French, H. and Shur, Y.: The principles of cryostratigraphy, Earth-Sci. Rev., 101(3–4), 190–206, 2010.

Gentsch, N., Mikutta, R., Shibistova, O., Wild, B., Schnecker, J., Richter, A., Urich, T., Santruckova, H., Barta, J., Gittel, A., Lashchinskiy, N., Müller, C., Fuß, R. and Guggenberger, G.: Dynamics of particulate and mineral-associated organic matter in Arctic permafrost soils, Lower Kolyma Region, Russia, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 2015. doi:10.1111/ejss.12269~~submitted~~.

Gittel, A., Bárta, J., Kohoutová, I., Mikutta, R., Owens, S., Gilbert, J., Schnecker, J., Wild, B., Hannisdal, B., Maerz, J., Lashchinskiy, N., Čapek, P., Šantrůčková, H., Gentsch, N., Shibistova, O., Guggenberger, G., Richter, A., Torsvik, V. L., Schleper, C. and Urich, T.: Distinct microbial communities associated with buried soils in the Siberian tundra, ISME J., 8(4), 841–853, 2014.

Golchin, A., Oades, J., Skjemstad, J. and Clarke, P.: Study of free and occluded particulate organic matter in soils by solid state 13C Cp/MAS NMR spectroscopy and scanning electron microscopy, Soil Res., 32(2), 285–309, 1994.

Gosling, P., Parsons, N. and Bending, G. D.: What are the primary factors controlling the light fraction and particulate soil organic matter content of agricultural soils?, Biol. Fertil. Soils, 49(8), 1001–1014, 2013.

Gundelwein, A., Müller-Lupp, T., Sommerkorn, M., Haupt, E. T. K., Pfeiffer, E.-M. and Wiechmann, H.: Carbon in tundra soils in the Lake Labaz region of arctic Siberia, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 58(5), 1164–1174, 2007.

Hagedorn, F., Kaiser, K., Feyen, H. and Schleppi, P.: Effects of Redox Conditions and Flow Processes on the Mobility of Dissolved Organic Carbon and Nitrogen in a Forest Soil, J. Environ. Qual., 29(1), 288, doi:10.2134/jeq2000.00472425002900010036x, 2000.

Harden, J. W., Koven, C. D., Ping, C.-L., Hugelius, G., David McGuire, A., Camill, P., Jorgenson, T., Kuhry, P., Michaelson, G. J., O'Donnell, J. A., Schuur, E. A. G., Tarnocai, C., Johnson, K. and Grosse, G.: Field information links permafrost carbon to physical vulnerabilities of thawing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39(15)~~, n/a–n/a~~, doi:10.1029/2012GL051958, 2012.

Harris, D., Horwáth, W. R. and van Kessel, C.: Acid fumigation of soils to remove carbonates prior to total organic carbon or CARBON-13 isotopic analysis, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 65(6), 1853–1856, 2001.

Hobbie, S. E., Schimel, J. P., Trumbore, S. A. and Randerson, J. R.: Controls over carbon storage and turnover in high-latitute soils, Glob. Change Biol., 6, 196–210, 2000.

Höfle, S., Rethemeyer, J., Mueller, C. W. and John, S.: Organic matter composition and stabilization in a polygonal tundra soil of the Lena Delta, Biogeosciences, 10(5), 3145–3158, doi:10.5194/bg-10-3145-2013, 2013.

Hugelius, G., Kuhry, P., Tarnocai, C. and Virtanen, T.: Soil organic carbon pools in a periglacial landscape: a case study from the central Canadian Arctic, Permafr. Periglac. Process., 21(1), 16–29, doi:10.1002/ppp.677, 2010.

Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C. L., Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnell, J. A., Elberling, B., Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J. and Kuhry, P.: Improved estimates show large circumpolar stocks of permafrost carbon while quantifying substantial uncertainty ranges and identifying remaining data gaps, Biogeosciences Discuss., 11(3), 4771–4822, doi:10.5194/bgd-11-4771-2014, 2014.

Hut, G.: Consultants' group meeting on stable isotope reference samples for geochemical and hydrological investigations, ~~[online]~~ ~~A~~available at:~~from:~~ http://inis.iaea.org/Search/search.aspx?orig_q=RN:18075746 (last access:~~Accessed~~ 22 August 2014), 1987.

IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by Stocker, T.F., Qin, D, Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Naulas, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P.M., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA., 2013.

Jagadamma, S., Steinweg, J. M., Mayes, M. A., Wang, G. and Post, W. M.: Decomposition of added and native organic carbon from physically separated fractions of diverse soils, Biol. Fertil. Soils, ~~1~~50, 613–621, 2013~~–9, doi:10.1007/s00374-013-0879-2, 2013~~.

John, B., Yamashita, T., Ludwig, B. and Flessa, H.: Storage of organic carbon in aggregate and density fractions of silty soils under different types of land use, ~~Mech. Regul. Org. Matter Stabilisation Soils,~~Geoderma, 128(1-2), 63–79, 2005.

Kaiser, C., Meyer, H., Biasi, C., Rusalimova, O., Barsukov, P. and Richter, A.: Conservation of soil organic matter through cryoturbation in arctic soils in Siberia, J. Geophys. Res., 112(G2), 9–17, 2007.

Kaiser, K. and Guggenberger, G.: Distribution of hydrous aluminium and iron over density fractions depends on organic matter load and ultrasonic dispersion, Geoderma, 140 (1-2), 140–146, 2007.

Kalbitz, K. and Kaiser, K.: Contribution of dissolved organic matter to carbon storage in forest mineral soils, J. Plant Nutr. Soil Sci., 171(1), 52–60, doi:10.1002/jpln.200700043, 2008.

Kalbitz, K., Solinger, S., Park, J.-H., Michalzik, B. and Matzner, E.: Controls on the Dynamics of Dissolved Organic Matter in Soils: A Review, Soil Sci., 165(4), 277–304, 2000.

Kane, E. S., Valentine, D. W., Schuur, E. A. G. and Dutta, K.: Soil carbon stabilization along climate and stand productivity gradients in black spruce forests of interior Alaska., Can. J. For. Res., 35(9), 2118 – 2129, 2005.

Khanna, P. K., Ludwig, B., Bauhus, J. and O'Hara, C.: Assessment and Significance of Labile Organic C Pools in Forest Soils, in Assessment Methods for Soil Carbon, edited by R. Lal, J.

M. Kimble, R. F. Follett, and B. A. Stewart, -Lewis Publishers, ~~pp.~~ 167–185, ~~Lewis Publishers.,~~ 2001.

Klaminder, J., Giesler, R. and Makoto, K.: Physical mixing between humus and mineral matter found in cryoturbated soils increases short-term heterotrophic respiration rates, Soil Biol. Biochem., 57(0), 922–924, 2013.

Knorr, K.-H.: DOC-dynamics in a small headwater catchment as driven by redox fluctuations and hydrological flow paths – are DOC exports mediated by iron reduction/oxidation cycles?, Biogeosciences, 10(2), 891–904, doi:10.5194/bg-10-891-2013, 2013.

Köchy, M., Hiederer, R. and Freibauer, A.: Global distribution of soil organic carbon – Part 1: Masses and frequency distributions of SOC stocks for the tropics, permafrost regions, wetlands, and the world~~.: Global distribution of soil organic carbon, based on the Harmonized World Soil Database – Part 1: Masses and frequency distribution of SOC stocks for the tropics, permafrost regions, wetlands, and the world~~, Soil~~SOIL Discuss~~., 1(1), 351-365~~327–362~~, 10.5194/soil-1-351-2015~~doi:10.5194/soild-1-327-2014~~, 2015~~4~~.

Kögel-Knabner, I., Guggenberger, G., Kleber, M., Kandeler, E., Kalbitz, K., Scheu, S., Eusterhues, K. and Leinweber, P.: Organo-mineral associations in temperate soils: Integrating biology, mineralogy, and organic matter chemistry, Z. Pflanzenernaehr.~~.enernähr~~ Bodenk.~~unde~~, 171(1), 61–82, 2008.

Koven, C. D., Ringeval, B., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., Cadule, P., Khvorostyanov, D., Krinner, G. and Tarnocai, C.: Permafrost carbon-climate feedbacks accelerate global warming, Proc~~roc~~. Nat~~l~~. Acad. Sci. USA, 108(36), 14769–14774, 2011.

Kuhry, P., Dorrepaal, E., Hugelius, G., Schuur, E. ~~a~~A. G. and Tarnocai, C.: Potential remobilization of belowground permafrost carbon under future global warming, Permafrost~~.~~ Periglac. Process., 21(2), 208–214, doi:10.1002/ppp.684, 2010.

Lutwick, L. and Dormaar, J.: Fe status of Brunisolic and related soil profiles, Can. J. Soil Sci., 53(2), 185–197, 1973.

~~Lützow, M. v., Kögel-Knabner, I., Ekschmitt, K., Matzner, E., Guggenberger, G., Marschner, B. and Flessa, H.: Stabilization of organic matter in temperate soils: mechanisms and their relevance under different soil conditions - a review, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 57(4), 426–445, 2006.~~

~~Von Lützow, M. v., Kögel-Knabner, I., Ekschmitt, K., Matzner, E., Guggenberger, G., Marschner, B. and Flessa, H.: Stabilization of organic matter in temperate soils: mechanisms and their relevance under different soil conditions – a review, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 57(4), 426–445, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00809.x, 2006.~~

Marschner, B. and Kalbitz, K.: Controls of bioavailability and biodegradability of dissolved organic matter in soils, ~~Ecol. Asp. Dissolved Org. Matter Soils~~Geoderma, 113(3-4), 211–235, 2003.

McKeague, J. A. and Day, J. H.: Dithionite- and Oxalate-extractable Fe and Al as aids in differentiating various classes of soils, Can. J. Soil Sci., 46(1), 13–22, 1966.

Mergelov, N. and Targulian, V.: Accumulation of organic matter in the mineral layers of permafrost-affected soils of coastal lowlands in East Siberia, Eurasian Soil Sci., 44(3), 249–260, 2011.

Michaelson, G. J., Ping, C. and Kimble, J. M.: Effects of Soil Morphological and Physical Properties on Estimation of Carbon Storage in Arctic Soils, in Assessment Methods for Soil Carbon, edited by R. Lal, J. M. Kimble, R. F. Follett, and B. A. Stewart, ~~pp. 339–347,~~ Lewis Publishers, London, New York, Washington D.C., 339–347, 2001.

Michaelson, G. J., Ping, C. L. and Kimble, J. M.: Carbon Storage and Distribution in Tundra Soils of Arctic Alaska, U.S.A., Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res., 28 (4), 414–424, 1996.

Mikutta, R., Mikutta, C., Kalbitz, K., Scheel, T., Kaiser, K. and Jahn, R.: Biodegradation of forest floor organic matter bound to minerals via different binding mechanisms, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 71(10), 2569–2590, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2007.03.002, 2007.

Mikutta, R., Schaumann, G. E., Gildemeister, D., Bonneville, S., Kramer, M. G., Chorover, J., Chadwick, O. A. and Guggenberger, G.: Biogeochemistry of mineral–organic associations across a long-term mineralogical soil gradient (0.3–4100 kyr), Hawaiian Islands, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 73(7), 2034–2060, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2008.12.028, 2009.

Moore, D. M. and Reynolds, R. C.: X-Ray Diffraction and Identification and Analysis of Clay Minerals, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York., 1997.

Nierop, K. G. J. J., Jansen, B. and Verstraten, J. M.: Dissolved organic matter, aluminium and iron interactions: precipitation induced by metal/carbon ratio, pH and competition, Sci. Total Environ., 300(1–3), 201–211, doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00254-1, 2002.

Ostroumov, V.: Physico-Chemical Processes in Cryogenic Soils, in Cryosols, edited by J. M. Kimble, ~~pp. 347–364,~~ Springer Berlin Heidelberg., 347–364, 2004.

Ostroumov, V., Hoover, R., Ostroumova, N., Van Vliet-Lanoë, B., Siegert, C. and Sorokovikov, V.: Redistribution of soluble components during ice segregation in freezing ground, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 32(2–3), 175–182, 2001.

Palmtag, J., Hugelius, G., Lashchinskiy, N., Tamsdorf, M. P., Richter, A., Elberling, B. and Kuhry, P.: Storage, landscape distribution and burial history of soil organic matter in contrasting areas of continuous permafrost, Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res., 47(1), 71-88, 2015~~in press~~.

Parfitt, R. L. and Childs, C. W.: Estimation of forms of Fe and Al - a review, and analysis of contrasting soils by dissolution and Mössbauer methods, Soil Res., 26(1), 121–144, 1988.

~~Ping, C. L., Jastrow, J. D., Jorgenson, M. T., Michaelson, G. J. and Shur, Y. L.: Permafrost soils and carbon cycling, SOIL Discuss., 1(1), 709–756, doi:10.5194/soild-1-709-2014, 2014.~~

Ping, C. L., Michaelson, G. J., Kimble, J. M., Romanovsky, V. E., Shur, Y. L., Swanson, D. K. and Walker, D. A.: Cryogenesis and soil formation along a bioclimate gradient in Arctic North America, J. Geophys. Res., 113(G3), G03S12–, 2008.

Ping, C. L., Jastrow, J. D., Jorgenson, M. T., Michaelson, G. J. and Shur, Y. L.: Permafrost soils and carbon cycling, Soil, 1(1), 147-171, 10.5194/soil-1-147-2015, 2015.

Rawls, W. J.: Estimating Soil Bulk Density from Particle Size Analysis and Organic Matter Content, Soil Sci., 135(2), 123–125, 1983.

Rumpel, C. and Kögel-Knabner, I.: Deep soil organic matter a key but poorly understood component of terrestrial C cycle, Plant Soil, 338(1-2), 143–158, 2011.

Schaefer, K., Zhang, T., Bruwiler, L. and Barette, A. P.: Amount and timing of permafrost carbon release in response to climate warming, Tellus B, 63(2), 165–180, 2011.

Scheel, T., Dörfler, C. and Kalbitz, K.: Precipitation of dissolved organic matter by aluminum stabilizes carbon in acidic forest soils, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 71(1), 64–74, 2007.

Schmidt, M. W. I., Torn, M. S., Abiven, S., Dittmar, T., Guggenberger, G., Janssens, I. A., Kleber, M., Kogel-Knabner, I., Lehmann, J., Manning, D. A. C., Nannipieri, P., Rasse, D. P., Weiner, S. and Trumbore, S. E.: Persistence of soil organic matter as an ecosystem property, Nature, 478(7367), 49–56, 2011.

Schnecker, J., Wild, B., Hofhansl, F., Eloy Alves, R. J., Barta, J., Capek, P., Fuchslueger, L., Gentsch, N., Gittel, A., Guggenberger, G., Hofer, A., Kienzl, S., Knoltsch, A., Lashchinskiy, N., Mikutta, R., Santruckova, H., Shibistova, O., Takriti, M., Urich, T., Weltin, G. and Richter, A.: Effects of Soil Organic Matter Properties and Microbial Community Composition on Enzyme Activities in Cryoturbated Arctic Soils, PLoS ONE, 9(4), e94076, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0094076, 2014.

Schuur, E. A. G. and Abbott, B.: Climate change: High risk of permafrost thaw, Nature, 480(7375), 32–33, 2011.

Schuur, E. a. G., Abbott, B. W., Bowden, W. B., Brovkin, V., Camill, P., Canadell, J. G., Chanton, J. P., Iii, F. S. C., Christensen, T. R., Ciais, P., Crosby, B. T., Czimczik, C. I., Grosse, G., Harden, J., Hayes, D. J., Hugelius, G., Jastrow, J. D., Jones, J. B., Kleinen, T., Koven, C. D., Krinner, G., Kuhry, P., Lawrence, D. M., McGuire, A. D., Natali, S. M., O'Donnell, J. A., Ping, C. L., Riley, W. J., Rinke, A., Romanovsky, V. E., Sannel, A. B. K., Schädel, C., Schaefer, K., Sky, J., Subin, Z. M., Tarnocai, C., Turetsky, M. R., Waldrop, M. P., Anthony, K. M. W., Wickland, K. P., Wilson, C. J. and Zimov, S. A.: Expert assessment of vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change, Clim~~.~~atic Change, 119(2), 359–374, doi:10.1007/s10584-013-0730-7, 2013.

Schuur, E. A. G., Bockheim, J., Canadell, J. G., Euskirchen, E., Field, C. B., Goryachkin, S. V., Hagemann, S., Kuhry, P., Lafleur, P. M., Lee, H., Mazhitova, G., Nelson, F. E., Rinke, A., Romanovsky, V. E., Shiklomanov, N., Tarnocai, C., Venevsky, S., Vogel, J. G. and Zimov, S.

A.: Vulnerability of Permafrost Carbon to Climate Change: Implications for the Global Carbon Cycle, Bios~~S~~cience, 58(8), 701–714, 2008.

Schwertmann, U., Wagner, F. and Knicker, H.: Ferrihydrite–Humic Associations, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 69(4), 1009, doi:10.2136/sssaj2004.0274, 2005.

Six, J., Conant, R. T., Paul, E. A. and Paustian, K.: Stabilization mechanisms of soil organic matter: Implications for C-saturation of soils, Plant Soil, 241(2), 155–176, 2002.

Six, J., Frey, S. D., Thiet, R. K. and Batten, K. M.: Bacterial and Fungal Contributions to Carbon Sequestration in Agroecosystems, Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 70(2), 555, doi:10.2136/sssaj2004.0347, 2006.

Smith, L. C., Sheng, Y., MacDonald, G. M. and Hinzman, L. D.: Disappearing Arctic Lakes, Science, 308(5727), 1429–1429, doi:10.1126/science.1108142, 2005.

Soil Survey Staff: Keys to Soil Taxonomy, 11th Edn.~~ed.~~, edited by: USDA, United States Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service, Washington~~,~~ DC., 2010.

Sokolov, I. A., Ananko, T. V. and Konyushkov, D. Y.: The Soil Cover of Central Siberia, in Cryosols, edited by: J. Kimble, ~~pp. 303–338,~~ Springer,~~.~~ Berlin, Heidelberg u.a., 303–338, 2004.~~2002.~~

~~Sokolov, I. A., Ananko, T. V. and Konyushkov, D. Y.: The Soil Cover of Central Siberia, in Cryosols, edited by J. M. Kimble, pp. 303–338, Springer Berlin Heidelberg., 2004.~~

Sushama, L., Laprise, R., Caya, D., Verseghy, D. and Allard, M.: An RCM projection of soil thermal and moisture regimes for North American permafrost zones, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34(20), L20711, doi:10.1029/2007GL031385, 2007.

Tarnocai, C., Canadell, J., Schuur, E., Kuhry, P., Mazhitova, G. and Zimov, S.: Soil organic carbon pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region, Glob~~.~~al Biogeochem. Cycl.~~cles~~, 23(GB2023), 1–11, 2009.

Torn, M. S., Trumbore, S. E., Chadwick, O. A., Vitousek, P. M. and Hendricks, D. M.: Mineral control of soil organic carbon storage and turnover, Nature, 389(6647), 170–173, doi:10.1038/38260, 1997.

UNEP: Policy implications of warming permafrost., edited by United Nations Environment Programme, United Nations Environment Programme, Nairobi, Kenya~~.~~, 2012.

USDA: Soil Taxonomy. A Basic System of Classification for Making and Interpeting Soil Surveys, 2nd ed., United States Department of Agriculture-Natural Resources Conservation Service~~.~~, 1999.

Van Vliet-Lanoë, B.: Frost and soils: implications for paleosols, paleoclimates and stratigraphy, Catena~~CATENA~~, 34(1–2), 157–183, 1998.

Von Lützow, M. v., Kögel-Knabner, I., Ekschmitt, K., Matzner, E., Guggenberger, G., Marschner, B. and Flessa, H.: Stabilization of organic matter in temperate soils: mechanisms and their relevance under different soil conditions – a review, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 57(4), 426–445, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2389.2006.00809.x, 2006.

Wagai, R. and Mayer, L. M.: Sorptive stabilization of organic matter in soils by hydrous iron oxides, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 71(1), 25–35, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2006.08.047, 2007.

Whittinghill, K. and Hobbie, S.: Effects of pH and calcium on soil organic matter dynamics in Alaskan tundra, Biogeochemistry, 111(1-3), 569–581, 2012.

Wild, B., Schnecker, J., Alves, R. J. E., Barsukov, P., Bárta, J., Čapek, P., Gentsch, N., Gittel, A., Guggenberger, G., Lashchinskiy, N., Mikutta, R., Rusalimova, O., Šantrůčková, H., Shibistova, O., Urich, T., Watzka, M., Zrazhevskaya, G. and Richter, A.: Input of easily available organic C and N stimulates microbial decomposition of soil organic matter in arctic permafrost soil, Soil Biol. Biochem., 75, 143–151, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2014.04.014, 2014.

Wild, B., Schnecker, J., Bárta, J., Čapek, P., Guggenberger, G., Hofhansl, F., Kaiser, C., Lashchinsky, N., Mikutta, R., Mooshammer, M., Šantrůčková, H., Shibistova, O., Urich, T., Zimov, S. A. and Richter, A.: Nitrogen dynamics in Turbic Cryosols from Siberia and Greenland, Soil Biol. Biochem., 67, 85–93, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2013.08.004, 2013.

Xu, C., Guo, L., Ping, C.-L. and White, D. M.: Chemical and isotopic characterization of size-fractionated organic matter from cryoturbated tundra soils, northern Alaska, J. Geophys. Res., 114(G03002), 1–11, 2009.

Table 1. Location and site conditions of the study sites, with soil classification according to Soil Survey Staff (2010). Morphological features ~~were~~ are described according to their ~~in~~ diameter (D) and height (H).

Site code	UTM coordinates	Sample year	Land cover class	Dominant species	Morphological features, Size (cm)	Active layer depth (cm)	Soil classification
CH A-C	57W 0607781, 7706532	2010	Shrubby grass tundra	*Betula exilis, Salix sphenophylla, Carex lugens, Calamagrostis holmii, Aulacomnium turgidum*	Frost boils (D 30-40)	30-70	Ruptic-Histic Aquiturbel, fine silty
CH D-F	57W 0606201, 7705516	2010	Shrubby tussock tundra	*Eriophorum vaginatum, Carex lugens, Betula exilis, Salix pulchra., Aulacomnium turgidum*	Frost boils (D 30-40)	35-60	Ruptic-Histic Aquiturbel, clayey to fine silty
CH G-I	57W 0604930, 7628451	2010	Shrubby lichen tundra	*Betula exilis, Vaccinium uligonosum, Flavocetraria nivalis, Flavocetraria cucullata*	Hummocks (H 30, D 200), barren patches	35-90	Typic Aquiturbel, fine silty to loamy-skeletal
AM A-C	47X 0589707, 8044925	2011	Shrubby moss tundra	*Betula nana, Dryas punctata, Vaccinium uligonosum, Carex arctisibirica, Aulacomnium turgidum*	Polygonal cracks, frost boils (D 50-70), barren patches	60-85	Typic Aquiturbel, coarse loamy (thixotrop)
AM D-F	47X 0588873, 8045755	2011	Shrubby moss tundra	*Cassiope tetragona, Carex arctisibirica, Aulacomnium turgidum*	Polygonal cracks, frost boils (D 50-60)	65-90	Typic Aquiturbel, fine loamy to coarse loamy (thixotrop)
LG A-C	47X 0482624, 8147621	2011	Dryas tundra	*Dryas punctata, Rhytidium rugosum, Hylocomium splendens*	Small hummocks (H 20-30, D 30-100)	35-70	Typic Aquiturbel, fine clayey to fine silty
LG D-F	47X 0479797, 8150507	2011	Grassy moss tundra	*Betula nana, Carex arctisibirica, Hylocomium splendens, Tomentypnum nitens*	Small hummocks (H 25-40, D 30-100)	30-65	Typic Aquiturbel, fine clayey to fine silty
TZ A-C	44W 0406762, 7463670	2012	Shrubby lichen tundra	*Empetrum nigrum, Ledum palustre, Betula nana, Cladonia rangiferina, C. stellaris*	Frost boils (D 40-80), barren patches	100-120	Typic Aquiturbel, fine silty or fine silty over coarse loamy (thixotrop)
TZ D-F,Y	44W 0412015, 7441112	2012	Larch woodland with shrubby lichen understory (forest-tundra zone)	*Larix sibirica, Ledum palustre, Betula nana, Vaccinium uligonosum, Cladonia rangiferina, C. stellaris*		130-150	Typic Aquiturbel, fine silty or fine silty over coarse loamy (thixotrop)

Table 2. Mean soil OC and TN stocks (0-100 cm) with respect to different sampling sites and soil horizons plus standard deviation (SD). Bulk values (unfractionated stocks) were separated into light fraction (LF), heavy fraction (HF), and the mobilized fraction~~ation~~ (MoF). The total bulk values include the organic topsoil.

Horizon cluster	OM fraction	CH		AM		LG		TZ		*AL < 100~~cm~~ cm		All sites	
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
						OC (kg m^{-2})							
Organic topsoil	Bulk	3.71	3.45	1.56	1.49	1.54	0.89	2.92	2.09	2.47	2.59	2.59	2.45
Mineral topsoil	Bulk	0.89	0.95	1.47	1.59	1.62	1.09	0.96	1.28	1.26	1.19	1.19	1.19
	LF	0.20	0.20	0.28	0.33	0.31	0.27	0.24	0.38	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.28
	HF	0.58	0.57	1.06	1.22	1.22	0.87	0.60	0.73	0.90	0.88	0.82	0.84
	MoF	0.12	0.30	0.12	0.16	0.08	0.03	0.12	0.18	0.11	0.21	0.11	0.20
Subducted topsoil	Bulk	3.06	0.99	6.23	3.22	2.08	0.93	3.13	2.78	3.68	2.47	3.54	2.51
	LF	0.94	0.39	2.52	1.77	0.57	0.31	0.60	0.60	1.28	1.24	1.11	1.14
	HF	2.01	0.64	2.89	1.76	1.28	0.47	1.87	1.76	2.05	1.18	2.01	1.31
	MoF	0.10	0.58	0.82	0.89	0.23	0.20	0.66	0.57	0.34	0.66	0.42	0.65
B/C horizons	Bulk	7.63	2.08	5.44	3.00	10.18	2.42	3.74	0.57	7.73	2.97	6.74	3.12
	LF	0.90	0.19	0.91	0.77	2.09	0.57	0.60	0.32	1.24	0.74	1.08	0.71
	HF	4.66	1.17	4.12	2.29	6.83	2.14	2.61	0.54	5.12	2.07	4.50	2.11
	MoF	2.07	1.50	0.41	0.45	1.27	0.37	0.53	0.56	1.37	1.22	1.16	1.14
Permafrost	Bulk	8.71	5.10	6.41	5.95	8.99	6.38	-	-	8.13	5.54	6.10	5.96
	LF	1.62	1.12	1.88	2.70	2.07	2.12	-	-	1.83	1.87	1.37	1.80
	HF	5.76	3.55	3.42	2.54	5.52	2.84	-	-	5.03	3.12	3.77	3.48
	MoF	1.33	0.67	1.10	1.77	1.39	1.56	-	-	1.28	1.26	0.96	1.22
Total	Bulk	24.00	6.72	21.10	5.42	24.41	7.01	10.76	4.33	23.29	6.31	20.16	8.01
	LF	3.66	1.13	5.59	2.58	5.04	2.19	1.44	1.01	4.60	2.03	3.81	2.29
	HF	13.01	3.96	11.49	2.72	14.86	4.51	5.09	2.48	13.10	3.86	11.10	4.99
	MoF	3.62	1.94	2.46	1.94	2.97	1.58	1.30	0.71	3.10	1.82	2.65	1.79
						TN (kg m^{-2})							
Organic topsoil	Bulk	0.16	0.15	0.06	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.11	0.11	0.10	0.10
Mineral topsoil	Bulk	0.07	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07
	LF	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
	HF	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06
	MoF	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.00

Subducted topsoil	Bulk	0.18	0.06	0.35	0.15	0.13	0.06	0.17	0.11	0.22	0.13	0.20	0.12
	LF	0.04	0.02	0.11	0.07	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05
	HF	0.15	0.05	0.20	0.10	0.09	0.04	0.13	0.09	0.15	0.08	0.14	0.08
	MoF	0.00	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.01
B/C horizons	Bulk	0.67	0.18	0.43	0.18	0.75	0.14	0.44	0.08	0.63	0.20	0.58	0.20
	LF	0.03	0.01	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03
	HF	0.57	0.22	0.39	0.17	0.60	0.13	0.42	0.06	0.53	0.20	0.50	0.18
	MoF	0.07	0.25	0.01	0.03	0.07	0.04	0.00	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03
Permafrost	Bulk	0.71	0.32	0.36	0.31	0.65	0.27	-	-	0.59	0.33	0.45	0.38
	LF	0.07	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.07	0.07	-	-	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.08
	HF	0.62	0.32	0.27	0.17	0.50	0.14	-	-	0.49	0.27	0.37	0.32
	MoF	0.02	0.25	0.00	0.05	0.08	0.07	-	-	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.03
Total	Bulk	1.79	0.38	1.30	0.29	1.71	0.29	0.76	0.14	1.63	0.38	1.41	0.51
	LF	0.14	0.06	0.24	0.12	0.19	0.07	0.04	0.03	0.19	0.09	0.15	0.10
	HF	1.39	0.34	0.94	0.18	1.27	0.22	0.59	0.10	1.23	0.32	1.07	0.40
	MoF	0.10	0.39	0.06	0.05	0.17	0.09	0.03	0.06	0.11	0.06	0.09	0.04
Number of soil profiles		9		6		6		7		21		28	

*O~~o~~nly include profiles from AM, LG and CH with active layer (AL) <100~~0cm~~ cm

Table 3. Results from the PLSR analysis between HF-OC and various mineral parameters. The PLSR factors (latent factors) are given in descending order of importance and the goodness of fit of the model is indicated by regression coefficients for the response variable (cumulative Y variance).

Site	Horizon cluster	Latent Factor	X Variance	Cumulative X Variance	Y Variance	Cumulative Y Variance (R^2)	Adjusted R2
CH	topsoil	1	0.61	0.61	0.79	0.79	0.78
		2	0.12	0.73	0.10	0.88	0.88
	subsoil	1	0.44	0.44	0.62	0.62	0.61
AM	topsoil	1	0.23	0.23	0.74	0.74	0.73
		2	0.22	0.44	0.07	0.81	0.79
	subsoil	1	0.48	0.48	0.66	0.66	0.64
		2	0.19	0.67	0.08	0.74	0.70
LG	topsoil	1	0.16	0.16	0.38	0.38	0.34
		2	0.31	0.47	0.05	0.42	0.36
	subsoil	1	0.56	0.56	0.79	0.79	0.76
		2	0.15	0.71	0.11	0.90	0.87
TZ	topsoil	1	0.46	0.46	0.79	0.79	0.78
		2	0.26	0.72	0.15	0.94	0.93
	subsoil	1	0.33	0.33	0.75	0.75	0.74
		2	0.22	0.55	0.04	0.78	0.76

~~Site~~	~~Horizon en cluster~~	~~Latent Factor~~	~~X Variance~~	~~Cumulative X Variance~~	~~Y Variance~~	~~Cumulative r^2~~	~~Adjusted r^2~~
~~CH~~	~~topsoil~~	~~1~~	~~0.61~~	~~0.61~~	~~0.79~~	~~0.79~~	~~0.78~~
		~~2~~	~~0.12~~	~~0.73~~	~~0.10~~	~~0.88~~	~~0.88~~
	~~subsoil~~	~~1~~	~~0.44~~	~~0.44~~	~~0.62~~	~~0.62~~	~~0.61~~
~~AM~~	~~topsoil~~	~~1~~	~~0.23~~	~~0.23~~	~~0.74~~	~~0.74~~	~~0.73~~

		~~2~~	~~0.22~~	~~0.44~~	~~0.07~~	~~0.81~~	~~0.79~~
	~~subsoil~~	~~1~~	~~0.48~~	~~0.48~~	~~0.66~~	~~0.66~~	~~0.64~~
		~~2~~	~~0.19~~	~~0.67~~	~~0.08~~	~~0.74~~	~~0.70~~
~~LG~~	~~topsoil~~	~~1~~	~~0.16~~	~~0.16~~	~~0.38~~	~~0.38~~	~~0.34~~
		~~2~~	~~0.31~~	~~0.47~~	~~0.05~~	~~0.42~~	~~0.36~~
	~~subsoil~~	~~1~~	~~0.56~~	~~0.56~~	~~0.79~~	~~0.79~~	~~0.76~~
		~~2~~	~~0.15~~	~~0.71~~	~~0.11~~	~~0.90~~	~~0.87~~
~~TZ~~	~~topsoil~~	~~1~~	~~0.46~~	~~0.46~~	~~0.79~~	~~0.79~~	~~0.78~~
		~~2~~	~~0.26~~	~~0.72~~	~~0.15~~	~~0.94~~	~~0.93~~
	~~subsoil~~	~~1~~	~~0.33~~	~~0.33~~	~~0.75~~	~~0.75~~	~~0.74~~
-	-	~~2~~	~~0.22~~	~~0.55~~	~~0.04~~	~~0.78~~	~~0.76~~

Figure 1. Sampling locations in West Siberia (1), Central Siberia (2), and the Taimyr Peninsula~~)~~ and East Siberia (3). Each star marks a sampling site with three replicate soil profiles. Abbreviations are: CH, Cherskiy; AM, Ari Mas; LG, Logata; TZ, Tassovskiy (generated by ArcGIS 10).

Figure 2. Overview of the~~n~~ soil diagnostic terminology used in this study. Horizon nomenclature according to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010).

Figure 3. Selected profile maps from three different sampling sites at Cherskiy (CH), Ari-Mas (AM), and Logata (LG) (all other profile maps are presented in Fig. S7). Horizon symbols according to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 2010). Note that~~,~~ the hatched areas (frozen zones) were not excavated, but cryoturbation also occurs~~ed~~ in the upper permafrost, ~~as well~~ and subducted topsoil materials (Ojj, Ajj) can stretch into the permafrost.

Figure 4. Vertical pattern of $\delta^{13}C$ values and C/N ratios of bulk soils with respect to different sampling sites and soil horizon clusters (mean ± SD; n is given in Table S1).

Figure 5. Laser scanning microscope images from the LF for one profile in western Siberia. The images were arranged according to the increasing soil depth of various genetic horizons. Red, green, and purple arrows ~~mark~~ denote fine roots, ~~green ones~~ woody tissue, ~~purple ones~~and bark, respectively. Red and green circles ~~mark~~ denote seeds and fungal hyphae, respectively.

Figure 6. $\delta^{13}C$ versus C/N ratios for individual soil fractions and the most abundant plants. The values of the soil fractions were grouped according to the genetic soil horizons (mean value ± SD) and plotted for the different sampling sites. The central Siberian plot incorporated the two sampling sites, AM and LG, where no ~~statistical difference~~significant differences were observed for the evaluated parameters. Note the different scale of the plot in the lower right corner.

Figure 7. The influence of the PLSR predictor variables on HF-OC concentrations plotted as variable importance in the projection (VIP, see S2) for the first latent factor (see Table 3).~~ As response variables,~~ P~~p~~arameters representing the soil mineral phase were used as response variables. Values above the dashed line indicate an above average influence on the response variable. The stars ~~mark~~ denote negative loadings on a given~~the~~ factor.

Figure 8. OC distribution map across the Siberian sampling sites. The ~~gray~~grey circles ~~give~~show the total OC stock for each profile individually and the coloured bars present the proportion of the specific OM fraction. The upper pie charts summarize all of the soil profiles. Note that~~,~~ the percentage of permafrost OC summarizes all profiles, while the number in brackets ~~only~~ includes only profiles with permafrost within 100 cm depth.