Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, 3577–3615, 2015
www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/12/3577/2015/
doi:10.5194/bgd-12-3577-2015

Biogeosciences Discussions
Open Access

Anthropogenic point and non-point nitrogen inputs into Huai River Basin and their impacts on riverine ammonia-nitrogen flux

W. S. Zhang[1,2], D. P. Swaney[3], X. Y. Li[1], B. Hong[3], R. W. Howarth[3], and S. H. Ding[4]

[1]State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
[2]University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China
[3]Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA
[4]Huai River Commission, Ministry of Water Resources, Bengbu, Anhui, China

Received: 4 February 2015 – Accepted: 15 February 2015 – Published: 27 February 2015

Correspondence to: X. Y. Li (xyli@rcees.ac.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Abstract

This study provides a new approach to estimate both anthropogenic non-point and point nitrogen (N) inputs to the landscape, and determines their impacts on riverine ammonia-nitrogen (AN) flux, providing a foundation for further exploration of anthropogenic effects on N pollution. Our study site is Huai River Basin of China, a watershed with one of the highest levels of N input in the world. Multi-year average (2003–2010) inputs of N to the watershed are 27 200 ± 1100 kg N km^{-2} yr^{-1}. Non-point sources comprised about 98 % of total N input and only 2 % of inputs are directly added to the aquatic ecosystem as point sources. Fertilizer application was the largest non-point source of new N to the Huai River Basin (69 % of net anthropogenic N inputs), followed by atmospheric deposition (20 %), N fixation in croplands (7 %), and N content of imported food and feed (2 %). High N inputs showed impacts on riverine AN flux: fertilizer application, point N input and atmospheric N deposition were proved as more direct sources to riverine AN flux. Modes of N delivery and losses associated with biological denitrification in rivers, water consumption, interception by dams influenced the extent of export of riverine AN flux from N sources. Our findings highlight the importance of anthropogenic N inputs from point and non-point sources in heavily polluted watersheds, and provide some implications for AN prediction and management.

1 Introduction

Nitrogen (N) enrichment in watershed ecosystems is an issue of global concern (Galloway et al., 2004). Human activities strongly influence the N loads to watersheds in a number of different ways, for example through fertilizer application driven by increased agricultural activities (Billen et al., 2013), or through point-source discharge as the result of increased industrial and domestic emissions (Van Drecht et al., 2009). Increased N input to watersheds is often accompanied by a high load of N into the river system and corresponding riverine N export (Han and Allan, 2012; Hong et al., 2012).

These N impacts on N flux are very dependent on the modes of delivery to aquatic ecosystems. For example, the pollutants could be added into the river network by indirect routes such as rainfall–runoff, leaching, etc. (Carpenter et al., 1998) or discharged directly into river systems. Therefore, to effectively guide N management for protecting aquatic ecosystem health, anthropogenic N accounting linked to riverine N export must be responsive to the modes of N delivery.

Net anthropogenic nitrogen input (NANI) is a watershed-budgeting approach that sums N contributions from atmospheric deposition, fertilizer application, agricultural biological fixation, and net import/export of N in food and feed to a watershed. This method was originally proposed by Howarth et al. (1996), and has been used as a simple yet powerful approach to estimate major anthropogenic sources of N to terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. A large volume of published studies has since described the relationship between NANI and nitrogen fluxes in rivers (David and Gentry, 2000; Boyer et al., 2002; Howarth et al., 2006, 2012; Han and Allan, 2008; Swaney et al., 2012). NANI has turned out to be a reliable predictor of riverine N exports, the magnitudes of which can also have strong relationships with hydro-climatic conditions such as precipitation, discharge and temperature (Schaefer and Alber, 2007; Schaefer et al., 2009; Howarth et al., 2012).

The accounting method of NANI has been refined since its first application in North Atlantic Ocean. Boyer et al. (2002) added a new input component to reflect the impact of natural fixation, and found this revised nitrogen accounting method (TNI, which is equivalent to NANI plus natural N fixation) would be a good predictor of riverine N export in watersheds dominated by "natural" systems. Han and Allan (2008) and Hong et al. (2013) refined the NANI methodology by comparing different calculation methods. Hong et al. (2011) then released an open source toolbox of NANI estimation, and greatly promoted the application of NANI methodology. However, all of the improvements in NANI methodology still did not address the modes of N delivery. Conventional NANI methodology emphasizes on the impact of non-point N input (Howarth, 1998)

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

and is inexplicit in specific N pathways, which can cause potentially large errors and poor predictions in some watersheds with heavy point N pollution (Gao et al., 2014).

In addition, a number of studies incorporating NANI have been conducted extensively on total nitrogen (e.g., Hong et al., 2012), nitrate flux (e.g., McIsaac et al., 2002) or total dissolved N (e.g., Huang et al., 2014); no single study has adequately addressed the ammonia-nitrogen (AN) component. This is problematic, because in many heavily impacted rivers, e.g. with high biological oxygen demand (BOD) due to untreated sewage and other sources of organic pollutants, the correspondingly low dissolved oxygen levels can provide an environment suitable for the persistence of AN as a component of riverine N fluxes. For example, in heavily polluted rivers from some regions, ammonia-nitrogen accounted for more than 70 % of total nitrogen across seasons and river sections (Pernet-Coudrier et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014). Furthermore, in many Chinese rivers, AN is the only component of riverine N that is regularly monitored (Ma et al., 2009; Xia et al., 2011; Shao et al., 2006), which indicates the prevalence of the problem and supports the need for better understanding of AN dynamics in these heavily impacted rivers. Extending the study of NANI dynamics to include the response of riverine AN will refine our understanding of nitrogen dynamics in river basins and will facilitate adaptive management of conservation policies and programs, especially in areas of poor riverine water quality.

Hence, the major purposes of this study are to: (1) differentiate the common NANI methodology into two parts: point and non-point sources, (2) investigate the impact of anthropogenic point and non-point N inputs on riverine AN flux; and (3) determine the potential influential factors of riverine AN export. We carried out our study in the Huai River Basin, which was previously reported as one of the watersheds with the heaviest pollution in China (Bai and Shi, 2006) and the highest N input in the world (Billen et al., 2013). In addition, as a representative basin of rapid urbanization growth (a 15 % increase during 2003–2010, according to Huai River Commission, 2010), the results from Huai River Basin would also provide some implications for other watersheds, since as claimed by Van Drecht et al. (2009), future continued population and economic growth

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

in developing countries will almost certainly lead to further increasing N emissions in the coming decades. Below, we first estimate the amount of point N and non-point N inputs that occur for the whole basin. Then, we investigate the AN export in relation to NANI and analyze the factors most influential to AN export. Finally, parametric and sensitivity analyses of NANI methodology are also conducted since they could serve as guidance in applications to other watersheds and to adjust anthropogenic N inputs. Most of the data used to estimate NANI are presented in Supplement.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Watershed characteristics

The Huai River Basin (30°55′–36°36′ N, 111°55′–121°25′ E) is located in eastern China (Fig. 1), lying between the Yangtze River Basin and Yellow River Basin. It has a drainage area of 270 000 km^2, ranking sixth by area of all river basins of China. The Huai River Basin (HRB) can be divided into the upper, middle, and lower and Yishusi sub-basins. The 1000 km Huai River originates in the Tongbai Mountains of Henan province and flows eastward to the Yangtze River and Yellow Sea (Fig. 1). The Yishusi River stems from Yimeng Mountains of Shandong province and flows southward then eastward to Yellow Sea. The population dwelling in the basin is 165 million. Its average population density is 623 person km^{-2}, and approximately 5 times the nation's average.

Twenty-seven watersheds cover the total area of the four reaches, ranging in size from 1095 to 16 460 km^2, and encompassing a wide variety of land uses, population density, and human activities. According to Howarth et al. (2012), our watershed delineation can meet the requirements for further analytical precision. Land cover in HRB was 9.8 % forest, 1.7 % grass, 68.7 % cropland, 6.1 % wetland, and 13.5 % residential area for the 1990s through 2000s, although land cover varies greatly across watersheds and time (see Part I in Supplement). The area-weighted average of total annual precipitation is highly variable from year to year (ranging from about 637.0

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

to 1287.5 mm yr^{-1}), and 50–80 % of annual precipitation is concentrated in the flood season (June–September) (Xia et al., 2011). Due to intensive agricultural production and rapid urbanization growth, industrial AN discharges have reached 66 389 t (Huai River Commission, 2010), and the amount of N fertilizer application had increased to 0.50 million t, raising the issues of impacts on water quantity and quality in this watershed.

2.2 Methodology

The N budget considered here was divided into non-point and point source inputs because of the major differences in their modes of N delivery. This division facilitates the analyses performed below related to attribution of sources. The equation can be represented as:

$$\mathrm{NANI} = \mathrm{NANI_n} + \mathrm{NANI_p} \quad (1)$$

where NANI is the total net anthropogenic nitrogen input, $\mathrm{NANI_n}$ is net anthropogenic nitrogen input that could potentially contribute to non-point source pollution, and $\mathrm{NANI_p}$ is the anthropogenic nitrogen input that directly discharges into the river (i.e. sewage N discharge).

The methodology of $\mathrm{NANI_n}$ estimation was very similar to that reported in Han et al. (2014), which was in turn based on the methods developed by Howarth et al. (1996). Major input components included atmospheric deposition, fertilizer, net food and feed import, and biological N fixation. This non-point component should exclude part of urban household N emissions that enter centralized sewage systems and then discharge into river systems as a form of point source (Van Drecht et al., 2009). Industrial and domestic centralized sewage nitrogen discharge are considered to be point nitrogen inputs ($\mathrm{NANI_p}$). Industrial byproducts (primarily structural forms, e.g., nylon, plastic, and synthetic fiber, etc.) are not considered as a new input since most of them tend to accumulate in human settlements due to their long service lives (Gu et al., 2013).

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

Title Page
Abstract Introduction
Conclusions References
Tables Figures
◀◀ ▶▶
◀ ▶
Back Close
Full Screen / Esc
Printer-friendly Version
Interactive Discussion

NANI are typically based on a watershed scale in order to estimate riverine N export from the watershed. We collected all the datasets covering 2003–2010 for each county. All the data were multi-year averaged (2003–2010) to avoid a storage effect in which N tends to be stored in the landscape in dry years and flushed into rivers in wet years (Howarth et al., 1996; Swaney et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014). As suggested by Han and Allan (2008) and Hong et al. (2013), county-level datasets were aggregated to the catchment scale using a land-use weighting method: weighting by the fraction of the relevant land use type, such as crop or urban land, lying within each catchment. For agriculturally related indicators (such as fertilizer application, crop yields, etc.), we adopted the land use weighting by cropland area; for residentially-related indicators (such as population, industrial sewage discharge, etc.), we used the method of land use weighting by urban land area. Land cover data with a 30 m × 30 m resolution was adopted to transform the scale (see Fig. 1a). A diagram of nitrogen budgets, to facilitate the understanding of our N cycle analysis, is presented in Fig. 2.

2.2.1 Non-point sources ($NANI_n$)

The total amount of non-point source nitrogen input ($NANI_n$) is estimated as:

$$NANI_n = N_{chem} + N_{fix} + N_{dep} + N_{im} - N_{urban} = N_{chem} + N_{fix} + N_{dep} + N_{r\text{-}im} \quad (2)$$

Where the individual N inputs are as follows: N_{chem} is N content of chemical N fertilizers applied; N_{fix} is crop N fixation; N_{dep} is atmospheric deposition of oxidized N; N_{im} is N content of the net import/export of food and feedstuffs; N_{urban} is the N content of food and feed consumed by urban populations.

To avoid repeated calculations with point source inputs, N_{urban} was subtracted from $NANI_n$ for it is usually connected to municipal sewage systems and acts as point source pollution. Since human N emission usually is identical to N intake (100 % excretion) (Han et al., 2011), N_{urban} here is considered a part of net food and feed import (N_{im}, including urban and rural N consumption). Thus, we can form a new input term defined as net food and feed import in rural region ($N_{r\text{-}im}$). All terms here are in kg N km^{-2} yr^{-1};

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

the definition and data sources for each term are presented below. All of the data used in calculating $NANI_n$ are provided in Supplement (see Part II).

Fertilizer (N_{chem})

N_{chem} is defined as the amount of N in yearly N fertilizer application. N fertilizer application including forms of single N fertilizer (such as ammonium nitrate, anhydrous ammonia, ammonium bicarbonate, urea, and miscellaneous forms) and compound fertilizer (synthetic fertilizers also containing P, K or other nutrients) were adopted in our estimates. The data were obtained from the annual provincial census. An average N content of 35 % of compound fertilizer is commonly assumed in China (Li and Jin, 2011) and hence we used this value to calculate the elemental N input of compound fertilizer.

Biological nitrogen fixation (N_{fix})

N_{fix} refers to the sum of symbiotic N fixation by cultivation of legume crops and non-symbiotic N fixation by microorganisms in agricultural ecosystem. Biological nitrogen fixation in agriculture land was calculated by multiplying the area of crops in each sub-unit by published N fixation rates. When estimating symbiotic N fixation by leguminous crops, only soybean and peanut were taken into consideration since they were the most common leguminous crops in our study area. Fixation rates for each of these crop classes were estimated from reviews by Zhang et al. (1989), Lu et al. (1996) and (Du et al., 2010). As suggested by Li and Jin (2011) and Du et al. (2010), the N fixation rate used for estimating non-symbiotic N fixation in paddy field and upland was 30 and 15 $kg\,ha^{-1}\,yr^{-1}$, respectively (Table 1).

Atmospheric N deposition (N_{dep})

The deposition of ammonia and ammonium is not considered as a new input of nitrogen to a region, based on the idea that transport of these species through the atmosphere

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

generally occurs only over fairly short distances (Prospero et al., 1996; Fangmeier et al., 1994; Schlesinger and Hartley, 1992). Thus, we viewed NH_x deposition as a recycling of nitrogen within a region rather than as an additional source of nitrogen to the region. Since NO_y comes largely from the combustion of fossil fuels, its deposition needs to be considered as a regional input of nitrogen (Howarth, 1998).

China is one of the areas of highest N deposition globally (Galloway et al., 2008), resulting from extensive use of fossil fuels in industry and transportation, chemical fertilizers in agriculture, and the expansion in intensive animal husbandry in the last three decades (Ti et al., 2011). However, there is no systematic nation-wide monitoring network to derive geographical and temporal distribution of the deposition rates. In this study, the data of wet and dry atmospheric N deposition from 2003 to 2010 referred to the simulated results of wet and dry deposition of NO_y by the Frontier Research Center for Global Change (FRCGC) (Ohara et al., 2007). The dataset of the inventory produces estimates of deposition at a 0.5° × 0.5° latitude–longitude resolution.

Net food and feed import in rural area ($N_{r\text{-}im}$)

Net food and feed import (both in urban and rural regions) is usually based on the assumption that imports and exports are determined by the balance of local production and consumption, and thus defined as total N consumption (by livestock and humans) minus total N production (by crops and livestock) (Schaefer et al., 2009). This quantity will be negative (representing an export) when N production exceeds consumption. However, this study subtracted the N consumption by urban inhabitants from net food and feed to avoid repeated calculations. The amount of net food and feed import that potentially contributes to diffuse pollution in rural regions ($N_{r\text{-}im}$) is calculated as follows:

$$N_{r\text{-}im} = N_{selfo} + N_{selfe} - N_{harv} - N_{liv} \tag{3}$$

where N_{selfo} and N_{selfe} stand for N consumption by rural inhabitants and livestock, respectively. N_{harv} stands for N in crops, and N_{liv} for N in animal products.

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

Human consumption of N in food (N_{selfo}) was estimated as the product of nitrogen consumption per capita and the number of rural inhabitants in each subunit. According to research carried out by Wei et al. (2008), nitrogen consumption per capita in rural China is $4.31\,\mathrm{kg\,N\,yr^{-1}}$.

Animals are usually fed according to relatively straightforward dietary prescriptions designed for maintaining or gaining weight. Livestock consumption of N in feed (N_{selfe}) was calculated by N consumption per individual multiplied by the number of each animal type in each subunit. We chose the values of consumption reported by Han et al. (2014) and the values for the percentage N excreted reported by Van Horn (1998). The parameters along with their sources used to calculate N mass in animal products had been presented in detail in Han et al. (2014). The animal N production category includes meat, milk, eggs, etc. We estimated animal N production (N_{liv}) by the difference between animal feed consumption (intake) and animal excretion (waste production).

N in crop products (N_{harv}) was estimated from their N contents and total mass of products. Protein rather than N contents is ususally reported for products, and we assumed N content to be 16 % of protein content (Ti et al., 2011; Jones, 1941). Protein contents for different crops were obtained from the book of China Food Ingredients Table (Yang et al., 2009). The parameters used to calculate N mass in crop products are given in Table 2.

2.2.2 Point sources ($NANI_p$)

Industrial and domestic centralized sewage nitrogen discharge is combined to estimate point-source nitrogen inputs. Environmental census data of 2003–2010, which include data from Chinese environmental protection agencies, were adopted in our estimation. This dataset (such as the AN generation load and sewage effluent from industrial and urban households) also can be found from the Anhui, Jiangsu, Henan, and Shandong provincial yearbooks. All of the data used in calculating $NANI_p$ were provided in Supplement (see Part III). The total amount of urban domestic and industrial sewage can

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

be calculated from:

$$\text{NANI}_\text{p} = (\text{N}_\text{urban} + \text{N}_\text{ind})(1 - I_\text{sew} I_\text{rem}) \quad (4)$$

where NANI_p represents the total nitrogen load from point sources; N_ind is N discharged by industrial production; N_urban is N discharged by urban inhabitants; I_rem refers the average removal rate by a sewage plant; I_sew is the percentage of sewage effluent that is treated by sewage plants.

Emitted N in wastewater by households and industries are connected to the same sewerage system (Van Drecht et al., 2009). Thus the calculation of I_sew can be obtained from the following equation:

$$I_\text{sew} = \frac{W_\text{sew}}{W_\text{ind} + W_\text{urban}} \quad (5)$$

where W_ind and W_urban refer the volume of wastewater generated by industrial production and urban household, respectively; W_sew refers the actual treatment volume by sewage plants. W_sew was obtained from the list of Nationwide Inventory of Urban Sewage Treatment Facilities (http://www.mep.gov.cn/gkml/hbb/bgg/201305/t20130508_251788.htm). W_ind and W_urban are provided in provincial yearbooks (see Part III of Supplement).

N discharge from urban residents (N_urban) was estimated as the product of urban population and average nitrogen consumption per capita. We adopted the data of Wei et al. (2008), who reported that the average N emission per capita by urban inhabitant of China was $4.77\,\text{kg N yr}^{-1}$; Industrial N discharge (N_ind) was computed as the product of industrial sewage effluent flow (W_ind) and average nitrogen concentration. The average nitrogen concentration in industrial sewage had shown a wide range of values (e.g., $0.87 \sim 48.43\,\text{mg L}^{-1}$, Yang et al., 2003). In this study, we use the mean value of $25\,\text{mg L}^{-1}$ that was reported in Changjiang River Basin of China to estimate industrial N discharge (Yan et al., 2010).

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

Anthropogenic point and non-point nitrogen inputs

W. S. Zhang et al.

The average removal rate by a sewage plant (I_{rem}) shows very large fluctuations depending upon influent load and season (Jin et al., 2014). According to Qiu et al. (2010), the total nitrogen removal rate by different sewage treatment systems in China ranged from 40 to 70 %. We used an average reported value for N removal rate of 60 % since N removal rate of the most common treatment systems (oxidation ditch (OD), anaerobic/anoxic-oxic (AO) process, sequencing batch reactor (SBR), and anaerobic-anoxic-oxic (AAO)) of the Huai River Basin was about 55 ∼ 59 %.

We also estimate the amount of ammonia-nitrogen discharge based on Eq. (4):

$$AN_p = (AN_{urban} + AN_{ind})(1 - I_{sew} I_{rem}) \quad (6)$$

where AN_p represents the total AN load from point sources; AN_{ind} is AN load discharged by industrial production; AN_{urban} is AN load discharged by urban inhabitants; Here, I_{rem} refers the average removal rate of AN by a sewage plant and I_{sew} is the percentage of AN effluent that is treated by sewage plants. AN_{ind} and AN_{urban} can be directly found from the yearbook of Anhui, Shandong, Jiangsu, Henan provinces. I_{rem} here was set at 70 %, since AN removal rate in most municipal sewage treatment systems was about 10 % higher than total nitrogen removal (Qiu et al., 2010). The calculation of I_{sew} can be found in Eq. (5).

2.2.3 Riverine ammonia-nitrogen export

AN flux in the outlet of a watershed was calculated from stream discharge and water quality using the LOADEST regression model (Runkel et al., 2004). Stream discharge were collected automatically at the hydrometric stations (outlet of watersheds) from 2003–2010. Water quality data were obtained at the same hydrometric stations. AN was determined in the laboratory following the standard analytical method for water quality (Ministry of Environmental Protection of China, 2002). During 2003–2006, all water quality data were reported at a bimonthly time scale, while after 2007, these data were reported at a monthly time scale. Details on sample collection and laboratory analysis were described in the Huai River Commission (http://www.hc.gov.cn/). There were very few missing water quality data during the study period (less than 1 % of total). For this analysis, when a particular month's data was missing, the missing value was interpolated based on the previous and the following month's values of the monitoring station. The distribution of monitoring stations is presented in Fig. 1.

2.2.4 Sensitivity analysis

Relative sensitivity (S) of a variable y to a parameter x is evaluated by examining the effect of a change of x on the response of y relative to the baseline value. The sensitivity here is defined as the proportional change of variable y, relative to baseline y_b, divided by the proportional change in parameter x, relative to baseline value x_b (for example, if a 10 % change in parameter x relative to its baseline results in a 10 % change in y relative to its baseline, then $S = 1$). Hence, the relative sensitivity of the input terms and parameters of NANI can be obtained from (Hong et al., 2013):

$$S(y|x, x_b, y_b) = \frac{(y - y_b)x_b}{(x - x_b)y_b} \tag{7}$$

Since the relationship of the parameters tested in this study to NANI is mostly linear, the choice of range of variation (i.e., 5, 10 or 20 %) has little effect on the result of sensitivity analysis. Therefore, we applied a ±10 % change for each of the NANI components and estimated its sensitivity from the resulting proportional change in NANI.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Nitrogen budgets and geographic differences

As a watershed with one of the highest levels of N inputs to its watersheds in the world (Billen et al., 2013), N input and its sources in the Huai River Basin should be carefully considered. Our study shows that NANI into the Huai River Basin was about

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

$27\,186 \pm 1129\,kg\,N\,km^{-2}\,yr^{-1}$ (mean ± SD) from 2003 to 2010, about 98 % of which could potentially contribute to non-point sources and the remaining 2 % is added to the watershed ecosystem as a form of point source (Table 3). This value was about five times the average intensity of NANI reported for mainland China ($5013\,kg\,N\,km^{-2}\,yr^{-1}$ in 2009, Han et al., 2014) and India ($4616\,kg\,N\,km^{-2}\,yr^{-1}$ in 2000s, Swaney et al., 2014), ten times that reported in US watersheds (Hong et al., 2013), and nearly twice that of the Beijing metropolitan region ($15\,236\,kg\,N\,km^{-2}\,yr^{-1}$ averaged during 1991–2007, Han et al. 2011). The results are comparable with previous studies. The N inputs to the administrative provinces of Anhui, Henan, Shandong, Jiangsu in Huai River Basin were 13 121, 21 090, 18 072 and $24\,219\,kg\,N\,km^{-2}\,yr^{-1}$ respectively, for the year 2009 (Han et al., 2014), very close to our results.

Fertilizer was the largest non-point source of new N to the Huai River Basin (68.7 % of NANI), followed by atmospheric deposition (20.2 %), N fixation in croplands (7.0 %) and imported food and feed N (2.1 %). Point source N inputs accounted for the least part of the input, with the value of $542 \pm 48\,kg\,N\,km^{-2}\,yr^{-1}$. The sub-basin with the highest input was Yishusi basin (Table 3), followed by the Lower basin.

Figure 3 presents an overview of the geographic differences of point and non-point source N inputs into hydrologic units. NANI to watersheds showed a significant geographic difference across the whole basin. The headwater watersheds tended to exhibit lower N inputs (for example: No.1, 2, 3, 14, 15, 23, etc.), while in the "mountain-plain" transition watersheds or plain watersheds, higher N inputs appeared due to stronger effects of human disturbance. N inputs from point and non-point sources showed a positive correlation ($r = 0.82$, $P < 0.001$), indicating that many watersheds in Huai River have faced the dual-risk of contributions from both modes of N delivery. Geographic differences of NANI in individual watersheds were related to watershed characteristics, such as positive correlations with watershed population density ($r = 0.90$, $P < 0.001$), percentage of agricultural land area ($r = 0.84$, $P < 0.001$), and percentage of developed land area ($r = 0.88$, $P < 0.001$), while it was negatively correlated with percentage of forestland area ($r = -0.83$, $P < 0.001$) and watershed average elevation ($r = -0.61$,

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

$P = 0.004$), consistent with previous findings (Howarth et al., 1996; Swaney et al., 2012).

AN flux in rivers can be observed from Fig. 3. Riverine AN loads ranged from 127 to 31 611 t yr^{-1}. Correspondingly, area-averaged flux of AN can vary from 116 to 655 kg N km^{-2} yr^{-1}. The watershed with lowest AN flux was located at the headwater of Huai River, while the highest (No. 9) was close to Luohe City, which was heavily polluted by domestic sources (population density ~ 635 ind km^{-2}) as well as direct discharges of industrial sewage (average rate of treated sewage was just 8 % for 2003–2010).

3.2 Ammonia-nitrogen flux in relation with point and non-point N inputs

AN flux in this region of high N inputs exhibits positive linear relationships to point source ($R^2 = 0.61$, $P < 0.001$), non-point source ($R^2 = 0.59$, $P < 0.001$) and total input ($R^2 = 0.59$, $P < 0.001$) (Fig. 4). Linear equations which describe the relationship between anthropogenic nitrogen input and nitrogen export were consistent with previous studies, such as in Schaefer and Alber (2007) and Swaney et al. (2012), but our result shows that exponential formulas show a better match between NANI and AN ($R^2 = 0.73$, $P < 0.001$). This kind of equation also has been reported for other nitrogen forms such as in nitrate (McIsaac et al., 2001) and total nitrogen (Han et al., 2009). Howarth et al. (2012) evaluated the nonlinear effect as a possible threshold, below which a smaller fraction of NANI is exported as riverine N flux.

NANI is computed from atmospheric deposition, fertilizer, net food and feed import in rural, biological nitrogen fixation and point N input (Fig. 2). Each NANI component contributes to riverine AN flux. Our results indicate that fertilizer application, point N input and atmospheric N deposition have a more direct impact on riverine AN flux, while the biological nitrogen fixation and net food and feed import are not as strongly related across the subbasins (Fig. 4).

For all of 20 watersheds, fertilizer N is the single largest input. Perhaps it is not surprising, therefore, to observe that fertilizer input is significantly correlated with riverine AN flux (Fig. 4e). A more interesting finding is that atmospheric N deposition is also well correlated with riverine AN flux ($R^2 = 0.77$, Fig. 4f). This result coincides with the findings by Howarth (1998) for the North Atlantic watersheds, and also for 150 watersheds in Europe and North America (Howarth et al., 2012). The underlying reason may be the information conveyed by atmospheric N deposition, since atmospheric deposition originates largely from the combustion of fossil fuels which are associated with both agricultural and industrial production. AN flux is also strongly related to point N input ($R^2 = 0.61$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 4c) and point AN input ($R^2 = 0.68$, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 4d), which is consistent with the conclusion of Xia et al. (2011) that industrial and municipal point discharge were also major pollution sources in Huai River Basin.

In contrast, for biological N fixation, the mechanism is still unclear (Fig. 4h). Biological N fixation is a relatively small input (accounting for only 7 % of the total NANI). Its role may be easily hidden by other inputs. The influence of net food and feed import was also unclear (Fig. 4g). Although Howarth et al. (2012) indicated that the flux of N in many rivers increases as the net import increases, there was not any clear relationship between food import and riverine AN flux in our study. In this case, the underlying reason may be due to poor linkages between AN and net food/feed; the organic nitrogen in human and livestock waste may not be consistently converted to AN, and thus may not contribute as a significant source that would be observed if we were considering the total nitrogen fluxes (TN) as has been done previously (Swaney et al., 2012; Hong et al., 2012).

The results also indicate it is possible to construct N source-based models to estimate riverine ammonia-nitrogen flux, because the major N sources have shown a more direct effect on AN export (Fig. 4). By this simple empirical model, further insight may be provided into how to adjust and balance point and non-point source N inputs to effectively manage human-induced N. Riverine AN flux (RAF) can be predicted well by a linear function ($\mathrm{RAF} = 0.27\mathrm{NANI}_p + 0.0046\mathrm{NANI}_n + 51.75$, $R^2 = 0.66$, $P < 0.001$). Since an exponential formula between non-point N and AN showed a good fit (Fig. 4b), an exponential model ($\mathrm{RAF} = 0.14\mathrm{NANI}_p + 65.35\exp(0.000047\mathrm{NANI}_n)$, $R^2 = 0.73$, $P < 0.001$) was developed to test ammonia prediction and resulted in marginally better performance. However, we found that the accuracy of these empirical models is not very high. The underlying reason is probably due to the fact the simple regression equations cannot completely capture the variation of many influential factors of AN export. We discuss some of these factors below and their role in improving our understanding of nitrogen dynamics as a foundation for future exploration of some process-based models.

3.3 Factors influencing AN export

The influence of landscape and climate on riverine TN flux has been addressed in previous studies (Howarth et al., 2006, 2012; Schaefer et al., 2009; Hong et al., 2012). Our results relating these influential factors to AN flux are also similar to those previously reported for total nitrogen. For example, AN flux showed a positive correlation with watershed average slope (Fig. 5a) and discharge (Fig. 5b), since gentle slopes and low discharge would increase nitrogen residence time in watersheds and ultimately prolong the time for biological N processing in the landscape (Swaney et al., 2012). The role of watershed average temperature in N export is less clear (Fig. 5c). For example, in southeastern US watersheds, temperature was interpreted as a strong explanatory variable in predicting percent N export (Schaefer and Alber, 2007), while in the western US (Schaefer et al., 2009), Baltic Sea basin (Hong et al., 2012) and European watersheds (Howarth et al., 2012), there was no direct evidence that temperature was an important factor controlling N export. Although some studies (Schaefer and Alber, 2007) suggested that the negative relationship between N export and temperature was due to the effect of increased denitrification rates, there may be an alternative explanation (Swaney et al., 2012) that it is due to correlation of temperature with other indicators such as evapotranspiration. In our study, watershed temperature showed a positive relationship with precipitation ($R^2 = 0.62$, $P < 0.001$) and discharge ($R^2 = 0.60$, $P < 0.001$), so it is not surprising to find that temperature also showed a positive relationship with percent AN export in our study.

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

The potential role of dams has also been addressed in previous studies (Dynesius and Nilsson, 1994; Nilsson et al., 2005; Schaefer and Alber, 2007). Our result suggests that the number of dams built to fully utilize regional water resources would greatly prolong the nitrogen residence time within aquatic ecosystems, and ultimately decrease the percent AN export (Fig. 5d). However, due to highly artificial control and the fact that dams have impacts on both water quantity and quality, other indicators (e.g., volume of dammed reservoirs; Fig. 5e) were not explicitly correlated with percent AN export in our analysis.

The modes of N delivery would also affect the percent AN export (Fig. 5f). High proportions of anthropogenic N from point sources would significantly increase percent AN export because they are directly discharged into streams.

The most striking result found in our study was that the percentages of cropland and urban area are negatively correlated with the percent of NANI exported as riverine AN (Fig. 5g and h). One might interpret this to suggest that human-activities related to these land uses hinder AN export. This can be misleading, considering that human activities are responsible for introducing reactive N to the region. A possible cause for this relationship is permanent water loss due to consumption (containing N) in irrigation, drinking water and other uses. According to the Huai River Water Resources Bulletin of 2010, the amount of permanent water loss (e.g., via evaporation) had increased to 39.42 billion t, which accounts for nearly 50 % of total water resources (85.96 billion t) in the region. Therefore, the water consumption by human activities may likely be a very important factor of nitrogen removal.

In sum, from our results we can classify the major influential factors of AN export into: biological nitrification/denitrification (represented by slope and discharge), water consumption (represented by percentages of cropland and urban area), modes of N delivery (represented by $NANI_p$/NANI) and impact of dams (represented by numbers of major dams).

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

3.4 Implications for percent TN export

In addition to the AN export, estimation of percent TN export would provide useful information to compare with other watersheds, and address more interesting questions regarding the fate of anthropogenic N and the roles of climate and human activities (van Breemen et al., 2002). The average value of proportion of NANI exported as riverine TN fluxes from a region can be estimated from the slope of regression line of NANI vs. riverine N exports (Swaney et al., 2012; Howarth et al., 1996, 2006, 2012).

The result reported in our study (an average of 0.91 % of NANI exported from Huai River Basin as AN) is far below global average total nitrogen export ratio (25 %) (Galloway et al., 2004) and the US watersheds (24 %) (Swaney et al., 2012), even though AN is often considered as a small part of total nitrogen. It should be acknowledged that the N export ratios outside this 24–25 % range have been reported in other parts of the world, possibly because different mechanisms become dominant factors controlling N export. TN export from Huron River of Michigan (Bosch and Allan, 2008), Oldman River of Canada (Rock and Mayer, 2006) and Jurong Reservoir watershed of China (Kimura et al., 2012) accounted for 8, 1.7 and 1 % of the anthropogenic N input respectively, relatively close to our observed proportional export. In our watershed, the dominant factors controlling N export may due to high consumption of water resource (containing N) and high disturbance by the dams (Liu and Xia, 2004).

Although we lack long-term riverine monitoring data of total nitrogen for all the watersheds, we could roughly estimate percent TN export by reviewing other studies reporting the proportional relationship between AN and total N. Studies from relatively undisturbed watersheds indicated that AN in rivers usually account for about 10 % (or even less) of total nitrogen (Li et al., 2009; Singh et al., 2005), while it can be higher than 70 % in urban or heavily polluted rivers in Asia (Li et al., 2014; Pernet-Coudrier et al., 2012). Evidence from the long-term monitoring studies in the mainstream of Huai River revealed that ammonia-nitrogen was the major form of dissolved nitrogen before 2000 (Mao et al., 2003). However, pollution management, especially in treatment of

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

sewage and other sources of organic pollutants, have greatly reduced the possibility of riverine environments being suitable for the persistence of AN (Huai River Commission, 2010). Long-term monitoring data from three inflow stations of Hongze, Nansi and Suya Lake in the Huai River Basin show that ammonia-nitrogen had a close relationship with total nitrogen ($P < 0.001$) and accounted for roughly 20 to 50 % of total nitrogen (see Part V of Supplement). Hence, we could estimate the value of percent TN export in the Huai River Basin is about 1.8 ~ 4.5 %.

Although the result is only approximate since the percentage of AN to TN in rivers is highly dependent on the seasons and pollution sources, our value is comparable with a global study from Tysmans et al. (2013), who addressed why the percent TN export in Huai River is significantly lower than in other watersheds: high consumption of water resources and the construction of a large number of dams would significantly reduce the percent TN export. The value they estimated is about 0 ~ 2 %, but we think our value of 1.8 ~ 4.5 % is more reasonable considering differences in N accounting methodologies and accuracy of the data.

3.5 Parameters and sensitivity analysis

Accounting for the point and nonpoint source components of anthropogenic N input would increase the complexity of its estimation. Since point and non-point sources both significantly impact riverine ammonia-nitrogen flux via different pathways, our anthropogenic N calculation that explicitly estimates the point vs. nonpoint contributions could serve as a foundation for further exploration of anthropogenic effects on N pollution.

However, considering the fact that some data (such as the percentage of treated sewage (I_{sew})) used in $NANI_p$ calculation are not easily collected, some questions emerge: are the parameters necessary to estimate point N input? When we remove the parameters, how does the value of estimated point N change? What kind of watersheds would easily be influenced by the removal of specific components? To answer these questions, the main components (I_{sew}, N_{ind} and N_{urban}) in Eq. (4) were each removed one at a time to determine the effect of being excluded from the NANI calcu-

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

lation (Table 4). By replacing the values of N_{urban}, N_{ind} and I_{sew} in Eq. (4) with 0 one at a time, we found that $NANI_P$ was changed by of −81, −19 and 40 %, respectively. Obviously, domestic N discharges are important components of $NANI_p$ estimation, and show the largest impact on the headwater watersheds (No. 2, No. 4 and No. 1) when excluded from $NANI_p$ estimation. Followed by N_{urban}, estimated $NANI_p$ that ignored the role of sewage treatment sysytems (by setting I_{sew} to 0) would cause a larger error in the watersheds with high point discharge and high rate of treated sewage (No. 21, No. 24 and No. 12). The least important component of $NANI_p$ estimation is N_{ind}. Removal of N_{ind} would affect the watersheds with high industrial discharge (No. 15, No. 9 and No. 3).

We also analyzed the sensitivity of NANI to input sources (Fig. 6), since as discussed by Hong et al. (2013) and Swaney et al. (2014), determination of the sensitivity of anthropogenic N inputs (both point N and non-point N) would help target N management appropriately (e.g., waste treatment vs. fertilizer management) by providing first-order estimates of the relative importance of different sources of N loading to a watershed. For the non-point source N component of NANI, by far the most sensitive input terms of $NANI_n$ in the Huai River Basin is fertilizer application, followed by feed N, crop N, atmospheric N deposition and finally biological N fixation. We found feed N is the second sensitive input sources to $NANI_n$, indicating that N intake by livestock is very important N source. Hence, the priority strategies of N management in non-point system in the Huai River Basin should be focused on the reduction of fertilizer application rate, manipulation of dietary N intake by animals, and management of manure.

For the point-source N component of NANI, the sensitivity of urban domestic N discharge to $NANI_p$ is higher than that of industrial N discharge, indicating that decreased domestic N discharge is more important to point source N management. The result is consistent with a recent government report (Ministry of Environmental Protection of China, 2010) that states urban domestic point source N input accounts for about 75 % of total load of point source. High sensitivities of N removal rate (I_{rem}) and treated sewage effluent (W_{sew}) suggest that focusing on building more sewage treatment facilities to increase N recycling and improving technology of sewage plants to enhance N removal would be effective management strategies.

4 Conclusions

This work contributes to existing understanding of human-induced N pollution by differentiating the common NANI methodology into two parts (point and non-point sources of N inputs) and extending the analysis to AN. The results show that multi-year average (2003–2010) NANI in Huai River Basin are 27 200 ± 1100 kg N km^{-2} yr^{-1}. N inputs from point sources have been shown to be a much more important explanatory variable of riverine AN export than non-point N, although they only account for about 2 % of NANI. By examining the influence of N sources, we found that major N sources, such as fertilizer application, point N input and atmospheric N deposition, directly impacted the AN flux in rivers. This result indicates that a source-based model can be used to predict AN fluxes in rivers. Further evaluation of the driving or mitigating factors affecting AN export revealed that biological denitrification, permanent water consumption, modes of N delivery and interception of dams also influence the N sources exported as riverine AN flux. Our results highlight the importance of attributing anthropogenic N inputs to point and non-point sources since this provides useful information relevant to N management. For the purpose of constructing a more accurate model of riverine N export, future work should have more emphasis on the study of the driving or hindering factors of N loss from anthropogenic sources.

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/bgd-12-3577-2015-supplement.

Acknowledgements. This study was financially supported by the Thirteen Five-Year Plan of Chinese Academy of Science (No. YSW2013B02), State Key Laboratory of Urban and Re-

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015
Anthropogenic point and non-point nitrogen inputs
W. S. Zhang et al.

gional Ecology scientific project (No. SKLURE2013-1-05) and National Natural Science Foundation (No. 41171395). The authors wish to express their gratitude to the China Scholarship Council (201408110138) for funding the visiting venture that generated this paper, and to Huai River Basin Water Resources Protection Bureau and Hydrologic Information Center of Huai River Commission for providing water quality and hydrological data.

References

Bai, X. and Shi, P.: Pollution control: in China's Huai River Basin: what lessons for sustainability?, Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development, 48, 22–38, doi:10.3200/envt.48.7.22-38, 2006.

Bao, X., Watanabe, M., Wang, Q., Hayashi, S., and Liu, J.: Nitrogen budgets of agricultural fields of the Changjiang River basin from 1980 to 1990, Sci. Total Environ., 363, 136–148, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.06.029, 2006.

Billen, G., Garnier, J., and Lassaletta, L.: The nitrogen cascade from agricultural soils to the sea: modelling nitrogen transfers at regional watershed and global scales, Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B, 368, 1–13, doi:10.1098/rstb.2013.0123, 2013.

Bosch, N. S. and Allan, J. D.: The influence of impoundments on nutrient budgets in two catchments of Southeastern Michigan, Biogeochemistry, 87, 325–338, doi:10.1007/s10533-008-9187-6, 2008.

Boyer, E. W., Goodale, C. L., Jaworski, N. A., and Howarth, R. W.: Anthropogenic nitrogen sources and relationships to riverine nitrogen export in the northeastern U.S.A., Biogeochemistry, 57–58, 137–169, doi:10.1023/a:1015709302073, 2002.

Carpenter, S. R., Caraco, N. F., Correll, D. L., Howarth, R. W., Sharpley, A. N., and Smith, V. H.: Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen, Ecol. Appl., 8, 559–568, doi:10.1890/1051-0761(1998)008[0559:nposww]2.0.co;2, 1998.

Chen, D., Huang, H., Hu, M., and Dahlgren, R.: Influence of lag effect, soil release, and climate change on watershed anthropogenic nitrogen inputs and riverine export dynamics, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 5683–5690, doi:10.1021/es500127t, 2014.

David, M. B. and Gentry, L. E.: Anthropogenic inputs of nitrogen and phosphorus and riverine export for Illinois, USA, J. Environ. Qual., 29, 494–508, doi:10.2134/jeq2000.00472425002900020018x, 2000.

Du, W., Ti, C., Jiang, X., and Chen, G.: Balance and pollution potential of nitrogen in a typical rice based agricultural watershed of Yangtze River Delta Region, J. Ecol. Rural Environ., 26, 9–14, 2010.
Dynesius, M. and Nilsson, C.: Fragmentation and flow regulation of river systems in the northern third of the world, Science, 266, 753–762, 1994.
Fangmeier, A., Hadwiger-Fangmeier, A., Van der Eerden, L., and Jäger, H.-J.: Effects of atmospheric ammonia on vegetation – a review, Environ. Pollut., 86, 43–82, doi:10.1016/0269-7491(94)90008-6, 1994.
Galloway, J. N., Dentener, F. J., Capone, D. G., Boyer, E. W., Howarth, R. W., Seitzinger, S. P., Asner, G. P., Cleveland, C. C., Green, P. A., Holland, E. A., Karl, D. M., Michaels, A. F., Porter, J. H., Townsend, A. R., and Vöosmarty, C. J.: Nitrogen cycles: past, present, and future, Biogeochemistry, 70, 153–226, doi:10.1007/s10533-004-0370-0, 2004.
Galloway, J. N., Townsend, A. R., Erisman, J. W., Bekunda, M., Cai, Z., Freney, J. R., Martinelli, L. A., Seitzinger, S. P., and Sutton, M. A.: Transformation of the nitrogen cycle: recent trends, questions, and potential solutions, Science, 320, 889–892, doi:10.1126/science.1136674, 2008.
Gao, W., Howarth, R. W., Hong, B., Swaney, D. P., and Guo, H. C.: Estimating net anthropogenic nitrogen inputs (NANI) in the Lake Dianchi basin of China, Biogeosciences, 11, 4577–4586, doi:10.5194/bg-11-4577-2014, 2014.
Gu, B., Chang, J., Min, Y., Ge, Y., Zhu, Q., Galloway, J. N., and Peng, C.: The role of industrial nitrogen in the global nitrogen biogeochemical cycle, Sci. Rep., 3, doi:10.1038/srep02579, 2013.
Han, H. and Allan, J. D.: Estimation of nitrogen inputs to catchments: comparison of methods and consequences for riverine export prediction, Biogeochemistry, 91, 177–199, doi:10.1007/s10533-008-9279-3, 2008.
Han, H. and Allan, J. D.: Uneven rise in N inputs to the Lake Michigan Basin over the 20th century corresponds to agricultural and societal transitions, Biogeochemistry, 109, 175–187, doi:10.1007/s10533-011-9618-7, 2012.
Han, H., Allan, J. D., and Scavia, D.: Influence of climate and human activities on the relationship between watershed nitrogen input and river export, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 1916–1922, doi:10.1021/es801985x, 2009.
Han, Y., Li, X., and Nan, Z.: Net anthropogenic nitrogen accumulation in the Beijing metropolitan region, Environ. Sci. Pollut. R., 18, 485–496, doi:10.1007/s11356-010-0394-z, 2011.

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

Anthropogenic point and non-point nitrogen inputs

W. S. Zhang et al.

Han, Y., Fan, Y., Yang, P., Wang, X., Wang, Y., Tian, J., Xu, L., and Wang, C.: Net anthropogenic nitrogen inputs (NANI) index application in Mainland China, Geoderma, 213, 87–94, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2013.07.019, 2014.

Hong, B., Swaney, D. P., and Howarth, R. W.: A toolbox for calculating net anthropogenic nitrogen inputs (NANI), Environ. Modell. Softw., 26, 623–633, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2010.11.012, 2011.

Hong, B., Swaney, D. P., Mörth, C.-M., Smedberg, E., Eriksson Hägg, H., Humborg, C., Howarth, R. W., and Bouraoui, F.: Evaluating regional variation of net anthropogenic nitrogen and phosphorus inputs (NANI/NAPI), major drivers, nutrient retention pattern and management implications in the multinational areas of Baltic Sea basin, Ecol. Model., 227, 117–135, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2011.12.002, 2012.

Hong, B., Swaney, D. P., and Howarth, R. W.: Estimating net anthropogenic nitrogen inputs to U.S. watersheds: comparison of methodologies, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 5199–5207, doi:10.1021/es303437c, 2013.

Howarth, R.: An assessment of human influences on fluxes of nitrogen from the terrestrial landscape to the estuaries and continental shelves of the North Atlantic Ocean, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 52, 213–223, doi:10.1023/a:1009784210657, 1998.

Howarth, R., Billen, G., Swaney, D., Townsend, A., Jaworski, N., Lajtha, K., Downing, J., Elmgren, R., Caraco, N., Jordan, T., Berendse, F., Freney, J., Kudeyarov, V., Murdoch, P., and Zhao-Liang, Z.: Regional nitrogen budgets and riverine N & P fluxes for the drainages to the North Atlantic Ocean: natural and human influences, Biogeochemistry, 35, 75–139, doi:10.1007/bf02179825, 1996.

Howarth, R., Swaney, D., Billen, G., Garnier, J., Hong, B., Humborg, C., Johnes, P., Mörth, C.-M., and Marino, R.: Nitrogen fluxes from the landscape are controlled by net anthropogenic nitrogen inputs and by climate, Front. Ecol. Environ., 10, 37–43, doi:10.1890/100178, 2012.

Howarth, R., Swaney, D., Boyer, E., Marino, R., Jaworski, N., and Goodale, C.: The influence of climate on average nitrogen export from large watersheds in the Northeastern United States, Biogeochemistry, 79, 163–186, doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-5517-1_8, 2006.

Huang, H., Chen, D., Zhang, B., Zeng, L., and Dahlgren, R. A.: Modeling and forecasting riverine dissolved inorganic nitrogen export using anthropogenic nitrogen inputs, hydroclimate, and land-use change, J. Hydrol., 517, 95–104, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.05.024, 2014.

Jin, L., Zhang, G., and Tian, H.: Current state of sewage treatment in China, Water Res., 66C, 85–98, doi:10.1016/j.watres.2014.08.014, 2014.

Jones, D. B.: Factors for converting percentages of nitrogen in foods and feeds into percentages of proteins, US Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC, 1941.
Kimura, S. D., Yan, X.-Y., Hatano, R., Hayakawa, A., Kohyama, K., Ti, C.-P., Deng, M.-H., Hojito, M., Itahashi, S., Kuramochi, K., Cai, Z.-C., and Saito, M.: Influence of agricultural activity on nitrogen budget in Chinese and Japanese watersheds, Pedosphere, 22, 137–151, doi:10.1016/s1002-0160(12)60001-0, 2012.
Li, S. and Jin, J.: Characteristics of nutrient input/output and nutrient balance in different regions of China, Scientia Agricultura Sinica, 44, 4207–4229, doi:10.3864/j.issn.0578-1752.2011.20.009, 2011.
Li, S., Cheng, X., Xu, Z., Han, H., and Zhang, Q.: Spatial and temporal patterns of the water quality in the Danjiangkou Reservoir, China, Hydrolog. Sci. J., 54, 124–134, doi:10.1623/hysj.54.1.124, 2009.
Li, W., Li, X., Su, J., and Zhao, H.: Sources and mass fluxes of the main contaminants in a heavily polluted and modified river of the North China Plain, Environ. Sci. Pollut. R., 21, 5678–5688, doi:10.1007/s11356-013-2461-8, 2014.
Liu, C. and Xia, J.: Water problems and hydrological research in the Yellow River and the Huai and Hai River basins of China, Hydrol. Process., 18, 2197–2210, doi:10.1002/hyp.5524, 2004.
Lu, R., Liu, H., Wen, D., Qin, S., Zhen, J., and Wang, Z.: Nutrient cycling and balance of agricultural ecosystem in different typical regions of China. II Parameters of nutrient input to farm land, Chinese Journal of Soil Science, 27, 151–154, 1996.
Ma, J., Ding, Z., Wei, G., Zhao, H., and Huang, T.: Sources of water pollution and evolution of water quality in the Wuwei basin of Shiyang river, Northwest China, J. Environ. Manage., 90, 1168–1177, doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2008.05.007, 2009.
Mao, J., Zhu, J., and Xiao, J.: The relationship between nitrogen contamination and dissolved oxygen in mainstream of the Huai River, Environmental Monitoring in China, 19, 41–43, 2003.
McIsaac, G. F., David, M. B., Gertner, G. Z., and Goolsby, D. A.: Eutrophication: nitrate flux in the Mississippi River, Nature, 414, 166–167, doi:10.1038/35102672, 2001.
McIsaac, G. F., David, M. B., Gertner, G. Z., and Goolsby, D. A.: Relating net nitrogen input in the Mississippi River Basin to nitrate flux in the Lower Mississippi River, J. Environ. Qual., 31, 1610–1622, doi:10.2134/jeq2002.1610, 2002.

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

Anthropogenic point and non-point nitrogen inputs

W. S. Zhang et al.

Ministry of Environmental Protection of China: Environmental Quality Standards for Surface Water (GB 3838-2002), China Environmental Science Press, Beijing, 1–9, 2002.

Ministry of Environmental Protection of China: 12th five year planning outline for the Huaihe River Basin, available at: http://www.mep.gov.cn/gkml/hbb/bgt/201012/t20101206_198326.htm, 2010.

Huai River Commission: Water Resources Bulletin of Huai River Basin, Bengbu, 1–32, 2010.

Nilsson, C., Reidy, C. A., Dynesius, M., and Revenga, C.: Fragmentation and flow regulation of the world's large river systems, Science, 308, 405–408, doi:10.1126/science.1107887, 2005.

Ohara, T., Akimoto, H., Kurokawa, J., Horii, N., Yamaji, K., Yan, X., and Hayasaka, T.: An Asian emission inventory of anthropogenic emission sources for the period 1980–2020, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4419–4444, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4419-2007, 2007.

Pernet-Coudrier, B., Qi, W., Liu, H., Muller, B., and Berg, M.: Sources and pathways of nutrients in the semi-arid region of Beijing-Tianjin, China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 5294–5301, doi:10.1021/es3004415, 2012.

Prospero, J. M., Barrett, K., Church, T., Dentener, F., Duce, R. A., Galloway, J. N., Levy II, H., Moody, J., and Quinn, P.: Atmospheric deposition of nutrients to the North Atlantic Basin, Biogeochemistry, 35, 27–73, doi:10.1007/bf02179824, 1996.

Qiu, Y., Shi, H. C., and He, M.: Nitrogen and phosphorous removal in municipal wastewater treatment plants in China: a review, International J. Chem. Engin., 2010, 1–10, doi:10.1155/2010/914159, 2010.

Rock, L. and Mayer, B.: Nitrogen budget for the Oldman River Basin, southern Alberta, Canada, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 75, 147–162, doi:10.1007/s10705-006-9018-x, 2006.

Runkel, R. L., Crawford, C. G., and Cohn, T. A.: Load Estimator (LOADEST): a FORTRAN program for estimating constituent loads in streams and rivers, US Department of the Interior, US Geological Survey, 2004.

Schaefer, S. and Alber, M.: Temperature controls a latitudinal gradient in the proportion of watershed nitrogen exported to coastal ecosystems, Biogeochemistry, 85, 333–346, doi:10.1007/s10533-007-9144-9, 2007.

Schaefer, S., Hollibaugh, J., and Alber, M.: Watershed nitrogen input and riverine export on the west coast of the US, Biogeochemistry, 93, 219–233, doi:10.1007/s10533-009-9299-7, 2009.

BGD

12, 3577–3615, 2015

Schlesinger, W. and Hartley, A.: A global budget for atmospheric NH_3, Biogeochemistry, 15, 191–211, doi:10.1007/bf00002936, 1992.
Shao, M., Tang, X., Zhang, Y., and Li, W.: City clusters in China: air and surface water pollution, Front. Ecol. Environ., 4, 353–361, doi:10.1890/1540-9295(2006)004[0353:CCICAA]2.0.CO;2, 2006.
Singh, K. P., Malik, A., and Sinha, S.: Water quality assessment and apportionment of pollution sources of Gomti river (India) using multivariate statistical techniques – a case study, Anal. Chim. Acta, 538, 355–374, doi:10.1016/j.aca.2005.02.006, 2005.
Sobota, D. J., Compton, J. E., and Harrison, J. A.: Reactive nitrogen inputs to US lands and waterways: how certain are we about sources and fluxes?, Front. Ecol. Environ., 11, 82–90, doi:10.1890/110216, 2013.
Swaney, D. P., Hong, B., Ti, C., Howarth, R. W., and Humborg, C.: Net anthropogenic nitrogen inputs to watersheds and riverine N export to coastal waters: a brief overview, Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability, 4, 203–211, doi:10.1016/j.cosust.2012.03.004, 2012.
Swaney, D. P., Hong, B., Selvam, P., Howarth, R. W., Ramesh, R., and Ramachandran, P.: Net anthropogenic nitrogen inputs and nitrogen fluxes from Indian watersheds: an initial assessment, J. Marine Syst., 141, 45–58, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2014.09.004, 2015.
Ti, C., Pan, J., Xia, Y., and Yan, X.: A nitrogen budget of mainland China with spatial and temporal variation, Biogeochemistry, 108, 381–394, doi:10.1007/s10533-011-9606-y, 2011.
Tysmans, D. J. J., Löhr, A. J., Kroeze, C., Ivens, W. P. M. F., and van Wijnen, J.: Spatial and temporal variability of nutrient retention in river basins: a global inventory, Ecol. Indic., 34, 607–615, doi:10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.06.022, 2013.
van Breemen, N., Boyer, E. W., Goodale, C. L., Jaworski, N. A., Paustian, K., Seitzinger, S. P., Lajtha, K., Mayer, B., van Dam, D., Howarth, R. W., Nadelhoffer, K. J., Eve, M., and Billen, G.: Where did all the nitrogen go? Fate of nitrogen inputs to large watersheds in the northeastern U.S.A., Biogeochemistry, 57–58, 267–293, doi:10.1023/a:1015775225913, 2002.
Van Drecht, G., Bouwman, A. F., Harrison, J., and Knoop, J. M.: Global nitrogen and phosphate in urban wastewater for the period 1970 to 2050, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 23, 1–19, doi:10.1029/2009gb003458, 2009.
Van Horn, H.: Factors affecting manure quantity, quality, and use, in: Proceedings of the midsouth ruminant nutrition conference, Dallas, Ft. Worth, 7–8 May 1998, 113–125, 1998.

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

Wei, J., Ma, L., Lu, G., Ma, W., Li, J., and Zhao, L.: The influence of urbanization on nitrogen flow and recycling utilization in food consumption system of China, Acta Ecologica Sinica, 28, 1016–1025, 2008.

Xia, J., Zhang, Y. Y., Zhan, C., and Ye, A. Z.: Water quality management in China: the case of the Huai River Basin, Int. J. Water Resour. D., 27, 167–180, doi:10.1080/07900627.2010.531453, 2011.

Yan, W., Mayorga, E., Li, X., Seitzinger, S. P., and Bouwman, A. F.: Increasing anthropogenic nitrogen inputs and riverine DIN exports from the Changjiang River basin under changing human pressures, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 24, 1–14, doi:10.1029/2009gb003575, 2010.

Yang, Y. X., Wang, Y., and Pan, X.: China Food Ingredient Table, Peking Univeisity Medical Press, Beijing, 2009.

Yang, Y. L., X, Fan, C., and Zhang, L.: Characteristics of industrial wastewater discharge in a typical district of Taihu watershed: a case study of Liyang City, Jiangsu Province, Journal of Lake Sciences, 15, 139–146, 2003.

Zhang, S., Wang, Z., Gai, S., Xingwen, M., and Liu, G.: Studied on the absorption of peanut for N fertilizer and the N supplying from soil and nodule bacteria, Journal of Laiyang Agricultural College, 6, 21–27, 1989.

BGD

12, 3577–3615, 2015

Anthropogenic point and non-point nitrogen inputs

W. S. Zhang et al.

Table 1. Biofixation rate and values used for calculating N fixation in HRB ($kg\,ha^{-1}\,yr^{-1}$).

Type	Range of published fixation rate in China (Li and Jin, 2011)	Value used in this calculation
Symbiotic N fixation		
Soybeans	56.9–180	128.5 (Lu et al., 1996)
Peanuts	45–100	95.6 (Zhang et al., 1989)
Non-symbiotic N fixation		
Paddy	30–62	30 (Du et al., 2010)
Upland	15	15 (Bao et al., 2006; Lu et al., 1996)

Table 2. N content of agricultural crop production (Yang et al., 2009).

Parameter	Corn	Wheat	Paddy	Potatoes	Cabbage	Orange	Plum	Pear	Apple	Peach	Peanut	Soybean
Protein (%)	8.8	11.2	7.4	1.1	1.7	0.8	0.7	0.4	0.2	0.9	12.1	35.1
N ($g\,kg^{-1}$)	14.08	17.92	11.84	1.76	2.72	1.28	1.12	0.64	0.32	1.44	19.36	59.16

Table 3. Average N inputs to Huai River basin during 2003–2010 (mean ± SD, $kg\,N\,km^{-2}\,yr^{-1}$).

Sub-basin	$NANI_n$					$NANI_p$		NANI
	$NANI_n$	N_{chem}	N_{dep}	N_{fix}	$N_{r\text{-}im}$	$NANI_p$	AN_p	
Upper	22 515 ± 1054	14 766 ± 720	3675 ± 149	1785 ± 40	2288 ± 1825	307 ± 12	182 ± 16	22 822 ± 1045
Middle	25 871 ± 1548	17 655 ± 1231	5092 ± 228	2192 ± 23	932 ± 2280	521 ± 56	307 ± 26	26 392 ± 1053
Lower	26 030 ± 440	20 591 ± 1393	5535 ± 257	1511 ± 84	1596 ± 1193	743 ± 97	391 ± 31	26 773 ± 471
Yishusi	29 769 ± 1156	21 190 ± 688	6805 ± 515	1610 ± 153	164 ± 1320	591 ± 61	297 ± 18	30 560 ± 1100
HRB	26 644 ± 1172	18 687 ± 1002	5480 ± 273	1900 ± 61	576 ± 1825	542 ± 48	300 ± 20	27 186 ± 1129

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

Table 4. Percent change in point N input ($NANI_p$) resulting from component removal.

Main components	Percent change in $NANI_p$ resulting from component removal (mean ± SD)	Three watersheds with the largest variation in $NANI_p$	Three watersheds with the smallest variation in $NANI_p$
Domestic N discharge (N_{urban})	−81 %±0.11	No. 2 (97 %) No. 4 (97 %) No. 1 (94 %)	No. 15 (−52 %) No. 9 (−57 %) No. 21 (−71 %)
Industrial N discharge (N_{ind})	−19 %±0.11	No. 15 (−48 %) No. 9 (−43 %) No. 21 (−29 %)	No. 2 (−3 %) No. 4 (−3 %) No. 1 (−6 %)
Percentage of sewage effluent (I_{sew})	40 %±0.26	No. 21 (123 %) No. 24 (79 %) No. 12 (61 %)	No. 9 (6 %) No. 15 (12 %) No. 3 (17 %)

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper |

BGD

12, 3577–3615, 2015

Anthropogenic point and non-point nitrogen inputs

W. S. Zhang et al.



Upper reaches		10	Mamen	YiShuSi reaches	
1	Changtaiguan	11*	Huaxing	21	Houying
2	Nanwan	12	Jieshou	22*	Nansihu
3	Zhuganpu	13	Lutaizi	23	Linyi
4	Xixian	14	Jiangji	24	Daguangzhuan
5	Huaibin	15	Hengpaitou	25*	Luomahu
6	Wangjiaba	16	Bengbu	26*	Ruhaiheliu
7	Bantai	17	Xiaoliuxiang	Lower reaches	
8	Suiping	18*	Shuangou	27*	Liyunhe
9	Yangzhuang	19	Sihong		
Middle reaches		20*	Hongzehu		

Figure 1. The boundaries of the 27 watersheds used in constructing N budgets. We did not have sufficient monitoring data for watersheds 11, 18, 20, 22, 25, 26 and 27 (labeled with a asterisk). The following AN flux analysis does not include these seven watersheds.



Figure 2. Diagram of major components of net anthropogenic nitrogen inputs (NANI) and exports from a watershed ecosystem (revised from Swaney et al., 2012). Within a watershed ecosystem there are two kinds of input sub-systems: non-point and point systems, with large differences in the modes of N delivery. In the non-point source system, the pollutants can be added into the river network in indirect routes, such as rainfall–runoff, leaching, etc. In the point source input system, the pollutants usually are discharged directly into river systems. The solid blue arrows in the figure represent anthropogenic nitrogen flows, and dotted blue arrows indicate an internal cycle of nitrogen within the watershed ecosystem. The solid red arrows represent the nitrogen flows directly into river systems. The dotted red arrows indicate indirect nitrogen flows.

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015



Figure 3. Average net anthropogenic nitrogen inputs and riverine ammonia-nitrogen flux flow in Huai River Basin of China.



Figure 4. Linkage of AN flux with different N sources.

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

Anthropogenic point and non-point nitrogen inputs

W. S. Zhang et al.

Title Page
Abstract | Introduction
Conclusions | References
Tables | Figures
◀| |▶
◀ ▶
Back | Close
Full Screen / Esc
Printer-friendly Version
Interactive Discussion



Figure 5. Regressions between AN export as a percent of NANI (%) and individual independent variables across the subbasins of the Huai River Basin ($n = 20$).

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

Anthropogenic point and non-point nitrogen inputs

W. S. Zhang et al.



Figure 6. Sensitivity of major input terms calculated from 27 watersheds in Huai River Basin (mean ± SD). Sensitivities were calculated by applying ±10 % change in input terms of NANI. We did not test the uncertainty and sensitivity of parameters used in NANI_n estimation, since many other similar studies clearly discussed these for all of the parameters (e.g. Swaney et al., 2014; Hong et al., 2013; Sobota et al., 2013).

BGD
12, 3577–3615, 2015

Anthropogenic point and non-point nitrogen inputs

W. S. Zhang et al.

Title Page
Abstract | Introduction
Conclusions | References
Tables | Figures
◄ | ►
◄ | ►
Back | Close
Full Screen / Esc
Printer-friendly Version
Interactive Discussion