

1 **Geographic and seasonal variation of dissolved methane** 2 **and aerobic methane oxidation in Alaskan lakes**

3

4 **K. Martinez-Cruz^{1,2}, A. Sepulveda-Jauregui², K. Walter Anthony² and F.**
5 **Thalasso^{1,2}**

6 [1] {Biotechnology and Bioengineering Department, Cinvestav, 07360 Mexico City, D.F.,
7 Mexico}

8 [2] {Water and Environmental Research Center, University of Alaska Fairbanks, P. O. Box
9 5860, 99775 Fairbanks, Alaska, USA}

10 Correspondence to: F. Thalasso, (thalasso@cinvestav.mx)

11

12 **Abstract**

13 Methanotrophic bacteria play an important role oxidizing a significant fraction of methane
14 (CH₄) produced in lakes. Aerobic CH₄ oxidation depends mainly on lake CH₄ and oxygen
15 (O₂) concentrations, in such manner that higher MO rates are usually found at the oxic/anoxic
16 interface, where both molecules are present. MO also depends on temperature, and via
17 methanogenesis, on organic carbon input to lakes, including from thawing permafrost in
18 thermokarst (thaw)-affected lakes.

19 Given the large variability in these environmental factors, CH₄ oxidation is expected to be
20 subject to large seasonal and geographic variations, which have been scarcely reported in the
21 literature. In the present study, we measured CH₄ oxidation rates in 30 Alaskan lakes along a
22 north-south latitudinal transect during winter and summer with a new field laser spectroscopy
23 method. Additionally, we measured dissolved CH₄ and O₂ concentrations. We found that in
24 the winter, aerobic CH₄ oxidation was mainly controlled by the dissolved O₂ concentration,
25 while in the summer it was controlled primarily by the CH₄ concentration, which was scarce
26 compared to dissolved O₂. The permafrost environment of the lakes was identified as another
27 key factor. Thermokarst (thaw) lakes formed in yedoma-type permafrost had significantly
28 higher CH₄ oxidation rates compared to other thermokarst and non-thermokarst lakes formed
29 in non-yedoma permafrost environments. As thermokarst lakes formed in yedoma-type

1 permafrost have been identified to receive large quantities of terrestrial organic carbon from
2 thaw and subsidence of the surrounding landscape into the lake, these results confirm that
3 coupling of terrestrial and aquatic habitats.

4 1 Introduction

5 Freshwater ecosystems are an important source of atmospheric CH₄, responsible for 6–16% of
6 global emission to the atmosphere (Bastviken et al., 2011). Northern lakes are responsible for
7 as much as 6% of these global CH₄ emissions (Walter et al., 2007). Methane emission from
8 aquatic ecosystems is significantly mitigated by CH₄ oxidation (MO) by aerobic
9 methanotrophs, a group of gram-negative bacteria that use CH₄ as a carbon and energy source
10 (Murrell et al., 1993; Trotsenko and Murrell, 2008). It has been estimated that globally, 30 to
11 99% of total CH₄ produced in freshwater ecosystems is microbiologically oxidized in the
12 water column rather than being released to the atmosphere (Bastviken et al., 2002; Thauer et
13 al., 2008). Likewise, MO plays an important role in northern lakes specifically by oxidizing
14 up to 88% of the CH₄ production (Kankaala et al., 2006, 2007; Bastviken et al., 2008; Bellido
15 et al., 2011). MO is therefore a pathway that reincorporates a significant fraction of the CH₄-C
16 produced into the biogeochemical carbon cycle within lakes. As recently demonstrated using
17 stable isotopes, after assimilating CH₄, methanotrophs are incorporated into the lake food web
18 by zooplankton (Kankaala et al., 2006; Jones and Grey, 2011), *Daphnia magna* (Taipale et al.,
19 2012), *Odonata spp.* (Seifert and Scheu, 2012), and *Chironomus larvae* (Gentzel et al., 2012;
20 Wooller et al., 2012), among others.

21 Several environmental factors directly affect aerobic MO in freshwater ecosystems. First,
22 methanotrophy depends on the availability of both CH₄ and O₂. Higher MO rates are usually
23 found at the oxic/anoxic interface, where both CH₄ and O₂ are present (Utsumi et al., 1998a,
24 1998b; Bastviken et al., 2002; Liikanen et al., 2002; Carini et al., 2005; Schubert et al., 2010).
25 In turn, CH₄ and O₂ concentrations depend on numerous other processes involved in
26 biogeochemical carbon cycling (Fig. 1). Among these, the most important are methanogenesis
27 producing CH₄, primary production and atmospheric diffusion supplying O₂, and several
28 aerobic metabolic processes that compete with MO for available O₂ (Dzyuban, 2010).

29 In addition to autochthonous and allochthonous carbon inputs to lakes, permafrost thaw can
30 provide an additional source of labile organic carbon to fuel methanogenesis and carbon
31 mineralization in thermokarst (thaw) lakes (Zimov et al., 1997; Walter et al., 2006). MO in
32 northern regions is therefore directly and indirectly linked to permafrost type and landscape

1 processes that are highly variable. Permafrost ranges from sporadic to continuous and is also
2 variable in composition (Jorgenson et al., 2008). Yedoma-type permafrost is an organic-rich
3 (about 2% carbon by mass) Pleistocene-age permafrost with ice content of 50–90% by
4 volume (Zimov et al., 2006), which occurs mainly in the previously unglaciated regions of
5 Siberia, Alaska, and NW Canada (Czudek and Demek, 1970; Walter et al., 2007; Kanevskiy
6 et al., 2011; Grosse et al., 2013). Non-yedoma permafrost is characterized by thinner ice-rich
7 horizons and have a more widespread distribution (Ping et al., 2008; Tarnocai et al., 2009;
8 Hugelius et al. 2014).

9 Many northern lakes are located in continental climate zones, subject to contrasting seasonal
10 conditions with long, cold winters followed by relatively short, but warm summers. Although
11 psychrotolerant and psychrophilic methanotrophs have been reported (Omelchenko et al.,
12 1993, 1996; Bowman et al., 1997; Trotsenko and Khmelenina, 2002), MO occurs more
13 efficiently at mesophilic temperatures, from 20 to 35 °C (Semrau et al., 2010). During winter,
14 northern lakes are covered by a thick ice layer for seven to nine months. Surface lake ice
15 impedes oxygen transfer from the atmosphere to the lake and, when snow-covered,
16 substantially reduces light penetration and oxygen production by photosynthesis (White et al.,
17 2008; Clilverd et al., 2009). Thus, the combination of low temperature and limited oxygen
18 availability suggests lower MO rates in northern lakes in winter than in summer.

19 Given the number of parameters having a potential effect on MO, as well as the patchwork of
20 seasonal and geographic conditions found among northern lakes, MO is expected to exhibit
21 large geographic and seasonal variations that still remain to be characterized. The goal of our
22 study was to determine these variations through measurement of dissolved CH₄ and O₂ as
23 well as MO rates in the winter and summer in 30 lakes along a south-north transect in Alaska.

24

25 **2 Materials and Methods**

26 **2.1 Site description**

27 We sampled 30 Alaskan lakes during two field campaigns, one in late winter (March–April
28 2011) and one in summer (June–July 2011). To evaluate the effects of latitudinal variation
29 and permafrost type on MO, lakes were selected along a transect from the southcentral
30 Alaskan coast on the Kenai Peninsula to the Arctic Ocean near Prudhoe Bay (Fig. 2). The
31 transect crossed through glaciated mountain ranges and discontinuous, sporadic, or no

1 permafrost in south-central Alaska; discontinuous to isolated yedoma permafrost in the
2 interior of Alaska; and continuous permafrost in northern Alaska. In this work, for simplicity,
3 lakes located in yedoma-type permafrost areas will be referred to as “yedoma lakes” and all
4 others as “non-yedoma lakes”. Geographic variability along the north-south Alaska transect
5 has been previously described for ecosystems, climate, geology, and permafrost type
6 (Gregory-Eaves et al., 2000; Jorgenson et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2010). Additionally,
7 Sepulveda-Jauregui et al. (2014) quantified the surface area of the selected lakes (0.002–1.45
8 km²), their trophic states (ultraoligotrophic to eutrophic), and their annual CH₄ fluxes (0.5–
9 317 g CH₄ m⁻² y⁻¹). Table 1 shows the location and permafrost type of the selected lakes.

10 **2.2 Sampling and field measurements**

11 We sampled lake water usually near the center of each lake. In the winter, the ice cover was
12 drilled through with a motorized auger (0.3 m in diameter). Using a Hydrolab DataSonde
13 (Hach Hydromet, Loveland, CO, USA), we measured temperature, pH, chlorophyll *a*, and
14 dissolved oxygen (DO). The Hydrolab was calibrated regularly, before and after each section
15 of the latitudinal lake transect (four sections per transect, approximately one calibration per
16 week). All parameters were measured at 0.5 or 1-m depth intervals throughout the water
17 column, except in Dolly Varden L. where measurement intervals were increased to every five
18 meters from 15 m to 25m depth. In lakes shallower than 1 m, we measured Hydrolab
19 parameters at three distributed depths throughout the lake water column.

20 Water samples for MO rates and dissolved CH₄ concentration were taken at a depth of within
21 1 m of the ice-water interface in winter and usually at 0.75 to 1 m water depth in summer.
22 Due to differences in lake depth and thickness of the ice sheets, samples reflected surface
23 water in deep lakes, but mid water column or even lake bottom water environment, in shallow
24 lakes. Samples were taken with a horizontal Van Dorn bottle (Wildco, Yulee, FL, USA).

25 Water density derived from surface and bottom water temperatures were used to determine
26 the relative water column stability (RWCS; Padisak et al., 2003). Lakes with RWCS >56.5
27 were considered fully stratified, lakes with RWCS <16.3 were considered fully mixed, and
28 lakes with intermediate RWCS were considered partially stratified (Branco et al., 2009).
29 Similarly, we determined whether an oxycline was present in each lake based on a sharp DO
30 gradient or presence of an oxic/anoxic interface. Detailed temperature and DO profiles are

1 available as a Supplement in Sepulveda-Jauregui et al. (2014). We report all results in mean \pm
2 standard deviation (SD).

3 **2.3 Dissolved CH₄ concentration and MO rate**

4 To avoid long delays in sample transfer from remote locations to the laboratory, we
5 determined dissolved CH₄ concentrations with a previously described method based on
6 Headspace Equilibration using Infrared Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy (HE-
7 TDLAS; Sepulveda-Jauregui et al., 2012). This method consisted of determining the CH₄
8 concentration in the headspace of an equilibration vial containing a known volume of lake
9 water and in which gas/liquid equilibrium has been reached by **10 s of vigorous shaking**. The
10 CH₄ concentration in the headspace was determined using a laser beam crossing the
11 headspace of the equilibration vial. This measurement was conducted with a modified open-
12 field CH₄ analyzer (GasFinder 2; Boreal Laser, Edmonton, Canada). The CH₄ concentration
13 in the water sample was calculated from the measured headspace concentration according to
14 Henry's law (see Sepulveda-Jauregui et al., 2012 for details).

15 We determined duplicate MO rates in one water sample from each lake taken as described
16 above, using a modified HE-TDLAS method to allow for measurement of MO in the field.
17 This new method was based on a previous development using the HE-TDLAS method for the
18 determination of methanogenic activity (Martinez-Cruz et al., 2012). Two 60-mL lake water
19 subsamples from a single Van Dorn bottle sample were gently transferred to two 100-mL
20 equilibration vials (duplicates). Equilibration vials were immediately closed with rubber
21 stoppers and vigorously shaken for 10 s to transfer most of the dissolved CH₄ contained in the
22 water sample to the headspace. Next, the headspace was vented, the vial was closed, and the
23 sample was shaken again to evacuate the residual CH₄ content of the water sample. Using this
24 procedure, more than 99.5% of the original CH₄ content of the sample was evacuated. The
25 equilibration vials were then closed with rubber stoppers and aluminum crimp caps, spiked
26 with 0.6 mL CH₄ (99.0% purity; Air Liquide, Houston, TX, USA) injected with a disposable
27 syringe, and vigorously shaken for 10 s. This approach allowed MO tests to be conducted
28 with an initial standard CH₄ concentration in the liquid phase (~ 0.6 mg L⁻¹). It also provided
29 an initial CH₄ to O₂ molar ratio of 0.062, significantly below the stoichiometric ratio (0.5),
30 ensuring no O₂ limitation.

1 Equilibration vials were incubated for 10–12 days in a water bath inside insulated boxes
2 placed in our vehicle. In the winter, the vials were maintained at 2 ± 2 °C in a water bath with
3 ice supplements; in the summer, the vials were maintained at 15 ± 2 °C. The temperature of
4 the water bath was measured daily. We measured the CH₄ concentration in the equilibration
5 vials daily using the HE-TDLAS method described in detail by Sepulveda-Jauregui et al.
6 (2012). Briefly, dry control MO test vials containing only CH₄ standards were read by the
7 TDLAS for calibration. Each experimental equilibration vial was vigorously shaken for 10 s
8 to reach phase equilibrium and then immediately placed in the laser beam path, after which a
9 stable HE-TDLAS reading was typically observed within 5 s. Five readings were taken for
10 each MO test vial and recalibration was conducted after measuring each set of test vials to
11 ensure instrument stability. The field HE-TDLAS method allowed measurement of dissolved
12 CH₄ and MO rates. This technique was simple, rapid (about 60 s per sample measurement),
13 non-invasive, and avoided complications and long delays in sample transfer from remote
14 locations to the laboratory.

15 We calculated the total CH₄ concentration (C_{CH_4} = total CH₄ mass present in the gas and
16 liquid phases divided by the sample liquid volume) in each vial during the MO tests. MO rates
17 were determined from the decrease in C_{CH_4} in the equilibration vials with time. MO rates
18 determined by this method represent the MO rate after aeration and CH₄ addition (vials spiked
19 with CH₄ and vigorously shaken). Thus, these MO rates do not correspond to actual
20 observations of in situ DO and dissolved CH₄ concentrations in the lakes. The measured CH₄
21 oxidation rate was considered the potential MO (r_{max} ; mg CH₄ L⁻¹ d⁻¹) under non-limiting CH₄
22 and DO concentrations. To estimate the actual rate (r ; mg CH₄ L⁻¹ d⁻¹) from r_{max} , a double
23 Monod model was used (Bae and Rittmann, 1996; Segers, 1998) in which C_{CH_4} and C_{O_2}
24 represent the actual dissolved CH₄ and DO concentrations measured in the lake, respectively,
25 and $K_{\text{S-CH}_4}$ and $K_{\text{S-O}_2}$ are the apparent affinity constants of the methanotrophic community, for
26 CH₄ and DO, respectively:

$$27 \quad r = r_{\text{max}} \cdot \frac{C_{\text{CH}_4}}{K_{\text{S-CH}_4} + C_{\text{CH}_4}} \cdot \frac{C_{\text{O}_2}}{K_{\text{S-O}_2} + C_{\text{O}_2}} \quad (1)$$

28 Average $K_{\text{S-CH}_4}$ and $K_{\text{S-O}_2}$ values for lakes have been determined by previous studies: $K_{\text{S-CH}_4}$ =
29 0.110 ± 0.053 mg L⁻¹ (mean \pm SD; Liikanen et al., 2002; Lofton et al., 2013) and $K_{\text{S-O}_2}$ =
30 0.624 ± 0.064 mg L⁻¹ (mean \pm SD; Lidstrom and Somers, 1984; Frenzel et al., 1990). To the
31 best of our knowledge, the highest $K_{\text{S-CH}_4}$ reported in lakes is 0.704 mg L⁻¹ (Liikanen et al.,
32 2002). It should be noted that these reported K_{S} values refer to the apparent affinity constants

1 for the methanotrophic community, rather than the half-saturation constant for the CH₄
2 monooxygenase enzyme that catalyzes CH₄ oxidation. The potential error caused by using
3 previously reported K_S, instead of experimentally determined values will be considered in the
4 discussion section.

5 To establish the extent of potential MO limitation by CH₄ or DO, two limitation factors were
6 defined, where β is the limitation factor for CH₄ (%) and γ is the limitation factor for DO (%):

$$7 \quad 0\% \leq \beta = \left(1 - \frac{C_{\text{CH}_4}}{K_{\text{S-CH}_4} + C_{\text{CH}_4}}\right) \cdot 100 \leq 100\% \quad (2)$$

$$8 \quad 0\% \leq \gamma = \left(1 - \frac{C_{\text{O}_2}}{K_{\text{S-O}_2} + C_{\text{O}_2}}\right) \cdot 100 \leq 100\% \quad (3)$$

9 A limitation factor of 100% means that 100% of a process ceases to occur due to the absence
10 of the limiting substrate, while a limitation factor of 0% indicates a process occurring at
11 maximum rate ($r = r_{\text{max}}$). When $\beta > \gamma$, CH₄ was considered to be the limiting factor;
12 conversely, when $\gamma > \beta$, DO was considered to be the limiting factor.

13 **2.4 Statistical analyses**

14 Normality was assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Since most of the data was non-normally
15 distributed and with unequal samples number, significant differences among all parameters
16 were determined using Kruskal-Wallis multiple comparison test (differences were considered
17 significant at $p < 0.05$, $Z > 1.96$). To assess whether CH₄ was oxidized during the MO
18 incubation tests, significant differences between C_{CH_4} were determined by an analysis of
19 variance (ANOVA; $p < 0.05$), after normality was assessed by the Shapiro-Wilk test.
20 Statistical analyses were conducted using the NCSS 2000 Statistical Analysis System
21 software (Number Cruncher Statistical Systems, Kaysville, UT, USA). Linear regressions
22 were also conducted to determinate the MO rates using Wolfram Mathematica 7.0 (Wolfram,
23 Minneapolis, MN, USA).

24

25 **3 Results**

26 **3.1 Physicochemical parameters**

27 The sampled lakes were shallow; other than four atypical lakes with a maximum known depth
28 >20 m (lakes #4, #24, #26 and #30), the average lake depth in summer was 4.5 ± 2.6 m (mean

1 \pm SD). During winter, none of the lakes was completely frozen at the sampling stations.
2 Liquid water was always present underneath the ice cover, which ranged in thickness from
3 0.60 to 1.25 m (mean \pm SD, 0.81 ± 0.14 m). The mean temperature throughout the lake water
4 columns was 2.4 ± 0.6 °C (mean \pm SD, $n = 103$) in the winter and 13.9 ± 2.4 °C (mean \pm SD,
5 $n = 235$) in the summer. According to RWCS, during the summer, 15 lakes of the 28 for
6 which a complete temperature profile was determined were fully thermally stratified. Six
7 lakes were partially stratified and seven lakes were mixed. During the winter, 16 of 18 lakes
8 were fully mixed, while two lakes were partially stratified and none was fully stratified.
9 Overall, only one third of the temperature profiles indicated clear stratification. In both
10 seasons, no correlation between RWCS and lake depth was found, probably due to the fact
11 that lakes were shallow and with an uneven depth distribution.

12 Lake water pH ranged from 5.9 to 8.2 in winter and 6.3 to 9.2 in summer among the study
13 lakes. Chlorophyll *a* was only detected during the summer, ranging from 1.0 to $45.9 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$
14 (detection limit, $0.03 \mu\text{g L}^{-1}$). The concentration of dissolved CH_4 in the 30 lakes ranged from
15 0.01 to 14.77 mg L^{-1} during the winter and from 0.02 to 1.51 mg L^{-1} during the summer
16 (Table 2). The DO concentration at the same depths ranged from 0.10 to 13.63 mg L^{-1} during
17 the winter and from 0.22 to 11.07 mg L^{-1} during the summer (Table 2). During summer, a
18 clear oxycline was observed in all yedoma lakes, but only in six of 20 non-yedoma lakes. In
19 contrast, during winter, an oxycline was not observed in any of the yedoma lakes, which were
20 largely anaerobic throughout the whole water column. We observed an oxycline in winter in
21 four of 13 non-yedoma lakes. Overall, an oxycline was observed in 30% of the DO profiles.
22 Temperature-oxygen profiles for all 30 studied lakes are shown in Sepulveda-Jauregui et al.
23 (2014).

24 Fig. 3 shows the statistical distributions of the dissolved CH_4 and DO concentrations, as well
25 as the Kruskal-Wallis comparisons. Significant differences were observed between yedoma
26 and non-yedoma lakes ($p < 0.05$). In yedoma lakes, the CH_4 and DO concentrations were
27 significantly higher and lower, respectively, than in non-yedoma lakes during both seasons
28 (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p < 0.05$). In addition to differences related to permafrost type, higher
29 CH_4 concentrations and lower DO concentrations were observed during the winter than in the
30 summer (Fig. 3) and an apparent geographic trend was observed. Higher dissolved CH_4 and
31 lower DO concentrations were found in lakes from central Alaska than in those from southern
32 and northern Alaska (Sepulveda-Jauregui et al., 2014).

1 3.2 Methane oxidation rates

2 The HE-TDLAS method allowed us to determine the MO potential in the field in all studied
3 lakes. Fig. 4 shows three representative C_{CH_4} trends observed in the MO vials. In some cases,
4 MO began on the first day of incubation (Fig. 4a) and the initial slope of the change in C_{CH_4}
5 was taken into account in determining the MO rate. In about 60% of the cases during the
6 summer and 80% during the winter, a lag phase was observed; i.e. period of time with no
7 apparent MO (Fig. 4b). This behavior, termed “induction of MO”, has previously been
8 reported for various soils (Bender and Conrad, 1995; Dunfield et al., 1999) and can be
9 interpreted as an adaptation period of the CH_4 oxidizers to the culture conditions. In lakes in
10 which this pattern was observed, the lag phase was not taken into account and the MO rate
11 was instead determined from the slope of C_{CH_4} after the lag phase. When no significant
12 decrease in C_{CH_4} was observed during the first seven days (Fig. 4c; ANOVA, $p < 0.05$), we
13 assumed an MO rate of zero, consistent with previous reports for various soils (Whalen et al.,
14 1990; Bender and Conrad, 1995; Dunfield et al., 1999). We observed MO rates of zero in only
15 three non-yedoma lakes during winter. Otherwise, no correlation with lake morphology,
16 season, or permafrost type was observed in regard to the existence of a lag phase or its
17 duration.

18 The potential MO rate r_{max} ranged from 0.000 to 0.488 $\text{mg L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ during the winter and from
19 0.073 to 1.339 $\text{mg L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ during the summer (Fig. 5a). Seasonal variation of r_{max} was
20 significant, with summer r_{max} up to 47 times higher than winter rates. Permafrost type was
21 also an important determining factor, because during the summer, yedoma lakes had higher
22 r_{max} than non-yedoma lakes (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p < 0.05$); specifically, r_{max} was 0.71 ± 0.36
23 and $0.29 \pm 0.16 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ (mean \pm SD) for yedoma and non-yedoma lakes, respectively.
24 However, during the winter, no significant differences were observed between yedoma and
25 non-yedoma lakes. In addition to differences related to permafrost type, an apparent
26 latitudinal pattern was also observed, with higher r_{max} for lakes from central Alaska compared
27 to those from southern and northern Alaska (Fig. 5a).

28

1 4 Discussion

2 4.1 Geographic and seasonal variations in physicochemical parameters

3 In yedoma lakes, the CH₄ and DO concentrations were significantly higher and lower,
4 respectively, than in non-yedoma lakes during both seasons. This observation is most likely
5 due to higher organic carbon and nutrient inputs associated with thawing permafrost in
6 yedoma-type lakes. Walter Anthony et al. (2014) and Sepulveda-Jauregui et al. (2014)
7 showed that thawing yedoma permafrost not only provides ancient (Pleistocene-aged) organic
8 carbon stimulating CH₄ production but also phosphate and nitrogen (ammonium), which
9 promotes bacterial, algal and contemporary plant growth in and around lakes. Since terrestrial
10 plant matter surrounding lakes gets deposited in thermokarst-lake sediments as lakes laterally
11 expand, both enhanced allochthonous and autochthonous productivity of yedoma-type lake
12 ecosystems results in higher rates of contemporary organic matter loading to sediments of
13 yedoma-type lakes compared to non-yedoma lakes (Walter Anthony et al., 2014).
14 Contemporary organic matter decomposes in part to form CH₄ in surface lake sediments,
15 whereas ancient yedoma carbon is progressively released from thaw bulb **beneath lakes to**
16 **surface sediments** (Heslop et al., 2015). Hence, organic carbon is made available to microbial
17 decomposition in both shallow and deep sedimentary environments (Fig. 1). **Thus higher**
18 **organic carbon and nutrient inputs in yedoma-type lakes promote higher anaerobic and**
19 **aerobic metabolism and accordingly, lower DO concentrations.** Conversely, higher organic
20 carbon inputs promote higher rates of methanogenesis in the sediments (Huttunen et al.,
21 2003), leading to higher dissolved CH₄ concentrations in the lake water column.

22 In both yedoma and non-yedoma lakes, higher CH₄ concentrations and lower DO
23 concentrations were observed during the winter than in the summer (Fig. 3). This seasonal
24 variation can be attributed to thick ice covering the lakes in winter. Ice cover impedes gas
25 exchange between the water and the atmosphere, promoting CH₄ build-up in the water
26 column (Phelps et al., 1998; Bastviken et al., 2004; Juutinen et al., 2009) and hindering O₂
27 transfer from the atmosphere, except in some locations where high-flux ebullition seeps allow
28 gas exchange through local holes in lake ice (Greene et al., 2014). Ice and snow also reduce
29 light penetration and oxygen production by photosynthesis beneath the ice (White et al., 2008;
30 Clilverd et al., 2009). The absence of detectable levels of chlorophyll *a* in ice-covered lakes
31 during March and April (see results section) despite the longer springtime photoperiod was
32 supportive evidence of reduced photosynthesis under the ice. In summer, although CH₄

1 production was probably higher due to warmer sediments, ice was not a physical barrier to
2 CH₄ exchange between the lake water and the atmosphere (Fig. 1).

3 Geographic variations were also observed with higher dissolved CH₄ and lower DO
4 concentrations being found in lakes from central Alaska than in those from southern and
5 northern Alaska. However, this apparent latitudinal pattern was related to the higher
6 proportion of yedoma lakes in central Alaska. No significant latitudinal trend was observed
7 when yedoma and non-yedoma lakes were analyzed separately (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p <$
8 0.05).

9 Fig. 3 shows that when relatively high CH₄ concentrations were found, relatively low DO
10 concentrations were observed and conversely, when low dissolved CH₄ concentrations were
11 found, higher DO concentrations were observed. This pattern was particularly clear in
12 yedoma lakes: in winter, a CH₄ concentration of 7.32 ± 5.86 mg L⁻¹ (mean \pm SD) was found,
13 while the DO concentration was 0.13 ± 0.03 mg L⁻¹ (mean \pm SD). In the same yedoma lakes,
14 the summer CH₄ concentration was 0.49 ± 0.52 mg L⁻¹ (mean \pm SD), while the DO
15 concentration was 3.19 ± 3.24 mg L⁻¹ (mean \pm SD). This observation suggests that MO was
16 actively controlling O₂ and CH₄ concentrations by oxidizing CH₄ when O₂ was present. To
17 confirm the latter, it would be necessary to measure experimentally the O₂ uptake rate by
18 methanotrophs and by other aerobic processes that compete with MO (Dzyuban, 2010).

19 The trend toward higher CH₄ concentrations and lower DO concentrations in winter than in
20 summer was not as strong in non-yedoma lakes as in yedoma lakes (Fig. 4). These results
21 provide additional evidence that organic carbon inputs to yedoma lakes fuel methanogenesis
22 and MO more strongly than in non-yedoma lakes. Another reason is that yedoma lakes have a
23 significantly higher ebullition year round (Walter et al., 2007; Sepulveda-Jauregui et al.,
24 2014). Even during winter, Greene et al. (2014) found that 80% of CH₄ in ebullition bubbles
25 trapped by lake ice dissolves into the lake water column, leading to elevated dissolved CH₄
26 beneath the ice. Another possible explanation for higher MO in yedoma lakes compared to
27 non-yedoma lakes may be related to microbial community composition, but this was beyond
28 the scope of our study.

29 4.2 Limiting factors of MO rates

30 The actual MO rates r estimated from r_{max} , reduced the magnitude of the MO, with r ranging
31 from 0.000 to 0.124 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹ during the winter and from 0.017 to 0.538 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹ during the

1 summer (Fig 6b). These values are within the range reported for arctic lakes of 0.001 to 1.000
2 $\text{mg L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ (Liikanen et al., 2002; Kankaala et al., 2006; Lofton et al., 2014). Similarly, r
3 values were 1 to 50-fold higher in the summer than in the winter. We attribute this finding to
4 the temperature dependence of methanotrophy (Semrau et al., 2008; Borrel et al., 2011), but
5 also to the limited DO concentration under the ice cover during the winter.

6 In addition to seasonal variations, permafrost type was also a determining factor of r and r_{max} .
7 As mentioned before, although no difference in r_{max} was observed during winter between
8 yedoma and non-yedoma lakes, r_{max} in yedoma lakes was about twice higher than in non-
9 yedoma lakes during summer. We attribute that difference to a more active MO
10 methanotrophic community in yedoma lakes, as all r_{max} tests were conducted in aerated vials
11 with an initial standard CH_4 concentration in the liquid phase ($\sim 0.6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$), thus ensuring
12 optimal conditions. As observed with r_{max} , during summer yedoma lakes showed 2–3 times
13 higher r than non-yedoma lakes (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p < 0.05$; $r = 0.28 \pm 0.17$, mean \pm SD,
14 yedoma lakes; $r = 0.09 \pm 0.08 \text{ mg L}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$, mean \pm SD, non-yedoma lakes). Higher r values for
15 yedoma lakes in summer is explained by the higher dissolved CH_4 concentration in presence
16 of a relatively high DO concentration above the oxycline (Fig. 3). An apparent latitudinal
17 trend was observed, with higher r and r_{max} for lakes from central Alaska compared to those
18 from southern and northern Alaska (Fig. 5). This apparent trend was associated with a higher
19 proportion of yedoma lakes in central Alaska. No significant latitudinal trend in MO was
20 observed when yedoma and non-yedoma lakes were analyzed separately.

21 The actual MO rates; r , were determined from r_{max} and CH_4 and DO concentrations using two
22 affinity constants, $K_{S-\text{CH}_4}$ and $K_{S-\text{O}_2}$. These affinity constants are highly variable, because their
23 determination is challenging and subject to relatively high determination error (Segers et al.,
24 1998) and because the methanotrophic community is sensitive to numerous factors and
25 changes over time and space (Carini et al., 2005; He et al., 2012). For instance, Lofton et al.
26 (2014) reported a variation of 150% in $K_{S-\text{CH}_4}$ within the hypolimnetic water column of two
27 lakes with similar characteristics. The determination of MO rates may, therefore, be subject to
28 large error if reported values are used instead of experimental parameters or if an error occurs
29 in experimental K_S determinations. To quantify these potential errors, a sensitivity analysis
30 was conducted. We arbitrarily modified $K_{S-\text{CH}_4}$ and $K_{S-\text{O}_2}$ and calculated the resulting r (Eq. 1)
31 using the experimental r_{max} , C_{CH_4} , and C_{O_2} measured in the 30 lakes. Fig. 6 shows the error on
32 r caused by a given error on $K_{S-\text{O}_2}$ (Fig. 6a) and $K_{S-\text{CH}_4}$ (Fig. 6b), for yedoma and non yedoma

1 lakes, in winter and in summer. According to this analysis, an underestimation of K_{S-O_2} or K_{S-CH_4}
2 CH_4 would lead to an overestimation of the actual MO rate (positive error), while an
3 overestimation of these affinity constants would produce an underestimation of r (negative
4 error). Fig. 6a shows that, an error on K_{S-O_2} ranging from -50% to 200%, would cause from
5 10% to -6% error on r , for all lakes and all seasons, except in yedoma lakes during winter,
6 where an error from 75% to -50% would be generated. This relatively high sensitivity of r to
7 error in K_{S-O_2} in yedoma lakes during winter is due to DO concentrations close to K_{S-O_2} .
8 Likewise, Fig. 6b shows that, from an error on K_{S-CH_4} ranging from -50% to 200%, a resulting
9 error on r from 6% to -4% would be done, for all lakes and all seasons, except in non yedoma
10 lakes during summer, where an error from 50% to -34% would be generated. As above, the
11 latter is due to CH_4 concentrations close to K_{S-CH_4} in non yedoma lakes during summer. **This**
12 **sensitivity analysis shows that, other than for K_{S-O_2} in yedoma lakes during winter and K_{S-CH_4}**
13 **in non-yedoma lakes during summer, errors on K_S would have relatively little impact on**
14 **determination of methanotrophic rates**

15 From Eq. 2 and 3, we estimated that, during the summer CH_4 was the main limiting factor in
16 25 out of 30 lakes. In contrast, during winter, CH_4 was the main limiting factor in 10 of 26
17 lakes (Table 2). Notably, during the winter, DO was the limiting factor for all seven yedoma
18 lakes, while during the summer, **MO was limited by CH_4 for all non-yedoma lakes**. A similar
19 error analysis was done on β and γ , as done with r , to estimate if the estimated limiting factor
20 would change as a result of error on K_S ranging from -50% to 200%. The results showed no
21 impact on the limiting factor in the 30 lakes and for both seasons. These results confirm that
22 MO was mainly controlled by DO and CH_4 availability, which in turn, depended on the
23 season and landscape processes.

24 A potential bias in our r estimates may have arisen from taking **duplicate** water samples at a
25 single depth in each lake. The literature has clearly shown that a higher MO rate is often
26 found at the oxic/anoxic interface in stratified lakes (Utsumi et al., 1998a, 1998b; Bastviken et
27 al. 2002; Carini et al., 2005; Pimenov et al., 2010; Schubert et al., 2010). Estimation of MO
28 rates consistently measured at a single depth that was not necessarily located at the
29 oxic/anoxic interface may have neglected potentially higher rates occurring at the oxic/anoxic
30 interface in stratified lakes. However, in the present study, the sampled lakes were in many
31 cases shallow, relatively well mixed, and without a clear oxycline (see Results section),
32 suggesting a relatively homogeneous water column. Utsumi et al. (1998b) observed

1 homogeneous MO rates at all depths of a shallow and mixed temperate lake, while Rudd and
2 Hamilton (1978) also reported homogeneous MO rates during overturn of a dimictic lake.
3 Determination of MO rates at the oxic/anoxic interface, in the few cases in which such an
4 interface was observed, would likely have indicated higher MO rates. Thus, the results of r
5 presented here, may be underestimated to an unknown extent.

6

7 **5 Conclusions**

8 We developed a new method based on a TDLAS for the determination of MO rates together
9 with dissolved CH₄ concentration in lakes in the field. This method was successfully applied
10 to 30 lakes along a north-south transect and allowed for the determination of MO potentials
11 ranging from 0.000 to 1.339 mg L⁻¹ d⁻¹ in winter and summer. MO rates in water of Alaskan
12 lakes showed high seasonal and geographic variability. In addition to temperature effects, the
13 main factors controlling MO were: 1) CH₄ availability during the summer, limited both by
14 exchange with the atmosphere and by MO itself; 2) DO availability during the winter, mainly
15 due to ice cover impeding gas exchange with the atmosphere and primary production; and 3)
16 inputs of organic substrates to lakes, mainly related to the presence or absence of yedoma
17 permafrost as an additional source of carbon and nutrients. **These results indicate that MO**
18 **may substantially mitigate the increase in CH₄ emission predicted by permafrost thawing**
19 **(Khvorostyanov, et al. 2008; Walter Anthony et al., 2014).**

20

21 **Acknowledgments**

22 We thank Mr. D. Flores-Rojas and A. Strohm for their technical support and P. Anthony for
23 preparing Fig. 2. This work was supported by the NSF OPP (#1107892), NASA
24 (#NNX11AH20G), DOE (#DE-SC0006920), and USGS, USA and Semarnat-Conacyt
25 (#23661), Mexico. We also gratefully acknowledge the Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y
26 Tecnología, Mexico, for financial support to K. Martinez-Cruz, A. Sepulveda-Jauregui, and F.
27 Thalasso (Grant No. 330197/233369, 206621/203709, and 139570, respectively). The authors
28 declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

29

30 **References**

1 Bae, W., and Rittmann, B. E.: A structured model of dual-limitation kinetics, *Biotechnol. and*
2 *Bioeng.*, 49, 683-689, 1996.

3 Bastviken, D., Ejlertsson, J., and Tranvik, L.: Measurement of methane oxidation in lakes: A
4 comparison of methods, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36, 3354-3361, 2002.

5 Bastviken, D., Cole, J., Pace, M., and Tranvik, L.: Methane emissions from lakes:
6 Dependence of lake characteristics, two regional assessments, and a global estimate, *Global*
7 *Biogeochem. Cy.*, 18, GB4009, doi:10.1029/2004GB002238, 2004.

8 Bastviken, D., Cole, J. J., Pace, M. L., and Van de Bogert, M. C.: Fates of methane from
9 different lake habitats: Connecting whole-lake budgets and CH₄ emissions, *J. Geophys. Res.-*
10 *Biogeosciences*, 113, G02024, doi:10.1029/2007JG000608, 2008.

11 Bastviken, D., Tranvik, L. J., Downing, J. A., Crill, P. M., and Enrich-Prast, A.: Freshwater
12 Methane Emissions Offset the Continental Carbon Sink, *Science* 331, 2011.

13 Bellido, J. L., Peltomaa, E., and Ojala, A.: An urban boreal lake basin as a source of CO₂ and
14 CH₄, *Environ. Pollut.*, 159, 1649-1659, 2011.

15 Bender, M., and Conrad, R.: Effect of CH₄ concentrations and soil conditions on the induction
16 of CH₄ oxidation activity, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 27, 1517-1527, 1995.

17 Borrel, G., Jezequel, D., Biderre-Petit, C., Morel-Desrosiers, N., Morel, J. P., Peyret, P.,
18 Fonty, G., and Lehours, A. C.: Production and consumption of methane in freshwater lake
19 ecosystems, *Res. Microbiol.*, 162, 832-847, 2011.

20 Bowman, J. P., McCammon, S. A., and Skerratt J. H.: *Methylosphaera hansonii* gen nov, sp
21 nov, a psychrophilic, group I methanotroph from Antarctic marine-salinity, meromictic lakes,
22 *Microbiology*, 143, 1451-1459, 1997.

23 Branco, C. W. C., Kozlowsky-Suzuki, B., Sousa-Filho, I. F., Guarino, A. W. S., and Rocha,
24 R. J.: Impact of climate on the vertical water column structure of Lajes Reservoir (Brazil): A
25 tropical reservoir case, *Lake Reserv. Manage.*, 14, 175–191, 2009.

26 Carini, S., Bano, N., LeClerc, G., and Joye, S. B.: Aerobic methane oxidation and
27 methanotroph community composition during seasonal stratification in Mono Lake,
28 California (USA), *Environ. Microbiol.*, 7, 1127-1138, 2005.

29 Clilverd, H., White, D., and Lilly, M.: Chemical and physical controls on the oxygen regime
30 of ice-covered arctic lakes and reservoirs, *J. Am. Water Resour. As.*, 45, 500-511, 2009.

- 1 Czudek, T., and Demek, J.: Thermokarst in Siberia and its influence on the development of
2 lowland relief, *Quaternary Res.*, 1, 103-120, 1970.
- 3 Dunfield, P. F., Liesack, W., Henckel, T., Knowles, R., and Conrad, R.: High-affinity
4 methane oxidation by a soil enrichment culture containing a type II methanotroph, *Appl.*
5 *Environ. Microb.*, 65, 1009-1014, 1999.
- 6 Dzyuban, A. N.: Dynamics of microbial oxidation of methane in the water of stratified lakes,
7 *Microbiology*, 79, 822-829, 2010.
- 8 Frenzel, P., Thebrath, B., and Conrad, R.: Oxidation of methane in the oxic surface-layer of a
9 deep lake sediment (Lake Constance), *Fems Microbiol. Ecol.*, 73, 149-158, 1990.
- 10 Gentzel, T., Hershey, A. E., Rublee, P. A., and Whalen, S. C.: Net sediment production of
11 methane, distribution of methanogens and methane-oxidizing bacteria, and utilization of
12 methane-derived carbon in an arctic lake, *Inland Waters*, 2, 77-88, 2012.
- 13 Greene, S., Walter Anthony, K. M., Archer, D., Sepulveda-Jauregui, A., and Martinez-Cruz,
14 K.: Modeling the impediment of methane ebullition bubbles by seasonal lake ice,
15 *Biogeosciences*, 11, 6791–6811, [http:// doi:10.5194/bg-11-6791-2014](http://doi:10.5194/bg-11-6791-2014), 2014.
- 16 Gregory-Eaves, I., Smol, J. P., Finney, B. P., Lean, D. R. S., and Edwards, M. E.:
17 Characteristics and variation in lakes along a north-south transect in Alaska, *Arch.*
18 *Hydrobiol.*, 147, 193-223, 2000.
- 19 Grosse, G., Jones, B., and Arp, C.: Thermokarst lakes, drainage, and drained basins, in:
20 *Treatise on Geomorphology*, edited by: Shroder, J. F., Giardino, R., and Harbor, J., *Glacial*
21 *and Periglacial Geomorphology*, Academic Press, San Diego, 8, 325–353, 2013.
- 22 Heslop, J. K., Walter Anthony, K. M., Sepulveda-Jauregui, A., Martinez-Cruz, K., Bondurant,
23 A., Grosse, G., and Jones, M. C.: Thermokarst-lake methanogenesis along a complete talik
24 profile, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 12, 4865-4905, 2015.
- 25 He, R., Wooller, M. J., Pohlman, J. W., Quensen, J., Tiedje, J. M., and Leigh, M. B.:
26 Diversity of active aerobic methanotrophs along depth profiles of arctic and subarctic lake
27 water column and sediments, *ISME J.*, 6, 1937-1948, 2012.
- 28 Huttunen, J. T., Alm, J., Liikanen, A., Juutinen, S., Larmola, T., Hammar, T., Silvola, J., and
29 Matikainen, P. J.: Fluxes of methane, carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide in boreal lakes and

1 potential anthropogenic effects on the aquatic greenhouse gas emissions, *Chemosphere*, 52,
2 609-621, 2003.

3 Hugelius, G., Strauss, J., Zubrzycki, S., Harden, J. W., Schuur, E. A. G., Ping, C.L.,
4 Schirrmeister, L., Grosse, G., Michaelson, G. J., Koven, C. D., O'Donnel, J. A., Elberling, B.,
5 Mishra, U., Camill, P., Yu, Z., Palmtag, J., and Kuhry, P.: Estimated stocks of circumpolar
6 permafrost carbon with quantified uncertainty ranges and identified data gaps,
7 *Biogeosciences*, 11, 6573-6593, 2014.

8 Jones, R. I., and Grey, J.: Biogenic methane in freshwater food webs, *Freshwater Biol.*, 56,
9 213-229, 2011.

10 Jorgenson, T., Yoshikawa, K., Kanevskiy, M., Shur, Y., Romanovsky, V., Marchenko, S.,
11 Grosse, G., Brown, J., and Jones, B.: Permafrost Characteristics of Alaska. Institute of
12 Northern Engineering, University of Alaska Fairbanks NICOP, University of Alaska
13 Fairbanks, USA, 2008.

14 Juutinen, S., Rantakari, M., Kortelainen, P., Huttunen, J. T., Larmola, T., Alm, J., Silvola, J.,
15 and Martikainen, P. J.: Methane dynamics in different boreal lake types, *Biogeosciences*, 6,
16 209-223, 2009.

17 Kanevskiy, M., Shur, Y., Fortier, D., Jorgenson, M. T., and Stephani, E.: Cryostratigraphy of
18 late Pleistocene syngenetic permafrost (yedoma) in northern Alaska, Itkillik River exposure,
19 *Quaternary Res.*, 75, 584-596, 2011.

20 Kankaala, P., Huotari, J., Peltomaa, E., Saloranta, T., and Ojala, A.: Methanotrophic activity
21 in relation to methane efflux and total heterotrophic bacterial production in a stratified, humic,
22 boreal lake, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 51, 1195-1204, 2006.

23 Kankaala, P., Taipale, S., Nykanen, H., and Jones, R. I.: Oxidation, efflux, and isotopic
24 fractionation of methane during autumnal turnover in a polyhumic, boreal lake, *J. of Geophys.
25 Res.-Biogeosciences*, 112, [http:// doi:10.1029/2006JG000336](http://doi:10.1029/2006JG000336), 2007.

26 Khvorostyanov, D. V., Ciais, P., Krinner, G., Zimov, S. A., Corradi, C., and Guggenberger,
27 G.: Vulnerability of permafrost carbon to global warming. Part II: sensitivity of permafrost
28 carbon stock to global warming, *Tellus-B*, 60, 265–275, 2008.

29 Lidstrom, M. E., and Somers, L.: Seasonal study of methane oxidation in lake Washington,
30 *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, 47, 1255-1260, 1984.

1 Liikanen, A., Huttunen, J. T., Valli, K., and Martikainen, P. J.: Methane cycling in the
2 sediment and water column of mid-boreal hyper-eutrophic Lake Kevaton, Finland, *Arch.*
3 *Hydrobiol.*, 154, 585-603, 2002.

4 Lofton, D. D., Whalen, S. C., and Hershey, A. E.: Effect of temperature on methane dynamics
5 and evaluation of methane oxidation kinetics in shallow Arctic Alaskan lakes, *Hydrobiologia*,
6 721, 209-222, 2014.

7 Martinez-Cruz, K., Sepulveda-Jauregui, A., Escobar-Orozco, N., and Thalasso, F.:
8 Methanogenic activity tests by Infrared Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectroscopy, *J.*
9 *Microbiol. Meth.*, 91, 89-92, 2012.

10 Murrell, J. C., McGowan, V., and Cardy, D. L. N.: Detection of methylotrophic bacteria in
11 natural samples by molecular probing techniques, *Chemosphere*, 26, 1-11, 1993.

12 National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST): NIST chemistry Web book,
13 Gaithersburg, Maryland, 2011.

14 Omelchenko, M. V., Vasilyeva, L. V., and Zavarzin, G. A.: Psychrophilic methanotrophs
15 from tundra soil, *Curr. Microbiol.*, 27, 255-259, 1993.

16 Omelchenko, M. V., Vasileva, L. V., Zavarzin, G. A., Saveleva, N. D., Lysenko, A. M.,
17 Mityushina, L. L., Kamelenina, V. N., and Trotsenko, Y. A.: A novel psychrophilic
18 methanotroph of the genus *Methylobacter*, *Microbiology*, 65, 339-343, 1996.

19 Padisak, J., Barbosa, F., Koschel, R., and Krienitz, L.: Deep layer cyanoprokaryota maxima in
20 temperate and tropical lakes, *Arch. Hydrobiol. Spec. Issues Advanc. Limnol.*, 58, 175-199,
21 2003.

22 Phelps, A. R., Peterson, K. M., and Jeffries, M. O.: Methane efflux from high-latitude lakes
23 during spring ice melt, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmospheres*, 103, 29029-29036, 1998.

24 Pimenov, N. V., Kallistova, A. Y., Rusanov, I. I., Yusopov, S. K., Montonen, L., Jurgens, G.,
25 Munster, U., Nozhevnikova, A. N., and Ivanov, M. V.: Methane formation and oxidation in
26 the meromictic oligotrophic Lake Gek-Gel (Azerbaijan), *Microbiology*, 79, 247-252, 2010.

27 Ping, C. L., Michaelson, G. J., Jorgenson, M. T., Kimble, J. M., Epstein, H., Romanovsky, V.
28 E., and Walker, D. A.: High stocks of soil organic carbon in the North American Arctic
29 region, *Nat. Geosci.*, 1, 615-619, 2008.

1 Rudd, J. W. M., and Hamilton, R. D.: Methane Cycling in a Eutrophic Shield Lake and Its
2 Effects on Whole Lake Metabolism, *Limnology and Oceanography*, 23, 337-348, 1978.

3 Schubert, C. J., Lucas, F. S., Durisch-Kaiser, E., Stierli, R., Diem, T., Scheidegger, O.,
4 Vazquez, F., and Muller, B.: Oxidation and emission of methane in a monomictic lake
5 (Rotsee, Switzerland) *Aquat. Sci.*, 72, 455-466, 2010.

6 Segers, R.: Methane production and methane consumption: a review of processes underlying
7 wetland methane fluxes, *Biogeochemistry*, 41, 23-51, 1998.

8 Seifert, L. I., and Scheu, S.: Linking aquatic and terrestrial food webs - Odonata in boreal
9 systems, *Freshwater Biol.*, 57, 1449-1457, 2012.

10 Semrau, J. D., DiSpirito, A. A., and Murrell J. C.: Life in the extreme: thermoacidophilic
11 methanotrophy, *Trends Microbiol.*, 16, 190-193, 2008.

12 Semrau, J. D., DiSpirito, A. A., and Yoon, S.: Methanotrophs and copper, *Fems Microbiol*
13 *Rev.*, 34, 496-531, 2010.

14 Sepulveda-Jauregui, A., Martinez-Cruz, K., Strohm, A., Anthony, K. M. W., and Thalasso, F.:
15 A new method for field measurement of dissolved methane in water using infrared tunable
16 diode laser absorption spectroscopy, *Limnol. Oceanogr.-Meth.*, 10, 560-567, 2012.

17 Sepulveda-Jauregui, A., Walter Anthony, K. M., Martinez-Cruz, K., Green, S., Thalasso, F.:
18 Methane and carbon dioxide emissions from 42 lakes along a North-South latitudinal transect
19 in Alaska, *Biogeosciences Discuss.*, 11, 13251-13307, [http:// doi:10.5194/bgd-11-13251-](http://doi:10.5194/bgd-11-13251-2014)
20 2014, 2014.

21 Smith, S. L., Romanovsky, V. E., Lewkowicz, A. G., Burn, C. R., Allard, M., Clow, G. D.,
22 Yoshikawa, K., and Throop, J.: Thermal State of Permafrost in North America: A
23 Contribution to the International Polar Year, *Permafrost Periglac.*, 21, 117-135, 2010.

24 Taipale, S. J., Brett, M. T., Pulkkinen, K., and Kainz, M. J.: The influence of bacteria-
25 dominated diets on *Daphnia magna* somatic growth, reproduction, and lipid composition,
26 *Fems Microbiol. Ecol.*, 82, 50-62, 2012.

27 Tarnocai, C., Canadell, J.G., Schuur, E.A.G., Kuhry, P., Mazhitova, G., and Zimov, S.: Soil
28 organic carbon pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region, *Global Biogeochem. Cy.*,
29 23, GB2023, doi:10.1029/2008GB003327, 2009.

1 Thauer, R. K., Kaster, A. K., Seedorf, H., Buckel, W., and Hedderich, R.: Methanogenic
2 archaea: ecologically relevant differences in energy conservation, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 6,
3 579-591, 2008.

4 Trotsenko, Y. A., and Murrell, J. C.: Metabolic aspects of aerobic obligate methanotrophy,
5 *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.*, 63, 183-229, 2008.

6 Trotsenko, Y. A., and Khmelenina, V. N.: Biology of extremophilic and extremotolerant
7 methanotrophs, *Arch. Microbiol.*, 177, 123-131, 2002.

8 Utsumi, M., Nojiri, Y., Nakamura, T., Nozawa, T., Otsuki, A., Takamura, N., Watanabe, M.,
9 and Seki, H.: Dynamics of dissolved methane and methane oxidation in dimictic Lake Nojiri
10 during winter, *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 43, 10-17, 1998a.

11 Utsumi, M., Nojiri, Y., Nakamura, T., Nozawa, T., Otsuki, A., and Seki, H.: Oxidation of
12 dissolved methane in a eutrophic, shallow lake: Lake Kasumigaura, Japan, *Limnol.*
13 *Oceanogr.*, 43, 471-480, 1998b.

14 Walter, K. M., Zimov, S. A., Chanton, J. P., Verbyla, D., and Chapin, F. S.: Methane
15 bubbling from Siberian thaw lakes as a positive feedback to climate warming, *Nature*, 443,
16 71-75, 2006.

17 Walter, K. M., Smith, L. C., and Chapin, F. S.: Methane bubbling from northern lakes:
18 present and future contributions to the global methane budget, *Philos. T. R. Soc. A.*, 365,
19 1657-1676, 2007.

20 Walter Anthony, K. M., Zimov S. A., Grosse, G., Jones, M. C., Anthony, P., Chapin III, F. S.,
21 Finlay, J. C., Mack, M. C., Davydov, S., Frenzel, P., and Frohling S.: A shift of thermokarst
22 lakes from carbon sources to sinks during the Holocene epoch, *Nature*, 511, 452-456,
23 doi:10.1038/nature13560, 2014.

24 Whalen, S. C., Reeburgh, W. S., and Sandbeck, K. A.: Rapid methane oxidation in a landfill
25 cover soil, *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, 56, 3405-3411, 1990.

26 White, D. M., Clilverd, H. M., Tidwell, A. C., Little, L., Lilly, M. R., Chambers, M., and
27 Reichardt, D.: A tool for modeling the winter oxygen depletion rate in arctic lakes, *J. Am.*
28 *Water Resour. As.*, 44, 293-304, 2008.

29 Wooller, M. J., Pohlman, J. W., Gaglioti, B. V., Langdon, P., Jones, M., Anthony, K. M. W.,
30 Becker, K. W., Hinrichs, K. U., and Elvert, M.: Reconstruction of past methane availability in

- 1 an Arctic Alaska wetland indicates climate influenced methane release during the past
2 ~12,000 years, *J. Paleolimnol.*, 48, 27-42, 2012.
- 3 Zimov, S. A., Voropaev, Y. V., Semiletov, I. P., Davidov, S. P., Prosiannikov, S. F., Chapin,
4 F. S., Chapin, M. C., Trumbore, S., and Tyler, S.: North Siberian lakes: A methane source
5 fueled by Pleistocene carbon, *Science*, 277, 800-802, 1997.
- 6 Zimov, S. A., Schuur, E. A. G., and Chapin III, F. S.: Permafrost and the Global Carbon
7 Budget, *Science*, 312, 1612-1613, 2006.

1 **Tables**

2

3 **Table 1.** Identification, location, and permafrost soil type for lakes included in the study.

4 *Indicates informal lake name, yedoma lakes are marked on light grey.

| # | Name | Lat. | Long. | Permafrost type |
|----|----------------------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| 1 | Big Sky* A31 | 69.581 | -148.639 | Non-Yedoma |
| 2 | GTH 112 | 68.672 | -149.249 | Non-yedoma |
| 3 | NE2 | 68.647 | -149.582 | Non-yedoma |
| 4 | Toolik A28 | 68.632 | -149.605 | Non-yedoma |
| 5 | E1 | 68.626 | -149.555 | Non-yedoma |
| 6 | Julieta* A27 | 68.447 | -149.369 | Non-yedoma |
| 7 | El Fuego* A36 | 67.666 | -149.716 | Non-yedoma |
| 8 | Jonas* A26 | 67.647 | -149.722 | Non-yedoma |
| 9 | Augustine Zoli* A25 | 67.138 | -150.349 | Non-yedoma |
| 10 | Ping* | 67.136 | -150.370 | Non-yedoma |
| 11 | Grayling* A24 | 66.954 | -150.393 | Non-yedoma |
| 12 | Eugenia* | 65.834 | -149.631 | Yedoma |
| 13 | Goldstream* | 64.916 | -147.847 | Yedoma |
| 14 | Killarney* | 64.870 | -147.901 | Yedoma |
| 15 | Smith A13 | 64.865 | -147.868 | Non-yedoma |
| 16 | Stevens Pond* | 64.863 | -147.871 | Yedoma |
| 17 | Duece A2 | 64.863 | -147.942 | Yedoma |
| 18 | Ace A1 | 64.862 | -147.937 | Yedoma |
| 19 | Rosie Creek* | 64.770 | -148.079 | Yedoma |
| 20 | Otto | 63.842 | -149.037 | Non-yedoma |
| 21 | Floatplane* A16 | 63.394 | -148.670 | Non-yedoma |
| 22 | Montana A40 | 62.143 | -150.048 | Non-yedoma |
| 23 | Rainbow Shore* A41 | 61.694 | -150.089 | Non-yedoma |
| 24 | Big Merganser A49 | 60.726 | -150.644 | Non-yedoma |
| 25 | Rainbow A48 | 60.719 | -150.808 | Non-yedoma |
| 26 | Dolly Varden A47 | 60.704 | -150.787 | Non-yedoma |
| 27 | Abandoned Cabin* A50 | 60.696 | -151.315 | Non-yedoma |
| 28 | Scout A46 | 60.533 | -150.843 | Non-yedoma |
| 29 | Engineer A45 | 60.478 | -150.323 | Non-yedoma |
| 30 | Lower Ohmer A44 | 60.456 | -150.317 | Non-yedoma |

5

1 **Table 2.** Methane oxidation parameters for 30 Alaskan lakes. * indicates median; ND - Not
 2 determined; BDL - Bellow detection limit, yedoma lakes are marked on light grey.

| # | CH ₄ (mg L ⁻¹) | | O ₂ (mg L ⁻¹) | | Potential MO (mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹) | | Actual MO (mg L ⁻¹ d ⁻¹) | | Limiting factor | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------------|--------|---|--------|--|--------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | Winter | Summer | Winter | Summer | Winter | Summer | Winter | Summer | Winter | Summer |
| 1 | 6.43 | 0.05 | 0.13 | 10.53 | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.03 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 2 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.43 | 8.28 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.05 | 0.15 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 3 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 1.73 | 9.80 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.02 | 0.02 | CH ₄ | CH ₄ |
| 4 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 10.09 | 9.46 | BDL | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.06 | CH ₄ | CH ₄ |
| 5 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 9.59 | 9.52 | 0.10 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.05 | CH ₄ | CH ₄ |
| 6 | 0.08 | 0.07 | ND | 9.81 | 0.12 | 0.20 | ND | 0.09 | ND | CH ₄ |
| 7 | ND | 0.06 | ND | 9.65 | ND | 0.18 | ND | 0.04 | ND | CH ₄ |
| 8 | 3.68 | 0.03 | 13.63 | 10.30 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.03 | 0.04 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 9 | 8.83 | 0.11 | 3.64 | 9.87 | 0.05 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.19 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 10 | 3.00 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 6.94 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.03 | 0.03 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 11 | 8.43 | 0.88 | 0.19 | 9.31 | BDL | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.23 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 12 | 0.79 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 6.90 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.11 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 13 | 8.43 | 0.19 | 0.11 | 6.23 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.07 | 0.48 | O ₂ | O ₂ |
| 14 | 12.59 | 0.31 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0.92 | 0.03 | 0.30 | O ₂ | O ₂ |
| 15 | 1.30 | 0.02 | 0.23 | 3.93 | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 0.05 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 16 | ND | 0.72 | 0.11 | 1.36 | ND | 0.34 | ND | 0.20 | O ₂ | O ₂ |
| 17 | 6.60 | 0.59 | 0.19 | 0.57 | 0.06 | 1.34 | 0.01 | 0.54 | ND | O ₂ |
| 18 | 0.70 | 0.03 | 0.14 | 6.74 | 0.02 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 0.15 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 19 | 14.77 | 1.51 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.74 | 0.04 | 0.19 | O ₂ | O ₂ |
| 20 | 1.24 | 0.03 | 0.31 | 9.47 | 0.05 | 0.67 | 0.01 | 0.15 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 21 | ND | 0.04 | ND | 9.52 | ND | 0.33 | ND | 0.08 | ND | CH ₄ |
| 22 | 0.08 | 0.05 | 2.79 | 11.07 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 0.01 | 0.06 | CH ₄ | CH ₄ |
| 23 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 5.84 | 9.59 | 0.02 | 0.68 | 0.01 | 0.33 | CH ₄ | CH ₄ |
| 24 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 12.40 | 9.66 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.02 | 0.08 | CH ₄ | CH ₄ |
| 25 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 11.91 | 10.20 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.12 | 0.04 | CH ₄ | CH ₄ |
| 26 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 10.00 | 10.24 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.02 | CH ₄ | CH ₄ |
| 27 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 7.90 | 9.67 | 0.15 | 0.41 | 0.03 | 0.07 | CH ₄ | CH ₄ |
| 28 | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 9.01 | BDL | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.09 | O ₂ | CH ₄ |
| 29 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 9.13 | 10.19 | 0.02 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.05 | CH ₄ | CH ₄ |
| 30 | ND | 0.03 | ND | 10.25 | ND | 0.38 | ND | 0.11 | ND | CH ₄ |
| | | | | | Mean | | | | | |
| Global | 3.29 | 0.47 | 3.91 | 7.95 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.03 | 0.13 | O ₂ * | CH ₄ * |
| Yedoma | 7.53 | 1.73 | 0.14 | 3.19 | 0.18 | 0.71 | 0.03 | 0.28 | O ₂ * | CH ₄ * |
| Non-yedoma | 2.02 | 0.09 | 5.30 | 9.40 | 0.08 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.09 | CH ₄ * | CH ₄ * |

3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
 10

1 **Figure captions**

2

3 **Figure 1.** Carbon cycling in northern high-latitude lakes during the summer and winter.
4 Carbon (C_{org}) release from primary production and landscape processes promotes CH_4
5 production and competes with MO for O_2 .

6 **Figure 2.** Locations of studied Alaskan lakes (white circles) plotted on the Alaska DEM
7 hillshade raster. Information about the distribution of yedoma-type deposits (Pleistocene-
8 aged, ice-rich silt containing deep thermokarst lakes) was from Jorgenson et al. (2008) and
9 Kanevskiy et al. (2011). The Alaska map is the National Elevation Data Set 30 m hillshade
10 raster.

11 **Figure 3.** Statistical distributions of CH_4 (white boxes) and DO (grey boxes) water
12 concentrations in yedoma and non-yedoma lakes during the winter and summer. The boxes
13 include the median (Q2) and the quartile range (Q1 and Q3). The whiskers show minimum
14 and maximum data. The open circles show outlier data. Capital letters are Kruskal-Wallis
15 multiple comparison test; values with the same capital letter are not significantly different (p
16 < 0.05 , $Z > 1.96$). n represents the number of lakes measured.

17 **Figure 4.** Examples of CH_4 oxidation patterns observed during the MO assays: (a) assay with
18 no lag-phase. (b) assay with a 3-day lag-phase. and (c) assay with no detected activity.
19 Straight lines are linear correlations.

20 **Figure 5.** (a) CH_4 oxidation potential (r_{max}) and (b) CH_4 oxidation rates observed in 30 lakes
21 along a north-south transect (left-right) in Alaska during the summer (white bars) and the
22 winter (black bars).

23 **Figure 6.** Sensitivity analysis of the impact of an error or variation in K_{S-O_2} (a.) and K_{S-CH_4}
24 (b.) on r ; yedoma lakes in winter (—), yedoma lakes in summer (— · — ·), non-yedoma lakes
25 in winter (— · · — · ·) and non-yedoma lakes in summer (-----).

Summer

Winter











