1 Parameterization of biogeochemical sediment-water fluxes using

2 in-situ measurements and a diagenetic model

3 Arnaud Laurent¹*, Katja Fennel¹, Robin Wilson¹, John Lehrter² and Richard Devereux²

⁴ ¹ Department of Oceanography, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

- ⁵ ² US EPA, Gulf Ecology Division, Gulf Breeze, USA
- 6 * Corresponding author
- 7 E-mail: arnaud.laurent@dal.ca

8 Abstract

9 Diagenetic processes are important drivers of water column biogeochemistry in coastal areas. 10 For example, sediment oxygen consumption can be a significant contributor to oxygen depletion 11 in hypoxic systems, and sediment-water nutrient fluxes support primary productivity in the 12 overlying water column. Moreover, non-linearities develop between bottom water conditions and 13 sediment-water fluxes due to loss of oxygen-dependent processes in the sediment as oxygen 14 becomes depleted in bottom waters. Yet, sediment-water fluxes of chemical species are often 15 parameterized crudely in coupled physical-biogeochemical models, using simple linear 16 parameterizations that are only poorly constrained by observations. Diagenetic models that 17 represent sediment biogeochemistry are available, but rarely are coupled to water column 18 biogeochemical models because they are computationally expensive. Here, we apply a method 19 that efficiently parameterizes sediment-water fluxes of oxygen, nitrate and ammonium by 20 combining in situ measurements, a diagenetic model and a parameter optimization method. As a

21 proof of concept, we apply this method to the Louisiana Shelf where high primary production, 22 stimulated by excessive nutrient loads from the Mississippi-Atchafalaya River system, promotes 23 the development of hypoxic bottom waters in summer. The parameterized sediment-water fluxes 24 represent non-linear feedbacks between water column and sediment processes at low bottom 25 water oxygen concentrations, which may persist for long periods (weeks to months) in hypoxic 26 systems such as the Louisiana Shelf. This method can be applied to other systems and is 27 particularly relevant for shallow coastal and estuarine waters where the interaction between 28 sediment and water column is strong and hypoxia is prone to occur due to land-based nutrient 29 loads.

30 1. Introduction

31 Sediment biogeochemistry represents a major component of elemental cycling on continental 32 margins (Middelburg & Soetaert, 2005; Liu et al., 2010). In these shallow, productive areas on 33 average 30% of photosynthetically produced organic matter is deposited and recycled in the 34 sediment (Wollast, 1998). The recycling of this organic material consumes oxygen (O_2) and can 35 result in either a source or a sink of nutrients to the water column (Cowan and Boynton, 1996). 36 For instance, a proportion of the deposited organic matter is remineralized via denitrification which produces biologically unavailable N2 gas. Denitrification represents a major removal 37 38 pathway for nitrogen (N) in coastal areas (Fennel et al., 2009, Bohlen et al., 2012) and buffers 39 the effects of excessive N loads in eutrophic systems (Seitzinger & Nixon, 1985). In this type of 40 environment, high respiration rates in the water column and in the sediment may lead to bottom 41 O_2 depletion under stratified conditions, resulting in bottom water hypoxia ($O_2 < 62.5 \text{ mmol } O_2$ 42 m^{-3}) or anoxia (absence of O_2). Under low O_2 conditions, coupled nitrification-denitrification in 43 the sediment is inhibited and remineralized N may return entirely to the water column as

ammonium (NH⁺₄), readily available to primary producers, which constitutes a positive feedback
on eutrophication (Kemp et al., 1990). Conversely, N removal into N₂ may increase due to direct
denitrification or due to anammox if a source of nitrate/nitrite is available (Neubacher et al.,
2012). O₂-dependent sediment-water interactions are therefore particularly important in low O₂
environments.

49 Clearly, the strong benthic-pelagic interaction is a key aspect of coastal biogeochemistry 50 that needs to be represented accurately in biogeochemical models. However, sediment-water 51 fluxes in models are often difficult to parameterize, being poorly constrained by observations. 52 One of the simplest approaches to parameterizing sediment-water fluxes is using a reflective 53 boundary where fluxes are proportional to particulate organic matter (POM) deposition (e.g. 54 Fennel et al., 2006). Empirical relationships can be used to represent sediment biogeochemical 55 processes, such as denitrification (Fennel et al., 2009) or sediment O₂ consumption (SOC) 56 (Hetland and DiMarco, 2008). An advantage of these first-order sediment-water flux 57 parameterizations is that they are computationally extremely efficient and can be sufficient 58 depending on the type of environment and the focus of the study (Wilson et al., 2013). However, 59 sediment-water flux parameterizations are a coarse representation of sediment-water interaction and typically do not capture non-linearities in nutrient fluxes which occur under hypoxic/anoxic 60 61 conditions. Moreover, the choice of parameterization can have a significant effect on model 62 results as shown in Fennel et al. (2013) where different parameterizations of SOC led to 63 dramatically different regions of hypoxia.

Mechanistic models of diagenesis are more realistic representations of sediment
biogeochemistry (Rabouille & Gaillard, 1991; Soetaert & Herman, 1995; Soetaert et al., 1996a;
DiToro, 2001, Meysman et al., 2003a,b). They are forced by POM deposition and bottom water

67	conditions, and simulate aerobic and anaerobic remineralization pathways including processes
68	such as nitrification, denitrification, the anaerobic production of reduced substances-
69	represented either explicitly or lumped together in O ₂ demand units (ODU)—and the resulting
70	flux of O_2 and nutrients across the sediment-water interface. While these models have been
71	useful for studies of sediment biogeochemistry (Middelburg et al., 1996; Soetaert et al., 1996b;
72	Boudreau et al, 1998; Meysman et al., 2003b) and for improving our understanding of sediment-
73	water interactions (Katsev et al, 2007; Reed et al, 2011), their coupling to water column
74	processes in biogeochemical circulation models is often limited or done at the expense of spatial
75	resolution (Eldridge and Roelke, 2010) because of the increased computational cost.
76	Furthermore, the diagenetic model parameter sets are often poorly constrained by observations
77	and therefore these models do not necessarily perform better than the simple parameterizations
78	(Wilson et al., 2013).

79 An alternative, computationally more efficient approach is to parameterize sediment-80 water fluxes from a diagenetic model using a meta-model of diagenetic processes, as 81 recommended by Soetaert et al. (2000). Their mass conservative method is more realistic than 82 the simple reflective boundary and computationally more efficient than a mechanistic model of diagenesis. The method requires addition of a vertically integrated pool of sedimentary 83 84 particulate organic matter for each horizontal grid cell thus enabling a mass balanced approach, 85 but adding a layer of complexity to the water column model. Here we further simplify the metamodeling method of Soetaert et al (2000) by direct meta-modeling of sediment-water fluxes. Our 86 method parameterizes sediment-water fluxes of O_2 , NO_3 and NH_4^+ in a coupled biogeochemical 87 88 circulation model using in-situ measurements, a mechanistic model of early diagenesis and a 89 parameter optimization technique. The method is universal but its application is region-specific

Parameterization of sediment-water fluxes on the Louisiana shelf

90 due to the local characteristics of the sediment, e.g. sediment quality (POM concentration and 91 lability), type (porosity) and species composition (bioturbation) that influence local sediment 92 biogeochemistry and sediment-water fluxes and are reflected in the choice of diagenetic model 93 parameters. We apply this method to the Louisiana Shelf in the northern Gulf of Mexico, where 94 hypoxia develops annually due to eutrophication (Rabalais et al., 2002).

First, we calibrate the diagenetic model with the help of a genetic optimization algorithm using a set of observations collected on the Louisiana Shelf. We then implement the calibrated model to simulate time-resolved sediment biogeochemistry in the region and use the model results to compute a meta-model parameterization of sediment-water fluxes for O_2 , NH_4^+ and NO_3^- . Finally, we compare the fluxes parameterized with the meta-model with previous relationships used for the Louisiana Shelf.

101 **2.** Materials and methods

102 **2.1. Observations**

103 The data used for optimization of the diagenetic model parameters were collected at two 104 locations along the 20 m isobath on the Louisiana Shelf (Figure 1) during 3 cruises in April, June 105 and September 2006 (Murrell et al., 2013). The two locations experience hypoxia in summer but 106 have distinct hydrographic and biological regimes. Station Z02 (see Murrell et al., 2013, for 107 details on sampling design) is located off Terrebonne Bay on the eastern Louisiana Shelf and is 108 influenced by river discharges from the Mississippi Delta with high primary productivity and 109 high POM depositional flux. Station Z03 is located southwest of Atchafalaya Bay on the western 110 Louisiana Shelf with somewhat higher salinity and lower chlorophyll concentrations than station 111 Z02 (Lehrter et al., 2009; 2012). The dataset includes bottom water properties (temperature,

112	salinity, O_2 and nutrients, Table 1), sediment-water fluxes (O_2 , nutrients) and NH_4^+ sediment
113	profiles (Figure 2). On each date, eight sediment cores were collected at each station (3 for O_2
114	flux, 3 for nutrient fluxes and 2 for sediment profiles). O ₂ and nutrient fluxes were measured on
115	site from triplicate individual incubations in sediment chambers. Sediment NH_4^+ concentration
116	was measured for each 2 cm bin in the duplicate sediment cores. Bottom water temperature and
117	salinity were measured with a CTD, whereas O ₂ and nutrient concentrations were measured in
118	the water overlying the sediment cores. Details on the dataset are available in Lehrter et al.
119	(2012), Murrell et al. (2013) and Devereux et al. (2015).

120

2.2. Sediment flux parameterization

121 The parameterization of sediment-water fluxes was derived using output from a diagenetic 122 model. The diagenetic model was first optimized using the observational dataset described in the 123 previous section. The optimized diagenetic model was then run multiple times to derive meta-124 model parameterizations.

125 **2.2.1. Diagenetic model**

126 The diagenetic model represents the dynamics of the key constituents of the sediment (solids and 127 pore water) involved in early diagenesis, as formulated by Soetaert et al. (1996a,b). The model is 128 vertically resolved, and represents the upper 10 cm of the sediment using 10 layers with 129 increasing resolution toward the surface. The diagenetic model has 6 state variables: the solid 130 volume of organic carbon (OC), which is split into a labile class (which remineralizes rapidly) and a refractory class (which remineralizes slowly), NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, O₂ and ODU. Reduced 131 132 substances produced by anoxic remineralization are added to the ODU pool rather than being 133 explicitly modeled. Model processes include aerobic remineralization, nitrification,

134 denitrification, anaerobic remineralization and ODU oxidation. Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to 135 ammonium (DNRA) and anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox) are not explicitly 136 represented in the model. Vertical transport of solid and pore water constituents depend on 137 sedimentation of POM to the sediment, and on diffusion, bioturbation and permanent burial. The 138 burial of ODU refers to the deposition of ODUs as solids (e.g., pyrite, manganese carbonate) 139 below the bioturbated zone (Soetaert et al., 1996a). The model simulates sediment-water fluxes of pore water constituents, namely NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻, O₂ and ODU. We assume that ODUs are oxidized 140 141 instantaneously in the water column when O₂ is available. Therefore, the net O₂ flux into the 142 sediment is the addition of the direct O₂ flux necessary for nitrification, oxidation of ODUs and of POM in the sediment, termed SOC, plus the O2 sink in bottom waters necessary to oxidize any 143 144 ODU efflux from the sediment.

The original model of Soetaert et al. (1996a,b) was modified as follows. A temperaturedependency was introduced for the remineralization of the two organic matter pools and the bioturbation of solids following a Q₁₀ relationship such that:

$$R_i(T) = R_i^{T_b} \times \theta^{(T-T_b)/10} \tag{1}$$

148 where $R_i(T)$ and $R_i^{T_b}$ (y⁻¹) are the remineralization or bioturbation at ambient temperature (*T*; 149 °C) and at the base temperature (T_b ; °C) (i.e., $R_1^{T_b}$ and $R_2^{T_b}$ for remineralization and Dbio₀ for 150 bioturbation, Table 2) and θ is the Q₁₀ factor. In the updated model temperature thus influences 151 the solute diffusivity, the degradability of the two OM pools and bioturbation. This modification 152 allows for the representation of temperature-dependence of microbial processes in the sediment 153 (aerobic respiration, denitrification and anaerobic metabolism), which is known to be important 154 in coastal systems (see, e.g., Fig. 5 in Wilson et al. 2013). Nitrification is not temperature

dependent in the diagenetic model. It is assumed that O₂ concentration is the main factor limiting
nitrification in the Louisiana Shelf sediments.

Non-local mixing of pore water constituents due to bioturbation (irrigation) was also
introduced and formulated following Boudreau (1997) such that:

$$I(z) = \alpha(z) \cdot (C_{ow} - C(z)) \tag{2}$$

where I(z) (µmol L⁻¹ y⁻¹) is the irrigation at depth z, C_{ow} and C(z) (µmol L⁻¹) are the solute 159 160 concentration at the sediment-water interface and at depth z in the sediment, respectively. $\alpha(z)$ is the rate of non-local exchanges at depth z such that $\alpha(z) = \alpha_0 \cdot f(z)$, where α_0 (y⁻¹) is the rate at 161 z = 0 and f(z) is a function representing the decay of α with depth. Here, f(z) is the same 162 163 function as for the bioturbation of solids (Soetaert et al., 1996a). Bioturbation and non-local 164 mixing of solutes are not dependent on O₂ in the model. Such a dependence could be introduced 165 to account for repeated cycles of eradication/re-establishment of macrofauna due to anoxia. However, given the limited information on the relationship between porewater O₂, infauna 166 biomass and irrigation in this region (Eldridge and Morse, 2008), we assumed that macrobiota 167 168 does not re-establish itself in the regions affected by recurring severe seasonal hypoxia or anoxia 169 on the Louisiana Shelf and thus do not expect a strong dependence of bioturbation and 170 bioirrigation on O₂.

The model has a total of 36 parameters (Table 2). Sediment porosity parameters were chosen to obtain a porosity profile that is within the range observed on the Louisiana Shelf. Given a lack of observations, the nitrogen to carbon ratio (N:C; mol N (mol C)⁻¹) of the labile and refractory fraction of OC were fixed to constant values following Wilson et al. (2013). The

175 assumption is that N:C follows Redfield (Redfield et al., 1963) in the labile fraction (N:C = $(N + 1)^{1/2}$) 176 0.15), whereas the proportion of carbon increases in the refractory fraction (N:C = 0.10). Since 177 deposited OC mainly originates from local primary production on the shallow Louisiana Shelf 178 (Redalje et al., 1994; Justić et al., 1996; Rowe and Chapman, 2002), labile OC is assumed to 179 represent 74% of total OC in deposited material. This value was used by Soetaert et al. (1996a) 180 to represent the fraction of labile organic matter in surface waters and is in line with previous 181 modeling investigations of the Louisiana Shelf (Justić et al., 1996; Eldridge and Morse, 2008). 182 However, inshore areas adjacent to river discharge may have higher fraction of terrestrial organic 183 matter. The exponential decay coefficient for bioturbation was set as in the original model 184 (Soetaert et al., 1996a).

Solute-specific diffusion coefficients $(D_i^T; \operatorname{cm}^2 \operatorname{d}^{-1})$ at ambient temperature *T* were calculated following Soetaert et al. (1996a) and Li & Gregory (1974) such that $D_i^T = D_i + \alpha_i T$, where D_i (cm² d⁻¹) is the solute-specific diffusion coefficient at 0°C and α_i (cm² d⁻¹ (°C)⁻¹) is the solute-specific temperature dependency coefficient (Table 2). The 20 remaining parameters of the diagenetic model (Table 2) were optimized to obtain the best match between the observed and simulated sediment profiles and sediment-water fluxes.

191

2.2.2. Parameter optimization

The diagenetic model parameters were first optimized to match the sediment-water fluxes and sediment NH_4^+ concentrations observed in April, June and September 2006 at station Z02 and Z03. The sampling frequency at these stations did not allow construction of a reasonable time-dependent forcing dataset for the diagenetic model (i.e. solute concentrations in overlying water, POM deposition). Thus, we didn't run the optimization in a time-dependent mode; instead

Parameterization of sediment-water fluxes on the Louisiana shelf

197 the model was run for 300 days with constant forcing for each time and location where 198 observations were available. During the optimization the model was forced with observed bottom water conditions, namely salinity, temperature, NH_4^+ , NO_3^- , and O_2 (Table 1). Since no 199 200 observations of POM depositional flux were available, POM depositional fluxes were prescribed 201 using monthly means calculated for station Z02 and Z03 from a multivear biogeochemical model 202 simulation (see Section 2.2.3). The mean depositional fluxes do not represent short-lived 203 deposition events which is appropriate for a model with constant forcing. 204 Optimization of the parameter set was carried out with the help of an evolutionary 205 algorithm. This stochastic technique mimics natural selection by iteratively selecting the "fittest" 206 set of parameters to reproduce the observations. The evolutionary algorithm is a well accepted 207 method for optimization problems (Hibbert, 1993; Fogel, 1994; Chatterjee et al., 1996; Kolda et 208 al., 2003) and has been increasingly used to optimize parameters in biogeochemical models 209 (Kuhn et al., 2015; Robson et al., 2008; Schartau and Oschlies, 2003; Ward et al., 2010). The 210 technique was successfully used for the optimization of parameters of Soetaert et al.'s (1996a) 211 diagenetic model in two independent studies (Wilson et al., 2013; Wood et al., 2013). The 212 advantage of the evolutionary algorithm over traditionally used gradient-descent algorithms is 213 that it explores the parameter space with an element of randomness and therefore is less prone to 214 converging on a local minimum. Each parameter is given a range of variation within which the 215 algorithm will search for the best value to match the observations. Regardless of which 216 minimization technique is used, gradient-descent or an evolutionary algorithm, some parameters 217 may not be identifiable because they are unconstrained by the available observations (Soetaert et 218 al., 1998; Fennel et al., 2001).

219 The evolutionary algorithm works as follows. Each set of parameters is considered to be 220 a single individual. An initial set of n individuals includes the initial parameter set and n-1221 individuals generated randomly from this initial set of parameters through the addition of log-222 normally distributed random noise. The diagenetic model is run with the *n* parameter sets, and 223 the difference between the results and observations is quantified using a cost function, which 224 measures the misfit between the observations and their model counterparts. The fittest n/2225 individuals, i.e. those with the lowest cost, become the parent population and a next generation 226 of n/2 individuals (child population) is created by recombination of the parameters from the 227 fitter half of the population and by mutation, which occurs through the addition of random noise. 228 The model is run again for all the parameter sets of the child population, and the above procedure 229 repeated for k generations. The fittest individual after k generations is the optimized parameter 230 set. Here, we used n = 30 population members and k = 200 generations. The chosen value of k 231 is large enough to allow the results to converge.

232 Ideally a single parameter set should capture the temporal and spatial variability of 233 sediment processes throughout the Louisiana Shelf. For this reason, the diagenetic model was 234 run with identical parameters in all 6 model configurations (3 dates, 2 locations), each 235 corresponding to a set of observed bottom water conditions plus estimated F_{POM} (Table 1). 236 Model results were compared with their corresponding set of sediment observations (NH₄⁺ 237 porewater concentrations and sediment-water fluxes) using a cost function that includes all 238 model variables at the 6 locations/times. The smaller the cost, the fitter is an individual (i.e. 239 parameter set) during the evolutionary optimization process. The cost function F for the 240 parameter set \vec{p} was calculated as follows:

$$F(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{s=1}^{l} \sum_{t=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{w_i} \times \frac{(X_{s,t,i}^{mod}(\vec{p}) - X_{s,t,i}^{obs})^2}{\sigma_{s,t,i}^2} \right) \right)$$
(3)

where s refers to locations Z02 and Z03, t is the sampling date (3 in 2006) and i is the

observation type: 3 sediment-water fluxes (SOC, NH_4^+ and NO_3^-) and 1 sediment profile (NH_4^+). 242 X^{obs} and X^{mod} represent the observed and simulated variable, respectively; $\sigma_{s,t,i}^2$ is the 243 244 observation standard deviation; and $1/w_i$ represents the weight of each variable in the cost function. The values of w_i were calculated for each variable *i* as the cost of a diagenetic model 245 run using the initial parameter set p_0 such that $w_i = F_i(p_0)$. The weight gives the variables 246 247 approximately equal influence on the overall cost, at least initially. The weighting approach is common in parameter optimization studies (see, e.g., Friedrichs, 2001; Schartau and Oschlies, 248 249 2003; Friedrichs et al., 2007; Kane et al., 2011). To avoid biasing the cost calculation toward the NH_4^+ profiles we computed an average cost per profile. 250

251 The sensitivity of the optimized model to parameter changes was assessed by 252 successively varying each parameter by $\pm 50\%$ and calculating the change in the total cost. Then 253 the influence of observations and forcing datasets on the optimization results was assessed as 254 follows. First, the optimization was carried out for each station individually (to obtain site-255 specific parameters); then sediment profiles were excluded from the optimization (to obtain site-256 specific parameters optimized for flux data only) and, finally, POM depositional fluxes were 257 included as additional parameters in the optimization rather than prescribed (to obtain site-258 specific parameters and F_{POM} optimized for flux data only).

259

2.2.3. Meta-modeling procedure

Parameterization of sediment-water fluxes on the Louisiana shelf

260 Our meta-modeling procedure parameterizes sediment-water fluxes by means of a multivariate 261 regression model that relates bottom water conditions and depositional flux to sediment-water 262 fluxes, and was used here to parameterize Louisiana Shelf fluxes at the sediment-water interface. 263 Using a meta-model of sediment-water fluxes is a simplification of the method proposed by 264 Soetaert et al. (2000) who used a meta-model of diagenetic processes (rates) instead. The aim of 265 our technique is to combine the simplicity and efficiency of a sediment-water flux 266 parameterization with the realism of a diagenetic model. It is important to note that our simplified meta-model is not mass conservative; however, as long as the method is used for the 267 268 system for which it was developed and within the range of conditions that were used for the 269 parameterization, violation of mass conservation should be minor. An advantage of our 270 simplification is that it does not require knowledge of integrated POM concentration in the 271 sediment.

272 In order to obtain the meta-model parameterization the diagenetic model was run many 273 times in time-varying mode using the single parameter set optimized for the Louisiana Shelf. The 274 diagenetic model was forced with multi-year time series of bottom water conditions obtained 275 from a biogeochemical circulation model of the Louisiana Shelf based on the Regional Ocean 276 Modeling System (ROMS; Figure 3). The simulation is described in Fennel et al. (2013) (case 277 B20clim) and covers the period from 2004 to 2009. The same simulation was used to prescribe 278 POM depositional fluxes during the parameter optimization. For details on the model set up and 279 validation we refer the reader to Fennel et al. (2013). We included only those grid cells on the 280 Louisiana Shelf (z < 50 m) and west of the Mississippi River delta. Each grid cell (3791 in total) provides a time series of bottom water temperature, salinity, NO₃, NH₄⁺, O₂ and POM 281 282 depositional flux conditions that was used to run the optimized diagenetic model. We consider

2004 as a spin up year for the diagenetic model and selected the period 2005-2009 for analysis.
Half of the data from each simulation were randomly chosen to derive the meta-model. The
multivariate meta-model regressions were then calculated to relate bottom water conditions and
depositional flux (model inputs) to the corresponding sediment-water fluxes (model output)
using the 3.45 · 10⁶ data vectors. To validate the meta-model we calculated correlation
coefficients between the remaining data of each diagenetic model simulation (i.e. at each model
grid location) and the corresponding meta-model results.

Each regression model is expressed as follows:

$$y = a + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i x_i + c_i x_i^2 + d_i x_i^3)$$
(4)

where each x_i corresponds to an explanatory variable *i*, and *a*, b_i , c_i and d_i are the coefficients for the zero-order term, the regular term (x_i) , the squared term (x_i^2) and the cubic term (x_i^3) , respectively.

294 **2.3.** Other flux parameterizations

295 The meta-model parameterizations are compared with three other sediment-water flux

296 parameterizations that have been used previously in our biogeochemical circulation model for

the northern Gulf of Mexico (reviewed by Fennel et al., 2013). All three parameterizations

- represent SOC and NH₄⁺ flux only. The first (Eq. 5-6), referred to as IR, assumes instantaneous
- remineralization of deposited PON into NH_4^+ while a fraction of N is lost through denitrification.
- 300 IR is formulated as follows (Fennel et al., 2006; 2009):

$$F_{\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{IR}}^{IR} = r_{\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}} \cdot (w_{P}Phy + w_{S}SDet + w_{L}LDet),$$
(5)

$$F_{O_2}^{IR} = -r_{O_2:NH_4^+} F_{NH_4^+}^{IR}, \tag{6}$$

301 with $r_{NH_4^+} = 4/16 \text{ mmol NH}_4^+$ per mol PON and $r_{O_2:N} = 115/16 \text{ mmol O}_2$ per mol NH₄⁺. w_P , 302 w_S and w_L are the sinking rate of phytoplankton (Phy) and small (SDet) and large (LDet) 303 detritus, respectively.

The other two parameterizations assume that SOC depends on bottom water O_2 and temperature (*T*) only and ignore POM deposition. One, referred to as H&D (Eq. 7), is from Hetland & DiMarco (2008). The other, referred to as M&L (Eq. 8), is from Murrell & Lehrter (2011) with a temperature-dependence added by Fennel et al. (2013). Sediment-water O_2 fluxes are formulated as follows:

$$F_{O_2}^{H\&D} = 6 \cdot 2^{T/10} \cdot \left(1 - e^{-O_2/30}\right),\tag{7}$$

$$F_{O_2}^{M\&L} = 0.0235 \cdot 2^{T/10} \cdot O_2, \tag{8}$$

309 For each parameterization x the sediment-water NH_4^+ flux is a function of SOC such that:

$$F_{\rm NH_4^+}^x = -r_{\rm NH_4^+:SOC} F_{\rm O_2}^x,\tag{9}$$

310 with $r_{\text{NH}_4^+:SOC} = 0.036 \text{ mmol NH}_4^+ \text{ per mmol O}_2$.

311 **3. Results**

312 **3.1. Diagenetic model parameter optimization**

313 Optimization of the diagenetic model parameters lowered the cost function (Eq. 3) significantly compared to the original parameter set (Table 3). NH₄⁺ profiles and sediment-water fluxes 314 315 simulated with the optimized parameters are, in most cases, within two standard deviations of the 316 observations (Figure 2). Simulated O₂ fluxes match the observations at station Z02 but are 317 underestimated somewhat in April and June at station Z03. Observed O₂ fluxes are relatively high in April and June at station Z03 despite low sediment-water nutrient fluxes and NH₄⁺ 318 319 concentration in the sediment. Observed O₂ flux had a very large standard deviation in April at station Z03 and therefore did not influence the optimization. NH_4^+ and NO_3^- fluxes represent a 320 321 more difficult problem for the optimization and therefore their cost is larger, especially at station 322 Z03. Overall, sediment-water fluxes are better simulated at station Z02 and therefore station Z03 323 contributes more the total cost for the optimized parameter set (Table 3). Temporal variations in NH_4^+ and NO_3^- fluxes are in qualitative agreement with observations although the model 324 underestimates their magnitudes (Figure 2). The model is able to simulate observed NO_3^- flux 325 326 realistically, in particular the observed NO₃ flux into the sediment under low bottom O₂ conditions (Figure 2). Within the sediment, simulated NH₄⁺ concentrations agree with 327 observations in April and June, but are underestimated in September. High NH⁺₄ concentrations 328 were observed at station Z02 at this time despite low NH⁺₄ effluxes from the sediment. Note that 329 the observations have large standard deviations for this case and therefore this NH₄⁺ sediment 330 profile had only a small influence on the optimization. Some of the observed NH₄⁺ profiles in 331 April and September display a gradient at depth (Figure 2) that the diagenetic model might not 332

be able to resolve. There is also a deep negative gradient in the simulated profiles in April
indicating that the model didn't reach full steady state conditions at depth. However, this
mismatch at depth has a limited effect on sediment-water fluxes.

336 Within the optimized parameter set, several parameter values reached the lower or upper 337 edge of their allowed range, which can be informative about the dynamics of the system (Table 338 2). Except for the bioturbation diffusivity (Dbio₀), all other parameters associated with 339 bioturbation reduced the effect of bioturbation on sediment-water fluxes over the course of the 340 optimization: the depth of the bioturbated layer (z_{bio}) decreased to 1 cm; the optimized Q_{10} factor 341 for bioturbation (θ_{bio}) moved to the lower limit of the Q₁₀ range (2 < θ < 3); and the non-local 342 mixing coefficient (α_0) was reduced to a small value essentially removing the influence of non-343 local mixing from the system. In addition to the reduction in bioturbation, permanent burial of 344 ODUs does not occur in the optimized model (PB = 0, Table 2). Conversely, the optimized Q_{10} 345 factors for the remineralization of the slow (θ_{r1}) and fast (θ_{r2}) decaying pools of organic matter 346 are at their upper limits indicating a strong dependence of remineralization on temperature 347 (Table 2). For denitrification, the optimized value for the inhibition effect of NO_3^- (k_{dnf}) is low compared to the original parameter, whereas the inhibition effect of O₂ (kindnf) is high (Table 2). 348 349 The inhibition effect of O_2 on nitrification (k_{nit}) and of NO_3^- ($k_{in_{anox}}$) and O_2^- ($k_{in_{odu}}$) on anaerobic 350 remineralization is small in comparison to the original parameters. The maximum rate of 351 nitrification (Nit) is significantly higher than in the original parameter set (Table 2).

We examined the sources of model-data discrepancies by sequentially releasing part of the constraints on the parameter optimization (Figure 2, Table 3). Optimizing station Z02 and Z03 separately improves the total cost by decreasing the cost associated with NH⁺₄ and NO⁻₃

355	fluxes (Table 3), in particular for NO_3^- at station Z02 (Figure 3, Table 3). Removing the
356	constraint of sediment NH_4^+ profiles from the optimization improves the total cost further
357	(Table 3). This is due, in part, to the absence of NH_4^+ profiles from the cost calculation, but also
358	to somewhat improved sediment-water fluxes (Figure 2). The best agreement between simulated
359	and observed sediment-water fluxes is achieved by including POM depositional fluxes as
360	additional parameter to optimize (Figure 3, Table 3). In this case POM deposition is increased in
361	June ($\times 2$ and $\times 1.3$ at station Z02 and Z03, respectively) and reduced in spring ($\times 0.5$ and $\times 0.25$
362	at station Z02 and Z03, respectively) and fall ($\times 0.5$ at station Z03) and the cost associated with
363	NO_3^- and NH_4^+ fluxes decreases significantly (Table 3). However, when NH_4^+ profiles are not
364	included in the cost calculation there is a large deviation between observed and modeled
365	sediment NH_4^+ concentrations (not included in the cost). The root mean square error for the
366	sediment profiles increases from 87.59 mmol N $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ for the baseline case to 174.45 mmol N
367	$m^{-2} d^{-1}$ (<i>Site-specific, flux only</i>) and 111.86 mmol N $m^{-2} d^{-1}$ (<i>Site-specific, flux only</i> + <i>F</i> _{POM}).
368	Since the parameter set with all constraints best represents sediment-water fluxes and NH_4^+
369	sediment concentrations throughout the Louisiana Shelf, it is used subsequently to parameterize
370	sediment-water fluxes and is referred to as baseline.
271	For most of the peremeter set, the entimized model is inconsitive to peremeter variation

For most of the parameter set, the optimized model is insensitive to parameter variation (Figure 5). The most sensitive process in the diagenetic model is the remineralization of the fast decaying organic matter pool, since the optimized model is sensitive to all the associated parameters, namely the remineralization of the fast decaying organic matter pool ($R_2(T)$), the base temperature (T_b) and the Q₁₀ factor for fast decaying organic matter (θ_{r1}) in the Q₁₀ relationship. The optimized model is also sensitive to the variation in POM deposition rates at

377 station Z03 ($F_{POM}3_x$), mainly in June. Variation in deposition rates at station Z02, however, does 378 not influence the overall cost. The sensitivity to parameters or model forcing related to organic 379 matter is not surprizing given the high magnitude and large temporal and spatial variations in 380 POM deposition in this region. Nonetheless, it highlights the overall uncertainty in the optimized 381 model due to the lack of observations on depositional flux. The difference in sensitivities to the 382 depositional flux at stations Z02 and Z03 can be explained by the magnitude of the total cost, 383 which is higher at station Z03 (Table 3). The cost at station Z02 is sensitive to the POM 384 deposition rate (e.g. >300% increase in April), but since the cost at station Z03 is much higher, 385 the effect on the total cost is small. The uncertainty associated with POM deposition rates is then 386 larger at station Z03. To a lesser extent, the optimized model is sensitive to the bioturbation diffusivity (D_{bio_0}) and to the maximum rate of nitrification (Nit). The cost is largest for NO_3^- flux 387 388 (Table 3), which indicates that the optimization has more difficulty fitting the observations for this flux. The sensitivity of the optimized value for nitrification rate, which influence NO₃ flux, 389 390 is therefore higher.

391

3.2. Meta-modeling parameterization

A meta-model of sediment-water fluxes was derived using simulations with the optimized diagenetic model, as described in section 2.2.3. The coefficients of the meta-model parameterizations for O_2 , NH_4^+ and NO_3^- sediment-water fluxes and the range of bottom water conditions used for the parameterization are presented in Table 4. Each parameterization is able to reproduce the sediment-water fluxes simulated with the diagenetic model (Figure 6). The spatially resolved correlation coefficients are above 0.8 for most of the Louisiana Shelf for O_2 and NH_4^+ fluxes and above 0.6 for NO_3^- fluxes (Figure 6). The parameterization fails to retrieve

the simulated fluxes in some limited areas near the offshore limit of the shelf. Bottom water
conditions for depths greater than 50 m were not included in the meta-modeling
parameterization, which explains why the meta-model does not perform well at a few limited
areas along the 50 m isobath.

403 Overall, the main contributors to the meta-model are temperature, salinity and O₂ (Table 404 4). The average contribution of POM deposition is low (Table 4, Figure 7). The time dependency 405 between POM deposition and sediment-water fluxes is implicit in the meta-model and therefore 406 instant POM deposition is not a good predictor of sediment-water fluxes. Temperature is the 407 largest contributor for all fluxes (Table 4) and is associated with the seasonal variation in 408 sediment-water fluxes. Salinity is not included in the diagenetic model but is a significant 409 contributor in the meta-model because it is associated with the spatial variation in sedimentwater fluxes on the Louisiana Shelf. Bottom water O_2 has a growing effect on NH_4^+ and NO_3^- flux 410 under hypoxic conditions (Table 4, Figure 6). When bottom water O₂ is low, NH⁺₄ flux increases 411 412 with decreasing O₂. More deposited particulate organic N is thus returned to the water column as NH_4^+ . O₂ concentration controls both the direction and intensity of NO_3^- flux in the meta-model. 413 With oxygenated bottom waters, NO_3^- flux depends on bottom NO_3^- concentration due to NO_3^- 414 diffusion across the sediment-water interface. NO_3^- flux is into the sediment when the bottom 415 water NO_3^- concentration is high and out of the sediment when the bottom water NO_3^- 416 concentration is low. When bottom waters are hypoxic, NO_3^- flux is oriented into the sediment, 417 418 which then becomes a sink for water column NO_3 (Figure 7).

Parameterization of sediment-water fluxes on the Louisiana shelf

419 By using simulated bottom water conditions from our biogeochemical circulation model 420 as input for the meta-model we can assess the spatial and temporal variability in parameterized 421 sediment-water fluxes over the Louisiana Shelf (see Figure 8 and 9). Sediment-water fluxes were 422 computed from the meta-model in mid August 2009 (Figure 8) and throughout 2009 at station 423 Z02 and Z03 (Figure 9). Bottom water conditions are presented in Figure 3. The spatial distribution of parameterized O₂ and NH⁺₄ fluxes are somewhat similar (Figure 8), with large 424 425 fluxes near Atchafalaya Bay and the Mississippi River delta where POM deposition is high in late Spring (> 5 mmol N m⁻² d⁻¹, Figure 3). Patches of moderate to high NH₄⁺ flux (1–4 mmol N 426 $m^{-2} d^{-1}$) occur southwest of Terrebonne Bay and further west on the shelf where bottom waters 427 are hypoxic (Figure 8). NO_3^- flux follows the distribution of bottom water O_2 on the shelf with 428 429 flux into the sediment in hypoxic areas and flux out of the sediment elsewhere (Figure 8).

The time series at stations Z02 and Z03 indicate high temporal variability in 430 431 parameterized sediment-water fluxes in summer (Figure 9) that are driven by rapid changes in 432 bottom water conditions (Figure 3). The difference in the magnitude of O₂ flux is large between 433 the two stations and coincides with the distinct POM deposition rate at the two stations in spring 434 and early summer (Figure 9). This time-dependent effect is implicit in the meta-model. A similar pattern occurs for NH_4^+ flux at station Z02 (Figure 9). The annual peak in NH_4^+ flux occurs under 435 hypoxic conditions. In late summer and fall, transient hypoxic conditions at station Z03 result in 436 enhanced NH_4^+ flux to the water column. The direction and magnitude of NO_3^- fluxes closely 437 438 follows the O₂ concentration in bottom water. Hypoxic conditions starting in early July at station 439 Z02 result in a switch from efflux of NO_3^- from the sediment to influx of NO_3^- into the sediment

440 (Figure 9). As for NH₄⁺, rapid reversal in NO₃⁻ flux direction in late summer and fall at station
441 Z03 is associated with changes between oxic and hypoxic conditions.

442 **3.3.** Comparison with other parameterizations

Here we explore the differences between the meta-models and the three sediment-water flux 443 444 parameterizations we used previously in our ROMS models for the Louisiana Shelf, i.e. IR, which assumes instant remineralization of deposited POM, and H&D and M&L, which are 445 446 functions of bottom temperature and O₂ concentration only. In contrast to the H&D and M&L 447 parameterizations, O₂ flux has a relatively weak sensitivity to bottom water O₂ concentrations in 448 the meta-model (Figure 10). O₂ flux decreases at low bottom water O₂ concentration but does not 449 stop in anoxic conditions, as it is the case for H&D and M&L. In the model, at low O₂, ODUs 450 become the dominant O₂ sink (due to ODU oxidation in the water column) and therefore the O₂ 451 sink can be significant despite the lack of O_2 in bottom waters. Similar to the IR 452 parameterization, O₂ flux increases with PON depositional flux, but this effect is much weaker in 453 the meta-model (Figure 10).

The NH₄⁺ flux parameterized with the meta-model falls within the range of the H&D and M&L parameterizations when O₂ is available (O₂ > 50 mmol O₂ m⁻³, Figure 11). However, the meta-model differs significantly from H&D and M&L in hypoxic conditions; NH₄⁺ flux increases with decreasing O₂, opposite to the H&D and M&L parameterizations. As for O₂ flux, the increase in NH₄⁺ flux with PON deposition is weaker than in the IR parameterization (Figure 11). In the meta-model, the NH₄⁺ flux is larger than in IR under hypoxic conditions and low PON deposition, and lower than in IR at high deposition.

461 Sediment-water fluxes were calculated by applying the meta-models to output from the 462 biogeochemical circulation model and are compared to those parameterized with the H&D 463 parameterization (Figure 12). O₂ fluxes are larger in the meta-model in the areas of hypoxia near 464 the Mississippi and Atchafalaya river mouths and on the mid shelf (see Figure 7). O₂ fluxes are 465 smaller in the meta-model in other regions, especially on the western Louisiana Shelf where bottom water salinity and O₂ concentrations are elevated. NH₄⁺ flux is also much higher in the 466 meta-model in regions where hypoxia occurs (Figure 12). In the other areas NH_4^+ flux is slightly 467 468 lower in the meta-model.

469 **4. Discussion**

470 The meta-model procedure for parameterizing sediment-water fluxes requires a diagenetic model 471 that realistically represents sediment processes. In order to obtain such a realistic diagenetic 472 model for the Louisiana Shelf we optimized a modified version of Soetaert et al.'s model 473 (1996a), which captures the main temporal variations in sediment biogeochemistry, sediment NH⁺₄ concentration and sediment-water fluxes at the two sampling locations on the eastern and 474 475 western Louisiana Shelf. An issue with the optimization of large parameter sets in diagenetic 476 models is the poor identifiability of some parameters that results in a large uncertainty in their 477 value (Soetaert et al., 1998). This caveat in our optimization approach would not be alleviated by 478 using a different type of optimization. Several methods have been proposed to estimate 479 parameter identifiability and uncertainty (Soetaert et al., 1998; Soetaert and Petzoldt, 2010, 480 Fennel et al. 2001). However, a more complete set of observations would be necessary. The 481 available observations were also not sufficient to allow running the diagenetic model in a time-482 dependent mode and therefore the optimization was carried out with constant forcing conditions. 483 To evaluate the effect of parameter variations (i.e. uncertainty) on the model results we carried

Parameterization of sediment-water fluxes on the Louisiana shelf

out a sensitivity analysis on the optimized model. A key driver of diagenetic processes is POM
deposition and the remineralization of the labile deposited POM is the most sensitive parameter
in the model. Observations of POM deposition were not available and using average rates of
POM deposition from a biogeochemical model, as we have done here, is an additional source of
uncertainty. This is demonstrated by the improved agreement between simulated and observed
sediment-water fluxes when including POM deposition in the optimization.

490 Some of the discrepancies between model and observations can also be attributed to the 491 imposition of a single parameter set. For example, sediment porosity and bioturbation are 492 interdependent (Mulsow et al., 1998) and influence sediment-water fluxes (Aller, 1982). They 493 are known to vary spatially on the Louisiana Shelf (Lehrter et al., 2012; Briggs et al., 2014), 494 which is not represented in the optimized parameter set. This limitation could be resolved by 495 introducing spatially dependent bioturbation and porosity coefficients; however, a much larger 496 spatially resolved dataset would be necessary to obtain these dependencies. Another limitation is the observed deep gradient in some of the NH⁺₄ profiles (e.g. in April), whereas the diagenetic 497 498 model imposes a no gradient boundary condition a depth. Some mismatch between model and 499 observations may also be generated by missing processes in the diagenetic model. As in earlier 500 studies of the Louisiana Shelf (Morse and Eldridge, 2007; Eldridge and Morse, 2008), the 501 diagenetic model does not represent DNRA and anammox. Although DNRA can be an important 502 contributor to the N cycle under severe hypoxia (Dale et al., 2013), there is a poor understanding 503 of the importance of DNRA on the Louisiana Shelf due to the lack of observations (Dagg et al., 504 2007). High porewater sulphide concentrations near the sediment-water interface are not reported 505 for sediments of the Louisiana Shelf (Lin and Morse, 1991; Morse and Eldridge, 2007), which tend to minimize the importance of DNRA. However, the large NH_4^+ porewater concentrations 506

507 observed at station Z02 in September (Figure 2) could be explained by the occurrence of DNRA. 508 Anammox may also be a sink for bottom water NH_4^+ on the Louisiana Shelf (Lin et al., 2011). 509 McCarthy et al. (2015) found that anammox may represent, at times, up to 30% of denitrification 510 (including anammox) in some locations of the Louisiana Shelf. As a result, NH_4^+ flux to the 511 water column may be overestimated by the diagenetic model, and in the parameterization, under 512 low bottom O₂ conditions.

513 Overall, despite some discrepancies with observations primarily due to uncertainty about 514 POM deposition, diagenetic processes are represented reasonably well in the optimized model. 515 Therefore, we deemed the optimized model as an appropriate framework for representing the 516 main diagenetic processes on the Louisiana Shelf. Further development of the diagenetic model 517 may include explicit anaerobic reactions, including DNRA and anammox. However, this is 518 beyond the scope of this work.

519 Comparing optimized parameters to the original parameter set used by Soetaert et al. 520 (1996a) is informative about sediment biogeochemistry on the Louisiana Shelf. The 521 optimization minimized the influence of bioturbation, likely a reflection of the negative impact 522 of hypoxia on sediment biota (Diaz & Rosenberg, 1995; Middelburg & Levin, 2009). This result 523 is also consistent with the dominance of bacteria over invertebrates in the sediment community as observed by Rowe et al. (2002). The small O_2 and NO_3^- inhibition parameters for anaerobic 524 525 remineralization emphasize the importance of anaerobic processes in the area (Morse and 526 Berner, 1995). This is consistent with observations for Mississippi River plume sediments that suggest a substantial production of reduced substances under low O₂ conditions throughout the 527 Louisiana Shelf (Rowe et al., 2002; Lehrter et al., 2012) and reflects the important role of ODU 528

529	in the O_2 flux meta-model. The small optimized value for NO_3^- limitation of denitrification
530	indicates that direct denitrification is an important process on the Louisiana Shelf when low O_2
531	limits coupled nitrification-denitrification (Nunnally et al., 2013). Direct denitrification occurs
532	when NO_3^- is available in bottom waters and tends to increase with increasing NO_3^- concentration
533	(Fennel et al., 2009). The small optimized value of O_2 inhibition on nitrification and the
534	relatively high maximum rate of nitrification compared to the original parameter values are also
535	indications that sediment nitrification is an important process on the Louisiana Shelf,
536	contributing to O ₂ consumption in the sediment. This result is also consistent with earlier
537	observations (Lehrter et al., 2012).

We added temperature dependence of remineralization to the original model from Soetaert et al. (1996a). Model results were very sensitive to changes in the remineralization of the fast decaying organic matter pool ($R_2(T)$). The optimum temperature of remineralization (T_{opt}), the remineralization at optimum temperature ($R_2^{T_{opt}}$) and the Q₁₀ parameter for the fast decaying organic matter pool (θ_2) all influence $R_2(T)$ and therefore model results are very sensitive to variations in these parameter values.

The meta-model reproduced the results from the optimized diagenetic model remarkably well suggesting that it is possible to use such parameterizations in place of a full, vertically resolved diagenetic model to prescribe sediment-water boundary conditions in biogeochemical circulation models. Previous meta-model parameterizations of diagenetic rates (Middelburg et al., 1996; Soetaert et al., 2000; Gypens et al., 2008) and perturbation response experiments (Rabouille et al., 2001) had similar success. The present method is somewhat different because the goal is to parameterize sediment-water exchanges directly as a function of bottom water

Parameterization of sediment-water fluxes on the Louisiana shelf

551	conditions. This simplified parameterization method does not require an additional, vertically-
552	integrated sediment layer to track deposited POM as in the method proposed by Soetaert et al.
553	(2000). Although the meta-model is not mass conservative, violation of mass conservation
554	should be minor if the meta-model is used for the system and within the range of conditions that
555	were used for its development. The resulting meta-model exhibits realistic dynamics such as the
556	increase of sediment-water fluxes in summer due to warmer temperature and the time delay
557	between POM deposition and remineralization, the decrease of coupled nitrification-
558	denitrification at low bottom O ₂ concentrations and the prominent role of reduced substances
559	(represented by the ODU pool) as an O ₂ sink in suboxic conditions.
560	Perhaps a key difference to other sediment-water parameterizations is the importance of
561	ODU at low O ₂ , which results in a relatively weak relationship between O ₂ flux and bottom O ₂
562	concentration in hypoxic conditions, and the occurrence of O ₂ flux in anoxic conditions; in the
563	meta-model, ODU is the dominant source of O2 consumption in hypoxic conditions and at high
564	temperature (i.e., in summer), independently of bottom O2 concentration. Previous
565	parameterizations of sediment-water O2 flux on the Louisiana Shelf considered only SOC and
566	therefore O ₂ flux decreased toward zero with decreasing bottom O ₂ in the hypoxic range (with a
567	zero intercept for anoxic conditions). However, Lehrter et al. (2012) found an increase of the
568	DIC/O ₂ flux ratio with bottom O ₂ depletion that they attributed to anaerobic metabolism, i.e. the
569	production of reduced chemical species that accumulate in the sediment, diffuse back and
570	reoxidize in the water column when O ₂ becomes available. Justić and Wang (2014) considered
571	the effect of reduced chemical species on biological oxygen demand in their hypoxia model. It
572	represents a significant O ₂ sink in bottom waters and needs to be accounted for in the sediment-
573	water O ₂ flux parameterization. The O ₂ flux meta-model combines SOC and ODU fluxes and is

Parameterization of sediment-water fluxes on the Louisiana shelf

therefore a more realistic representation of O_2 consumption at the sediment-water interface. This formulation assumes instant ODU oxidation in the water column, even in anoxic conditions, whereas oxidation occurs in oxygenated waters only. The time delay between ODU flux and oxidization is therefore missing in the meta-model but is accounted for if the coupled biogeochemical-circulation model carries an O_2 debt in anoxic conditions, as is the case in the models of Fennel et al. (2009, 2013) and Laurent and Fennel (2014).

The meta-model simulates both the O₂ dependence of coupled nitrification-denitrification 580 581 and direct denitrification, which are also key differences to simple parameterizations of 582 sediment-water fluxes in biogeochemical models. The inhibition of coupled nitrificationdenitrification at low O₂ stimulates eutrophication and therefore represents a positive feedback of 583 584 hypoxia, as observed in Chesapeake Bay and other eutrophic systems (Kemp et al., 1990) and 585 estimated for the global coastal ocean (Rabouille et al., 2001). It is essential to represent this feedback in high N/low O₂ systems such as the Louisiana Shelf. In the NO₃ meta-model, the 586 inhibition of coupled nitrification-denitrification in hypoxic conditions is partly compensated by 587 the increase in direct denitrification in areas where NO_3^- is available in bottom waters, which 588 589 results in a nitrate flux to the sediment. On the Louisiana Shelf, this is the case in areas near the 590 Mississippi-Atchafalaya River source, especially in the shallow area near Atchafalaya Bay. The 591 parameterized nitrate uptake by the sediment agrees with observations from the Louisiana Shelf 592 (Gardner et al., 1993; Nunnally et al., 2013). Nunnally et al. (2013) suggest a limited coupling between nitrification and denitrification in the Louisiana Shelf hypoxic zone. Nonetheless, the 593 magnitude of this NO_3^- sink remains much smaller than the NH_4^+ flux to the water column and 594

595 therefore the overall effect of low bottom O_2 is an enrichment of N in the water column, i.e. a 596 positive feedback on eutrophication.

597 The meta-model method can be easily implemented in biogeochemical circulation 598 models. However, the method should be applied only on regional scales because different types 599 of bacterial, meio- or macrofaunal communities with various levels of bioturbation are associated 600 with distinct types of substrate, porosity and POM quality and quantity affect POM recycling and 601 thus influence the rates of sediment diagenetic processes locally (Herman et al., 1999). In other 602 words, diagenetic models are region-specific.

603 5. Summary and conclusions

604 Benthic-pelagic coupling in biogeochemical circulation models is usually implemented through 605 simple parameterizations or with a diagenetic model. These methods are either too simplistic or 606 computationally very costly. Soetaert et al. (2000) proposed an intermediate method to improve 607 the efficiency of benthic-pelagic coupling in biogeochemical circulation models. Here we 608 presented a simplified version computing a meta-model of sediment-water fluxes for use in a 609 regional biogeochemical model through optimization of a diagenetic model. The method results 610 in a realistic and computationally efficient representation of sediment-water fluxes. Applied to 611 the Louisiana Shelf, the method provides insight in the sediment biogeochemistry of the region. 612 such as the importance of anaerobic processes and reduced substances, the limited level of 613 bioturbation, the occurrence of direct denitrification and the inhibition of coupled nitrification-614 denitrification in hypoxic conditions. The meta-models represent these Louisiana shelf processes, 615 resulting in more realistic, non-linear interactions between POM deposition, bottom water

- 616 concentrations and sediment-water fluxes, in particular under hypoxic conditions. A potential
- 617 limitation of the method is the need for local observations to optimize the diagenetic model.

618 Acknowledgements

- 619 This work was supported by NOAA CSCOR grants NA06N0S4780198 and NA09N0S4780208
- and the US IOOS Coastal Ocean Modeling Testbed. NOAA NGOMEX publication no. XXX.

621 References

- Aller, Robert, C.: The effects of macrobenthos on chemical properties of marine sediment and
- 623 overlying water, in Animal-sediment relations, pp. 53–102., 1982.
- 624 Boudreau, B. P.: Diagenetic models and their implementation: modelling transport and reactions
- 625 in aquatic sediments, Springer., 1997.
- 626 Boudreau, B. P., Mucci, A., Sundby, B., Luther, G. W. and Silverberg, N.: Comparative
- diagenesis at three sites on the Canadian continental margin, J. Mar. Res., 56(6), 1259–1284,
 doi:10.1357/002224098765093634, 1998.
- 629 Briggs, K. B., Cartwright, G., Friedrichs, C. T. and Shivarudruppa, S.: Biogenic effects on
- 630 cohesive sediment erodibility resulting from recurring seasonal hypoxia on the Louisiana shelf,
- 631 Cont. Shelf Res., 1–10, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2014.11.005, 2014.
- 632 Chatterjee, S., Laudato, M. and Lynch, L. A.: Genetic algorithms and their statistical
- 633 applications: an introduction, Comput. Stat. Data Anal., 22(6), 633-651, doi:10.1016/0167-
- 634 9473(96)00011-4, 1996.
- 635 Cowan, J. L. W. and Boynton, W. R.: Sediment-Water Oxygen and Nutrient Exchanges along
- the Longitudinal Axis of Chesapeake Bay: Seasonal Patterns, Controlling Factors and Ecological
- 637 Significance, Estuaries, 19(3), 562–580, doi:10.2307/1352518, 1996.
- 638 Dagg, M., Ammerman, J., Amon, R., Gardner, W., Green, R. and Lohrenz, S.: A review of water
- 639 column processes influencing hypoxia in the northern Gulf of Mexico, Estuaries Coasts, 30(5),
- 640 doi:10.1007/BF02841331, 735–752, 2007.
- 641 Dale, A. W., Bertics, V. J., Treude, T., Sommer, S. and Wallmann, K.: Modeling benthic-pelagic
- 642 nutrient exchange processes and porewater distributions in a seasonally hypoxic sediment:
- 643 evidence for massive phosphate release by *Beggiatoa*?, Biogeosciences, 10(2), 629–651,
- 644 doi:10.5194/bg-10-629-2013, 2013.

- 645 Devereux, R., Lehrter, J. C., Beddick, D. L., Yates, D. F. and Jarvis, B. M.: Manganese, iron, and
- 646 sulfur cycling in Louisiana continental shelf sediments, Cont. Shelf Res., 99, 46–56,
- 647 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2015.03.008, 2015.
- Diaz, R. J. and Rosenberg, R.: Marine benthic hypoxia: a review of its ecological effects and the
- behavioural responses of benthic macrofauna, Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. an Annu. Rev., 33, 245–303,
- 650 1995.
- DiToro, D. M.: Sediment flux modeling, Wiley and Sons, New York., 2001.
- Eldridge, P. M. and Morse, J. W.: Origins and temporal scales of hypoxia on the Louisiana shelf:
- Importance of benthic and sub-pycnocline water metabolism, Mar. Chem., 108(3-4), 159–171,
- 654 doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2007.11.009, 2008.
- Eldridge, P. M. and Roelke, D. L.: Origins and scales of hypoxia on the Louisiana shelf:
- 656 importance of seasonal plankton dynamics and river nutrients and discharge, Ecol Model,
- 657 221(7), 1028–1042, doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2009.04.054, 2010.
- 658 Fennel, K., Losch, M., Schröter, J. and Wenzel, M.: Testing a marine ecosystem model:
- 659 sensitivity analysis and parameter optimization, J. Mar. Syst., 28(1-2), 45–63,
- 660 doi:10.1016/S0924-7963(00)00083-X, 2001.
- 661 Fennel, K., Wilkin, J., Levin, J., Moisan, J., O'Reilly, J. and Haidvogel, D.: Nitrogen cycling in
- the Middle Atlantic Bight: Results from a three-dimensional model and implications for the
- North Atlantic nitrogen budget, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 20(3), n/a–n/a,
- 664 doi:10.1029/2005GB002456, 2006.
- 665 Fennel, K., Brady, D., DiToro, D., Fulweiler, R. W., Gardner, W. S., Giblin, A., McCarthy, M.
- J., Rao, A., Seitzinger, S., Thouvenot-Korppoo, M. and Tobias, C.: Modeling denitrification in
- 667 aquatic sediments, Biogeochemistry, 93(1-2), 159–178, doi:10.1007/s10533-008-9270-z, 2008.
- 668 Fennel, K., Hu, J., Laurent, A., Marta-Almeida, M. and Hetland, R.: Sensitivity of hypoxia
- 669 predictions for the northern Gulf of Mexico to sediment oxygen consumption and model nesting,
- 670 J. Geophys. Res. Ocean., 118(2), 990–1002, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20077, 2013.
- 671 Fogel, D. B.: An introduction to simulated evolutionary optimization., IEEE Trans. neural
- 672 networks, 5(1), 3–14, doi:10.1109/72.265956, 1994.
- 673 Friedrichs, M. A. M.: A data assimilative marine ecosystem model of the central equatorial
- Pacific: Numerical twin experiments, J. Mar. Res., 59(6), 859–894,
- 675 doi:10.1357/00222400160497544, 2001.
- 676 Friedrichs, M. A. M., Dusenberry, J. A., Anderson, L. A., Armstrong, R. A., Chai, F., Christian,
- J. R., Doney, S. C., Dunne, J., Fujii, M., Hood, R., McGillicuddy, D. J., Moore, J. K., Schartau,
- 678 M., Spitz, Y. H. and Wiggert, J. D.: Assessment of skill and portability in regional marine

- biogeochemical models: Role of multiple planktonic groups, J. Geophys. Res., 112(C8), C08001,
 doi:10.1029/2006JC003852, 2007.
- 681 Gardner, W. S., Briones, E. E., Kaegi, E. C. and Rowe, G. T.: Ammonium Excretion by Benthic
- 682 Invertebrates and Sediment-Water Nitrogen Flux in the Gulf of Mexico near the Mississippi
- 683 River Outflow, Estuaries, 16(4), 799, doi:10.2307/1352438, 1993.
- 684 Gypens, N., Lancelot, C. and Soetaert, K.: Simple parameterisations for describing N and P
- diagenetic processes: Application in the North Sea, Prog. Oceanogr., 76(1), 89–110,
- 686 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2007.10.003, 2008.
- Herman, P. M. J., Middelburg, J. J., Van de Koppel, J. and Hep, C. H. R.: Ecology of Estuarine
 Macrobenthos, Adv. Ecol. Res., 29, 195–240, 1999.
- 689 Hetland, R. D. and DiMarco, S. F.: How does the character of oxygen demand control the
- 690 structure of hypoxia on the Texas–Louisiana continental shelf?, J. Mar. Syst., 70(1-2), 49–62,
- 691 doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2007.03.002, 2008.
- Hibbert, D. B.: Genetic algorithms in chemistry, Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst., 19(3), 277–293,
 doi:10.1016/0169-7439(93)80028-G, 1993.
- Justić, D. and Wang, L.: Assessing temporal and spatial variability of hypoxia over the inner
- 695 Louisiana–upper Texas shelf: Application of an unstructured-grid three-dimensional coupled
- 696 hydrodynamic-water quality model, Cont. Shelf Res., 72, 163–179,
- 697 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2013.08.006, 2014.
- Justić, D., Rabalais, N. N. and Turner, R. E.: Effects of climate change on hypoxia in coastal
 waters : a doubled CO2 scenario for the northern Gulf of Mexico, 41(5), 992–1003, 1996.
- 700 Kane, A., Moulin, C., Thiria, S., Bopp, L., Berrada, M., Tagliabue, A., Crépon, M., Aumont, O.
- and Badran, F.: Improving the parameters of a global ocean biogeochemical model via
- variational assimilation of in situ data at five time series stations, J. Geophys. Res., 116(C6),
- 703 C06011, doi:10.1029/2009JC006005, 2011.
- 704 Kemp, W. M., Sampou, P., Cafrey, J., Mayer, M., Henriksen, K. and Boynton, W. R.:
- 705 Ammonium recycling versus denitrification Chesapeake Bay sediments, Limnol. Oceanogr.,
- 706 35(7), 1545–1563, doi:10.4319/lo.1990.35.7.1545, 1990.
- 707 Kolda, T. G., Lewis, R. M. and Torczon, V.: Optimization by direct search: New perspectives on
- some classical and modern methods, SIAM Rev., 45(3), 385–482, doi:Doi
- 709 10.1137/S003614450242889, 2003.
- 710 Kuhn, A. M., Fennel, K. and Mattern, J. P.: Model investigations of the North Atlantic spring
- 711 bloom initiation, Prog. Oceanogr., doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2015.07.004, 2015.
- 712 Lehrter, J. C., Murrell, M. C. and Kurtz, J. C.: Interactions between freshwater input, light, and

- phytoplankton dynamics on the Louisiana continental shelf, Cont Shelf Res, 29(15), 1861–1872,
- 714 doi:10.1016/j.csr.2009.07.001, 2009.
- 715 Lehrter, J. C., Beddick, D., Devereux, R., Yates, D. and Murrell, M.: Sediment-water fluxes of
- 716 dissolved inorganic carbon, O₂, nutrients, and N₂ from the hypoxic region of the Louisiana
- 717 continental shelf, Biogeochemistry, 109, 233–252, doi:10.1007/s10533-011-9623-x, 2012.
- 718 Li, Y.-H. and Gregory, S.: Diffusion of ions in the sea water and in deep-sea sediments,
- 719 Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 38, 703–714, 1974.
- 720 Lin, X., McCarthy, M. J., Carini, S. A. and Gardner, W. S.: Net, actual, and potential sediment-
- 721 water interface NH4 fluxes in the northern Gulf of Mexico (NGOMEX): Evidence for NH4
- 722 limitation of microbial dynamics, , 31, 120–128, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2010.11.012, 2011.
- Lin, S. and Morse, J. W.: Sulfate reduction and iron sulfide mineral formation in Gulf of Mexico
- anoxic sediments, Am. J. Sci., 291(1), 55–89, doi:10.2475/ajs.291.1.55, 1991.
- Liu, K.-K., Atkinson, L., Quiñones, R. A. and Talaue-McManus, L.: Biogeochemistry of
- continental margins in a global context, in Carbon and nutrient fluxes in continental margins,
- edited by K.-K. Liu, L. Atkinson, R. A. Quiñones, and L. Talaue-McManus, Springer, Berlin.,2010.
- 729 McCarthy, M. J., Newell, S. E., Carini, S. a. and Gardner, W. S.: Denitrification Dominates
- 730 Sediment Nitrogen Removal and Is Enhanced by Bottom-Water Hypoxia in the Northern Gulf of
- 731 Mexico, Estuaries and Coasts, doi:10.1007/s12237-015-9964-0, 2015.
- 732 Middelburg, J. J. and Levin, L. a.: Coastal hypoxia and sediment biogeochemistry,
- 733 Biogeosciences, 6(7), 1273–1293, doi:10.5194/bg-6-1273-2009, 2009.
- 734 Middelburg, J. J. and Soetaert, K.: The role of sediments in shelf ecosystem dynamics, in The
- 735 global coastal ocean. Multiscale interdisciplinary processes, vol. 13, edited by A. R. Robinson
- and K. H. Brink, pp. 353–373, John Wiley & Sons, New York., 2005.
- 737 Middelburg, J. J., Soetaert, K., Herman, P. M. J. and Heip, C. H. R.: Denitrification in marine
- rase sediments : A model study, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 10(4), 661–673,
- 739 doi:10.1029/96GB02562, 1996.
- 740 Morse, J. W. and Berner, R. A.: What determines sedimentary C/S ratios?, Geochim.
- 741 Cosmochim. Acta, 59(6), 1073–1077, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(95)00024-T, 1995.
- 742 Morse, J. W. and Eldridge, P. M.: A non-steady state diagenetic model for changes in sediment
- biogeochemistry in response to seasonally hypoxic/anoxic conditions in the "dead zone" of the
- 744 Louisiana shelf, Mar. Chem., 106(1-2), 239–255, doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2006.02.003, 2007.
- 745 Mulsow, S., Boudreau, B. P. and Smith, J. A.: Bioturbation and porosity gradients, Limnol.
- 746 Oceanogr., 43(1), 1–9, doi:10.4319/lo.1998.43.1.0001, 1998.

- 747 Murrell, M. C. and Lehrter, J. C.: Sediment and lower water column oxygen consumption in the
- seasonally hypoxic region of the Louisiana continental shelf, Estuaries and Coasts, 34, 912–924,
- 749 doi: 10.1007/s12237-010-9351-9, 2011.
- 750 Neubacher, E. C., Parker, R. E. and Trimmer, M.: The potential effect of sustained hypoxia on
- nitrogen cycling in sediment from the southern North Sea: a mesocosm experiment,
- 752 Biogeochemistry, 113(1-3), 69–84, doi:10.1007/s10533-012-9749-5, 2012.
- 753 Nunnally, C. C., Rowe, G. T., Thornton, D. C. O. and Quigg, A.: Sedimentary Oxygen
- 754 Consumption and Nutrient Regeneration in the Northern Gulf of Mexico Hypoxic Zone, J. Coast.
- 755 Res., 63, 84–96, doi:10.2112/SI63-008.1, 2013.
- 756 Rabalais, N., Turner, R. E., Dortch, Q., Justić, D., Bierman, V. and Wiseman, W.: Nutrient-
- enhanced productivity in the northern Gulf of Mexico: past, present and future, Hydrobiologia,
- 758 475–476(1), 39–63, doi:10.1023/A:1020388503274, 2002.
- Rabouille, C., Mackenzie, F. T. and Ver, L. M.: Influence of the human perturbation on carbon,
- nitrogen, and oxygen biogeochemical cycles in the global coastal ocean, Geochim. Cosmochim.
- 761 Acta, 65(21), 3615–3641, doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(01)00760-8, 2001.
- 762 Redalje, D. G., Lohrenz, S. E. and Fahnenstiel, G. L.: The Relationship between Primary
- Production and the Vertical Export of Particulate Organic Matter in a River-Impacted Coastal
 Ecosystem, Estuaries, 17(4), 829, doi:10.2307/1352751, 1994.
- Redfield, A., Ketchum, B. and Richards, F.: The influence of organisms on the composition of
 sea-water, in The Sea, Vol 2, vol. 2, edited by M. N. Hill, pp. 26–77, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.,
- 767 1963.
- Robson, B., Hamilton, D., Webster, I. and Chan, T.: Ten steps applied to development and
- revaluation of process-based biogeochemical models of estuaries, Environ. Model. Softw., 23(4),
- 770 369–384, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2007.05.019, 2008.
- Rowe, G. T. and Chapman, P.: Continental shelf hypoxia: some nagging questions, Gulf Mex.
 Sci., 2, 155–160, 2002.
- Rowe, G. T., Cruz Kaegi, M. E., Morse, J. W., Boland, G. S. and Escobar Briones, E. G.:
- 774 Sediment community metabolism associated with continental shelf hypoxia, Northern Gulf of
- 775 Mexico, Estuaries, 25(6), 1097–1106, doi:10.1007/BF02692207, 2002.
- 776 Schartau, M. and Oschlies, A.: Simultaneous data-based optimization of a 1D-ecosystem model
- at three locations in the North Atlantic: Part I—Method and parameter estimates, J. Mar. Res.,
- 778 61(6), 765–793, doi:10.1357/002224003322981147, 2003.
- 779 Soetaert, K. and Herman, P. M. J.: Nitrogen dynamics in the Westerschelde estuary (SW
- 780 Netherlands) estimated by means of the ecosystem model MOSES, Hydrobiologia, 311(1-3),

- 781 225–246, doi:10.1007/BF00008583, 1995.
- 782 Soetaert, K. and Petzoldt, T.: Inverse Modelling, Sensitivity and Monte Carlo Analysis in R
- 783 Using Package FME, J. Stat. Softw., 33(3), doi:10.18637/jss.v033.i03, 2010.
- Soetaert, K., Herman, P. M. J. and Middelburg, J. J.: A model of early diagenetic processes from
- the shelf to abyssal depths, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 60(6), 1019–1040, doi:10.1016/0016-
- 786 7037(96)00013-0, 1996a.
- Soetaert, K., Herman, P. M. J. and Middelburg, J. J.: Dynamic response of deep-sea sediments to
 seasonal variations: A model, Limnol Ocean., 41(8), 1651–1668, 1996b.
- 789 Soetaert, K., Herman, P. M. J., Middelburg, J. J. and Heip, C.: Assessing organic matter
- 790 mineralization, degradability and mixing rate in an ocean margin sediment (Northeast Atlantic)
- 791 by diagenetic modeling, J. Mar. Res., 56(2), 519–534, doi:10.1357/002224098321822401, 1998.
- 792 Soetaert, K., Middelburg, J. J., Herman, P. M. J. and Buis, K.: On the coupling of benthic and
- 793 pelagic biogeochemical models, Earth-Science Rev., 51(1-4), 173–201, doi:10.1016/S0012-
- 794 8252(00)00004-0, 2000.
- Ward, B. a., Friedrichs, M. a. M., Anderson, T. R. and Oschlies, A.: Parameter optimisation
- techniques and the problem of underdetermination in marine biogeochemical models, J. Mar.
- 797 Syst., 81(1-2), 34–43, doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2009.12.005, 2010.
- 798 Wilson, R. F., Fennel, K. and Paul Mattern, J.: Simulating sediment–water exchange of nutrients
- and oxygen: A comparative assessment of models against mesocosm observations, Cont. Shelf
- 800 Res., 63, 69–84, doi:10.1016/j.csr.2013.05.003, 2013.
- 801 Wood, C. C., Statham, P. J., Kelly-Gerreyn, B. a. and Martin, A. P.: Modelling macronutrients in
- shelf sea sediments: fitting model output to experimental data using a genetic algorithm, J. Soils
- 803 Sediments, 14(1), 218–229, doi:10.1007/s11368-013-0793-0, 2013.
- 804

Table 1. Bottom water conditions at stations Z02 and Z03 in 2006. These data are used as forcing

806 conditions during the optimization of the diagenetic model. POM deposition flux (FPOM) was not

807 measured; FPOM monthly climatologies were calculated for station Z02 and Z03 from a multiyear

808 simulation with a biogeochemical circulation model (see Section 2.3).

Station	n Date F _{POM}		Temperature	NO ₃	NH_4^+	O ₂
		mmol N $m^{-2} d^{-1}$	°C	mmol m ⁻³	mmol m ⁻³	mmol m ⁻³
	April	3.53	21.6	7.16	0.58	60.2
Z02	June	2.19	24.0	8.61	7.93	0.0
	September	0.95	29.6	8.45	0.32	16.0
	April	1.36	21.7	1.50	0.47	67.9
Z03	June	1.20	25.7	1.90	2.40	137.9
	September	0.44	29.1	5.63	0.82	118.4

810 Table 2. Diagenetic model parameters. The 20 parameters that were optimized are indicated with

- 811 a + sign. The original values are from Soetaert et al. (1996a); an asterisk indicates values that are
- 812 identical in the optimized parameter set.

Symbol	Value			Dovementer description	Unite	Danga
Symbol	optimized	original	-	rarameter description	Units	Kange
п	*	10		Active sediment denth	am	
п Ф.	*	0.8		Porosity at surface	ciii	_
Φ ₀	*	0.8		Porosity at depth H		_
Φ	*	4.0		Porosity decay coefficient	cm ⁻¹	_
Ψcoef	0.416	4.0	(+)	Burial velocity	$cm v^{-1}$	0.05-1
w sed	*	0.022	(\cdot)	Diffusion coefficient for ammonium at 0°C	$cm^2 d^{-1}$	_
D _{NH4}	*	0.845		Diffusion coefficient for nitrate at 0°C	$\operatorname{cm}^2 \operatorname{d}^{-1}$	_
D _{N03}	*	0.045		Diffusion coefficient for oxygen at 0°C	$cm^2 d^{-1}$	_
D ₀₂	*	0.955		Diffusion coefficient for ODU at 0°C	$\operatorname{cm}^2 \operatorname{d}^{-1}$	_
	*	0.042		T dependent coefficient for ammonium diffusion	$cm^2 d^{-1} (°C)^{-1}$	_
a _{NH4}	*	0.0330		T dependent coefficient for nitrate diffusion	$\operatorname{cm}^2 d^{-1} (^{\circ}C)^{-1}$	_
a _{NO3}	*	0.0305		T dependent coefficient for avugen diffusion	$cm^2 d^{-1} (°C)^{-1}$	_
a ₀₂	*	0.0380		T dependent coefficient for ODU diffusion	$cm^2 d^{-1} (°C)^{-1}$	_
aodu	1.0	5.0	(+)	Depth of histurbated layer		1-7
	1.0 9 794	5.0 1.52	(+)	Depth of bloturbated layer	$cm^2 x^{-1}$	1-65
	0./04 *	1.55	(+)	Evenential decay holey historheted layer	cm y	-
DD _{coeff}	0.0212	1.0		Exponential decay below bioturbated layer	-1	$10^{-4} - 10^{-1}$
R ₁ T-nt	0.0213	0.02	(+)	Reinineralization at T _{opt} for slow decaying OWT poor	yr	0.1-30
R ₂ ^{ropt}	2.821	2.0	(+)	Remineralization at T _{opt} for fast decaying OM2 pool	yr	0.1 50
PB	0.00	0.05	(+)	Part of ODUs permanently buried per day	d-1	0-0.95
k ₀₂	20.0	3.0	(+)	Half-saturation, O ₂ limitation on aerobic remineralization	μ molO ₂ L ⁻¹	0.1-20
kin _{odu}	0.1	5.0	(+)	Half-saturation, O ₂ inhibition on anaerobic remin.	μ molO ₂ L ⁻¹	0.1-20
0X _{odu}	11.45	20.0	(+)	Maximum oxidation rate of ODUs	day ⁻¹	0.1-50
k _{odu}	20.0	1.0	(+)	Half-saturation, O ₂ in ODU oxidation	µmolO ₂ L ⁻¹	0.1-20
Nit	50.0	20.0	(+)	Maximum nitrification rate	day ⁻¹	0.05-50
k _{nit}	0.1	1.0	(+)	Half-saturation, O2 inhibition on nitrification	µmolO ₂ L ⁻¹	0.1-10
k _{dnf}	1.0	30.0	(+)	Half-saturation, nitrate limitation of denitrification	µmolNO ₃ L ⁻¹	1-60
kin _{dnf}	30.0	10.0	(+)	O2 inhibition of denitrification	µmolO ₂ L ⁻¹	1-30
kin _{anox}	0.1	5.0	(+)	Half-saturation, nitrate inhibition of anaerobic remin.	µmolO ₂ L ⁻¹	0.1-20
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r1}$	3.0	-	(+)	Q ₁₀ parameter for r ₁		2-3
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{r2}$	3.0	-	(+)	Q ₁₀ parameter for r ₂		2-3
$\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathrm{bio}}$	2.0	-	(+)	Q_{10} parameter for the bioturbation of solids		2-3
Ть	30.0	-	(+)	Base temperature for Q ₁₀ relationship	°C	20-30
α,	0.0002	-	(+)	Non-local mixing coefficient	yr ⁻¹	0-100

814 Table 3. Cost F(p), calculated using Equation 3, for each variable type at station Z02 and Z03.

815 Simulations were run with the parameter set from Soetaert et al (1996a) (original) and with the

816 optimized parameter set (baseline). Additional optimizations were carried out for each station

817 independently (site-specific), for each station using sediment-water fluxes only (site-specific,

818 fluxes only), and including POM depositional flux in the optimization (site-specific, fluxes only,

819 + F_{POM}).

Optimization	Station	F_{O_2}	$F_{NH_{4}^{+}}$	$F_{NO_{\overline{3}}}$	NH ₄ ⁺ profiles	Total
	Z02	0.1	366.2	107.8	1.5	475.6
Original	Z03	3.1	2788.3	1388.4	9.0	4188.8
	Total	3.2	3154.5	1496.2	10.5	4664.4
	Z02	0.2	8.6	52.6	1.5	62.9
Baseline	Z03	3.8	34.1	137.0	8.1	183.0
	Total	4.0	42.7	189.6	9.6	245.9
	Z02	0.3	6.7	4.3 6.0	17.3	
Site-specific	Z03	3.9	25.7	134.0	8.9	172.5
	Total	4.2	32.4	138.3	14.9	189.8
	Z02	0.4	5.0	3.8	-	9.3
Site-specific, flux only	Z03	3.5	20.7	116.9	-	141.1
	Total	3.9	25.7	120.7	-	475.6 4188.8 4664.4 62.9 183.0 245.9 17.3 172.5 189.8 9.3 141.1 150.3 0.8 76.8 77.6
	Z02	0.6	0.2	0.0	-	0.8
Site-specific, flux only $+ F_{POM}$	Z03	5.4	2.9	68.5	-	76.8
5 5 IOM	Total	6.0	3.1	68.5	-	77.6

Table 4. Meta-model coefficients for sediment O_2 consumption (F_{02}), NH_4 flux ($F_{NH_4^+}$) and NO_3^-

flux ($F_{N0_3^-}$). The form of the relationship is given in Eq. 4. For each flux, the average

823 contribution of each input variable is indicated as well as the dominant direction of its effect. A

824 positive effect promotes a weaker flux into the sediment or a larger flux to the water column

825 (depending on the direction of the flux) whereas a negative effect leads to a larger sink into the

sediment or a weaker flux to the water column. +/- indicates that the effect's direction varies as a

827 function of the variable. The contributions were calculated from standardized coefficients. Bold

828 values indicate variables contributing > 10% in average.

		Constant	F _{POM}	Salinity	Temperature	NH_4^+	NO ₃	O_2
			mmol N m ⁻² d ⁻¹		°C	mmol m ⁻³	mmol m ⁻³	mmol m ⁻³
Data range			0.1 - 62.1	0-36.4	15.1 - 36.0	0.1 - 24.7	0-161.2	0-475.1
	x _i	22.1151	-1.3381	0.8138	-7.1247	0.4592	-0.8055	-0.0721
	x_i^2		0.0286	0.0868	0.3668	-0.2074	0.0229	-0.0001
F _{O2}	x_i^3		-0.0001	-0.0023	-0.0069	0.0112	-0.0001	0.0000
	<i>Contribution (%)</i> <i>Effect direction</i>		5.0	20.3	55.4	1.9	10.4	6.9
			_	+	_	+/	+/	+/
	x _i	-10.8192	0.0740	-0.0833	2.0967	-0.2221	0.0836	-0.0283
	x_i^2		0.0023	-0.0064	-0.0996	0.0500	-0.0024	0.0002
$F_{\rm NH4}$	x_i^3		-0.0001	0.0002	0.0016	-0.0023	0.0000	-0.0000
	Contribution (%)		1.5	11.4	59.1	3.3	5.4	19.3
	Effe	ct direction	+′	-	+	+/	+/	_
	x _i	3.6115	-0.0071	0.0463	-0.5613	0.1142	-0.0134	0.0144
	x_i^2		-0.0014	-0.0035	0.0238	-0.0209	0.0001	-0.0001
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{NO3}}$	x_i^3		0.0000	0.0001	-0.0003	0.0008	-0.0000	0.0000
	Cont Effe	ribution (%) ct direction	0.8	12.8 +/_	54.1 _	5.2 +/	2.6	24.5 +



830 Longitude (°W)
 Figure 1. Map of the Louisiana Shelf showing the location of sample collection sites Z02 and Z03.

831



Figure 2. Model-data comparison of sediment water fluxes (top row) and NH_4^+ profiles (bottom row) for sites Z02 and Z03. Simulations use the optimized parameter set (baseline).



835

Figure 3. Spatial (top) and temporal (bottom) POM depositional flux and bottom water O₂, NH⁺₄ 836 and NO₃ concentrations in the biogeochemical circulation model. The upper panels represent a 837 snapshot of bottom water conditions on August 15th, 2009 and the lower panels time series at 838 839 stations Z02 and Z03. This dataset is used to force the diagenetic model in the meta-modeling

- 840 procedure (Section 2.2), to compute spatial fluxes with the meta-model (Figure 8) and to
- 841 compare the meta-model and H&D parameterizations (Figure 12).



Figure 4. Model-data comparison of sediment water fluxes at stations Z02 and Z03 for several different optimization schemes (baseline includes all constraints).



Figure 5. Sensitivity of model results to parameter variation.



Figure 6. Correlation coefficients between time-dependent diagenetic model simulations and the parameterized fluxes for each location on the Louisiana Shelf.



Figure 7. Influence of selected contributors to O_2 , NH_4^+ and NO_3^- fluxes. Negative fluxes (blue shades) are into the sediment and positive fluxes (orange shades) are out of the sediment.



Figure 8. Spatial distribution of parameterized O_2 , NH_4^+ and NO_3^- fluxes on August 15th, 2009. Negative fluxes (blue) are into the sediment.

847



Figure 9. Temporal variability of parameterized O₂, NH⁺₄ and NO⁻₃ fluxes at station Z02 and Z03
in 2009. Negative fluxes are into the sediment.



Figure 10. O₂ flux in the meta-model compared to that from the IR, H&D and M&L parameterizations as a function of bottom O₂ concentration (left) and of POM depositional flux (right). The grey area and the black line on the left panel corresponds to the variation in O₂ flux when $1 < F_{POM} < 10 \text{ mmol N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $F_{POM} = 5 \text{ mmol N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, respectively. The grey area on the right panel corresponds to the variation in O₂ flux when bottom O₂ concentration range from 0 to 200 mmol O₂ m⁻³. The comparison between H&D, M&L and SOC observations can be found in Fennel et al (2013) and Yu et al (2015).



Figure 11. NH₄⁺ flux in the meta-model compared with that from the IR, H&D and M&L

parameterizations. NH_4^+ flux is represented as a function of (left) bottom O₂ concentration and (right) PON depositional flux. The grey area and the black line on the left panel correspond to the variation in O₂ flux when $1 < F_{POM} < 10 \text{ mmol N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$ and $F_{POM} = 5 \text{ mmol N m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$, respectively. The black lines on the right indicate the O₂ flux at bottom O₂ concentrations of 0, 50 and 250 mmol O₂ m⁻³.



Figure 12. Difference between parameterized oxygen (top panel) and ammonium (bottom panel) fluxes and fluxes simulated with the H&D parameterization in August 15th, 2009.