Biodegradability of dissolved organic carbon in permafrost soils and waterways: a meta-analysis.

Jorien E. Vonk[1,2], Suzanne E. Tank[3], Paul J. Mann[4], Robert G. M. Spencer[5], Claire C. Treat[6], Robert G. Striegl[7], Benjamin W. Abbott[8], Kimberly P. Wickland[7]

1 Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands
2 Arctic Center, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands
3 Department of Biological Sciences, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada
4 Department of Geography, Northumbria University, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK
5 Department of Earth, Ocean & Atmospheric Science, Florida State University, Tallahassee, USA
6 Earth Systems Research Center, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, USA
7 National Research Program, U.S. Geological Survey, Boulder, USA
8 OSUR-UMR 6553 ECOBIO, Université de Rennes and Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Rennes, France.

Résumé des commentaires sur Microsoft Word - Vonk et al_BDOC synthesis_with track changes.docx

Page : 1

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 15:49:39

this does not seem to include lakes and ponds… In Wikipedia, a waterway is defined as "any navigable body of water"… I was puzzled when I first started to read the ms as I though this analysis excluded lakes but end of Intro says the opposite.

ABSTRACT

As Arctic regions warm and frozen soils thaw, the large organic carbon pool stored in permafrost becomes increasingly vulnerable to decomposition or transport. The transfer of newly mobilized carbon to the atmosphere and its potential influence upon climate change will largely depend on the degradability of carbon delivered to aquatic ecosystems. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) is a key regulator of aquatic metabolism, yet knowledge of the mechanistic controls on DOC biodegradability is currently poor due to a scarcity of long-term data sets, limited spatial coverage of available data, and methodological diversity. Here, we performed parallel biodegradable DOC (BDOC) experiments at six Arctic sites (16 experiments) using a standardized incubation protocol to examine the effect of methodological differences commonly used in the literature. We also synthesized results from 14 aquatic and soil leachate BDOC studies from across the circumarctic permafrost region to examine pan-Arctic trends in BDOC.

An increasing extent of permafrost across the landscape resulted in higher DOC losses in both soil and aquatic systems. We hypothesize that the unique composition of (yedoma) permafrost-derived DOC combined with limited prior microbial processing due to low soil temperature and relatively shorter flow path lengths and transport times, resulted in higher overall terrestrial and freshwater DOC loss. Additionally, we found that the fraction of BDOC decreased moving down the fluvial network in continuous permafrost regions, i.e. from streams to large rivers, suggesting that highly biodegradable DOC is lost in headwater streams. We also observed a seasonal (Jan – Dec) decrease in BDOC in large streams and rivers, but saw no apparent change in smaller streams or soil leachates. We attribute this seasonal change to a combination of factors including shifts in carbon source, changing DOC residence time related to increasing thaw-depth, increasing water temperatures later in the summer, as well as decreasing hydrologic connectivity between soils and surface water as the thaw season progresses. Our results suggest that future, climate warming-induced shifts of continuous permafrost into discontinuous permafrost regions could affect the degradation potential of thaw-released DOC, the amount of BDOC, as well as its variability throughout the Arctic summer. We lastly recommend a standardized BDOC protocol to facilitate the comparison of future work and improve our knowledge of processing and transport of DOC in a changing Arctic.

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:41 AM
Deleted: thaw and

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:41 AM
Deleted: reactivity and subsequent fate

Jorien Vonk 9/22/15 3:50 PM
Deleted: and

Jorien Vonk 9/22/15 3:50 PM
Deleted: its biodegradability will determine the extent and rate of carbon release from aquatic ecosystems to the atmosphere. K

Jorien Vonk 9/22/15 3:50 PM
Deleted: however

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:41 AM
Deleted: used as

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:42 AM
Deleted: practice

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:42 AM
Deleted: further

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:43 AM
Deleted: B

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:43 AM
Deleted: B

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:44 AM
Deleted: losses

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:44 AM
Deleted: and

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:45 AM
Deleted: s

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:46 AM
Deleted: present

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:46 AM
Deleted: a recommended

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 14:45:51

shorter than what?

1. INTRODUCTION

Boreal and Arctic ecosystems contain more than half of global terrestrial organic carbon (Tarnocai et al., 2009; Hugelius et al., 2014), much of which will be vulnerable to microbial processing and release to the atmosphere by the end of the century (Slater et al., 2013; Schaefer et al., 2014; IPCC 2013). At high latitudes, ecosystem carbon balance depends largely on aquatic processes (Kling et al., 1992; Striegl et al., 2012; Vonk and Gustafsson, 2013) with lakes, wetlands, rivers, and streams covering more than half of the land surface in many regions (McGuire et al., 2009; Loveland et al., 2000; Lammers et al., 2001; Aufdenkampe et al., 2011; Avis et al., 2011). However, little is known about mechanistic controls on persistence or processing of organic carbon currently flowing through Arctic watersheds (Mann et al., 2012, Wickland et al., 2012), and even less is known about the behavior of permafrost-derived organic carbon that is delivered to arctic freshwater and marine ecosystems (Cory et al., 2013, Vonk and Gustafsson 2013).

Arctic watersheds transport an average of 34 Tg C yr^{-1} of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and 6 Tg C yr^{-1} of particulate organic carbon (POC) to the Arctic Ocean (Holmes et al., 2012; McGuire et al., 2009), not including fluxes from coastal erosion. Though no model projections of future circumarctic hydrologic carbon flux exist, a few recent studies predict that organic carbon loading to the circumarctic watershed may increase in the future (Abbott et al., in review; Laudon et al., 2012; Kicklighter et al., 2013). However, observed patterns of changes in hydrological carbon loading in permafrost regions are inconsistent, with increases in DOC export from areas with extensive peat deposits (Frey and McClelland, 2009), but decreases in discharge-normalized DOC export in other regions, due to increasing flow path length, and increased mineralization in soils (McClelland et al., 2007; Petrone et al., 2006; Striegl et al., 2005; Tank et al., 2012). Furthermore, conflicting patterns of DOC biodegradability exist with respect to seasonality and permafrost extent (Kawahigashi et al., 2004; Striegl et al., 2005; Holmes et al., 2008; Balcarczyk et al., 2009; Frey and McClelland 2009; Vonk et al., 2013b; Abbott et al., 2014; Larouche et al., 2015). The scarcity of long-term data as well as a lack of conceptualization of the processes controlling DOC transport and processing represent an important source of uncertainty in the permafrost-regional carbon balance.

In both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, much of the overall carbon mineralization takes place in the dissolved form, since part of the DOC is composed of lower molecular weight compounds that can be directly transported across microbial cell membranes (Battin et al., 2008), though particulate matter provides surface area for bacterial attachment in aquatic ecosystems (del Giorgio and Pace, 2008). Biodegradable DOC (BDOC), therefore, is a key regulator of ecosystem metabolism in general and the rate of permafrost carbon release to the atmosphere specifically (Holmes et al., 2008; Mann et al., 2012; Wickland et al., 2012; Abbott et al., 2014).

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 12:57 PM
Deleted: s

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:58 AM
Deleted: is often limited to

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:59 AM
Deleted: ility of

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 14:57:23

do we already know enough to say "much" or would it be more cautious to use "part" taking into account that you use "by the end of the century"?

While promising proxies of BDOC have been identified, including optical signatures, molecular characteristics and nutrient concentrations (Balcarczyk et al., 2009, Wickland et al., 2012; Abbott et al., 2014), BDOC is typically assessed through incubation experiments, representing a simple metric of microbial uptake and mineralization. Throughout this study we will use BDOC as a measure of DOC biodegradability. While incubation experiments carried out in the laboratory do not necessarily reflect in situ DOC biodegradability due to many differences including temperature, light, carbon source, and microbial community, they provide a useful relative measure of the reactivity of different types of DOC. Most studies measure BDOC through: (i) production of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), (ii) consumption of DOC, or (iii) consumption of O_2 (McDowell et al., 2006). While these methods can give comparable results, differences in experimental factors can directly influence the quantification of BDOC, including duration of incubation, temperature, light exposure, type of filtration, and the addition of bacterial inoculum. While this methodological diversity complicates direct comparison of BDOC measurements from across the Arctic permafrost-region, it also represents an opportunity to identify fundamental controls on DOC processing.

We synthesized results from 14 BDOC studies within the Arctic Ocean watershed representing a total of 551 individual incubations to identify controls and patterns of DOC biodegradability across spatial and temporal scales (section 2.1). Based on findings from these studies we developed a standard incubation method, which we tested on water from soils, streams, and rivers from throughout the permafrost region and across seasons (section 2.2). We examined the role of seasonality, permafrost extent, and incubation design on metrics of BDOC and recommend a protocol for future BDOC incubations. A meta-analysis of the combined results of our standardized circum-arctic incubations and literature synthesis allowed us to identify temporal and landscape-scale patterns in BDOC across Arctic regions. This study represents the first to include both soils (soil leachates) and aquatic systems (streams, lakes, rivers) to explore geographical and seasonal patterns of BDOC in the Arctic.

2. METHODS

2.1 Literature synthesis

We gathered and analyzed data from permafrost-region BDOC studies that met the following criteria: 1. Located in the Arctic Ocean watershed (including the Yukon River watershed); 2. Used DIC production (CO_2 evasion), or DOC loss over time to assess biodegradability (we excluded studies based on O_2 loss due to complicating factors such as respiratory coefficients); and 3. Incubation was performed in the dark to avoid autotrophic effects or photodegradation.

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:02 AM
Deleted: (DIC production)

A total of 14 studies with experimental data on BDOC were found (Michaelson et al., 1998; Kawahigashi et al., 2004; Wickland et al., 2007; 2012; Holmes et al., 2008;

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 15:39:32

why?

Balcarczyk et al., 2009; Roehm et al., 2009; Kiikkilä et al., 2011; Mann et al., 2012; Olefeldt et al., 2013a and 2013b; Vonk et al., 2013a and 2013b; Abbott et al., 2014). All time steps from the incubations were treated as single data points, thus not just the final DOC loss (e.g. if DOC concentration was measured at days 2, 7, and 14, we included the three points individually). We categorized the data (Table 1 and Fig. 2) by permafrost zone (no permafrost, discontinuous, or continuous), seasonality (day of year), filter pore size (0.22, 0.45, or 0.7 µm), BDOC method (DIC production or DOC loss), incubation time duration (days), incubation temperature, use of inorganic nutrient additions (yes or no), sample agitation during the incubation (yes or no), incubation bottle size (ranging from 40 to 3000 mL), inoculum addition at start of experiment (yes or no), and oxygen availability (for soil incubations: oxic or anoxic; all aquatic incubations were performed oxic). When an incubation was performed at "room temperature" we assumed 20°C. For watersheds crossing permafrost boundaries we chose the spatially-dominant permafrost type. We sorted the data into soil leachate and aquatic incubations, with subclasses (for our categorical purposes) for the aquatic data: "lakes", "streams" (<250km^2), "large streams" (250km^2 to 25,000km^2), "rivers" (25,000km^2 to 500,000km^2) and "large rivers" (>500,000km^2).

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:03 AM
Deleted: Wickland et al., 2012;

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:31 PM
Deleted: Additionally,

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:32 PM
Deleted: subcategories

2.2 Circum-arctic standardized incubation experiment

In June to September of 2013 we performed BDOC experiments with leachates from three soil cores (from near Toolik Field Station, Alaska), water from two streams (Richardson Creek, Alaska; Y3, Siberia), and water from three major Arctic rivers (Yukon, Mackenzie and Kolyma Rivers; Fig. 1). Soil leachates were performed by adding 500 mL DI water to soil volumes of ca. 2 L, letting this stand for 24 hours, and extracting using a pore water sampler measuring total leachate volume extracted. Water samples were collected from the surface in pre-cleaned, pre-rinsed containers and transported (dark and cool) to filtration facilities within 12 hours. We developed an incubation methodology adapted for implementation at remote field sites to assure applicability to future work.

We measured DOC loss over time rather than O_2 loss or DIC production, as it did not require specialized supplies or instrumentation in the field. All samples were filtered through pre-combusted Whatman GF/F filters (nominal pore size 0.7 µm), which are commonly used throughout the literature and can be pre-cleaned through combustion (450°C > 4hrs). We set up triplicate incubations with three different treatments to test the effects of bacterial inoculation: (1) no inoculum, (2) 1% inoculum by volume, (3) 10% inoculum by volume. Inocula consisted of 1.2 µm filtered water (using pre-combusted (450°C > 4hrs) Whatman GF/C filters, 1.2 µm nominal pore size) that was added to sample waters (filtered at 0.7 µm) to the specified ratio.

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:04 AM
Deleted: CO_2

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:33 PM
Deleted: 550°C

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:33 PM
Deleted: 550°C

We added 30 ml aliquots of sample into pre-combusted (550°C > 4hrs) 40 mL glass incubation vials and stored them at 20°C in the dark, with no nutrient amendment. To ensure oxic conditions we left vial caps loose and shook samples once a day. The incubated samples were re-filtered through 0.7 µm filters to remove flocculation after

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 4:06 PM
Deleted: prepared

Page : 5

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 15:59:50

remove space or slash?

Nombre : 2 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 16:01:19

was this always proven/followed? as even though we might think it was oxic (at start), it might have become anoxic over the course of the experiment

Nombre : 3 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 16:02:58

why is there no space here and elsewhere?

0, 2, 7, 14 and 28 days (using separate vials, in triplicate, for each time step). Re-filtration removes the majority of the microbial biomass, resulting in a measured DOC loss including both DOC mineralization and assimilation. Samples were immediately acidified with 30µL of concentrated HCl (high quality grade; to pH ≤2). Acidified sample vials were capped and stored refrigerated in the dark until analysis within three months. At the time of analysis, acidified samples were sparged with CO_2 free air for 8 minutes at 75 mL/min and run as non-purgable organic carbon (NPOC) on either a Shimadzu TOC-V or TOC-L analyzer. DOC was calculated as the mean of between three and seven injections and the coefficient of variance was always <2%. BDOC is reported in percent loss at time point x (2, 7, 14 or 28 days) according to:

$$BDOC(\%)_{T=x} = (DOC_{T=0} - DOC_{T=x})/DOC_{T=0}) * 100\% \quad (1)$$

2.3 Statistical analyses

We combined the literature meta-analysis of 14 papers (n=551) with data from our circum-arctic incubation experiment (n=192). Each of the studies identified used different methods for assessing BDOC, complicating and limiting possible analyses. To examine trends across the total dataset (n = 743) we performed categorical principle component analysis (CATPCA) via optimal scaling. This approach allowed us to compare the effect of multiple variables with mixed measurement levels (scalar, nominal, ordinal). We then performed a standard principle component analysis (PCA) using the optimally-scaled results to aid in data interpretation. Data normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test ($p > 0.05$). The data were normal and did not require transformation. Separate CATPCA and PCA analyses were performed on the aquatic and soil leachate datasets, as well as for methodological and environmental parameters (Table 1). Validity of each PCA was tested using the Barlett tests of sphericity ($p < 0.001$) and Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measures of sampling adequacy. Direct oblimin rotation was applied and rotated scores used throughout, allowing for correlation between scores (Manisera et al., 2010). CATPCA runs assigned measures from scalar data (initial DOC, BDOC (%), latitude, longitude, Julian day, bottle size, incubation time, and incubation temperature), nominal data (method of C loss, shaking, nutrient addition, inoculum, oxygen availability, location in fluvial network) and ordinal data (filter pore size, and permafrost extent). We considered final rotated PCA correlations of >0.7 as strong, between 0.5 and 0.7 as moderate, and <0.5 as weak or absent (Quinn and Keough, 2002). Although this approach has drawbacks, in our opinion it proved the most representative methodology given the diverse dataset which included repeated measures (i.e. multiple time points) of BDOC (Bradlow et al., 2002). Additionally, we combined data from all studies carried out with incubation temperatures between 15-25°C and with incubation durations between 28-34 days, which represented the most common temperature and duration in the meta-analysis, to test for environmental trends (Fig. 3, 4, 5). Here we tested for differences among means using analysis of variance (ANOVA). All ANOVA, CATPCA, and PCA analyses were conducted in SPSS 22.

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 2:14 PM
Deleted: was

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 2:14 PM
Deleted: calculated as

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 2:14 PM
Deleted: the change in DOC concentration during the 28-day incubation, relative to the initial DOC concentration, and is reported in percent loss.

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 12:02 PM
Deleted: the

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 12:02 PM
Deleted: available

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:05 AM
Deleted: therefore

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 11:34 AM
Deleted: After, w

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 12:03 PM
Deleted: %

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 12:03 PM
Deleted: was not ideal

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 12:03 PM
Deleted: best available

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:33 PM
Deleted: approach

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 12:04 PM
Deleted: a given parameter

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 12:04 PM
Deleted: i.e. BDOC;

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:34 PM
Deleted: from

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:34 PM
Deleted: of

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 12:04 PM
Deleted: length used

Page : 6

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:40:26

what was the volume recuperated from the original 30 ml, and what filtration system did you use to overcome the limited-volume problem? (did you use a GFF in a capsule with a syringe?)
Maybe worth specifying in the final protocole

Nombre : 2 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 16:29:58

equal sign missing here?

3. RESULTS

3.1 Literature synthesis

The 14 literature studies comprised a total of 551 data points of which 418 were aquatic. Most studies were located in North America (242 data points in Alaska, USA and 227 in Canada; Fig. 2a), and from regions either without permafrost (234), or with continuous permafrost (230; Fig. 2c). The most common incubation temperatures were 17.5 or 20°C (41% and 36% of the data, respectively; Fig. 2d). The majority of studies (60% of data) used 0.7 µm glass fiber filters to determine DOC (Fig. 2f). Half of the BDOC assays were incubated for between 14 and 40 days (Fig. 2e). Furthermore, most incubations in our synthesis were started after addition of an inoculum (80% of aquatic incubations, 97% of soil leachate incubations).

3.2 Methodological factors affecting BDOC

To examine the effects of inoculum addition and inoculum concentration on BDOC, we compared mean BDOC across our circum-arctic standardized incubation experiment (no inoculum, 1% and 10% inoculum; $n = 40$ per treatment). Amount of inoculum (1% or 10%) had no effect on the proportion of BDOC (ANOVA, $p > 0.9$). As the degree of inoculation had no clear systematic effect on BDOC loss (see also methodological PCA results; 3.2.1) we grouped all inoculated data (independent of concentration), and all non-inoculated data during our ANOVA and environmental PCA analyses. In the sections below we examine the patterns present in the combined analysis of aquatic and soil literature results, including our circum-arctic incubation experiments.

3.2.1 Aquatic BDOC

Three principle components together explained 81% of the variance among all aquatic incubation samples (PC1 = 46%, PC2 = 23%, PC3 = 12%; Table 2). The first component did not correlate with BDOC but correlated positively with shaking during incubation ($r = 0.97$), the method used to measure DOC loss ($r = 0.91$), incubation temperature ($r = 0.84$), and correlated negatively with bottle size ($r = -0.77$) and presence of inoculum ($r = -0.51$). Component 2 also did not explain much variation in BDOC, but correlated with filter pore size ($r = 0.90$), nutrient addition ($r = 0.90$), and the use of inoculum ($r = 0.64$). Component 3 explained the greatest proportion of BDOC variance ($r = -0.83$). Component 3 also closely correlated with incubation time ($r = -0.85$) and displayed a negative correlation with bottle size (r = 0.54). Effect of oxygen availability was not examined in aquatic incubations, as all previously published experiments were conducted under oxic conditions.

3.2.2 Soil leachate BDOC

Three principle components explained 72% of the variance across all soil incubation samples (PC1 = 34%, PC2 = 21%, PC3 = 16%; Table 2). Component 1 was strongly correlated with BDOC loss ($r = 0.75$), as well as the availability of oxygen in

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 4:08 PM
Deleted: 1

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 12:58 PM
Deleted: 234 were

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 12:59 PM
Deleted: and 230 were from regions with

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:06 AM
Deleted: a

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:34 PM
Deleted: s

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:06 AM
Deleted: cut-off

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:36 PM
Deleted: further

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:36 PM
Deleted: ,

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 16:44:21

coming from where?

Soil leachate BDOC was not clearly affected by incubation time across experiments (Table 2). We suggest that the effects of incubation time may have been masked by multiple additional methodological factors significantly influencing the soil BDOC experiments in particular. For example, the presence of O_2 within incubations or regular bottle shaking appeared to play a crucial role in soil BDOC losses (Table 2). As soil extractions typically have higher initial DOC concentrations (despite some degree of dilution applied in the experiment), they may be more susceptible to oxygen drawdown, increasing the importance of regular bottle shaking. Also, the method of assessing carbon loss appeared to play a critical role in the amount of BDOC measured during soil incubations, but not so clearly in aquatic experiments. This finding contradicts with the finding of McDowell et al. (2006) that found largely comparable results between available methods. We compared different methods conducted on different samples, which may explain our contrasting findings.

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:08 AM
Deleted: particularly

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:08 AM
Deleted: , however, were forced to

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:09 AM
Deleted: could

4.2 Environmental factors influencing BDOC

4.2.1 Permafrost extent and longitude

Aquatic and soil BDOC losses were significantly lower in regions without permafrost than in discontinuous or continuous permafrost regions (Fig. 3). This may either be explained by shallower hydrologic flow paths in permafrost-affected regions, which would constrain water flow, and DOC origin, to relatively shallow soils, or by the unique dissolved organic matter (DOM) composition of yedoma permafrost thaw (Abbott et al., 2014; Spencer et al., 2015), containing high levels of aliphatics and carbohydrates, allowing for more rapid degradation. Furthermore, permafrost DOM is relatively well-preserved due to limited processing of organic carbon in soils under long-term frozen conditions (Khvorostyanov et al., 2008; Schuur et al., 2008), though permafrost-derived DOC still shows signs of processing (Wickland et al., 2012; Abbott et al., 2014). Continuous permafrost regions thus seem to receive relatively well-preserved, unique DOC into soil leachates and aquatic systems leading to higher losses, whereas discontinuous permafrost regions and regions without permafrost receive DOC that has already been subject to some degree of degradation. The presence of permafrost also impacts hydrological flowpaths and transport times, which may result in more efficient delivery of relatively less-processed terrestrial DOC to aquatic systems (Striegl et al., 2005; Walvoord et al., 2012). Alternatively, preferential sorption of specific compounds, freeze-thaw effects, or sub-zero metabolism in permafrost could increase DOC biodegradability (Abbott et al., 2014 and references therein). The difference in BDOC with permafrost extent is stronger in soils than in aquatic systems (Table 3, Fig. 3), likely attributable to a fresher, less altered permafrost DOC signature in soils compared to aquatic DOC that has already undergone some processing. Newly thawed DOC from yedoma permafrost soils will be subject to more rapid degradation (Spencer et al., 2015).

Rob Spencer 9/24/15 10:14 AM
Deleted: could

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:01 PM
Deleted: a lack of

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:02 PM
Deleted: OC

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:02 PM
Deleted: some

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:02 PM
Deleted: prior to freezing

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 2:48 PM
Deleted: a

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:10 AM
Deleted: ly

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 3:12 PM
Deleted: , that is

Page : 9

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:41:26

is this normal that the word "lake" does not appear much above and never again below? cause your dataset does include lake results right? (according to line 181)

Nombre : 2 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 17:02:15

could this long sentence cut into 2?

And from the second sentence part, should readers understand that all results obtained from permafrost regions were on Yedoma type soils? (and grouping discontinuous and continuous pmf?)
cf the mention of Yedoma in the Abstract too.
A confusion remains I think (and this could be clarified in Fig. 3 caption as well).

Nombre : 3 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 17:00:45

you mean during the frozen period?

Nombre : 4 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 17:03:33

isn't this depending on where we take the soil sample (active layer versus permafrost)?

Nombre : 5 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 17:05:50

is it DOC that is thawing or soil which leaches DOC upon thawing?

Nombre : 6 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 17:06:45

again this Yedoma specification, telling me that only Yedoma were studied in this meta-analysis (for the permafrost data subset)

Aquatic BDOC was negatively correlated with longitude. Judging from the prevailing geographical regions in the dataset (Fig. 1) this suggests that aquatic BDOC in Alaska and Canada was on average higher than in Eastern Siberia. This could be related to a combination of the spatial spread in our dataset with the distribution of yedoma. Yedoma is Pleistocene-aged permafrost (Zimov et al., 2006) predominantly present in northeast Siberia, but also in Alaska and NW Canada (Kanevskiy et al., 2011) that releases extremely biolabile DOC upon thaw (BDOC between 40-65% after 30-40 days of incubation, Vonk et al., 2013b; Abbott et al., 2014). In our meta-analysis, most of the aquatic BDOC incubations with yedoma-derived DOC are located in Alaska, which could explain the longitudinal pattern.

4.2.2 Patterns within the fluvial network

In continuous permafrost regions, aquatic BDOC changes within the fluvial network (Fig. 4). Here, large rivers (defined as watersheds larger than 500,000 km^2) showed significantly lower BDOC than streams, large streams, and rivers. We should note here that streams (<250km^2, n=149) and large rivers (>500,000 km^2, n=60) are overrepresented in the continuous permafrost dataset, when compared to large streams (250 - 25,000km^2, n=46) and rivers (25,000-500,000km^2, n=18). Nevertheless, this suggests that continuous permafrost regions may release DOC that degrades more rapidly with the movement from headwaters to larger rivers in the fluvial network and that these sources may be absent in regions with discontinuous or no permafrost. Pleistocene yedoma could be such a source, as its strong degradation potential (Vonk et al., 2013a: 2013b; Abbott et al., 2014) leads to preferential utilization in headwater streams (Mann et al., 2015; Spencer et al., 2015).

4.2.3 Seasonality

BDOC decreased with Julian day for large streams, rivers and large rivers (Fig. 5c) in both continuous and discontinuous permafrost regions, whereas streams (Fig. 5b) and soil leachates (Fig. 5a) showed no seasonal pattern. This pattern may be associated with shifts in carbon source (winter and spring DOC in large Arctic rivers is more biolabile than in summer; Wickland et al., 2012; Mann et al., 2012; Holmes et al., 2008) but it is likely more related to a changing hydrologic residence time. In boreal and Arctic systems soil thaw-depth increases throughout the summer, resulting in longer water residence times in soils and headwater streams (Harms and Jones, 2012; Jones and Rinehart, 2010; Koch et al., 2013). This allows more time for biodegradable carbon compounds to be mineralized before reaching the river late in the season, effectively reducing measured BDOC in higher-order streams and rivers later in the season. Increasing water temperature through the season could magnify this effect with little mineralization early in the year when soils and streams are cold but accelerating biolabile carbon removal in summer. Hydrologic connectivity between soils and surface waters is generally weaker later in summer (Striegl et al., 2005; Spencer et al., 2008; Koch et al., 2013), which could explain the absence of seasonal trends for soils and streams (Fig. 5a, b). Furthermore, soil core leachates from a near-surface core that developed fresh plant growth during the growing season

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:05 PM
Deleted: to

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 3:15 PM
Deleted: decreases

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:11 AM
Deleted: rivers

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:11 AM
Deleted: large

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:15 AM
Deleted: , in review

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 3:55 PM
Deleted: streams

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 3:55 PM
Deleted: 5c

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:06 PM
Deleted: DOC

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 3:57 PM
Deleted: decoupling

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 3:57 PM
Deleted: in

Page : 10

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 13:58:42

it is still not clear to me "more rapidly" than what?

Nombre : 2 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 14:00:01

but the above result underlined does not refer to pmf vs non-pmf, does it?

Nombre : 3 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 14:03:39

can this be generalized, i.e. do the 3 cited studies covered all large arctic rivers? or shall you say that it was shown to be more biolabile in the 3 cited studies covering X Y Z rivers?

showed higher BDOC than cores without fresh plant growth (Fig. 6). These local plant growth-induced spikes in BDOC, likely induced by root exudates (Marscher and Kalbitz, 2003) could also mask seasonal trends in soil leachate BDOC and instead highlight spatial variability.

4.2.4 Other factors affecting BDOC

There are multiple factors that affect in situ BDOC that neither we nor the investigated literature studies have considered. One of these factors is the effect of light. Photochemical processes can lead to rapid DOC losses (up to 30% in 14 days; Mann et al., 2012) and may alter the DOC composition so that it is more susceptible to microbial degradation (Cory et al., 2013). Furthermore, the presence of POC also serves as an important catalyst in DOC biolability (Battin et al., 2008). In this study we do not investigate any potential co-metabolizing effects of POC degradation, or for the biodegradability of POC itself, which could be substantial (Sánchez-García et al., 2011; Richardson et al., 2013).

Something we could not directly address in our synthesis was the effect of DOM composition, which can be related to the depth of the active layer and the associated retention of certain fractions of the DOC pool. For example, sugars and microbially-derived organic matter appear more biolabile than plant-derived organic matter (Balcarczyk et al., 2009; Mann et al., 2012). Also, permafrost DOM appears to be enriched in hydrogen-rich, aliphatic compounds that are preferentially degraded in incubation experiments (Spencer et al., 2015). The preferential degradation of biolabile components of the bulk DOC results in an enrichment of more recalcitrant components in soil pore waters (Wickland et al., 2007) and in larger rivers downstream (Spencer et al., 2015).

Another factor that could affect BDOC is nitrogen release from thawing permafrost (Harden et al., 2012; Keuper et al., 2012; Harms et al., 2014). High nitrogen levels have been found to correlate with high BDOC (Holmes et al., 2008; Wickland et al., 2012), although we do not find a strong correlation in our meta-analysis and other studies show little response of BDOC to inorganic nutrient additions (Abbott et al., 2014; Mann et al., 2015).

4.3 Circum-arctic patterns in BDOC

4.3.1 Geographical and seasonal patterns in BDOC

We identified distinct large-scale patterns in the biodegradability of DOC, which we illustrate in a conceptual diagram (Fig. 7). The percentage BDOC in both soil and aquatic systems increased from regions without permafrost to regions with continuous permafrost. We attribute this increase to better preservation of DOC in permafrost regions where frozen storage has limited processing of the soil organic matter, and to stronger hydrologic connectivity between terrestrial and aquatic systems. Furthermore, within aquatic networks, BDOC was lower in large river systems

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:07 PM
Deleted: (

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:07 PM
Deleted:)

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:07 PM
Deleted: leaching of

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:07 PM
Deleted: stocks in

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:07 PM
Deleted:)

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:07 PM
Deleted: with increased thaw depth (

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:10 PM
Deleted: er concentrations of inorganic nitrogen have been shown in some studies to increase BDOC in the receiving ecosystems (

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:15 AM
Deleted: even

Page : 11

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 14:12:17

typo (Marschner)

Nombre : 2 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 14:22:38

you may want to explore the paper by Tietjen et al. 2005 on clay – organic matter aggregates that have been found to enhance bacterial production (through photochemical degradation?) by providing a surface for attachment and concentrating DOM.

Tietjen, T., Vahatalo, A.V., and Wetzel, R.G. 2005. Effects of clay mineral turbidity on dissolved organic carbon and bacterial production. Aquat. Sci. 67: 51–60. doi:10.1007/s00027-004-0753-2.

Nombre : 3 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 14:27:24

This is confusing; I think you might want to distinguish plant exsudates (would we say "plant-derived" then?) from OM that originates from plant materials that has been transformed into DOM in the catchment (allochthonous DOM).

Nombre : 4 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 14:37:08

there is a bit of redundancy with what was mentionned above (notably with 4.2.2 and 4.2.3). Was this done on purpose? (to wrapup)

compared with streams, and this pattern was most pronounced in continuous permafrost regions. This suggests that continuous permafrost regions release DOC sources such as Pleistocene yedoma that degrade rapidly in the fluvial network (Vonk et al., 2013b; Abbott et al., 2014; Mann et al., 2015; Spencer et al., 2015).

Aquatic BDOC in large streams and rivers decreased as the Arctic summer progressed. This pattern was absent for soils and streams. This could be related to a variety of factors such as seasonal shifts in carbon sources, changing DOC residence time related to increasing thaw-depth, increasing water temperatures later in the summer, as well as decreasing hydrologic connectivity between soils and surface waters when the season progresses. Alternatively, the integrating character of rivers and larger streams could mask local-scale heterogeneity that is more apparent in small streams and soil leachates.

4.3.2 Circum-arctic fluxes of BDOC

1 Evaluating aquatic DOC export fluxes through sampling at river mouth locations near the Arctic Ocean underestimates the importance of the fluvial network for processing DOM. Literature estimates of watershed-scale aquatic C gas fluxes vary widely between 0.5 and 10 $gC/m^2/yr$ (all normalized to catchment area; Striegl et al., 2012; Lundin et al., 2013; Denfeld et al., 2013; Crawford et al., 2013). When extrapolated to the Arctic Ocean watershed (20.5×10^6 km^2; Holmes et al., 2013) this could result in a total gaseous C emission between 10 and 200 Tg C/yr. These estimates seem reasonable compared to an annual Arctic Ocean watershed DOC flux of 34 Tg (Holmes et al., 2012), where 34 Tg is based on river mouth monitoring and ignores processing *within the watershed* prior to arriving at the river mouth. Also, a significant fraction of the emitted flux originates from weathering and soil respiration sources (Striegl et al., 2005; Humborg et al., 2009).

2 Gaseous losses of C during aquatic processing in the watershed remain hard to determine. Wickland et al., (2012) estimated that the combined BDOC exported by the six largest Arctic rivers to the Arctic Ocean is 2.3 Tg C/yr, based on empirical relations between BDOC and DOC:DIN (dissolved inorganic nitrogen) ratios. Importantly, these watershed-scale estimates exclude processing and retention of DOC in soils, *prior to* delivery to aquatic networks. As we have seen in this study, soil BDOC is on average higher than aquatic BDOC. By using the % permafrost extent in the Arctic Ocean watershed from Holmes et al., (2013), 45% continuous, 31% discontinuous (including sporadic and isolated) and 26% without permafrost, and average soil BDOC values for each permafrost zone (20, 15 and 8 BDOC for continuous, discontinuous and no permafrost regions, respectively; mean values from Fig. 3b) we can calculate the permafrost-normalized average soil BDOC to be 16%. Inclusion of DOC processing within soils is likely to significantly raise the 2.3 Tg C/yr estimate for aquatic networks alone (Wickland et al., 2012). However, questions about the linkages between soil and stream BDOC with deepening active layer depths remain. Changes in hydrological flow paths associated with deepening active layers

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 12:18 PM
Deleted: small

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:13 PM
Deleted: obvious

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:13 PM
Deleted: such as Pleistocene yedoma

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:12 AM
Deleted: , in review

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 12:19 PM
Deleted: small

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:14 PM
Deleted: roughly

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:14 PM
Deleted: %

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 1:15 PM
Deleted: %

Page : 12

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:44:59

I guess this is the basic principle underlining the work by Cole et al. 2007 (and Tranvik et al. 2009 for lakes and reservoirs only) on global C cycle. Would these be worth citing?

You also might want to mention C burial by the fluvial network?

And again no mention of lake processes in this section...

Nombre : 2 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:45:27

why gaseous losses per se? you mean at the landscape scale? harder than anything else? This start of paragraph seems strange especially as we go on reading

could reduce the inputs of DOC due to mineral sorption and additional processing during transport (MacLean et al., 1999; Striegl et al., 2005; O'Donnell et al., 2010) but the net effects of permafrost thaw on BDOC inputs to streams are not yet well characterized.

4.4 Method considerations and recommendations

In order to compare BDOC losses across Arctic, and alternate systems, it is crucial to standardize the methods with which biodegradability is assessed. Our meta-analysis highlighted the significant variability in incubation design across the currently available literature making robust comparisons of BDOC across studies challenging. We suggest the following DOC incubation method, which is intentionally kept simple to be feasible at more remote field sites (a more detailed protocol is available in the supplementary information). Additionally, we suggest a few optional protocol steps that could be used to assess further environmental controls on BDOC.

Standardized DOC incubation protocol

- As soon as possible after collection, filter water samples through pre-combusted (450°C >4hrs) 0.7 µm glass fiber filters and chill (ca. 4°C) until ready to incubate.
  - ⇒ Rapid incubation setup is strongly recommended since many biolabile DOC compounds have turnover times of hours. We advocate against freezing samples due to DOC flocculation, compositional and structural changes in the DOC, and bacterial viability (Fellman et al., 2008)
- Decant filtrate into triplicate sets of 40 mL pre-combusted (550°C >4hrs) glass vials, and fill each vial with 30 mL filtrate. Use a triplicate glass vial set for each time point in your incubation. We recommend five time points at which one triplicate set will be consecutively removed from incubation: T = 0, T = 2, T = 7, T = 14 and T = 28 days. Use caps with silicone or teflon septa (avoid rubber which can leach DOC). Potentially, a longer time step (T=90; e.g. Holmes et al., 2008) can be added to assess less labile DOC. In that case, we also recommend assessing DIC production (see additional protocol steps, below) as this method is more sensitive in detecting small change. We want to point out, however, that the majority of the incubations will respond within 28 days, and longer incubations will introduce issues such as bottle effects.
  - ⇒ Our reasons for recommending 40mL glass vials are several; they are commonly available, they can be cleaned through pre-ashing, the required total volume per incubation is relatively small but sufficient for analysis, and our analyses suggest that variation in bottle size may affect BDOC results.
- Inoculation of samples is not needed as filtration through 0.7µm allows for a sufficient amount of bacteria to pass the filter.
- Incubate the vials in the dark (to avoid autotrophic respiration and photodegradation), with loose caps and regular shaking to avoid oxygen-depletion.

Jorien Vonk 9/23/15 11:54 AM
Deleted: .

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:42 PM
Deleted: 550°C

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:16 AM
Deleted: multiple

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:17 AM
Deleted: e regularly

Page : 13

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 14:59:34

one thing I thought you did (reading the end of your intro) but now realise you did not, was to test with your own experiments several protocoles. This would be powerful…

Nombre : 2 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:05:04

May I suggest that you come back to the ultimate goal here as well, i.e. to the use of getting BDOC accross the Arctic, considering the limitations of "simple" incubations. What will be the meaning of all this information if a whole bunch of scientists follow your recommendations?
I use myself this type of experiments and I believe they are useful, but explicit considerations may be more convincing?

Nombre : 3 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:46:45

This is suitable for aquatic DOC only. People can face a major technical problem during this step, particularly when generating soil leachates: filter clogging!!! This can become a major drawback when you are in the field trying to start your experiments and your GFF completely clogs… Can you include a step for when this becomes an issue?

Nombre : 4 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:14:16

how do you recommend washing these?

- We recommend performing sample incubation at room temperature (20ºC), as this is most common and relatively easy to maintain. Document the temperature throughout the experiment precisely.
  ⇒ If possible, the incubations should be carried out at a stable temperature for example by using an oven or incubator.
- Re-filter the incubated samples through pre-combusted (450°C >4hrs) 0.7 µm filters (to avoid problems with flocculation and remove microbial biomass) for each time step. Store the filtered samples in pre-combusted (550°C >4hrs) 40mL glass vials, acidify to pH 2 with 30µL concentrated HCl. Cap tightly and store dark and chilled until analysis.
- For logistical reasons, we recommend assessment of BDOC through DOC loss (see equation 1).
- For details regarding DOC analysis, see the supplementary information. Note that samples with low initial DOC concentrations may approach the detection limit of OC analyzers.

Additional protocol steps:

- **Ambient incubation temperature**: Incubate at the ambient temperature of the water or soil from where the sample was collected to allow for application of results to ambient conditions. Run control incubations at 20ºC.
- **Nutrient amendment**: Because the effect of nutrients on DOC processing is unclear, we recommend running experiments both with and without added nutrients. Amount of added nutrients should be adapted in relation to initial nutrient concentration according to the Redfield ratio, but in general an amendment of NO_3^- (to a concentration of 80µm), NH_4^+ (80µm) and PO_4^{3-} (10µm; Holmes et al., 2008) is appropriate for aquatic and soil leachates. Run control incubations without nutrient amendment.
- **DIC production:** If field and laboratory settings allow we recommend also assessing C loss through DIC production, to provide BDOC estimates through two independent methods. We suggest to measure the CO_2 concentration in the headspace of the incubation flask and calculate the change in DIC (headspace CO_2 plus dissolved CO_2, carbonate, and bicarbonate in the aqueous phase). This method is detailed in Kalbitz et al., (2003). Keep all other parameters (such as filter pore size, incubation temperature, and approximate sample volume) similar to the control incubation that measures DOC loss.
- **Light incubation:** Dark incubations eliminate effects of autotrophic respiration and photodegradation; however to simulate realistic DOC drawdown, light is a critical factor (Mann et al., 2012; Cory et al., 2013).
- **DOC 'quality' (composition) measurements:** If possible, we recommend assessing DOM compositional information for, at least, initial water samples or soil leachates and, if possible, also on incubated waters and soil leachates (i.e., post-incubation). These measures may include optical properties (specific ultraviolet absorbance, fluorescence excitation-emission matrices), and compound-

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:42 PM
Deleted: 550°C

Jorien Vonk 9/30/15 3:43 PM
Deleted: and allowing for the calculation of bacterial growth efficiencies

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:17 AM
Deleted: to provide

Jorien Vonk 10/6/15 10:18 AM
Deleted: on

Page : 14

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:48:32

This would thus be a "potential" BDOC, as such a rise in T will accelerate microbial processing (ex. by 15degC as water is commonly close to 5degC at the bottom of lakes, and in flowing rivers maybe?). So this would generate an overestimation in that sense? I know you mention below ambient T incubation as an additional step, but I do not recall you discuss this problem and significance in the paper

Nombre : 2 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:49:07

remove "most" biomass (regrowth over the course of the experiment), since as you mentionned above, this filter type leaves enough bacteria to pass (do we know anyway what proportion is passing?)

Nombre : 3 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:29:17

I suggest you mention that acidified samples as preserved for DOC is not adequate for CDOM optical characterization, but if filtration is done with GFF (0.7 nominal), bacterial regrowth can quickly change the optical properties, thus analyses should be done very quickly.

Author response letter for BG-2015-195 "Biodegradability of dissolved organic carbon in permafrost soils and waterways: a meta-analysis"

We would like to thank the two referees for their comments and feedback on our manuscript. Below we answer (black text) to the general, specific comments, and technical comments of the referees (blue text). We have included the suggested changes in a track-changed manuscript as well as a new version of the manuscript without tracked-changes.

Referee #1

1. 8355, L2-8: The 2nd and 3rd sentences are repetitive – I suggest they are combined.

We have shortened the 2nd sentence here, and have combined it with the sentence thereafter.

2. 8356, L2: How might the lengthening of the arctic summer (thaw period) manifest itself in terms of degradation of the less biodegradable DOC?

The abstract is already quite long, so we prefer not to add additional information here. Instead, we have added some extra information regarding future seasonal patterns to the conclusions.

3. 8357, L11: DOC is not solely composed of lower molecular weight compunds – fulvic and humic acids would not be described as low MW. Rewrite the sentence and redefine.

Yes, we agree and have rewritten the sentence.

4. 8359, L11: It is unlikely that this smaller category (<250km2) includes much headwater stream data. Such a wide category in terms of catchment size will contain a whole range of stream orders. Many of these catchments <250km2 would be called rivers, rather than streams!

Indeed there is a wide range in watershed size, even within the smaller category, but due to the large total range in watershed size (2 km^2 to 1750,000 km^2) we were forced to categorize in order to perform reasonable statistics. There are a number of headwater streams in our dataset though; Y3 (17km^2) and Richardson Creek (9.8 km^2) in our incubation experiment as well as streams in Alaska (5.2-41.7km^2; Balcarczyk et al., 2009) and Siberia (<2km^2; Kawahigashi et al., 2004) that are included in our synthesis.

5. 8364, L11: The effect of incubation time. I would also have expected to see calculated BDOC loss rates as part of their meta-analysis - this is very easy to do and is something that readers could relate to. Since incubation time is such an important influence on BDOC loss rates, this section on "effects of incubation time" is at best a rather crude/rapid analysis.

Standardized experiments with fixed (and consistent) time points can easily be used to convert BDOC losses into BDOC loss rates. We certainly agree with the referee

Résumé des commentaires sur Microsoft Word - BDOC paper_author response letter.docx

Page : 1

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 14:40:24

please consider reversing the order of the added sentence? (text about small streams before large?)

that this would be a good parameter to relate to. However, in our synthesis of the literature, the time steps in the incubations were very diverse and inconsistent. This created problems with converting to BDOC loss rates as ideally one wants to use the same time period (e.g. the BDOC loss rate between T=0 and T=7, or between T=0 and T=2) for the complete sample set. Besides, as most studies do not have sufficient number of time points to calculate rates, it is not possible to have enough studies to perform robust statistics.
The "section" on incubation time consists of two sentences and is, in our opinion, a straightforward observation and not over-interpreted.

6. 8364, L12-13: This is as would be expected (fraction of BDOC removed decreases with time) – but presumably you have the data to show this actually occurs, rather than saying "likely decreases"?
In this case, we encounter the same issue with variability and inconsistency regarding the time steps used as in the previous comment. This complicates expressing "fraction of BDOC removed" against time, also because we cannot assume DOC loss to be linear.

7. 8364, L11-17: Direct the reader to the relevant tables/figures to support these various statements; this will help the readability of this part of the text.
We have added some references to this part of the text.

8. 8365, L9: Please explain to the reader what is "unique" about the composition of permafrost DOM? This has been mentioned several times and is an important assumption behind some of the authors' conclusions/interpretations.
Good point, we have added some more information here and have specified that particularly yedoma permafrost has a unique composition.

9. 8366, L6: I would like to see some clear statements about the relative importance of yedoma- derived versus non-yedoma-derived DOC in the circum-arctic regions. As recognised by the authors there are clearly large gaps in what is known/not-known about BDOC from the circum-arctic. Are there any specific studies from sites with non-yedoma- derived DOC?
Yedoma permafrost underlies parts of NE Siberia as well as Alaska and NW Canada. Most of the studies that were performed outside this region (see Figure 1) are therefore from sites with non-yedoma derived DOC. A complicating factor here occurs with large river DOC studies where yedoma underlies only parts of the catchment. It is therefore safest to say that yedoma-DOC is generally more labile than other circum-arctic DOC. We agree with the referee that this paragraph can benefit from some more clear statements so we have added some more information here. There are no specific studies available from sites with non-yedoma derived DOC, yet.

10. 8368, L22 and L27: as commented earlier these are not "small streams", in fact these are classed as "streams" rather than small streams on 8358.

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 14:32:37

Not sure what you are referring to

Nombre : 2 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 14:41:53

OK, but the corrections done by authors are not yet addressing the differences between DOC in pmf vs non-pmf regions.

And indicate if you mean that the unique composition of Yedoma DOC, "containing high levels of aliphatics and carbohydrates, allowing for more rapid degradation" is more rapid than non pmf DOC or non-yedoma DOC, that is, IF Abbott and Spencer studies cited do support a comparison of this.

Nombre : 3 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-03 17:11:22

see commented manuscript on this confusion; I think one might read the paper with the impression that only Yedoma soil experiments are included in the meta-analysis, but apparently this is not the case.
Cf lines 439-448 in ms (just before section 4.2.2)

Thanks for pointing this out. Here we indeed incorrectly use "small streams" instead of "streams", which we have corrected.

11. 8371, L10- 18: The DOC incubation protocol outlined above recommends a maximum incubation time of 28 days. Presumably this will have to be modified (lengthened) for less labile DOC, particularly in areas without continuous permafrost?
We have added T=90 days to the protocol (section 4.4.) as a potential additional timestep. As our aim is to provide a relatively simple and standard method that can be applied everywhere, we want to minimize additional time steps as much as possible, and have only introduced the T=90 days as a potential "extra" timestep.

12. 8374, L3: Is this a DOC or BDOC incubation protocol? A clear statement is needed.
The protocol describes a DOC incubation protocol to assess BDOC. We have adjusted this in the text.

Referee #2

GENERAL COMMENTS
The authors conducted a meta-analysis of measurements of biodegradability of the dissolved organic carbon in soils and flowing waters of the Arctic, the data included measurements of their own. This work represents an important contribution, not only for Arctic systems but also in general, as quantification of biodegradable DOM is a common question/practice for researchers investigating ecosystems worldwide. The manuscript is mostly well written but it seems like BDOC is used interchangeably for biodegradability of DOC and biodegradable DOC throughout the document, the authors should clarify this. The intention of the paper is great but it will strongly benefit from more data. An important point to make would be the difference between what is really measured in these biodegradability assays: potential biodegradable DOC as opposed to true biodegradation in situ. Removing the sample (especially soil) from the environment can have important implications in the results; this is somewhat analogous to the old conversation around measurements of denitrification.
We are happy this referee considers our manuscript an important contribution. Regarding the general comments made above, we have added some additional information to the introduction (third paragraph) to address these issues. Furthermore, we certainly agree that more data is certainly beneficial. However, there are currently not more soil or aquatic BDOC available and we preferred to publish this manuscript now so that future BDOC studies can take our method recommendations into account.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS
13. P8357L3: what do you mean by increasing flow paths? Increasing number of flow paths?

Nombre : 1 Auteur : Sujet : Commentaire sur le texte Date : 2015-11-10 15:57:27

Even though the paper by Laurion & Mladenov 2013 does not specifically address BDOC but the effect of light on thaw lake DOM, it discusses the implications on biodegradability. Would this be relevant citing in this part of the paper? I also think it could be cited elsewhere (Introduction, section 4.2.4, protocol; sorry for this partisanship, but there are so few studies that have looked at this behavior).

Also, check redundant mention of temperature and light conditions in added sentence ("Throughout this study we will use…") cf the sentence below ("While these methods can give comparable results, differences…").