Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, C10499–C10500, 2016 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/12/C10499/2016/

© Author(s) 2016. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



BGD

12, C10499–C10500, 2016

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Moderate topsoil erosion rates constrain the magnitude of the erosion-induced carbon sink and agricultural productivity losses on the Chinese Loess Plateau" by J. Zhao et al.

Q.H. Hu

huqihou@gig.ac.cn

Received and published: 18 April 2016

This paper estimates the soil erosion rate and erosion-induced carbon sink using a new approach, and distinguish the contribution from topsoil erosion, gully erosion and land-slides. The role of conservation programs on reducing soil erosion is also assessed. Special comments: 1. The abstract is too long and needed to be simplified. Besides, as the soil erosion rate estimated in this paper is much lower than the results from previous studies, the difference of the methods and the progressiveness of this study should be clarified in the abstract. 2. The authors reported the soil erosion rate and

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



C10499

topsoil mobilization with uncertainty. How is the uncertainty calculated? And what are the factors influencing the uncertainty? 3. The author calculated the erosion-induced carbon sink in CLP. What does the amount of this sink mean? I suggest comparing with erosion-induced carbon sink in other regions and other carbon sink like rock efflorescence. 4. Figure 2: The meaning of the x-axis is not clear.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, 14981, 2015.

BGD

12, C10499–C10500, 2016

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

