

Whilst attending to reviewer comments, include synthesis of the different sections into a single work: this is severely lacking and should be addressed. Further:

- Ensure that terminology and descriptors used are consistent through all sections
- The substantial overlap in the introductions to the different sections should be smoothed into the whole;
- Unify the style of writing for the whole review (pay particular attention to section 8 and section 5).
- In the same manner provide the same “treatment” to different sections e.g. some sections end with useful directions for future research whilst others don’t. If there are controversies (e.g. the standing controversy on pathways of N₂ formation in OMZs) this is where these can be mentioned.
- The subject matter of sections 5, 6, 8 and to some extent 7, are closely related so ensure that there is sufficient merging to keep the flow between these sections.
- Lastly because a review must be of use to the general reader and not only to specialists, ensure that where applicable, the results of the different sections are placed within a broader context.