

Interactive comment on “Chlorophyll a specific $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in stream periphyton: implications for aquatic food web studies” by N. F. Ishikawa et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 24 August 2015

The manuscript by Ishikawa et al. showed that chlorophyll a compound-specific $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in stream periphyton. The data and implications are novel and may be useful for future stream food-web studies. The manuscript was well written and the results are clear, but I have a few concerns on the manuscript.

- 1) P11065L21 It is unclear why you used the both chlorophyll a and phaeophytin a. If you used the both you should explain the reasons.
- 2) The mechanisms to explain the differences in $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ between bulk and chlorophyll-a specific in litters were unclear. I am interested in the data because I guessed the $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ of bulk would take lower values than that of chl-a. So, I recommend you to discuss more

C4623

about the phenomenon.

- 3) I understood some of implications of this study in the last paragraph. But in the most of the periphyton samples, the isotope values of the bulk and chl-a specific are very close. I think from this study, we should not consider the chl-a specific isotopes in the most cases. You should emphasize which situation the chl-a specific isotopes are useful to analyze stream food web, e.g., habitats and algal compositions.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, 11089, 2015.

C4624