Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, C4934–C4937, 2015 www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/12/C4934/2015/ © Author(s) 2015. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**BGD** 12, C4934–C4937, 2015

> Interactive Comment

## Interactive comment on "Does Juncus effusus enhance methane emissions from grazed pastures on peat?" by A. Henneberg et al.

## A. Henneberg et al.

anders.henneberg@bios.au.dk

Received and published: 4 September 2015

Anonymous Referee #2:

The authors present a study which adressed the question if aerenchymatous Juncus effusus might provide hot spots of methane emissions from petlands overgrwon with grasses.

1: The study is well designed and well presented. the most negative point about the whole study is that based on the design it is less than obvious that J effusus plants have the proposed function. it is well known from rice fields that the other aerenchymatous grasses can do so. it also well established that juncus effusus has an aerenchyma. Thus, it is not to evident what is really new about the finding that other factors override





this potential effect of J. effusus.

2. More detailed work on single plants showing that they really transpots methane from soil through the aerenchyma would have made the study more solid.

3: Thus, it would much more help if the authors would higlight in the discussion and abstract any it the presented data are a mandatory step to integrate this effect in the methane emission models.

4: p 8472, ln 1, '...were taken...' p 8476, ln 4 better '...waterfilled pore volume at....' p 8478, ln 24-26 Arkward wording. Please, rephrase.

5: Figure 3, Why are no error bars being presented?

6: Figure 5, Remove the graphical legend from each panel, but add the name/number of the replicate in each panel

Author comments:

We thank the editor and all referees for their thoughtful comments and suggestions for our manuscript. On the basis of these comments, the manuscript will be revised and improved. Below are our replies to the individual comments from referee #2.

1: The study is a follow-up to observations during 14 months (Petersen et al., 2012; full citation in Discussion paper) of CH4 emissions from micro-sites with J. effusus largely independent of variations in GWL and temperature on two different grassland sites (this observation was re-confirmed in this study for the Mørke site). Very few studies have specifically addressed the effect of aerenchymatous plants on CH4 emissions from drained peatlands with a low potential for emissions, and our results did confirm that J. effusus can mediate CH4 emissions in some situations. While it is true that our hypothesis, that J. effusus in general is a hotspot for CH4 emissions in grasslands, was not confirmed, we believe this study shows a need to identify the conditions where aerenchymatous vegetation can lead to CH4 emissions in these areas.

BGD

12, C4934–C4937, 2015

Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



2: The fact that individual aerenchymatous plants can transport CH4 has been documented in many papers (noted in the Introduction), and that J. effusus specifically can do so was described in detail in our previous paper (Henneberg et al., 2012; full citation in Discussion paper). Under field conditions such effects are more difficult to demonstrate, but can be expected based on several previous studies. As noted in the point above, the major information gap we are filling is demonstrating such an effect in infrequently flooded agricultural pastures, in contrast to the permanent wetlands and rice paddies where this effect has been previously documented.

3: The areas investigated here (drained peatlands) are generally considered as insignificant CH4 sources, and our results highlights that there is a potential for CH4 release from J. effusus tussocks in these areas. We have also described how the importance of this effect can vary between sites of different soil type and hydrology. We acknowledge that the results from this study cannot be used directly in CH4 emission models at this point (as stated in the Abstract, p. 8468, I. 19, and the Conclusions, p. 8481, I. 23). Clearly additional investigations of the abundance and effect of aerenchymatous plants on CH4 emissions from drained peatlands, as modified by soil conditions, is needed before this effect can be quantified and included in CH4 emission models. But we believe this manuscript will provide a good starting point for future research on the topic. These points will be stated more clearly in the revised Introduction and Discussion.

4: The sentences highlighted will be rewritten

5: The soil gas diffusion probes were developed and built in connection with this study to enable monitoring of trace gas concentration profiles from undisturbed soil above and below the water table. Only three sets of probes were available at the time of this study, and therefore no within-site replication was possible. However, the consistency of temporal trends in CH4 concentration indicated that reliable data were obtained.

6: The figure will be modified as suggested.

## BGD

12, C4934–C4937, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

**Printer-friendly Version** 

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



## BGD

12, C4934–C4937, 2015

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 

