

Interactive comment on “Phytoplankton calcification as an effective mechanism to prevent cellular calcium poisoning” by M. N. Müller et al.

JR Young (Referee)

jeremy.young@ucl.ac.uk

Received and published: 6 September 2015

General comments This is a very intriguing set of data. As the authors note, the hypothesis that coccolith calcification may have evolved as a mechanism for removing Ca²⁺ ions from cells has been postulated a few times. It is also trivially easy to see that this an absurd suggestion since, as the authors again note, all unicellular organisms living in seawater have this problem and the ancestor of coccolithophores would certainly have had effective mechanisms for removing Ca from the cell - as indeed must do the numerous modern haptophytes not belonging to the Calcihaptophyte clade. Moreover the fact that in culture coccolithophores often produce mutant cells which do not calcify clearly shows that calcification does not have an essential physiological role such as Ca regulation. Indeed intra-cellular calcification involves introducing vast amounts of

C4975

Ca into the cell and so would appear to exacerbate rather than solve the problem of Ca toxicity. Given this background the experimental results presented here are undeniably intriguing. They clearly show that coccolithophores are more tolerant of elevated Ca concentrations than other, non-calcifying algae and it is hard to dispute the inference that this is likely to be because calcification has given coccolithophores a more sophisticated and higher capacity Ca handling system than non-coccolithophores. In this context the evidence that calcification can be stimulated in coccolithophores by elevated Ca concentrations is even more intriguing. This result applies only to under-calcifying *E. huxleyi* strains, as in regularly calcifying *E. huxleyi* cellular PIC production rates were not enhanced at elevated Ca levels. Nonetheless the clear evidence that calcification was enhanced in low calcifying strains suggests that modern Ca levels may be near the tolerance levels of *E. huxleyi*, even though it is a highly successful species. So the hypothesis that Ca levels may have played a major role in coccolithophores evolutionary success on geological timescales appears reasonable and well-worth exploring. The authors present data on coccolithophore diversity as an index of evolutionary success but in parallel with these broad trends in diversity there are also trends in coccolith size, degree of calcification (e.g. reducing number of rays in discoasters) and total coccolithophore calcification all broadly paralleling the decline in diversity. A driver for these parallel trends has previously been elusive so Ca concentration is certainly intriguing and well-worth exploring. Finally it has often been noted that planktonic foraminifera and coccolithophores seem to follow broadly parallel macroevolutionary trajectories, so again Ca concentrations maybe pertinent in considering the evolution of planktonic foraminifera. The work may also have some more practical applications, many coccolithophores are both hard to culture and/or prone to calcify poorly in culture. This study suggests that elevating Ca concentrations may be a profitable mechanism for encouraging calcification in cultures.

I believe this will be a very stimulating and much cited paper and am happy to recommend it for publication.

C4976

Notes on some specific aspects

Title - the current title is "Phytoplankton calcification as an effective mechanism to prevent cellular calcium poisoning", it is easy to misread this title as suggesting that calcification evolved as a functional adaptation to prevent Ca poisoning which is clearly neither logical nor the conclusion of the paper. It should be changed.

page 5 lines 10-12 The life cycle of *E. huxleyi* is characterized by three distinct different stages: (a) the coccolith carrying non-motile diploid form (C-cell), (b) the naked non-motile diploid form (N-cell) and (c) the scaly motile haploid form (S-cell).

Comment: This is incorrect - the life cycle has two stages haploid and diploid whilst N cells are aberrant diploid cells, not a discrete part of the life-cycle.

page11 lines 26 to 31 On the other hand, seawater Ca^{2+} concentrations might have been an important factor enhancing coccolithophore extinction related to past geological ocean acidification events (e.g. Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum and the Cretaceous Mass Extinction Event) where the impediment of calcification in coccolithophores might have increased the potential for cellular calcium poisoning at elevated seawater Ca^{2+} concentrations. $\hat{\hat{A}}$

Comment: There is little evidence that the end Cretaceous mass extinction was related to ocean acidification and during the PETM there is only a slight increase in extinction rates.

page12 lines 1-2 Coccolith formation has presumably been reinvented throughout the evolutionary history of $\hat{\hat{A}}$ coccolithophores (De Vargas et al. 2007) $\hat{\hat{A}}$

Comment: This hypothesis has very little support - molecular genetics has shown that all coccolithophores belong to a single clade, and heterococcolith calcification has highly distinctive features indicating that it only evolved once.

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, 12691, 2015.

C4977