Soil fertility controls soil-atmosphere carbon dioxide and methane fluxes in a tropical landscape converted from lowland forest to rubber and oil palm plantations

4

E. Hassler¹, M. D. Corre¹, A. Tjoa², M. Damris³, S. R. Utami⁴ and E. Veldkamp¹ 5 [1]{Soil Science of Tropical and Subtropical Ecosystems, Büsgen Institute, Georg-August 6 7 University of Göttingen, Büsgenweg 2, 37077 Göttingen, Germany} 8 [2] Faculty of Agriculture, Tadulako University, Jl. Soekarno Hatta km 09 Tondo, Palu 94118, Indonesia} 9 10 [3] {Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Jambi University. Jl. Tribrata, Km 11, Pondok Meja, Muaro Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia} 11 [4] {Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Brawijaya University, Jl. Veteran 1, 12 13 Malang 65145, Indonesia}

14

15 Correspondence to: E. Veldkamp (<u>eveldka@gwdg.de</u>)

16

17 Abstract

Expansion of palm oil and rubber production, for which global demand is increasing, causes 18 19 rapid deforestation in Sumatra, Indonesia and is expected to continue in the next decades. Our 20 study aimed to 1) quantify changes in soil CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes with land-use change, and 2) 21 determine their controlling factors. In Jambi Province, Sumatra, we selected two landscapes 22 on heavily weathered soils that differ mainly in texture: loam and clay Acrisol soils. At each landscape, we investigated the reference land uses: forest and secondary forest with 23 24 regenerating rubber, and the converted land uses: rubber (7-17 years old) and oil palm 25 plantations (9-16 years old). We measured soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes monthly from December 26 2012 to December 2013. Annual soil CO₂ fluxes from the reference land uses were correlated 27 with soil fertility: low extractable phosphorus (P) coincided with high annual CO_2 fluxes from 28 the loam Acrisol soil that had lower fertility than the clay Acrisol soil (P<0.05). Soil CO₂

fluxes from the oil palm (107.2 to 115.7 mg C m⁻¹ h⁻¹) decreased compared to the other land 1 uses (between 178.7 and 195.9 mg C m⁻¹ h⁻¹; P<0.01). Across land uses, annual CO₂ fluxes 2 were positively correlated with soil organic carbon (C) and negatively correlated with ¹⁵N 3 signatures, extractable P and base saturation. This suggests that the reduced soil CO₂ fluxes 4 5 from oil palm was a result of strongly decomposed soil organic matter and reduced soil C stocks due to reduced litter input, and possible reduction in C allocation to roots due to 6 7 improved soil fertility from liming and P fertilization in these plantations. Soil CH₄ uptake in 8 the reference land uses was negatively correlated with net nitrogen (N) mineralization and soil 9 mineral N, suggesting N limitation of CH₄ uptake, and positively correlated with 10 exchangeable aluminum (Al), indicating decrease in methanotrophic activity at high Al 11 saturation. Reduction in soil CH₄ uptake in the converted land uses (ranging from -3.0 to -14.9 µg C m⁻² h⁻¹) compared to the reference land uses (ranging from -20.8 to -40.3 µg C m⁻² 12 h⁻¹; P<0.01) was due to decrease in soil N availability in the converted land uses. Our study 13 shows for the first time that differences in soil fertility control soil-atmosphere exchange of 14 CO₂ and CH₄ in a tropical landscape, a mechanism that we were able to detect by conducting 15 16 this study at the landscape scale.

17

18 **1** Introduction

19 Oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) and rubber (*Hevea brasiliensis*) are two of the fastest expanding 20 tree cash crops in the tropics (Clay, 2013). Global oil palm production has quintupled from 21 1990 to 2013 and is currently grown on an estimated area of 17 million hectare (Mha) (Food 22 and Agricultural Organization, 2014). Indonesia contributes nearly half of global palm oil 23 production (Food and Agricultural Organization, 2014), and is planning to double its production in the coming decade (Carlson et al., 2013). Similarly, rubber is grown on around 24 25 10 Mha globally, whereby Indonesia is the second largest rubber producer (Food and Agricultural Organization, 2014). Most Indonesian oil palm and rubber production are located 26 27 in Sumatra (Indonesian Ministry of Agriculture, 2014), where conversion of lowland 28 rainforest to plantations has been widespread (Laumonier et al., 2010). It has been estimated 29 that plantation establishment has caused a loss of 7.5 Mha of Sumatran natural forest in the 30 last two decades (1990-2010) (Margono et al., 2012), and future expansion will probably be at the expense of large areas of tropical forest, unless a properly planned and spatially explicit 31 32 development strategy will be implemented (Koh and Ghazoul, 2010).

Although the majority of remaining lowland tropical forests are located on nutrient poor, 1 2 heavily weathered soils, these ecosystems are among the most productive worldwide and contain globally significant above- and belowground carbon stocks. The high ecosystem 3 productivity is possible despite the nutrient poor soils because of efficient cycling of rock-4 5 derived nutrients (phosphorus (P) and base cations) between vegetation and soil, and also high soil nitrogen (N) availability caused by biological N fixation (Hedin et al., 2009). Conversion 6 7 of tropical forest to agricultural land-use systems does not only decrease biodiversity and contribute to climate change (Danielsen et al., 2009) but also alters soil fertility and soil 8 9 physical properties in the newly established land-use systems (Dechert et al., 2004; Klinge et 10 al., 2004). Burning of slashed vegetation is typically part of forest conversion, releasing large 11 amounts of nutrients previously bound in the vegetation. A considerable part of these 12 nutrients ends up in the soil but is susceptible to losses (through leaching and gaseous 13 emission), which are especially high in the earlier years of crop establishment and decrease with time (Klinge et al., 2004). Furthermore, forest conversion is often associated with 14 15 increases in soil bulk density. These dynamic changes in soil fertility and soil bulk density 16 following forest conversion do not only affect agricultural production but also the soil-17 atmosphere exchange of trace gases like carbon dioxide (CO₂) and methane (CH₄) since their 18 production, consumption and exchange are directly related to soil fertility and soil bulk 19 density (Keller et al., 1993; Veldkamp et al., 2008).

20 Globally, soils are the largest natural source of CO₂ (IPCC, 2007), which is released during 21 respiration processes of microbial communities and roots (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992). While the important proximal controllers of soil CO₂ fluxes are soil temperature and moisture, 22 23 it has been demonstrated that other distal regulators such as vegetation type and soil physical and biochemical properties (e.g. bulk density, texture, pH, carbon stocks) also affect soil CO₂ 24 25 fluxes (Raich and Schlesinger, 1992). Soils also play a dominant role in the production and consumption of CH₄, a greenhouse gas with a global warming potential of 23 times that of 26 27 CO₂ over a 100-year time horizon (IPCC, 2007). In soils, CH₄ can be produced during 28 anaerobic decomposition by methanogenic archaea, while CH₄ can also be consumed by 29 methanotrophic bacteria which are able to utilize CH₄ as an energy source. Whether net 30 consumption or net emission of CH₄ occurs at the soil surface depends on the balance 31 between production and consumption in the soil. For soil CH₄ fluxes, the proximal controllers 32 are soil moisture, gas diffusivity and temperature, while other distal regulators include microbial activity, N availability and aluminum toxicity (Verchot et al., 2000; Tamai et al.,
 2003; Bodelier and Laanbroek, 2004; Veldkamp et al., 2013).

3 In tropical lowland forest landscapes with heavily weathered soils, much of the spatial 4 variability in trace gas fluxes appears to be related to soil texture (Sotta et al., 2006). In the 5 Brazilian Amazon, lowland forests on Acrisol and Ferralsol soils display high soil CO₂ 6 emissions with large variations among sites that relate to soil texture: soils with sandy loam to 7 sandy clay loam texture had 21 - 36% higher CO₂ emissions than soils with clay texture 8 (Keller et al., 2005; Sotta et al., 2006). Moreover, although well-drained soils in tropical 9 lowland forests act generally as a sink for CH₄ (Keller and Reiners, 1994; Verchot et al., 2000; Veldkamp et al., 2013), their differences in CH₄ uptake are explicable by their 10 11 differences in soil texture. In a review of 16 tropical lowland forests, the only factor 12 correlating annual CH₄ fluxes with site characteristics was a significant positive correlation 13 with clay contents, indicating that the higher the clay content the lower is the CH₄ uptake 14 (Veldkamp et al., 2013).

15 Since much of the original forest in our study area have been converted to oil palm and rubber 16 plantations, the management practices in these land uses added important factors that influence soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from these converted landscapes. Earlier studies have 17 18 shown that forest conversion to agricultural land uses in the tropics lead to considerable 19 changes in soil CO₂ fluxes, which were related to changes in belowground C allocation 20 (Davidson et al., 2000; Salimon et al., 2004), carbon quality (Werner et al., 2006), living fine root biomass and litter input (Ishizuka et al., 2002; Sheng et al., 2010). Conversion of tropical 21 22 forest to agricultural land uses causes a reduction in soil CH₄ uptake or even turns the soil into 23 a source of CH₄. Often this trend is explained by soil compaction, which leads to reduced gas 24 diffusivity and accordingly limits aerobic CH₄ oxidation while enhancing anaerobic CH₄ production (Keller et al., 1993; Veldkamp et al., 2008). Changes in N availability may also 25 play a role since CH₄ uptake may be N limited (Bodelier and Laanbroek, 2004; Veldkamp et 26 al., 2013) and high concentrations of ammonium (NH4⁺, e.g. from fertilization) can inhibit 27 CH₄ oxidation (Veldkamp et al., 2001; Werner et al., 2006). Finally, termites are known to 28 29 produce CH₄ and their presence may also affect the balance between production and 30 consumption of CH₄ (Seiler et al., 1984).

Although Sumatra, Indonesia represents a hot spot of land-use change, especially for the establishment of rubber and oil palm plantations, how this affects soil CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes

remains highly uncertain for the following reasons: (1) most studies relating land-use change 1 2 to trace gas emissions have been conducted in South and Central America (Keller and Reiners, 1994; Davidson et al., 2000; Verchot et al., 2000; Veldkamp et al., 2001; Salimon et 3 al., 2004) and only few studies were conducted in Southeast Asia (Ishizuka et al., 2002; 4 5 Veldkamp et al., 2008); (2) most studies have focused on forest conversion to traditional land uses such as maize, pastures, slash-and-burn agriculture, cacao and coffee, and less on the 6 7 rapidly expanding tree cash crops such as rubber and oil palm; (3) the few studies that 8 reported CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from oil palm plantations were conducted on peat soils (Melling 9 et al., 2005a, b) whereas the studies conducted on mineral soils, where most of the rubber and 10 oil palm plantations are located, were either conducted without spatial replication, covered 11 only short periods of measurements (Ishizuka et al., 2002; Adachi et al., 2005; Werner et al., 2006) or measured only once (Ishizuka et al., 2005). It is imperative that better information 12 13 becomes available on trace gas fluxes from these economically-important and rapidlyexpanding rubber and oil palm plantations. Whether palm oil-based biofuel indeed has 14 environmental advantages compared to fossil fuel depend, among many facets, on the 15 greenhouse gas balance during oil palm fruit production. 16

17 In the present study, our aims were to 1) quantify changes in soil-atmosphere fluxes of CO_2 18 and CH4 with land-use change, and 2) determine their controlling factors in a converted lowland landscape in Sumatra, Indonesia. Soil-atmosphere fluxes of CO₂ and CH₄ were 19 measured in forest and secondary forest with regenerating rubber (hereafter called jungle 20 21 rubber, which is a more traditional rubber agroforestry system (Gouyon et al., 1993)) as 22 reference land uses and the converted land uses of monoculture rubber and oil palm 23 plantations. Our study was designed to cover these four land-use types in each of the two 24 landscapes on highly weathered soils that differed mainly in texture: clay and loam Acrisol 25 soils. We tested the following hypotheses: 1) soil CO₂ emissions and CH₄ uptake will be higher in loam than in clay Acrisol soils, and 2) soil CO₂ fluxes and CH₄ uptake rates will be 26 27 higher in the reference land uses (forest and jungle rubber) than in the converted land uses 28 (rubber and oil palm plantations). Here, we present the first spatially replicated study with a 29 full year of measurements that investigates soil CO2 and CH4 fluxes from conversion of forest or jungle rubber to rubber and oil palm plantations on mineral soils. We also evaluate the 30 effect of management intensity since we compare rubber plantations without fertilizer inputs 31 32 with fertilized oil palm plantations. Our results will be a critical contribution to trace gases 33 life-cycle assessment of rubber and palm oil at the production stage.

1

2 2 Material and Methods

3 2.1 Study area and experimental design

4 The study area is located in the lowlands (35-95 m above sea level) of Jambi province, 5 Sumatra, Indonesia. In the past two decades, forest cover in Jambi province decreased by 1.14 6 Mha, which was about 40% of the forest cover in 1990 (Margono et al., 2012). The climate is 7 humid tropical with a mean annual air temperature of 26.7 \pm 0.1 °C and a mean annual 8 precipitation of 2235 ± 385 mm (1991-2011; data from Jambi-Sultan-Thaha airport of the Indonesian Meteorological, Climatological and Geophysical Agency). The dry season is 9 usually from May to September and the rainy season occurs from October to April. In 2013, 10 11 during our study period, the wet season lasted slightly longer, while a drier period was 12 detected between mid-June and end-October. During this dry period, rainfall was reduced by 13 35 - 57% compared to the wetter months during which rainfall was 333 - 362 mm per month.

14 We selected two landscapes on heavily weathered soils that mainly differed in texture: loam Acrisol soil $(36 \pm 6 \% \text{ sand}, 32 \pm 4 \% \text{ silt and } 32 \pm 2 \% \text{ clay in the top } 0.5 \text{ m})$ and clay 15 16 Acrisol soil (26 ± 6 % sand, 29 ± 3 % silt and 45 ± 4 % clay in the top 0.5 m). This textural 17 difference led to differences in soil fertility: forest sites in the clay Acrisol soil had higher 18 base saturation, Bray-extractable P and lower Al saturation compared to those in the loam 19 Acrisol soil ($P \le 0.01$ to 0.04; Appendix Table A1; Allen et al., 2015). Detailed soil physical 20 and biochemical characteristics from our study sites were measured by Allen et al. (2015) and 21 are summarized in Appendix Table A1. Acrisol soils cover about 50% of the land area in 22 Sumatra and about one third of Indonesia (FAO et al., 2009). The clay Acrisol landscape was located about 160 km southwest of Jambi City between 01.94° S, 102.58° E and 02.14° S, 23 24 102.85° E. Forest sites in this landscape were established within the Bukit Duabelas National 25 Park (administered by the Ministry of Forestry, PHKA). The loam Acrisol landscape was 26 located about 80 km southwest of Jambi City between 01.79° S, 103.24° E and 2.19° S, 27 103.36° E. The forest sites in this landscape were established within the Harapan Forest 28 Reserve and had been partially logged in the past (administered by the Restoration Ecosystem Indonesia Harapan, PT REKI). 29

30 In each landscape, we studied four land-use types: lowland forest, jungle rubber, and 31 smallholder monoculture plantations of rubber and oil palm. In Jambi province, the smallholder rubber and oil palm plantations were established after clearing and burning either the forest (often partially logged) or jungle rubber (based on interviews conducted by Euler et al., unpublished data). Thus, in our study the lowland forest and jungle rubber served as the reference land uses, representing the baseline conditions with which we compared the rubber and oil palm plantations.

6 For each of the four land-use types within each landscape, we selected four replicate plots (50 7 m x 50 m each with a minimum distance of 200 m between plots), totalling to 32 plots that 8 were all located on relatively flat, well drained positions in the landscape. Additional 9 information on tree species composition, tree density, tree height, basal area, and plantation 10 age of these plots are reported in Appendix Table A2. Within each plot, we established a 10 x 11 10 grid which was used to select four randomly nested subplots (5 m x 5 m each) that were at least 5 m from the plot's border. In each subplot, we randomly deployed one permanent 12 13 chamber base to measure soil trace gas fluxes.

14 This approach of comparing soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from the converted land uses to the 15 reference land use in order to assess the effects of land-cover change has the implicit 16 assumption that before land-use conversion soil characteristics were comparable. We tested 17 this assumption by comparing the land-use independent soil characteristics, i.e. clay content 18 in 0.50-2.00 m depth, among land uses within each landscape. Since there were no significant 19 differences in clay contents between the reference and converted land uses at these depths 20 (Appendix Table A1; Allen et al., 2015), we deduced that the sites within each landscape had 21 previously similar soil characteristics and that differences in trace gas fluxes can be attributed 22 to the changes in land uses and its associated management practices.

23 Since all the plantations were managed by smallholders, management practices of rubber and 24 oil palm were diverse. The following information on management practices were based from 25 our interviews of the smallholders. In 2013, oil palm and rubber plantations were weeded once or twice a year either manually or using herbicide (most commonly 2-5 L Gramaxone® 26 or Roundup® ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). Oil palm plantations were fertilized whereas rubber plantations 27 28 were not. Oil palm plantations in the clay Acrisol landscape were fertilized only once during 29 the rainy season, whereas those in the loam Acrisol landscape had a second fertilizer 30 application in the dry season. The most commonly used fertilizers were NPK complete 31 fertilizer (i.e. Phonska, Mahkota), potassium chloride (KCl) and urea. Plantations fertilized once received about 300 kg NPK-fertilizer ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ and plantations fertilized twice received 32

about 550 kg NPK-fertilizer ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. In terms of added nutrient element, these rates were 1 equivalent to 48-88 kg N ha⁻¹ vear⁻¹. 21-38 kg P ha⁻¹ vear⁻¹ and 40-73 kg K ha⁻¹ vear⁻¹. 2 Additionally, three of the smallholders applied 157 kg K-KCl ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ and 143 kg KCl-K 3 ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ and two of the smallholders applied 138 kg urea-N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. One of the 4 smallholders also applied lime in 2013 at about 200 kg dolomite ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. Smallholders 5 typically applied the fertilizer around the oil palm tree at about 0.8 - 1 m distance from the 6 7 tree base. Additionally, senescing fronds were regularly cut and piled on the inter-rows of an 8 oil palm plantation, typically in the middle of the 9 m distance between rows of oil palms. Oil 9 palm fruits were harvested every two weeks, whereas the latex of the rubber and jungle rubber 10 was collected weekly.

11 In addition to the monthly flux measurements conducted at the smallholder farms described 12 above, we simulated fertilizations and conducted more frequent measurements (6 to 11 times) 13 during 3 to 8 weeks following fertilization as the monthly sampling may have missed the 14 short-term effect of fertilization on soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes. We chose 3 plots of oil palm plantations in each of the 2 landscapes, and in each plot we selected 3 trees separated by an 15 inter-row distance of 9 m (in total, 18 oil palm trees). At 0.8-m distance from the base of each 16 tree, we applied manually the fertilizer within a width of 0.2 m around the tree using the same 17 rate that smallholders applied to these oil palm plantations (i.e. equivalent to 2 kg fertilizer 18 per tree, based from 300 kg NPK-fertilizer ha⁻¹ divided by 134-140 trees ha⁻¹; Appendix Table 19 A2). We used the same fertilizer forms that smallholders applied, i.e. NPK complete fertilizer 20 21 in the clay Acrisol landscape and a combination of KCl, ammonium sulfate and NPK 22 complete fertilizer in the loam Acrisol landscape. One chamber base was placed at 0.3 m 23 distance from the tree base (chamber location a); another chamber base was placed at 0.8 m distance from the tree wherein the fertilizer was applied (chamber location b); and a third 24 chamber was placed at 4 - 4.5 m distance from the tree that served as a reference chamber 25 26 without direct fertilizer application (chamber location c). In the clay Acrisol landscape, 27 measurements in the 3 oil palm plots were done from mid-October to mid-December 2013, 28 mid-February to mid-March 2014, and mid-February to mid-April 2014. In the loam Acrisol 29 landscape, measurements were done from the end of October 2013 to mid-December 2014, 30 mid-January to mid-March 2014, and mid-March to the start of April 2014. Shorter intervals of sampling days (Appendix Fig. B1) were conducted right after the fertilizer application. 31

1 2.2 CO₂ and CH₄ flux measurement

2 Soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were measured monthly from December 2012 to December 2013, 3 using static vented chambers. We are aware that the use of static vented chambers for CO₂ 4 and CH₄ flux measurements could have possible sources of error due to changes in diffusion 5 gradients during chamber closure. We have however adapted the design of our chambers and 6 the flux calculation to minimize, if not avoid, these possible errors (see below). A clear 7 advantage of the static vented chamber method compared to other methods is that it remains 8 the only operational method that can be used to measure trace gas fluxes at a large number of 9 plots (in our case 32) spread over a large area with regular measurements within a year. 10 Furthermore, it also yields information on short-distance spatial variability of trace gas fluxes, 11 which cannot be quantified by more integrative tower-based methods. Our chamber bases were made of polyvinyl chloride (0.05 m^2 area) and inserted ~0.03 m into the soil. In each of 12 13 the four subplots per replicate plot, we randomly placed a permanent chamber base one month 14 before the first measurement started. Since the area occupied by piled fronds or applied with 15 fertilizer in oil palm plantations was relatively small, none of these randomly placed chamber bases (range of distance to the tree base was 1.8 - 5 m) happened to be located on such area. 16 17 During sampling, the chamber bases were covered with polyethylene hoods (0.27 m total 18 chamber height, and 12 L total volume) equipped with a Luer-lock sampling port and a vent 19 for pressure equilibrium. Four gas samples (30 ml each) were removed at 1, 11, 21 and 31 20 minutes after chamber closure using a plastic syringe connected to the Luer-lock port. 21 Immediately after sampling, gas samples were stored with overpressure into pre-evacuated 12 mL Labco Exetainers[®] (Labco Limited, Lampeter, UK) with rubber septa that were only used 22 23 once. Our group has tested these exetainers for extended period of sample storage (e.g. up to 6 months) and airfreight transport by storing and transporting standard gases of known 24 25 concentrations in overpressure, and these exetainers were proven to be leak proof. Gas 26 samples in exetainers were transported to Germany by airfreight every 3-4 months and were 27 analyzed upon arrival using a gas chromatograph (GC 6000 Vega Series 2, Carlo Erba 28 Instruments, Milan, Italy with an ASPEC autosampler, Gilson SAS, Villiers, Le Bel, France), 29 equipped with a flame ionization detector and an electron capture detector. Three standard 30 gases were used for calibration with concentrations from 350 to 5000 ppm for CO₂ and 1000 to 5000 ppb for CH₄ (Deuste Steininger GmbH, Mühlhausen, Germany). The software 31 32 Probe66 Version 1.3 (Messwert GmbH, Göttingen, Germany) was used to determine CH₄ and CO₂ sample concentrations by comparing integrated peak areas with those of the standard 33

gases. Fluxes were calculated from the concentration change over time of chamber closure, 1 2 and adjusted with actual air temperature and pressure measured at the time of sampling. Linearity of increase of CO₂ concentrations with time of chamber closure ($R^2 > 0.98$) was 3 checked for each chamber measurement and in a few cases where concentration curved at the 4 5 last sampling time we excluded the last data point and calculated the fluxes based on the linear increase in concentrations during the first 3 samplings. The majority of the 6 7 measurements showed linear change in CH₄ concentrations with time of chamber closure. 8 There were a few measurements when changes in CH₄ concentrations with time of chamber 9 closure were small, mostly when net CH₄ uptake was low; in such cases, the calculated CH₄ 10 flux using linear regression was not significantly different from zero. These fluxes were 11 however retained in the statistical analyses to avoid bias by excluding low CH₄ fluxes or by 12 assuming that these fluxes were zero. Assuming constant flux rates per day, annual soil CO₂ 13 and CH₄ fluxes from the monthly sampling and total fluxes during a fertilization event (see below) were calculated by adopting the trapezoidal rule on time intervals between measured 14 flux rates, similar to the method we employed in our earlier studies (e.g. Koehler et al., 2009; 15 16 Veldkamp et al., 2013)

17 **2.3 Auxiliary measurements**

18 Soil temperature, moisture and mineral N content were measured with each trace gas 19 measurement. Soil temperature was determined in the top 0.05 m depth using a GMH 1170 20 digital thermometer (Greisinger electronic GmbH, Regenstauf, Germany). Soil samples for mineral N content were also taken from the top 0.05 m at approximately 1 m distance from 21 22 each chamber with 4 soil samples per plot. These 4 soil samples were pooled to represent each replicate plot on each day of measurement, and roots, leaves and twigs were manually 23 24 removed. For the fertilization experiment, soil samples taken near each chamber location (a, b 25 and c) were processed separately. Since even short storage of disturbed soil samples can affect mineral N concentrations (Arnold et al., 2008), extraction was done in the field immediately 26 following soil sampling. A soil sample was added to a prepared 250 mL plastic bottle 27 containing 150 mL of 0.5 mol L⁻¹ K₂SO₄ (approximately 1:3 ratio of fresh soil to extractant 28 volume) and transported to the field station. At the field station, samples were shaken for 1 h, 29 filtered through pre-washed (with 0.5 mol L^{-1} K₂SO₄) filter papers (Whatman, GE Healthcare 30 Life Sciences, 4 µm nominal pore size) and the filtrate were immediately stored in a freezer. 31 The remaining field-moist soil samples were stored in plastic bags and gravimetric moisture 32

content was determined at the field station, for which 50 - 100 g of fresh soil was dried at 1 2 105° C for at least 1 day. The gravimetric moisture content was used to calculate the dry mass of the soil extracted for mineral N. Frozen extracts were transported by airfreight to Germany 3 to ensure that they stayed frozen throughout the transport until analysis. At our laboratory in 4 the University of Göttingen, Germany, NH_4^+ and NO_3^- concentrations in the extracts were 5 analyzed using continuous flow injection colorimetry (SEAL Analytical AA3, SEAL 6 Analytical GmbH, Norderstedt, Germany). NH_4^+ was determined by salicylate and 7 8 dicloro-isocyanuric acid reaction (Autoanalyzer Method G-102-93), and NO₃⁻ by cadmium 9 reduction method with NH₄Cl buffer (Autoanalyzer Method G-254-02). Soil water content 10 was expressed as water-filled pore space (WFPS), calculated using a particle density of 2.65 g cm⁻³ for mineral soil and the measured bulk densities in our study sites (Appendix Table A1). 11

12

13 2.4 Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses of the monthly measurements of soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes were 14 15 conducted using the means of the four chambers (or subplots) that represent each replicate 16 plot on a given sampling day. Data were checked for normal distribution (using Shapiro-17 Wilk's tests), and if necessary a logarithmic (for CO₂, CH₄, and mineral N) or square root (for 18 WFPS) transformation was used. For our first objective, we conducted comparisons of the 19 reference land uses between the two landscapes in order to test the first hypothesis. Then we 20 carried out comparisons among land-use types within each landscape to test our second 21 hypotheses. Linear mixed effect models (LME) were applied (Crawley, 2009) with either 22 landscape (i.e. comparing landscapes for each reference land use) or land use (i.e. comparing 23 land-use types within each landscape) as the fixed effect and replicate plots and sampling 24 days as the random effects. For the fertilization experiment, we tested differences in soil CO_2 25 and CH₄ fluxes between chamber locations within each oil palm plantation plot, using LME 26 with chamber location as the fixed effect and palm trees and sampling days as the random 27 effects. We extended the LME model to include either 1) a variance function that allows 28 different variances of the fixed effect, and/or 2) a first-order temporal autoregressive process, which assumes that correlation between measurements decreases with increasing time 29 30 difference, if this improved the relative goodness of the model fit based on the Akaike information criterion. Fixed effect was considered significant based on analysis of variance at 31 $P \le 0.05$, and differences between landscapes or land-use types (or chamber locations for the 32

fertilization experiment) were assessed using Fisher's least significant difference test $P \leq$ 1 2 0.05. For our second objective, we assess how soil factors influence the seasonal variations of soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes, using Pearson's correlation tests with soil temperature, WFPS, NO₃⁻ 3 4 , NH₄⁺ and total mineral N. This assessment of seasonal controls of trace gas fluxes was 5 conducted for each land use within each landscape using the means of the four replicate plots (as spatial representation) on each of the 12 monthly measurements in order to focus the 6 7 analysis on temporal variation. Lastly, we assessed the influence of soil physical and 8 biochemical characteristics (Appendix Table A1) on the spatial variations of soil annual CO₂ 9 and CH₄ fluxes first on the reference land uses across landscapes (16 plots) and second across 10 land-use types within each landscape (16 plots), using Spearman's rank correlation test. The 11 first was to assess the spatial controls of trace gas fluxes from the reference land uses, and the 12 second was to evaluate which soil factors drive the spatial variation of trace gas fluxes across 13 land uses. We used the annual fluxes as temporal representation in order to focus this analysis on the spatial variation. For all correlation tests, statistical significance was taken at $P \leq 0.05$, 14 except in a few cases for which marginal significance at $P \le 0.09$ was considered because our 15 experimental design encompassed the inherent spatial variability in the studied landscapes. 16 All statistical analyses were conducted using R 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team, 2013). 17

18

19 3 Results

3.1 Reference land uses (forest and jungle rubber): comparison between landscapes

22 WFPS in the jungle rubber was higher in the clay than loam Acrisol soils (P < 0.01), but in the forest WFPS did not differ between landscapes (P = 0.56; Fig. 1a, b). In both landscapes, 23 we detected a distinctly lower WFPS during the drier period (mean WFPS ranged 38-80 % 24 25 between mid-June and October) compared to the wetter period (mean WFPS ranged 50-96 %; 26 P < 0.01; Fig. 1a, b). Soil temperatures in the forest were lower in the clay than loam Acrisol 27 soils (P = 0.02; Fig. 1c, d), which was probably due to the difference in the time of the day 28 when measurements were conducted. Soil temperatures in the jungle rubber did not differ between landscapes (P = 0.17). 29

In both landscapes, NH_4^+ was the dominant form of mineral N (Table 1). Soil NH_4^+ contents in the jungle rubber were higher in the clay than loam Acrisol soils (P = 0.02), but in the 1 forest soil NH_4^+ contents did not differ between landscapes (P = 0.90; Table 1). Soil NO_3^- 2 contents in the forest were higher in the clay than loam Acrisol soils (P < 0.01), whereas soil 3 NO_3^- contents in the jungle rubber was higher in the loam than clay Acrisol soils (P = 0.02; 4 Table 1). Total mineral N contents in both reference land uses did not differ between 5 landscapes (P = 0.11 - 0.19; Table 1).

6 We detected no differences in soil CO₂ fluxes between landscapes for the reference land-use 7 types (P = 0.63 - 0.69; Table 2; Fig. 2a, b). Similarly, soil CH₄ fluxes from both reference 8 land uses were also comparable between the two landscapes (P = 0.26 - 0.27; Table 2; Fig. 9 2c, d). However, in the loam Acrisol soil, two of the four forest sites displayed net CH₄ 10 emissions in 26 % of the measurements, which resulted in its largest variation among plots (as 11 indicated by its largest standard error around the mean; Table 2).

12

3.2 Converted land uses (rubber and oil palm plantations): comparisons to the reference land uses within each landscape

While in both landscapes WFPS did not differ among land-use types (P = 0.12 - 0.26; Fig 1a, 15 b), soil temperatures were slightly higher in the plantations $(27.2 \pm 0.1 \text{ °C})$ compared to the 16 reference land uses $(25.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ °C})$ in each landscape (both P < 0.01; Fig. 1c, d). Soil NH₄⁺ 17 18 contents in rubber were lower than in all other land uses in the clay Acrisol soil (P = 0.05), 19 and soil NH₄⁺ contents in both rubber and oil palm were also lower than in the reference land 20 uses in the loam Acrisol soil (P = 0.03; Table 1). In the clay Acrisol soil, NO₃⁻ contents in rubber and oil palm were lower than in forest (P < 0.01), and in the loam Acrisol soil NO₃⁻ 21 22 contents in rubber were lowest whereas these were intermediary in oil palm (P < 0.01; Table 23 1). The latter was related to a fertilizer application by the owner of one of the oil palm plots just a month prior to our measurement. Total mineral N showed similar differences among 24 land-use types in each landscape as those with soil NH_4^+ and NO_3^- contents (both P < 0.01; 25 26 Table 1).

Soil CO₂ fluxes from oil palm were 45% lower in the clay Acrisol soil and 38% lower in the loam Acrisol soil compared to the forest (both P < 0.01; Table 2; Fig. 2a, b). From the fertilization experiment, soil CO₂ fluxes from within 1 m distance to the oil palm base (chamber locations a and b) were on average 2.3 ± 0.2 times higher than those at 4 - 4.5 m from the tree base (chamber location c) in both landscapes (all P < 0.01; Appendix Table A3). However, this area within 1 m distance to the tree base is only 3 m² per tree or 4 % on a hectare basis, and so even if we would weight with area coverage the annual soil CO₂ fluxes (Table 2), which were measured from chambers placed randomly between 1.8 - 5 m from the oil palm base, such high fluxes within 1 m distance to the tree base would still account less than the standard errors (7 - 9 %) of the mean annual fluxes.

6 Soil CH₄ uptake in the plantations were 84% (oil palm) and 93% (rubber) lower compared to 7 the forest and 69% (oil palm) and 86% (rubber) lower compared to the jungle rubber in the 8 clay Acrisol soil (P < 0.01; Table 2; Fig. 2c, d). Also in the loam Acrisol soil, CH₄ uptake in 9 the plantations was lower (reduction of 64% for rubber and 44% for oil palm) compared to jungle rubber (P = 0.02; Table 2; Fig. 2c, d). However, CH₄ uptake in the forest on loam 10 11 Acrisol soils deviated from the differences detected in the clay Acrisol soils because of the 12 two forest sites that displayed net CH_4 emissions (see above; Table 2; Fig. 2d). From the 13 fertilization experiment, soil CH₄ uptake from the area of fertilizer application (chamber location b) were 2.6 \pm 0.2 times lower than the unfertilized chamber locations a and c 14 (respectively at 0.3 m and 4 – 4.5 m distance from the oil palm base) ($P \le 0.01 - 0.05$; 15 Appendix Table A3), with the exception of plot 3 in the clay Acrisol soil (P = 0.45; Appendix 16 17 Table A3). In most cases, CH₄ uptake in chamber location b was reduced immediately following fertilizer application and was restored to pre-fertilization values after about 6 weeks 18 19 (Appendix Fig. B1). Thus, even if we would weight with the area coverage (~1.3% of the area 20 in a hectare) and time duration (12% of the time in a year) the annual soil CH_4 fluxes, the 21 effect of this fertilized location would be negligible.

22

23 **3.3** Seasonal controls of CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from each land-use type

24 In the clay Acrisol soil, CH₄ fluxes were positively correlated with WFPS (Table 3) in each of 25 the four land-use types, signifying the higher CH₄ uptake in the dry than wet season ($P \le 0.01$ 26 - 0.03; Fig. 2c). Soil CH₄ fluxes correlated negatively with NO₃⁻ contents in forest and with 27 soil CO₂ fluxes in rubber (Table 3). Across all land-use types, soil CH₄ uptake was negatively correlated with total mineral N content (R = -0.47, $P \le 0.01$, n = 41; Fig. 3a) and NO₃⁻ content 28 $(R = -0.73, P \le 0.01, n = 41)$. Some correlations in Table 3 were possibly spurious: in oil 29 palm, soil CO₂ fluxes were positively correlated with soil temperatures (Table 3) even if the 30 temperate range was small (25.5 - 28.8 °C) such that this correlation was likely caused by the 31

differences in sampling time during the day rather by seasonal temperature pattern. In jungle rubber, plotting soil CO_2 fluxes against soil NO_3^- contents showed that their correlation (Table 3) was apparently caused by a group of many low NO_3^- contents against one high NO_3^- value and this correlation became insignificant when the one high value was removed. Also, in rubber, the marginal negative correlation between soil CH_4 fluxes with NO_3^- contents was because of the correlation between WFPS and NO_3^- contents.

7 In the loam Acrisol soil, seasonal variations of soil CO₂ fluxes were positively correlated with 8 WFPS in jungle rubber and negatively correlated with WFPS in rubber (Table 3). Some 9 correlations in Table 3 were also spurious caused by differences in sampling time during the day rather than by seasonal pattern: correlation between soil CO₂ fluxes and soil temperature 10 in forest and oil palm despite narrow temperate ranges (24.8 - 27.2 °C in forest and 25.8 -11 29.4 °C in oil palm). The negative correlation between soil CO₂ fluxes and NO₃⁻ contents in 12 jungle rubber was driven by the negative correlation between WFPS and NO_3^- (Table 3). As 13 14 was observed in the clay Acrisol soil, seasonal variation in soil CH₄ fluxes from the loam 15 Acrisol soil was positively correlated with WFPS in each of the three land-use types (Table 3) with the exception of the forest which was caused by one extreme CH₄ emission from a single 16 chamber (656.47 μ g C m⁻² h⁻¹). When this one value was excluded, a positive correlation 17 between soil CH₄ fluxes and WFPS was also detected for forest ($R = 0.60, P \le 0.01, n = 12$). 18 19 Soil CH₄ fluxes correlated also positively with soil CO₂ fluxes in jungle rubber whereas this correlation was negative in rubber (Table 3). In the jungle rubber, soil CH₄ fluxes correlated 20 negatively with soil NO_3^- contents (Table 3). Across land-use types, we also observed 21 22 negative correlations of soil CH₄ uptake with total mineral N content (R = -0.52, $P \le 0.01$, n =23 38; Fig. 3b) and NO₃⁻ content (R = -0.75, $P \le 0.01$, n = 38).

24

3.4 Spatial controls of annual CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes across land-use types within each landscape

For these correlation analyses, we used all soil physical and biochemical characteristics, which are reported in Appendix Table A1. Apart from the correlations reported here, there were no other significant correlations with any of the tested soil physical and biochemical characteristics. First, analyzing both reference land uses (forest and jungle rubber) across landscapes, the only significant correlation between annual soil CO_2 emissions and soil parameters was with sand content (*Spearman's* $\rho = -0.51$, P = 0.08, n = 16). However, analyzing for each landscape separately, annual soil CO₂ fluxes from the reference land uses in the loam Acrisol soil correlated with Bray-extractable soil P ($\rho = -0.74$, P = 0.04, n = 8). Furthermore, annual soil CH₄ fluxes from both reference land uses across landscapes were correlated with net N mineralization rates ($\rho = -0.75$, P < 0.01, n = 16) and, for each landscape separately, with exchangeable Al ($\rho = 0.74$, P = 0.04, n = 8 in the clay Acrisol soil, and $\rho = 0.69$, P = 0.06, n = 8 in the loam Acrisol soil).

8 Second, analyzing across four land-use types within each landscape, annual soil CO₂ fluxes correlated only with soil ¹⁵N natural abundance signatures in the clay Acrisol soil ($\rho = -0.49$, 9 P = 0.05, n = 16). In the loam Acrisol soil, annual soil CO₂ fluxes correlated with soil organic 10 C ($\rho = 0.49, P = 0.06, n = 16$), base saturation ($\rho = -0.53, P = 0.04, n = 16$), Bray-extractable 11 P ($\rho = -0.71$, P < 0.01, n = 16) and soil ¹⁵N natural abundance signatures ($\rho = -0.60$, P = 0.02, 12 n = 16). Annual soil CH₄ fluxes across all land uses in the clay Acrisol soil correlated with net 13 14 N mineralization rates ($\rho = -0.52$, P = 0.04, n = 16), whereas in the loam Acrisol soil this 15 correlation only showed up after exclusion of one plot in rubber that had an unusually high net N mineralization ($\rho = -0.51$, P = 0.07, n = 15). Net N mineralization significantly 16 decreased in rubber that had no fertilization and intermediate in oil palm that had fertilization, 17 18 particularly in the clay Acrisol soil (Appendix Table A1).

19

20 4 Discussion

21 4.1 CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from the reference land uses

22

23 Mean soil CO_2 fluxes from our forest sites (Table 2) were within the range of reported fluxes (123 - 228 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹) from tropical rainforests in Asia (Adachi et al., 2005; Ohashi et al., 24 2008) and Latin America (Davidson et al., 2000; Schwendenmann et al., 2003; Keller et al., 25 2005; Sotta et al., 2006; Koehler et al., 2009). Compared to measurements conducted in 26 Indonesia, our lowland forests had higher soil CO₂ fluxes than a montane forest in Sulawesi at 27 1000 m elevation with similar spatially replicated and temporally intensive measurements 28 (127 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹; van Straaten et al., 2011) and higher than the seven partially logged forest 29 sites in Jambi with only one-time measurement (162 mg C $m^{-2} h^{-1}$; Ishizuka et al., 2005). 30 While the difference with this last study may be caused by their one-time sampling, the only 31

1 other study that measured CO_2 fluxes from the same region (that conducted nine 2 measurements spread over one year at three plots) reported values that were as low as 33% to 3 50% of our measured soil CO_2 fluxes (63 – 94 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹; Ishizuka et al., 2002). Such 4 values are hard to reconcile with our and other measurements in tropical lowland forests, 5 including the measurements by Ishizuka et al. (2005).

6 Seasonal variation of soil CO₂ fluxes from the reference land uses was driven by changes in 7 soil water content, as suggested by the positive correlation with WFPS in jungle rubber on the 8 loam Acrisol soil (Table 3). Other studies conducted in tropical rainforests have shown that 9 seasonal changes in soil CO₂ fluxes are often caused by changes in soil water content (e.g. 10 Sotta et al., 2007; Koehler et al., 2009; van Straaten et al., 2011), and sometimes in 11 combination with reduction in solar irradiation caused by clouds during the wet season (Schwendenmann et al., 2003). In tropical forest soils, the relationship of soil CO₂ flux with 12 soil water content is curvilinear with the highest fluxes typically at field capacity (pF ~2 or 13 14 WFPS between 50 – 55 %; Sotta et al., 2007; Koehler et al., 2009; van Straaten et al., 2011), 15 which explains why WFPS did not show correlation in forests in both landscapes where WFPS (mostly $\geq 60 - 80\%$; Fig. 1a, b) fluctuated at the top curve of this curvilinear 16 17 relationship.

18 In contrast to our first hypothesis, soil texture was not the proximal factor controlling annual 19 soil CO₂ fluxes, but instead sand content indirectly affected soil fertility (e.g. Bray-extractable 20 P) which, in turn, influenced soil CO₂ fluxes. In the reference land uses, the negative 21 correlation of annual soil CO₂ fluxes with the sand contents contrasted with results in the 22 Amazon Basin where sandy Ferralsol soil had higher soil CO₂ fluxes than the clay Ferralsol 23 soil (Sotta et al., 2006). In the study by Sotta et al. (2006), annual CO₂ emissions were 24 negatively correlated with total soil P content. In our loam Acrisol soil, which had lower soil 25 fertility (i.e. lower Bray-extractable P and base saturation and higher Al saturation) than the clay Acrisol soil (Appendix Table A1; Allen et al., 2015), there may be strong competition for 26 27 P such that trees have to allocate more C to their root or root-mycorrhizal system to obtain this nutrient. From the same study sites, there was also lower P concentration in fine roots in 28 29 the top 0.2-m soil depth of the reference land uses in the loam than clay Acrisol soils (Sahner 30 et al., 2015). This strategy of high below-ground C investment was reflected in the negative 31 correlation of annual soil CO₂ fluxes from the reference land uses with Bray-extractable P 32 contents in the loam Acrisol soil.

Mean soil CH₄ fluxes from our forest sites (Table 2) fall within the range for tropical lowland forests reported by other studies (-6.3 to -55.9 μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹; summarized by Veldkamp et al., 2013); however, our measured CH₄ uptake rates were at the upper end (towards more negative values) of these reported rates and were also higher than the CH₄ uptake rates reported for old-growth forests in Jambi, Indonesia (-21.3 to +4.2 μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹; Ishizuka et al., 2002).

7 Seasonal variation of soil CH₄ fluxes was strongly controlled by soil water content with 8 higher uptake in the dry season (Fig. 1a, b), as shown by the strong positive correlations with 9 WFPS in all land uses in both landscapes (Table 3). Such seasonal changes reflect diffusional limitation on the supply of CH₄ to methanotrophs at high WFPS (Keller and Reiners, 1994) 10 11 and the possible occurrence of anaerobic decomposition, producing CH₄, which may partially offsets CH₄ consumption (Keller and Reiners, 1994; Verchot et al., 2000). Since we measured 12 13 occasional net CH₄ emissions from some reference land uses (Fig. 2d), we cannot exclude this 14 anaerobic CH₄ production. High microbial and root activity consume oxygen in the soil, 15 which may contribute to the creation of anaerobic microsites where CH₄ can be produced. This may have occurred in the jungle rubber on the loam Acrisol soil, where we detected a 16 17 positive correlation of soil CO₂ fluxes with soil CH₄ fluxes (Table 3). Positive correlations of soil CO₂ fluxes and CH₄ fluxes have been reported also for tropical forests (Verchot et al., 18 19 2000). In addition to WFPS, soil mineral N dynamics also influenced the seasonal variation of 20 soil CH₄ fluxes. The negative correlations of soil CH₄ fluxes with soil NO₃⁻ contents in the forest on the clay Acrisol soil and in the jungle rubber on the loam Acrisol soil (Table 3) 21 22 imply that some of the observed seasonal variability may have been caused by temporal N 23 limitation of CH₄ oxidation (Bodelier and Laanbroek, 2004; Veldkamp et al. 2013).

24 We found strong indications that CH₄ uptake in this converted tropical lowland was both Nlimited and affected by high, potentially toxic, exchangeable Al concentrations in the soil. 25 We interpreted negative correlations of annual soil CH₄ fluxes from the reference land uses 26 27 with net N mineralization rates (see 3.4) across landscapes as evidence for N-limited CH₄ uptake. Indications of N-limited CH₄ uptake have been reported for tropical forests in Panama 28 (Veldkamp et al., 2013) and Ecuador (Wolf et al., 2012), but this is the first time that it was 29 observed on a landscape scale in the tropics. Furthermore, the positive correlations of annual 30 31 soil CH₄ fluxes from the reference land uses with exchangeable Al within each landscape signified the lower CH₄ uptake measured in sites with more exchangeable Al in the soil. Soil 32

Al saturation in our reference land uses was high (mean values ranged from 61% to 80%; 1 Appendix Table A1). High Al³⁺ concentrations in the soil solution and higher exchangeable 2 Al in the soil are known to be toxic for plants which root growth may be inhibited (Ma et al., 3 2001). Dissolved Al³⁺ can also be toxic for soil microorganisms and it has been shown that 4 5 high dissolved Al concentrations in the soil inhibited CH₄ uptake in a temperate forest soil in Japan (Tamai et al., 2003). We are not aware of any study reporting such a relationship for 6 7 tropical ecosystems, which is not surprising since in most trace gas studies exchangeable Al 8 in the soil is either not measured or does not reach such high levels as in our sites.

9 In summary, seasonal variation of soil CO_2 fluxes from the reference land uses were related to 10 soil water content (i.e. jungle rubber in loam Acrisol soil), while spatial control of annual soil 11 CO₂ fluxes across landscapes were related to soil fertility: low Bray-extractable P concentrations coincided with high annual soil CO₂ fluxes from the loam Acrisol soil, which 12 13 had lower soil fertility than the clay Acrisol soil. Seasonal variation of CH₄ fluxes from the 14 reference land uses were mainly explained by soil water content, although we found 15 indications that also temporal N limitation may have played a role. Spatial controls of annual soil CH₄ fluxes across landscapes were also related to soil fertility, as shown by their negative 16 17 correlation with soil N availability, suggesting N limitation on CH₄ uptake, and positive 18 correlation with exchangeable Al, suggesting Al toxicity on methanotrophs, which has not yet 19 been reported for tropical ecosystems. These results are in contrast to our first hypothesis -20 soil texture was not the proximal factor controlling soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes but only 21 indirectly through its influence on soil fertility that, in turn, controlled the spatial variations of 22 these trace gases across our studied landscapes.

23

24 4.2 Effects of land-use change on CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes

Mean soil CO₂ fluxes from our rubber plantations (Table 2) were in the same order of magnitude as those reported for seven rubber plantations in Jambi (Indonesia) measured once (171 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹; Ishizuka et al., 2005), while soil CO₂ fluxes from a rubber plantation in a sandy clay loam Nitisol soil in Malaysia with one measurement were lower (123 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹ ; Adachi et al., 2005). Some other studies reported soil CO₂ fluxes that are much lower than our measured fluxes: a rubber plantation on a heavily weathered silty clay soil in China (35 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹; Werner et al., 2006) and a rubber plantation in Jambi (Indonesia) with nine

measurements (75 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹; Ishizuka et al., 2002). Since this last study also reported 33 -1 2 50% lower soil CO₂ fluxes from forests (see 4.1) as well as 50% lower soil CO₂ fluxes from oil palm (51 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹; Ishizuka et al., 2002) than our measured fluxes from the same 3 4 region (Table 2), we suspect some methodological issues in this study. Mean soil CO₂ fluxes 5 from our oil palm sites were comparable with other reported fluxes from five oil palm plantations in Jambi (Indonesia) that were measured once (98 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹; Ishizuka et al., 6 7 2005). Lastly, soil CO₂ fluxes from an oil palm plantation that were more than double of our 8 measured fluxes were reported from a one-time measurement in a sandy clay loam Nitisol soil in Malaysia (222 mg C m⁻² h⁻¹; Adachi et al., 2005). 9

Seasonal variation of soil CO_2 fluxes from oil palm was not as pronounced as that from rubber (Fig. 2a, b). In rubber plantations in loam Acrisol soil, where WFPS were all above 55 % (Fig. 1b), the seasonal variation of soil CO_2 fluxes reflected the curvilinear relationship of soil CO_2 fluxes with WFPS, whereby soil CO_2 fluxes typically decline at WFPS larger than about 55 % (e.g. Sotta et al., 2007; Koehler et al., 2009), and hence the negative correlation with WFPS (Table 3).

16 The spatial variation of annual soil CO₂ fluxes across land uses reflected the changes in soil organic matter quality and quantity with changes in land use, as indicated by the negative 17 correlations with soil ¹⁵N natural abundance signatures (see 3.4) and the positive correlation 18 with soil organic C content. Soil ¹⁵N signatures in our studied oil palm plantations were 19 significantly higher than the reference land uses (i.e. loam Acrisol soil; Appendix Table A1; 20 21 Allen et al., 2015), which we interpreted as an indication of the degree of decomposition of soil organic matter. The more decomposed the soil organic matter, the higher is the soil ¹⁵N 22 signature, as illustrated by increasing soil ¹⁵N signatures with increasing depth of tropical 23 24 forest soils (Sotta et al., 2008; Baldos et al., 2015). The more decomposed soil organic matter 25 in the oil palm plantations was probably due to their lower inputs from litterfall and fine and 26 coarse root production than those in the forests (measured from the same sites by Kotowska et 27 al., 2015). The low litter input and root production influenced not only the degree of decomposition of the soil organic matter but also lead to strong reductions in soil organic C 28 29 stocks of oil palm and rubber plantations (measured in the same study region by van Straaten et al. 2015). This may have contributed to the low CO₂ emissions, since we also detected a 30 31 positive correlation of soil CO₂ emissions with soil organic C content. Similar findings were reported from forest conversion to tree plantations on Acrisol soil in subtropical southern 32

China where decreases in soil CO₂ fluxes were also explained by decreases in annual litterfall 1 2 and root biomass (Sheng et al., 2010). In addition to changes in soil organic matter quality, spatial variation of annual soil CO₂ fluxes across land uses in the loam Acrisol soil was also 3 controlled by changes in soil fertility with land-use change, as shown by their negative 4 correlations with base saturation and Bray-extractable P (see 3.4). Conversion of forest or 5 jungle rubber to rubber and oil palm plantations was accompanied by burning of slashed 6 7 vegetation, whereby considerable amounts of bases and P could be released from the plant 8 biomass to ashes (Klinge et al., 2004). Input of these nutrients to the soil from the ashes, 9 combined with P fertilization and liming (particularly in the oil palm plantations), 10 significantly increased soil pH in both rubber and oil palm as well as base saturation and Bray-extractable P in oil palm (Appendix Table A1; Allen et al., 2015). The negative 11 12 correlations of annual soil CO₂ fluxes with base saturation and Bray-extractable P across land 13 uses suggest that C allocation to its root-mycorrhizal system may have decreased with 14 increased base cations and P availability, contributing to the observed decrease in soil CO₂ 15 fluxes from oil palm compared to the other land uses (Table 2). In contrast, the speculation by 16 Ishizuka et al. (2005) that low soil CO₂ fluxes from oil palm plantations could be explained 17 by higher soil bulk densities related to intensive management practices we could not support 18 since soil bulk densities in these converted land uses were comparable to the reference land 19 uses (Appendix Table A1; Allen et al., 2015).

Mean soil CH₄ fluxes from rubber plantations (Table 2) were comparable with those reported 20 for a rubber plantation in southwest China (-5.7 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹; Werner et al., 2006) and 21 for seven rubber plantations in Jambi (Indonesia) measured only once (-5.8 μg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹; 22 23 Ishizuka et al., 2005). From the oil palm plantations, mean soil CH₄ fluxes (Table 2) were comparable with those reported for five oil palm plantations in Jambi (Indonesia) measured 24 only once (-20.1 ug CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹: Ishizuka et al., 2005) but larger (or more CH₄ uptake rate) 25 than that reported for an oil palm plantation in Jambi with one measurement (-4.2 µg CH₄-C 26 $m^{-2} h^{-1}$; Ishizuka et al., 2002). 27

As was the case for the reference land uses, seasonal variation of soil CH_4 fluxes from the converted land uses were also controlled by WFPS (Table 3), and the possible mechanisms were the same (see 4.1). Moreover, strong negative correlations of soil CH_4 uptake with total mineral N (Fig. 3) and NO_3^- contents across all land uses (see 3.3), of which total mineral N was lowest in the converted land uses (Table 1), also suggest temporal N limitation on methanotrophic activity (Veldkamp et al. 2013) that may have contributed to the decrease in
 CH₄ uptake in the converted land uses (Fig. 2c, d; Table 2).

3 The negative correlations of annual soil CH₄ fluxes with net N mineralization rates across 4 land uses further suggest N limitation of CH₄ uptake, as indicated by the lowest CH₄ uptake in 5 the converted land uses (Table 2) that had the lowest (i.e. rubber with no N fertilization) to 6 intermediate (i.e. oil palm with N fertilization) net N mineralization rates (see 3.4). The 7 results from the fertilization experiment in the oil palm sites that showed inhibition of CH₄ 8 uptake in the fertilized spot (chamber location b; Appendix Table A3) within 6 weeks 9 following fertilizer application (Appendix Fig. B1) was probably caused by salt effect, as has been observed in a fertilization experiment in tropical pastures (Veldkamp et al., 2001). 10 11 However, this CH₄ inhibition following fertilizer application did not influence our annual flux 12 estimates because of the negligible area coverage of the fertilized spots ($\sim 1.3\%$ of the area in a hectare) and its short-term effect (less than 6 weeks or 12% of the time in a year). 13

14 In summary, soil CO₂ fluxes decreased only in oil palm and not in rubber, which partly supports our second hypothesis. These converted land uses showed decrease in soil CH₄ 15 16 uptake, which supports our second hypothesis. Seasonality of soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes in the converted land uses appeared to be controlled by the same factors as those in the reference 17 18 land uses. The strong decrease in soil CO₂ fluxes from the oil palm was probably caused by a 19 combination of strongly decomposed soil organic matter and low soil carbon stocks (caused 20 by the low input of litterfall and low fine root production), and possibly low C allocation to root or root-mycorrhizal system (due to the improved base cations and P availability from 21 22 liming and P fertilization). Reduction in annual CH₄ uptake in the converted land uses were 23 primarily caused by the decrease in soil N availability in these converted land uses.

24

5 Consequences of land-use change on soil trace gas fluxes

Our study shows that land-use change had a profound effect on the soil-atmosphere fluxes of the trace gases CO_2 and CH_4 , with reduced soil CO_2 fluxes from oil palm plantations and reduced soil CH_4 uptake in both rubber and oil palm plantations. The reduced soil CO_2 fluxes in the oil palm should not be interpreted as reduced net ecosystem emissions because we did not measure the net CO_2 uptake by the vegetation and the changes in soil and vegetation carbon stocks. Rather the strong decrease in soil CO_2 fluxes from oil palm is a reflection of the present belowground carbon dynamics in this land use. Due to decreases in litterfall and fine root production (Kotowska et al., 2015) as well as frond management practice (stacking them on inter-rows) that reduced fresh litter input on the whole area, soil organic C stocks in these oil plantations decrease over time (van Straaten et al., 2015), reflecting the reductions in soil CO₂ emissions.

Our estimate of decrease in CH₄ uptake from conversion of forest or jungle rubber to rubber 5 and oil palm in these landscapes was about 2 kg CH₄-C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (based on average of 6 7 values in Table 2). If we multiply this with 0.52 Mha, the increase in areal coverage of oil 8 palm and rubber plantations in Jambi from 1996 to 2011 (BPS, 2012), this suggests that the 9 capacity of the province of Jambi to remove this potent greenhouse gas from the atmosphere has decreased by about 1040 Mg CH₄-C year⁻¹ as a result of this land-use conversion. This 10 11 calculation does not take into account land-use changes that occurred in the peatlands. 12 Finally, we detected important soil fertility controls on trace gas exchange in this converted 13 tropical landscape on highly weathered Acrisol soils, including the controls of base cation and 14 P availability on annual soil CO₂ fluxes and N availability and Al toxicity on annual soil CH₄ 15 fluxes. Such controls at the landscape scale have not yet been reported, and thus we stress the 16 importance of conducting landscape-scale studies as field studies on a few small plots or 17 laboratory-based studies may not be able to detect such important controls.

18

19 Acknowledgements

20 We thank the village leaders, local plot owners, PT REKI, PT Perkebunan Nusantara VI, and 21 Bukit Duabelas National Park for granting us access and use of their properties. This study 22 was financed by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) as part of the project A05 in 23 the framework of the German-Indonesian Collaborative Research Center 990: Ecological and Socioeconomic Function of Tropical Lowland Rainforest Transformation Systems. We are 24 25 especially grateful to our Indonesian assistants, Edward Januarlin Siahaan, Nelson Apriadi Silalahi, Ardi, Fahrurrozy and Edi, as well as all the rangers of the protected forest areas. We 26 27 also acknowledge the other members of project A05 (Allen et al., 2015; Kurniawan et al., 28 unpublished data) for the soil physical and biochemical data (Appendix Table A1), projects 29 B04 (Kotowska et al., 2015), B06 (Rembold et al., unpublished data) and B07 (Sahner et al., 2015) for the litterfall and root production, root nutrient concentrations and vegetation 30 characteristics (Appendix Table A2) and project C07 (Euler et al., unpublished data) for 31 information on land-use history. We thank also Norman Loftfield, Oliver van Straaten, 32

Andrea Bauer, Kerstin Langs and Martina Knaust (Georg-August University Göttingen, 1 2 Germany) for their assistance with laboratory analyses. This study was conducted using the 3 (210/SIP/FRP/SM/VI/2012 and 45/EXT/SIP/FRP/SM/V/2013) research permits 4 recommended by Ministry of Research and Technology of Indonesia (RISTEK), and the 5 collection permits (2703/IPH.1/KS.02/XI/2012 and S.13/KKH-2/2013) recommended by the Indonesian Institute of Sciences (LIPI) and issued by the Ministry of Forestry of Indonesia 6 7 (PHKA).

8

9 **References**

10 Adachi, M., Bekku, Y. S., Konuma, A., Kadir, W. R., Okuda, T., and Koizumi, H.: Required

sample size for estimating soil respiration rates in large areas of two tropical forests and of
two types of plantation in Malaysia, Forest Ecol. Manag., 210, 455–459, 2005.

13 Allen, K., Corre, M. D., Tjoa, A., and Veldkamp, E.: Soil nitrogen-cycling responses to

14 conversion of lowland forests to oil palm and rubber plantations in Sumatra, Indonesia. PLoS

15 ONE, 10, DOI: e0133325. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0133325, 2015.

16 Arnold, J., Corre, M. D., and Veldkamp, E.: Cold storage and laboratory incubation of intact

17 soil cores do not reflect in-situ nitrogen cycling rates of tropical forest soils, Soil Biol.

18 Biochem., 40, 2480–2483, 2008.

19 Baldos, A. P., Corre, M. D., and Veldkamp, E.: Response of N cycling to nutrient inputs in

forest soils across a 1000–3000m elevation gradient in the Ecuadorian Andes, Ecology, 96,
749–761, 2015.

22 Bodelier, P. L. E. and Laanbroek, H. J.: Nitrogen as a regulatory factor of methane oxidation

23 in soils and sediments, FEMS Microbiol. Ecol., 47, 265–277, 2004.

24 BPS (Badan Pusat Statistik): Statistical Oce of Jambi Province, available at: 25 http://jambi.bps.go.id/index.php?option=com content&view=article&id=164:jambi-

26 dalamangka-2011&catid=5:publikasi-buku&Itemid=30 (last access: 8 January 2014), 2012.

27 Carlson, K. M., Curran, L. M., Asner, G. P., Pittman, A. M., Trigg, S. N., and Marion

28 Adeney, J.: Carbon emissions from forest conversion by Kalimantan oil palm plantations,

29 Nature Climate Change, 3, 283–287, 2013.

- 1 Clay, J.: World Agriculture and the Environment: a Commodity-By-Commodity Guide to
- 2 Impacts and Practices, Island Press, Washington D.C., USA, 2013.
- 3 Crawley, M. J.: The R Book, John Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester, UK, 2009.
- 4 Danielsen, F., Beukema, H., Burgess, N. D., Parish, F., Bruehl, C. A., Donald, P. F.,
- 5 Murdiyarso, D., Phalan, B., Reijnders, L., and Struebig, M.: Biofuel plantations on forested 6 lands: double jeopardy for biodiversity and climate, Conserv. Biol., 23, 348–358, 2009.
- 7 Davidson, E. A., Verchot, L. V., Cattanio, J. H., Ackerman, I. L., and Carvalho, J. E. M.:
- 8 Effects of soil water content on soil respiration in forests and cattle pastures of eastern
 9 Amazonia, Biogeochemistry, 48, 53–69, 2000.
- 10 Dechert, G., Veldkamp, E., and Anas, I.: Is soil degradation unrelated to deforestation?,
- 11 Examining soil parameters of land use systems in upland Central Sulawesi, Indonesia, Plant
- 12 Soil, 265, 197–209, 2004.
- FAO, IIASA, ISRIC, ISS-CAS, and JRC: Harmonized World Soil Database (version 1.1),
 FAO, Rome, Italy & IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria, 2009.
- 15 Food and Agricultural Organization: FAOSTAT Database, available at:
 16 http://faostat.fao.org/site/339/default.aspx (last access: 5 November 2014), 2014.
- Gouyon, A., de Foresta, H., and Levang, P.: Does "jungle rubber" deserve its name?, An
 analysis of rubber agroforestry systems in southeast Sumatra, Agroforest. Syst., 22, 181–206,
 1993.
- 20 Hedin, L. O., Brookshire, E. N. J., Menge, D. N. L., and Barron, A. R.: The nitrogen paradox
- 21 in tropical forest ecosystems, Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. S., 40, 613–635, 2009.
- 22 Indonesian Ministry of Agriculture: Statistical Yearbook of Crops for 2009–2013, Directorate
- 23 General of Estate Crops, Jakarta, 2014.
- 24 IPCC: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I
- 25 to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,
- 26 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, New York, USA, 2007.
- 27 Ishizuka, S., Tsuruta, H., and Murdiyarso, D.: An intensive field study on CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O
- 28 emissions from soils at four land-use types in Sumatra, Indonesia, Global Biogeochem. Cy.,
- 29 16, 22-1–22-11 doi:10.1029/2001GB001614, 2002.

- 1 Ishizuka, S., Iswandi, A., Nakajima, Y., Yonemura, S., Sudo, S., Tsuruta, H., and Murdiyarso,
- 2 D.: The variation of greenhouse gas emissions from soils of various land-use/cover types in
- 3 Jambi province, Indonesia, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys., 71, 17–32, 2005.
- 4 Keller, M. and Reiners, W. A.: Soil-atmosphere exchange of nitrous oxide, nitric oxide and
- 5 methane under secondary succession of pasture to forest in the Atlantic lowlands of Costa
- 6 Rica, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 8, 399–409, 1994.
- Keller, M., Veldkamp, E., Weitz, A. M., and Reiners, W. A.: Effect of pasture age on soil
 trace gas emissions from a deforested area of Costa Rica, Nature, 365, 244–246, 1993.
- 9 Keller, M., Varner, R., Dias, J. D., Silva, H., Crill, P., and de Oliveira, R. C.: Soil-atmosphere

exchange of nitrous oxide, nitric oxide, methane, and carbon dioxide in logged and

- 11 undisturbed forest in the Tapajos National Forest, Brazil, Earth Interact., 9, 1–28, 2005.
- Klinge, R., Martins, A. R. A., Mackensen, J., and Folster, H.: Element loss on rain forest
 conversion in East Amazonia: comparison of balances of stores and fluxes, Biogeochemistry,
- 14 69, 63–82, 2004.

- Koehler, B., Corre, M. D., Veldkamp, E., and Sueta, J. P.: Chronic nitrogen addition causes a
 reduction in soil carbon dioxide efflux during the high stem-growth period in a tropical
 montane forest but no response from a tropical lowland forest on a decadal time scale,
 Biogeosciences, 6, 2973–2983, doi:10.5194/bg-6-2973-2009, 2009.
- Koh, L. P. and Ghazoul, J.: Spatially explicit scenario analysis for reconciling agricultural
 expansion, forest protection, and carbon conservation in Indonesia, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA,
 107, 11140–11144, 2010.
- Kotowska, M. M., Leuschner, C., Triadiati, T., Meriem, S., and Hertel, D.: Quantifying above
 and belowground biomass carbon loss with forest conversion in tropical lowlands of Sumatra
 (Indonesia). Glob. Change Biol., doi:10.1111/gcb.12979, 2015.
- Laumonier, Y., Uryu, Y., Stüwe, M., Budiman, A., Setiabudi, B., and Hadian, O.: Ecofloristic sectors and deforestation threats in Sumatra: identifying new conservation area
 network priorities for ecosystem-based land use planning, Biodivers. Conserv., 19, 1153–
 1174, 2010.
- Ma, J. F., Ryan, P. R., and Delhaize, E.: Aluminium tolerance in plants and the complexing
 role of organic acids, Trends Plant Sci., 6, 273–278, 2001.

- 1 Margono, B. A., Turubanova, S., Zhuravleva, I., Potapov, P., Tyukavina, A., Baccini, A.,
- 2 Goetz, S., and Hansen, M. C.: Mapping and monitoring deforestation and forest degradation
- 3 in Sumatra (Indonesia) using Landsat time series data sets from 1990 to 2010, Environ. Res.
- 4 Lett., 7, 034010, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/3/034010, 2012.
- Melling, L., Hatano, R., and Goh, K. J.: Methane fluxes from three ecosystems in tropical
 peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia, Soil Biol. Biochem., 37, 1445–1453, 2005a.
- 7 Melling, L., Hatano, R., and Goh, K. J.: Soil CO2 flux from three ecosystems in tropical
- 8 peatland of Sarawak, Malaysia, Tellus B, 57, 1–11, 2005b.
- 9 Ohashi, M., Kumagai, T. o., Kume, T., Gyokusen, K., Saitoh, T. M., and Suzuki, M.:
- 10 Characteristics of soil CO₂ efflux variability in an aseasonal tropical rainforest in Borneo
- 11 Island, Biogeochemistry, 90, 275–289, 2008.
- 12 R Development Core Team: R: a Language and Environment For Statistical Computing, R
- 13 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2013.
- 14 Raich, J. W. and Schlesinger, W. H.: The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration and its
- 15 relationship to vegetation and climate, Tellus B, 44, 81–99, 1992.
- 16 Sahner, J., Budi, S. W., Barus, H., Edy, N., Meyer, M., Corre, M. D., and Andrea Polle.
- 17 Degradation of root community traits as indicator for transformation of tropical lowland rain
- 18 forests into oil palm and rubber plantations. PLoS ONE DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.013807,19 2015.
- 20 Salimon, C., Davidson, E., Victoria, R., and Melo, A.: CO₂ flux from soil in pastures and
- 21 forests in southwestern Amazonia, Glob. Change Biol., 10, 833–843, 2004.
- 22 Schwendenmann, L., Veldkamp, E., Brenes, T., O'Brien, J. J., and Mackensen, J.: Spatial and
- 23 temporal variation in soil CO₂ efflux in an old-growth neotropical rain forest, La Selva, Costa
- 24 Rica, Biogeochemistry, 64, 111–128, 2003.
- 25 Seiler, W., Conrad, R., and Scharffe, D.: Field studies of methane emission from termite nests
- 26 into the atmosphere and measurements of methane uptake by tropical soils, J. Atmos. Chem.,
- 27 1, 171–186, 1984.
- Sheng, H., Yang, Y., Yang, Z., Chen, G., Xie, J., Guo, J., and Zou, S.: The dynamic response
 of soil respiration to land-use changes in subtropical China, Glob. Change Biol., 16, 1107–
- 30 1121, 2010.

- 1 Sotta, E. D., Veldkamp, E., Guimarães, B. R., Paixão, R. K., Ruivo, M. L. P., and Almeida, S.
- 2 S.: Landscape and climatic controls on spatial and temporal variation in soil CO₂ efflux in an
- 3 Eastern Amazonian Rainforest, Caxiuanã, Brazil, Forest Ecol. Manag., 237, 57–64, 2006.
- 4 Sotta, E. D., Veldkamp, E., Schwendenmann, L., Guimarães, B. R., Paixão, R. K., Ruivo, M.
- 5 d. L. P., Lola da Costa, A. C., and Meir, P.: Effects of an induced drought on soil carbon
- 6 dioxide (CO₂) efflux and soil CO₂ production in an Eastern Amazonian rainforest, Brazil,
- 7 Glob. Change Biol., 13, 2218–2229, 2007.
- 8 Sotta, E. D., Corre, M. D., and Veldkamp, E.: Differing N status and N retention processes of
- 9 soils under old-growth lowland forest in Eastern Amazonia, Caxiuanã, Brazil, Soil Biol.
 10 Biochem., 40, 740–750, 2008.
- 11 Tamai, N., Takenaka, C., Ishizuka, S., and Tezuka, T.: Methane flux and regulatory variables
- in soils of three equal-aged Japanese cypress (Chamaecyparis obtusa) forests in central Japan,
 Soil Biol. Biochem., 35, 633–641, 2003.
- van Straaten, O., Veldkamp, E., and Corre, M. D.: Simulated drought reduces soil CO₂ efflux
 and production in a tropical forest in Sulawesi, Indonesia, Ecosphere, 2, 119,
 doi:10.1890/ES11-00079.1, 2011.
- 17 van Straaten, O., Corre, M. D., Wolf, K., Tchienkoua, M., Cuellar, E., Matthews, R. B., and
- 18 Veldkamp, E.: Conversion of lowland tropical forests to tree cash crop plantations loses up to
- 19 one-half of stored soil organic carbon, carbon. P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 112, 9956-9960, doi:
- 20 10.1073/pnas.1504628112, 2015.
- 21 Veldkamp, E., Weitz, A. M., and Keller, M.: Management effects on methane fluxes in humid
- tropical pasture soils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 33, 1493–1499, 2001.
- 23 Veldkamp, E., Purbopuspito, J., Corre, M. D., Brumme, R., and Murdiyarso, D.: Land use
- 24 change effects on trace gas fluxes in the forest margins of Central Sulawesi, Indonesia, J.
- 25 Geophys. Res.-Biogeo., 113, G02003, doi:10.1029/2007JG000522, 2008.
- 26 Veldkamp, E., Koehler, B., and Corre, M. D.: Indications of nitrogen-limited methane uptake
- 27 in tropical forest soils, Biogeosciences, 10, 5367–5379, doi:10.5194/bg-10-5367-2013, 2013.
- 28 Verchot, L. V., Davidson, E. A., Cattanio, J. H., and Ackerman, I. L.: Land-use change and
- 29 biogeochemical controls of methane fluxes in soils of eastern Amazonia, Ecosystems, 3, 41-
- 30 56, 2000.

- Werner, C., Zheng, X., Tang, J., Xie, B., Liu, C., Kiese, R., and Butterbach-Bahl, K.: N₂O,
 CH₄ and CO₂ emissions from seasonal tropical rainforests and a rubber plantation in
 Southwest China, Plant Soil, 289, 335–353, 2006.
- 4 Wolf, K., Flessa, H., and Veldkamp, E.: Atmospheric methane uptake by tropical montane
- 5 forest soils and the contribution of organic layers, Biogeochemistry, 111, 469–483, 2012.

1 Table 1. Mean (\pm SE, n = 4) soil extractable mineral nitrogen in the top 0.05-m depth for 2 different land-use types within each soil landscape in Jambi, Sumatra, Indonesia, measured 3 monthly from December 2012 to December 2013. Means followed by different lowercase 4 letter indicate significant differences among land-use types within a soil landscape and 5 different capital letter indicate significant differences between soil landscapes within a land-6 use type (Linear mixed effects models with Fisher's LSD test at $P \le 0.05$).

7

Land-use type	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	NO ₃ ⁻	mineral N						
	$(mg N kg^{-1})$	$(mg N kg^{-1})$	$(mg N kg^{-1})$						
clay Acrisol soi	clay Acrisol soil								
Forest	$6.99 \pm 1.03^{a,A}$	$2.15 \pm 0.36^{a,A}$	$9.14 \pm 1.34^{a,A}$						
Jungle Rubber	7.33 ± 0.21 ^{a,A}	$0.23 \pm 0.06^{b,B}$	$7.56 \pm 0.26^{b,A}$						
Rubber	$4.25 \pm 0.23 \ ^{b,A}$	$0.05 \pm 0.01 \ ^{b,B}$	4.30 ± 0.23 ^{c,A}						
Oil Palm	5.80 ± 0.64 ^{a,A}	0.81 ± 0.49 ^{b,A}	$6.60 \pm 0.42 \ ^{b,A}$						
loam Acrisol so	il								
Forest	$5.94 \pm 0.40 \ ^{a,A}$	$0.61\pm0.15~^{ab,B}$	$6.55 \pm 0.28^{a,A}$						
Jungle Rubber	$5.64 \pm 0.28^{a,B}$	$1.25 \pm 0.63^{a,A}$	$6.89 \pm 0.59^{a,A}$						
Rubber	$4.14 \pm 0.57^{b,A}$	$0.12 \pm 0.02 \ ^{b,A}$	$4.26 \pm 0.58 \ ^{b,A}$						
Oil Palm	$4.20 \pm 1.10^{\ b,B}$	$0.60\pm0.36~^{ab,B}$	4.81 ± 1.44 ^{b,B}						

1	Table 2. Mean (\pm SE, $n = 4$) soil CO ₂ and CH ₄ fluxes and annual soil CO ₂ and CH ₄ fluxes
2	from different land-use types within each soil landscape in Jambi, Sumatra, Indonesia,
3	measured monthly from December 2012 to December 2013. Means followed by different
4	lowercase letter indicate significant differences among land-use types within a soil landscape
5	and different capital letter indicate significant differences between soil landscapes within a
6	land-use type (Linear mixed effects models with Fisher's LSD test at $P \le 0.05$). Annual soil
7	CO ₂ and CH ₄ fluxes were not statistically tested for differences between landscapes or land-
8	use types since these annual values are trapezoidal extrapolations. For the loam Acrisol soil,
9	CH_4 fluxes in parenthesis included only the two forest sites that had dominantly net CH_4
10	uptake, and comparison among land-use types was conducted between jungle rubber, rubber
11	and oil palm that all showed net CH ₄ uptake.

Land-use type	CO_2 fluxes (mg C m ⁻² h ⁻¹)	Annual CO_2 fluxes (Mg C ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)		Annual CH_4 fluxes (kg C ha ⁻¹ year ⁻¹)			
clay Acrisol soil							
Forest	195.93 ± 13.51 ^{a,A}	16.93 ± 1.19	$-40.3 \pm 10.25^{c,A}$	-3.63 ± 0.89			
Jungle Rubber	$185.25 \pm 9.40^{a,A}$	16.11 ± 0.72	-20.79 ± 7.24 ^{b,A}	-1.85 ± 0.59			
Rubber	182.77 ± 16.22 ^{a,A}	16.09 ± 1.40	$-3.00 \pm 1.26^{a,A}$	-0.29 ± 0.12			
Oil Palm	107.24 ± 7.23 ^{b,A}	9.22 ± 0.61	-6.37 $\pm 3.06^{a,A}$	-0.52 ± 0.26			
loam Acri	sol soil						
Forest	186.64 ± 13.72 ^{a,A}	16.21 ± 1.17	-1.56 ± 17.07 ^A (- 29.45 ± 11.92)	-0.18 ± 1.55			
Jungle Rubber	178.69 ± 11.17 ^{a,A}	15.55 ± 0.94	$-26.92 \pm 3.85^{\ b,A}$	-2.42 ± 0.34			
Rubber	182.86 ± 14.47 ^{a,A}	16.52 ± 1.32	$-9.73 \pm 3.79^{a,A}$	-0.93 ± 0.35			
Oil Palm	115.74 ± 10.99 ^{b,A}	10.29 ± 0.88	$-14.94 \pm 3.14^{a,A}$	-1.38 ± 0.31			

- 1 Table 3. Pearson correlation coefficients (n = 12) between soil CO₂ flux (mg C m⁻² h⁻¹), soil
- CH_4 flux ($\mu g C m^{-2} h^{-1}$), soil temperature (°C, top 0.05-m depth), water-filled pore space
- 3 (WFPS) (%, top 0.05-m depth) and extractable mineral nitrogen (mg N kg⁻¹, top 0.05-m
- *depth*), using the means of the four replicate plots per land-use type on monthly measurement

between December 2012 – December 2013.

Land use	Variable	Soil CH	I ₄ Soil temp.	WFPS	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	NO ₃ ⁻	Min. N	
		flux						
clay Acris	clay Acrisol soil							
	Soil CO ₂ flux	0.19	0.42	0.49	-0.17	0.37	-0.01	
Forest	Soil CH ₄ flux		0.25	0.68 ^b	0.18	-0.59 ^b	-0.09	
rolest	Soil temperature			0.34	0.63 ^b	-0.32	0.54 ^a	
	WFPS				0.25	-0.18	0.18	
	Soil CO ₂ flux	-0.03	0.38	0.21	-0.39	0.61 ^b	0.27	
Jungle	Soil CH ₄ flux		0.49	0.74 ^c	0.33	-0.19	0.34	
Rubber	Soil temperature			0.78 ^c	0.34	0.19	0.39	
	WFPS				0.25	0.07	0.28	
	Soil CO ₂ flux	-0.51 ^a	0.49	-0.39	0.05	0.14	0.06	
Dubbar	Soil CH ₄ flux		-0.14	0.84 ^c	-0.06	-0.52^{a}	-0.1	
Rubber	Soil temperature			-0.24	0.3	0.16	0.31	
	WFPS				-0.06	-0.53 ^a	-0.1	

	Soil CO ₂ flux	-0.29	0.82 ^c	-0.37	0.31	0.24	0.41
	Soil CH ₄ flux		-0.09	0.69 ^c	0.19	0.13	0.25
Oil Palm	Soil temperature			-0.19	0.32	0.32	0.52^{a}
	WFPS				0.16	0.08	0.16
loam Acri	sol soil						
	Soil CO ₂ flux	0.12	0.58 ^b	0.05	-0.12	0.23	-0.01
Format	Soil CH ₄ flux		0.19	0.32	0.09	-0.24	-0.24
Forest	Soil temperature			0.42	0.41	-0.03	0.37
	WFPS				0.4	-0.33	0.23
	Soil CO ₂ flux	0.74 ^c	0.21	0.59 ^b	-0.05	-0.60 ^b	-0.41
Jungle	Soil CH ₄ flux		0.35	0.74 ^c	0.35	-0.58 ^b	0.11
Rubber	Soil temperature			0.42	0.47	-0.22	0.38
	WFPS				0.32	-0.67 ^b	0.05
	Soil CO ₂ flux	-0.74 ^c	0.16	-0.54 ^a	0.06	-0.07	0.05
Rubber	Soil CH ₄ flux		-0.07	0.84 ^c	0.33	-0.11	0.32
KUUDEI	Soil temperature			0.07	0.57 ^b	-0.42	0.54^{a}
	WFPS				0.23	-0.24	0.2

	Soil CO ₂ flux	-0.05	0.57 ^a	-0.29	0.25	0.36	-0.05
	Soil CH ₄ flux		0.16	0.86 ^c	0.06	0.17	0.1
Oil Palm	Soil temperature			0.08	0.13	-0.19	0.16
	WFPS				-0.08	-0.05	-0.07

1 ^a $P \le 0.09$, ^b $P \le 0.05$, ^c $P \le 0.01$

1 List of Figures

2

Figure 1. Mean (±SE, n = 4) soil water-filled pore space (WFPS) and soil temperature in the
top 0.05-m depth under forest (•), jungle rubber (•), rubber (•) and oil palm (•) on the clay
Acrisol soil (a and c) and the loam Acrisol soil (b and d) in Jambi, Sumatra, Indonesia,
measured monthly from December 2012 to December 2013. Grey shadings mark the dry
season

8

9 Figure 2. Mean (\pm SE, n = 4) soil CO₂ fluxes and soil CH₄ fluxes from forest (\bullet), jungle 10 rubber (\diamond), rubber (\blacktriangle) and oil palm (\bigtriangleup) on the clay Acrisol soil (**a** and **c**) and the loam Acrisol 11 soil (**b** and **d**) in Jambi, Sumatra, Indonesia, measured monthly from December 2012 to 12 December 2013. Grey shadings mark the dry season.

13

Figure 3. Relationship between soil CH₄ uptake and soil mineral N content, using the means of four replicate plots per land-use type on monthly measurement between December 2012 – December 2013: forest (•), jungle rubber (•), rubber (•) and oil palm (•) on the clay Acrisol soil (Pearson correlation: R = -0.47, P = 0.01, n = 41) (•) and the loam Acrisol soil (Pearson correlation: R = -0.52, P < 0.01, n = 38) (•). Correlations exclude net CH₄ emissions (fluxes above 0) in both landscapes and an outlier plot of oil palm on the loam Acrisol soil (shaded grey).

1 Table A1. Mean (\pm SE, n = 4) soil physical and biochemical characteristics in the top 0.10-m 2 depth (except for the clay content, 0-2-m depth with n = 3) for different land-use types within 3 each soil landscape in Jambi, Sumatra, Indonesia. Means followed by different lowercase 4 letter indicate significant differences among land-use types within each soil landscape and 5 different capital letter indicate significant differences between soil landscapes within a land-6 use type (Linear mixed effects models with Fisher's LSD test at $P \le 0.05$ and marginally significant at [†] $P \le 0.09$). Soil characteristics were measured by Allen et al. (2015). Soil 7 sampling and analysis are described in Appendix C. 8

9

Soil characteristics	Land use			
	Forest	Jungle Rubber	Rubber	Oil Palm
clay Acrisol soil				
Clay (0-0.5 m) (%)	$31.4\pm5.4~^a$	47.2 ± 12.40^{a}	$42.4\pm3.1~^a$	$59.7\pm5.2~^{a,A}$
Clay (0.5-1.0 m) (%)	$34.9\pm9.0~^{b\dagger}$	$51.4\pm12.6~^{ab\dagger}$	$36.8\pm8.00^{\text{b}\dagger}$	$69.7\pm4.8~^{a\dagger A}$
Clay (1.0-1.5 m) (%)	39.0 ± 13.0^{a}	62.8 ± 12.6^{a}	40.8 ± 10.3^{a}	$62.8\pm3.6^{\ a,A}$
Clay (1.5-2.0 m) (%)	41.3 ± 11.2^{a}	46.6 ± 16.2^{a}	36.5 ± 10.8^{a}	$63.3 \pm 6.1^{a,A}$
Sand (0-0.10 m) (%)	36 ± 11^{a}	27 ± 20^{a}	35 ± 7^{a}	$11\pm2^{a,B\dagger}$
Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	$1.0\pm0.1~^a$	$0.8\pm0.1~^a$	$0.9\pm0.1~^a$	$0.9\pm0.1^{\ a,B}$
pH (1:4 H ₂ O)	$4.2\pm0.4~^{b}$	$4.5\pm0.0^{a,A}$	$4.5\pm0.1^{\ a}$	$4.4\pm0.1~^a$
Soil organic C (kg C m ⁻²)	3.3 ± 0.5 ^a	$4.3\pm0.4^{\ a,A}$	$2.8\pm0.4~^a$	$3.5\pm0.2^{\ a,A}$
Total N (g N m ⁻²)	263.4 ± 67.1 ^a	$331.4 \pm 34.1^{a,A}$	198.4 ± 32.5 ^a	$260.2 \pm 22.6^{a,A}$
C:N ratio	13.1 ± 1.3^{a}	13.0 ± 0.3^{a}	$14.3\pm0.6^{a,A}$	13.5 ± 0.2^{a}
Effective cation exchange	$9.4\pm4.1~^a$	$12.4\pm2.6^{\ a,A}$	7.1 ± 2.2^{a}	$7.8\pm0.8~^{a,A}$
capacity (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)				
Base saturation (%)	$23\pm 6^{\ a,A}$	23 ± 6^{a}	20 ± 3 ^a	$38\pm7~^a$
Aluminum saturation (%)	$61\pm3^{ab,B}$	71 ± 6^{a}	73 ± 4^{a}	$53\pm7~^{b}$
Bray-extractable	$1.4\pm0.1~^{ab,A}$	$0.8\pm0.1~^{bc}$	$0.4\pm0.0~^{c}$	4.7 ± 1.5 ^{a,A†}

phosphorus (g P m ⁻²)				
¹⁵ N natural abundance (‰)	$4.5\pm0.0\ ^a$	$4.0\pm0.3~^a$	$4.6\pm0.4~^a$	$5.2\pm0.4~^a$
Net N mineralization (mg N kg ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	$1.2\pm0.3~^a$	0.5 ± 0.0^{b}	0.5 ± 0.2^{b}	$0.9\pm0.2^{\ ab}$
loam Acrisol soil				
Clay (0-0.5 m) (%)	26.0 ± 2.6^{a}	$30.6\pm4.6~^a$	37.3 ± 10.3 ^a	$33.4 \pm 2.2^{a,B}$
Clay (0.5-1.0 m) (%)	$28.7\pm4.8\ ^a$	$38.8\pm9.0~^a$	45.1 ± 11.3 ^a	$41.0\pm3.1^{\ a,B}$
Clay (1.0-1.5 m) (%)	33.3 ± 7.56^{a}	$42.4\pm9.9~^a$	46.1 ± 9.9^{a}	$43.3 \pm 2.8^{a,B}$
Clay (1.5-2.0 m) (%)	37.3 ± 8.7 ^a	$44.5\pm10.0~^a$	$43.4\pm6.5~^a$	$47.6\pm4.5~^{a,B}$
Sand (0-0.10 m) (%)	39 ± 8^{a}	$42\pm19^{\ a}$	26 ± 13^{a}	$43\pm14^{a,A\dagger}$
Bulk density (g cm ⁻³)	$1.0\pm0.0~^{ab}$	$0.9\pm0.0~^{b}$	1.1 ± 0.1 ^a	1.1 ± 0.1 ^{a,A}
pH (1:4 H ₂ O)	$4.3\pm0.0~^{b\dagger}$	$4.3\pm0.0^{\text{b}\dagger,B}$	$4.5\pm0.1~^{ab\dagger}$	$4.5\pm0.1~^{a\dagger}$
Soil organic C (kg C m ⁻²)	$2.6\pm0.2~^a$	$2.7\pm0.3~^{a,B}$	$2.0\pm0.3~^a$	$1.8\pm0.2^{\ a,B}$
Total N (g m ⁻²)	$182.9\pm10.8^{\text{ a}}$	$186.19 \pm 11.0^{a,B}$	172.6 ± 23.8^{a}	$145.0 \pm 13.5^{a,B}$
C:N ratio	$14.3\pm0.2~^a$	$13.7\pm0.8~^a$	$11.7\pm0.7^{\ b,B}$	$12.5\pm0.5~^{ab}$
Effective cation exchange capacity (mmol _c kg ⁻¹)	4.5 ± 0.5 ^a	$4.1\pm0.8~^{a,B}$	$4.6\pm0.5~^a$	$4.0\pm0.8^{\ a,B}$
Base saturation (%)	11 ± 1 ^{b†,B}	$16 \pm 2^{ab\dagger}$	$21\pm8~^{ab\dagger}$	$28\pm5~^{a\dagger}$
Aluminum saturation (%)	$80\pm1~^{a,A}$	78 ± 2^{a}	73 ± 8^{a}	67 ± 5^{a}
Bray-extractable phosphorus (g P m ⁻²)	$0.5 \pm 0.1^{a,B}$	0.7 ± 0.1 ^a	$0.5\pm0.1~^a$	$0.8\pm0.1~^{a,B\dagger}$
¹⁵N natural abundance(‰)	$4.3\pm0.2~^{b}$	$4.5\pm0.1^{\ b}$	$5.0\pm0.4~^{ab}$	5.4± 0.3 ^a
Net N mineralization (mg N kg ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	0.8 ± 0.2 ^a	$0.7\pm0.1~^a$	0.7 ± 0.3^{a}	0.5 ± 0.2^{a}

Land-use type	Age (years)	range	Tree $(n ha^{-1})^a$	density	Tree height (m)	Basal area $(m^2 ha^{-1})^a$	DBH (cm) ^a	Most common tree species ^b
clay Acrisol so	il							
Forest	not mined (471 ± 31		17.0 ± 0.5	29.4 ± 1.7	23.0 ± 0.4	Archidendron sp., Baccaurea spp., Ochanostachys sp.
Jungle rubber	ND		685 ± 72		15.2 ± 0.3	21.1 ± 1.4	17.3 ± 0.6	Artocarpus spp., Endospermum sp., Hevea sp., Macaranga spp.
Rubber	7-16		497 ± 15		13.4 ± 0.1	10.0 ± 1.4	15.2 ± 0.7	Hevea brasiliensis
Oil Palm	9-13		134 ± 6		4.0 ± 0.3	not applicable (NA)	NA	Elaeis guineensis

1Table A2. Plantation age and mean ($\pm SE$, n = 4) tree density, tree height, basal area, diameter at breast height (DBH) of trees with ≥ 0.10 m2BDH and the most common tree species for different land-use types within each soil landscape in Jambi, Sumatra, Indonesia.

loam Acrisol	soil					
Forest	ND	658 ± 26	20.0 ± 0.6	30.7 ± 1.0	21.0 ± 0.5	Aporosa spp., Burseraceae spp.,
						Dipterocarpaceae spp., Fabaceae spp.,
						Gironniera spp., Myrtaceae spp.,
						Plaquium spp., Porterandia sp., Shorea spp.
Jungle Rubbe	er ND	525 ± 60	14.0 ± 0.2	16.6 ± 0.4	16.8 ± 0.5	Alstonia spp., Artocarpus spp., Fabaceae sp.,
						Hevea sp., Macaranga spp., Porterandia sp.,
						Sloetia sp.
Rubber	14-17	440 ± 81	13.4 ± 0.5	12.2 ± 1.6	17.8 ± 1.2	Hevea brasiliensis
Oil Palm	12-16	140 ± 4	4.9 ± 0.6	NA	NA	Elaeis guineensis

^a Kotowska et al. (2015).

2 ^b Rembold et al. (unpublished data), based on trees found in five subplots (5 m x 5 m) of each replicate plot (50 m x 50 m) which had ≥ 20

3 individuals, except *Fabaceae spp*. which had \leq 20 individuals.

1 Table A3. Mean (\pm SE, n = 3 oil palm trees) soil CO₂ and CH₄ fluxes from three different 2 chamber locations during a fertilization in three oil palm plantations within each soil landscape, measured 6 to 11 times during 3-8.5 weeks following fertilization. Means followed 3 4 by different letter indicate significant differences among chamber locations within each oil 5 palm plantation site (Linear mixed effects models with Fisher's LSD test at $P \le 0.05$). 6 Chamber locations a, b and c were placed at 0.3 m, 0.8 m, and 4-4.5 m, respectively, from 7 each of the three trees in each oil palm plantation site. Smallholders fertilized around the base 8 of each tree at about 0.8 - 1 m from the tree base, and thus chamber location b was on this 9 fertilized area and chamber location c serves as the reference chamber not receiving any 10 fertilizer. The same fertilization rate and form were used as the smallholders applied in these studied oil palm plantations, described in 2.2 CO₂ and CH₄ flux measurement. 11

12

Oil palm	Chamber location	CO_2 fluxes	CH_4 fluxes
plantation site		$(mg C m^{-2} h^{-1})$	$(\mu g C m^{-2} h^{-1})$
clay Acrisol soil			
1	a	272.83 ± 36.68 ^a	-23.66 ± 2.56 ^b
	b	$218.25 \pm 25.91 \ ^{b}$	-12.61 ± 5.12 ^a
	c	103.56 ± 11.72 ^c	-16.66 ± 8.68^{ab}
2	a	226.16 ± 38.17 ^a	-28.44 ± 1.48 ^b
	b	$246.39 \pm 42.80 \ ^{a}$	-6.64 \pm 2.07 a
	c	86.04 ± 7.83 ^b	-10.60 ± 5.29 ^a
3	a	$222.56 \pm 72.49 \ ^{b}$	-8.13 ± 4.77 ^a
	b	311.63 ± 89.87 ^a	-10.38 ± 3.61 ^a
	c	105.49 ± 12.06 ^c	-14.49 ± 2.03 ^a
loam Acrisol soi	1		
1	a	334.67 ± 32.12 ^a	-14.00 ± 3.31 ^b
	b	$378.47 \pm 50.97 \ ^a$	-4.12 ± 2.24 ^a
	—		

_	с	$160.35 \pm 20.48^{\ b}$ $-18.53 \pm 1.32^{\ b}$
2	a	$271.35 \pm 17.31 \ ^{a} -13.18 \pm 1.22 \ ^{a}$
	b	233.27 $\pm 18.98^{b}$ -8.63 $\pm 0.52^{a}$
	c	$127.66 \pm 17.13 \ ^{c} -19.47 \pm 5.08 \ ^{b}$
3	a	$240.81 \pm 23.12 \ ^{a} -28.13 \pm 3.40 \ ^{b}$
	b	$243.92 \pm 24.23 \ ^{a} -10.34 \pm 2.70 \ ^{a}$
	c	$136.55 \pm 19.08^{\ b} -29.41 \pm 2.39^{\ b}$

Appendix Fig. B1. Mean (\pm SE, n = 3 oil palm trees) soil CH₄ fluxes during a fertilization in one oil palm plantation site in the clay Acrisol soil ($^{\bullet}$) and loam Acrisol soil ($^{\bullet}$). Smallholders fertilized around the base of each tree at about 0.8 – 1 m from the tree base, and these fluxes were measured on this fertilized location (chamber location b) with the same rate and form that smallholders applied in these oil palm plantations (described in 2.2 CO₂ and CH₄ flux *measurement*).

1 Appendix: soil sampling and analysis

2 Soil samples were taken from randomly selected ten subplots per plot that were at least 5 m distance from the plot's border. Soil characteristics for each replicate plot were the average of 3 4 the ten subplots. Soil sampling was conducted between June 2013 and December 2013. Soil 5 samples were taken at various depth intervals down to 2 m, and we report here the values 6 from the top depth interval (0-0.1 m), except for soil texture, which we report for the entire 2 7 m. Soil texture was analyzed using the wet sieving and pipette methods. Soil bulk density was 8 measured using the core method. Soil pH (H₂O) was analyzed in a 1:4 soil-to-water ratio. Soil 9 organic C and total N concentrations were analyzed from air-dried, sieved (2 mm) and ground samples using a CN analyzer (Vario EL Cube, Elementar Analysis Systems GmbH, Hanau, 10 Germany). Air-dried and sieved soils were used to determine effective cation exchange 11 capacity (ECEC) by percolating with unbuffered 1 mol L^{-1} NH₄Cl and cations (Ca, Mg, K, 12 Na, Al, Fe, and Mn) were measured in percolate using an inductively coupled plasma-atomic 13 14 emission spectrometer (iCAP 6300 Duo VIEW ICP Spectrometer, Thermo Fischer Scientific GmbH, Dreieich, Germany). Base and aluminum saturation were calculated as percent 15 exchangeable base cations and aluminun of the ECEC. Extractable P was determined using 16 the Bray 2 method, which is typically used for acidic tropical soils. For soil ¹⁵N natural 17 abundance signatures, ground soil samples were analyzed using isotope ratio mass 18 19 spectrometry (IRMS; Delta Plus, Finnigan MAT, Bremen, Germany). Net N mineralization rate was measured in two subplots per plot that were at least 10 m from the plot's border, 20 21 using the buried bag method on intact soil cores incubated in situ for 7 days. This was 22 conducted between January 2013 and May 2013 during the rainy season. The same field extraction of the soil with 0.5 M K₂SO₄, analysis of NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻ concentrations, and 23 calculation of rate are used as described in our earlier work (Arnold et al., 2008). Net N 24 25 mineralization rate for each plot was the average of two subplots.