

1 **Salinization alters fluxes of bioreactive elements from**  
2 **stream ecosystems across land use**

3

4

5 **Shuiwang.-W. Duan<sup>1</sup> and Sujay S. Kaushal<sup>1</sup>**

6 [1]{Department of Geology and Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of  
7 Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20740, USA}

8 Correspondence to: S.-W. Duan (sduan@umd.edu)

9

10 **Abstract**

11 There has been increased salinization of fresh water over decades due to the use of road salt  
12 deicers, wastewater discharges, saltwater inundation, human-accelerated weathering, and  
13 groundwater irrigation. Salinization can mobilize bioreactive elements (carbon, nitrogen,  
14 phosphorus, sulfur) chemically *via* ion exchange and/or biologically *via* influencing of  
15 microbial activity. We investigated potential impacts of increased salinization on fluxes of  
16 bioreactive elements from stream ecosystems (sediments and riparian soils) to overlying  
17 stream water and evaluated the implications of percent urban land use on salinization effects.  
18 Two-day incubations of sediments and soils with stream and deionized water across 3 salt  
19 levels were conducted at 8 routine monitoring stations across a land-use gradient at the  
20 Baltimore Ecosystem Study Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) site in the Chesapeake  
21 Bay watershed. Results indicated: (1) salinization typically increased sediment releases of  
22 labile dissolved organic carbon (DOC), dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), total dissolved  
23 Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) (ammonium + ammonia + dissolved organic nitrogen), and sediment  
24 transformations of nitrate; (2) salinization generally decreased DOC aromaticity and fluxes of  
25 soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP) from both sediments and soils; (3) the effects of increased  
26 salinization on sediment releases of DOC and TKN and DOC quality increased with  
27 percentage watershed urbanization. Biogeochemical responses to salinization varied between  
28 sediments and riparian soils in releases of DOC, DIC, and nitrate transformations. The  
29 differential responses of riparian soils and sediments to increased salinization were likely due

1 to differences in organic matter source and composition. Our results suggest that short-term  
2 increases in salinization can cause releases of significant amounts of labile organic carbon and  
3 nitrogen from stream substrates and organic transformations of nitrogen and phosphorus.  
4 Given that salinization of fresh water will increase in the future due to human activities,  
5 impacts on carbon and nutrient mobilization and water quality should be expected.

6

7 **1 Introduction**

8 Salt concentrations in freshwaters are rapidly increasing at a regional scale in the United  
9 States and worldwide (e.g., Nielsen et al., 2003; Kaushal et al., 2005; Rengasamy, 2006;  
10 Findlay and Kelly, 2011; Steele and Aitkenhead-Peterson, 2011; Kaushal et al., 2014a; Corsi  
11 et al., 2015). Most of the increased salinization can typically be attributed to road salt deicers  
12 and other industrial uses, wastewater discharges, groundwater irrigation, saltwater inundation  
13 caused by sea-level rise, and human-accelerated weathering (e.g., Findlay and Kelly, 2011;  
14 Aitkenhead-Peterson et al., 2009; Ardón et al., 2013; Kaushal et al., 2013). Increased  
15 salinization can have important environmental consequences for drinking water supplies,  
16 freshwater biodiversity, degradation of soils and groundwater, degradation of vehicles and  
17 infrastructure, and mobilization of inorganic and organic contaminants (Nielson et al., 2003;  
18 Kaushal et al., 2005; Findlay and Kelly, 2011; Corsi et al., 2015). Moreover, salinization is  
19 difficult if not impossible to reverse, thus, remediation is unlikely. Recent studies have further  
20 shown that increased salinization can influence biogeochemical cycles of bioreactive elements  
21 such as carbon and nitrogen (Green et al., 2008; Green and Cresser, 2008; Green et al., 2009a,  
22 b; Compton and Church, 2011; Lancaster, 2012; Steele and Aitkenhead-Peterson, 2013) as  
23 well as phosphorus and sulfur (Nielson et al., 2003; Kulp et al., 2007; Compton and Church,  
24 2011; Kim and Koretsky, 2011, 2013). Chemically, salinization affects mobilization of these  
25 bioreactive elements through its direct influences on ion exchange and sorption capacity in  
26 sediments (e.g., for ammonium and SRP), as well as indirect changes in pH and sodium-  
27 induced dispersion (e.g., for DOC) (Nielsen et al., 2003; Green et al., 2008; Compton and  
28 Church, 2011; Ardón et al., 2013). Biologically, salinization can be a stressor to some  
29 microorganisms in fresh water but may also enhance the activities of other microorganisms  
30 due to nutrient releases (Kulp et al., 2007; Srividya et al., 2009; Kim and Koretsky, 2011,  
31 2013). Evidence is accumulating that increased salinization is an important process during the  
32 urban evolution of watersheds globally from decades to centuries (Kaushal et al., 2014a;

1 Kaushal et al., 2015), and salinization has significant ecosystem effects over broader spatial  
2 and temporal scales (e.g., Findlay and Kelly, 2011; Kaushal and Belt, 2012; Corsi et al.,  
3 2015).

4 Although there has been increasing research, much more work needs to be done regarding the  
5 effects of increased salinization on mobilization of carbon and nutrients from sediments and  
6 coupled biogeochemical cycles. Prior studies have commonly investigated the effects of  
7 salinization on fluxes and transformations of individual bioreactive elements or bulk  
8 concentrations of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (e.g., Green et al., 2008, 2009; Green and  
9 Cresser, 2008; Green et al., 2009a, b; Compton and Church, 2011; Kim and Koretsky, 2011;  
10 Lancaster, 2012; Steele and Aitkenhead-Peterson, 2011). However, biogeochemical cycles of  
11 bioreactive elements are generally linked in sedimentary diagenesis near the water–sediment  
12 interface (Middelburg and Levin, 2009), and transformations of nitrogen, phosphorus and  
13 sulfur are dependent on availability of organic carbon (Duan and Kaushal, 2013). For  
14 example, organic carbon provides an energy source for microbes responsible for  
15 biogeochemical transformations (e.g., Newcomer et al., 2012), and decomposition of organic  
16 carbon can facilitate certain redox reactions of bioreactive elements including denitrification,  
17 iron reduction and release of soluble reactive phosphorus, and sulfate reduction (Sobczak et  
18 al., 2003; Middelburg and Levin, 2009). In addition, organic carbon within aquatic systems  
19 consists of not a single compound but a broad suite of organic molecules of varied origin and  
20 composition, which may respond differently to salinization. Until recently, relatively little  
21 work has been done to improve our conceptual understanding of the effects of salinization on  
22 coupled biogeochemical cycles.

23 Previous studies have shown freshwater salt concentrations vary across land use, with highest  
24 concentrations of salt occurring in urban watersheds (e.g., Kaushal et al., 2005). Green et al.  
25 (e.g., 2008, 2009) reported that soils in urban watersheds that have already experienced  
26 exposure to road salting respond differently to salinization than unexposed soils in rural areas.  
27 On the other hand, urbanization may increase organic matter and influence other physical,  
28 chemical and biological characteristics of stream ecosystems (Paul and Meyer, 2001; Duan  
29 and Kaushal, 2013; Kaushal et al., 2014a). Despite these two competing impacts of  
30 urbanization, biogeochemical impacts of salinization across watershed land use are still less  
31 recognized. Most current studies regarding the effects of salinization focus on soils or  
32 anaerobic lake sediments, and very little work has been done to examine stream sediments

1 that may be exposed to high salt concentrations under more aerobic conditions. It is known  
2 that stream sediments and soils differ in particle size and structure and organic matter  
3 composition and sources. Thus, insights learned from studying the biogeochemical effects of  
4 salinization in soils may not always directly apply to stream sediments.

5 Our primary objective was to investigate the effects of increased salinization on potential  
6 fluxes (release or retention) of bioreactive elements (carbon, nitrogen, phosphorus, sulfur)  
7 from stream ecosystems, and how the effects of salinization change with watershed land use  
8 and/or stream substrates (sediments and riparian soils). Sediments and riparian soils collected  
9 from sites across a rural-urban land use gradient were incubated in salt solutions to mimic the  
10 effects of runoff with high levels of road salt deicers, and the changes in water chemistry were  
11 monitored as a function of salt concentrations and land use. Three hypotheses were tested: 1)  
12 the effect of salinization on soil leaching and sediment retention/release of bioreactive  
13 elements change with watershed urbanization, 2) retention/release of nitrogen, phosphorus,  
14 and sulfur in response to salinization can be abiotically and/or biologically coupled with  
15 carbon biogeochemistry, and 3) salinization effects on release/transformation of bioreactive  
16 elements vary between stream sediments and riparian soils. An improved understanding of the  
17 effects of increased salinization on release/retention of bioreactive elements can contribute to  
18 our understanding of urban drivers of changes in water quality, microbial communities and  
19 ecosystem functions (Kaushal and Belt, 2012; Kaushal et al., 2014a), and improve water  
20 quality by benefitting our assessment and management of salt use.

21

## 22 **2 Methods**

### 23 **2.1 Site Description**

24 Surface sediments from stream channels and top soil in riparian zones were collected from 8  
25 long-term monitoring sites across a rural-urban land use gradient. All 8 sites are routinely  
26 sampled as part of the US National Science Foundation supported Baltimore Ecosystem Study  
27 (BES) Long-Term Ecological Research (LTER) site. Land use varies from forest to low-  
28 density residential, agricultural, to suburban and urban (Table 1). The main focal watershed  
29 of the BES LTER site is the Gwynns Falls, a 17,150 ha watershed in the Piedmont  
30 physiographic province that drains into the northwest branch of the Patapsco River that flows  
31 into the Chesapeake Bay (Fig. 1). The Gwynns Falls sites traverse a rural/suburban to urban

1 gradient from Glyndon (GFGL), Gwynnbrook (GFGB), Villa Nova (GFVN) to Carroll Park  
2 (GFCP) (Table 1). An agricultural stream (MCDN) is a small tributary to the Gwynns Falls  
3 draining a watershed dominated by row crop agriculture (corn, soybeans), while Dead Run  
4 (DRKR) is an urbanized tributary of the Gwynns Falls between GFVN and GFCP. Samples  
5 were also taken from a small urban tributary to the Gwynns Falls (GFGR), approximately 700  
6 m above GFCP, which is highly contaminated with sewage (Kaushal et al., 2011). Baisman  
7 Run (BARN) is a low-density residential watershed located in the nearby Gunpowder Falls  
8 watershed that drains primarily forest land cover (Table 1; Fig. 1). The BES LTER site  
9 provides access to extensive background information and long-term monitoring of major  
10 anions, nutrients, and carbon concentrations and fluxes in streams ([www.beslter.org](http://www.beslter.org);  
11 Groffman et al., 2004; Kaushal et al., 2008, 2011). Previous work has shown that watersheds  
12 of the BES LTER site can have considerably elevated levels of chloride and sodium (Kaushal  
13 et al., 2005; Kaushal and Belt, 2012).

## 14 **2.2 Sample Collection and Processing**

15 Stream water, sediments, and soils for laboratory salinization experiments were collected on  
16 March 8, 2013, one day before a snow storm in the Baltimore-Washington D.C. metropolitan  
17 region. Three litres of stream water were collected at each of the 8 sites for the experiments  
18 and water quality analyses. The surface sediments and top soils (approximatly 15 cm) were  
19 collected at these same sites with a shovel. Sediment samples were taken simultaneously  
20 along 4 cross-sections perpendicular to stream flow within 50 m of the primary sampling site  
21 (Duan and Kaushal, 2013). Along each stream cross section, surface sediments at three sites  
22 (left, middle and right) were collected. All sediments collected at these sites were well-mixed  
23 to make a composite sample. Soil samples from the riparian zone were also collected similar  
24 to sediment samples. Because the sites GFCP and GFGR were located very close to each  
25 other, only one composite soil sample was collected to represent these two sites. So,  
26 laboratory salinization experiments with soils were conducted at 7 rather than 8 sites. The  
27 sediment and soil samples were transferred to glass jars, and placed immediately into a cooler  
28 and brought back to lab. In the lab, sediments were sieved through a 2-mm sieve, and the < 2  
29 mm fractions of sediments and soils were homogenized for incubation experiments (e.g.,  
30 plant roots were picked from soils and discarded). The homogenized sediments and soils were  
31 sampled for determination of ash free dry weight (AFDW). In addition, approximately 100  
32 mL aliquots of steam water were filtered through pre-combusted GF/F Whatman filters, and

1 the filtrates were used for water quality analyses. The filtrates were stored in a refrigerator for  
2 analyses of optical properties and dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) measurements. Another  
3 aliquot was similarly filtered but frozen prior to analyses of dissolved organic carbon (DOC),  
4 nutrients, and major anions. The remainder of the stream water, sediments, and soils were  
5 temporarily stored at 2-4°C for 2 days prior to laboratory experiments.

## 6 **2.3 Laboratory Salinization Experiments**

7 For each laboratory salinization experiment, 60-g sub-samples of homogenised sieved  
8 sediments (< 2mm) were inserted into a series of 125-ml glass flasks to cover the bottom of  
9 the flasks, and 100 mL of unfiltered stream waters were carefully added with a pipette in  
10 order to not disturb the sediments. In order to evaluate the potential effects of salinization,  
11 pure NaCl salt (J.T.Baker) was amended to unfiltered stream water to obtain 3 concentration  
12 levels ( $0 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$ ,  $2 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$ , and  $4 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$ ). These concentration levels were within the range  
13 reported for salt concentrations in ambient stream water at the Baltimore LTER site (Kaushal  
14 et al., 2005). All laboratory salinization experiments were conducted in duplicate per study  
15 site to account for variability during laboratory salinization experiments. Simultaneously,  
16 streamwater samples without sediments were also incubated at the same 3 levels of  
17 salinization ( $0 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$ ,  $2 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$ , and  $4 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$ ), in order to separate potential contributions  
18 of sediments vs. stream water. The laboratory salinization experiments were conducted in the  
19 dark in the lab with minor variations in temperature (19–22°C), and the flasks were gently  
20 stirred for 2 days with a shaker table to simulate water movement in streams. During the 2-  
21 day incubations, the flasks were loosely capped to avoid external contamination while  
22 allowing for air entry. Laboratory salinization experiments for riparian soils were conducted  
23 similar to stream sediments, except that 1) deionized (DI) water (rather than stream water)  
24 was used for soil leaching, and 2) the samples were not stirred during the incubations  
25 assuming much slower hydrologic flow rates during soil infiltration than those of a stream.  
26 Deionized waters without soils were incubated at the 3 levels of salinization as soil-free  
27 controls. This experimental design may have introduced potential artefacts such as no soil  
28 infiltration, constant temperature and no exposure to sunlight, which could influence results.  
29 However, previous studies investigating the potential impacts of salinization on soil  
30 biogeochemistry have used similar approaches (e.g., Green et al. 2008, 2009a; Compton and  
31 Church, 2011; Kim and Koretsky, 2013; Steele and Aitkenhead-Peterson, 2013). At the end of

1 the incubations, the incubation waters were filtered through pre-combusted GF/F Whatman  
2 filters for water chemistry analyses.

3 **2.4 Chemical Analyses**

4 All filtrates were analyzed for major anions, nitrate, soluble reactive phosphorus (SRP),  
5 DOC, dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC), total dissolved nitrogen (TDN), and optical  
6 properties (absorbance and fluorescence). DOC, TDN and DIC concentrations were measured  
7 on a Shimadzu Total Organic Carbon Analyzer (TOC-V CPH/CPN) (Duan and Kaushal  
8 2013). Nitrate and sulfate concentrations were measured with a Dionex ion chromatograph  
9 (ICS-1500, Dionex INC., USA), with an eluent of 3.5 mM of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and 1.0 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>  
10 and a flow rate of 0.3 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Analyses of the water samples showed that NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N/NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>-N  
11 concentrations were almost entirely NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N(> 99%), and we therefore refer to this fraction as  
12 NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>-N throughout this paper. SRP was measured on an automated QuikChem 8500 Series 2  
13 FIA System, using the ascorbic acid-molybdate blue method (Murphy and Riley, 1962).  
14 Total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN), including dissolved organic nitrogen, ammonia, and  
15 ammonium, was calculated by subtraction of nitrate-N from TDN. Ultraviolet (UV)  
16 absorbance and fluorescence spectroscopy were used in characterization of DOC composition  
17 and lability. Filtrates were scanned for absorbance from 200 nm to 800 nm with a Shimadzu  
18 UV-1800 Spectrophotometer. UV absorbance at 254 nm was used to calculate specific UV  
19 absorbance (SUVA) by normalizing for DOC concentration. SUVA is strongly correlated  
20 with percent aromaticity of organic matter as determined by <sup>13</sup>C NMR (Weishaar et al. 2003),  
21 and thus can be a useful parameter for estimating terrestrial organic carbon sources in aquatic  
22 systems. Fluorescence measurements were made on a FluoroMax-4 Spectrofluorometer  
23 (Horiba Jobin Yvon, Edison NJ, USA) using the method that was described previously by  
24 Duan and Kaushal (2013). A 1 cm quartz cuvette with slit widths set to 5 nm was used.  
25 Excitation emission matrix scans (EEMs) were obtained by collecting a series of emission  
26 wavelengths ranging from 300 to 600 nm (2 nm increments) at excitation wavelengths  
27 ranging from 240 to 450 nm (5 nm increments). EEMs data were corrected for instrument  
28 biases, inner filtering and scatter removal, and calibrated values of fluorescence intensities at  
29 excitation/emission = 275 nm/340 nm and 350 nm/480 nm were recorded as protein-like and  
30 humic-like fluorophores (Coble, 1996; Stolpe et al., 2010). Relative to the humic-like  
31 fluorophore, the intensity of the protein-like fluorophore is generally higher in labile DOC  
32 sources (e.g., wastewater; Hudson et al., 2007) and positively correlated with DOC

1 bioavailability (Balcarczyk et al., 2009; Lønborg et al., 2010). Thus, the ratio of the protein-  
2 like to the humic-like fluorophore (P/H) was calculated here as an index of organic carbon  
3 lability.

4 Ash free dry weight (AFDW) of the sediment and soil samples was analyzed as an index of  
5 organic matter content. Sediment and soil ash weights were calculated as the difference in  
6 weights before and after combustion at 550°C for 4 hours (APHA 1998). Prior to combustion,  
7 sediments were dried at 105°C for 4 hours to remove water. Ash free dry weights were  
8 determined in triplicates.

## 9 **2.5 Data Analyses and Statistics**

10 Sediment fluxes were calculated as the net changes in the masses of DOC, nitrate, SRP or  
11 sulfate during the two-day incubations. The values for nitrate and sulfate are presented as  
12 nitrate-N and sulfate-S. The changes in the control flasks (with water only), occurring in  
13 water without sediments or soils, were subtracted to obtain the fluxes that were released from  
14 sediments or soils. Positive or negative values represent net release from sediments or  
15 retention by sediments, respectively.

16 Linear regressions of sediment/soil biogeochemical fluxes with salinity were performed  
17 across all sites, using data from 6 salinization experimental manipulations (3 salinity levels  
18 with duplicates). If the p-value was < 0.05 for the regression, we assumed that there was a  
19 significant salinization effect. Otherwise, differences between two salinization levels were  
20 tested using a t-test of two-samples assuming equal variances. The slopes of the linear  
21 regressions with salinity, representing changes in bioreactive element fluxes per unit salinity,  
22 were further regressed with watershed impervious surface cover (ISC) at the 8 study sites to  
23 examine changes in the biogeochemical effects of salinization across watershed urbanization.  
24 Differences in ash free dry weight, fluxes or salinization effect between sediments and soils  
25 were tested using t-test. Meanwhile, relationships between sediment/soil fluxes of DOC (or  
26 SUVA and fluorescence indices representing DOC composition) and any of sediment/soil  
27 fluxes of DIC, TKN, nitrate, and sulfate were examined to test the coupling of nitrogen,  
28 phosphorus and sulfur with carbon biogeochemistry during salinization experiments. For  
29 linear relationships, Spearman's correlation was used in cases where assumptions of  
30 normality were not met. Data are reported in mean ± standard error.

1    **3 Results**

2    **3.1 Water and sediment chemistry**

3    In stream waters that were used for laboratory salinization experiments, water chemistry  
4    varied considerably (Table 2). In general, concentrations of chloride ion ( $\text{Cl}^-$ ), sulfate-S ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ -S)  
5    and DOC, and protein-like to humic-like fluorophore (P/H) ratios of DOC in stream water  
6    increased with watershed impervious surface cover (ISC) ( $r^2 = 0.77-0.83$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 8$ ).  
7    SUVA showed an opposite trend and decreased with watershed ISC ( $r^2 = 0.79$ ,  $n = 8$ ,  $p <$   
8    0.05).  $\text{Cl}^-$  concentrations also increased with ISC, but the coefficient was not significant ( $r^2 =$   
9    0.40,  $n = 8$ ,  $p > 0.05$ ), and the highest value was not observed at the site GFGR with highest  
10   ISC. Nitrate-N ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ -N) and SRP concentrations did not vary with watershed ISC, and the  
11   highest concentrations occurred at the agricultural site (MCDN; Table 2).

12   Sediment ash free dry weight (AFDW) also displayed an increasing trend with ISC (from  
13   0.61% to 1.90%) except one surprisingly high value (3.98%) observed at GFCP ( $r^2 = 0.56$ ,  $p <$   
14   0.05,  $n = 7$ ). AFDW of the riparian soils (6.17-8.84%) were significantly higher than the  
15   sediments ( $p < 0.05$ , t-test) but did not vary significantly with watershed ISC (Table 2).

16   **3.2 Influence of salinization on C fluxes and DOC composition across land  
17   use**

18   Sediments were consistently a net source of both DOC and DIC. Net DOC releases from  
19   sediments consistently increased with increasing salinization (all positive slopes and  
20   statistically significant in 7 out of 8 cases;  $r^2 = 0.64-0.99$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) (Fig. 2), and DOC releases  
21   at 4 g  $\text{Cl L}^{-1}$  were  $7.8 \pm 1.9$  times (mean  $\pm$  standard error, same below) higher than those at 0  
22   g  $\text{Cl L}^{-1}$ . Although salinization also increased the net releases of DIC (positive slope with one  
23   exception at GFCP), the increases were generally not at a statistically significant level ( $p$   
24    $> 0.05$ ; Fig. 2). Among the 7 sites that showed a DIC increase, the highest net DIC releases  
25   occurred at 2 g  $\text{Cl L}^{-1}$ , and the values at 2 g  $\text{Cl L}^{-1}$  were generally significantly higher than the  
26   values at 0 g  $\text{Cl L}^{-1}$  (6 out of 7 cases;  $p < 0.05$ , t-test), although differences between 2 g  $\text{Cl L}^{-1}$   
27   and 4 g  $\text{Cl L}^{-1}$  were generally not significant ( $p > 0.05$ , t-test). In addition, the highest DIC  
28   values were only  $1.4 \pm 0.02$  times higher than those at 0 g  $\text{Cl L}^{-1}$ . Meanwhile, maximal  
29   sediment net releases of DOC and DIC were  $3.9 \pm 0.8$  and  $0.51 \pm 0.12$  times higher than their  
30   ambient concentrations in streamwater.

Moreover, the effects of salinization on sediment net releases differed among DOC fractions. Salinization consistently and considerably increased net releases of the protein-like fluorophore (all positive slopes;  $r^2 = 0.76-0.95$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ), showing significant increases that were  $6.7 \pm 1.0$  times higher at  $4 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$  relative to those at  $0 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2). The effects of salinization on net humic-like fluorophore releases, however, were not consistent (showing both positive and negative slopes, and only 3 out of 8 cases showed a statistically significant level) and were much less (increased by  $1.2 \pm 0.1$  times) (Fig. 2). As a result, salinization consistently and considerably (by  $5.9 \pm 0.7$  times) increased (all positive slopes;  $r^2 = 0.72-0.97$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ) the protein to humic (P/H) ratio (Fig. 2) - an index of DOC lability (Lønborg et al., 2010; Duan and Kaushal 2013). The effects of salinization on DOC lability using fluorescence spectroscopy were further supported by absorbance measurements. Absorbance measurements showed a general decreasing trend in SUVA changes with increasing salinization (6 out of 8 cases with negative slopes;  $r^2 = 0.69-0.98$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 2).

Effects of laboratory salinization on net DOC and DIC releases from soils were relatively more complex and not as consistent (both positive and negative slopes). As mentioned earlier, laboratory salinization experiments with soils were conducted only at 7 sites, because GFCP and GFGR are very close were considered as one site for soil experiments (same below). In 4 out of 7 cases, net DOC releases from soils significantly decreased as experimental salinization decreased from  $0 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$  to  $2 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$  ( $p < 0.05$ , t-test), followed by slight increases (generally  $p < 0.05$ , t-test) as experimental salinization increased from  $2 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$  to  $4 \text{ gCl L}^{-1}$  (Fig. 3). The effects of laboratory salinization experiments on net DIC releases from soils were also complex (both positive and negative slopes), and 3 out of 7 cases showed statistically significant decreasees with salinization ( $r^2 = 0.69-0.73$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 3). In spite of the complex effects of salinization on net releases of total DOC, salinization almost consistently decreased SUVA of leached DOC across all sites ( $r^2 = 0.72-0.96$ ,  $p < 0.05$  in 6 out of 7 cases) by a factor of  $40 \pm 4\%$  (Fig. 3).

Effects of laboratory salinization experiments on biogeochemical carbon fluxes from sediment (indicated by changes in their standardized fluxes per g of  $\text{Cl}^-$ ) exhibited clear patterns across the rural-urban gradient (Fig. 4). In general, the effects of salinization on sediment net releases of DOC, DIC and protein-like fluorophore, and DOC lability (indicated by P/H ratio) increased significantly with ISC – an index for watershed urbanization ( $r^2 = 0.57-0.84$ ,  $n= 7$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 4a-4d). The exception was the urban site GFCP with the

highest ash free dry weight (Fig. 4i), showing unexpected large, positive salinization effects on net DOC releases, protein-like DOC releases and P/H ratio but unexpected negative salinization effects on net DIC releases. No consistent urbanization influence was observed for SUVA (Fig. 4e) or the humic-like fluorophore (not shown). The effects of laboratory salinization on C leaching from soils were different from those observed in sediments, however (Fig. 4a-4e). Effects of salinization on soil leaching were generally less than those on sediment retention/release (except SUVA). In addition, effects of salinization on soil leaching did not show considerable changes with increasing watershed ISC ( $p > 0.05$ ).

### 3.3 Influence of salinization on fluxes of TKN, nitrate, SRP, and sulfate across land use

Sediments were generally a net source of TKN (ammonium + ammonia + dissolved organic nitrogen) and SRP but a net sink of nitrate during the salinization experiments (Fig. 5). Laboratory salinization experiments consistently and considerably increased net TKN releases from sediments ( $r^2 = 0.72\text{-}0.95$ ,  $n = 6$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 5), and the net TKN releases at  $4 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$  were  $13.3 \pm 5.1$  times higher than at  $0 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$ . Meanwhile, salinization experiments consistently increased net nitrate retention (all negative fluxes) and the increases were significant in 6 out of 8 cases (except forest POBR and urban GFCP;  $r^2 = 0.73\text{-}0.91$ ,  $n = 6$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 5). Net nitrate retention at  $4 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$  was  $1.6 \pm 0.4$  times higher than net nitrate retention at  $0 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$ . For SRP, 5 out of 8 sites (all forest, agricultural and suburban sites) showed that salinization experiments considerably decreased sediment net SRP releases (by  $81 \pm 7\%$ ;  $r^2 = 0.79\text{-}0.90$ ,  $n = 6$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 5). However, two urban sites GFCP and GFGR showed salinization increased sediment net SRP releases by 1.3 to 3.5 times ( $r^2 = 0.71\text{-}0.81$ ,  $n = 6$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 5). Salinization effects on sulfate were even more complex, showing both positive and negative slopes. However, the agricultural site MCDN and 2 urban sites (GFCP and GFGR) showed strong decreases in sulfate fluxes (by  $90 \pm 23\%$ ;  $r^2 = 0.73\text{-}0.74$ ,  $n = 6$ ,  $p < 0.05$ , or  $p < 0.05$ , t-test) when the level of salinization increased from  $0 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$  to  $4 \text{ g Cl L}^{-1}$  (Fig. 5). Meanwhile, the maximal sediment net releases of TKN during the 2-day experiments were  $4.9 \pm 1.7$  times greater than its ambient concentrations in stream water; approximately  $80 \pm 11\%$  of ambient stream water nitrate was retained during the salinization experiments. On the other hand, the maximum fluxes of SRP and sulfate during the salinization experiments represented only  $1.16 \pm 0.16$  and  $0.20 \pm 0.07$  of their ambient concentrations in stream water, respectively.

Similar to sediments, salinization consistently increased net TKN releases from soils and the increases were significant at 6 out of 7 sites ( $r^2 = 0.67\text{-}0.95$ ,  $n = 6$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 6), and the values at 4 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup> increased by  $93 \pm 25\%$  relative to 0 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup>. Laboratory salinization increased nitrate releases in 5 out of 7 cases, only 2 of which were statistically significant ( $r^2 = 0.71\text{-}0.76$ ,  $n = 6$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ). The maximal net nitrate releases with salinization (generally occurred at 2 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup>) were  $1.73 \pm 0.19$  times greater than those at 0 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 5b). Similar to sediments, 6 out of 7 cases showed that experimental salinization suppressed net SRP releases from soils, 5 of which were statistically significant ( $r^2 = 0.67\text{-}0.97$ ,  $n = 6$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 6). Net SRP releases at 4 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup> decreased by  $40 \pm 9\%$  relative to those at 0 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup>. Similar to the sediments, the effects of salinization on sulfate releases from soils were complex and inconsistent (Fig. 6).

Effects of laboratory salinization on sediment biogeochemical fluxes of TKN (indicated by changes in their standardized fluxes per g of Cl<sup>-</sup>) also exhibited clear patterns across the rural-urban gradient. That is, the effects of salinization on sediment releases of TKN increased significantly with ISC – an index for watershed urbanization ( $r^2 = 0.57$ ,  $n = 7$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 4a-4d), with one exception at site GFCP that showed highest ash free dry weight (Fig. 4f). No consistent urbanization effects were observed for nitrate, SRP (Fig. 4g-4h) or sulfate (not shown). Furthermore, none of the salinization effects on leaching of TKN, nitrate, SRP or sulfate from soils showed significant correlations with watershed ISC ( $p > 0.05$ ; Fig. 4).

### 3.4 Biogeochemical coupling between the fluxes of chemical species

Correlation analyses suggested that there were links of the fluxes of the measured chemical species of bioreactive elements. Here, the term flux was used to mean net retention or net release of a chemical species based on site. For example, there was a correlation between net releases of DIC flux and net releases of DOC. Across soil laboratory salinization experiments, DIC net releases linearly increased with DOC releases, and the correlations were significant at 4 out of 7 sites ( $r^2 = 0.66\text{-}0.99$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 6$ ; Fig. 7b). Across laboratory salinization experiments with sediments, DIC net releases initially increased with net DOC releases but the increases did not continue with further DOC increases (Fig. 7a). Different from DIC, net releases of TKN were all positively correlated with net releases of DOC fluxes in salinization experiments using sediments across all 8 sites ( $r^2 = 0.71\text{-}0.93$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 6$ ; Fig. 7c). However, there was no relationship between net releases TKN and net releases of DOC across soil laboratory salinization experiments (Fig. 7d).

1 There was also a correlation between net nitrate retention (negative values) to net DOC  
2 releases. Specifically, nitrate retention linearly increased with increasing net DOC releases  
3 from both sediments and soils, and increases were statistically significant at 6 of 8 sites for  
4 sediment incubation experiments and at 4 out of 7 sites for soil leaching experiments ( $r^2 =$   
5 0.65-0.95,  $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 6$ ; Fig. 7e and 7f). A fourth correlation was between net SRP releases  
6 and changes in SUVA of DOC. There were positive correlations between net SRP releases  
7 and changes in SUVA values during both sediment incubations and soil leaching. Significant  
8 correlations were observed in 4 out of 8 cases during sediment incubations ( $r^2 = 0.67-0.91$ ,  $p$   
9  $< 0.05$ ,  $n = 6$ ; Fig. 6e). More cases (5 out of 7) showed this positive relationship during soil  
10 leaching ( $r^2 = 0.57-0.97$ ,  $p < 0.05$ ,  $n = 6$ ; Fig. 6f).

11

## 12 **4 Discussion**

### 13 **4.1 Changes in salinization effects with watershed urbanization**

14 We found that the effects of salinization on the retention and release of bioreactive elements  
15 from sediments changed with watershed urbanization. Thus, Hypothesis 1 regarding changes  
16 in salinization effects with watershed urbanization was partially supported by the data from  
17 sediment incubation experiments. Overall, our results suggest that the effects of increased  
18 salinization on sediment releases of DOC, protein-like fluorophore, TKN and DIC increased  
19 with impervious surface cover (ISC) – an index for watershed urbanization (Fig. 4; linear  
20 regressions,  $p < 0.05$ ). These results seem to contradict previous results of soil salinization  
21 experiments. Those previous experiments suggest that soils that have already experienced  
22 higher degrees of exposure to road salting (e.g., in urban watersheds) respond less to  
23 salinization than controls (like in forest watersheds) regarding organic matter mobilisation  
24 (e.g., Green et al., 2008, 2009). The reason is that “once the organic matter has been  
25 solubilised and/or mineralised under the influence of road salt, and thereafter leached, it is  
26 gone from the system”. However, the results from our lab experiments are different from  
27 those of Green et al. (2008, 2009) probably for two reasons. First, stream sediments were  
28 used in our laboratory experiments and not just soils, and the response of stream sediments to  
29 salinization might be somewhat different (potential mechanisms discussed later). In addition,  
30 the degree of watershed urbanization may not exactly match the degree of exposure to road  
31 salt exposure. For example, the highest streamwater  $\text{Cl}^-$  concentrations in this study were not

1 observed at the GFGR site with highest ISC but at DRKR with a smaller ISC value (Table 2).  
2 Thus, our results suggest that urbanization impacts biogeochemical responses to salinization  
3 (i.e, the net release and retention of chemicals), but it may not always be related to the degree  
4 of watershed impervious surface cover.

5 Instead, the interactive effects of watershed urbanization and salinization on sediment releases  
6 of DOC, protein-like fluorophore, TKN and DIC fluxes may be explained by coinciding  
7 changes in stream sediment organic matter content (indicated by ash free dry weight), which  
8 also showed an increase with increasing watershed ISC (Table 2 and Fig. 4i). The outlier site  
9 GFCP, which had unexpected larger salinization effects, was also highest in sediment ash free  
10 dry weight. The reason for the outlier GFCP is not clear, but much better correlation between  
11 sediment ash free dry weight and watershed ISC was reported in our previous study at the  
12 same Baltimore LTER sites (Duan and Kaushal et al. 2013). In any case, organic matter  
13 content in urban stream sediments was generally higher than in rural streams (e.g., Sloane-  
14 Richey et al. 1981; Paul and Meyer, 2001; Duan and Kaushal, 2013), probably due to  
15 additional organic matter inputs from algal and anthropogenic sources (e.g., wastewater). For  
16 example, our recent work showed that gross primary production and organic matter lability  
17 increased significantly with watershed urbanization (Kaushal et al., 2014b). Wastewater  
18 inputs from sewer leaks are common in the urban tributaries in the lower Gwynns Falls  
19 (DEPRM and Baltimore City Department of Public Works, 2004; Kaushal et al., 2011). As  
20 quantity and quality of sediment organic matter increase across the rural-urban land use  
21 gradient, we hypothesize that the releases of labile DOC, total DOC, TKN and DIC increase  
22 in response to salinization.

## 23 **4.2 Potential effects of increased salinization on DOC/DIC mobilization and** 24 **coupled carbon biogeochemistry**

25 This study suggests that mechanisms responsible for salinization effects on DOC mobilization  
26 differ between soils and sediments. Previous studies have shown different effects of  
27 salinization (e.g., suppression, or inconsistent effects) on DOC mobilization in soils (Amrhein  
28 et al., 1992; Evans et al., 1998; Green et al., 2008, 2009a; Compton and Church, 2011;  
29 Ondrašek et al., 2012). These variations were attributed to soil types (Amrhein et al., 1992;  
30 Evans et al., 1998), water to soil ratios (Amrhein et al., 1992), water chemistry (Evans et al.,  
31 1998), leaching time (Compton and Church, 2011), and historical exposure to road salt  
32 deicers (Green et al., 2008, 2009a). Two competing effects of salts have been suggested upon

which solubilisation of organic matter is dependent: sodium dispersion and pH suppression (Amrhein et al., 1992; Bäckström et al., 2004; Green et al., 2008, 2009a). That is, upon salt additions, the replacements of  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  of soils by  $\text{Na}^+$  would be expected to increase DOC solubility, because trivalent  $\text{Al}^{3+}$  and divalent  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  reduce organic carbon solubility far more than monovalent  $\text{Na}^+$  (Amrhein et al., 1992; Skjellberg and Magnusson, 1995). On the other hand, salinization suppresses pH in solution over shorter time scales due to the mobile anion effect, and therefore decreases DOC leaching from soils (Bäckström et al., 2004; Li et al., 2007; Green et al., 2008). In addition to pH suppression, flocculation/sorption or inhibitory effects on microbial activity have also been suggested as possible mechanisms for DOC retention upon increased salinization (e.g., Compton and Church, 2011; Ondrasek et al., 2012). It seems the above two-competing effect concept (pH suppression vs. sodium dispersion) can be used here to interpret the inconsistent effects of salinization on DOC retention/release from riparian soils across sites or across salinities in this study (Fig. 3). However, neither this concept nor the flocculation/microbial-suppression mechanism can explain the consistent observation of enhanced DOC mobilization from sediments in our laboratory salinization experiments (Fig. 2). As we hypothesized, differences in DOC mobilization between soils *vs.* stream sediments may have been primarily due to differences in DOC composition and sources.

Our results from DOC characterization can provide further information for interpreting the differences in salinization effects on DOC releases between sediment and soils. Our results showed that only protein-like fluorophores were consistently and significantly remobilized from sediments with salinization (Fig. 2), which suggested that the increased DOC releases from sediments were mainly attributed to the releases of protein-like labile DOC fractions. Similar findings were also reported by Li et al. (2013), which showed that KCl can significantly increase the mobility of microbially-derived labile organic matter (indicated by the fluorescence index). Meanwhile, chemical analyses suggest that the protein-like fluorophores consist primarily of proteinaceous materials (e.g., proteins and peptides; Yamashita and Tanoue, 2003; Maie et al., 2007), and this DOC fraction is generally hydrophilic and low molecular weight (LMW) compounds (e.g., Sommerville and Preston 2001). Results of Chen et al. (1989) and Fuchs et al. (2006) showed that solubility of the proteinaceous materials in LMW is generally neither affected by pH within normal range 6-9 nor by colloid coagulation. Therefore, increasing ionic strength (or salinization) can enhance the solubility of the proteinaceous materials *via* sodium dispersion (Green et al., 2008, 2009a)

1 or through nonspecific electrostatic interactions at low salinities (called a “salting in” effect)  
2 (Tanford, 1961; Chen et al., 1989). Furthermore, because stream sediments are generally  
3 enriched in these labile, proteinaceous materials derived from biofilms (algae and microbes)  
4 and wastewater organics in urban watersheds (Kaushal et al., 2011; Kaushal and Belt, 2012;  
5 Newcomer et al., 2012; Duan et al., 2014b; Kaushal et al., 2014b), it is reasonable that  
6 salinization can mobilize a large amount of protein-like labile dissolved organic matter from  
7 stream sediments. Relative to the proteinaceous materials, humic substances are larger  
8 hydrophobic molecules occurring in the colloidal size range (e.g., Aiken et al., 1985). This  
9 DOC fraction is readily subjected to flocculation (e.g., Sholkovit, 1976), sorption to mineral  
10 surfaces (Fox, 1991; Hedges and Keil, 1999), and pH suppression (Kipton et al., 1992; Li et  
11 al., 2007; Li et al., 2013) with increasing ionic strength or salinization. The potential  
12 instability of the humic-like DOC fraction upon salinization was further supported by our  
13 present results and previous studies (e.g., Li et al., 2013), which showed that salinization  
14 consistently decreased SUVA of DOC released from soils and sediments (Fig. 2 and 3) - a  
15 parameter indicating DOC aromaticity (Weishaar et al., 2003). Relative to stream sediments,  
16 soil organic matter consists primarily of humic substances (up to 60-70%; Griffith and  
17 Schnitzer, 1975). In this study, although humic substances were not measured, much higher  
18 SUVA values were observed in DOC leached from soils (around  $10 \text{ Lmg}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-1}$ ) than from  
19 sediments ( $< 2 \text{ L mg}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-1}$ ) (Fig. 7). This suggested that soils were higher in humic  
20 substances. Probably, due to large differences in organic matter composition, effects of  
21 salinization on DOC leaching from sediments and soils were different (Fig. 2 and 3).

22 Our laboratory experiments suggests that simultaneous net releases of DIC and DOC were  
23 examples of coupled biogeochemical cycles in response to salinization, as predicted by  
24 hypothesis 2. The effects of salinization on DIC fluxes from sediments and soils may involve  
25 shifts in carbonate chemistry (e.g., dissolution of carbonate minerals), organic carbon  
26 mineralization and  $\text{CO}_2$  efflux that are coupled with DOC biogeochemistry. The effects of  
27 salinization on carbonate chemistry seems a less important control in this study, because the  
28 solubility of carbonate minerals increases with salinization (Akin and Lagerwerff, 1965)  
29 while DIC releases from sediment or soils in laboratory salinization experiments of the  
30 present study did not follow this trend (Fig. 2 and 3). Meanwhile, crystalline rocks of igneous  
31 or metamorphic origin characterize the surface geology and there are almost no carbonate  
32 rocks in our study region (<http://www.mgs.md.gov/esic/geo/bal.html>). The potential  
33 importance of organic carbon mineralization and its influence on DIC releases during

1 laboratory salinization experiments were supported by the observed increases in DIC  
2 concentrations with DOC releases (Fig. 7a and 7b). The coupling of DIC with organic carbon  
3 mineralization seemed to fit better for soils, considering the strong linear relationship between  
4 DIC and DOC across soil salinization experiments (Fig. 7b). The complex relationships  
5 between DOC and DIC for sediment incubations (Fig. 7a) indicated the importance of other  
6 potential controls as well - e.g., efflux of CO<sub>2</sub>, the product of mineralization of protein-like  
7 DOC released from sediments (Fig. 2). It is known that the solubility coefficient for CO<sub>2</sub>  
8 decreases with salinity (Weiss et al., 1974; Duan and Sun, 2003). We hypothesize that CO<sub>2</sub>  
9 efflux to the atmosphere may become a dominant control on DIC release at higher salinities  
10 (e.g., 2 - 4 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup>), and further increases in salinization may decrease net DIC release despite  
11 increased release of DOC (Fig. 2).

#### 12   **4.3 Potential effects of salinization on N, P, and S leaching or transformation 13       coupled with DOC biogeochemistry**

14 We observed mobilization of TKN in response to salinization in both sediments and soils  
15 (Fig. 5 and 6), and this has also been observed in several previous studies (mainly NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>;  
16 Duckworth and Cresser, 1991; Compton and Church, 2011; Kim and Koretsky, 2011). The  
17 consistent NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> releases with salinization can be attributed to Na<sup>+</sup> dispersion (Green and  
18 Cresser, 2008). That is, as a positively-charged ion, NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> can be adsorbed on negatively-  
19 charged particles of soils and sediments (Nieder et al., 2011); NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> retained on the cation  
20 exchange sites can be greatly reduced by the presence of sodium ions, causing flushing of  
21 NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N with salinization (Duckworth and Cresser, 1991; Compton and Church, 2011; Kim  
22 and Koretsky, 2011). Despite similarities between enhanced TKN release in response to  
23 increased salinization of sediments and soils, correlation data showed much statistically  
24 stronger relationships between TKN and DOC during sediment incubation experiments than  
25 with soil leaching experiments (Fig. 7). So, the decoupling of TKN mobilization and DOC  
26 during soil leaching suggests that TKN mobilization was largely attributed to mobilization of  
27 inorganic NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> due to Na<sup>+</sup> dispersion. The coupling of TKN with DOC during sediment  
28 incubations, however, indicates that TKN release could be associated with mobilization of  
29 dissolved organic nitrogen. This was consistent with far more release of nitrogen-enriched  
30 protein-like dissolved organic matter or dissolved organic nitrogen (DON) from sediments  
31 than from soils as discussed above ( $0.11 \pm 0.01$  RU vs  $0.033 \pm 0.004$  RU). Several previous  
32 studies have also shown DON leaching from plant litter or soils along with DOC upon

1 increased salinization (Steele and Aitkenhead-Peterson, 2013; Green et al., 2008, 2009;  
2 Compton and Church, 2011).

3 Our data further show that nitrate transformation and DOC remobilization were also coupled  
4 during salinization experiments with both sediments and soils. In contrast to DOC, DON, or  
5  $\text{NH}_4^+$ , nitrate is a highly soluble, negatively-charged ion. Mechanisms such as pH  
6 suppression,  $\text{Na}^+$  dispersion/exchange, and colloid coagulation do not apply for nitrate to  
7 interpret the salinization effects, while biologically-mediated transformations may play a  
8 relatively more important role. According to previous studies in soils, salinity can decrease  
9 the rates of both nitrification and denitrification during short time periods (hours to days;  
10 Dincer and Kargi, 2001; Hale and Groffman, 2006; Aminzadeh et al., 2010; Lancaster et al.,  
11 2012) due to biological inhibition. However, effects of salinization on nitrate releases from  
12 soils varied considerably from positive to no effects, as a combined result of availability of  
13 ammonium and nitrate removal *via* denitrification (Duckworth and Cresser, 1991; Green and  
14 Cresser, 2008b; Compton and Church, 2011). Our results from soils also suggested positive  
15 effects of salinization probably due to TKN (including ammonium) release (Fig. 6). For  
16 sediments, we found that laboratory salinization experiments consistently increased nitrate  
17 retention, however (Fig. 5). These salinization effects can be related to the remobilization of  
18 DOC in sediments, based on the consistent inverse relationship between nitrate and DOC  
19 (Fig. 7). That is, although salinization may directly influence denitrification rates of instream  
20 sediments (Hale and Groffman, 2006), it may also cause mobilization of significant amounts  
21 of protein-like dissolved organic matter (DOC and DON) into streams (Fig. 2c). We speculate  
22 that this mobilized labile dissolved organic matter may stimulate nitrate biological uptakes *via*  
23 denitrification and/or microbial immobilization because it provides an energy source for  
24 microbes (Newcomer et al., 2012). Furthermore, decomposition of labile dissolved organic  
25 matter can lead to anoxic conditions for N removal *via* denitrification (Sobczak et al., 2003;  
26 Duan et al. 2014a). So, when the effects of released labile dissolved organic matter override  
27 the inhibitory effects of salinization on nitrate transformations, we speculate that salinization  
28 may actually enhance nitrate retention in stream sediments (Fig. 4b). However, the effects of  
29 increased salinization on DON and ammonium mobilization warrant further research.

30 Although P was not directly affected by DOC release, our results suggest that SRP release  
31 from sediments or soils during laboratory salinization experiments was associated with  
32 changes in DOC aromaticity (indicated by SUVA). Different from nitrate, P is primarily a

1 particle reactive element, and a large fraction of dissolved P (e.g., up to 88%; Cai and Guo,  
2 2009) is in the form of colloids, or humic- Fe (Al-) phosphate complexes (Hens and Merckx,  
3 2001; Turner et al., 2004; Regelink et al., 2013). This is because the sorption capacity for P  
4 per unit mass is about 5,000 times larger for colloids than for the immobile soil matrix  
5 (McGechan and Lewis, 2002). However, the stability of colloids decreases with increasing  
6 ionic strength and decreasing pH (e.g. Bunn et al., 2002; Saiers et al., 2003), both of which  
7 can be induced by salinization (e.g., Green et al., 2008). An example of this salinization effect  
8 is rapid flocculation of freshwater SRP and colloids in estuaries in response to mixing of fresh  
9 water with seawater (e.g., Sholkovit, 1976). Thus, increased salinization may decrease  
10 stability of the colloidal humic- Fe (Al-) phosphate complexes, leading to reduced releases of  
11 SRP from sediments and soils (Fig. 5 and 6) and a coupling between SRP and SUVA (Fig. 7).  
12 The decreases in SRP fluxes from sediments and soils in response to salinization (Fig. 4 and  
13 5; Compton and Church, 2011) were likely a result of colloid coagulation at higher salinities,  
14 although biological controls such as inhibition of microbial activity at higher salinities could  
15 provide an alternative explanation (Srividya et al., 2009).

16 Relative to C, N and P, effects of salinization on sulfur transformations are relatively less  
17 known. Kim and Koretsky (2011, 2013) reported salinization inhibited porewater sulfate  
18 reduction in lake sediments. However, our results show large variability in the effects of  
19 salinization on net sulfate release from either sediments or soils (Fig. 5 and 6), and sulfate  
20 reduction may not be dominant in free-flowing streams. Effects of increased salinization on  
21 sulfate releases warrant further investigation in future studies, however.

22

## 23 **5 Conclusions**

24 The potential effects of salinization on biogeochemical fluxes from soils and stream  
25 sediments are summarized in Fig. 8. As shown in this figure, releases of labile DOC (thus  
26 total DOC) and TKN (primarily DON and ammonium) from sediments can potentially  
27 increase during episodic stream salinization, due to “salting-in” effects (or Na dispersion) of  
28 proteinaceous organic matter and  $\text{NH}_4^+$  mobilization. The increased releases of labile DOC  
29 and TKN (primarily DON and ammonium) can result in increases in sediment releases of DIC  
30 and sediment retention of nitrate as a result of organic carbon mineralization and associated N  
31 transformations (e.g., denitrification and nitrate microbial immobilization). Moreover, the  
32 effects of salinization on sediment releases of labile DOC and TKN also increased with

1 watershed urbanization (indicated by watershed ISC) due to higher sediment organic content  
2 at urban sites. DOC aromaticity (indicated by SUVA) and releases of SRP, however,  
3 decreased with stream salinization, likely due to coagulation of colloidal humic- Fe (Al-)  
4 complexes and pH suppression, which were associated with ion exchange. The sediment  
5 releases of labile DOC and TKN with increased salinization probably represents a significant,  
6 previously unrecognized flux of labile DOC and TKN (DON and ammonium) in urban  
7 streams, which might have a large influence on carbon and nutrient biogeochemical cycles  
8 and water quality in urban waters. For soils, salinization effects on DOC leaching were not  
9 consistent, and there were no interactive effects of land use and salinization. Differences in  
10 effects of salinization on sediments and soils are likely attributed to differences in organic  
11 matter sources and lability. Nonetheless, our work suggests that increased salinization can  
12 have major effects on concentrations and fluxes of bioreactive elements in human-impacted  
13 watersheds and streams, and it is critical to conduct comprehensive investigations of the  
14 effects of salinization on all major bioreactive elements and couple them together as a whole.

15

## 16 **Acknowledgements**

17 This research was supported by NSF Awards DBI 0640300, CBET 1058502, and Coastal  
18 SEES (NSF-1426844), NASA grant NASA NNX11AM28G, Maryland Sea Grant Awards  
19 SA7528085-U, R/WS-2 and NA05OAR4171042, NSF EAR 1521224, and Baltimore  
20 Ecosystem Study LTER project (NSF DEB-0423476).

21

1    **References**

- 2    Agren, A.M., Haei, M., Blomkvist, P., Nilsson, M.B., and Laudon, H.: Soil frost enhances  
3    stream dissolved organic carbon concentrations during episodic spring snow melt from boreal  
4    mires. *Global Change Biol.*, 18, 1895-1903, 2012.
- 5    Aiken, G.R., McKnight, D.M., Wershaw, R.L., and MacCarthy, P.: Humic substances in soil,  
6    sediment, and water: geochemistry, isolation and characterization, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- 7    Aitkenhead-Peterson, J.A., Steele, M.K., Nahar, N., and Santhy, K.: Dissolved organic carbon  
8    and nitrogen in urban and rural watersheds of south-central Texas: land use and land  
9    management influences. *Biogeochemistry*, 96, 119-129, 2009.
- 10   Akin, G.W., and Lagerwerff, J.V.: Calcium carbonate equilibria in aqueous solutions open to  
11   the air. I. The solubility of calcite in relation to ionic strength. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta*,  
12   29, 343–352, 1965.
- 13   Aminzadeh, B., Torabian, A., Azimi, A.A., Bidhendi, G.R.N., and Mehrdadi, N.: Salt  
14   Inhibition Effects on Simultaneous Heterotrophic/Autotrophic Denitrification of High Nitrate  
15   Wastewater. *Int. J. Environ. Res.*, 4, 255-262, 2010.
- 16   Amrhein, C., Strong, J.E., and Mosher, P.A.: Effect of deicing salts on metal and organic  
17   matter mobilization in roadside soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 26, 703-709, 1992.
- 18   APHA.: Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 20th ed. American  
19   Public Health Association, Washington D.C., 1998.
- 20   Ardón, M., Morse, J.L., Colman, B.P., and Bernhardt, E.S.: Drought-induced saltwater  
21   incursion leads to increased wetland nitrogen export. *Global Change Biol.*, 19, 2976-2985,  
22   2013.
- 23   Bäckström, M., Karlsson, S., Bäckman, L., Folkeson, L., and Lind, B.: Mobilisation of heavy  
24   metals by deicing salts in a roadside environment. *Water Res.*, 38, 720-732, 2004.
- 25   Balcarczyk, K.L., Jones, J.B., Jaffe, R., and Maie, N.: Stream dissolved organic matter  
26   bioavailability and composition in watersheds underlain with discontinuous permafrost.  
27   *Biogeochemistry*, 94, 255-270, 2009.

- 1 Bunn, R.A., Magelky, R.D., Ryan, J.N., and Elimelech, M.: Mobilization of natural colloids  
2 from an iron oxide-coated sand aquifer: effects of pH and ionic strength. Environ. Sci.  
3 Technol., 36, 314–322, 2002.
- 4 Cai, Y., and Guo, L.: Abundance and variation of colloidal organic phosphorus in riverine,  
5 estuarine and coastal waters in the northern Gulf of Mexico. Limnol. Oceanogr., 54, 1393-  
6 1402, 2009.
- 7 Cameron, E.M.: Hydrogeochemistry of the Fraser River, British Columbia: Seasonal variation  
8 in major and minor components. J. Hydrol., 182, 209-225, 1996.
- 9 Chen, C.C., Zhu, Y.Z., and Evans, L.B.: Phase partitioning of biomolecules: solubilities of  
10 amino acids. Biotechnol. Progr., 5, 111-118, 1989.
- 11 Coble, P.G.: Characterization of marine and terrestrial DOM in seawater using excitation-  
12 emission matrix spectroscopy. Mar. Chem., 51, 325-346, 1996.
- 13 Compton, J.E., and Church, M.R.: Salt additions alter short-term nitrogen and carbon  
14 mobilization in a coastal Oregon Andisol. J Environ Qual., 40, 1601-1606, 2011.
- 15 Corsi, S.R., De Cicco, L.A., Lutz, M.A., and Hirsch, R.M.: River chloride trends in snow-  
16 affected urban watersheds: increasing concentrations outpace urban growth rate and are  
17 common among all seasons. Sci Total Environ., 508, 488-497, 2015.
- 18 DEPRM and Baltimore City Department of Public Works.: Gwynns Falls water quality  
19 management plan: Executive Summary, 2004.
- 20 Dincer, A.R., and F. Kargi.: Salt inhibition kinetics in nitrification of synthetic saline  
21 wastewater. Enzyme Microb. Technol., 28, 661-665, 2001.
- 22 Duan, S.W., Amon, R.M.W., and Brinkmeyer, R.L.: Tracing sources of organic matter in  
23 adjacent urban streams having different degrees of channel modification. Sci. Total  
24 Environm., 485-486, 252-262, 2014b
- 25 Duan, S.W., and Kaushal, S.S.: Warming increases carbon and nutrient fluxes from sediments  
26 in streams across land use. Biogeosciences, 10, 1193-1207, 2013.
- 27 Duan, S.W., Delaney-Newcomb, K., Kaushal, S.S., Findlay, S.E.G., and K. T. Belt.: Potential  
28 effects of leaf litter on water quality in urban watersheds. Biogeochemistry, 121, 61-80,  
29 2014a.

- 1 Duan, Z.H., and Sun, R.: An improved model calculating CO<sub>2</sub> solubility in pure water and  
2 aqueous NaCl solutions from 273 to 533 K and from 0 to 2000 bar. *Chem. Geol.*, 193, 257-  
3 271, 2003.
- 4 Duckworth, C.M.S., and Cresser, M.S.: Factors influencing nitrogen retention in forest soils.  
5 *Environ. Pollut.*, 72, 1-21, 1991.
- 6 Evans, A., Zelazny, L.W., and Zipper, C.E.: Solution parameters influencing dissolved  
7 organic carbon levels in three forest soils. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 52, 1789-1792, 1998.
- 8 Findlay, S.E.G., and Kelly, V.R.: Emerging indirect and long-term road salt effects on  
9 ecosystems. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1223, 58-68, 2011.
- 10 Fox, L.E.: The transport and composition of humic substances in estuaries, in: Baker, R.A.,  
11 (Ed.), *Organic substances and sediments in water. Vol. 1. Humic and soils*. Lewis Publishers,  
12 Chelsea, MI, 129-162, 1991.
- 13 Fuchs, D., Fischer, J., Tumakaka, F., and Sadowski, G.: Solubility of amino acids: Influence  
14 of the pH value and the addition of alcoholic cosolvents on aqueous solubility. *Ind. Eng.*  
15 *Chem. Res.*, 45, 6578-6584, 2006.
- 16 Green, S.M., and Cresser, M.S.: Nitrogen cycle disruption through the application of de-icing  
17 salts on upland highways. *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 188, 139-153, 2008.
- 18 Green, S.M., Machin, R., and Cresser, M.S.: Does road salting induce or ameliorate DOC  
19 mobilisation from roadside soils to surface waters in the long term? *Environ. Monit. Assess.*,  
20 153, 435-448, 2009a.
- 21 Green, S.M., Machin, R., and Cresser, M.S.: Effect of long-term changes in soil chemistry  
22 induced by road salt applications on N-transformations in roadside soils. *Environ. Pollut.*,  
23 152, 20-31., 2009b.
- 24 Griffith, S.M., and Schnitzer, M.: Oxidative degradation of humic and fulvic acids extracted  
25 from tropical volcanic soils. *Can. J. Soil Sci.*, 55, 251-267, 1975.
- 26 Groffman, P.M., Law, N.L., Belt, K.T., Band, L.E., and Fisher, G.T.: Nitrogen fluxes and  
27 retention in urban watershed ecosystems. *Ecosystems*, 7, 393-403, 2004.
- 28 Hale, R.L., and Groffman, P.M.: Chloride effects on nitrogen dynamics in forested and  
29 suburban stream debris dams. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 35, 2425-2432, 2006.

- 1 Hedges, J.I., and Keil, R.G.: Organic geochemical perspectives on estuarine processes:  
2 sorption reactions and consequences. *Mar. Chem.*, 65, 55–65, 1999.
- 3 Hens, M., and Merckx, R.: Functional characterization of colloidal phosphorus species in the  
4 soil solution of sandy soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 35, 493–500, 2001.
- 5 Hudson, N., Baker, A., and Reynolds, D.: Fluorescence analysis of dissolved organic matter  
6 in natural, waste and polluted waters - A review. *River Res. Appl.*, 23, 631-649, 2007.
- 7 Kaushal, S.S., and Belt, K.T.: The urban watershed continuum: evolving spatial and temporal  
8 dimensions. *Urban Ecosyst.*, 15, 409-435, 2012.
- 9 Kaushal, S.S., Delaney-Newcomb, K., Findlay, S.E.G., Newcomer, T.A., Duan, S., Pennino,  
10 M.J., Sivirichi, G.M., Sides-Raley, A.M., Walbridge, M.R., and Belt, K.T.: Longitudinal  
11 patterns in carbon and nitrogen fluxes and stream metabolism along an urban watershed  
12 continuum. *Biogeochemistry*, 121, 23-44, 2014b.
- 13 Kaushal, S.S., Groffman, P.M., Band, L.E., Elliott, E.M., Shields, C.A., and Kendall, C.:  
14 Tracking nonpoint source nitrogen pollution in human-impacted watersheds. *Environ. Sci.  
Technol.*, 45, 8225-8232, 2011.
- 16 Kaushal, S.S., Groffman, P.M., Likens, G.E., Belt, K.T., Stack, W.P., Kelly, V.R. Weathers,  
17 K.C., Band, L.E., and Fisher, G.T.: Increased salinization of fresh water in the northeastern  
18 U.S. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 102, 13517-13520, 2005.
- 19 Kaushal, S.S., Groffman, P.M., Mayer, P.M., Striz, E., Doheny, E.J., and Gold, A.J.: Effects  
20 of stream restoration on denitrification at the riparian-stream interface of an urbanizing  
21 watershed of the mid-Atlantic U.S. *Ecol. Appl.*, 18, 789-804, 2008.
- 22 Kaushal, S.S., Likens, G.E., Utz, R., Pace, M.L., Grese, M., and Yepsen, M.: Increased river  
23 alkalinization in the Eastern U.S. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47, 10302-10311, 2013.
- 24 Kaushal, S.S., McDowell, W.H., and Wollheim, W.M.: Tracking evolution of urban  
25 biogeochemical cycles: past, present, and future. *Biogeochemistry*, 121, 1-21, 2014a.
- 26 Kaushal, S.S., McDowell, W.H., Wollheim, W.M., Johnson, T.A.N., Mayer, P.M., Belt, K.T.,  
27 Pennino, M.J.: Urban evolution: the role of water. *Water*, 7, 4063-4087, 2015.
- 28 Kim, S.Y., and Koretsky, C.: Effects of road salt deicers on sediment biogeochemistry.  
29 *Biogeochemistry*, 112, 343-358, 2013.

- 1 Kim, S.Y., and Koretsky, C.: Influence of NaCl and CaCl<sub>2</sub> on lake sediment biogeochemistry.  
2 Appl. Geochem., 26, S198–S201, 2011.
- 3 Kipton, H., and Powell, J.: Town, R.M. Solubility and fractionation of humic acid - effect of  
4 pH and ionic medium. Anal. Chim. Acta., 267, 47-54, 1992.
- 5 Kulp, T.R., Han, S., Saltikov, C.W., Lanoil, B.D., Zargar, K., and Oremland, R.S.: Effect of  
6 imposed salinity gradients on dissimilatory arsenate reduction, sulphate reduction and other  
7 microbial processes in sediments from two California soda lakes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol.  
8 73, 5130–5137, 2007.
- 9 Lancaster, N.A.: Impact of salt on denitrification potential in roadside environments, M.S.  
10 theses, University of Connecticut, 337 pp., 2012.
- 11 Li, M.T., Zhao, L.P., and Zhang, J.J.: Effect of temperature, pH and salt on fluorescent quality  
12 of water extractable organic matter in black soil. J. Integr. Agr., 12, 1251-1257, 2013.
- 13 Li, X.G., Rengel, Z., Mapfumo, E., and Singh, B.: Increase in pH stimulates mineralization of  
14 ‘native’ organic carbon and nitrogen in naturally salt-affected sandy soils. Plant Soil, 290,  
15 269-282, 2007.
- 16 Lønborg, C., Alvarez-Salgado, X.A., Davidson, K., Martinez-Garcia, S., and Teira, E.:  
17 Assessing the microbial bioavailability and degradation rate constants of dissolved organic  
18 matter by fluorescence spectroscopy in the coastal upwelling system of the Ria de Vigo. Mar.  
19 Chem., 119, 121-129, 2010.
- 20 Maie, N., Scully, N.M., Pisani, O., and Jaffé, R.: Composition of a protein-like fluorophore of  
21 dissolved organic matter in coastal wetland and estuarine ecosystems. Water Res., 41, 563-  
22 570, 2006.
- 23 McGechan, M.B., and Lewis, D.R.: Sorption of phosphorus by soil, Part 1: Principles,  
24 equations and models (review paper). Biosyst. Eng., 82, 1-24, 2002.
- 25 Murphy, J., and Riley, J.P.: A modified single solution method for determination of phosphate  
26 in natural waters. Anal. Chim. Acta., 26, 31-&, 1962.
- 27 Newcomer, T.A., Kaushal, S.S., Mayer, P.M., Shields, A.R., Canuel, E.A., Groffman, P.M.,  
28 and Gold, A.J.: Influence of natural & novel organic carbon sources on denitrification in  
29 forested, degraded-urban, and restored streams. Ecol. Monograph, 82, 449-466, 2012.

- 1 Nielsen, D.L., Brock, M.A., Rees, G.N., and Baldwin, D.S.: Effects of increasing salinity on  
2 freshwater ecosystems in Australia. *Aust. J. Bot.*, 51, 655-665, 2003.
- 3 Olsen, M.W., Frye, R.J., and Glenn, E.E.: Effect of salinity and plant species on CO<sub>2</sub> flux and  
4 leaching of dissolved organic carbon during decomposition of plant residue. *Plant Soil*, 179,  
5 183-188, 1996.
- 6 Ondrašek, G., Rengel, Z., Romić, D., and Savić, R.: Salinity decreases dissolved organic  
7 carbon in the rhizosphere and increases trace elements phyto-accumulation. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.*,  
8 63, 685-693, 2012.
- 9 Paul, M.J., and Meyer, J.L.: Streams in the urban landscape. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.*, 32,  
10 333-365, 2001.
- 11 Ramakrishna, D.M., and Viraraghavan, T.: Environmental impact of chemical deicers - A  
12 review. *Wat. Air Soil Pollut.*, 166, 49-63, 2005.
- 13 Regelink, I.C., Koopmans, G.F., van der Salm, C., Weng, L., and van Riemsdijk, W.H.:  
14 Characterization of colloidal phosphorus species in drainage waters from a clay soil using  
15 asymmetric flow field-flow fractionation. *J. Environ. Qual.*, 42, 464-473, 2013.
- 16 Rengasamy, P.: World salinization with emphasis on Australia. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 57, 1017–1023,  
17 2006.
- 18 Saiers, J.E., and Lenhart, J.J.: Ionic-strength effects on colloid transport and interfacial  
19 reactions in partially saturated porous media. *Water Resour. Res.*, 39, 1256, 2003.
- 20 Shi, X.M., Liu, Y.J., Mooney, M., Berry, M., Hubbard, B., Fay, L., and Leonard, A.B.: Effect  
21 of Chloride-based Deicers on Reinforced Concrete Structures. WSDOT Research Report  
22 WA-RD 741.1., 2010.
- 23 Sholkovit, E.R.: Flocculation of dissolved organic and inorganic matter during the mixing of  
24 river water and seawater. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta.*, 40, 831-845, 1976.
- 25 Sobczak, W.V., Findlay, S., and Dye, S.: Relationships between DOC bioavailability and  
26 nitrate removal in an upland stream: an experimental approach. *Biogeochemistry*, 62, 309-  
27 327, 2003.
- 28 Sommerville, K., and Preston, T.: Characterisation of dissolved combined amino acids in  
29 marine waters. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.*, 15, 1287-1290, 2001.

- 1 Srividya, S., Soumya, S., and Pooja, K.: Influence of environmental factors and salinity on  
2 phosphate solubilization by a newly isolated *Aspergillus niger* F7 from agricultural soil. Afr.  
3 J. Biotechnol., 8, 1864-1870, 2009.
- 4 Steele, M.K., and Aitkenhead-Peterson, J.A.: Long-term sodium and chloride surface water  
5 exports from the Dallas/Fort Worth region. Sci. Total Environ., 409, 3021-3032, 2011.
- 6 Steele, M.K., and Aitkenhead-Peterson, J.A.: Salt impacts on organic carbon and nitrogen  
7 leaching from senesced vegetation. Biogeochemistry, 112, 245-259, 2013.
- 8 Stolpe, B., Guo, L., Shiller, A.M., and Hassellöv, M.: Size and composition of colloidal  
9 organic matter and trace elements in the Mississippi River, Pearl River and the northern Gulf  
10 of Mexico, as characterized by flow field-flow fractionation. Mar. Chem., 118, 119-128,  
11 2010.
- 12 Tanford, C.: Physical Chemistry of Macromolecules, Wiley, New York, 1961.
- 13 Turner, B.L., Kay, M.A., and Westermann, D.T.: Colloidal phosphorus in surface runoff and  
14 water extracts from semiarid soils of the western United States. J. Environ. Qual., 33, 1464-  
15 1472, 2004.
- 16 Weishaar, J.L., Aiken, G.R., Bergamaschi, B.A., Fram, M.S., Fujii, R., and Mopper, K.:  
17 Evaluation of ultraviolet absorbance as an indicator of the chemical composition and  
18 reactivity of dissolved organic carbon. Environ. Sci. Technol., 37, 4702-4708, 2003.
- 19 Weiss, R.F.: Carbon dioxide in water and seawater: the solubility of a non-ideal gas. Mar.  
20 Chem., 2, 203-215, 1974.
- 21 Yamashita, Y., and Tanoue, E.: Chemical characterization of protein-like fluorophores in  
22 DOM in relation to aromatic amino acids. Mar. Chem., 82, 255-271, 2003.
- 23

1 Table 1. Characteristics of study subwatersheds.

Site	BARN	MCDN	GFGB	GFGL	GFVN	GFCP	DRKR	GFGR
Type	forest	agriculture	suburban	suburban	Urban	urban	urban	urban
Area (ha)	3.86	0.1	11	0.8	84.2	170.7	14.3	6.5
%ISC	0.3	0.1	15	19	17	24	45	61
Developed/Open	25.5	13.6	43.8	41.2	27.4	25.5	22.4	9.2
Developed/low		5.4	28.9	21.5	25.2	28.8	38.8	27.5
Developed/medium		3.6	4.3	8.3	10.5	16.2	18.1	43.6
Developed/high			1.3	3.4	2.5	5.0	7.4	17.4
Barren					0.2	0.1	0.1	0.04
Shrub	0.7	7.9	1.1	6.3	1.4	0.8	0.2	0.05
%Forest	72.6	1.4	19.0	19.2	25.5	19.3	12.7	1.3
Hay/pasture	1.0	30.4	0.9	0.1	3.1	1.7	0.1	
Cultivated Crops		37.1	0.1		3.4	1.8		
Wetland		0.7	0.6		0.8	0.7	0.2	
Open water					0.1	0.1		0.9

2 Watershed land cover and impervious surface (ISC %) data are from Shields et al. (2008) and  
 3 the National Land Cover Database (NLCD) of 2006. Both land cover and impervious  
 4 statistics were based on 30-m resolution land cover data.

5

1 Table 2. Water chemistry, sediment and soil ash-free weight prior to salinization incubation  
 2 experiments.

Site		Water							Sediment	Soil		
Name	Type	F <sup>-</sup> mg L <sup>-1</sup>	Cl <sup>-</sup> mg L <sup>-1</sup>	SO <sub>4</sub> -S mg L <sup>-1</sup>	DOC mg L <sup>-1</sup>	P/H	DIC mg L <sup>-1</sup>	SUVA L mg <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-1</sup>	NO <sub>3</sub> -N mg L <sup>-1</sup>	SRP μg L <sup>-1</sup>	AFDW (%)	AFDW (%)
BARN	forest	0.26	75	5	1.2	0.56	3.3	2.26	1.76	16.0	0.61	6.17
MCDN	agriculture	0.41	57	19	1.5	0.36	12.2	2.64	7.13	48.1	1.23	7.68
GFGB	suburban	0.30	95	6	1.2	0.63	12.1	2.11	2.09	16.9	0.92	8.84
GFVN	suburban	0.46	116	10	1.5	0.73	16.6	2.24	1.26	13.4	0.89	7.82
GFGL	suburban	0.38	124	25	2.3	0.52	32.1	2.31	1.43	38.7	1.35	6.27
GFCP	urban	0.80	159	22	1.9	0.83	18.9	1.94	1.19	10.5	3.98	7.17
DRKR	urban	0.87	557	59	2.5	0.77	34.6	1.96	1.28	30.2	1.22	8.04
GFGR	urban	2.80	187	54	3.5	1.36	32.8	0.95	2.30	20.4	1.90	-

3 - : samples were not taken. Legends DOC, P/H, DIC, SUVA, SRP and AFDW stand for  
 4 dissolved organic carbon, protein to humic ratio of DOC, dissolved inorganic carbon, specific  
 5 ultraviolet absorption, soluble reactive phosphorus and ash-free weight.

6

1 Figure 1. Land use of the Gwynns Falls and Baisman Run watersheds, showing sites from  
2 which sediment, soil and stream water were collected for salinization experiments. Baisman  
3 Run is a watershed with forest as the dominant land use, and it is located in the nearby  
4 Gunpowder River. Solid and open circles represent sites of the main stem and tributaries,  
5 respectively. Resolution of the land use data is 30 m, and land use and stream channel  
6 location data are from US Department of Agriculture ([datagateway.ncrs.usda.gov](http://datagateway.ncrs.usda.gov)) and US  
7 Geological Survey (<http://datagateway.ncrs.usda.gov/>).

8 Figure 2. Changes in DOC, DIC, protein-like fluorophore, humic-like fluorophore, protein to  
9 humic (P/H) ratio and specific ultraviolet absorption (SUVA) during 2-day sediment  
10 incubations with NaCl-amended stream water (in 0, 2 and 4 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup>). The changes in stream  
11 water only were subtracted to obtain the contributions from sediments. A linear regression  
12 line was added to each site (6 experiment with 3 NaCl levels), and correlation coefficient was  
13 added only if the regression was significant. For the panel without significant correlation, \*  
14 was used to indicate significant difference between two adjacent salinization treatments.  
15 Humic- and protein-like fluorescence is in Raman Unit (RU).

16 Figure 3. Releases of DOC and DIC and changes in specific UV absorption (SUVA) during 2-  
17 day soil incubations with NaCl-mended DI water (in 0, 2 and 4 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup>). A linear regression  
18 line was added to each site (6 experiment with 3 NaCl levels), and correlation coefficient was  
19 added only if the regression was significant. For the panel without significant correlation, \*  
20 was used to indicate significant difference between two adjacent salinization treatments.

21 Figure 4. Changes in salinity effects on DOC, DIC, protein-like fluorophore, P/H ratio,  
22 SUVA, TKN, nitrate and SRP for sediment and riparian soils, as well as ash-free dry weight  
23 (AFDW) with watershed impervious surface cover (ISC). Salinity effects were slopes of  
24 regression liners in Figure 2 and 3 and Figure 5 and 6. A outlier was identified in sediment  
25 incubation for DOC, DIC, protein-like fluorophore, P/H ratio, and TKN and sediment ash-free  
26 dry weight. A regression line was added to the data only if correlation with ISC was  
27 significant ( $p < 0.05$ ).

28 Figure 5. Changes in TKN (DON + NH<sub>3</sub>-N + NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>-N), nitrate-N, SRP and sulfate during 2-  
29 day sediment incubations with NaCl-amended stream water (in 0, 2 and 4 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup>). The  
30 changes in stream water only were subtracted to obtain the contributions from sediments. A  
31 linear regression line was added to each site, and correlation coefficient was added only if the

1 regression was significant. For the panel without significant correlation, \* was used to  
2 indicate significant difference between two adjacent salinization treatments.

3 Figure 6. Release of TKN ( $\text{DON} + \text{NH}_3\text{-N} + \text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ ), nitrate-N, SRP and sulfate in during 2-  
4 day soil incubations with NaCl-amended DI water (in 0, 2 and 4 g Cl L<sup>-1</sup>). A linear regression  
5 line was added to each site, and correlation coefficient was added only if the regression was  
6 significant. For the panel without significant correlation, \* was used to indicate significant  
7 difference between two adjacent salinization treatments. The scale of x axil of SRP for  
8 MCDN was different from other sites.

9 Figure 7. Correlations between  $\Delta\text{DIC}$ ,  $\Delta\text{TKN}$  or  $\Delta\text{nitrate}$  and  $\Delta\text{DOC}$ , and between  $\Delta\text{SRP}$  and  
10  $\Delta\text{SUVA}$  during the of sediment/soil incubations for each site with NaCl-amendment at 0, 2  
11 and 4 g Cl<sup>-1</sup> (in duplicate, totaling 6 incubation experiments). A line was added to the data  
12 only if correlation was significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). Correlation coefficients were not labelled but all  
13  $> 0.67$ .

14 Figure 8. A conceptual diagram summarizing potential effects of salinization on DOC quality,  
15 DOC and TKN releases from sediments and soils, as well as linkage to release/retention of  
16 DIC, nitrate and SRP during sediment and soil salinization.