

## ***Interactive comment on “Isotopically enriched ammonium shows high nitrogen turnover in the pile top zone of dairy manure compost” by K. Maeda et al.***

**Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 23 September 2015

Manure compost is a major source of nitrogenous gases like ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) in the atmosphere, and plays a role on global nitrogen cycle. Especially, N<sub>2</sub>O is a highly-efficient greenhouse, and also destroys ozone in the stratosphere. Therefore researches concerning manure compost, especially the emission of nitrogenous gases during manure compost, have important significance. This work is initiated from the phenomenon that the emission of N<sub>2</sub>O mitigated when bulking agent was adopted during manure compost, which was found in the authors' previous study. From the Introduction section, the investigation on the mechanism of N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation in dairy manure compost piles with bulking agent through isotope analysis should be the major subject of this paper. However, in the Results and Discussion sections, the au-

C5656

thors just focus on the enrichment  $\delta^{15}\text{N-NH}_4^+$  at the top of dairy manure compost piles, and attribute this enrichment to high nitrogen conversion, nitrification-denitrification activity and NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization. The mechanism of N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation with bulking agent is not interrupted. It is needed a revision to make the subject clear before publication. Besides, some expressions in this paper are unclear and inconsistent, which make it difficult to understand this paper.

Specific comments: 1. The authors use “pile with bulking agent”, “pile with dried grass (pile 1)” to describe the dairy manure compost piles. From their previous paper (Maeda et al., 2013a), dried grass is the bulking agent, however, it is not illustrated in the present paper.

2. N<sub>2</sub>O mitigation with bulking agent was found in Maeda et al. (2013a). Is similar phenomenon found in the present studies? Are experiments in the two papers the same ones?

3. Line 16 of Page 7583: “Temporal decrease of  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> were observed in both piles” → “The decrease of  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in the first two weeks were observed in both piles”

4. Line 19-21 of Page 7583: “The  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> were significantly higher in the piles with bulking agent 17.7-1.3‰ than that of the piles without bulking agent (11.8-0.9‰.” → “The  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> at the end of experiments were significantly higher in the piles with bulking agent (17.7-1.3‰ than that of the piles without bulking agent (11.8-0.9‰.”

5. Line 22-25 of Page 7583: Why more organic matter degradation cause higher  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  value of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>? It seems to be inconsistent with the declaration in Line 17-18 that the ammonification of organic N supplies light NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>.

6. Table 1: The authors annotate “C, control; T, treatment; Values followed by different letters indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)”, however, there were no “C”, “T” and

C5657

“letters following values” in the table. The authors should check this table carefully.

---

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, 7577, 2015.

C5658