

## ***Interactive comment on “Improving estimations of greenhouse gas transfer velocities by atmosphere–ocean couplers in Earth-System and regional models” by V. M. N. C. S. Vieira et al.***

**R. Wanninkhof (Referee)**

rik.wanninkhof@noaa.gov

Received and published: 8 October 2015

Vieira et al. present a description of algorithms that can be used in earth system models to better parameterize gas transfer across the air-sea interface and thereby improve flux estimates compared to the simplified algorithms currently used. They emphasize correctly that particularly in coastal regions the simple algorithms are not that robust as many other driving forces are at play over and above wind forcing. Many OGCM's use an outdated parameterization of Wanninkhof et al (1992) that will lead to incorrect flux values.

The effort of improving the gas transfer formulation in models is a laudable one and

C6313

the authors are well aware of the challenges of doing so including lack of knowledge how factors other than winds influence gas transfer and how computational limitations limit a full representation. They appear to have some clever approaches to address the computational aspects.

The manuscript was very difficult to understand. Grammar and syntax is poor, there are several typos ("form" instead "from", "later" instead of "latter" etc.) and the many symbols are not well explained or seem very similar but have greatly different meaning (kw vs. kh, Rb vs. Ri). The discussion is confusing by different symbols for related parameters e.g. for solubility expressions the "scalar" Kh is the inverse of the Ostwald coefficient.

The key analysis for the validation shown is in Figure 1. It is not described or explained in any detail. All that is mentioned in the text is "The new formulations presented in this work were remarkably consistent with Wanninkhof's formulation while also showing their benefits by representing processes with finer resolution and better accuracy (see Fig. 1)." It is not clear if the accuracy is actually improved.

The manuscript is a progress report and commentary, and does not offer new insights or novel conclusions. The authors state this towards to end of the manuscript

"However, the later still need much improvement and validation. Our solution still needs to integrate the effects of the sea-surface cool-skin and warm-layer, surfactants and rain. But the most urgent is to improve the estimation of transfer velocity from friction velocity and wind-wave breaking, for which very few formulations exist, and the roughness length from the wave field. All the available formulations for these specific purposes lack robust parameter estimations"

The supplemental material are 5 videos with no explanation.

This paper cannot be accepted for Biogeosciences. The authors should provide a new manuscript with an algorithm that can be used in Earth system models that is properly

C6314

validated including an uncertainty analysis.

---

Interactive comment on Biogeosciences Discuss., 12, 15901, 2015.

C6315